

Normalized solutions and stability for biharmonic Schrödinger equation with potential on waveguide manifold*

Jun Wang^a, Zhaoyang Yin^{a,b†}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China

^bSchool of Science, Shenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, 518107, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the following biharmonic Schrödinger equation with potential and mixed nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + V(x, y)u + \lambda u = \mu|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u, & (x, y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u^2 dx dy = \Theta, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open bounded convex domain, $r > 0$ is large and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The exponents satisfy $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n} < q < 4^* = \frac{2(d+n)}{d+n-4}$, so that the nonlinearity is a combination of a mass subcritical and a mass supercritical term. Under some assumptions on $V(x, y)$ and μ , we obtain the several existence results on waveguide manifold. Moreover, we also consider the orbital stability of the solution.

Keywords: Biharmonic Schrödinger equation; Normalized solutions; Variational methods; Waveguide manifold.

2010 MSC: 35A15, 35B38, 35J50, 35Q55.

1 Introduction and main results

This paper studies the existence of normalized solutions for the following biharmonic Schrödinger equation with potential and mixed nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + V(x, y)u + \lambda u = \mu|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u, & (x, y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u^2 dx dy = \Theta, & u \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*This work was partially supported by NNSFC (No. 12171493).

†Corresponding author. wangj937@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (J. Wang), mcsyzy@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Z. Yin)

where $\Omega_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open bounded convex domain, $r > 0$ is large, $d \geq 5$, $2 + \frac{8}{d+n} < q < 4^*$, the mass $\Theta > 0$ and the parameter $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are prescribed. The frequency λ is unknown and to be determined. The energy functional $I_r : H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I_r(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} [|\Delta u|^2 + V(x, y)u^2] dx dy - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy$$

and the mass constraint manifold is defined by

$$S_{r, \Theta} = \{u \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n) : \|u\|_2^2 = \Theta\}.$$

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, the energy functional $I : H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} [|\Delta u|^2 + V(x, y)u^2] dx dy - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy$$

and the mass constraint manifold is defined by

$$S_\Theta = \{u \in H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) : \|u\|_2^2 = \Theta\}.$$

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying dispersive equations on the waveguide manifolds $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$ whose mixed type geometric nature makes the underlying analysis rather challenging and delicate. For the nonlinear Schrödinger model, there have been many results on waveguide manifolds, as shown in [5, 8, 9, 11–13, 15, 16]. However, there is relatively little research on the biharmonic Schrödinger equation on the waveguide manifolds. As far as we know, [14] is the first well-posedness and scattering result for biharmonic Schrödinger equation without mixed dispersion. After that, Hajaiej et. al in [7] consider the following question

$$\Delta_{x,y}^2 u - \beta \Delta_{x,y} u + \theta u = |u|^\alpha u, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n,$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{8}{d+n})$. The purpose of this paper is to study the existence and stability results for normalized ground states. However, they only considered the case where the nonlinear term is L^2 -subcritical, in which case classical concentration compactness arguments can be used to find the ground state solution. In this article, we consider a more complex scenario where the nonlinear term consists of L^2 -subcritical and L^2 -supercritical and has an abstract potential function. The purpose of this paper is study the following two questions:

- the existence of normalized solutions for (1.1);
- the orbital stability of the normalized solutions.

For the first goal, we use monotonicity techniques. In order to obtain orbital stability of the normalized solutions, we need to modify the classical Cazenave-Lions argument, see [3]. However, given the emergence of abstract potential functions, the globally well-posed result for (5.1) is still open, so we need to assume that (5.1) is globally well-posed.

For some results, we expect that V is C^1 and consider the function

$$\tilde{V} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{V}(z) = \nabla V(z) \cdot z$$

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, let

$$\Omega_r = \{rx \in \mathbb{R}^d : x \in \Omega\}$$

and

$$S_{r,\Theta} := S_\Theta \cap H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n) = \left\{ u \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n) : \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)}^2 = \Theta \right\}.$$

From now on we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded smooth convex domain with $0 \in \Omega$.

Our assumptions on V are:

$$(V_0) \quad V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) \cap L^{\frac{d+n}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) \text{ is bounded and } \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} < S.$$

$$(V_1) \quad V \text{ is of class } C^1, \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} V(z) = 0, \text{ and there exists } \rho \in (0, 1) \text{ such that}$$

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{z \in B(z_1, \rho|z_1|)} (z_1 \cdot \nabla V(z)) e^{\tau|z_1|} > 0 \quad \text{for any } \tau > 0.$$

Remark 1.1. *In order to obtain the existence of normalized solutions in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$ by taking $\Omega = B_1$, the unit ball centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^d , and analyzing the compactness of the solutions $u_{r,\Theta}$ established in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 as r tends to infinity, we require the condition (V_1) .*

To obtain results, we need an inhomogeneous Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

Lemma 1.1. *(Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality). On $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$ we have the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality*

$$\|u\|_q^q \leq C_{d,n,q} \|\Delta u\|_2^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{4}} \|u\|_2^{q - \frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{4}},$$

where $d + n > 4$.

The main results of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *(case $\mu \leq 0$) Assume V satisfies (V_0) , is of class C^1 and \tilde{V} is bounded, f satisfies $(f_1) - (f_2)$. There hold:*

(i) For every $\Theta > 0$, there exists $r_\Theta > 0$ such that (1.1) on $\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ with $r > r_\Theta$ has a mountain pass type solution $(\lambda_{r,\Theta}, u_{r,\Theta})$ in $\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Moreover, there exists $C_\Theta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta}(z) < C_\Theta.$$

(ii) If in addition $\|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} < 2S$, then there exists $\tilde{\Theta} > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{r,\Theta} > 0 \text{ for any } 0 < \Theta < \tilde{\Theta}.$$

Theorem 1.2. (*case* $\mu > 0$) Assume V satisfies (V_0) , f satisfies $(f_1) - (f_2)$ and set

$$\Theta_V = \left[\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2(d+n)(q-p)} \right]^{\frac{d+n}{4}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{4(q-p)}} \left[\frac{(d+n)(q-2) - 4}{\mu C_{d,n,p}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-8}{4(q-p)}}.$$

Then the following hold for $0 < \Theta < \Theta_V$:

(i) There exists $r_\Theta > 0$ such that (1.1) on $\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ with $r > r_\Theta$ has a local minimum type solution $(\lambda_{r,\Theta}, u_{r,\Theta})$ in $\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

(ii) There exists $C_\Theta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta}(z) < C_\Theta, \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{r,\Theta} > 0.$$

Theorem 1.3. (*case* $\mu > 0$) Assume V satisfies (V_0) , is of class C^1 and \tilde{V} is bounded, f satisfies $(f_1) - (f_2)$. Set

$$\tilde{\Theta}_V = \left(\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \right)^{\frac{d+n}{4}} \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q} + C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right)^{-\frac{d+n}{4}} \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8-(d+n)(q-2)}{4(d+n)(q-p)}},$$

where

$$A_{p,q} = \frac{(q-2)((d+n)(q-2) - 8)}{(p-2)(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}.$$

Then the following hold for $0 < \Theta < \tilde{\Theta}_V$:

(i) There exists $\tilde{r}_\Theta > 0$ such that (1.1) in Ω_r admits for $r > \tilde{r}_\Theta$ a mountain pass type solution $(\lambda_{r,\Theta}, u_{r,\Theta})$ in $\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Moreover, there exists $C_\Theta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta}(z) < C_\Theta.$$

(ii) There exists $0 < \bar{\Theta} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_V$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{r,\Theta} > 0 \quad \text{for any } 0 < \Theta \leq \bar{\Theta}.$$

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, (V_1) is significant for obtaining the following results.

Theorem 1.4. (*case* $\mu > 0$) Assume V satisfies $(V_0) - (V_1)$. Then problem (1.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ admits for any $0 < \Theta < \Theta_V$, where Θ_V is as in Theorem 1.2, a solution $(\lambda_\Theta, u_\Theta)$ with $\lambda_\Theta > 0$.

Theorem 1.5. (*case* $\mu > 0$) Assume V satisfies $(V_0) - (V_1)$. Then (1.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ admits for $0 < \Theta < \bar{\Theta}$, $\bar{\Theta} > 0$ as in Theorem 1.3 (ii), a solution $(\lambda_\Theta, u_\Theta)$ with $\lambda_\Theta > 0$. Moreover, $\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} I(u_\Theta) = \infty$.

Theorem 1.6. (*case* $\mu \leq 0$) Assume V satisfies $(V_0) - (V_1)$, and $\|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} < 2S$. Then problem (1.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ admits for $0 < \Theta < \tilde{\Theta}$, $\tilde{\Theta} > 0$ as in Theorem 1.1, a solution $(\lambda_\Theta, u_\Theta)$ with $\lambda_\Theta > 0$. Moreover, $\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} I(u_\Theta) = \infty$.

Remark 1.2. *The proof of Theorems 1.4-1.6 is similar to [1], so we omit it in this paper.*

Theorem 1.7. *The solutions obtained in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are orbitally stable in some sense.*

The structure of this paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we obtain the mountain pass type solution in the case $\mu \leq 0$ and have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1. If $\mu > 0$, there are two situations, that is, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Finally, we consider the orbital stability of the solution obtained in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we assume $\mu \leq 0$ and the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. In order to obtain a bounded Palais-Smale sequence, we will use the monotonicity trick inspired by [10]. For $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$, we define the functional $I_{r,s} : S_{r,\Theta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_{r,s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} [|\Delta u|^2 + V(x, y)u^2] dx dy - \frac{s}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that if $u \in S_{r,\Theta}$ is a critical point of $I_{r,s}$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (λ, u) is a solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + V(x, y)u + \lambda u = \mu|u|^{p-2}u + s|u|^{q-2}u, & (x, y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u^2 dx dy = \Theta, & u \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\Theta > 0$, there exist $r_\Theta > 0$ and $u^0, u^1 \in S_{r_\Theta, \Theta}$ such that*

(i) $I_{r,s}(u^1) \leq 0$ for any $r > r_\Theta$ and $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$,

$$\|\Delta u^0\|_2^2 < \left[\frac{2q}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q}} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right) \Theta^{\frac{q(d+n-2)-2(d+n)}{4}} \right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} < \|\Delta u^1\|_2^2$$

and

$$I_{r,s}(u^0) < \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-4) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{2(d+n)(q-2)} \left[\frac{2q \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{2q-(d+n)(q-2)}{4}}} \right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}}$$

(ii) If $u \in S_{r,\Theta}$ satisfies

$$\|\Delta u\|_2^2 = \left[\frac{2q}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q}} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right) \Theta^{\frac{q(d+n-2)-2(d+n)}{4}} \right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}},$$

then there holds

$$I_{r,s}(u) \geq \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-4) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{2(d+n)(q-2)} \left[\frac{2q \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{2q-(d+n)(q-2)}{4}}} \right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}}$$

(iii) Set

$$m_{r,s}(\Theta) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} I_{r,s}(\gamma(t))$$

with

$$\Gamma_{r,\Theta} = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], S_{r,\Theta}) : \gamma(0) = u^0, \gamma(1) = u^1 \}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-4) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{2(d+n)(q-2)} \left[\frac{2q \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2) C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{2q-(d+n)(q-2)}{4}}} \right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} \\ & \leq m_{r,s}(\Theta) \leq h(T_\Theta), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(T_\Theta) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} h(t)$, the function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being defined by

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right) t^4 \theta \Theta - \alpha \beta C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{p}{2}} \theta^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{4}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} - \frac{1}{2q} \Theta^{\frac{q}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2-q}{2}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{2}}.$$

Here θ is the principal eigenvalue of Δ^2 with Dirichlet boundary conditions in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$, and $|\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|$ is the volume of $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

Proof. (i) Clearly, the set $S_{r,\Theta}$ is path connected. Since $v_1 \in S_{1,\Theta}$ be the positive eigenfunction associated to θ and note that θ is the principal eigenvalue of Δ^2 , then

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta v_1|^2 dx dy = \theta \Theta. \quad (2.3)$$

By the Hölder inequality, we know that

$$\Theta = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1(x, y)|^2 dx dy \leq \left(\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1(x, y)|^q dx dy \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \cdot |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{q-2}{q}},$$

which implies

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1(x, y)|^q dx dy \geq \Theta^{\frac{q}{2}} \cdot |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{2-q}{2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

For $(x, y) \in \Omega_{\frac{1}{t}} \times \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n$ and $t > 0$, define $v_t(x, y) := t^{\frac{d+n}{2}} v_1(tx, ty)$. Using (2.3), (2.4) and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\frac{1}{t},s}(v_t) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{t}} \times \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n} [|\Delta v_t|^2 + V(x, y) v_t^2] dx dy - \frac{1}{2q} \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{t}} \times \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n} |v_t|^q dx dy - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{t}} \times \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n} |v_t|^p dx dy \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right) \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{t}} \times \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n} |\Delta v_t|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2q} \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{t}} \times \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n} |v_t|^q dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\mu}{p}C_{d,n,p}\Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{t}}\times\mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{t}}^n}|\Delta v_t|^2dxdy\right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)t^4\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{T}^n}|\Delta v_1|^2dxdy-\frac{1}{2q}t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{2}}\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{T}^n}|v_1|^qdxdy \\
& -\frac{\mu}{p}C_{d,n,p}\Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}}\left(t^4\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{T}^n}|\Delta v_1|^2dxdy\right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)t^4\theta\Theta-\frac{\mu}{p}C_{d,n,p}\Theta^{\frac{p}{2}}\theta^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}}t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{2}}-\frac{1}{2q}t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{2}}\Theta^{\frac{q}{2}}\cdot|\Omega|^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \\
=: & h(t). \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n} < q < 4^*$ and $\mu \leq 0$ there exist $0 < T_\Theta < t_0$ such that $h(t_0) = 0$, $h(t) < 0$ for any $t > t_0$, $h(t) > 0$ for any $0 < t < t_0$ and $h(T_\Theta) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} h(t)$. As a consequence, there holds

$$I_{r,s}(v_{t_0}) = I_{\frac{1}{t_0},s}(v_{t_0}) \leq h(t_0) = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for any $r \geq \frac{1}{t_0}$ and $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Moreover, there exists $0 < t_1 < T_\Theta$ such that

$$h(t) < \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-4)\left(1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)}{2(d+n)(q-2)}\left[\frac{2q\left(1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q}\Theta^{\frac{2q-(d+n)(q-2)}{4}}}\right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} \tag{2.7}$$

for $t \in [0, t_1]$. On the other hand, it follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{r,s}(u) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_r\times\mathbb{T}^n}|\Delta u|^2dxdy+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_r\times\mathbb{T}^n}Vu^2dxdy-\frac{1}{q}\int_{\Omega_r\times\mathbb{T}^n}|u|^qdxdy \\
\geq & \frac{1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}}{2}\int_{\Omega_r\times\mathbb{T}^n}|\Delta u|^2dxdy-\frac{C_{d,n,q}\Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q}\left(\int_{\Omega_r\times\mathbb{T}^n}|\Delta u|^2dxdy\right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$g(t) := \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)t-\frac{C_{d,n,q}\Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q}t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}$$

and

$$\tilde{t} = \left[\frac{4q}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q}}\left(1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)\Theta^{\frac{q(d+n-4)-2(d+n)}{8}}\right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}},$$

it is easy to see that g is increasing on $(0, \tilde{t})$ and decreasing on (\tilde{t}, ∞) , and

$$g(\tilde{t}) = \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-4)\left(1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)}{2(d+n)(q-2)}\left[\frac{4q\left(1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}}S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q}\Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}\right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}$$

For $r \geq \tilde{r}_\Theta := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{t_1}, \sqrt{\frac{2\theta\Theta}{t}} \right\}$, we have $v_{\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta}} \in S_{r,\Theta}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v_{\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta}}\|_2^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right)^4 \|\Delta v_1\|_2^2 \\ &< \left[\frac{4q}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q}} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) \Theta^{\frac{q(d+n-4)-2(d+n)}{8}} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, there holds

$$I_{\tilde{r}_\Theta, s} \left(v_{\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta}} \right) \leq h \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right) \leq h(t_1). \quad (2.10)$$

Setting $u^0 = v_{\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta}}$, $u^1 = v_{t_0}$ and

$$r_\Theta = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{t_0}, \tilde{r}_\Theta \right\}. \quad (2.11)$$

Combining (2.6), (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10), (i) holds.

(ii) By (2.8) and a direct calculation, (ii) holds.

(iii) Since $I_{r,s}(u^1) \leq 0$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}$, we have

$$\|\Delta\gamma(0)\|_2^2 < \tilde{t} < \|\Delta\gamma(1)\|_2^2.$$

It then follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{r,s}(\gamma(t)) \\ &\geq g(\tilde{t}) \\ &= \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-4) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{2(d+n)(q-2)} \left[\frac{4q \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}$, hence the first inequality in (iii) holds. Now we define a path $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}$ by

$$\gamma(\tau)(x, y) = \left(\tau t_0 + (1-\tau) \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right)^{\frac{d+n}{2}} v_1 \left(\left(\tau t_0 + (1-\tau) \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right) x, \left(\tau t_0 + (1-\tau) \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right) y \right)$$

for $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in \Omega_r$. Then by (2.5) we have $m_{r,s}(\Theta) \leq h(T_\Theta)$, where $h(T_\Theta) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} h(t)$.

Note that T_Θ is independent of r and s . \square

By using Lemma 2.1, the energy functional $I_{r,s}$ possesses the mountain pass geometry. To obtain bounded Palais-Smale sequence, we recall a proposition from [2, 4].

Proposition 2.1. (see [2, Theorem 1]) *Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $(H, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be two infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and assume there are continuous injections*

$$E \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow E'.$$

Let

$$\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle, \quad |u|^2 = (u, u) \quad \text{for } u \in E,$$

and

$$S_\mu = \{u \in E : |u|^2 = \mu\}, \quad T_u S_\mu = \{v \in E : (u, v) = 0\} \quad \text{for } \mu \in (0, +\infty).$$

Let $I \subset (0, +\infty)$ be an interval and consider a family of C^2 functionals $\Phi_\rho : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\Phi_\rho(u) = A(u) - \rho B(u), \quad \text{for } \rho \in I,$$

with $B(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in E$, and

$$A(u) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad B(u) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } u \in E \text{ and } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.12)$$

Suppose moreover that Φ'_ρ and Φ''_ρ are τ -Hölder continuous, $\tau \in (0, 1]$, on bounded sets in the following sense: for every $R > 0$ there exists $M = M(R) > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi'_\rho(u) - \Phi'_\rho(v)\| \leq M\|u - v\|^\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Phi''_\rho(u) - \Phi''_\rho(v)\| \leq M\|u - v\|^\tau \quad (2.13)$$

for every $u, v \in B(0, R)$. Finally, suppose that there exist $w_1, w_2 \in S_\mu$ independent of ρ such that

$$c_\rho := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_\rho(\gamma(t)) > \max\{\Phi_\rho(w_1), \Phi_\rho(w_2)\} \quad \text{for all } \rho \in I,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], S_\mu) : \gamma(0) = w_1, \gamma(1) = w_2\}.$$

Then for almost every $\rho \in I$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_\mu$ such that

- (i) $\Phi_\rho(u_n) \rightarrow c_\rho$,
- (ii) $\Phi'_\rho|_{S_\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$,
- (iii) $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E .

Lemma 2.2. For any $\Theta > 0$, let $r > r_\Theta$, where r_Θ is defined in Lemma 2.1. Then problem (2.1) has a solution $(\lambda_{r,s}, u_{r,s})$ for almost every $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Moreover, $u_{r,s} \geq 0$ and $I_{r,s}(u_{r,s}) = m_{r,s}(\Theta)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, it follows that

$$A(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V(x) u^2 dx dy - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy$$

and

$$B(u) = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy.$$

Note that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold due to $\mu \leq 0$ and Lemma 2.1. Hence, for almost every $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, there exists a bounded Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfying

$$I_{r,s}(u_n) \rightarrow m_{r,s}(\Theta) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{r,s}(u_n)|_{T_{u_n} S_{r,\Theta}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $T_{u_n}S_{r,\Theta}$ denotes the tangent space of $S_{r,\Theta}$ at u_n . Then

$$\lambda_n = -\frac{1}{\Theta} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} (|\Delta u_n|^2 + V(x)u_n^2) dx dy - \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_n|^p dx dy - s \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_n|^q dx dy \right)$$

is bounded and

$$I'_{r,s}(u_n) + \lambda_n u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-2}(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n). \quad (2.14)$$

Moreover, since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence, there exist $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &\rightarrow \lambda \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L^t(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n) \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq t < 4^*, \end{aligned}$$

where u_0 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_0 + V u_0 + \lambda u_0 = s |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 + \mu |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 & \text{in } \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n), \quad \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_0|^2 dx dy = \Theta. \end{cases}$$

Using (2.14), we have

$$I'_{r,s}(u_n) u_0 + \lambda_n \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_n u_0 dx dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$I'_{r,s}(u_n) u_n + \lambda_n \Theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V(x, y) u_n^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V(x, y) u_0^2 dx dy, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_n|^p dx &= \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_0|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

so we get $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$, hence $I_{r,s}(u_0) = m_{r,s}(\Theta)$. \square

Remark 2.1. *If we consider schrödinger operator $-\Delta$, then we can get better result, that is $u_{r,s} \geq 0$, see [1].*

Remark 2.2. *In this paper, we consider the biharmonic operator Δ^2 which is more complex than $-\Delta$, so we can not get that (2.1) has a nonnegative normalized solution. Precisely speaking, we use the fact that $\|\nabla|u|\|_2^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_2^2$ for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ in Remark 2.1. However, we do not know the size relationship between $\|\Delta u\|_2^2$ and $\|\Delta|u|\|_2^2$.*

In order to obtain a solution of (1.1), we need to prove a uniform estimate for the solutions of (2.1) established in Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *If $(\lambda_{r,s}, u_{r,s}) \in \mathbb{R} \times S_{r,\Theta}$ is a solution of (2.1) established in Lemma 2.2 for some r and s , then*

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \leq \frac{4(d+n)}{(d+n)(q-2) - 4} \left[\frac{q-2}{2} h(T_\Theta) + \Theta \left(\frac{1}{2(d+n)} \|\tilde{V}\|_\infty + \frac{q-2}{4} \|V\|_\infty \right) \right],$$

where the constant $h(T_\Theta)$ is defined in (iii) of Lemma 2.1 and is independent of r and s .

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $(\lambda_{r,s}, u_{r,s})$ as (λ, u) in this lemma. Since u is a solution of (2.1), we have

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} (|\Delta u|^2 + Vu^2) dx dy = s \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy - \lambda \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^2 dx dy. \quad (2.15)$$

The Pohozaev identity implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d+n-4}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)} |\Delta u|^2 ((x, y) \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma \\ & + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V}(x, y) u^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} Vu^2 dx dy \\ = & -\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^2 dx dy + \frac{s}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{n} denotes the outward unit normal vector on $\partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$. It then follows from $\mu \leq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{d+n} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)} |\nabla u|^2 ((x, y) \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma \\ & - \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} (\nabla V \cdot (x, y)) u^2 dx dy \\ = & \frac{(q-2)s}{2q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) |u|^p dx dy \\ \geq & \frac{(q-2)s}{2q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + \frac{\mu(q-2)}{2p} \int_{\Omega_r} |u|^p dx dy \\ = & \frac{q-2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} Vu^2 dx dy - m_{r,s}(\Theta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q-2}{2} m_{r,s}(\Theta) \\ \geq & \frac{q-2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r} Vu^2 dx dy \right) - \frac{2}{d+n} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \\ & + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)} |\nabla u|^2 ((x, y) \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} (\nabla V \cdot (x, y)) u^2 dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \frac{(d+n)(q-2)-8}{4(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \Theta \left(\frac{1}{2(d+n)} \|\nabla V \cdot (x, y)\|_\infty + \frac{q-2}{4} \|V\|_\infty \right),$$

where the last inequality holds since $(x, y) \cdot \mathbf{n} \geq 0$ for any $(x, y) \in \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ due to the convexity of Ω_r . Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(d+n)(q-2)-8}{4(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \Theta \left(\frac{1}{2(d+n)} \|\nabla V \cdot x\|_\infty + \frac{q-2}{4} \|V\|_\infty \right) \\ & \leq \frac{q-2}{2} h(T_\Theta), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \leq \frac{4(d+n)}{(d+n)(q-2)-4} \left[\frac{q-2}{2} h(T_\Theta) + \Theta \left(\frac{1}{2(d+n)} \|\tilde{V}\|_\infty + \frac{q-2}{4} \|V\|_\infty \right) \right].$$

This completes the proof of lemma. \square

Now, we obtain a solution of (1.1) by letting $s \rightarrow 1$.

Lemma 2.4. *For every $\Theta > 0$, problem (1.1) has a solution (λ_r, u_r) provided $r > r_\Theta$ where r_Θ is as in Lemma 2.1.*

Proof. By using Lemma 2.2, there is a nontrivial solution $(\lambda_{r,s}, u_{r,s})$ to (2.1) for almost every $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. In view of Lemma 2.3, $\{u_{r,s}\}$ is bounded. By an argument similar to that in Lemma 2.2, there exist $u_r \in S_{r,\Theta}$ and λ_r such that, going if necessary to a subsequence,

$$\lambda_{r,s} \rightarrow \lambda_r \quad \text{and} \quad u_{r,s} \rightarrow u_r \quad \text{in } H_0^2(\Omega_r) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence u_r is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1). \square

Next, we will consider the Lagrange multiplier. we first establish an a priori estimate for the solutions of (1.1).

Lemma 2.5. *If $\{(\lambda_r, u_r)\}$ is a family of nontrivial solutions of (1.1) such that $\|u_r\|_{H^2} \leq C$ with $C > 0$ independent of r , then $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|u_r\|_\infty < \infty$.*

Proof. Using the regularity theory of elliptic partial differential equations, we know that $u_r \in C(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$. Assume to the contrary that there exist a sequence, for simplicity denoted by $\{u_r\}$, and $(x_r, y_r) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$M_r := \max_{(x,y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_r(x, y) = u_r(x_r, y_r) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose without loss of generality that, up to a subsequence, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_r}{|x_r|} = \frac{y_r}{|y_r|} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Set

$$v_r(x) = \frac{u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y)}{M_r}$$

for $(x, y) \in \Sigma^r := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n : (x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n\}$, where $\tau_r = M_r^{\frac{2-q}{4}}$. Then $\tau_r \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $\|v_r\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^r)} \leq 1$, and v_r satisfies

$$\Delta^2 v_r + \tau_r^4 V(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) v_r + \tau_r^4 \lambda_r v_r = |v_r|^{q-2} v_r + \mu \tau_r^{\frac{4(q-p)}{q-2}} |v_r|^{p-2} v_r \quad \text{in } \Sigma^r. \quad (2.16)$$

In fact, since u_r is a nontrivial solution of (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^2 u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) + V(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) \\ & + \lambda_r u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) \\ = & |u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y)|^{q-2} u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) \\ & + \mu |u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y)|^{p-2} u_r(x_r + \tau_r x, y_r + \tau_r y) \end{aligned}$$

in Ω_r , then by a direct calculation and the definition of $v_r(x)$, τ_r , we know that (2.16) holds. In view of (1.1), the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and $\|u_r\|_{H^2} \leq C$ with C independent of r , we infer that the sequence $\{\lambda_r\}$ is bounded. It then follows from the regularity theory of elliptic partial differential equations and the Arzela-Ascoli theorem that there exists v such that, up to a subsequence

$$v_r \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } H_0^2(\Sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad v_r \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^\beta(\Sigma) \text{ for some } \beta \in (0, 1),$$

where $\Sigma := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma^r$.

Similar to the proof of [1, Lemma 2.7], we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}((x_r, y_r), \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n))}{\tau_r} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|z_r - x_r|}{\tau_r} \geq d_1 > 0,$$

where $z_r \in \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ is such that $\text{dist}(x_r, \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) = |z_r - x_r|$ for any large r . As a result, by letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.16), we obtain that $v \in H_0^2(\Sigma)$ is a nontrivial solution of

$$\Delta^2 v = |v|^{q-2} v \quad \text{in } \Sigma,$$

where

$$\Sigma = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n & \text{if } \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}((x_r, y_r), \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n))}{\tau_r} = \infty, \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 > -d_1\} \times \mathbb{T}^n & \text{if } \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}((x_r, y_r), \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n))}{\tau_r} > 0. \end{cases}$$

It then follows from the Liouville theorems (see [6]) that $v = 0$ in $H_0^2(\Sigma)$, which contradicts $v(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v_r(0) = 1$. \square

Clearly, the proof of Lemma 2.5 does not depend on μ .

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(\lambda_{r,\Theta}, u_{r,\Theta})$ be the solution of (1.1) from Lemma 2.4. If $\|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} < 2S$, then there exists $\bar{\Theta} > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{r,\Theta} > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < \Theta < \bar{\Theta}.$$

Proof. Let $(\lambda_{r,\Theta}, u_{r,\Theta})$ be the solution of (1.1) established in Theorem 2.4. By the regularity theory of elliptic partial differential equations, we have $u_{r,\Theta} \in C(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$. Using Lemma 2.5, it holds

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta} < \infty.$$

Setting

$$Q(\Theta) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta},$$

we claim that there is $\Theta_1 > 0$ such that $Q(\Theta) > 0$ for any $0 < \Theta < \Theta_1$. Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence $\{\Theta_k\}$ tending to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $Q(\Theta_k) = 0$ for any k , that is,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta_k} = 0 \quad \text{for any } k. \quad (2.17)$$

As a consequence of (iii) in Lemma 2.1, for any $r > r_{\Theta_k}$, we have

$$I_r(u_{r,\Theta_k}) = m_{r,1}(\Theta_k) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.18)$$

For any given k , it follows from (2.17) and $u_{r,\Theta_k} \in S_{r,\Theta_k}$ that, up to a subsequence,

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_k}|^s dx dy = \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_k}|^{s-2} |u_{r,\Theta_k}|^2 dx dy \leq \left| \max_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta_k} \right|^{s-2} \Theta_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.19)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for any $s > 2$. Hence, for any given large k , there exists $\bar{r}_k > r_{\Theta_k}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_k}|^q dx dy + \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_k}|^p dx dy \right| < \frac{m_{r,1}(\Theta_k)}{2} \quad \text{for any } r \geq \bar{r}_k.$$

In view of (2.18) and $I_r(u_{r,\Theta_k}) = m_{r,1}(\Theta_k)$, we further have

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{r,\Theta_k}|^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta_k}^2 dx dy \geq \frac{m_{r,1}(\Theta_k)}{2} \quad \text{for any large } k \text{ and } r \geq \bar{r}_k. \quad (2.20)$$

It follows from (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20) that there exists $r_k \geq \bar{r}_k$ with $r_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r_k,\Theta_k} = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\int_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r_k,\Theta_k}|^s dx dy \leq \left| \max_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r_k,\Theta_k} \right|^{s-2} \Theta_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for any } s > 2 \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{r_k,\Theta_k}|^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r_k,\Theta_k}^2 dx dy \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.23)$$

By (1.1), (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$\lambda_{r_k,\Theta_k} \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.24)$$

Now (1.1) implies

$$\Delta^2 u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + V u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + \lambda_{r_k, \Theta_k} u_{r_k, \Theta_k} = |u_{r_k, \Theta_k}|^{q-2} u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + \mu |u_{r_k, \Theta_k}|^{p-2} u_{r_k, \Theta_k},$$

so

$$\Delta^2 u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + \left(\|V\|_\infty + \frac{\lambda_{r_k, \Theta_k}}{2} \right) u_{r_k, \Theta_k} \geq -\frac{\lambda_{r_k, \Theta_k}}{2} u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + |u_{r_k, \Theta_k}|^{q-2} u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + \mu |u_{r_k, \Theta_k}|^{p-2} u_{r_k, \Theta_k}.$$

Using (2.24) and (2.21), it follows that

$$\Delta^2 u_{r_k, \Theta_k} + \left(\|V\|_\infty + \frac{\lambda_{r_k, \Theta_k}}{2} \right) u_{r_k, \Theta_k} \geq 0$$

for large k . Let θ_{r_k} be the principal eigenvalue of Δ^2 with Dirichlet boundary condition in Ω_{r_k} , and $v_{r_k} > 0$ be the corresponding normalized eigenfunction. It follows that

$$\left(\theta_{r_k} + \|V\|_\infty + \frac{\lambda_{r_k, \Theta_k}}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r_k, \Theta_k} v_{r_k} dx dy \geq 0.$$

Since $\int_{\Omega_{r_k} \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r_k, \Theta_k} v_{r_k} dx dy > 0$, we have

$$\theta_{r_k} + \|V\|_\infty + \frac{\lambda_{r_k, \Theta_k}}{2} \geq 0,$$

which contradicts (2.24) for large k . Hence the claim holds, that is, there exists $\Theta_1 > 0$ such that

$$Q(\Theta) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r, \Theta} > 0 \quad (2.25)$$

for any $0 < \Theta < \Theta_1$.

We consider $H^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ as a subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ for any $r > 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that the set of solutions $\{u_{r, \Theta} : r > r_\Theta\}$ established in Lemma 2.4 is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$, so there exist $u_\Theta \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\lambda_\Theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that up to a subsequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{r, \Theta} &\rightarrow \lambda_\Theta, \\ u_{r, \Theta} &\rightharpoonup u_\Theta \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n), \\ u_{r, \Theta} &\rightarrow u_\Theta \text{ in } L^k_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) \text{ for all } 2 \leq k < 2^*, \\ u_{r, \Theta} &\rightarrow u_\Theta \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n \end{aligned}$$

and u_Θ is a solution of the equation

$$\Delta^2 u + V(x, y)u + \lambda_\Theta u = |u|^{q-2}u + \mu|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} V(x, y)u_\Theta^2 dx dy + \lambda_\Theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_\Theta^2 dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_\Theta|^q dx dy + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_\Theta|^p dx dy \quad (2.26)$$

and the Pohozaev identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d+n-4}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V} u_\Theta^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} V(x, y) u_\Theta^2 dx dy \\ & + \frac{\lambda_\Theta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_\Theta^2 dx dy \\ = & \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_\Theta|^q dx dy + \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_\Theta|^p dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

It follows from (2.26), (2.27), (f₂), the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the fact $\mu \leq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{d+n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V}(x, y) u_\Theta^2 dx dy \\ = & \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_\Theta|^q dx dy + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) |u_\Theta|^p dx dy \\ \leq & \frac{C_{d,n,q}(q-2)}{2q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_\Theta^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{2q-(d+n)(q-2)}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{d+n} - \frac{\|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2(d+n)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy \\ \leq & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V}(x, y) u_\Theta^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{d+n} - \frac{\|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2(d+n)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy \\ \leq & \frac{C_{d,n,q}(q-2)}{2q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_\Theta^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{2q-(d+n)(q-2)}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $u_\Theta \neq 0$, Using $\|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} < 2S$, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy \geq \left[\frac{q \left(2 - \|\tilde{V}_+\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)C_{d,n,q}(q-2)} \right]^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} \Theta^{\frac{q(d+n-2)-2(d+n)}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}}. \quad (2.28)$$

Next, it follows from (2.26), (2.27), (2.28), (f₂) and $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < 4^*$ that

$$\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \lambda_\Theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_\Theta^2 dx dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{d+n-4}{2(d+n)} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V}(x) u_\Theta^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} V(x) u_\Theta^2 dx dy - \frac{(q-p)\mu}{pq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_\Theta|^p dx dy \\
&\leq \frac{(d+n-4)q - 2(d+n)}{2q(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy + \frac{\|\tilde{V}\|_\infty}{2(d+n)} \Theta + \frac{(q-2)\|V\|_\infty}{2q} \Theta \\
&\quad - \frac{\mu(q-p)}{q} C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{2p-(d+n)(p-2)}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_\Theta|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{4}} \\
&\rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \Theta \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{(d+n-2)q-2(d+n)}{2q(d+n)} < 0$. Therefore, if $u_\Theta \neq 0$ for $\Theta > 0$ small there exists $\Theta_0 > 0$ such that $\lambda_\Theta > 0$ for $0 < \Theta < \Theta_0$.

In order to complete the proof, we consider the case that there is a sequence $\Theta_k \rightarrow 0$ such that $u_{\Theta_k} = 0$ for any k . Assume without loss of generality that $u_\Theta = 0$ for any $\Theta \in (0, \Theta_1)$. Let $(x_{r,\Theta}, y_{r,\Theta}) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ be such that $u_{r,\Theta}(x_{r,\Theta}, y_{r,\Theta}) = \max_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta}$. In view of (2.25), there holds $|x_{r,\Theta}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise, there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ such that, up to a subsequence, $x_{r,\Theta} \rightarrow x_0$, $y_{r,\Theta} \rightarrow y_0$ and hence $u_\Theta(x_0, y_0) \geq d_\Theta > 0$. This contradicts $u_\Theta = 0$. We claim that $\text{dist}((x_{r,\Theta}, y_{r,\Theta}), \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing by contradiction we assume that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}((x_{r,\Theta}, y_{r,\Theta}), \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) = l < \infty$. It follows from (2.25) that $l > 0$. Let $w_r(x) = u_{r,\Theta}(x + x_{r,\Theta}, y + y_{r,\Theta})$ for any $(x, y) \in \Sigma^r := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n : x + x_{r,\Theta} \in \Omega_r, y + y_{r,\Theta} \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$. Then w_r is bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$, and there is $w \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $w_r \rightharpoonup w$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. By the regularity theory of elliptic partial equations and $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} u_{r,\Theta}(x_{r,\Theta}, y_{r,\Theta}) > d_\Theta > 0$, we infer that $w(0) \geq d_\Theta > 0$. Assume without loss of the generality that, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{r,\Theta}}{|x_{r,\Theta}|} = e_1.$$

Setting

$$\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n : x \cdot e_1 < l\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n : x_1 < l\},$$

we have $\phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}, y - y_{r,\Theta}) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ and r large enough. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} \Delta u_{r,\Theta} \Delta \phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}, y - y_{r,\Theta}) dx dy + \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta} \phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}, y - y_{r,\Theta}) dx dy \\
&\quad + \lambda_{r,\Theta} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta} \phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}, y - y_{r,\Theta}) dx dy \\
&= \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta}|^{q-2} u_{r,\Theta} \phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}, y - y_{r,\Theta}) dx dy \\
&\quad + \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta}|^{p-2} u_{r,\Theta} \phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}, y - y_{r,\Theta}) dx dy. \tag{2.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|x_{r,\Theta}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta} \phi(x - x_{r,\Theta}) dx dy \right| &\leq \int_{\text{Supp } \phi \times \mathbb{T}^n} |V(x + x_{r,\Theta}) w_r \phi| dx dy \\
&\leq \|w_r\|_{4^*} \|\phi\|_{4^*} \left(\int_{\text{Supp } \phi \times \mathbb{T}^n} |V(\cdot + x_{r,\Theta})|^{\frac{d+n}{4}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{4}{d+n}} \\
&\leq \|w_r\|_{4^*} \|\phi\|_{4^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\frac{|x_{r,\Theta}|}{2}} \times \mathbb{T}^n} |V|^{\frac{d+n}{4}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{4}{d+n}} \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.29), we obtain for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \phi dx dy + \lambda_{\Theta} \int_{\Sigma} w \phi dx dy = \int_{\Sigma} |w|^{q-2} w \phi dx dy + \mu \int_{\Sigma} f(w) \phi dx dy.$$

Thus $w \in H_0^2(\Sigma)$ is a weak solution of the equation

$$\Delta^2 w + \lambda_{\Theta} w = |w|^{q-2} w + \mu |w|^{p-2} w \quad \text{in } \Sigma. \tag{2.31}$$

Hence we obtain a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (2.31) on a half space which is impossible by the Liouville theorem (see [6]). This proves that $\text{dist}((x_{r,\Theta}, y_{r,\Theta}), \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. A similar argument as above shows that (2.31) holds for $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Now we argue as in the case $u_{\Theta} \neq 0$ above that there exists Θ_2 such that $\lambda_{\Theta} > 0$ for any $0 < \Theta < \Theta_2$.

Setting $\bar{\Theta} = \min\{\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \Theta_2\}$, the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The proof is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold. Since $\mu > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_r(u) \\
&\geq \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&\quad - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\
&= h_1(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_1(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t^4 - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} \\
= & t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{2}}}{q} \right] \\
& -\mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\psi(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{2}}}{q}.$$

Note that ψ admits a unique maximum at

$$\bar{t} = \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2)) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{2}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{4((d+n)(q-2)-8)}}.$$

By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(\bar{t}) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{2}}}{q} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{[(d+n)(q-2)-4q][8-(d+n)(p-2)]}{8((d+n)(q-2)-8)}} \\
& - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2)) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{[(d+n)(q-2)-4q](d+n)(q-p)}{8((d+n)(q-2)-8)}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{[(d+n)(q-2)-4q][8-(d+n)(p-2)]}{8((d+n)(q-2)-8)}} \\
& - \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2)) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{[(d+n)(q-2)-2q][8-(d+n)(p-2)]}{8((d+n)(q-2)-8)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\psi(\bar{t}) > \mu C_{N,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}}$$

as long as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} - \frac{[(d+n)(q-2)-4q][8-(d+n)(p-2)]}{8((d+n)(q-2)-8)} \\
< & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \\
& - \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2)) \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{2(d+n)(q-p)C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2(d+n)(q-p)} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} [(d+n)(q-2) - 8],$$

that is,

$$\Theta < \left[\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2(d+n)(q-p)} \right]^{\frac{d+n}{4}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{4(q-p)}} \left[\frac{N(q-2) - 4}{\mu C_{d,n,p}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-8}{4(q-p)}}.$$

Hence, we take

$$\Theta_V = \left[\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2(d+n)(q-p)} \right]^{\frac{d+n}{4}} \left[\frac{q(8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{C_{d,n,q}} \right]^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{4(q-p)}} \left[\frac{N(q-2) - 4}{\mu C_{d,n,p}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-8}{4(q-p)}}.$$

Now, let $0 < \Theta < \Theta_V$ be fixed, we obtain

$$\psi(\bar{t}) > \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \quad (3.1)$$

and $h_1(\bar{t}) > 0$. In view of $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n} < q < 4^*$ and (3.1), there exist $0 < R_1 < T_\Theta < R_2$ such that $h_1(t) < 0$ for $0 < t < R_1$ and for $t > R_2$, $h_1(t) > 0$ for $R_1 < t < R_2$, and $h_1(T_\Theta) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} h_1(t) > 0$.

Define

$$\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta} = \{u \in S_{r,\Theta} : \|\Delta u\|_2^2 \leq T_\Theta^2\}.$$

Let θ be the principal eigenvalue of operator Δ^2 with Dirichlet boundary condition in Ω , and let $|\Omega|$ be the volume of Ω .

Lemma 3.1. (i) If $r < \frac{\sqrt{C\Theta}}{T_\Theta}$, then $\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta} = \emptyset$.

(ii) If

$$r > \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{C\Theta}}{T_\Theta}, \left(\frac{\theta \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{2\mu} \Theta^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{(d+n)(p-2)+8}} \right\}$$

then $\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$e_{r,\Theta} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}} I_r(u) < 0$$

is attained at some interior point u_r of $\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$. As a consequence, there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (λ_r, u_r) is a solution of (1.1). Moreover $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r > 0$ holds true.

Proof. (i) The embedding inequality implies there exists a positive constant C (only depend on Ω) such that

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \geq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^2 dx dy = \frac{C\Theta}{r^2}$$

for any $u \in S_{r,\Theta}$. Since T_Θ is independent of r , there holds $\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta} = \emptyset$ if and only if $r < \frac{\sqrt{C\Theta}}{T_\Theta}$.

(ii) Let $v_1 \in S_{1,\Theta}$ be the positive normalized eigenfunction corresponding to θ . Setting

$$r_\Theta = \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{C\Theta}}{T_\Theta}, \left[\frac{\theta \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{2\mu} \Theta^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{(d+n)(p-2)+8}} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we construct for $r > r_\Theta$ a function $u_r \in S_{r,\Theta}$ such that $u_r \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$ and $I_r(u_r) < 0$. Clearly,

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\nabla v_1|^2 dx dy = \theta \Theta, \quad \Theta = \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1|^2 dx dy \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1|^p dx dy \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.$$

Define $u_r \in S_{r,\Theta}$ by $u_r(x, y) = r^{-\frac{d+n}{2}} v_1(r^{-1}x, r^{-1}y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_r|^2 dx dy = r^{-4} \theta \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_r|^p dx dy \geq r^{\frac{(d+n)(2-p)}{2}} \Theta^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.2), (3.3), $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n}$ and a direct calculation we have $u_r \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_r(u_r) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right) r^{-4} \theta \Theta - \mu r^{\frac{(d+n)(2-p)}{2}} \Theta^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} &I_r(u_r) \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - C_{d,n,p} \mu \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\ &\quad - \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

As a consequence I_r is bounded from below in $\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$. By the Ekeland principle there exists a sequence $\{u_{n,r}\} \subset \mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$ such that

$$I_r(u_{n,r}) \rightarrow \inf_{u \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}} I_r(u), \quad I'_r(u_{n,r})|_{T_{u_{n,r}} S_{r,\Theta}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Consequently there exists $u_r \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $u_{n,r} \rightharpoonup u_r$ in $H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ and

$$u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r \text{ in } L^k(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n) \text{ for all } 2 \leq k < 4^*.$$

Moreover, $\|\Delta u_r\|_2^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta u_{n,r}\|_2^2 \leq T_\Theta^2$, that is, $u_r \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$. Note that

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{n,r}^2 dx dy \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_r^2 dx dy \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence

$$e_{r,\Theta} \leq I_r(u_r) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_r(u_{n,r}) = e_{r,\Theta}.$$

It follows that $u_{n,r} \rightarrow u_r$ in $H_0^2(\Omega_r)$, so $I_r(u_r) < 0$. Therefore u is an interior point of $\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$ because $I_r(u) \geq h_1(T_\Theta) > 0$ for any $u \in \partial\mathcal{V}_{r,\Theta}$ by (3.4). The Lagrange multiplier theorem implies that there exists $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (λ_r, u_r) is a solution of (1.1). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_r \Theta &= \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_r|^q dx dy + \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_r|^p dx dy - \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_r|^2 dx dy - \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_r^2 dx dy \\ &= \frac{q-2}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_r|^q dx dy + \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_r|^p dx dy - \frac{2\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_r|^p dx dy - 2I_r(u_r) \\ &> -2I_r(u_r) = -2e_{r,\Theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from the definition of $e_{r,\Theta}$ that $e_{r,\Theta}$ is nonincreasing with respect to r . Hence, $e_{r,\Theta} \leq e_{r_\Theta,\Theta} < 0$ for any $r > r_\Theta$ and $0 < \Theta < \Theta_V$. In view of (3.5), we have $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r > 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 The proof is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 2.5.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this subsection we assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 hold. For $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $\mu > 0$, we define the functional $J_{r,s} : S_{r,\Theta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J_{r,s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} (|\Delta u|^2 dx dy + V u^2) dx dy - s \left(\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy \right).$$

Note that if $u \in S_{r,\Theta}$ is a critical point of $J_{r,s}$ then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (λ, u) is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + V u + \lambda u = s|u|^{q-2}u + s\mu|u|^{p-2}u, & (x, y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^2 dx dy = \Theta, u \in H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n), & (x, y) \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.1. *For $0 < \Theta < \tilde{\Theta}_V$ where $\tilde{\Theta}_V$ is defined in Theorem 1.3, there exist $\tilde{r}_\Theta > 0$ and $u^0, u^1 \in S_{r_\Theta,\Theta}$ such that*

(i) *For $r > \tilde{r}_\Theta$ and $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ we have $J_{r,s}(u^1) \leq 0$ and*

$$J_{r,s}(u^0) < \frac{((d+n)(q-2) - 8)}{8} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right] A^{\frac{8}{8-(d+n)(q-2)} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}},$$

where

$$A = \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}(q-2)((d+n)(q-2) - 8)}{q(p-2)(8 - (d+n)(p-2))} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right).$$

Moreover,

$$\|\Delta u^0\|_2^2 < \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}$$

and

$$\|\Delta u^1\|_2^2 > \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}.$$

(ii) If $u \in S_{r,\Theta}$ satisfies

$$\|\Delta u\|_2^2 = \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}},$$

then there holds

$$J_{r,s}(u) \geq \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{8} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} A^{\frac{8}{8-(d+n)(q-2)}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}.$$

(iii) Let

$$m_{r,s}(\Theta) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_{r,s}(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma_{r,\Theta} = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], S_{r,\Theta}) : \gamma(0) = u^0, \gamma(1) = u^1\}.$$

Then

$$m_{r,s}(\Theta) \geq \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{8} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} A^{\frac{8}{8-(d+n)(q-2)}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m_{r,s}(\Theta) &\leq \frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4}{2} \left(\frac{\theta \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}\right)}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} (4q)^{\frac{4}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} \\ &\quad \cdot |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{2(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-2q}{(d+n)(q-2)-4}}. \end{aligned}$$

where θ is the principal eigenvalue of Δ^2 with Dirichlet boundary condition in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

Proof. Let $v_1 \in S_{1,\Theta}$ be the positive normalized eigenfunction of Δ^2 with Dirichlet boundary condition in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$ associated to θ , then we have

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta v_1|^2 dx dy = \theta \Theta. \quad (4.2)$$

By the Hölder inequality, we know

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1(x)|^p dx dy \geq \Theta^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Setting $v_t(x) = t^{\frac{d+n}{2}} v_1(tx, ty)$ for $(x, y) \in B_{\frac{1}{t}}$ and $t > 0$. Using (4.2), (4.3) and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\frac{1}{t}, s}(v_t) &\leq \frac{1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} t^4 \theta \Theta - \frac{\mu}{2} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{2}} \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v_1|^p dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{2}} \Theta^{\frac{q}{2}} \cdot |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \\ &\leq: h_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$h_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t^4 \theta \Theta - \frac{1}{2q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{2}} \Theta^{\frac{q}{2}} \cdot |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{2-q}{2}}$$

A simple computation shows that $h_2(t_0) = 0$ for

$$t_0 := \left[\left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) q \theta \Theta^{\frac{2-q}{2}} |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}$$

and $h_2(t) < 0$ for any $t > t_0$, $h_2(t) > 0$ for any $0 < t < t_0$. Moreover, $h_2(t)$ achieves its maximum at

$$t_{\Theta} = \left[\frac{4q \left(1 + \|V\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) \theta}{(d+n)(q-2)} \Theta^{\frac{2-q}{2}} |\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n|^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}.$$

This implies

$$J_{r,s}(v_{t_0}) = J_{\frac{1}{t_0}, s}(v_{t_0}) \leq h_2(t_0) = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

for any $r \geq \frac{1}{t_0}$ and $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. There exists $0 < t_1 < t_{\Theta}$ such that for any $t \in [0, t_1]$,

$$h_2(t) < \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{8} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_{-}\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} A^{\frac{8}{8-(d+n)(q-2)}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}. \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, it follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{r,s}(u) \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \|V_{-}\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\ &\quad - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(t) &:= \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} t - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\
&= t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{2}} S^{-1} \right) t^{\frac{8-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{8}} \right] \\
&\quad - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n} < q < 4^*$ and the definition of $\tilde{\Theta}_V$, there exist $0 < l_1 < l_M < l_2$ such that $g_1(t) < 0$ for any $0 < t < l_1$ and $t > l_2$, $g_1(t) > 0$ for $l_1 < t < l_2$ and $g_1(l_M) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} g_1(t) > 0$. Let

$$t_2 = \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p} (p-2) (8 - (d+n)(p-2))}{C_{d,n,q} (q-2) ((d+n)(q-2) - 8)} \right)^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-p)}} \Theta^{\frac{d+n-4}{d+n}}.$$

Then by a direct calculation, we have $g_1''(t) \leq 0$ if and only if $t \geq t_2$. Hence

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} g_1(t) = \max_{t \in [t_2, \infty)} g_1(t).$$

Note that for any $t \geq t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(t) &= \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} t - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{(q-p)(d+n-4)}{8}} \cdot \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \\
&\quad - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t - \frac{C_{d,n,q} (q-2) ((d+n)(q-2) - 8)}{q(p-2)(8 - (d+n)(p-2))} t_2^{\frac{(d+n)(q-p)}{8}} \\
&\quad \cdot \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} - \frac{C_{N,q} \Theta^{\frac{2q-N(q-2)}{4}}}{q} t^{\frac{N(q-2)}{4}} \\
&\geq \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} t - \frac{C_{d,n,q} (q-2) ((d+n)(q-2) - 8)}{q(p-2)(8 - (d+n)(p-2))} \cdot \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&\quad - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&= \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} t - \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q} (q-2) ((d+n)(q-2) - 8)}{q(p-2)(8 - (d+n)(p-2))} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right) \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&\quad \cdot t^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&=: g_2(t). \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we will determine the value of $\tilde{\Theta}_V$. In fact, $g_1(l_M) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} g_1(t) > 0$ as long as $g_2(t_2) > 0$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(t_2) &= \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} t_2 - \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}(q-2)((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{q(p-2)(8-(d+n)(p-2))} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right) \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&\quad \cdot t_2^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-p)}} \Theta^{\frac{d+n-4}{d+n}} - \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} A_{p,q} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-p)}} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \cdot \Theta^{\frac{d+n-4}{d+n} \cdot \frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-p)}} \Theta^{\frac{d+n-4}{d+n}} - \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} A_{p,q} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right) \cdot \Theta \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q-p}} \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{p,q} = \frac{(q-2)((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{(p-2)(8-(d+n)(p-2))}.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-p)}} \Theta^{\frac{-4}{d+n}} > \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} A_{p,q} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right) \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q-p}},$$

which implies

$$\left(\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \right)^{\frac{d+n}{4}} \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} A_{p,q} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right)^{-\frac{d+n}{4}} \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8-(d+n)(q-2)}{4(d+n)(q-p)}} > \Theta,$$

Hence, we take

$$\tilde{\Theta}_V = \left(\frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \right)^{\frac{d+n}{4}} \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} A_{p,q} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right)^{-\frac{d+n}{4}} \left(\frac{\mu q C_{d,n,p}}{C_{d,n,q} A_{p,q}} \right)^{\frac{8-(d+n)(q-2)}{4(d+n)(q-p)}}.$$

Let

$$A = \left(\frac{C_{d,n,q}(q-2)((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{q(p-2)(8-(d+n)(p-2))} + \frac{C_{d,n,q}}{q} \right)$$

and

$$t_g = \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}},$$

so that $t_g > t_2$ by the definition of $\tilde{\Theta}_V$, $\max_{t \in [t_2, \infty)} g_2(t) = g_2(t_g)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} g_1(t) \\
& \geq \max_{t \in [t_2, \infty)} g_2(t) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) t_g - A \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} t_g^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right) \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \\
& \quad - A \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8} \frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\
& = \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{8} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} A^{\frac{8}{8-(d+n)(q-2)}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\bar{r}_\Theta = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{t_1}, \sqrt{\frac{2\theta\Theta}{t_g}} \right\}$, then $v_{\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\Theta}} \in S_{r,\Theta}$ for any $r > \bar{r}_\Theta$, and

$$\left\| \Delta v_{\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\Theta}} \right\|_2^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\Theta} \right)^4 \left\| \Delta v_1 \right\|_2^2 < t_g = \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)A} \right]^{\frac{8}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover,

$$J_{\bar{r}_\Theta, s} \left(v_{\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\Theta}} \right) \leq h_2 \left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\Theta} \right) \leq h_2(t_1). \quad (4.10)$$

Let $u^0 = v_{\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\Theta}}$, $u^1 = v_{t_0}$ and

$$\tilde{r}_\Theta = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{t_0}, \bar{r}_\Theta \right\}.$$

Then the statement (i) holds by (4.5), (4.6), (4.9), (4.10).

(ii) holds by (4.8) and a direct calculation.

(iii) In view of $J_{r,s}(u^1) \leq 0$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}$ and the definition of t_0 , we have

$$\left\| \Delta \gamma(0) \right\|_2^2 < t_g < \left\| \Delta \gamma(1) \right\|_2^2.$$

It then follows from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{r,s}(\gamma(t)) \\
& \geq g_2(t_g) \\
& = \frac{((d+n)(q-2)-8)}{8} \left[\frac{4 \left(1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1} \right)}{(d+n)(q-2)} \right]^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}} A^{\frac{8}{8-(d+n)(q-2)}} \Theta^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)-4q}{(d+n)(q-2)-8}}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}$, hence the first inequality in (iii) holds. We define a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S_{r,\Theta}$ by $\gamma(t) : \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(\tau t_0 + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right)^{\frac{d+n}{2}} v_1 \left(\left(\tau t_0 + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right) x, \left(\tau t_0 + (1 - \tau) \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_\Theta} \right) y \right).$$

Then $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r,\Theta}$, and the second inequality in (iii) follows from (4.4). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Assume $0 < \Theta < \tilde{\Theta}_V$ where $\tilde{\Theta}_V$ is given in Theorem 1.3. Let $r > \tilde{r}_\Theta$, where \tilde{r}_Θ is defined in Lemma 4.1. Then problem (4.1) admits a solution $(\lambda_{r,s}, u_{r,s})$ for almost every $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $J_{r,s}(u_{r,s}) = m_{r,s}(\Theta)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the Lemma 2.2. We omit it here. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For fixed $\Theta > 0$ the set of solutions $u \in S_{r,\Theta}$ of (4.1) is bounded uniformly in s and r .*

Proof. Since u is a solution of (4.1), we have

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u^2 dx dy = s \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + s \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^2 dx.$$

The Pohozaev identity implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d+n-4}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)} |\Delta u|^2 ((x, y) \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma \\ & + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V} u^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u^2 dx dy \\ & = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^2 dx + \frac{s}{q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx + \frac{s\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from $\mu > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{d+n} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)} |\Delta u|^2 ((x, y) \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma \\ & - \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} (\nabla V \cdot (x, y)) u^2 dx dy \\ & = \frac{(q-2)s}{2q} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy + s \mu \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy \\ & \geq \frac{q-2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u^2 dx dy - m_{r,s}(\Theta) \right) \\ & \quad + s \frac{\mu(p-q)}{2} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (iii) in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\frac{q-2}{2} m_{r,s}(\Theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{(d+n)(p-2)-8}{4(d+n)} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx - \Theta \left(\frac{1}{2(d+n)} \|\nabla V \cdot (x, y)\|_\infty + \frac{p-2}{4} \|V\|_\infty \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{s\mu(p-q)}{2} C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{2p-(d+n)(p-2)}{4}} \left(\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n}$, we can bound $\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy$ uniformly in s and r . \square

Lemma 4.4. *Assume $0 < \Theta < \tilde{\Theta}_V$, where $\tilde{\Theta}_V$ is given in Theorem 1.3, and let $r > \tilde{r}_\Theta$, where \tilde{r}_Θ is defined in Lemma 4.1. Then the following hold:*

- (i) Equation (1.1) admits a solution $(\lambda_{r,\Theta}, u_{r,\Theta})$ for every $r > \tilde{r}_\Theta$.
- (ii) There is $0 < \bar{\Theta} \leq \tilde{\Theta}_V$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{r,\Theta} > 0 \text{ for any } 0 < \Theta < \bar{\Theta}.$$

Proof. The proof of (i) is similar to that of Lemma 2.4, we omit it. As we consider $H_0^2(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ as a subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ for every $r > 0$. In view of Lemma 4.3, there are λ_Θ and $u_\Theta \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$u_{r,\Theta} \rightharpoonup u_\Theta \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{r,\Theta} \rightarrow \lambda_\Theta.$$

Arguing by contradiction, we assume that $\lambda_{\Theta_n} \leq 0$ for some sequence $\Theta_n \rightarrow 0$. Let θ_r be the principal eigenvalue of Δ^2 with Dirichlet boundary condition in $\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and let $v_r > 0$ be the corresponding normalized eigenfunction. Testing (1.1) with v_r , it holds

$$(\theta_r + \lambda_{r,\Theta_n}) \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta_n} v_r dx dy + \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta_n} v_r dx dy \geq 0.$$

In view of $\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta_n} v_r dx dy > 0$ and $\theta_r = r^{-4}\theta_1$, there holds

$$\max_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} V + \lambda_{r,\Theta_n} + r^{-4}\theta_1 \geq 0.$$

Hence there exists $C > 0$ independent of n such that $|\lambda_{\Theta_n}| \leq C$ for any n .

Case 1 There is subsequence denoted still by $\{\Theta_n\}$ such that $u_{\Theta_n} = 0$. We first claim that there exists $d_n > 0$ for any n such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(z,1) \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta_n}^2 dx dy \geq d_n. \quad (4.11)$$

Otherwise, the concentration compactness principle implies for every n that

$$u_{r,\Theta_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for all } 2 < t < 4^*.$$

By the diagonal principle, (1.1) and $|\lambda_{r,\Theta_n}| \leq 2C$ for large r , there exists $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{r_n, \Theta_n}|^2 dx dy \leq C$$

for some C independent of n , contradicting (iii) in Lemma 4.1 for large n . As a consequence (4.11) holds, and there is $z_{r,\Theta_n} \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ with $|z_{r,\Theta_n}| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\int_{B(z_{r,\Theta_n},1)} u_{r,\Theta_n}^2 dx dy \geq \frac{d_n}{2}.$$

Moreover, $\text{dist}(z_{r,\Theta_n}, \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ by an argument similar to that in Lemma 2.6. Now, for n fixed let $v_r(x) = u_{r,\Theta_n}(x + z_{r,\Theta_n})$ for

$$x \in \Sigma^r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n : x + z_{r,\Theta_n} \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that there is $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ with $v \neq 0$ such that $v_r \rightharpoonup v$. Observe that for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ there is r large such that $\phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ due to $\text{dist}(z_{r,\Theta_n}, \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} \Delta u_{r,\Theta_n} \Delta \phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) dx dy + \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta_n} \phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_n}|^{q-2} u_{r,\Theta_n} \phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) dx dy + \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_n}|^{p-2} u_{r,\Theta_n} \phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) dx dy \\ & \quad - \lambda_{r,\Theta_n} \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{r,\Theta_n} \phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) dx dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Using $|z_{r,\Theta_n}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta_n} \phi(\cdot - z_{r,\Theta_n}) dx dy \right| &\leq \int_{\text{Supp} \phi} |V(\cdot + z_{r,\Theta_n}) v_r \phi| dx dy \\ &\leq \|v_r\|_{4^*} \|\phi\|_{4^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\frac{|z_{r,\Theta_n}|}{2}} \times \mathbb{T}^n} |V|^{\frac{d+n}{4}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{4}{d+n}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12), we get for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \Delta v \cdot \Delta \phi dx dy + \lambda_{\Theta_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} v \phi dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^{q-2} v \phi dx dy + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^{p-2} v \phi dx dy.$$

Therefore $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ is a weak solution of the equation

$$\Delta^2 v + \lambda_{\Theta_n} v = \mu |v|^{p-2} v + |v|^{q-2} v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta v|^2 dx dy + \lambda_{\Theta_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^2 dx dy = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^p dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^q dx dy.$$

The Pohozaev identity implies

$$\frac{d+n-4}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta v|^2 dx dy + \frac{\lambda_{\Theta_n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^2 dx dy = \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^p dx dy + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^q dx dy,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu(2(d+n) - p(d+n-4))}{2p(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^p dx dy + \frac{2(d+n) - q(d+n-4)}{2q(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^q dx dy \\ &= \frac{2\lambda_{\Theta_n}}{d+n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |v|^2 dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

We have $\lambda_{\Theta_n} > 0$ because of $2 < p < 2 + \frac{8}{d+n} < q < 4^*$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2 $u_{\Theta_n} \neq 0$ for n large. Note that u_{Θ_n} satisfies

$$\Delta^2 u_{\Theta_n} + V u_{\Theta_n} + \lambda_{\Theta_n} u_{\Theta_n} = \mu |u_{\Theta_n}|^{p-2} u_{\Theta_n} + |u_{\Theta_n}|^{q-2} u_{\Theta_n}. \quad (4.14)$$

If $v_{r,\Theta_n} := u_{r,\Theta_n} - u_{\Theta_n}$ satisfies

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \int_{B(z,1)} v_{r,\Theta_n}^2 dx dy = 0, \quad (4.15)$$

then the concentration compactness principle implies $u_{r,\Theta_n} \rightarrow u_{\Theta_n}$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ for any $2 < t < 4^*$. It then follows from (1.1) and (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{r,\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy + \Theta_n \lambda_{r,\Theta_n} \\ &= \mu \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_n}|^p dx dy + \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{r,\Theta_n}|^q dx dy - \int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{r,\Theta_n}^2 dx dy \\ &\rightarrow \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{\Theta_n}|^p dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{\Theta_n}|^q dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy + \lambda_{\Theta_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\lambda_{r,\Theta_n} \rightarrow \lambda_{\Theta_n}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we further have

$$\int_{\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{r,\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy + \Theta_n \lambda_{\Theta_n} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy + \lambda_{\Theta_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy \quad (4.16)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Using (4.16), (iii) in Lemma 4.1 and $|\lambda_{\Theta_n}| \leq C$ for large n , there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (4.14) and the Pohozaev identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d+n-4}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{V} u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} V u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{\Theta_n}|^q dx + \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u_{\Theta_n}|^p dx dy - \frac{\lambda_{\Theta}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

it holds that

$$0 \leq \frac{(2-q)\lambda_{\Theta_n}}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} u_{\Theta_n}^2 dx dy$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{(d+n-4)q-2(d+n)}{2q(d+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u_{\Theta_n}|^2 dx dy + \frac{\|\tilde{V}\|_\infty}{2(d+n)} \Theta_n + \frac{(q-2)\|V\|_\infty}{2q} \Theta_n \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (4.15) cannot occur. Consequently there exist $d_n > 0$ and $z_{r,\Theta_n} \in \Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n$ with $|z_{r,\Theta_n}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\int_{B(z_{r,\Theta_n},1)} v_{r,\Theta_n}^2 dx dy > d_n.$$

Then $\tilde{v}_{r,\Theta_n} := v_{r,\Theta_n}(\cdot + z_{r,\Theta_n}) \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}_{\Theta_n} \neq 0$, and \tilde{v}_{Θ_n} is a nonnegative solution of

$$\Delta^2 v + \lambda_{\Theta_n} v = \beta f(v)v + |v|^{q-2}v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n.$$

In fact, we have $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(z_{r,\Theta_n}, \partial(\Omega_r \times \mathbb{T}^n)) = \infty$ by the Liouville theorem on the half space. It follows from an argument similar to that of (4.13) that $\lambda_{\Theta_n} > 0$ for large n , which is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 The proof is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 2.5.

5 Orbital stability

In this section, we will study the orbital stability of the solution obtained in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. Firstly, we give the definition of orbital stability.

Definition 5.1. A set $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ is orbitally stable under the flow associated with the problem

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \Delta^2 \psi - V(x, y)\psi + \mu |\psi|^{p-2} \psi + |\psi|^{q-2} \psi = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ \psi(0, x, y) = u_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

if $\forall \theta > 0$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ satisfying $\text{dist}_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)}(u_0, \mathcal{M}) < \gamma$, the solution $\psi(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ of problem (5.1) with $\psi(0, x, y) = u_0$ satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \text{dist}_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)}(\psi(t, \cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{M}) < \theta.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Similar to (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} [|\Delta_{x,y} u|^2 + V(x, y)u^2] dx dy - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^q dx dy - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |u|^p dx dy \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{8}} \\ &\quad - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= Q_\Theta(\|\Delta u\|_2),$$

where $Q_\Theta(m) = \frac{1-\|V_-\|_{\frac{d+n}{4}} S^{-1}}{2} m^2 - \frac{C_{d,n,q} \Theta^{\frac{4q-(d+n)(q-2)}{8}}}{q} m^{\frac{(d+n)(q-2)}{4}} - \mu C_{d,n,p} \Theta^{\frac{4p-(d+n)(p-2)}{8}} m^{\frac{(d+n)(p-2)}{4}}$.

Define \mathcal{M} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &:= \{v \in S_\Theta : I'_{S_\Theta}(v) = 0, I(v) = e_{r,\Theta}\} \\ &= \{v \in S_\Theta : I'_{S_\Theta}(v) = 0, I(v) = e_{r,\Theta}, \|\Delta v\|_2 \leq R_1, Q_\Theta(R_1) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove the stability of the sets \mathcal{M} . Denoting by $\psi(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ the solution to (5.1) with initial data u_0 and denoting by $[0, T_{\max})$ the maximal existence interval for $\psi(t, \cdot, \cdot)$, we assume that $\psi(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is globally defined for positive times. Next we prove that \mathcal{M} is orbitally stable. We assume that the conclusion is invalid, Then there exist $(\psi_0^j) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ and $(t_j)_j \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)}(\psi_0^j, \mathcal{M}) = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)}(\psi^j(t_j), \mathcal{M}) > 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where ψ_j is the global solution of (5.1) with $\psi_j(0) = \psi_0^j$. By (5.2) we have

$$\|\psi_0^j\|_2^2 = \Theta + o_j(1), \quad I(\psi_0^j) = e_\Theta + o_j(1).$$

By conservation of mass and energy we infer that

$$\|\psi_j\|_2^2 = \Theta + o_j(1), \quad I(\psi_j) = e_\Theta + o_j(1).$$

By fundamental perturbation arguments, for $\tilde{\psi}_j := \sqrt{\Theta} \|\psi_j\|_2^{-1} \psi_j \in S_\Theta$ we have

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_j\|_2^2 = \Theta + o_j(1), \quad I(\tilde{\psi}_j) = e_\Theta + o_j(1).$$

This implies that the sequence $(\tilde{\psi}_j)_j$ is a minimizing sequence of e_Θ . From the proof of Theorem 1.2 we know that up to a subsequence, $\tilde{\psi}_j$ converges strongly to a minimizer u_Θ of e_Θ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)$, which contradicts (5.3). Hence \mathcal{M} is orbitally stable. \square

References

- [1] T. Bartsch, S. Qi, W. Zou, Normalized solutions to Schrödinger equations with potential and inhomogeneous nonlinearities on large convex domains, *Math. Ann.*, 2024, 1–47.
- [2] J. Borthwick, X. Chang, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, Bounded Palais-Smale sequences with Morse type information for some constrained functionals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 377(06)(2024), 4481–4517.
- [3] T. Cazenave, P. L. Lions, Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 85(4)(1982), 549–561.

- [4] X. Chang, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, Normalized solutions of L^2 -supercritical NLS equations on compact metric graphs, (2022), arXiv:2204.01043.
- [5] X. Cheng, Z. Guo, Z. Zhao, On scattering for the defocusing quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the two-dimensional cylinder, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52(2020), 4185–4237.
- [6] M. Esteban, P. L. Lions, Existence and nonexistence results for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A*, 93 (1982/83), 1–14.
- [7] H. Hajaiej, Y. Luo, L. Song, On Existence and Stability Results for Normalized Ground States of Mass-Subcritical Biharmonic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n$, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 56(4)(2024), 4415–4439.
- [8] Z. Hani, B. Pausader, On scattering for the quintic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 67(2014), 1466–1542.
- [9] A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, Global well-posedness of the energy-critical defocusing NLS on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 312(3)(2012), 781–831.
- [10] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer-type problem set on \mathbb{R}^N . *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 129(1999), 787–809.
- [11] R. Killip, J. Murphy, M. Visan, Scattering for the cubic-quintic NLS: Crossing the virial threshold, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(2021), 5803–5812.
- [12] Y. Luo, On long time behavior of the focusing energy-critical NLS on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ via semivirial vanishing geometry, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 177(4)(2023), 415–454.
- [13] N. Tzvetkov, N. Visciglia, Small data scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on product spaces, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 37(1)(2012), 125–135.
- [14] X. Yu, H. Yue, and Z. Zhao, Global well-posedness and scattering for fourth-order Schrödinger equations on waveguide manifolds, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 56(2024), 1427–1458.
- [15] Z. Zhao, On scattering for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on waveguide $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{T}$ (when $m = 2, 3$), *J. Differential Equations*, 275(2021), 598–637.
- [16] Z. Zhao and J. Zheng, Long time dynamics for defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations on three dimensional product space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(2021), 3644–3660,