

Linear Perturbations and Stability Analysis in $f(T)$ Braneworld Scenario

Ju-Ying Zhao, Mao-Jiang Liu, Ke Yang*

*School of Physical Science and Technology,
Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China*

Abstract

We conduct a detailed analysis of the full linear perturbations in the braneworld scenario within $f(T)$ gravity. By decomposing the perturbations of the theory into the scalar, transverse vector, antisymmetric pseudotensor, and symmetric transverse-traceless tensor modes, we derive the quadratic action for each mode. The results indicate that there is a total of one scalar, one massless vector, and one tensor propagating degrees of freedom. Consequently, in comparison to general relativity, no additional degrees of freedom appear under the flat braneworld background in the linearized theory. For a thick brane model with $f(T) = T + \alpha T^2$, we find that it exhibits stability against linear perturbations.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 11.27.+d

arXiv:2409.14471v1 [hep-th] 22 Sep 2024

* keyang@swu.edu.cn, corresponding author

I. INTRODUCTION

Although daily experience suggests that our spacetime is 4-dimensional (4D), research into the existence of extra dimensions dates back to the 1920s, when the renowned Kaluza-Klein (KK) theory was proposed [1, 2]. The theory extends general relativity (GR) into 5D spacetime by incorporating a compactified extra dimension at the Planck length. In KK theory, electromagnetism with $U(1)$ gauge symmetry arises naturally from the rotational symmetry along the compactified extra dimension [3]. Thus, this framework offers deeper insights into fundamental forces and the structure of spacetime.

Unlike KK theory, where matter fields are distributed throughout the entire higher-dimensional spacetime, the braneworld scenario suggests that our universe exists on a 3-brane within a higher-dimensional bulk, where all Standard Model particles are confined to the brane, while gravity is free to propagate into the extra dimensions [4, 5]. This framework offers potential solutions to several longstanding problems in particle physics and cosmology, such as the gauge hierarchy problem [6, 7], cosmic acceleration [8], and the cosmological constant problem [9].

One of the prominent braneworld models is the ADD model proposed in 1998 [6, 10]. It suggests a mechanism whereby gravity propagates into large extra dimensions, resulting in its dilution and weakening. Consequently, this provides a natural explanation for the gauge hierarchy problem. Later, Randall and Sundrum introduced the RS1 model [7], which consists of two 3-branes at the boundaries of a 5D anti-de Sitter bulk. This model generates a large hierarchy between the Planck scale and the electroweak scale without requiring extra dimensions that are significantly larger than the Planck length. Notably, as the size of the extra dimension approaches infinity, the RS1 model transitions into the RS2 model, which contains a single brane where the gravity remains effectively 4D [11].

The thick brane scenario is considered a smooth generalization of the RS2 model [12–14]. In order to recover a proper 4D effective theory on the brane, it is essential for the massless modes of bulk matter fields to be localized there. The localization mechanisms and properties of various matter fields, including gauge bosons [15–20] and Dirac fermions [21–27], have been intensively investigated in thick brane models. In addition to GR, researchers have proposed numerous modified gravity theories to address challenging cosmological issues such as singularities, dark energy, and dark matter. Thus, the thick brane scenario has also

been generalized within the frameworks of modified gravities. For a review and further references, see Refs. [28, 29].

Among the various modified gravity theories, $f(T)$ gravity has gained considerable attention, see [30, 31] for a comprehensive review. In $f(T)$ gravity, the fundamental dynamic field is the vielbein (or vierbein/tetrad in 4D spacetime), and the underlying spacetime is a curvature-free Weitzenböck spacetime, rather than a torsion-free Riemannian one. This theory provides potential solutions to various challenges in cosmology, such as inflation [32, 33], cosmic acceleration [34, 35], and the Big Bang singularity [36].

In Ref. [37], Yang et al. developed thick brane models within $f(T)$ gravity using a polynomial form, $f(T) = T + \alpha T^n$. Later, Menezes studied thick brane models with bulk scalar fields, which include both standard and generalized dynamics [38]. Wang et al. constructed thick brane models incorporating various specific forms of $f(T)$ gravity with a noncanonical bulk K-field [40]. In Ref. [39], a thick brane model was constructed in a reduced Born-Infeld- $f(T)$ theory. In Ref. [41], thick branes in mimetic $f(T)$ gravity and graviton resonances were investigated. The linear tensor perturbation analysis for $f(T)$ braneworld scenario was first conducted by Guo et al. in Ref. [42]. Later, gravitational resonances in various $f(T)$ -brane models were investigated in Ref. [43]. String-like braneworlds in $f(T)$ gravity were reported in Refs. [44, 45]. Additionally, thick brane models in other gravitational theories within Weitzenböck spacetime were studied in Refs. [46–49].

In braneworld scenario, perturbation analysis is crucial for understanding the stability and dynamics of brane configurations in higher-dimensional spacetimes. Since the 5D local Lorentz invariance is broken in $f(T)$ gravity, the broken gauge freedom in the tangent frame results in 10 additional degrees of freedom (DOFs) in the vielbein. Consequently, the perturbation analysis in $f(T)$ theories is more complex than in GR. Nonetheless, apart from the tensor perturbation [42], other linear perturbations remain unexamined in $f(T)$ braneworld scenario. Therefore, in this work, we will analyze the full linear perturbations in $f(T)$ braneworlds.

The layout of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we briefly introduce the braneworld scenario in $f(T)$ gravity. In Sec. III, we analyze the full linear perturbations and their stabilities in $f(T)$ braneworlds. Finally, brief conclusions are presented in Sec. IV. Throughout the work, the capital Latin indices A, B, \dots and M, N, \dots denote the 5D coordinates of tangent space and spacetime, respectively. In contrast, the small Latin indices a, b, \dots and Greek

indices μ, ν, \dots represent the 4D coordinates of tangent space and spacetime, respectively.

II. BRANEWORLD SCENARIO IN $f(T)$ GRAVITY

In $f(T)$ gravity, the fundamental dynamic field is the vielbein e^A_M . The metric tensor can be constructed from the vielbein through the relation $g_{MN} = e^A_M e^B_N \eta_{AB}$, with $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ the Minkowski metric for the tangent space. The Weitzenböck connection is then defined as $\Gamma^P_{MN} = e_A^P \partial_N e^A_M$. The torsion tensor, which describes the twisting of a space when moving along different paths, is built by the antisymmetric part of the Weitzenböck connection, i.e., $T^P_{MN} = \Gamma^P_{[NM]}$. The contorsion tensor is defined as the difference between the Weitzenböck connection Γ^P_{MN} and the Levi-Civita connection $\{^P_{MN}\}$, i.e.,

$$K^P_{MN} \equiv \Gamma^P_{MN} - \{^P_{MN}\} = \frac{1}{2}(T_M^P{}_N + T_N^P{}_M - T^P{}_{MN}). \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, with the torsion tensor and contorsion tensor, the superpotential torsion tensor is given by

$$S_P{}^{MN} = \frac{1}{2}(K^{MN}{}_P + \delta_P^N T_Q{}^{QM} - \delta_P^M T_Q{}^{QN}). \quad (2)$$

Finally, the torsion scalar, which serves as a measure of torsion associated with a specific spacetime, is defined as

$$T = S_P{}^{MN} T^P{}_{MN}. \quad (3)$$

The action of the 5D $f(T)$ gravity is expressed as

$$S = -\frac{M_*^3}{4} \int d^5x e f(T) + \int d^5x e \mathcal{L}_M, \quad (4)$$

where M_* denotes the 5D fundamental gravity scale, $e = |e^A_M| = \sqrt{-|g_{MN}|}$, $f(T)$ is a function of the torsion scalar T , and \mathcal{L}_M is the Lagrangian for the bulk scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L}_M = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^M \Phi \partial_M \Phi - V(\Phi), \quad (5)$$

which provides the material necessary to construct the brane configuration.

The field equation is obtained by varying the action (4) with respect to the vielbein and the scalar respectively, yielding

$$e^{-1} f_T g_{NP} \partial_Q (e S_M{}^{PQ}) + f_{TT} S_{MN}{}^Q \partial_Q T - f_T \Gamma^P{}_{QM} S_{PN}{}^Q + \frac{1}{4} g_{MN} f(T) = \mathcal{T}_{MN}, \quad (6a)$$

$$e^{-1}\partial^K (e\partial_K\Phi) = V_\Phi, \quad (6b)$$

where $f_T \equiv \frac{df(T)}{dT}$, $f_{TT} \equiv \frac{d^2f(T)}{dT^2}$, $V_\Phi \equiv \frac{dV(\phi)}{d\Phi}$, and \mathcal{T}_{MN} is the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field, given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{MN} = \partial_M\Phi\partial_N\Phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}\partial^P\Phi\partial_P\Phi - g_{MN}V. \quad (7)$$

To investigate the braneworld scenario, the most general 5D line element that preserves 4D Poincaré invariance is given by

$$ds^2 = a^2(y)\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2, \quad (8)$$

where $a(y)$ is the warp factor. Correspondingly, the proper vielbein can be chosen as $e^A_M = (a, a, a, a, 1)$. Then, with the metric and vielbein ansatz, the field equations (6) can be expressed explicitly as

$$\frac{1}{4}f + 6H^2f_T = \frac{1}{2}\Phi'^2 - V, \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{1}{4}f + \left(\frac{3}{2}H' + 6H^2\right)f_T - 36H^2H'f_{TT} = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi'^2 - V, \quad (9b)$$

$$\Phi'' + 4H\Phi' = V_\Phi, \quad (9c)$$

where $H \equiv a'(y)/a(y)$, and the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the extra dimension coordinate y .

The field equations can be solved analytically by assuming an expression for the warp factor. For a polynomial form, $f(T) = T + \alpha T^2$, an analytical solution is given by [37]

$$a(y) = \text{sech}^b(ky), \quad (10a)$$

$$\Phi(y) = \sqrt{\frac{3b}{2}} \left[iE(iky, u) - iF(iky, u) + \sqrt{1 + u \sinh^2(ky) \tanh(ky)} \right], \quad (10b)$$

$$V(\Phi(y)) = \frac{3bk^2}{8} [288\alpha b^3 k^2 \tanh^4(ky) + (2 - u + u \cosh(2ky)) \text{sech}^4(ky) - 8b \tanh^2(ky)]. \quad (10c)$$

where b and k are positive constants, $u \equiv 1 - 72\alpha b^2 k^2$ with the constants $\alpha b^2 k^2 \leq 1/72$ to ensure that the scalar field Φ is real, and the functions E and F denote the elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds, respectively.

The solution describes a thick brane model with a domain wall configuration, which is a type of topological soliton. In this configuration, the scalar field non-trivially maps the




FIG. 1: Profiles of the scalar field $\Phi(y)$ for different parameter choices.

boundaries of the extra dimension into two distinct scalar vacua. As shown in Fig. 1, when $1/72 \geq \alpha b^2 k^2 \geq -1/72$, the scalar field exhibits a kink profile. However, as $\alpha b^2 k^2 < -1/72$, the scalar field transitions to a double-kink profile, and the brane exhibits an inner structure.

Particularly, for $b = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{72k^2}$, the solution recovers the one derived in Ref. [38] by using the first-order formalism¹, which reads

$$a(y) = \text{sech}(ky), \quad (11a)$$

$$\Phi(y) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \tanh(ky), \quad (11b)$$

$$V(\Phi) = k^2 \left[\frac{3}{4} - \Phi^2 (3 - \Phi^2) \right]. \quad (11c)$$

III. LINEAR PERTURBATIONS IN $f(T)$ BRANEWORLDS

The local Lorentz violation in $f(T)$ gravity becomes more evident when the field equation (6a) is reformulated as a modified Einstein equation [50], i.e.,

$$f_T G_{MN} + \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} (f - f_T T) + f_{TT} S_{MNK} \nabla^K T = \mathcal{T}_{MN}, \quad (12)$$

where G_{MN} is the Einstein tensor. When $f(T) = T$, the equation recovers the Einstein equation, which is locally Lorentz invariant. However, for $f(T) \neq T$, the nonvanishing last

¹ Note that we have adopted the assumption $W(\Phi) = \sqrt{6}k\Phi$ here instead of the original assumption $W(\Phi) = 2\Phi$ [38] to ensure that the quantities have the appropriate dimensions.

two terms are not locally Lorentz invariant. Consequently, the broken gauge freedom in the tangent frame introduces additional DOFs in the vielbein.

For the 4D GR, there are a total of 10 DOFs in the metric. In a spatially flat FLRW cosmology background, they can be decomposed into 4 scalars (4 DOFs), 2 transverse vectors (4 DOFs), and a symmetric transverse-traceless (TT) tensor (2 DOFs). Since the scalar, vector, and tensor modes correspond to irreducible representations of the SO(3) group of spatial rotations, this decomposition guarantees that they do not mix in linearized theory [51].

However, for the 4D $f(T)$ gravity, there are additional 6 DOFs, corresponding to a vector (3 DOFs) and an antisymmetric tensor (3 DOFs). In 3D space, the antisymmetric tensor is dual to a pseudovector, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}B^k$ with $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to the indices of spatial coordinates. Therefore, as pointed out in Ref. [52], the vector and pseudovector can ultimately be decomposed into a scalar (1 DOF), a transverse vector (2 DOFs), a pseudoscalar (1 DOF), and a transverse pseudovector (2 DOFs).

For the 5D $f(T)$ gravity, there are 10 additional DOFs in the vielbein. Correspondingly, they can be decomposed into a 4D vector (4 DOFs) and a 4D antisymmetric tensor (6 DOFs). Due to $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}B^{\alpha\beta}$ in 4D spacetime, the antisymmetric tensor is self-dual and can be equivalently expressed as an antisymmetric pseudotensor. Thus, the 10 additional DOFs can ultimately be decomposed into a scalar (α , 1 DOF), a transverse vector (β_μ , 3 DOFs), and an antisymmetric pseudotensor ($B_{\mu\nu}$, 6 DOFs). Consequently, the full perturbed vielbein can be expressed as

$$e^a{}_\mu = a \left[\delta^a{}_\mu (1 - \varphi) + \partial^a \partial_\mu E + \partial_\mu C^a + h^a{}_\mu + \epsilon^a{}_{\mu\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \right], \quad (13a)$$

$$e^5{}_\mu = a (\partial_\mu F + G_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha + \beta_\mu), \quad (13b)$$

$$e^a{}_5 = -(\partial^a \alpha + \beta^a), \quad (13c)$$

$$e^5{}_5 = 1 + \psi, \quad (13d)$$

where the transverse vectors satisfy $\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu C_\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu G_\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \beta_\mu = 0$ and the transverse-traceless tensor satisfies $\eta^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\lambda} h_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

With the full perturbed vielbein, the perturbed metric at linear order is expressed as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = a^2 \left[(1 - 2\varphi) \eta_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_\mu \partial_\nu E + \partial_{(\mu} C_{\nu)} + 2h_{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (14a)$$

$$g_{\mu 5} = a (\partial_\mu F + G_\mu), \quad (14b)$$

$$g_{55} = 1 + 2\psi. \quad (14c)$$

This is the standard perturbed metric in GR without additional DOFs (α , β_μ , and $B_{\mu\nu}$) associated with Lorentz breaking. Moreover, the corresponding perturbed scalar field is expressed as $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \phi$, where $\bar{\Phi}$ represents the background scalar.

Although the $f(T)$ gravity theory is formulated using orthogonal vielbein fields, as shown in the field equation (12), it still utilizes covariant tensors to describe the dynamics of space-time. Consequently, similar to GR, the action of the $f(T)$ gravity remains invariant under the general coordinate transformations $x^M \rightarrow x'^M = x^M + \xi^M(x)$. Under the transformations, the vielbein transforms as

$$\delta e^A_M = -\bar{e}^A_N \partial_M \xi^N - \xi^N \partial_N \bar{e}^A_M, \quad (15)$$

where \bar{e}^A_M denotes the background vielbein. Furthermore, by decomposing the 4D component of ξ^M as $\xi_\mu = a^2(\partial_\mu \xi^\parallel + \xi_\mu^\perp)$ with ξ_μ^\perp a transverse vector and ξ^\parallel a longitudinal scalar, the gauge transformations of the perturbed quantities can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\phi &= -\bar{\Phi}'\xi^5, & \Delta\psi &= -\xi^{5'}, & \Delta\varphi &= H\xi^5, & \Delta E &= -\xi^\parallel, \\ \Delta\alpha &= a\left(\xi^{\parallel'} + 2H\xi^\parallel\right), & \Delta F &= -a\left(\xi^{\parallel'} + 2H\xi^\parallel\right) - a^{-1}\xi^5, & \Delta\beta_\mu &= a\left(\xi_\mu^{\perp'} + 2H\xi_\mu^\perp\right), \\ \Delta G_\mu &= -a\left(\xi_\mu^{\perp'} + 2H\xi_\mu^\perp\right), & \Delta C_\mu &= -\xi_\mu^\perp, & \Delta h_{\mu\nu} &= 0, & \Delta B_{\mu\nu} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is clear that the tensor mode $h_{\mu\nu}$ and the pseudotensor mode $B_{\mu\nu}$ are gauge invariant. However, 2 scalar modes and 1 vector mode can be directly gauged out by appropriately choosing ξ^5 , ξ^\parallel and ξ_μ^\perp ,

The scalar, vector, antisymmetric pseudotensor, and symmetric tensor modes do not mix with each other under the 4D Lorentz transformation, allowing us to treat them separately in linearized theory.

A. Scalar perturbations

From the gauge transformations (16), we can properly select the scalars ξ^\parallel and ξ^5 to eliminate two scalar modes in perturbation theory. Specifically, we first gauge out the scalar mode E in the perturbed vielbein (13) by fixing ξ^\parallel . Consequently, the vielbein with scalar

perturbations reads

$$e^A_M = \begin{pmatrix} a\delta^a_\mu(1-\varphi) & -\partial^a\alpha \\ a\partial_\mu\mathcal{F} & 1+\psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{F} \equiv F + \alpha$.

With the perturbed vielbein (17), the nonvanishing components of the torsion tensor, up to second order in perturbations, are given by

$$T^5_{\mu\nu} = -a\partial_{[\mu}\mathcal{F}\partial_{\nu]}\varphi, \quad (18)$$

$$T^5_{5\mu} = -T^5_{\mu 5} = a(1-\psi)\partial_\mu\mathcal{F}' - (1-\psi)\partial_\mu\psi + a\varphi'\partial_\mu\mathcal{F} - \partial_\nu\mathcal{F}\partial^\nu\partial_\mu\alpha, \quad (19)$$

$$T^\rho_{5\mu} = -T^\rho_{\mu 5} = \delta^\rho_\mu H - \delta^\rho_\mu(1+\varphi)\varphi' + a^{-1}\partial^\rho\partial_\mu\alpha - a^{-1}\partial^\rho\alpha\partial_\mu\psi + a^{-1}\varphi\partial^\rho\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial^\rho\alpha\partial_\mu\mathcal{F}', \quad (20)$$

$$T^\rho_{\mu\nu} = (1+\varphi)\delta^\rho_{[\mu}\partial_{\nu]}\varphi, \quad (21)$$

where the indices are raised and lowered by the 4D Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Correspondingly, the perturbed torsion scalar reads

$$\begin{aligned} T = & -12H^2 + 6H(4H\psi + 4\varphi' - a^{-1}\partial^\gamma\partial_\gamma\alpha) - 36H^2\psi^2 + 24H\varphi\varphi' - 12\varphi'^2 \\ & - 48H\psi\varphi' - a^{-2}(6\partial^\gamma\varphi\partial_\gamma\varphi - 6\partial^\gamma\varphi\partial_\gamma\psi + \partial^\gamma\partial_\gamma\alpha\partial^\rho\partial_\rho\alpha - \partial_\gamma\partial^\rho\alpha\partial^\gamma\partial_\rho\alpha) \\ & + a^{-1}H(6\partial^\gamma\mathcal{F}\partial_\gamma\psi - 18\partial^\gamma\mathcal{F}\partial_\gamma\varphi + 18\partial^\gamma\varphi\partial_\gamma\alpha - 6\varphi\partial^\gamma\partial_\gamma\alpha + 12\psi\partial^\gamma\partial_\gamma\alpha) \\ & + 6a^{-1}(\varphi'\partial^\gamma\partial_\gamma\alpha - \partial^\gamma\varphi\partial_\gamma\mathcal{F}') - 6H\partial^\gamma\mathcal{F}\partial_\gamma\mathcal{F}' - 12H^2\partial^\gamma\mathcal{F}\partial_\gamma\mathcal{F} + 24H^2\partial^\gamma\mathcal{F}\partial_\gamma\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

With the action (4) and the background equation (9), after integrating by parts and dropping the boundary terms, the quadratic action for scalar perturbations is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} S_S^{(2)} = & M_*^3 \int d^4x dy \left[-\frac{9}{2}a^2H^2f_{TT}\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\alpha\partial^\rho\partial_\rho\alpha + \frac{3}{2}a^2f_T\partial^\lambda\varphi\partial_\lambda\varphi - \frac{a^2}{2}\partial^\lambda\phi\partial_\lambda\phi \right. \\ & + \frac{3}{4}a^4(4H^2 + H')(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\psi^2 + 3a^4(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\varphi'^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}a^4V_{\Phi\Phi}\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^4\phi'^2 - \frac{3}{2}a^2f_T\partial^\lambda\varphi\partial_\lambda\psi + \frac{3}{2}a^3Hf_T\psi\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F} \\ & - 36a^3HH'f_{TT}\varphi\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F} + \frac{3}{2}a^3f_T\varphi'\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F} - \frac{3}{2}a^3(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\varphi'\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\alpha \\ & + \frac{3}{2}a^3H(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\partial^\lambda\psi\partial_\lambda\alpha - a^3\bar{\Phi}'\phi\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F} + a^3\bar{\Phi}'\phi\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\alpha \\ & \left. + 6a^4H(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\varphi'\psi + 4a^4V_\Phi\varphi\phi + 4a^4\Phi'\phi'\varphi - a^4V_\Phi\phi\psi + a^4\bar{\Phi}'\phi'\psi \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here, we still have one remaining gauge freedom. Due to $\Delta\delta^{(1)}T = -T'\xi^5$ under general coordinate transformations, we adopt the torsion gauge instead of the usual approach of eliminating a specific scalar mode. This choice necessitates that the linear perturbation of the torsion scalar $\delta^{(1)}T$ vanishes. This is akin to the choice of curvature gauge in the analysis of scalar perturbations in $f(R)$ gravity [53, 54]. Thus, the torsion gauge provides a constraint

$$4H\psi + 4\varphi' - a^{-1}\partial^\gamma\partial_\gamma\alpha = 0. \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, by varying the quadratic action (23) with respect to the modes \mathcal{F} , α , and ψ respectively, we derive additional constraint equations as

$$\frac{3}{2}f_T(H\psi + \varphi') - 36Hf_{TT}H'\varphi - \bar{\Phi}'\phi = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$9H^2f_{TT}\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\alpha + \frac{3}{2}a(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\varphi' + \frac{3}{2}aH(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\psi - a\bar{\Phi}'\phi = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{3}{2}f_T\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\varphi + \frac{3}{2}aHf_T\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F} + \frac{3}{2}a^2H'(f_T - 24H^2f_{TT})\psi - a^2V_\Phi\phi + a^2\bar{\Phi}'\phi' = 0. \quad (27)$$

By employing the relation (24), the constraint (26) can be further simplified to

$$\frac{3}{2}f_T(H\psi + \varphi') - \bar{\Phi}'\phi = 0. \quad (28)$$

Combining this with the constraint (25), we obtain

$$\varphi = 0. \quad (29)$$

Then, Eq. (28) simply yields

$$\psi = \frac{2\bar{\Phi}'}{3Hf_T}\phi. \quad (30)$$

With the expressions of φ and ψ , we can work out $\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\alpha$ and $\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F}$ from Eqs. (24) and (27), yielding

$$\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\alpha = \frac{8a\bar{\Phi}'}{3f_T}\phi, \quad (31)$$

$$\partial^\lambda\partial_\lambda\mathcal{F} = \frac{2a}{3H^2f_T^2} [f_THV_\Phi\phi - f_T\bar{\Phi}'(H'\phi + H\phi') + 24H^2f_{TT}H'\bar{\Phi}'\phi]. \quad (32)$$

Further, by substituting the results into the quadratic action (23) and simplifying through some simple algebra, we arrive at

$$S_S^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{2} \int d^4x dy a^2 \left[\partial^\lambda\phi\partial_\lambda\phi + a^2\phi'^2 + a^2 \left(V_{\Phi\Phi} + \frac{16\bar{\Phi}'^2}{3f_T} + \frac{8\bar{\Phi}'^4}{9H^2f_T^2} + \frac{8\bar{\Phi}'\bar{\Phi}''}{3Hf_T} \right) \phi^2 \right]. \quad (33)$$

Thus, it is evident that there is only one scalar propagating DOF. Specifically, the correct sign of the kinetic term ensures that there is no ghost scalar mode in the linearized theory.

In order to study the mass spectrum of the scalar mode, it is convenient to rewrite the action in the conformal extra dimension coordinate z , which relates to the physical extra dimension coordinate as $dy = adz$, yielding

$$S_S^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{2} \int d^4x dz a^3 \left[\partial^\lambda \phi \partial_\lambda \phi + \dot{\phi}^2 + K(z) \phi^2 \right], \quad (34)$$

where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to the conformal coordinate z , and

$$K(z) = a^2 V_{\Phi\Phi} + \frac{8\dot{\Phi}^2}{3f_T} + \frac{8\dot{\Phi}^4}{9H^2 f_T^2} + \frac{8\dot{\Phi}\ddot{\Phi}}{3H f_T}, \quad (35)$$

with $H \equiv \dot{a}(z)/a(z)$ in z coordinate.

By varying the action (34) with respect to ϕ , we obtain the equation of motion

$$\square^{(4)} \phi + \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - K\phi = 0, \quad (36)$$

where $\square^{(4)} \equiv \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta$ is the 4D d'Alembert operator.

After employing a KK decomposition in the form $\phi(x, z) = \hat{\phi}(x) \chi_S(z) a^{-\frac{3}{2}}(z)$, the equation of motion (36) can be further decomposed into a Klein-Gordon equation $\square^{(4)} \hat{\phi} = m^2 \hat{\phi}$ and a Schrödinger-like equation

$$-\ddot{\chi}_S(z) + U_S(z) \chi_S(z) = m^2 \chi_S(z), \quad (37)$$

where m is the mass of scalar KK modes, and the effective potential reads

$$U_S(z) = \frac{9}{4} H^2 + \frac{3}{2} \dot{H} + K. \quad (38)$$

The theory would be free from tachyonic instability if the masses of all scalar KK modes are non-negative. Since the mass spectrum is entirely governed by the effective potential, it is essential to analyze the behavior of this potential. Given the complexity of its expression, the effective potentials for the solution described in (10) with varying values of the parameters α and b are illustrated in Fig. 2.

It is evident that the potential presents a barrier that diverges at the origin and asymptotically approaches zero as $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Consequently, all scalar KK modes correspond to free states with a mass spectrum of $m^2 > 0$, ensuring that the scalar perturbations are robust and free from tachyonic instability. Additionally, the infinite barrier results in the complete reflection of any scalar KK mode back to infinity. As a result, the scalar modes are decoupled and do not contribute to the low-energy effective theory on the brane.




FIG. 2: Profiles of the effective potential $U_S(z)$ for different parameter choices.

B. Vector perturbations

For the vector perturbations, we have the gauge freedom ξ_μ^\perp to eliminate the mode C_μ in the perturbed vielbein, yielding

$$e^A_M = \begin{pmatrix} a\delta^a_\mu & -\beta^a \\ a(G_\mu + \beta_\mu) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

Using the perturbed vielbein, we can derive the nonvanishing components of the torsion tensor up to second order in perturbations:

$$T^5_{\mu\nu} = a(\partial_{[\mu}G_{\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu}\beta_{\nu]}), \quad (40)$$

$$T^5_{5\mu} = -T^5_{\mu 5} = a(G'_\mu + \beta'_\mu) - (G^\lambda + \beta^\lambda)\partial_\mu\beta_\lambda, \quad (41)$$

$$T^\rho_{5\mu} = -T^\rho_{\mu 5} = H\delta^\rho_\mu + a^{-1}\partial_\mu\alpha^\rho + \alpha^\rho(G'_\mu + \alpha'_\mu), \quad (42)$$

$$T^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \alpha^\rho(\partial_{[\mu}G_{\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu}\alpha_{\nu]}). \quad (43)$$

Then, the perturbed torsion scalar is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T = & -12H^2 - 6a^{-1}H\partial^\lambda\beta_\lambda - 12H^2(G^\lambda G_\lambda - \beta^\lambda\beta_\lambda) \\ & + \frac{1}{2a^2}(\partial^\lambda G^\rho\partial_\lambda G_\rho - \partial^\lambda G_\rho\partial^\rho G_\lambda - 2\partial^\lambda\beta_\lambda\partial^\rho\beta_\rho + 2\partial^\lambda\beta_\rho\partial^\rho\beta_\lambda) \\ & - 6H(G^\lambda G'_\lambda + G^\lambda\beta'_\lambda + G'_\lambda\beta^\lambda + \beta^\lambda\beta'_\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

From the action (4), after integrating by parts and dropping the boundary terms, the quadratic action for vector perturbations is given by

$$S_V^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{4} \int d^4x dy a^4 \left[\frac{1}{2} a^{-2} f_T \partial^\lambda G^\rho \partial_\lambda G_\rho + \left(3H' f_T - 72H^2 H' f_{TT} + 2\Phi'^2 \right) G^\lambda G_\lambda \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (f + 4V + 3H'f_T + 24H^2f_T - 72H^2f_{TT}H')\alpha^\lambda\alpha_\lambda \\
& + \left(f + 4V + 2\Phi'^2 + 6H'f_T + 24H^2f_T - 144H^2f_{TT}H' \right) G^\lambda\alpha_\lambda \Big]. \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the background equations (9), the action can be further simplified as

$$S_V^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{8} \int d^4x dy a^2 f_T \partial^\lambda G^\rho \partial_\lambda G_\rho. \tag{46}$$

It is clear that the sign of the kinetic term is entirely determined by f_T . When f_T is positive, the vector mode is ghost-free. Conversely, when f_T is negative, it corresponds to a ghost mode.

For the solution (10), the function f_T is given by

$$f_T(y) = 1 + 2\alpha T = 1 - 24\alpha b^2 k^2 \tanh^2(ky), \tag{47}$$

which is always positive. We can define $\mathcal{G} = \sqrt{f_T}G/2$ to achieve a canonically normalized form in the conformal coordinate z :

$$S_V^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{2} \int d^4x dz a^3 \partial^\lambda \mathcal{G}^\rho \partial_\lambda \mathcal{G}_\rho. \tag{48}$$

In this case, the vector mode is massless and robust.

C. Pseudotensor perturbation

For the pseudotensor perturbation, the perturbed vielbein reads

$$e^A_M = \begin{pmatrix} a (\delta^a_\mu + \epsilon^a_{\mu\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{49}$$

After some straightforward algebra, the nonvanishing components of the perturbed torsion tensor are expressed as

$$T^\rho_{\ 5\mu} = H\delta^\rho_\mu + \epsilon^\rho_{\ \mu\tau\kappa} B^{\tau\kappa'} + \epsilon^{\alpha\rho}_{\ \sigma\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\tau\kappa} B^{\sigma\omega} B^{\tau\kappa'}, \tag{50}$$

$$T^\rho_{\ \mu\nu} = \epsilon^\rho_{\ \nu\tau\kappa} \partial_\mu B^{\tau\kappa} - \epsilon^\rho_{\ \mu\tau\kappa} \partial_\nu B^{\tau\kappa} + \epsilon^{\alpha\rho}_{\ \sigma\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha\nu\tau\kappa} B^{\sigma\omega} \partial_\mu B^{\tau\kappa} - \epsilon^{\alpha\rho}_{\ \sigma\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\tau\kappa} B^{\sigma\omega} \partial_\nu B^{\tau\kappa}. \tag{51}$$

Then, the perturbed torsion scalar reads

$$T = -12H^2 - 6H\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \sigma\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\tau\kappa} B^{\sigma\omega} B^{\tau\kappa'} + a^{-2} \epsilon^\rho_{\ \beta\sigma\omega} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \tau\kappa} (\partial_\alpha B^{\sigma\omega} \partial_\rho B^{\tau\kappa} - \partial_\alpha B^{\tau\kappa} \partial_\rho B^{\sigma\omega}). \tag{52}$$

From the action (4), after integrating by parts and dropping the boundary terms, the quadratic action for pseudotensor perturbation is given by

$$S_{\text{PT}}^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{2} \int d^4x dy a^4 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\sigma\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\tau\kappa} \left[\left(\frac{f}{4} + \frac{\Phi'^2}{2} + V \right) B^{\sigma\omega} B^{\tau\kappa} - 3H f_T B^{\sigma\omega} B^{\tau\kappa'} \right]. \quad (53)$$

By using the background equation (9b) and the identity $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\sigma\omega} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\tau\kappa} = 2(\eta_{\kappa\sigma} \eta_{\tau\omega} - \eta_{\tau\sigma} \eta_{\kappa\omega})$, the action can be further simplified as

$$S_{\text{PT}}^{(2)} = 2M_*^3 \int d^4x dy a^4 \left[\left(36H^2 H' f_{TT} - \frac{3}{2} H' f_T - 6H^2 f_T \right) B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} - 3H f_T B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta'} \right]. \quad (54)$$

After integrating the last term by parts and neglecting the boundary terms, it exactly cancels the first term in the action. Therefore, the vanishing Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PT}}^{(2)} = 0$ implies that, up to second order in perturbations, there is no propagating pseudotensor mode in the theory.

D. Tensor perturbation

For the tensor perturbation, the perturbed vielbein reads

$$e^A{}_M = \begin{pmatrix} a(\delta^a{}_\mu + h^a{}_\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (55)$$

Consequently, the nonvanishing components of the torsion tensor, up to second order in perturbations, are calculated as follows:

$$T^\rho{}_{5\mu} = -T^\rho{}_{\mu 5} = H\delta^\rho{}_\mu + h^\rho{}_\mu - h^\rho{}_\lambda h^{\lambda'}{}_\mu, \quad (56)$$

$$T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{[\mu} h^\rho{}_{\nu]} - h^\rho{}_\lambda \partial_{[\mu} h^{\lambda]}{}_{\nu]}. \quad (57)$$

Moreover, the perturbed torsion scalar is obtained as

$$T = -12H^2 + a^{-2}(\partial^\lambda h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial^\lambda h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha h_{\lambda\beta}) + h^{\alpha\beta'} h'_{\alpha\beta} + 6H h^{\alpha\beta} h'_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (58)$$

With the action (4) and the background equation (9b), after integrating by parts and neglecting the boundary terms, the quadratic action for tensor perturbations is obtained as

$$S_{\text{T}}^{(2)} = -\frac{M_*^3}{4} \int dx^4 dy a^2 f_T \left(\partial^\lambda h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda h_{\alpha\beta} + a^2 h^{\alpha\beta'} h'_{\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (59)$$

Similar to the vector perturbations, the sign of the kinetic term is determined by f_T . Therefore, the tensor perturbation is ghost-free for the solution (10).

By varying the action with respect to $h^{\alpha\beta}$, one obtains the equation of motion for the tensor mode as

$$f_T (\gamma''_{\alpha\beta} + 4H\gamma_{\alpha\beta} + a^{-2}\square^{(4)}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}) - 24HH'f_{TT}\gamma'_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (60)$$

which was first presented in Ref. [42]. In the conformal coordinate z , the equation can be rewritten as

$$(\partial_z^2 + \mathcal{K}\partial_z + \square^{(4)})\gamma_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (61)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv 3H + \frac{24f_{TT}}{a^2 f_T} (H^3 - H\dot{H}). \quad (62)$$

With a KK decomposition $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}(x)\chi_T(z)e^{-\int \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2} dz}$, the equation of motion (60) can be decomposed into a Klein-Gordon equation $\square^{(4)}\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = m^2\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$ and a Schrödinger-like equation

$$-\ddot{\chi}_T(z) + U_T(z)\chi_T(z) = m^2\chi_T(z), \quad (63)$$

where m is the mass of KK gravitons, and the effective potential is given by

$$U_T(z) = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{K}}}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{K}^2}{4}. \quad (64)$$

The Hamiltonian can be factorized as a supersymmetric quantum mechanics form

$$\mathcal{H} = -\partial_z^2 + U_T = A^\dagger A = \left(\partial_z + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2}\right) \left(-\partial_z + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2}\right). \quad (65)$$

Given a Neumann boundary condition $\partial_z\gamma_{\alpha\beta}|_{z\rightarrow\pm\infty} = 0$, it can be shown that the eigenvalues m^2 are non-negative [55]. Consequently, there are no tachyonic modes in the tensor perturbation, indicating that the theory is stable against the tensor perturbation.

For the tensor KK modes, it is easy to check that there is a localized massless graviton, whose wave function reads

$$\chi_T = N_0 e^{\int \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2} dz}. \quad (66)$$

where N_0 is the normalization factor. The massless mode refers to the 4D graviton on the brane. As shown in Refs. [39, 42], the massless mode is localized on the brane, and all the massive KK modes are continuous free states.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated the full linear perturbations of the thick brane scenario in $f(T)$ gravity. Due to the local Lorentz violation in $f(T)$ gravity, the theory possesses more DOFs compared to GR. In 5D spacetime, there are a total of 25 DOFs in the perturbed vielbein, which can be decomposed into 5 scalars, 3 transverse vectors, 1 antisymmetric pseudotensor, and 1 symmetric transverse-traceless tensor. By appropriately choosing the gauge, we calculated the quadratic action for each mode and analyzed their stability.

Working in the gauge $E = \delta^{(1)}T = 0$, we found that there is only one propagating DOF among the scalar perturbations. For the vector perturbations, our research indicates that there is only one massless propagating DOF, and its stability is entirely determined by the sign of f_T . When f_T is positive, the vector mode is stable. In contrast, when f_T is negative, the vector mode suffers from the ghost instability. Interestingly, we found that there is no propagating DOF in the pseudotensor perturbation. For the tensor perturbation, it represents a propagating DOF, and its stability is also determined by the sign of f_T , similar to the case of the vector mode. For the thick brane solution (10) with $f(T) = T + \alpha T^2$, all the scalar, vector, pseudotensor, and tensor perturbations are stable.

The propagating DOFs in the $f(T)$ braneworld consist of one scalar mode, one massless vector mode, and one tensor mode. Therefore, under the flat braneworld background (8), no additional DOFs appear in the linearized theory compared to the case of GR. This conclusion is consistent with the findings from the study of linear perturbations in $f(T)$ gravity cosmology [52], which also observed that no additional DOFs emerge at the linear level. In general, there are four additional DOFs for the 5D $f(T)$ gravity, as noted by Ref. [56]. These additional DOFs may emerge at the nonlinear level or in less symmetric braneworld backgrounds. However, this is beyond the scope of the current paper and will be left for future investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12475062 and 12005174) and the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (Grant No. 2024NSCQ-MSX3796). KY also acknowledges the generous hospitality during a visit to

the Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, where part of this work was completed.

- [1] T. Kaluza, *Zum Unitätsproblem in der Physik*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berl. Math. Phys. **K1** (1921) 966.
- [2] O. Klein, *Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie*, Z. Phys. **37** (1926) 895.
- [3] J.M. Overduin and P.S. Wesson, *Kaluza-Klein gravity*, Phys. Rept. **283** (1997) 303 [arXiv:gr-qc/9805018].
- [4] V.A. Rubakov, *Large and infinite extra dimensions: An introduction*, Phys. Usp. **44** (2001) 871 [arXiv:hep-ph/0104152].
- [5] C. Csaki, *TASI lectures on extra dimensions and branes*, 2004 [arXiv:hep-ph/0404096].
- [6] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G.R. Dvali, *The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter*, Phys. Lett. B **429** (1998) 263 [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315].
- [7] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370 [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].
- [8] C. Deffayet, G.R. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, *Accelerated universe from gravity leaking to extra dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 044023 [arXiv:astro-ph/0105068].
- [9] A. Arvanitaki, S. Dimopoulos, V. Gorbenko, J. Huang and K. Van Tilburg, *A small weak scale from a small cosmological constant*, JHEP **05** (2017) 071 [arXiv:1609.06320].
- [10] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G.R. Dvali, *New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV*, Phys. Lett. B **436** (1998) 257 [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398].
- [11] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *An alternative to compactification*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690 [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].
- [12] O. DeWolfe, D.Z. Freedman, S.S. Gubser and A. Karch, *Modeling the fifth dimension with scalars and gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 046008 [arXiv:hep-th/9909134].
- [13] M. Gremm, *Four-dimensional gravity on a thick domain wall*, Phys. Lett. B **478** (2000) 434 [arXiv:hep-th/9912060].
- [14] C. Csaki, J. Erlich, T.J. Hollowood and Y. Shirman, *Universal aspects of gravity localized on thick branes*, Nucl. Phys. B **581** (2000) 309 [arXiv:hep-th/0001033].
- [15] A.E.R. Chumbes, J.M. Hoff da Silva and M.B. Hott, *A model to localize gauge and tensor fields on thick branes*, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 085003 [arXiv:1108.3821].
- [16] W.T. Cruz, A.R.P. Lima and C.A.S. Almeida, *Gauge field localization on the Bloch Brane*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 045018 [arXiv:1211.7355].
- [17] Z.-H. Zhao, Y.-X. Liu and Y. Zhong, *$U(1)$ gauge field localization on a Bloch brane with*

- Chumbes-Holf da Silva-Hott mechanism*, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 045031 [arXiv:1402.6480].
- [18] G. Alencar, R.R. Landim, M.O. Tahim and R.N. Costa Filho, *Gauge field localization on the brane through geometrical coupling*, Phys. Lett. B **739** (2014) 125 [arXiv:1409.4396].
- [19] C.A. Vaquera-Araujo and O. Corradini, *Localization of abelian gauge fields on thick branes*, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) 48 [arXiv:1406.2892].
- [20] Z.-H. Zhao, Q.-Y. Xie and Y. Zhong, *New localization method of $U(1)$ gauge vector field on flat branes in (asymptotic) AdS_5 spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015) 035020 [arXiv:1406.3098].
- [21] C. Ringeval, P. Peter and J.-P. Uzan, *Localization of massive fermions on the brane*, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 044016 [arXiv:hep-th/0109194].
- [22] R. Koley and S. Kar, *Scalar kinks and fermion localisation in warped spacetimes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 753 [arXiv:hep-th/0407158].
- [23] A. Melfo, N. Pantoja and J.D. Tempo, *Fermion localization on thick branes*, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 044033 [arXiv:hep-th/0601161].
- [24] Y.-X. Liu, L.-D. Zhang, L.-J. Zhang and Y.-S. Duan, *Fermions on thick branes in background of Sine-Gordon kinks*, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 065025 [arXiv:0804.4553].
- [25] Y.-X. Liu, J. Yang, Z.-H. Zhao, C.-E. Fu and Y.-S. Duan, *Fermion localization and resonances on a de Sitter thick brane*, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 065019 [arXiv:0904.1785].
- [26] Y.-X. Liu, Z.-G. Xu, F.-W. Chen and S.-W. Wei, *New localization mechanism of fermions on braneworlds*, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 086001 [arXiv:1312.4145].
- [27] N. Barbosa-Cendejas, D. Malagón-Morejón and R.R. Mora-Luna, *Universal spin-1/2 fermion field localization on a 5D braneworld*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **47** (2015) 77 [arXiv:1503.07900].
- [28] V. Dzhunushaliev, V. Folomeev and M. Minamitsuji, *Thick brane solutions*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **73** (2010) 066901 [arXiv:0904.1775].
- [29] Y.-X. Liu, *Introduction to extra dimensions and thick braneworlds*, Memorial Volume for Yi-Shi Duan **Chapter 8** (2018) 211 [arXiv:1707.08541].
- [30] Y.-F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and E.N. Saridakis, *$f(T)$ teleparallel gravity and cosmology*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **79** (2016) 106901 [arXiv:1511.07586].
- [31] S. Bahamonde, K.F. Dialektopoulos, C. Escamilla-Rivera, G. Farrugia, V. Gakis, M. Hendry et al., *Teleparallel gravity: from theory to cosmology*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **86** (2023) 026901 [arXiv:2106.13793].
- [32] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *Modified teleparallel gravity: Inflation without inflaton*, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 084031 [arXiv:gr-qc/0610067].
- [33] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *On Born-Infeld Gravity in Weitzenböck spacetime*, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 124019 [arXiv:0812.1981].

- [34] G.R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, *Dark torsion as the cosmic speed-up*, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 124019 [arXiv:0812.1205].
- [35] E.V. Linder, *Einstein's other gravity and the acceleration of the universe*, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 127301 [arXiv:1005.3039].
- [36] Y.-F. Cai, S.-H. Chen, J.B. Dent, S. Dutta and E.N. Saridakis, *Matter bounce cosmology with the $f(T)$ gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 215011 [arXiv:1104.4349].
- [37] J. Yang, Y.-L. Li, Y. Zhong and Y. Li, *Thick brane split caused by spacetime torsion*, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 084033 [arXiv:1202.0129].
- [38] R. Menezes, *First order formalism for thick branes in modified teleparallel gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 125007 [arXiv:1403.5587].
- [39] K. Yang, W.-D. Guo, Z.-C. Lin and Y.-X. Liu, *Domain wall brane in a reduced Born-Infeld- $f(T)$ theory*, Phys. Lett. B **782** (2018) 170 [arXiv:1709.01047].
- [40] J. Wang, W.-D. Guo, Z.-C. Lin and Y.-X. Liu, *Braneworld in $f(T)$ gravity theory with non-canonical scalar matter field*, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) 084046 [arXiv:1808.00771].
- [41] W.-D. Guo, Y. Zhong, K. Yang, T.-T. Sui and Y.-X. Liu, *Thick brane in mimetic $f(T)$ gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **800** (2020) 135099 [arXiv:1805.05650].
- [42] W.-D. Guo, Q.-M. Fu, Y.-P. Zhang and Y.-X. Liu, *Tensor perturbations of $f(T)$ -branes*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 044002 [arXiv:1511.07143].
- [43] Q. Tan, W.-D. Guo, Y.-P. Zhang and Y.-X. Liu, *Gravitational resonances on $f(T)$ -branes*, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) 373 [arXiv:2008.08440].
- [44] A.R.P. Moreira, J.E.G. Silva, D.F.S. Veras and C.A.S. Almeida, *Thick string-like braneworlds in $f(T)$ gravity*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **30** (2021) 2150047 [arXiv:2006.06891].
- [45] A.R.P. Moreira, J.E.G. Silva and C.A.S. Almeida, *Teleparallel gravity: Effects of torsion in 6D braneworlds*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **30** (2021) 2150103 [arXiv:2104.11782].
- [46] A.R.P. Moreira, J.E.G. Silva, F.C.E. Lima and C.A.S. Almeida, *Thick brane in $f(T, B)$ gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 064046 [arXiv:2101.10054].
- [47] A.R.P. Moreira, F.C.E. Lima, J.E.G. Silva and C.A.S. Almeida, *First-order formalism for thick branes in $f(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity*, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) 1081 [arXiv:2107.04142].
- [48] K. Yang, H. Yu and Y. Zhong, *Thick branes in Born-Infeld determinantal gravity in Weitzenböck spacetime*, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) 1107 [arXiv:2209.04782].
- [49] A.R.P. Moreira and C.A.S. Almeida, *String-like brane splitting in the context of $f(T, B)$ gravity*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **55** (2023) 89 [arXiv:2311.07898].
- [50] B. Li, T.P. Sotiriou and J.D. Barrow, *$f(T)$ gravity and local Lorentz invariance*, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 064035 [arXiv:1010.1041].
- [51] I. Szapudi and V.G. Czimmer, *Cosmological perturbations from a group theoretical point of*

- view, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012) 015007 [[arXiv:1111.7027](#)].
- [52] K. Izumi and Y.C. Ong, *Cosmological Perturbation in $f(T)$ Gravity Revisited*, *JCAP* **06** (2013) 029 [[arXiv:1212.5774](#)].
- [53] Y. Zhong and Y.-X. Liu, *Linearization of a warped $f(R)$ theory in the higher-order frame*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 104060 [[arXiv:1611.08237](#)].
- [54] Y. Zhong, K. Yang and Y.-X. Liu, *Linearization of a warped $f(R)$ theory in the higher-order frame II: the equation of motion approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 044032 [[arXiv:1708.03737](#)].
- [55] K. Yang, Y.-X. Liu, B. Guo and X.-L. Du, *Scalar perturbations of Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld braneworld*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 064039 [[arXiv:1706.04818](#)].
- [56] M. Li, R.-X. Miao and Y.-G. Miao, *Degrees of freedom of $f(T)$ gravity*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 108 [[arXiv:1105.5934](#)].