

A CHARACTERIZATION OF INNER PRODUCT SPACES VIA NORMING VECTORS

GUILLAUME AUBRUN AND MATHIS CAVICHIOLI

ABSTRACT. A finite-dimensional normed space is an inner product space if and only if the set of norming vectors of any endomorphism is a linear subspace. This theorem was proved by Sain and Paul for real scalars. In this paper, we give a different proof which also extends to the case of complex scalars.

1. INTRODUCTION

The characterization of Euclidean spaces among normed spaces, or Hilbert spaces among Banach spaces, is a classical theme in functional analysis. It can be traced back to the Jordan–von Neumann theorem [3], which states that a normed space is Euclidean if and only if it satisfies the parallelogram identity. This line of research has been very fruitful: for example, the monograph [1] compiles about 350 characterizations of inner product spaces.

We consider vector spaces over a field \mathbf{K} which is either \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C} . Recall that an *inner product* on a vector space X is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ that satisfies the usual axioms of conjugate symmetry, linearity in one variable and positive-definiteness. If $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm on X , we say that the normed space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ is an *inner product space* if there exists an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on X such that the identity $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$ holds for every $x \in X$. A finite-dimensional inner product space over the real field is also called a *Euclidean space*.

In this note, we consider a characterization of finite-dimensional inner product spaces by a special property of their endomorphisms. Recall that the *operator norm* of a linear operator $u : X \rightarrow X$, denoted $\|u\|_{\text{op}}$, is defined as the smallest $C \geq 0$ such that the inequality $\|u(x)\| \leq C\|x\|$ holds for every $x \in X$. We consider the set $\mathcal{N}(u)$ of *norming vectors*, defined as

$$\mathcal{N}(u) = \{x \in X : \|u(x)\| = \|u\|_{\text{op}} \cdot \|x\|\}.$$

The following theorem has been proved by Sain and Paul (see [5, Theorem 2.2]) in the real case only. Our goal is to provide a completely different and self-contained proof, which extends naturally to the complex case.

Theorem. *Let X be a finite-dimensional normed space over the real or complex field. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The space X is an inner product space.*
- (2) *For every linear operator $u : X \rightarrow X$, the set $\mathcal{N}(u)$ is a linear subspace.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46C15.

Key words and phrases. Characterization of inner-product spaces, norming vectors, positive John position.

The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) can be shown as follows. If X is an inner product space, we may consider the adjoint operator $u^* : X \rightarrow X$. Let $\lambda_{\max}(u^*u)$ denote the largest eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator u^*u and E be the associated eigenspace. It is elementary to check then for every $x \in X$,

$$\|ux\|^2 = \langle u^*ux, x \rangle \leq \lambda_{\max}(u^*u)\|x\|^2$$

with equality if and only if $x \in E$. Since $\lambda_{\max}(u^*u) = \|u\|_{\text{op}}^2$, it follows that the set $\mathcal{N}(u)$ coincides with E . In particular, $\mathcal{N}(u)$ is a linear subspace.

In the following sections we prove the harder implication (2) \Rightarrow (1). Our arguments are inherently finite-dimensional; we leave open the question of whether the theorem extends to infinite-dimensional normed spaces.

2. THE MAIN PROPOSITION

In this section we introduce our main tool. It is strongly related to the concept of *positive John position* of convex bodies which is studied in [2]. We use a slightly different approach that allows us to cover also the complex case in a natural way.

Consider a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbf{K}^n and equip the algebra $M_n(\mathbf{K})$ of $n \times n$ matrices with the corresponding operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$. We denote by $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ the group of invertible matrices. Given $Q \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{C}_Q = \{A \in M_n(\mathbf{K}) : \|AQ\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1\}.$$

Let $M_n^+(\mathbf{K})$ be the cone of positive semi-definite matrices. The set $M_n^+(\mathbf{K}) \cap \mathcal{C}_Q$ is compact and therefore contains an element of maximal determinant. (This element is unique but we do not need this information.) Such an element A satisfies $\|AQ\|_{\text{op}} = 1$.

Proposition. *Let $Q \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ and A of maximal determinant in $M_n^+(\mathbf{K}) \cap \mathcal{C}_Q$. Then the set*

$$\mathcal{N}(AQ) = \{x \in \mathbf{K}^n : \|AQx\| = \|x\|\}$$

spans \mathbf{K}^n as a vector space.

We show in the next section how the Proposition implies our Theorem. In the real case, the Proposition follows easily from [2, Theorem 1.2]. For completeness, we include a self-contained proof.

Proof of the Proposition. Introduce the unit ball $\mathcal{B} = \{x \in \mathbf{K}^n : \|x\| \leq 1\}$. If we identify the dual space with \mathbf{K}^n , the unit ball for the dual norm is

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{y \in \mathbf{K}^n : |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

By the Hahn–Banach theorem, we have for $x \in \mathbf{K}^n$

$$\|x\| = \max_{y \in \mathcal{B}^*} |\langle x, y \rangle|.$$

Consider the compact set $T = \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}^*$ and let $C(T)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions from T to \mathbf{K} . We define a map $\alpha : M_n(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow C(T)$ as follows: if $M \in M_n(\mathbf{K})$, $x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}^*$, then

$$\alpha(M)(x, y) = \langle Mx, y \rangle.$$

The map α is linear and satisfies $\|\alpha(M)\| = \|M\|_{\text{op}}$ for every $M \in M_n(\mathbf{K})$.

Denote $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(AQ)$. Assume by contradiction that the set \mathcal{N} does not span \mathbf{K}^n . Its linear image $(A^{1/2}Q)(\mathcal{N})$ does not span \mathbf{K}^n either, and therefore there exists a nonzero orthogonal projection P such that $PA^{1/2}Qx = 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Let H

be the matrix defined as $H = \lambda P - \text{Id}$, where λ is a positive number chosen so that $\text{Tr } H > 0$.

Introduce functions $f, g \in C(T)$ defined as $f = \alpha(AQ)$ and $g = \alpha(A^{1/2}HA^{1/2}Q)$. Observe that $\|f\| = \|AQ\|_{\text{op}} = 1$. For $t = (x, y) \in T$, we have a chain of implications

$$|f(t)| = 1 \Rightarrow \|AQ(x)\| = 1 \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow HA^{1/2}Qx = -A^{1/2}Qx \Rightarrow g(t) = -f(t).$$

Consequently, the functions f and g satisfy the hypothesis of the following lemma, whose proof is postponed.

Lemma. *Let T be a nonempty compact topological space and $f, g \in C(T)$. Assume that for every $t \in T$ such that $|f(t)| = \|f\|$, we have $\text{Re } f(t)\overline{g(t)} < 0$. Then, for $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have $\|f + \delta g\| < \|f\|$.*

The lemma implies that for $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\|A^{1/2}(\text{Id} + \delta H)A^{1/2}Q\|_{\text{op}} = \|f + \delta g\| \leq 1$$

and thus $A^{1/2}(\text{Id} + \delta H)A^{1/2} \in \mathcal{C}_Q$. As δ goes to zero, we have $\det(\text{Id} + \delta H) = 1 + \delta \text{Tr } H + o(\delta)$ and therefore $\det(\text{Id} + \delta H) > 1$ for $\delta > 0$ small enough. The inequality

$$\det(A^{1/2}(\text{Id} + \delta H)A^{1/2}) = \det(A) \det(\text{Id} + \delta H) > \det(A)$$

contradicts the maximality of A . \square

It remains to prove the lemma.

Proof of the Lemma. Both f and g are nonzero (otherwise the hypothesis fails) and we may assume by rescaling that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$. Let T_1 be the nonempty closed subset of T defined as $T_1 = \{t \in T : |f(t)| = 1\}$. Since the function $t \mapsto \text{Re } f(t)\overline{g(t)}$ is continuous, it achieves its maximum on T_1 and therefore there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\text{Re } f(t)\overline{g(t)} \leq -\varepsilon$ for every $t \in T_1$. Denote by T_2 the closed subset of T defined as $T_2 = \{t \in T : \text{Re } f(t)\overline{g(t)} \geq -\varepsilon\}$. Since f is continuous, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|f(t)| \leq 1 - \eta$ for every $t \in T_2$. For $t \in T$ and $\delta > 0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |(f + \delta g)(t)|^2 &= |f(t)|^2 + 2\delta \text{Re } f(t)\overline{g(t)} + \delta^2 |g(t)|^2 \\ &\leq \begin{cases} (1 - \eta)^2 + 2\delta + \delta^2 & \text{if } t \in T_2 \\ 1 - 2\delta\varepsilon + \delta^2 & \text{if } t \notin T_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|f + \delta g\|^2 \leq \max((1 - \eta)^2 + 2\delta + \delta^2, 1 - 2\delta\varepsilon + \delta^2)$ and therefore $\|f + \delta g\| < 1$ for $\delta > 0$ small enough. \square

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

The implication (1) \implies (2) has been proved in the introduction. Conversely, let X be a finite-dimensional normed space satisfying condition (2) from the Theorem. Let $\text{Iso}(X)$ be the group of isometries of X , defined as

$$\text{Iso}(X) = \{u : X \rightarrow X \text{ linear} \quad : \|u(x)\| = \|x\| \text{ for every } x \in X\}.$$

There is an inner product on X which is invariant with respect to $\text{Iso}(X)$ (see for example [4, p. 131, Theorem 2]) and therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $X = (\mathbf{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ and that $\text{Iso}(X)$ is a subgroup of the orthogonal group \mathcal{O}_n . (From now on we consider only the real case, the complex case is similar using the unitary group \mathcal{U}_n .)

Fix $Q \in \mathbf{O}_n$ and let A be an element of maximal determinant in $\mathbf{M}_n^+(\mathbf{K}) \cap \mathcal{C}_Q$. The set $\mathcal{N}(AQ)$ is a linear subspace of \mathbf{R}^n (by hypothesis (2) from the Theorem) which spans \mathbf{R}^n (by the conclusion of the Proposition). It follows that $\mathcal{N}(AQ) = \mathbf{R}^n$ and therefore that $AQ \in \text{Iso}(X) \subset \mathbf{O}_n$. The matrix $A = (AQ)Q^{-1}$ is both orthogonal and positive semidefinite; it follows that A is the identity matrix and therefore $Q \in \text{Iso}(X)$.

The previous paragraph shows that $\text{Iso}(X) = \mathbf{O}_n$. As a consequence, for every x and y in the Euclidean unit sphere S^{n-1} , there exists $u \in \text{Iso}(X)$ such that $u(x) = y$. It follows that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is constant on S^{n-1} , hence is a multiple of the Euclidean norm. This shows that X is an inner product space.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author was supported in part by ANR under the grant ESQuisses (ANR-20-CE47-0014-01). We thank Miguel Martín for pointing to us the reference [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Amir. *Characterizations of inner product spaces*, volume 20 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
- [2] S. Artstein-Avidan and E. Putterman. Some new positions of maximal volume of convex bodies. *Matematica*, 1(4):765–808, 2022.
- [3] P. Jordan and J. Von Neumann. On inner products in linear, metric spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 36(3):719–723, 1935.
- [4] A. L. Onishchik and È. B. Vinberg. *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. Translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites.
- [5] D. Sain and K. Paul. Operator norm attainment and inner product spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439(8):2448–2452, 2013.

UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1, CNRS, INRIA, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69100 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE