

EXTRAVAGANCE, IRRATIONALITY AND DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION.

JON. AARONSON & HITOSHI NAKADA

ABSTRACT. For an invariant probability measure for the Gauss map, almost all numbers are Diophantine if the log of the partial quotient function is integrable. We show that with respect to a “Renyi measure” for the Gauss map with the log of the partial quotient function non-integrable, almost all numbers are Liouville. We also exhibit Gauss-invariant, ergodic measures with arbitrary irrationality exponent. The proofs are via the “extravagance” of positive, stationary, stochastic processes. In addition, we prove a Khinchin-type theorem for Diophantine approximation with respect to “weak Renyi measures”.

§1 INTRODUCTION

Stationary processes of partial quotients.

A *stochastic process* with values in a measurable space Z is a quadruple (Ω, m, τ, Φ) where (Ω, m, τ) is a non-singular transformation and $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow Z$ is measurable.

The stochastic process is *stationary* if (Ω, m, τ) is probability preserving transformation.

The stationary process (Ω, m, τ, Φ) is *ergodic* if (Ω, m, τ) is an ergodic probability preserving transformation.

This paper considers metric Diophantine approximation with respect to probabilities $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$, invariant under the *Gauss map* $G : \mathbb{I} := [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} \leftarrow$, defined by

$$G(x) := \left\{ \frac{1}{x} \right\} = \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x} \right].$$

As in [Khi64], metric, Diophantine properties with respect to μ are related to the asymptotic properties of the stationary process (\mathbb{I}, μ, G, a) where $a : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $a(x) := \left[\frac{1}{x} \right]$ is the *partial quotient function*.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11K50, 37A44, 60F20.

Key words and phrases. continued fractions, metric Diophantine approximation, irrationality exponent, stationary process, Renyi property, extravagance.

©2023-24.

Extravagance.

The *extravagance* of the non-negative sequence $(x_n : n \geq 1) \in [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is

$$e((x_n : n \geq 0)) := \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n+1}}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k} \in [0, \infty]$$

if $\exists n \geq 1, x_n > 0$; & $e(\overline{0}) := 0$.

The *extravagance* of the non-negative stationary process (Ω, m, τ, Φ) is the random variable $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau)$ on (Ω, m) defined by

$$\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau)(\omega) := e((\Phi(\tau^n \omega) : n \geq 0)).$$

Calculations show that $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) \circ \tau \geq \mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau)$ and the extravagance is a.s. constant if (Ω, m, τ) is ergodic.

It follows from the ergodic theorem that for a stationary process, $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty \Rightarrow \mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) = 0$ a.s..

We show (Theorem 4.3 on p.12) that if the non-negative stationary process (Ω, m, τ, Φ) has the **Renyi property** ($\overline{\mathfrak{R}}$ on p.12), then $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) = 0$ a.s. iff $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$ and otherwise $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) = \infty$ a.s..

On the other hand (Theorem 4.4 on p.16) for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there is a non-negative ergodic stationary process (Ω, m, τ, Φ) with $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) = r$ a.s..

Irrationality. Let $\mathbb{I} := [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be the irrationals in $(0, 1)$.

An irrational $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is called *badly approximable of order $s > 0$* (abbr. *s-BA*) if $\min_{0 \leq p \leq q} |x - \frac{p}{q}| \gg \frac{1}{q^s}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

By Legendre's theorem (see e.g. [Sch80, Theorem 5C]), for $x \in \mathbb{I}$, if $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gcd = 1$ and $|\frac{p}{q} - x| < \frac{1}{2q^2}$, then $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}$ (some $n \geq 1$) where $(\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} : n \geq 1)$ are the **convergents** of x (as on p.5).

It follows that $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is *s-BA* ($s \geq 2$) iff $|x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}| \gg \frac{1}{q_n(x)^s}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The *irrationality* (exponent) of $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is (as defined in [Bug12, Appendix E])

$$i(x) := \inf \{s > 0 : x \text{ is } s\text{-BA}\} \leq \infty.$$

By Dirichlet's theorem, $i \geq 2$ whence

$$i(x) := \inf \{s > 2 : |x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}| \gg \frac{1}{q_n(x)^s}\}.$$

An irrational $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is called

- *Diophantine* if $i(x) = 2$;
- *very well approximable* if $i(x) > 2$; and
- a *Liouville number* if $i(x) = \infty$.

It turns out that (Bugeaud's Lemma on page 10) for $x \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$\mathfrak{Q} \quad \mathfrak{i}(x) = 2 + \mathfrak{e}((\log \frac{1}{G^n(x)} : n \geq 0)).$$

and for G -invariant $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$:

$$\mathfrak{P} \quad \mathfrak{i} = 2 + \mathfrak{e}(\log a, \tau) \quad \mu - \text{a.s.};$$

whence if $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) < \infty$, then μ -a.s., $\mathfrak{e}(\log a, G) = 0$ and

$$\mathfrak{i} = 2 + \mathfrak{e}(\log a, G) = 2.$$

Renyi measures and Diophantine approximation.

A *Renyi measure* for the Gauss map is a probability $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ so for which the stochastic process (\mathbb{I}, μ, G, a) has the Renyi property (\mathfrak{R} on p.12), i.e. for some $M > 1$,

$$(\mathfrak{R}) \quad \mu(A \cap G^{-n}B) = M^{\pm 1} \mu(A)\mu(B) \quad \forall n \geq 1, A \in \mathcal{A}_n, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{I})$$

where for $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{A}_n := \sigma(\{a \circ G^k : 0 \leq k < n\})$.

It is shown in [Ren57, Adl73] that Lebesgue measure $m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ is a Renyi measure for the Gauss map.

A *weak Renyi measure* for the Gauss map is a G -invariant probability $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ so that $(\mathbb{I}, \mu, G, \gamma)$ is a weak Renyi map as on p.5 (satisfying \mathfrak{R}).

We show in Corollary 4.6 on p.19 that

- for a Renyi measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ for the Gauss map: μ -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is Diophantine if $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) < \infty$ and μ -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is Liouville if $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) = \infty$;
- for each $r \geq 2$, $\exists p_r \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ G -invariant, ergodic so that $\mathfrak{i}(x) = r$ for p_r -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$.

In §3 we establish a Khinchin type result for weak Renyi measures for the Gauss map (Theorem 3.2 on p.7):

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ be a weak Renyi measure for the Gauss map so that $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) < \infty$ and let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that $nf(n) \downarrow 0$ as $n \uparrow \infty$, then

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0} |x - \frac{p}{q}| \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\gg} \frac{f(q)}{q} \iff \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, nf(n)))}{n} < \infty,$$

with $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) < \infty$ only needed for \Rightarrow .

§2 CONTINUED FRACTIONS AND RENYI MEASURES

The Gauss map $G : \mathbb{I} \leftrightarrow$ is piecewise invertible with inverse branches $\gamma_{[k]} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow [k] := [a = k] = (\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}]$, $\gamma_{[k]}(y) = \frac{1}{y+k}$.

Similarly, for each $n \geq 1$, the inverse branches of $G^n : \mathbb{I} \leftrightarrow$ are $\gamma_A : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow A$ where

$$A \in \alpha_n := \{[a \circ G^k = a_k \ \forall 0 \leq k < n] : (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$$

of form $\gamma_A := \gamma_{[a_0]} \circ \gamma_{[a_1]} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{[a_{n-1}]}$ ($A = [a \circ G^k = a_k \ \forall 0 \leq k < n]$).

Writing, for $x \in \mathbb{I}$ & $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \alpha_n(x) \in \alpha_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \gamma_{\alpha_n(x)}(G^n x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|a(x)|} + \frac{1}{|a(Gx)|} + \dots + \frac{1}{|a(G^{n-1}x)|} + G^n x \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|a(x)|} + \frac{1}{|a(Gx)|} + \dots + \frac{1}{|a(G^{n-1}x)|} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

which latter is known as the *continued fraction expansion* of $x \in \mathbb{I}$.

The inverse to the continued fraction expansion is $\mathfrak{b} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ defined by

$$\blacktriangle \quad \mathfrak{b}(a_1, a_2, \dots) := \frac{1}{|a_1|} + \frac{1}{|a_2|} + \dots + \frac{1}{|a_n|} + \dots$$

It is a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{b} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ conjugating the Gauss map with the shift $S : X := \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \leftrightarrow$, $\mathfrak{b} \circ S = G \circ \mathfrak{b}$.

Distortion.

Calculation shows that $(\mathbb{I}, m, G^2, \alpha_2)$ is an Adler map, as in [Adl73] satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(U)} \quad & G^{2'} \geq 4; \\ \text{(A)} \quad & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{I}} \frac{|G^{2''}(x)|}{G^{2'}(x)^2} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_A''(x)}{\gamma_A'(x)} \right| \leq 4 \ \forall n \geq 1, A \in \alpha_n, x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

whence

$$\text{(\Delta)} \quad |\gamma_A'(x)| = e^{\pm 4} m(A) \ \forall n \geq 1, A \in \alpha_n, x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

In particular, m is a Renyi measure for G (satisfying \mathfrak{R} on p. 3).

More generally:

Renyi maps and processes.

A *fibred system* (X, m, T, α) is a nonsingular transformation T of a standard probability space (X, m) , equipped with a countable (or finite), measurable partition α which generates $\mathcal{B}(X)$ under T in the sense that $\sigma(\{T^{-n}\alpha : n \geq 0\}) = \mathcal{B}$ and which satisfies $T : a \rightarrow Ta$ invertible and nonsingular for $a \in \alpha$.

A fibred system (X, m, T, α) can also be viewed as a stochastic process (X, m, T, Φ) with $\Phi : X \rightarrow \alpha$, $x \in \Phi(x) \in \alpha$.

Call a fibred system (X, m, T, α) a *Renyi map* if $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}$ on p. 12 holds.

As shown in [Ren57] a Renyi map always has an a.c.i.p. with bounded log-density and is *exact* in the sense that

$$\mathcal{T}(T) := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} T^{-n}\mathcal{B}(X) \stackrel{m}{=} \{\emptyset, X\}.$$

If the fibred system (X, m, T, α) is a Renyi map and $\mu \sim m$ is the a.c.i.p., then, since $\log \frac{d\mu}{dm} \in L^\infty$, (X, μ, T, α) is a (probability preserving) Renyi map.

We call a probability preserving fibred system (X, m, T, α) a *weak Renyi map* if for some $M > 1$,

$$(\underline{\mathcal{R}}) \quad m(A \cap T^{-n}B) \leq Mm(A)m(B) \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\alpha_n), B \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

For example, a mixing, probability preserving, Gibbs-Markov map (X, m, T, α) (as in [AD01]) is a weak Renyi map and a Renyi map iff $Ta = X \quad \forall a \in \alpha$.

Convergents and denominators.

The rest of this section is a collection of facts (from [Khi64] and [Bil65, §4]) which we'll need in the sequel.

Define the *convergents* $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ ($p_n, q_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\gcd(p_n, q_n) = 1$) of $x \in \mathbb{I}$ by

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} := \frac{1}{|a(x)|} + \frac{1}{|a(Gx)|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|a(G^{n-1}x)|}.$$

- The *principal denominators* of x $q_n(x)$ are given by

$$q_0 = 1, \quad q_1(x) = a(x), \quad q_{n+1}(x) = a(G^n x)q_n(x) + q_{n-1}(x);$$

- the numerators $p_n(x)$ are given by

$$p_0 = 0, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad p_{n+1} = a(G^n x)p_n + p_{n-1}.$$

It follows (inductively) that

$$\text{🚗} \quad q_n \geq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad p_n(x) = q_{n-1}(Gx) \geq 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \& \quad |x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| < \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^n}.$$

Moreover:

2.1 Denominator lemma [Bil65, §4], [Khi64]

$$\spadesuit \quad \left| \log q_n(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \frac{1}{G^k(x)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}-1} \quad \forall n \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

It follows from Birkhoff's theorem & \spadesuit that if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ is G -invariant, ergodic, then

$$\spadesuit \quad \frac{\log q_n}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \log \frac{1}{x} d\mu(x) =: \frac{\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a)}{2} \leq \infty \quad \mu - \text{a.s.} \quad .$$

Also:

2.2 Proposition [Bil65, §4], [Khi64, Th. 9 & 13]

$$\times \quad \left| x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} \right| = 2^{\pm 1} \frac{G^n(x)}{q_n(x)^2} \quad \forall n \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

2.3 Corollary

$$\heartsuit \quad m(\alpha_n(x)) = (2M)^{\pm 1} \frac{1}{q_n(x)^2} \quad \forall n \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} \right| &= |\gamma_{\alpha_n}(G^n(x)) - \gamma_{\alpha_n(x)}(0)| \\ &= G^n(x) |\gamma'_{\alpha_n(x)}(\theta_n G_n(x))| \text{ by Lagrange's theorem where } \theta_n(x) \in [0, 1] \\ &= M^{\pm 1} G^n(x) m(\alpha_n(x)) \text{ by } \heartsuit \end{aligned}$$

and \heartsuit follows from \times . \square

§3 RENYI PROCESSES OF PARTIAL QUOTIENTS

3.1 Borel-Cantelli Lemma for weak Renyi maps

Suppose that $(\mathbb{I}, m, T, \alpha)$ is a weak Renyi map. and let $A_n \in \sigma(\alpha)$ ($n \geq 1$).

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(A_k) = \infty$, then $m(\overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{-n} A_n}) = 1$.

Proof

By \heartsuit on p.5 $\exists C > 1$ such that

$$m(T^{-k} A_k \cap T^{-n} A_n) \leq C m(T^{-k} A_k) m(T^{-n} A_n) \quad \forall n \neq k.$$

Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(A_k) = \infty$ and let

$$A_{\infty} := \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_k} \circ T^k = \infty \right] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{-n} A_n.$$

By the Erdos-Renyi Borel-Cantelli lemma ([ER59] &/or [Ren70, p.391]) $m(A_{\infty}) \geq \frac{1}{C} > 0$. In addition, $A_{\infty} \in \mathcal{T}(T)$ and $m(A_{\infty}) = 1$ by exactness. \square

3.2 Generalized Khinchine Theorem

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ be a weak Renyi measure for G and let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that $nf(n) \downarrow 0$ as $n \uparrow \infty$.

(i) If $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, nf(n)))}{n} < \infty$, then for μ - a.s. $x \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\# \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} : \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{f(q)}{Mq} \right\} < \infty$$

where $M := 2e^8$.

(ii) If $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\log a) := 2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} \log \frac{1}{x} d\mu(x) < \infty$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, nf(n)))}{n} = \infty$, then for μ - a.s. $x \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\# \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} : \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{f(q)}{q} \right\} = \infty.$$

3.3 Remark For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $nf(n) \downarrow 0$ as $n \uparrow \infty$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\log g \circ a) < \infty \text{ with } g^{-1}(n) := \frac{1}{nf(n)} \text{ iff } \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, nf(n)))}{n} < \infty.$$

In particular,

$$\textcircled{\bullet} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\log a) < \infty \iff \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, \frac{1}{n^s}))}{n} < \infty \text{ for some (all) } s > 0.$$

Proof of $\textcircled{\bullet}$ We have for $\kappa > 1$, that $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\log a) < \infty$ iff

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu([\log g \circ a > n \log \kappa]) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu([g \circ a > \kappa^n]) \\ &\asymp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu([g \circ a > n])}{n} \text{ by condensation,} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu([a > g^{-1}(n)])}{n} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, \frac{1}{g^{-1}(n)})}{n} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu((0, nf(n)))}{n}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (i)

By \times on p.6, we have that

$$\left|x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}\right| \geq \frac{G^n(x)}{Mq_n(x)^2} \quad \forall n \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Fix $1 < \kappa < e^{\mathbb{E}\mu(\log a)}$, then by condensation,

$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu([0, \kappa^n f(\kappa^n)]) < \infty$ and for μ -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$, $\exists N(x)$ so that

$$G^n(x) \geq \kappa^n f(\kappa^n) \quad \forall n \geq N(x).$$

Moreover, by \times on p.6, we can ensure that for μ -a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$, $\exists N_1(x) > N(x)$ so that in addition, $\forall n > N_1(x)$:

$$q_n(x) \geq \kappa^n \text{ \& hence also } \kappa^n f(\kappa^n) \geq q_n(x)f(q_n(x)).$$

Thus, for μ -a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$, $n \geq N_1(x)$,

$$\spadesuit \quad \left|x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}\right| \geq \frac{G^n(x)}{Mq_n(x)^2} \geq \frac{\kappa^n f(\kappa^n)}{Mq_n(x)^2} \geq \frac{q_n(x)f(q_n(x))}{Mq_n(x)^2} = \frac{f(q_n(x))}{Mq_n(x)}.$$

Lastly, if $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{f(q)}{Mq}$ and q is large enough so that $\frac{f(q)}{Mq} < \frac{1}{2q^2}$, then by Legendre's theorem (see e.g. [Sch80, Theorem 5C]), $q = q_n(x)$ (some $n \geq 1$) and \spadesuit applies contradicting $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{f(q)}{Mq}$. \sphericalangle (i)

Proof of (ii)

We'll prove that under the assumptions, that for μ -a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$\#\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \left|x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}\right| < \frac{f(q_n(x))}{q_n(x)}\right\} = \infty.$$

To this end, let $\kappa > e^{\mathbb{E}\mu(\log a)}$. By condensation, $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu([a > \frac{1}{\kappa^n f(\kappa^n)}]) = \infty$.

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma 3.1, for μ - a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{I} : \#\{n \geq 1 : a(G^n x) > \frac{1}{\kappa^n f(\kappa^n)}\} = \infty\}) = \mu(\overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^{-n}[a > \frac{1}{\kappa^n f(\kappa^n)}]}) = 1.$$

For μ -a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$, by \times on p.6, $\#\{n \geq 1 : q_n(x) \geq \kappa^n\} < \infty$ whence $\#K(x) = \infty$ where

$$K(x) := \{n \geq 1 : q_n(x) < \kappa^n \text{ \& } a(G^n x) > \frac{1}{\kappa^n f(\kappa^n)}\}.$$

For $n \in K(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}\right| &< \frac{1}{q_n(x)q_{n+1}(x)} < \frac{1}{a(G^n x)q_n(x)^2} < \frac{\kappa^n f(\kappa^n)}{q_n(x)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{q_n(x)f(q_n(x))}{q_n(x)^2} \quad \because kf(k) \downarrow \text{ \& } q_n(x) < \kappa^n \\ &= \frac{f(q_n(x))}{q_n(x)}. \quad \sphericalangle \text{ (ii)} \end{aligned}$$

Ahlfors-regular Gauss-invariant measure measures.

Consider the full shift $(X_K := K^{\mathbb{N}}, S)$ where $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ is infinite and $S : K^{\mathbb{N}} \leftrightarrow$ is the shift. Let $Y_K := \mathfrak{b}(X_K) \subset \mathbb{I}$ where $\mathfrak{b} : X_K \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}(X_K) \subset \mathbb{I}$ is as in \blacktriangle on p. 4.

By [FSU14, Theorem 7.1], for each $h \in (0, 1]$, $\exists K = K(h) \subset \mathbb{N}$ infinite so that the Hausdorff dimension of Y_K is h ; and so that $\mu_{K(h)} \in \mathcal{P}(Y_{K(h)})$, the restriction of the Hausdorff measure with gauge function $t \mapsto t^h$ to $Y_{K(h)}$ is h -Ahlfors-regular in the sense that $\exists c > 1$ so that

$$\blacklozenge \quad \mu_K((x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)) = c^{\pm 1} \varepsilon^h \quad \forall x \in \text{Spt } \mu_K, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small.}$$

3.4 Corollary ([FSU14, Theorem 6.1]) *Let $h \in (0, 1]$ & $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ be infinite and let $\mu_K \in \mathcal{P}(Y_K)$ satisfy \blacklozenge , then $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_K}(\log a) < \infty$ and for $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $nf(n) \downarrow$,*

$$\blacklozenge \quad \min \left\{ \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| : p \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\gg} \frac{f(q)}{q} \text{ for } \mu_K\text{-a.s. } x \in \mathbb{I} \text{ iff } \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{f(n)^s}{n^{1-s}} < \infty.$$

Proof Since

$$GY_K = G \circ \mathfrak{b}(X_K) = \mathfrak{b} \circ S(X_K) = \mathfrak{b}(X_K) = Y_K,$$

it follows from \blacklozenge via Besicovitch's differentiation theorem¹ that for $n \geq 1$, $\mu_K \circ G^n \ll \mu_K$ with

$$\blacklozenge \quad \frac{d\mu_K \circ G^n}{d\mu_K} = c_K^{\pm 1} (|G^{n'}|)^h \quad \mu_K\text{-a.s.}$$

For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\beta_n := \{A \in \alpha_n : \mu_K(A) > 0\},$$

then for $A \in \beta_n$, μ_K -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu_K \circ \gamma_A}{d\mu_K} &= \left(\frac{d\mu_K \circ G^n}{d\mu_K} \right)^{-1} \\ &= c^{\pm 1} |G^{n'} \circ \gamma_A|^{-h} \\ &= c^{\pm 1} |\gamma'_A|^h \\ &= M^{\pm 1} m(A)^h \text{ by } \Delta \text{ on p.4.} \end{aligned}$$

where $M = ce^{4h}$.

Moreover

$$\mu_K(A) = \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{d\mu_K \circ \gamma_A}{d\mu_K} d\mu_K = M^{\pm 1} m(A)^h$$

with the conclusion that

$$\frac{d\mu_K \circ \gamma_A}{d\mu_K} = M^{\pm 2} \mu_K(A).$$

¹see e.g. [Mat95, Chapter 2]

Thus (Y_K, μ_K, S, α) is a Renyi map and by [Ren57] $\exists P_K \in \mathcal{P}(Y_K)$, $P_K \sim \mu_K$ so that $P_K \circ G^{-1} = P_K$ and so that $\log \frac{dP_K}{d\mu_K} \in L^\infty(\mu_K)$.

Thus P_K is a G -invariant Renyi measure for G satisfying Theorem 3.2 (p. 7).

Since K is infinite, $0 \in \text{Spt } \mu_K$ and by \mathfrak{F} , $\mu_K((0, y)) = c_K^{\pm 1} y^h \forall y > 0$ small. By \mathfrak{O} on p.7, $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_K}(\log a) < \infty$, and \mathfrak{L} follows from Theorem 3.2. \square

§4 EXTRAVAGANCE

We begin with a proof of

4.1 Bugeaud's Lemma

(a) For $x \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$\mathfrak{Q} \quad \mathfrak{i}(x) = 2 + \mathfrak{e}((\log \frac{1}{G^n x} : n \geq 0)).$$

(b) For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ G -invariant,

$$\mathfrak{P} \quad \mathfrak{i} = 2 + \mathfrak{e}(\log a, \tau) \quad \mu - a.s..$$

Statement (a) of this lemma is a version of [Bug12, Exercise E1].

Proof of (a)

Write $\tilde{a}(x) := \frac{1}{x}$ and

$$M_n(x) := \frac{\log \tilde{a}(G^n x)}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \tilde{a}(G^k x)},$$

then $\mathfrak{e}((\log \tilde{a}(G^n x) : n \geq 0)) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(x) =: M(x)$.

We claim that $M(x) = \mathfrak{i}(x) - 2$.

If $x \in \mathbb{I}$, $a(G^n x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 1$, then $G^n x \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, $\mathfrak{e}((\log \tilde{a}(G^n(x)) : n \geq 1)) = 0$ and x is quadratic entailing $\mathfrak{i}(x) = 2$, establishing (a).

Otherwise, $\#\{n \geq 1 : a(G^n x) \geq 2\} = \infty$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1} \log \tilde{a}(G^n(x)) = \infty$.

By \times on p.6 , for $n \geq \nu$ & $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q_n(x)^{2+\gamma} |x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)}| &\asymp \frac{q_n(x)^{1+\gamma}}{q_{n+1}(x)} \asymp \frac{q_n(x)^\gamma}{\tilde{a}(G^n x)} \\
&\asymp \exp[-(\log \tilde{a}(G^n x) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \tilde{a}(G^k x))] \text{ by } \spadesuit \text{ on p.6} \\
&= \exp[(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \tilde{a}(G^k x))(\gamma - M_n(x))] \\
&\begin{cases} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty & \text{if } \gamma > M(x) \\ \rightarrow 0 & \text{along a subsequence if } \gamma < M(x). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, as on p.2, we see that $i(x) = M(x) + 2$. \square (a)

Proof of (b) By \clubsuit , $i = 2 + \epsilon(\log \tilde{a}, G)$ μ -a.s. and \spadesuit follows from Proposition 4.2 (below) since $|\log \tilde{a} - \log a| \leq 1$ on \mathbb{I} . \square

4.2 Proposition

Let (Ω, m, τ, Φ) be a stationary process. Suppose that $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{E}(f) < \infty$, then m -a.s.:

$$\epsilon(\Phi + f, \tau) = \epsilon(\Phi, \tau).$$

Proof WLOG, τ is ergodic.

If $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$, then $\mathbb{E}(\Phi + f) < \infty$ and

$$\epsilon(\Phi + f, \tau) = \epsilon(\Phi, \tau) = 0.$$

Now suppose that $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) = \infty$.

It suffices to show that for each $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\epsilon(\Phi) > r \iff \epsilon(\Phi + f) > r; \text{ and}$$

Proof of \implies : For $r' < r$, suppose $\epsilon(\Phi) > r'$, then for a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{f_n(\omega)}{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(f), \quad \frac{\Phi_n(\omega)}{n} \rightarrow \infty$$

and $\exists K = K(\omega) \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\#K = \infty$ so that $\Phi(\tau^n \Omega) > r' \Phi_n(\omega) \forall n \in K$.

For such ω , it follows that for $n \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi + f)(\tau^n \omega) &\geq r' \Phi_n(\omega) + f(\tau^n \omega) \\
&\geq r(\Phi + f)_n(\omega) - r' f_n(\omega) \\
&\sim r'(\Phi + f)_n(\omega) \\
&\because f_n(\omega) = O(n) = o(\Phi_n(\omega)).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves \implies . The proof of \impliedby is analogous. \square

Extravagance of Renyi processes.

The stochastic process (Ω, m, τ, Φ) has the *Renyi property* if

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \quad & \exists M > 1 \text{ s.t. } m(A \cap B) = M^{\pm 1} m(A)m(B) \\ & \forall A \in \sigma(\{\Phi \circ \tau^k : k \leq 0\}), B \in \sigma(\{\Phi \circ \tau^k : k \geq 1\}). \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Theorem

If the non-negative stochastic process (Ω, m, τ, Φ) has the *Renyi property*, then

$$\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{a.s. if } \mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty \quad \& \\ \infty & \text{a.s. if } \mathbb{E}(\Phi) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

For related results in the independent case, see [CZ86, Rau00].

Proof that $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) < \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$

WLOG, $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n) = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ where $\alpha_n := \sigma(\{\Phi \circ \tau^k : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\})$.

Assume $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) < \infty$ a.s..

¶1 We show first that $\exists \gamma > 0$ so that

$$\mathfrak{S} \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu([\Phi \circ \tau^n > \gamma \Phi_n]) < \infty.$$

Proof of \mathfrak{S}

For $\delta > 0$ set $A_n(\delta) := [\Phi \circ \tau^n > \delta \Phi_n] \in \sigma(\alpha_{n+1})$, then for $n, k \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(\delta) \cap A_{n+k}(\delta) &= [\Phi \circ \tau^n > \delta \Phi_n \ \& \ \Phi \circ \tau^{n+k} > \delta \Phi_{n+k}] \\ &\subseteq [\Phi \circ \tau^n > \delta \Phi_n \ \& \ \Phi \circ \tau^{n+k} > \delta \Phi_{k-1} \circ \tau^{n+1}] \\ &= A_n(\delta) \cap \tau^{-(n+1)} A_{k-1}(\delta) \end{aligned}$$

whence by $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}$ on p.12,

$$\mu(A_n(\delta) \cap A_{n+k}(\delta)) = M^{\pm 1} \mu(A_n(\delta)) \mu(A_{k-1}(\delta)).$$

Thus, with $\Phi_n := \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{A_k}$,

$$\mathfrak{C} \quad \mathbb{E}((\Phi_n)^2) \leq 3\mathbb{E}(\Phi_n) + 2M\mathbb{E}(\Phi_n)^2.$$

Fix $\eta > \mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau)$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} 1_{A_n(\eta)} < \infty$ a.s. whence by \mathfrak{C} and the Erdos-Renyi Borel-Cantelli lemma ([ER59] &/or [Ren70, p.391])

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n(\eta)) < \infty. \quad \square \ \mathfrak{S}$$

¶2 Next we show that

$$\spadesuit \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu([\Phi \circ \tau^n \geq \eta \Phi_n]) < \infty \quad \forall \eta > 0.$$

Proof In view of \mathfrak{B} , it suffices to show that for $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n(\delta)) < \infty \implies \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n(\frac{\delta}{2})) < \infty.$$

To see this note that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n}(\frac{\delta}{2}) &= [\Phi \circ \tau^{2n} > \frac{\delta}{2}(\Phi_n + \Phi_n \circ \tau^n)] \subseteq [\Phi \circ \tau^{2n} > \delta \Phi_n] \cup \tau^{-n} A_n(\delta) \text{ \&} \\ A_{2n+1}(\frac{\delta}{2}) &= [\Phi \circ \tau^{2n+1} > \frac{\delta}{2}(\Phi_n + \Phi_{n+1} \circ \tau^n)] \subseteq [\Phi \circ \tau^{2n+1} > \delta \Phi_n] \cup \tau^{-(n+1)} A_{n+1}(\delta) \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A_{2n}(\frac{\delta}{2})) &\leq \mu([\Phi \circ \tau^{2n} > \delta \Phi_n]) + \mu(A_n(\delta)) \\ \&\ \mu(A_{2n+1}(\frac{\delta}{2})) &\leq \mu([\Phi \circ \tau^{2n+1} > \delta \Phi_n]) + \mu(A_{n+1}(\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \mu([\Phi \circ \tau^{2n} > \delta \Phi_n]) &= \sum_{\ell \geq n} \mu([\Phi_n = \ell] \cap \tau^{-2n}[\varphi > \delta \ell]) \\ &= M^{\pm 1} \sum_{\ell \geq n} \mu([\Phi_n = \ell]) \mu([\varphi > \delta \ell]) \text{ by } \overline{\mathfrak{R}} \\ &= M^{\pm 2} \sum_{\ell \geq n} \mu([\Phi_n = \ell] \cap \tau^{-n}[\varphi > \delta \ell]) \text{ by } \overline{\mathfrak{R}} \\ &= M^{\pm 2} \mu(A_n(\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\mu([\Phi \circ \tau^{2n+1} > \delta \Phi_n]) = M^{\pm 2} \mu(A_n(\delta))$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n(\frac{\delta}{2})) \leq 2(M^2 + 1) \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n(\delta)). \quad \square \spadesuit$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{e}(\Phi, \tau) = 0$.

¶3 We complete the proof by showing that $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$.

Let $(X, m, T) = (\Omega, \mu, \tau)^\Phi$ be the Kakutani skyscraper with height function Φ as in [Aar97, §1.5].

We claim that the transfer operator $\widehat{T}: L^1(m) \leftarrow$ satisfies

$$\spadesuit \quad \widehat{T}^n 1_\Omega = M^{\pm 1} m(\Omega \cap T^{-n} \Omega) \text{ on } \Omega \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Proof of ♣ Since $\sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n) = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \widehat{T}^n 1_\Omega dm &= m(\Omega \cap T^{-n} B) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mu([\Phi_k = n] \cap \tau^{-k} B) \\ &= M^{\pm 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu([\Phi_k = n]) \mu(B) \text{ by } \mathfrak{R} \\ &= M^{\pm 1} m(\Omega \cap T^{-n} \Omega) m(B). \quad \square \clubsuit \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus \quad s_n &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{T}^k 1_\Omega = M^{\pm 1} a(n) \text{ on } \Omega \text{ where} \\ a(n) &= \int_\Omega s_n dm = \sum_{k=1}^n m(\Omega \cap T^{-k} \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

¶4 We claim next that

$$\clubsuit \quad \mathbb{E}(a(\Phi)) < \infty.$$

Proof By ♠,

$$\begin{aligned} \spadesuit \quad \infty > C &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} m([\Phi \circ \tau^n > \Phi_n]) = \sum_{k \geq n \geq 1} m([\Phi_n = k] \cap \tau^{-n} [\Phi \geq k]) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(\Omega \cap T^{-k} [\Phi \geq k]) = \int_\Omega \sum_{k \geq 1} 1_{[\Phi \geq k]} \widehat{T}^k 1_\Omega dm. \end{aligned}$$

On Ω , we have $\forall N \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N 1_{[\Phi \geq k]} \widehat{T}^k 1_\Omega &= \sum_{k=1}^N 1_{[\Phi \geq k]} (s_k - s_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N 1_{[\Phi \geq k]} s_k - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 1_{[\Phi \geq k+1]} s_k \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 1_{[\Phi = k]} s_k \\ &\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1_{[\Phi = k]} s_k \\ &= M^{\pm 1} a(\Phi) \text{ by } \oplus \end{aligned}$$

whence, using ♠,

$$C > \int_\Omega \sum_{k \geq 1} 1_{[\Phi \geq k]} \widehat{T}^k 1_\Omega dm \geq \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E}(a(\Phi)). \quad \square \clubsuit$$

Finally, we show that $\mathbb{E}(a(\Phi)) < \infty \implies \mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$.

To this end, suppose otherwise, that $\mathbb{E}(a(\Phi)) < \infty$ & $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) = \infty$.

We have, as above that $\frac{1}{a(n)} \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_{\Omega} \circ T^k) dm = 1 \ \forall n \geq 1$.

On the other hand (see ¶5 below), $a(x) \asymp \bar{a}(x)$ with $\frac{\bar{a}(x)}{x} \downarrow 0$ as $x \uparrow \infty$ so by [Aar81] (also [Aar97, Chapter 2]),

$$\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_{\Omega} \circ T^k \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

whence by Fatou's lemma

$$1 = \frac{1}{a(n)} \int_{\Omega} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_{\Omega} \circ T^k) dm \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty. \quad \boxtimes$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$. \square

It remains to prove

¶5 $a(n) \asymp \bar{a}(n) := \frac{n}{L(n)}$ with $L(n) := \mathbb{E}(\Phi \wedge n)$.

Proof

Let $(X, m, T) = (\Omega, \mu, \tau)^{\Phi}$ be the Kakutani skyscraper.

Set

$$u(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \widehat{T}^n 1_{\Omega} \ \& \ c(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n 1_{[\Phi \geq n+1]} = \frac{1-x^{\Phi}}{1-x}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Omega \cap T^{-n}\Omega) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m(A \cap T^{-k}[\varphi = n-k]) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^m \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot 1_{[\varphi = n-k]} dm; \ \& \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dm &= m(\Omega) + \sum_{n \geq 1} x^n \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot 1_{[\varphi = n-k]} dm \\ &= m(\Omega) + \int_{\Omega} u(x) x^{\Phi} dm \ \& \ \text{for } x \in (0, 1): \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{m(\Omega)}{1-x} = \int_{\Omega} u(x) \frac{1-x^{\Phi}}{1-x} dm = \int_{\Omega} u(x) c(x) dm \ \& \ \therefore$$

$$\square \quad m(\Omega) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot 1_{[\varphi \geq n-k+1]} dm.$$

Summing this,

$$\begin{aligned}
(N+1) &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n \leq N} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot 1_{[\varphi \geq n-k+1]} dm \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot \sum_{k \leq n \leq N} 1_{[\varphi \geq n-k+1]} dm \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot (\Phi \wedge n - k + 1) dm
\end{aligned}$$

Next, writing $a \stackrel{M}{=} b$ (for $a, b > 0$, $M > 1$) if $a = M^{\pm 1}b$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a(N)L(N) &\stackrel{M}{=} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot (\Phi \wedge N) dm \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot (\Phi \wedge N - k) dm + \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot (\Phi \wedge N - \varphi \wedge (N - k)) dm \\
&=: I_N + II_N.
\end{aligned}$$

By the above, $I_N = N + 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
II_N &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot (\Phi \wedge N - \varphi \wedge (N - k)) dm \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot \sum_{N-k \leq n \leq N} 1_{[\varphi \geq n]} dm \\
&\leq N \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{T}^k 1_{\Omega} \cdot 1_{[\varphi \geq N-k]} dm \\
&= N \text{ by } \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $a(N)L(N) \asymp N$. \square ¶5 & Theorem 4.3 .

Next, we obtain ergodic stationary processes with arbitrary extravagance.

4.4 Theorem

For each $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, \exists an \mathbb{R}_+ -valued ergodic stationary process $(\Omega, \mu, \tau, \Phi)$ so that

$$\mathbf{e}(\Phi, \tau) = r \text{ a.s.}$$

4.5 Main Lemma Suppose that $a > 1$ & (Y, p, σ, ϕ) is a ergodic stationary process so that

- (i) $\mathbb{E}(\phi) < \infty$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{e}(\sqrt{a}^{\phi}, \sigma) = \infty$ a.s..

Let $(\Omega, \mu, \tau) := (Y, \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(\phi)} \cdot p, \sigma)^\phi$ and define $\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\Psi(y, n) := a^{n \wedge (\phi(y) - n)}, \quad (y, n) \in \Omega = \{(x, \nu) : x \in Y, 0 \leq \nu < \phi(x)\},$$

then $\mathfrak{e}(\Psi, \tau) = a - 1$ a.s..

Proof For $y \in Y$, let

$$B(y) := ((\Psi(\tau^m(y, 0)) : 0 \leq n < \phi(y)),$$

then

$$B(y) = (1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{\lfloor \phi(y)/2 \rfloor}, a^{\lfloor \phi(y)/2 \rfloor - 1}, \dots, a)$$

whence $\Psi \circ \tau = a^{\pm 1} \Psi$ and

$$\clubsuit \quad \tilde{\Psi}(y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\phi(y)-1} \Psi(\tau^j(y, 0)) = \frac{a+1}{a-1} \cdot (a^{\lfloor \phi(y)/2 \rfloor} - 1).$$

Moreover, for fixed $y \in Y$,

$$\Psi_{\phi_K}^{(\tau)}(y, 0) = \tilde{\Psi}_K^{(\sigma)}(y).$$

Next, for a.e. $y \in Y$, each $n \geq 0$ has the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \spadesuit \quad n &= \phi_{K_n(y)}^{(\tau)}(y) + r_n(y) \text{ where} \\ K_n(y) &:= \sum_{j=1}^n 1_Y \circ \tau(y, 0) = \#\{k \geq 1 : \phi_k \leq n\} \\ &\& 0 \leq r_n(y) < \phi(\sigma^{K_n}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n^{(\tau)}(y, 0) &= \Psi_{\phi_{K_n}}^{(\tau)}(y, 0) + \Psi_{r_n}^{(\tau)}(\sigma^{K_n}y, 0) \\ &= \tilde{\Psi}_{K_n}^{(\sigma)}(y) + \Psi_{r_n}^{(\tau)}(\sigma^{K_n}(y, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\blacksquare \quad M_n(\Psi, \tau)(y, 0) = \frac{\Psi(\tau^n(y, 0))}{\Psi_n^{(\tau)}(y, 0)} = \frac{a^{r_n \wedge (\Psi(\sigma^{K_n}y) - r_n)}}{\tilde{\Psi}_{K_n}^{(\sigma)}(y) + \Psi_{r_n}^{(\tau)}(\sigma^{K_n}y, 0)}.$$

By ergodicity, it suffices to show that $\overline{M} := \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = a - 1$ a.s. on Y .

Proof that $\overline{M} \geq a - 1$

By ii and \clubsuit , $\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Psi}, \sigma) = \infty$ a.s. on Y .

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $J \geq 1$ & $y \in Y$ s.t. $\mathfrak{e}(\tilde{\Psi}, \sigma)(y) = \infty$, $\exists N > J$ so that

$$a^{\lfloor \phi(\sigma^N y)/2 \rfloor} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tilde{\Psi}_N^{(\sigma)}(y).$$

Let $n := \phi_N(y) + \lfloor \phi(\sigma^N y)/2 \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(\Psi, \tau)(y, 0) &= \frac{a^{\lfloor \phi(\sigma^N y)/2 \rfloor}}{\widetilde{\Psi}_N^{(\sigma)}(y) + \Psi_{\lfloor \phi(\sigma^N y)/2 \rfloor}^{(\tau)}(\sigma^N y, 0)} \quad \text{by } \textcircled{iii} \\ &= \frac{a^{\lfloor \phi(\sigma^N y)/2 \rfloor}}{\widetilde{\Psi}_N^{(\sigma)}(y) + \frac{a^{\lfloor \phi(\sigma^N y)/2 \rfloor - 1}}{a-1}} \quad \text{by } \textcircled{ii} \\ &> \frac{a-1}{1 + \varepsilon(a-1)}. \quad \textcircled{iv} \geq \end{aligned}$$

Proof that $\overline{M} \leq a-1$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$.

For $n \geq 1$ & $y \in Y$, let as in \textcircled{i} , $n = \phi_{K_n}(y) + r_n(y)$, then

$$\Psi(\tau^n(y, 0)) = a^{R_n} \text{ with } R_n = r_n(y) \wedge (\phi(\sigma^{K_n} y) - r_n(y))$$

whence

$$\Psi_{r_n}^{(\tau)}(\sigma^{K_n} y, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{r_n-1} a^{(k \wedge \phi(\sigma^{K_n} y) - k)} \geq \sum_{k=0}^{R_n-1} a^k = \frac{a^{R_n} - 1}{a-1}.$$

Choose $n = n(y) \geq 1$ so large that

$$\textcircled{v} \quad \frac{a-1}{\varepsilon \widetilde{\Psi}_{K_n}^{(\sigma)}(y)} < \frac{a-1}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Applying all this to \textcircled{iii} ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(\Psi, \tau)(y, 0) &\leq \frac{a^{R_n}}{\widetilde{\Psi}_{K_n}^{(\sigma)}(y) + \frac{a^{R_n} - 1}{a-1}} \\ &= \frac{a-1}{1 - a^{-R_n} + a^{-R_n} \widetilde{\Psi}_{K_n}^{(\sigma)}(y)} \\ &\leq \frac{a-1}{1-\varepsilon} 1_{[a^{-R_n} < \varepsilon]} + \frac{a-1}{\varepsilon \widetilde{\Psi}_{K_n}^{(\sigma)}(y)} 1_{[a^{-R_n} \geq \varepsilon]} \quad \text{by } \textcircled{v} \\ &\lesssim \frac{a-1}{1-\varepsilon}. \quad \textcircled{vi} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.4

For each $a > 1$, we construct an ergodic stationary process (Y, p, σ, Φ) as in the Main Lemma.

Set

$$(Y, p, \sigma) := (\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}}, f^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$$

where $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n f(\{n\}) < \infty \quad \& \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} a^n f(\{n\}) = \infty \quad \forall a > 1.$$

2

Define $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\Phi(y) = \Phi((y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z})) := y_0$, then $\mathbb{E}(\Phi) < \infty$.

We claim that

$$\blacksquare \quad \mathfrak{e}(a^\Phi, \sigma) = \infty \quad \forall a > 1.$$

Proof Fix $a > 1$, then $(a^{\Phi \circ \sigma^n} : n \in \mathbb{Z})$ are iidrvs with $\mathbb{E}(a^\Phi) = \infty$. By Proposition 1, $\mathfrak{e}(a^\Phi, \sigma) = \infty$ a.s. \square

4.6 Corollary

- (i) If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{I})$ is a Renyi measure for the Gauss map, then μ -a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is Diophantine if $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) < \infty$ and μ -a.s. $x \in \mathbb{I}$ is Liouville if $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\log a) = \infty$;
- (ii) For each $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\exists p_r \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, G -invariant, ergodic so that $\mathfrak{i} = 2 + r$ p_r -a.s..

Proof Statement (i) [(ii)] follows from Proposition 4.2(b) and Theorem 4.3 [4.4]. \square

REFERENCES

- [Aar81] Jon Aaronson. An ergodic theorem with large normalising constants. *Israel J. Math.*, 38(3):182–188, 1981.
- [Aar97] Jon Aaronson. *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory*, volume 50 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [AD01] Jon Aaronson and Manfred Denker. Local limit theorems for partial sums of stationary sequences generated by Gibbs-Markov maps. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 1(2):193–237, 2001.
- [Adl73] Roy L. Adler. F -expansions revisited. In *Recent advances in topological dynamics (Proc. Conf. Topological Dynamics, Yale Univ., New Haven, Conn., 1972; in honor of Gustav Arnold Hedlund)*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 318, pages 1–5. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [Bil65] Patrick Billingsley. *Ergodic theory and information*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1965.
- [Bug12] Yann Bugeaud. *Distribution modulo one and Diophantine approximation*, volume 193 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [CZ86] Yuan Shih Chow and Cun-Hui Zhang. A note on Feller’s strong law of large numbers. *Ann. Probab.*, 14(3):1088–1094, 1986.
- [ER59] P. Erdős and A. Renyi. On Cantor’s series with convergent $\sum 1/q_n$. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.*, 2:93–109, 1959.

²e.g. any f with $f(\{n\}) \asymp \frac{1}{n^s}$ with $s > 2$.

- [FSU14] Lior Fishman, David Simmons, and Mariusz Urbański. Diophantine properties of measures invariant with respect to the Gauss map. *J. Anal. Math.*, 122:289–315, 2014.
- [Khi64] A. Ya. Khinchin. *Continued fractions*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1964.
- [Mat95] Pertti Mattila. *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, volume 44 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Fractals and rectifiability.
- [Rau00] Albert Raugi. Dépassement des sommes partielles de v.a.r. indépendantes équadistribuées sans moment d'ordre 1. *Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse : Mathématiques*, 6e série, 9(4):723–734, 2000.
- [Ren57] A. Renyi. Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 8:477–493, 1957.
- [Ren70] A. Renyi. *Probability theory*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1970. Translated by László Vekerdí, North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 10.
- [Sch80] Wolfgang M. Schmidt. *Diophantine approximation*, volume 785 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

(Aaronson) SCHOOL OF MATH. SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY 69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL.

Email address: aaro@tau.ac.il

(Nakada) DEPT. MATH., KEIO UNIVERSITY, HIYOSHI 3-14-1 KOHOKU, YOKOHAMA 223, JAPAN

Email address, Nakada: nakada@math.keio.ac.jp