

Machine learning potential for serpentines

Hongjin Wang¹, Chenxing Luo¹, Renata M. Wentzcovitch^{1,2,3,4}

¹Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

²Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

³Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York 10964, USA

⁴Data Science Institute, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

Key Points:

- We develop a deep learning-based machine learning potential for serpentine minerals based on the r^2 SCAN meta-GGA DFT functional suitable for molecular dynamics simulations.
- We accurately reproduce experimental EoSs of brucite, lizardite, and antigorite minerals at 300 K under subduction zone pressures.
- We investigate all the naturally existent antigorite polysomes with the periodicity parameter $m = 13$ –24, shedding light on their relative stability, especially that of antigorite over lizardite at lower temperatures for $m > 21$.

arXiv:2409.16402v2 [physics.geo-ph] 9 Oct 2024

Corresponding author: Renata M. Wentzcovitch, rmw2150@columbia.edu

Abstract

Serpentines are layered hydrous magnesium silicates ($\text{MgO}\cdot\text{SiO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$) formed through serpentinization, a geochemical process that significantly alters the physical property of the mantle. They are hard to investigate experimentally and computationally due to the complexity of natural serpentine samples and the large number of atoms in the unit cell. We developed a machine learning (ML) potential for serpentine minerals based on density functional theory (DFT) calculation with the r²SCAN meta-GGA functional for molecular dynamics simulation. We illustrate the success of this ML potential model in reproducing the high-temperature equation of states of several hydrous phases under the Earth’s subduction zone conditions, including brucite, lizardite, and antigorite. In addition, we investigate the polymorphism of antigorite with periodicity $m = 13\text{--}24$, which is believed to be all the naturally existent antigorite species. We found that antigorite with m larger than 21 appears more stable than lizardite at low temperatures. This machine learning potential can be further applied to investigate more complex antigorite superstructures with multiple coexisting periodic waves.

Plain Language Summary

Serpentines, including antigorites and lizardites, are layered hydrous minerals formed through serpentinization, a geochemical process that significantly alters the physical property of the mantle. They participate in many geodynamic processes, such as Earth’s deep water cycle, and may induce Earthquakes. Despite their geophysical significance, their properties remain unknown due to experimental and computational challenges. Here, we developed a machine learning potential model that allows us to perform accurate molecular dynamics simulations on serpentine minerals. We demonstrate the success of this machine learning potential in the serpentine systems by reproducing the high-temperature equation of states, which are key parameters obtained from measurements. We investigated all the naturally existent antigorite species and found that antigorite with m in certain conditions appears more stable than lizardite at low temperatures.

1 Introduction

Serpentines are the product of serpentinization, a process where ultramafic rocks, particularly peridotites, react with water and transform into hydrous magnesium silicate minerals ($\text{MgO}\cdot\text{SiO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$), including lizardite, chrysotile, and antigorite. Throughout the process, rock density reduces from $\sim 3.3\text{ g/cm}^3$, typical of olivine (the main component of peridotites), to $2.6\text{--}2.7\text{ g/cm}^3$, making fully serpentinized rock as buoyant as the continental crust. Serpentine play a crucial role at shallow depths, particularly in fault zones, where they facilitate fault zone weakening and aseismic creep (Reinen et al., 1991; Hilairet et al., 2007). In subduction zones, the dehydration of serpentines leads to slab embrittlement, potentially triggering seismic activities (Chollet et al., 2011; Reynard, 2013). With $\sim 13\text{ wt\%}$ of water stored in hydroxyl groups, serpentines act as major water carriers in subduction zones at crust to upper mantle depths. They serve as a key carrier for water to enter the Earth’s deep water cycle, enabling subsequent geodynamic process (Ohtani, 2021). However, despite their geophysical importance, serpentine minerals’ structures and physical properties remain poorly understood due to experimental and computational challenges.

While modern experimental techniques, such as laser-heated diamond-anvil cells and multi-anvil press apparatus, can access pressure-temperature (P - T) conditions far beyond those relevant to serpentine formation, the properties of serpentine remain poorly constrained. This is largely due to the complexity of natural serpentine rock samples, which often include variable mixtures of serpentine polytypes (lizardite, chrysotile, antigorite) along with other minerals (e.g., olivine, magnetite, talc, tremolite). These minerals, formed before or during serpentinization and metasomatism, occur in varying pro-

portions, leading to significant variability in rock properties (Viti et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2020; Ji et al., 2024). Even among serpentine polytypes, structural differences—such as the periodicity and stacking of silicon tetrahedral and magnesium octahedral sheets—can lead to significant variations in their mechanical properties despite their compositional similarities (Shen et al., 2020). As a result, bulk rock properties cannot be reliably generalized without addressing the behavior of individual components and their proportions. While techniques transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or Raman spectroscopy (due to the presence of hydrogen) (Andreani et al., 2004; Petriglieri et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2020) may decipher the rock mineralogy and its microstructure, systematic investigations of the individual mineral phases, particular for the serpentine polytypes, remains critical for understanding the overall behavior of serpentinized rocks and for further assessing the effects of serpentinization on rock properties.

Computational approaches, particularly those based on the density functional theory (DFT), have been applied to serpentine polytypes (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2009; Tsuchiya, 2013; Ghaderi et al., 2015). However, standard functionals, LDA and GGA, often fail to accurately describe hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) in systems like brucite $[\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2]$ or δ -AlOOH (Wang et al., 2024; Luo et al., 2022). Even for lizardite, the simplest polymorph of serpentine, previous studies identify significant discrepancies with experiments (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2009; Deng et al., 2022). A further challenge in modeling antigorite arises from its complex, wavy structure, characterized by the periodicity of silicon tetrahedra along a wavelength (denoted by the parameter m). Following a chemical formula of $\text{Mg}_{3m-3}\text{Si}_{2m}\text{O}_{5m}(\text{OH})_{4m-6}$, antigorite with large m can contain over 400 atoms in its unit cell, exceeding the computational capabilities of typical DFT-based methods, which generally limited to 200–300 atoms due to their cubic scaling with the number of valence electrons. The unit cell of antigorite with a large m is challenging even on state-of-the-art high-performance computing (HPC) systems. Moreover, in quasiharmonic approximation (QHA) based methods, capturing H-bond disordering and intermediate-range ordering (as governed by ice rules) requiring even larger supercell, further escalating the computational cost (Raugei et al., 1999; Luo et al., 2022, 2021; Wang et al., 2024). Intrinsic anharmonicity, which is not captured by QHA (D.-B. Zhang et al., 2014; Zhuang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023), is also expected when serpentine approaches the high-temperature stability of $\sim 1,000$ K.

Recent advances in machine learning-based molecular dynamics offer promising solutions to these computational challenges. Machine learning potentials, parameterized using DFT’s description of the energy and forces of the system, allow for more efficient modeling of large systems with more accurate DFT functionals. In these models, the total energy of the system is expressed as the sum of local atomic contributions, which are functions of descriptors representing the local chemical environment. Various machine learning methods can be used to fit energy as a function of these descriptors (Behler & Parrinello, 2007; Bartók et al., 2010; Thompson et al., 2015; Shapeev, 2016; Artrith et al., 2017; L. Zhang et al., 2018). The Deep Potential Smooth Edition (DEEPPOT-SE) model (L. Zhang et al., 2018) implemented in DEEPPMD-KIT (Wang et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2023) uses a deep neural network as the mapping function and has reliably describes complex H-bearing systems under extreme pressures and temperatures (e.g., L. Zhang et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2024a, 2024b). This model enables accurate large-scale molecular dynamics simulations necessary to address the behavior of serpentine under finite P, T , where hydrogen bonding and anharmonicity play significant roles.

In this study, we focus on the antigorite and lizardite polytypes of serpentine. We developed a machine learning potential based on the r^2 SCAN-DFT functional to systematically investigate the structures and compressive behaviors of serpentine and relevant systems at P, T conditions of subducting slabs. Specifically, we investigate the polymorphism of antigorite with all naturally occurring periodicities ($m = 13$ – 24). We calculate lizardite, brucite, and antigorite compression curves at 300 K and compare them with

existing measurements. We also explore the relative phase stability of these minerals using enthalpy calculations obtained with our machine-learning model.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the deep learning potential method and DFT calculation parameters. We show results and compare them with measurements and previous calculations in Section 3. Summary and conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2 Method

2.1 ML molecular dynamics potential

The neural network potential in this work was developed based on the DEEPPOT-SE model (L. Zhang et al., 2018) implemented in DEEPM-D-KIT v2.1 (Wang et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2023). Two-body embedding with coordinates of the neighboring atoms (`se_e2.a`) was used for the descriptor. The embedding network shape is (25, 50, 100). The fitting network shape is (240, 240, 240). The cutoff radius is 6 Å, and the smoothing parameter is 0.5 Å. The model was trained using the ADAM (Kingma & Ba, 2017) optimizer for 1×10^6 training steps, with the learning rate exponentially decaying from 1×10^{-3} to 3.51×10^{-8} throughout the training process. The loss function $\mathcal{L}(p_e, p_f)$ is (Wang et al., 2018)

$$\mathcal{L}(p_e, p_f) = p_e |\Delta e|^2 + \frac{p_f}{3N} |\Delta f_i|^2, \quad (1)$$

where p_e decays linearly from 1.00 to 0.02, and p_f increases linearly from 1×10^0 to 1×10^3 throughout the training process.

2.2 Active learning scheme

The DP-GEN concurrent learning scheme (Y. Zhang et al., 2020) was employed to create the training data set and to generate the potential. We randomly selected 14 serpentine configurations, including lizardite and $m = 17$ antigorite, to generate the initial potentials and kickstart the DP-GEN training process. The configurations were selected from various pressures, and the atoms were randomly displaced from their equilibrium positions. We trained 4 candidate DP potentials initialized with different random seeds. They were used to perform *NPT* DPMD simulations at 64 P, T s ($0 < P < 15$ GPa and $0 < T < 900$ K) for a few thousand timesteps. After the simulations, the error estimator (model deviation), ϵ_t , is calculated every 50 MD steps based on the force disagreement between the candidate DPs (L. Zhang et al., 2019; Y. Zhang et al., 2020):

$$\epsilon_t = \max_i \sqrt{\langle \|F_{w,i}(\mathcal{R}_t) - \langle F_{w,i}(\mathcal{R}_t) \rangle\|^2 \rangle}, \quad (2)$$

where $F_{w,i}(\mathcal{R}_t)$ denotes the force on the i -th atom predicted by the w -th potential for the \mathcal{R}_t configuration. For a particular configuration, if ϵ_t satisfies $\epsilon_{\min} \leq \epsilon_t \leq \epsilon_{\max}$, the configuration is collected, labeled with DFT forces and total energy, and added into the training dataset; if $\epsilon_t < \epsilon_{\min}$, the configuration is considered “covered” by the current training dataset; if $\epsilon_t > \epsilon_{\max}$ the configuration is discarded. After a few iterations, almost no new configurations are collected according to this standard ($> 99\%$ are “accurate” for a few iterations), and the DP-GEN process is then completed. Serpentine structures with $m = 13$ –24 configurations and brucite were subsequently included during the training process. In total, it takes 6 DP-GEN iterations to generate a potential reaching satisfactory accuracy requirement for DPMD to describe brucite and serpentines at $300 < T < 900$ K and $0 < P < 15$ GPa. The composite of the dataset generated in the DP-GEN iteration is listed in the Supporting Information Table S1 in detail.

2.3 DFT parameters

Training and testing data sets were generated using on *ab initio* calculations performed with the VIENNA AB INITIO SIMULATION PACKAGE (VASP) v6.3 (Kresse & Furthmüller, 1996). The regularized form of the SCAN functional (r²SCAN) (Furness et al., 2020) meta-GGA functional with PAW basis sets was adopted. The cutoff energy for the plane-wave-basis set was set to 900 eV. The Brillouin zones of brucite, lizardite, and antigorite were sampled using Γ -centered $4 \times 4 \times 4$, $4 \times 4 \times 4$, and $1 \times 2 \times 2$ k -point mesh respectively to ensure the convergence of 1 meV/atom. The convergence criteria were 10^{-5} eV for the total energy and 10^{-3} eV/Å for the atomic force.

2.4 MD simulations

For validation purposes, Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD) was conducted on brucite’s $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells (144 atoms), lizardite’s $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells (120 atoms), and $m = 17$ antigorite’s unit cells (291 atoms) with Nosé-Hoover thermo-barostat (Hoover & Holian, 1996) and a time step of 0.2 fs. These simulations were performed at 300 K and 0 GPa.

For the high-temperature equation of states, we performed DPMD simulations with $4 \times 4 \times 4$ (1152 atoms), $4 \times 4 \times 4$ (960 atoms), and $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells (1752–3336 atoms) for brucite, lizardite, and antigorite with all periodicities, respectively. We performed *NPT* simulations for 1 ns to equilibrate the cell shapes, with a timestep of 0.2 fs using the Nosé-Hoover thermo-barostat obeying modular invariance (Wentzcovitch, 1991). The pair correlation function was computed using BOMD and DPMD trajectories. The configurations used in BOMD are unitcells generated from DPMD after 1 ns DPMD simulation.

2.5 Chemical environment analysis

We used the Smooth Overlap of Atomic Positions (SOAP) descriptor (Bartók et al., 2013) implemented in the Dscribe (Laakso et al., 2023) package to investigate the local chemical environment of brucite, lizardite, and antigorite. The cutoff for local regions was set to 6 Å. The number of radial basis functions and maximum degree of spherical harmonics were set to 12 and 8, respectively. The brucite, lizardite, and antigorite structures were sampled from DPMD trajectories at 300, 600, 900 K, and 0–15 GPa. The high-dimensional representation of the chemical environment is further visualized using principal component analysis (PCA).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Benchmarking the deep potential

In Fig. 1, we compare the energy and force discrepancies between the r²SCAN-DP’s and r²SCAN-DFT’s predictions. The absolute energy per atom formed two clusters, one for brucite and one for serpentines. One is serpentine, including lizardite and antigorite with different periodicity, and the other one is brucite. The maximum difference in energy across all species is ~ 1 meV/atom, with the RMSE of 0.33 meV/atom. The force difference computed by r²SCAN-DP vs. r²SCAN-DFT is shown in Fig. 1(b). Overall, the force computed by r²SCAN-DP is very close to r²SCAN-DFT, with the RMSE value of 0.04 eV/Å.

We also compare the structure described by r²SCAN-BOMD and r²SCAN-DPMD by comparing their pair correlation functions, $g(r)$, as shown in Fig. 2. We compute the $g(r)$ for brucite, lizardite, and antigorite with $m = 17$ using r²SCAN-DPMD and r²SCAN-BOMD. The $g(r)$ of r²SCAN-DPMD is almost indistinguishable from that of r²SCAN-

BOMD among all three systems, indicating the accuracy of one machine learning potential model in describing these systems.




Figure 1. Comparison of energy and force from r^2 SCAN-DFT and r^2 SCAN-DP.




Figure 2. Comparison of the pair-correlation functions, $g(r)$, between r^2 SCAN-DPMD and r^2 SCAN-BOMD for brucite, lizardite, and antigorite $m = 17$ at 0 GPa and 300 K.

3.2 Structures of antigorite with variable periodicity

Antigorite, with its chemical formula $\text{Mg}_{3m-3}\text{Si}_{2m}\text{O}_{5m}(\text{OH})_{4m-6}$, has a wavy structure with 1-1 layered Si-O tetrahedral and Mg-O octahedral layers connected by H-bonds, very similar to lizardite and chrysotile. The parameter m is the number of tetrahedra along an entire wavelength (See Fig. 3). Antigorite exists in nature with various m values, $13 \leq m \leq 24$ (Mellini et al., 1987). When m is odd, it has the space group Pm (G. Capitani & Mellini, 2004); when m is even, it has the space group of $C2/m$ (G. C. Capitani, 2006). Here, we generated structures of antigorite with m from 13 to 24 following these symmetries for even and odd antigorite. Fig. 3 shows top and side views of the structures of antigorite with $m = 13-24$ (G. Capitani & Mellini, 2004; G. C. Capitani, 2006). For antigorite with odd m , a long half wave of tetrahedra at the bottom alternates with a short half wave at the top, while for antigorite with even m , the structure is more symmetric with the same number of tetrahedra in both half waves.

It should also be noted that the conventional unit cells of even m antigorite can be further reduced to primitive cells with only half the number of atoms in the conventional cell setting, as shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4(a) shows the top view of the $m = 16$ antigorite supercells of the primitive cells containing a conventional cell. The primitive cell, shown in Fig. 4(b), preserves the symmetry of the monoclinic $C2/m$ space group. Even though the symmetry in the primitive cell does not look as intuitive as the conventional cell, it dramatically increases the efficiency in the DFT calculations.

3.3 Chemical environment analysis

The structures of serpentines, shown in Fig. 3 and 4, including antigorite and lizardite, share many similarities. Some referred to lizardite as antigorite with $m = 1$ (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2009) while others as $m = \infty$ antigorite (Mellini et al., 1987). Another mineral, brucite, with layered Mg-O Octahedral and chemical formula of $[\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2]$ also shares some of the structural features in the serpentines, including layered structure and inter-layer H-bonds [Fig. 4(d)].

One natural question that arises here is, how similar/different are these structures? Here, we analyze the partial pair correlation function of antigorite, lizardite, and brucite shown in Fig. 5. Even though silicon is missing from the chemical formula of brucite, the chemical environment of magnesium is similar between brucite and serpentines, as shown in the Mg-Mg and Mg-O subplots. However, the chemical environment of hydrogen is different between brucite and serpentines. The chemical environment of antigorite with different wave periodicity and lizardite are very similar. The chemical environment differences among the serpentine species are negligible compared to brucite.

To further analyze the differences within the serpentine groups, we randomly sampled 7392 serpentine configurations from our $r^2\text{SCAN- DPMD}$ simulations. The chemical environment of these configurations is described using SOAP descriptors (Laakso et al., 2023). SOAP encodes regions of atomic geometries by using a local expansion of a Gaussian-smearred atomic density with orthonormal functions based on spherical harmonics and radial basis functions. Using SOAP, we encode the chemical environments of the serpentine configurations into a matrix with the size of $\#$ of configurations \times $\#$ of features to describe the configuration. The number of features obtained using the setting described in the Methods section is 10583. In this case, the dimension of the vector space represented by this matrix is 10583. The high dimensional feature matrix is further reduced using PCA and plotted in Fig. 6. The data of each serpentine species falls along a line, as our $r^2\text{SCAN- DPMD}$ simulations are performed uniformly between 300–900 K and 0–15 GPa. It can also be noted that with the increasing periodicity parameter m , the data shown in Fig. 6 shift uniformly from left to right. The leftmost points are from antigorite with $m = 13$, and the rightmost points are from lizardite, with $m = 14 - 24$ in the middle. This indicates that lizardite is indeed antigorite with $m = \infty$ instead of $m = 1$.

3.4 Performance of machine learning potential in magnesium silicate hydrate (MSH) system

The high-temperature equation of state (EoS) is one of the most important properties in mineral physics. Whether a theoretical method can reproduce the experimental EoS well is a gold standard for validating theoretical techniques. In Fig. 7, we compare the 300 K EoS of brucite computed using $r^2\text{SCAN- DPMD}$ with previous calculations (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2006; Ghaderi et al., 2015; Ulian & Valdrè, 2019; Wang et al., 2024) and measurements (Fei & Mao, 1993; Parise et al., 1994; Catti et al., 1995; Fukui et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2013). Our 300 K $r^2\text{SCAN- DPMD}$ reproduces the 300 K EoS calculated using $r^2\text{SCAN}$ and quasiharmonic approximation (QHA) (Wang et al., 2024), and among all calculations agree best with measurements. This reemphasizes the




Figure 3. Top and side views of antigorite structures with different periodicity parameter m . The black boxes indicate unit cells. The numbers of atoms in the captions are the numbers of atoms in the primitive cells. For antigorite with even m , the number of atoms in primitive cells is halved compared to conventional cells.




Figure 4. Relation of an $m = 16$ antigorite primitive cell with the conventional unit cell as shown in Fig. 3. (a) Top view of a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell of the primitive cell. The red rectangle shows a conventional unit cell. (b) Top view of an $m = 16$ antigorite primitive cell. (c) Top and side view of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ lizardite supercell. (d) Top and side view of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ brucite supercell.




Figure 5. Partial pair correlation functions $g(r)$ for serpentine and brucite at 300 K and 0 GPa. The element pairs are listed in the upper left corner of each panel.




Figure 6. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the chemical environment feature matrix of serpentines. The chemical environment feature matrix has the size of $\#$ of configurations \times $\#$ of features used to describe the chemical environment of the configuration. PCA is used to reduce the dimension of the matrix to 2. Each point in this figure represents a serpentine configuration. The distance between the points describes the similarity of the configurations: the closer the distance, the more similar the configurations are.




Figure 7. Equation of state of brucite. All measurements are marked with scattered symbols (Fei & Mao, 1993; Parise et al., 1994; Catti et al., 1995; Fukui et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2013). All calculations are plotted using curves (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2009; Ghaderi et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2024).

success of r^2 SCAN applied in the brucite and the ability of DPMD to reproduce the DFT accuracy.

As a simpler mineral in the serpentine family, lizardite is reported to undergo a phase transition around 5–6 GPa (Andreani et al., 2004; Hilairet et al., 2006). Among all previous calculations (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2009; Ghaderi et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2022), only Tsuchiya (2013); Ghaderi et al. (2015) reported such transition, but at above 10 GPa and 8 GPa, respectively. Our r^2 SCAN-DPMD calculation is the only one that reproduces the EoS anomaly at \sim 5–6 GPa, and it is also in better agreement with measurements than all previous calculations (Hilairet et al., 2006).

In Fig. 9, we compare the 300 K EoS of antigorite calculated with r^2 SCAN-DPMD and compared with previous measurements (G. Capitani & Mellini, 2004; G. C. Capitani, 2006; Hilairet et al., 2006; Nestola et al., 2010) and calculations (Tsuchiya et al., 2024). The EoS is plotted as density vs. pressure due to the polymorphism of antigorite with different periodicity. Our results suggest that the EoS of antigorite with even and odd m are quite different. This is expected as the antigorite with even m has the $C2/m$ space group, whereas the antigorite with odd m has the Pm space group. According to the geometrical model proposed by Grob ty (2003), antigorite with even m , has a smaller amplitude of the wave than the odd ones, resulting in smaller volume and thus higher density. Our results also show excellent agreement with previous measurements. In contrast, recent similar results (Tsuchiya et al., 2024) on antigorites do not display this distinction between m even and odd EoSs. This indicates that our r^2 SCAN-DPMD results are more accurate. The 300 K EoSs of brucite, lizardite, and antigorite are listed in the Supporting Information Table S2.

With reliable static EoSs of brucite, lizardite, and antigorite, we further investigate the relative phase stability under the following chemical reaction:






Figure 8. Equation of state of lizardite. Measurements (Hilaiert et al., 2006) are marked with open circles. All calculations (Mookherjee & Stixrude, 2009; Ghaderi et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2022) are shown as colored curves.




Figure 9. Equation of state of antigorite with different periodicity. All measurements are marked with scattered symbols (G. Capitani & Mellini, 2004; G. C. Capitani, 2006; Hilaiert et al., 2006; Nestola et al., 2010). All calculations are shown as colored curves (Tsuchiya et al., 2024).




Figure 10. Enthalpy difference, $\Delta H = \frac{3}{m} H_{\text{brucite}} + \frac{1}{m} H_{\text{antigorite}} - H_{\text{lizardite}}$, vs. P . Negative (positive) ΔH corresponds to a more (less) stable brucite + antigorite combination than lizardite.

In Fig. 10, we show the enthalpy difference, ΔH , of the above reaction for antigorite with all m s. Negative (positive) ΔH corresponds to a more (less) stable brucite + antigorite combination than lizardite. We notice that when m increases, the relative stable range of lizardite decreases from around 6 GPa for antigorite with $m = 13$. When $m > 21$, the antigorite + brucite is always more stable than lizardite. This might provide new insights into the low-temperature lizardite and antigorite relative stability as it is believed antigorite might be more stable than lizardite at very low temperatures ($< 25^\circ\text{C}$) (Evans, 2004).

4 Conclusion

We developed a machine learning potential model for molecular dynamics simulations of serpentine minerals based on the $r^2\text{SCAN}$ meta-GGA DFT functional. The ML potential reproduces well the 300 K experimental EoSs of brucite, lizardite, and antigorite minerals at subduction zone conditions. In addition, we investigate the polymorphism of antigorite with the periodicity $m = 13\text{--}24$, which is believed to be all the naturally existent antigorite species. When m is larger than 21, the antigorite might be more stable than lizardite at low temperatures. We believe the ML potential can be further applied to investigate the superstructure of antigorite with higher order when there is more than one wave in the structure. This method may also be applied to other hydrous minerals in the Earth’s interior.

Open Research Section

The information on DEEPM-D-KIT, the open-source software is available at <https://github.com/deepmodeling/deepmd-kit>. The information on VASP, first principles simulation software is available at <https://www.vasp.at>. All the necessary conditions for simulations are described in the Method section. The raw data of figures (Equation of states, enthalpy differences) shown in this manuscript can be obtained at (zenodo link, yet to be published).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by DOE Award DE-SC0019759. Calculations were performed on the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) (Towns

et al., 2014) supported by the NSF grant #1548562 and Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services & Support (ACCESS) program, which is supported by NSF grants #2138259, #2138286, #2138307, #2137603, and #2138296 through allocation TG-DMR180081. Specifically, it used the *Bridges-2* system at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center (PSC), the *Anvil* system at Purdue University, the *Expanse* system at San Diego Supercomputing Center (SDSC), and the *Delta* system at National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA).

References

- Andreani, M., Baronnet, A., Boullier, A. M., & Gratier, J. P. (2004, July). A microstructural study of a "crack-seal" type serpentine vein using SEM and TEM techniques. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, *16*(4), 585–595. doi: 10.1127/0935-1221/2004/0016-0585
- Artrith, N., Urban, A., & Ceder, G. (2017, July). Efficient and accurate machine-learning interpolation of atomic energies in compositions with many species. *Physical Review B*, *96*(1), 014112. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.014112
- Bartók, A. P., Kondor, R., & Csányi, G. (2013). On representing chemical environments. *Physical Review B*, *87*(18), 184115.
- Bartók, A. P., Payne, M. C., Kondor, R., & Csányi, G. (2010, April). Gaussian Approximation Potentials: The Accuracy of Quantum Mechanics, without the Electrons. *Physical Review Letters*, *104*(13), 136403. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.136403
- Behler, J., & Parrinello, M. (2007, April). Generalized Neural-Network Representation of High-Dimensional Potential-Energy Surfaces. *Physical Review Letters*, *98*(14), 146401. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.146401
- Capitani, G., & Mellini, M. (2004, January). The modulated crystal structure of antigorite: The $m = 17$ polysome. *American Mineralogist*, *89*(1), 147–158. doi: 10.2138/am-2004-0117
- Capitani, G. C. (2006, February). The crystal structure of a second antigorite polysome ($m = 16$), by single-crystal synchrotron diffraction. *American Mineralogist*, *91*(2-3), 394–399. doi: 10.2138/am.2006.1919
- Catti, M., Ferraris, G., Hull, S., & Pavese, A. (1995, April). Static compression and H disorder in brucite, $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$, to 11 GPa: A powder neutron diffraction study. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, *22*(3). doi: 10.1007/BF00202300
- Chollet, M., Daniel, I., Koga, K. T., Morard, G., & Van De Moortèle, B. (2011, April). Kinetics and mechanism of antigorite dehydration: Implications for subduction zone seismicity. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *116*(B4), B04203. doi: 10.1029/2010JB007739
- Deng, X., Luo, C., Wentzcovitch, R. M., Abers, G. A., & Wu, Z. (2022, September). Elastic Anisotropy of Lizardite at Subduction Zone Conditions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *49*(18), e2022GL099712. doi: 10.1029/2022GL099712
- Evans, B. W. (2004, June). The Serpentine Multisystem Revisited: Chrysotile Is Metastable. *International Geology Review*, *46*(6), 479–506. doi: 10.2747/0020-6814.46.6.479
- Fei, Y., & Mao, H.-K. (1993, July). Static compression of $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ to 78 GPa at high temperature and constraints on the equation of state of fluid H_2O . *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *98*(B7), 11875–11884. doi: 10.1029/93JB00701
- Fukui, H., Ohtaka, O., Suzuki, T., & Funakoshi, K. (2003, October). Thermal expansion of $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ brucite under high pressure and pressure dependence of entropy. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, *30*(9), 511–516. doi: 10.1007/s00269-003-0353-z
- Furness, J. W., Kaplan, A. D., Ning, J., Perdew, J. P., & Sun, J. (2020, October). Accurate and Numerically Efficient r^2 SCAN Meta-Generalized Gradient Ap-

- proximation. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, *11*(19), 8208–8215. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcllett.0c02405
- Ghaderi, N., Zhang, H., & Sun, T. (2015, July). Relative stability and contrasting elastic properties of serpentine polymorphs from first-principles calculations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *120*(7), 4831–4842. doi: 10.1002/2015JB012148
- Grobély, B. (2003, January). Polytypes and higher-order structures of antigorite: A TEM study. *American Mineralogist*, *88*(1), 27–36. doi: 10.2138/am-2003-0104
- Hilairret, N., Daniel, I., & Reynard, B. (2006, October). P–V Equations of State and the relative stabilities of serpentine varieties. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, *33*(8-9), 629–637. doi: 10.1007/s00269-006-0111-0
- Hilairret, N., Reynard, B., Wang, Y., Daniel, I., Merkel, S., Nishiyama, N., & Petitgirard, S. (2007, December). High-Pressure Creep of Serpentine, Interseismic Deformation, and Initiation of Subduction. *Science*, *318*(5858), 1910–1913. doi: 10.1126/science.1148494
- Hoover, W. G., & Holian, B. L. (1996). Kinetic moments method for the canonical ensemble distribution. *Physics Letters A*, *211*(5), 253–257.
- Ji, S., Huang, F., Wang, S., Gupta, P., Seyfried, W., Zhang, H., . . . ZhangZhou, J. (2024, February). Identifying serpentine minerals by their chemical compositions with machine learning. *American Mineralogist*, *109*(2), 315–324. doi: 10.2138/am-2022-8688
- Kingma, D. P., & Ba, J. (2017, January). *Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization* (No. arXiv:1412.6980). arXiv.
- Kresse, G., & Furthmüller, J. (1996). Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Physical review B*, *54*(16), 11169.
- Laakso, J., Himanen, L., Homm, H., Morooka, E. V., Jäger, M. O., Todorović, M., & Rinke, P. (2023). Updates to the describe library: New descriptors and derivatives. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, *158*(23).
- Luo, C., Deng, X., Wang, W., Shukla, G., Wu, Z., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2021). Cij: A Python code for quasiharmonic thermoelasticity. *Computer Physics Communications*, *267*, 108067.
- Luo, C., Sun, Y., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2024a). *Elasticity and acoustic velocities of δ -alooH at extreme conditions: a methodology assessment*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.13804>
- Luo, C., Sun, Y., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2024b, March). Probing the state of hydrogen in δ - AlOOH at mantle conditions with machine learning potential. *Physical Review Research*, *6*(1), 013292. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.013292
- Luo, C., Umemoto, K., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2022). Ab initio investigation of h-bond disordering in δ -alooH. *Physical Review Research*, *4*(2), 023223.
- Ma, M., Liu, W., Chen, Z., Liu, Z., & Li, B. (2013, January). Compression and structure of brucite to 31 GPa from synchrotron X-ray diffraction and infrared spectroscopy studies. *American Mineralogist*, *98*(1), 33–40. doi: 10.2138/am.2013.4117
- Mellini, M., Trommsdorff, V., & Compagnoni, R. (1987, October). Antigorite polysomatism: Behaviour during progressive metamorphism. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, *97*(2), 147–155. doi: 10.1007/BF00371235
- Mookherjee, M., & Stixrude, L. (2006, January). High-pressure proton disorder in brucite. *American Mineralogist*, *91*(1), 127–134. doi: 10.2138/am.2006.1886
- Mookherjee, M., & Stixrude, L. (2009, March). Structure and elasticity of serpentine at high-pressure. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *279*(1-2), 11–19. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.018
- Nestola, F., Angel, R. J., Zhao, J., Garrido, C. J., Sánchez-Vizcaíno, V. L., Cap-

- itani, G., & Mellini, M. (2010, July). Antigorite equation of state and anomalous softening at 6 GPa: An in situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, *160*(1), 33–43. doi: 10.1007/s00410-009-0463-9
- Ohtani, E. (2021, May). Hydration and Dehydration in Earth’s Interior. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *49*(1), 253–278. doi: 10.1146/annurev-earth-080320-062509
- Parise, J. B., Leinenweber, K., Weidner, D. J., Tan, K., & Von Dreele, R. B. (1994, February). Pressure-induced H bonding: Neutron diffraction study of brucite, Mg(OD)₂, to 9.3 GPa. *American Mineralogist*, *79*(1-2), 193–196.
- Petriglieri, JR., Salvioli-Mariani, E., Mantovani, L., Tribaudino, M., Lottici, P. P., Laporte-Magoni, C., & Bersani, D. (2015). Micro-Raman mapping of the polymorphs of serpentine. *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy*, *46*(10), 953–958.
- Raugei, S., Silvestrelli, P. L., & Parrinello, M. (1999, September). Pressure-Induced Frustration and Disorder in Mg (OH)₂ and Ca (OH)₂. *Physical Review Letters*, *83*(11), 2222–2225. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.2222
- Reinen, L. A., Weeks, J. D., & Tullis, T. E. (1991, October). The frictional behavior of serpentinite: Implications for aseismic creep on shallow crustal faults. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *18*(10), 1921–1924. doi: 10.1029/91GL02367
- Reynard, B. (2013, September). Serpentine in active subduction zones. *Lithos*, *178*, 171–185. doi: 10.1016/j.lithos.2012.10.012
- Shapeev, A. V. (2016, January). Moment Tensor Potentials: A Class of Systematically Improvable Interatomic Potentials. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, *14*(3), 1153–1173. doi: 10.1137/15M1054183
- Shen, T., Zhang, C., Chen, J., Hermann, J., Zhang, L., Padrón-Navarta, J. A., . . . Yang, J. (2020). Changes in the cell parameters of antigorite close to its dehydration reaction at subduction zone conditions. *American Mineralogist*, *105*(4), 569–582.
- Thompson, A., Swiler, L., Trott, C., Foiles, S., & Tucker, G. (2015, March). Spectral neighbor analysis method for automated generation of quantum-accurate interatomic potentials. *Journal of Computational Physics*, *285*, 316–330. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2014.12.018
- Towns, J., Cockerill, T., Dahan, M., Foster, I., Gaither, K., Grimshaw, A., . . . Wilkins-Diehr, N. (2014, September). XSEDE: Accelerating Scientific Discovery. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, *16*(5), 62–74. doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2014.80
- Tsuchiya, J. (2013, November). A first-principles calculation of the elastic and vibrational anomalies of lizardite under pressure. *American Mineralogist*, *98*(11-12), 2046–2052. doi: 10.2138/am.2013.4369
- Tsuchiya, J., Mizoguchi, T., Inoué, S., & Thompson, E. C. (2024, June). First-Principles Investigations of Antigorite Polysomatism Under Pressure. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *129*(6), e2023JB028060. doi: 10.1029/2023JB028060
- Ulian, G., & Valdrè, G. (2019, February). Equation of state and second-order elastic constants of portlandite Ca(OH)₂ and brucite Mg(OH)₂. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, *46*(2), 101–117. doi: 10.1007/s00269-018-0989-3
- Viti, C., Collettini, C., Tesi, T., Tarling, M., & Smith, S. (2018, June). Deformation Processes, Textural Evolution and Weakening in Retrograde Serpentinites. *Minerals*, *8*(6), 241. doi: 10.3390/min8060241
- Wang, H., Luo, C., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2024, June). *Ab Initio* study of the stability and elasticity of brucite. *Physical Review B*, *109*(21), 214103. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.214103
- Wang, H., Zhang, L., Han, J., & Weinan, E. (2018). DeePMD-kit: A deep learning package for many-body potential energy representation and molecular dynamics. *Computer Physics Communications*, *228*, 178–184.

- Wang, H., Zhuang, J., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Q., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2023). pgm: A python package for free energy calculations within the phonon gas model. *Computer Physics Communications*, 291, 108845.
- Wentzcovitch, R. M. (1991). Invariant molecular-dynamics approach to structural phase transitions. *Physical Review B*, 44(5), 2358.
- Zeng, J., Zhang, D., Lu, D., Mo, P., Li, Z., Chen, Y., . . . Wang, H. (2023, August). DeePMD-kit v2: A software package for deep potential models. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 159(5), 054801. doi: 10.1063/5.0155600
- Zhang, D.-B., Sun, T., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2014, February). Phonon Quasiparticles and Anharmonic Free Energy in Complex Systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(5), 058501. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.058501
- Zhang, L., Han, J., Wang, H., Saidi, W., Car, R., & E, W. (2018). End-to-end symmetry preserving inter-atomic potential energy model for finite and extended systems. In S. Bengio, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, K. Grauman, N. Cesa-Bianchi, & R. Garnett (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (Vol. 31). Curran Associates, Inc.
- Zhang, L., Lin, D.-Y., Wang, H., Car, R., & E, W. (2019, February). Active learning of uniformly accurate interatomic potentials for materials simulation. *Physical Review Materials*, 3(2), 023804. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.023804
- Zhang, L., Wang, H., Car, R., & E, W. (2021, Jun). Phase diagram of a deep potential water model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126, 236001. Retrieved from <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.236001> doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.236001
- Zhang, Y., Wang, H., Chen, W., Zeng, J., Zhang, L., Wang, H., & E, W. (2020, August). DP-GEN: A concurrent learning platform for the generation of reliable deep learning based potential energy models. *Computer Physics Communications*, 253, 107206. doi: 10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107206
- Zhuang, J., Wang, H., Zhang, Q., & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2021). Thermodynamic properties of ϵ -Fe with thermal electronic excitation effects on vibrational spectra. *Physical Review B*, 103(14), 144102.