

# List Conflict-free Coloring

Shiwali Gupta and Rogers Mathew

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology  
Hyderabad.

{cs21resch11002, rogers}@iith.ac.in

**keywords:** conflict-free coloring, list conflict-free coloring, choice number, claw number.

## Abstract

Motivated by its application in the frequency assignment problem for cellular networks, conflict-free coloring was first studied by Even et al. in [Conflict-free colorings of simple geometric regions with applications to frequency assignment in cellular networks, SIAM Journal on Computing, 2004]. A *conflict-free coloring* of a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  is an assignment of colors to the vertex set of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that every hyperedge in  $\mathcal{H}$  has a vertex whose color is distinct from every other vertex in that hyperedge. The minimum number of colors required for such a coloring is known as the *conflict-free chromatic number* of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Conflict-free coloring has also been studied on open/closed neighborhood hypergraphs of a given graph.

In this paper, we study the list variant of conflict-free coloring where, for every vertex  $v$ , we are given a list of admissible colors  $L_v$  such that  $v$  is allowed to be colored only from  $L_v$ . We prove upper bounds for the list conflict-free chromatic number of general hypergraphs and graphs.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Conflict-free coloring

A *partial conflict-free coloring* (or *CF\* coloring*) of a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  using  $k$  colors is an assignment  $f : V' \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ , where  $V' \subseteq V$ , such that every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  contains a point whose color is distinct from that of every other point in  $E$ . If  $V' = V$ , then we call  $f$  a *conflict-free coloring* (or *CF coloring*) of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The minimum  $k$  for which there is a (partial) CF coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$  using  $k$  colors is called the (resp., *partial*) *conflict-free chromatic number* of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We shall use  $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$  (resp.,  $\chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H})$ ) to denote (resp., partial) conflict-free chromatic number of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Giving a new unused color to all the uncolored vertices in a partial CF coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$  gives a CF coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The observation below captures this.

**Observation 1.**  $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + 1$ .

The notion of conflict-free coloring is well studied with respect to *open/closed neighborhood hypergraphs of graphs* (the edge set of such a hypergraph is the set of open/closed neighborhoods of each vertex of the graph under consideration). Let  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  denote the vertex set and edge set of a graph  $G$ , respectively. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the set of neighbors of  $v$  in  $G$  is called the *open neighborhood* of  $v$ . It is denoted by  $N_G(v)$ . The *closed neighborhood* of  $v$  is defined as  $\{v\} \cup N_G(v)$ . It is denoted by  $N_G[v]$ .

A *partial conflict-free open neighborhood coloring* (or *CFON\* coloring*) of  $G$  is an assignment of colors to  $V' \subseteq V(G)$  such that every vertex sees a uniquely colored vertex among its neighbors in its open neighborhood. If  $V' = V(G)$ , then we call it a *CFON coloring*. The minimum number of colors required for a CFON\* coloring (resp., CFON coloring) of  $G$  is called the *CFON\* chromatic number* (resp., *CFON chromatic number*) of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_{ON}^*(G)$  (resp.,  $\chi_{ON}(G)$ ).

Analogously, a *partial conflict-free closed neighborhood coloring* (or *CFCN\* coloring*) of  $G$  is an assignment of colors to  $V' \subseteq V(G)$  such that every vertex sees a uniquely colored vertex in its closed neighborhood. If  $V' = V(G)$ , then we call it a *CFCN coloring*. The minimum number of colors required for a CFCN\* coloring (resp., CFCN coloring) of  $G$  is called the *CFCN\* chromatic number* (resp., *CFCN chromatic number*), denoted by  $\chi_{CN}^*(G)$  (resp.,  $\chi_{CN}(G)$ ).

Observation 1 implies that for any graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_{ON}(G) \leq \chi_{ON}^*(G) + 1$  and  $\chi_{CN}(G) \leq \chi_{CN}^*(G) + 1$ . The following inequality connects the CF open and closed chromatic numbers of a graph with each other.

**Proposition 2** (Inequality 1.3 in [22]). (i)  $\chi_{CN}(G) \leq 2\chi_{ON}(G)$ , and (ii)  $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2\chi_{ON}^*(G)$ .

From the proposition above, we can conclude that the CFCN chromatic number of a graph is asymptotically at most its CFON chromatic number. The example given below shows that there are graphs whose CFON chromatic number is arbitrarily large compared to its CFCN chromatic number.

**Example 3.** Let  $K_n$  denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. Let  $K_n^{1/2}$  denote the graph obtained by subdividing every edge of  $K_n$  exactly once. Then, it is known that (i)  $\chi_{ON}(K_n^{1/2}) = n$ , and (ii)  $\chi_{CN}(K_n^{1/2}) = 2$ .

## 1.2 Applications

Conflict-free coloring was first studied by Even et al. [13] in 2004 and was further studied in many contexts (see [8, 14, 22, 5, 10, 6, 19, 11, 24, 16, 2]). See Smorodinsky [25] for a survey on conflict-free coloring and its applications described below. Conflict-free coloring has found applications in frequency assignment problems for cellular networks, in battery consumption-related optimization problems in sensor networks, in vertex ranking problems that find applications in VLSI design and operations research, in pliable index coding problem in coding theory [18] etc.

The study on conflict-free coloring was originally motivated by its application in the frequency assignment problem for cellular networks. There are two types of nodes in a cellular network: base stations and mobile clients. Base stations serve as the network's backbone and have a fixed position. Mobile clients are connected to the base station. The client and base station are connected by a radio link. Each base station has a fixed frequency to transmit or to enable a radio link for the clients. Clients are continuously scanning the frequencies in search of base stations with a good range. When a client is in the range of more than one base station, then mutual interference occurs if the same frequency is assigned to these base stations which will make the links noisy. The primary challenge in the frequency assignment problem in cellular networks is allocating frequencies to base stations in a way that ensures each client is served by some base station whose frequency is distinct from that of the other base stations in its range. The goal is to minimize the number of assigned frequencies because frequencies are expensive and limited, it is preferable to have a scheme that reuses frequencies wherever possible.

We can formulate the frequency assignment problem as a hypergraph coloring problem. Let  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph, where vertices are the given set of base stations and each hyperedge denotes the set of base stations in the range of each client. Thus, we try to find the minimum number of colors required to color the vertices such that every hyperedge has a vertex with a color distinct from the color of all the other vertices in it. Research on conflict-free coloring was performed under the assumption that any color from a global range of colors can be used and the goal was to minimize the number of colors used. Assume that the wireless network's base stations are further limited to using a subset of the available frequencies. As a result, different base stations may have access to different subsets of frequencies. Studying the list variant of conflict-free coloring is relevant in this context. In this variant, each vertex has a list of colors attached to it. Each vertex receives a color from its list. In the next section, we formally define this notion.

### 1.3 List conflict-free coloring

Let  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph, and let  $k$  be a positive integer. Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V\}$ . Let *palette* of  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , be defined as  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}} := \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L_v$ .

**Definition 4** (*k*-assignment). *Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V\}$  denote an assignment of a list of admissible colors to each vertex of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We say  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *k*-assignment for  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $|L_v| = k$ , for every  $v \in V$ .*

**Definition 5** (*k*-CF\*-choosable, *k*-CF-choosable). *Given a list assignment  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V\}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  admits an  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF\*-coloring if there exists a coloring  $f : V' \rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in V'} L_v$ , where  $V' \subseteq V$ , such that  $f(v) \in L_v, \forall v \in V'$ , and every hyperedge  $E$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  contains a point whose color is distinct from that of every other point in  $E$ . When  $V' = V$ , we say  $\mathcal{H}$  admits an  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF-coloring. We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is *k*-CF\*-choosable (resp., *k*-CF-choosable) if for every *k*-assignment  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  admits an  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF\*-coloring (resp.,  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF-coloring).*

**Definition 6** (CF\* choice number, CF choice number). *The minimum  $k$  for which  $\mathcal{H}$  is *k*-CF\*-choosable (resp., *k*-CF-choosable) is called the CF\* choice number (resp., CF choice number) of  $\mathcal{H}$ . This is denoted by  $ch_{CF^*}(\mathcal{H})$  (resp.,  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$ ).*

The following theorem due to Cheilaris, Smorodinsky, and Sulovský [9] gives us a relation between the CF chromatic number and CF choice number of a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 7.** [9] *For any hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $n$  vertices,*

- (i)  $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \cdot \ln n + 1$ , and
- (ii)  $\chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) \leq ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) \leq \chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) \cdot \ln n_1 + 1$ , where  $n_1 = \min\{\text{no. of colored vertices under } f : f \text{ is a partial CF coloring of } \mathcal{H} \text{ that uses only } \chi_{CF}^* \text{ number of colors}\}$

Similar to CFON and CFCN coloring, we define their list analogues below. Before we go into their definitions, let us look at the definition of the choice number of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $ch(G)$ . Given  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V(G)\}$ , we say  $G$  admits  $\mathcal{L}$ -coloring, if there exists a proper coloring  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L_v$  such that  $f(v) \in L_v, \forall v \in V(G)$ . We say  $G$  is  $k$ -choosable if  $G$  admits an  $\mathcal{L}$ -coloring, for all  $k$ -assignments  $\mathcal{L}$ . The minimum  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $k$ -choosable is called the *choice number* of  $G$ .

**Definition 8** (CFON\* choice number, CFON choice number). *Given a list assignment  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V(G)\}$  for a graph  $G$ , we say  $G$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON\*-colorable if there exists a CFON\* coloring  $f : V' \rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in V'} L_v$ , where  $V' \subseteq V(G)$ , such that  $f(v) \in L_v, \forall v \in V'$ . When  $V' = V$ , we say  $G$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON-colorable. We say that  $G$  is  $k$ -CFON\* (resp.,  $k$ -CFON)-choosable if for every  $k$ -assignment  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $G$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON\* (resp.,  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON)-colorable. The minimum  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $k$ -CFON\* (resp.,  $k$ -CFON)-choosable is called the CFON\* (resp., CFON) choice number of  $G$ . This is denoted by  $ch_{ON}^*(G)$  (resp.,  $ch_{ON}(G)$ ).*

Analogously, one can define the CFCN\* choice number and CFCN choice number of a graph  $G$  which will be denoted by  $ch_{CN}^*(G)$  and  $ch_{CN}(G)$ , respectively.

#### 1.4 Some quick observations

Since in a classical list coloring of a graph  $G$ , each vertex sees its own color exactly once in its closed neighborhood, we have the following.

**Observation 9.** *For any graph  $G$ ,  $ch_{CN}(G) \leq ch(G)$ .*

Since CFON (or CFCN) coloring of a graph  $G$  is a CF coloring of the open (or closed) neighborhood hypergraphs of  $G$ , we can extend Theorem 7 to obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. Then,*

- (i)  $\chi_{ON}(G) \leq ch_{ON}(G) \leq \chi_{ON}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\chi_{CN}(G) \leq ch_{CN}(G) \leq \chi_{CN}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq ch_{ON}^*(G) \leq \chi_{ON}^*(G) \cdot \ln n_1 + 1$ , where  $n_1$  is the minimum number of colored vertices over all possible CFON\* colorings of  $G$  that use only  $\chi_{ON}^*(G)$  colors.
- (iv)  $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq ch_{CN}^*(G) \leq \chi_{CN}^*(G) \cdot \ln n_1 + 1$ , where  $n_1$  is the minimum number of colored vertices over all possible CFCN\* colorings of  $G$  that use only  $\chi_{CN}^*(G)$  colors.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Then, the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,k}$  satisfies (i)  $\chi_{ON}(K_{1,k}) = ch_{ON}(K_{1,k}) = 2$ , (ii)  $\chi_{CN}(K_{1,k}) = ch_{CN}(K_{1,k}) = 2$ , (iii)  $\chi_{ON}^*(K_{1,k}) = ch_{ON}^*(K_{1,k}) = 1$ , and (iv)  $\chi_{CN}^*(K_{1,k}) = ch_{CN}^*(K_{1,k}) = 1$ .*

In Proposition 13 below, we construct a graph  $G$  for which  $ch_{ON}(G) = \Omega(\chi_{ON}(G) \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n})$ . We are left with the following open questions concerning Proposition 10.

**Open Question 12.** *Are the upper bounds for  $ch_{ON}(G)$ ,  $ch_{CN}(G)$ ,  $ch_{ON}^*(G)$ , and  $ch_{CN}^*(G)$  given in Proposition 10 asymptotically tight?*

Unlike in classical CF coloring (where  $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + 1$ ), there are hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}$  for which  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$  is arbitrarily larger than  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H})$ . See the proposition below.

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $K_{d,d^d}$  denote the complete bipartite graph with bipartition  $\{A, B\}$  having  $d$  vertices in Part A and  $d^d$  vertices in Part B. Let  $K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}$  denote the graph obtained by subdividing every edge of  $K_{d,d^d}$  exactly once. Then, (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \leq 2$ , (ii)  $\chi_{ON}(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \leq 3$ , and (iii)  $ch_{ON}(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \geq d + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_{d^d}\}$ . We shall use  $v_{i,j}$  to denote the vertex obtained by subdividing the edge  $a_i b_j$ .

(i). Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in A \cup B\}$  be a 2-assignment for  $K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , each vertex  $v_{i,i}$  is arbitrarily assigned a color from its list. For  $d+1 \leq j \leq d^d$ , each vertex  $v_{d,j}$  is assigned a color from its list that is not equal to the color assigned to  $v_{d,d}$ . Finally, every vertex in Part  $A$  is assigned an arbitrary color from its list. It is easy to see that, the above coloring is a valid  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON\*-coloring of  $K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}$ . Thus,  $ch_{ON}^*(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \leq 2$ .

(ii). Each vertex in Part  $A$  is given Color 1, and each vertex in Part  $B$  is given Color 2. For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , each vertex  $v_{i,i}$  is assigned Color 1 and each  $v_{i,j}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , is assigned Color 2. For  $d+1 \leq j \leq d^d$ , each vertex  $v_{d,j}$  is assigned Color 3. Finally, for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  and  $d+1 \leq j \leq d^d$ , assign Color 2 to  $v_{i,j}$ . It is left to the reader to verify that this is indeed a valid CFON coloring.

(iii). Now consider a list CFON coloring of  $K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}$  that colors every vertex. Such a coloring cannot have a vertex  $a_i \in A$  and  $b_j \in B$  receive the same color as that leaves the vertex  $v_{i,j}$  seeing no unique color in its open neighborhood. Thus,  $ch_{ON}(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \geq ch(K_{d,d^d})$ . It is known that  $ch(K_{d,d^d}) \geq d+1$  (see [15]).  $\square$

**Remark 14.** Let  $n$  denote the number of vertices of  $K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}$ . Then,  $n = d + d^d + d^{d+1}$ . From Proposition 13,  $ch_{ON}(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \geq d+1 = \Omega(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n})$  and  $ch_{ON}^*(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) \leq 2$ . Thus,  $ch_{ON}(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) = \Omega(ch_{ON}^*(K_{d,d^d}^{1/2}) + \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n})$ . We prove in Theorem 26 that for any hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $n$  vertices,  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) = O(ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + \ln n)$ .

**Open Question 15.** Are there hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $n$  vertices for which  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) = \Omega(ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + \ln n)$ ?

The following proposition connects the list CFON and list CFCN chromatic numbers of a graph.

**Proposition 16.** For any graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices,

(i)  $ch_{CN}(G) \leq 2ch_{ON}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1$ ,

(ii)  $ch_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2ch_{ON}^*(G) \cdot \ln n_1 + 1$ , where  $n_1$  is the minimum number of colored vertices over all possible CFCN\* colorings of  $G$  that use only  $\chi_{CN}^*(G)$  colors.

*Proof.* We shall prove only (i) as the proof of (ii) is similar. We have,  $ch_{CN}(G) \leq \chi_{CN}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1 \leq 2\chi_{ON}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1 \leq 2ch_{ON}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1$ , where the first and last inequalities follow from Proposition 10 and the second inequality follows from Proposition 2.  $\square$

A path on two vertices is the only graph  $G$  we know that has  $ch_{CN}(G) > ch_{ON}(G)$ . We thus have the following open questions concerning Proposition 16.

**Open Question 17.** Are the upper bounds for  $ch_{CN}(G)$  and  $ch_{CN}^*(G)$  given in Proposition 16 asymptotically tight?

The table below summarises the set of observations we have in connection with various conflict-free coloring parameters. Here,  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes a hypergraph on  $n$  vertices, and  $G$  denotes a graph on  $n$  vertices.

CF parameters	Relation	Reference
$\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$ and $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$	$\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \cdot \ln n + 1$	Theorem 7
$\chi_{CN}(G)$ and $\chi_{ON}(G)$	$\chi_{CN}(G) \leq 2\chi_{ON}(G)$	Proposition 2
$ch_{CN}(G)$ and $ch_{ON}(G)$	$ch_{CN}(G) \leq 2ch_{ON}(G) \cdot \ln n + 1$	Proposition 16
$\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H})$	$\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \chi_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + 1$	Observation 1
$ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$ and $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H})$	$ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) = O(ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + \ln n)$	Theorem 26

## 1.5 Known results on CF choice number

Using the potential method, Cheilaris, Smorodinsky, and Sulovský in [9] (see Theorem 2.5) show that the CF choice number of a hereditarily  $k$ -colorable hypergraph on  $n$  vertices is  $O(k \ln n)$ . Further, they show that the geometric hypergraphs (i) induced by a set of  $n$  planar discs, and (ii) induced by  $n$  points with respect to planar regions like discs, halfplanes, or intervals can be hereditarily colored using a constant number of colors. Thus, all these geometric hypergraphs have their CF choice number equal to  $O(\ln n)$ . As for a general hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $m$  edges having a maximum degree of  $\Delta$ , they show:

$$ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{2m + 1/4} \quad (1)$$

$$ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta + 1 \quad (2)$$

Further in [9], the authors show that  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}_n) = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is a ‘discrete interval hypergraph’ on  $n$  vertices. For a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, let  $H_G^{path} := (V(G), \{S \mid S \text{ is the vertex set of a path in } G\})$ . Theorem 4.1 in [9] says that  $ch_{CF}(H_G^{path}) = O(\sqrt{n})$ . To the best of our knowledge, these are the only known results on the CF choice number.

## 1.6 Our methods and results

In this paper, we prove upper bounds for CF\* choice numbers of general hypergraphs and CFON\*/CFCN\* choice numbers of general graphs in terms of various hypergraph/graph parameters. One can use Theorem 26 to extend these results to Cf choice numbers and CFON/CFCN choice numbers.

Our first result comes from observing that the proof of the general upper bound for the conflict-free chromatic number of a hypergraph due to Pach and Tardos [22] can be easily extended to partial list CF coloring. We thus show in Section 3.1 that for a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) = (t\Gamma^{1/t} \ln \Gamma)$ , where every hyperedge in  $\mathcal{H}$  is of size at least  $2t - 1$  and every hyperedge overlaps with at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges. Let  $G$  be a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and minimum degree  $\Omega(\ln \Delta)$ . Applying the above result on the open/closed neighborhood hypergraphs of  $G$  with  $\Gamma = \Delta^2$  and  $t = \frac{\ln \Delta + 1}{2}$ , we show in Section 4.1 that (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ , and (ii)  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ .

In Section 3.2, we consider a ‘near-uniform’ hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  whose every hyperedge is of size at least  $\Omega(\ln \Gamma)$  and at most  $\beta$ , where every hyperedge intersects with at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges. We show that  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H})$  is  $O(\beta)$ . This helps us in proving in Section 4.1 that for a graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and minimum degree  $\Omega(\frac{\Delta}{\ln^\epsilon \Delta})$ , where  $\epsilon \geq 0$ , (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(\ln^{1+\epsilon} \Delta)$ , (ii)  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^{1+\epsilon} \Delta)$ .

For any graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , it was shown in [5] that  $\chi_{CN}(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ . This bound is asymptotically tight as it was shown in [14] that there exist graphs  $G$  with  $\chi_{CN}(G) = \Omega(\ln^2 \Delta)$ . We generalize the upper bound of [5] to list CFCN\* coloring by proving  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$  in Section 4.2. The proof of  $\chi_{CN}(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$  given in [5] uses the idea of maximal distance-3 sets. This proof cannot be adapted to prove the list version. Our proof begins by partitioning the vertex set into two: Part  $A$  contains vertices of high degree and Part  $B$  contains the remaining vertices. Vertices in  $A$  are further partitioned into  $A_1$  (those vertices which have a high degree in  $G[A]$ ) and  $A_2$  (the remaining vertices in  $A$ ). We take care of the vertices in  $A_1$  by list CF\* coloring a hypergraph whose each hyperedge  $E_v$  is the set of closed neighbors in  $A$  of each vertex  $v \in A_1$ . We now crucially use our extension (mentioned in a paragraph above) of the theorem due to Pach and Tardos to show that this hypergraph has a CF\* choice number of  $O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ . Based on the coloring of the vertices in  $A$ , we remove at most  $O(\ln^2 \Delta)$  colors from the lists of each vertex in  $B$ . Now we construct a hypergraph whose each hyperedge  $E_v$  is the closed neighborhood in  $B$  of a vertex  $v \in A_2 \cup B$ . This hypergraph has a low maximum degree and can be list CF\* colored using  $O(\ln \Delta)$  colors.

As for list CFON\* coloring of a graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , it follows from Theorem 22 that  $ch_{ON}^*(G) \leq \Delta + 1$ . This bound is tight due to Example 3.

We have already seen that the CFON\*/CFCN\* choice number of a graph is smaller when its minimum degree is large. This phenomenon is explained by the fact that in a graph with a high minimum degree, every vertex has more choices for a uniquely colored neighbor. We now look at another phenomenon whose occurrence can ensure that the graph under consideration has a low CFON\*/CFCN\* choice number. In other words, we look at another parameter that makes the CFON\*/CFCN\* choice number of a graph small even if it has a low minimum degree. The *claw number* of a graph  $G$  is the smallest  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $K_{1,k+1}$ -free. A graph  $G$  is *claw-free* if its claw number is at most 2. When the claw number of  $G$  is a constant, the neighborhood of each vertex  $v$  is dense. Therefore, in an optimal list CFON\*/CFCN\* coloring of  $G$  if  $u$  serves as the uniquely colored neighbor of  $v$ , then  $u$  is likely to serve as the uniquely colored neighbor of many other vertices in the neighborhood of  $v$  (as the neighborhood is dense).

Dębski and Przybyło in [10] showed that the CFCN chromatic number of a line graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$  is  $O(\ln \Delta)$ . This bound is asymptotically tight as it was shown in the same paper that the line graph of a complete graph on  $n$  vertices has its CFCN chromatic number  $\Omega(\ln n)$ . Bhyravarapu et al. [3] generalized the above result to graphs of constant claw number. It was shown in [3] that if the claw number of  $G$  is  $k$ , then  $\chi_{ON}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta)$ . The same paper gives separate families of graphs of claw number  $k$  that have (i)  $\chi_{ON}(G) = \Omega(k)$ , and (ii)  $\chi_{ON}(G) = \Omega(\ln \Delta)$ . Below we briefly describe how the upper bound of  $O(k \ln \Delta)$  for the CFON\* chromatic number of a graph  $G$  is obtained in [3]. The vertex set  $V(G)$  is partitioned into 5 parts, namely  $V_1, \dots, V_5$ . A hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is defined on the vertex set  $V_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ . It is then shown that (i) CF\* coloring of each of these hypergraphs will yield a valid CFON\* coloring of  $G$ , provided vertices of no two hypergraphs share a color, and (ii) each hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_i$  has its CF\* chromatic number equal to  $O(k \ln \Delta)$  (this is achieved by showing that each hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_i$  has either a maximum degree of  $O(k \ln \Delta)$  or is a ‘near-uniform’ hypergraph whose every hyperedge is sufficiently large.). In Section 4.3 of this paper, we show that  $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$  and  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$ . In our proof, we achieve (i) by partitioning the palette  $\mathcal{P}$  of the given list assignment into 5 parts  $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_5$  such that, for each vertex  $v$ , its list  $L(v)$  shares at least  $\Omega(k \ln \Delta)$  colors with each  $\mathcal{P}_i$ . Such a partitioning of the palette costs us an additive  $\ln n$  factor in the bound we show compared to the one shown in [3]. We achieve (ii) with the help of our result on the CF choice number of ‘near-uniform’ hypergraphs mentioned in the paragraph above.

As we discussed in the above paragraph, we have separate families of graphs  $G$  for which (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) \geq k$  and  $k = \Delta$ , and (ii)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) \geq \ln \Delta$  and  $k = 2$ . Thus, any upper bound  $f(k, \Delta)$  on  $ch_{ON}^*(G)$  should satisfy  $f(k, \Delta) = \Omega(\ln \Delta + k)$ . This leaves us with the following open question.

**Open Question 18.** *How tight is the upper bound  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$ ?*

We summarise our main contributions in the table below. Here, (i)  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  denotes a hypergraph where every hyperedge overlaps with at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges, and (ii)  $G$  denotes a graph on  $n$  vertices with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and claw number  $k$ . Let  $\delta(G)$  denote the minimum degree of  $G$ .

Constraints	Upper bound	Reference
$\forall e \in \mathcal{E},  e  \geq 2t - 1$	$ch_{CF}^* = O(t\Gamma^{1/t} \ln \Gamma)$	Theorem 27
$\forall e \in \mathcal{E}, \alpha \leq  e  \leq \beta$ , where $\alpha = \Omega(\ln \Gamma)$	$ch_{CF} = O(\beta)$	Lemma 28
$\delta(G) = \Omega(\ln \Delta)$	(i) $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ . (ii) $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ .	Corollary 29
$\delta(G) = \Omega(\frac{\Delta}{\ln^\epsilon \Delta})$ , where $\epsilon \geq 0$	(i) $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(\ln^{1+\epsilon} \Delta)$ . (ii) $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^{1+\epsilon} \Delta)$ .	Corollary 31
-	$ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$	Theorem 32
-	(i) $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$ . (ii) $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$ .	Theorem 33

## 1.7 Preliminaries

We study simple, finite, and undirected graphs throughout this paper. When discussing open neighborhood coloring, we assume the graph under consideration has no isolated vertices. The *degree* of an element  $v \in V$  in a given hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ , denoted by  $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ , is the number of hyperedges that  $v$  is present in. The *maximum degree* of  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as  $\max\{d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) : v \in V\}$ .

## 2 Auxiliary results

In this section, we state some known results and prove some others that will aid us in proving the main results of the paper. Below we state the Local Lemma due to Erdős and Lovász [12] that is used in the proofs of Theorem 27 and Lemmas 28 and 30.

**Lemma 19** (*The Local Lemma*, [12]). *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be events in an arbitrary probability space. Suppose that each event  $A_i$  is mutually independent of a set of all the other events  $A_j$  but at most  $d$ , and that  $\Pr[A_i] \leq p$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . If*

$$ep(d+1) \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 4pd \leq 1,$$

then  $\Pr[\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \overline{A_i}] > 0$ .

The following variant of Chernoff Bound is used in the proofs of Lemmas 23 and 30.

**Theorem 20** (Chernoff Bound, Corollary 4.6 in [20]). *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent Poisson trials such that  $\Pr[X_i] = p_i$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $\mu = E[X]$ . For  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\Pr[|X - \mu| \geq \delta\mu] \leq 2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}$ .*

Proof of Lemma 28 uses the following variant of the Talagrand Inequality due to [21].

**Theorem 21** (Talagrand's Inequality, [21]). *Let  $X$  be a non-negative random variable determined by the independent trials  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ . Suppose that for every set of possible outcomes of the trials, we have:*

- (i) *changing the outcome of any one trial can affect  $X$  by at most  $a$ ; and*
- (ii) *for each  $s > 0$ , if  $X \geq s$  then there is a set of at most  $bs$  trials whose outcomes certify that  $X \geq s$ .*

Then for any  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Pr[|X - E[X]| > t + 20a\sqrt{bE[X]} + 64a^2b] \leq 4e^{-\frac{t^2}{8a^2b(E[X]+t)}}.$$

The theorem below due to [9] gives an upper bound for the list CF chromatic number of a hypergraph in terms of its maximum degree. This result is used in the proofs of our Theorem 32 and 33.



**Theorem 22.** [9] For any hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ ,  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta + 1$ .

We prove the following lemma which states that for a given hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  and a list assignment for  $\mathcal{H}$ , partitions the palette into  $t$  parts such that for each vertex  $v$ , the intersection of its list  $L_v$  with each part of the partition is reasonably large. This lemma is used in the proof of Theorems 26 and 33.

**Lemma 23** ( $\star$ <sup>1</sup>). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hypergraph on  $n$  vertices. Let  $z, t$  be two positive integers, where  $t \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V(\mathcal{H})\}$  be an  $r$ -assignment for  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $r = 5t(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ . For every  $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $i_v$  be an integer that can take any value from 1 to  $t$ . Then, there exist a partitioning of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$  into  $t$  parts, namely  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^1, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^t$ , that satisfy the following property. Let  $L_v^j := L_v \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^j$ . Then,  $\forall v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $9(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil) \geq |L_v^{i_v}| \geq z + \lceil \ln n \rceil$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}' := \{L_v^{i_v} : v \in V(\mathcal{H})\}$  is a  $(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ -assignment for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For any  $u, v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ , since  $i_u \neq i_v$  implies  $L_u^{i_u} \cap L_v^{i_v} = \emptyset$ , we have the following observations regarding Lemma 23.

**Observation 24.** Let  $u, v \in V(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $i_u \neq i_v$ . Then in every  $\mathcal{L}'$ -coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  receive distinct colors.

**Observation 25.** Let  $u, v \in V(\mathcal{H})$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  such that  $i \neq j$ . Then,  $L_u^i \cap L_v^j = \emptyset$ .

Below, we prove the theorem that gives an upper bound on the CF choice number in terms of CF\* choice number. Thus, all the bounds that we prove in this paper for CF\*/CFON\*/CFON\* choice number can be extended for CF/CFON/CFON choice number.

**Theorem 26.** For any hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  with  $|V| = n$ ,  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) = O(ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}) + \ln n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z := ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V\}$  be an  $r$ -assignment for  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $r = 10(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ . Applying Lemma 23 with  $t = 2$  and  $i_v = 1$  ( $\forall v \in V$ ), we get a  $(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ -assignment  $\mathcal{L}'$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider an  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $U$  denote the set of uncolored vertices in this coloring. For each  $u \in U$ , assign any color from the list  $L_u^2$  (this list is non-empty as  $L_u = L_u^1 \uplus L_u^2$ , where  $|L_u| = 10(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$  and  $|L_u^1| = |L_u^{i_u}| \leq 9(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$  (by Lemma 23)). By Observation 25, the coloring thus obtained will continue to maintain its conflict-freeness property.  $\square$

The bound in Theorem 26 is asymptotically tight due to the Proposition 13.

### 3 List CF chromatic number of hypergraphs

#### 3.1 List version of Pach-Tardos Theorem

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 1.2 in [23] from CF coloring to list CF coloring of hypergraphs. The proof below is also a straightforward extension of the proof in [23].

**Theorem 27** ( $\star$ ). For any positive integers  $t$  and  $\Gamma$ , the list conflict-free chromatic number of any hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  in which each edge is of size at least  $2t - 1$  and intersects at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges is  $O(t\Gamma^{1/t} \ln \Gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Here is a brief outline of the proof. Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V\}$ , where each list  $L_v$  ( $\subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ) is sorted in increasing order. We first take a subset  $V'$  of  $V$  such that for every hyperedge  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $2t - 1 \leq |f \cap V'| \leq (2t - 1)(\Gamma + 1)$ . We color the points in  $V'$  using the following iterative process until all the points are colored. In Round  $i$ , each uncolored point then is independently assigned the  $i$ -th color in its list with a probability  $q := \frac{1}{30t\Gamma^{1/t}}$ . We will then show that this procedure yields a list CF coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$  with a non-zero probability. For details, see Section 6.2.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>The proofs of the statements marked with ( $\star$ ) have been moved to Section 6 titled ‘Omitted proofs’.

### 3.2 Near-uniform hypergraphs

The following lemma bounds from above the list CF chromatic number of hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}$  that have near-uniform sized hyperedges. A similar lemma was proved in [6]. We note that an alternate proof for the same result which first appeared in an arxiv paper [4] can be adapted to obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 28** ( $\star$ , Lemma 12 in [4]). *Let  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph satisfying the below conditions:*  
*(i) Every hyperedge intersects with at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges, and*  
*(ii) For every hyperedge  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\alpha \leq |E| \leq \beta$ , where  $\alpha = \max(2^{12}, \lceil 136 \ln(16\Gamma) \rceil)$ .*  
*Then,  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 32\beta$ .*

*Proof.* For each vertex in  $V$ , assign a color that is chosen independently, uniformly at random from its list of size  $32\beta$ . We show that this gives a list CF coloring of  $\mathcal{H}$  with positive probability. For details, see Section 6.3.  $\square$

## 4 List CFCN/CFON chromatic number of graphs

### 4.1 Graphs with high minimum degree

The result below is obtained using a direct application of Theorem 27.

**Corollary 29.** *For any graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and minimum degree  $\delta(G) = \Omega(\ln \Delta)$ . Then, (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ . (ii)  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the open/closed neighborhood hypergraph of  $G$ . Every hyperedge in this hypergraph is of size at least  $2t - 1$  and overlaps with  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges, where  $t = \Omega(\ln \Delta)$  and  $\Gamma = \Delta^2$ . Apply Theorem 27 to obtain the desired result.  $\square$

A version of the following lemma, with different constants, was shown in [6] (see Lemma 9).

**Lemma 30** ( $\star$ ). *Let  $\Delta$  denote the maximum degree of a graph  $G$ . It is given that every vertex in  $G$  has a degree at least  $\frac{c\Delta}{\ln^\epsilon \Delta}$  for some  $\epsilon \geq 0$  and  $c$  is a constant. Then, there exists  $V' \subseteq V(G)$  such that for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ ,*

$$291 \ln(4\Delta) < |N_G(v) \cap V'| < \frac{409}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta).$$

The Corollary below follows from Lemmas 28 and 30.

**Corollary 31.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and minimum degree  $\delta(G)$  is at least  $\frac{c\Delta}{\ln^\epsilon \Delta}$ , where  $c$  is a constant and  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . Then, (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(\ln^{1+\epsilon} \Delta)$ . (ii)  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^{1+\epsilon} \Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that both  $ch_{ON}^*(G)$  and  $ch_{CN}^*(G)$  are at most  $\frac{13088}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta) + 866800$ . If  $\Delta < 866799$ , then we obtain the upper bound by a straightforward application of Theorem 22. So assume  $\Delta \geq 866799$ . Apply Lemma 30 to obtain a subset  $V'$  of  $V(G)$  such that  $291 \ln(4\Delta) < |N_G(v) \cap V'| < \frac{409}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)$ , for all  $v \in V(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph where  $V = V'$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{N_G(v) \cap V' : v \in V(G)\}$ . Each hyperedge  $E$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $291 \ln(4\Delta) < |E| < \frac{409}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)$ . Each hyperedge  $E$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  overlaps with at most  $\Delta^2$  other hyperedges. Apply Lemma 28 with  $\alpha = 272 \ln(4\Delta)$ ,  $\beta = \frac{409}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)$  and  $\Gamma = \Delta^2$  (note that  $\lceil 136 \ln(16\Gamma) \rceil = 272 \lceil \ln(4\Delta) \rceil \geq 2^{12}$  as  $\Delta \geq 866799$ ). We get  $ch_{CF}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 32 \cdot \frac{409}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta) = \frac{13088}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)$ . Note that the coloring obtained is indeed a list CFON\*/CFCN\* coloring of  $G$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 A general upper bound for CFCN\*-choice-number

**Theorem 32.** *For any graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$ ,  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* We first partition  $V(G)$  into two parts. Let  $A := \{v \in V(G) : d_G(v) \geq \ln \Delta\}$  and  $B := V(G) \setminus A$ . We further partition  $A$  into two components, namely  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Let  $A_1 := \{v \in A : d_A(v) \geq \ln \Delta\}$  and  $A_2 := A \setminus A_1$ , where  $d_A(v)$  denotes the number of neighbors of  $v$  in  $A$ . Thus every vertex in  $A_2$  has some neighbor in  $B$ , whereas a vertex in  $A_1$  may or may not have a neighbor in  $B$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V(G)\}$  be a  $r$ -assignment for  $G$  given by the adversary, where  $r = K \cdot \lceil \ln^2 \Delta \rceil$  and  $K$  is a sufficiently large constant. We obtain the desired  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFCN\*-coloring of  $G$  by CF-coloring hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  that are defined below. A CF coloring of the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_1$  will ensure that every vertex in  $A_1$  sees a unique color in its closed neighborhood. In a similar way, a CF coloring of the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_2$  will ensure that every vertex in  $A_2 \cup B$  sees a unique color in its closed neighborhood. Before coloring  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , we update the lists of its vertices to avoid ‘conflicts’. We explain this in detail below.

- Let  $\mathcal{H}_1 = (V_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$  be a hypergraph, where  $V_1 = A$  and  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{N_G[v] \cap A : v \in A_1\}$ . From the definition of  $A_1$ , the minimum size of a hyperedge in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is at least  $\ln \Delta$ . Each hyperedge in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  overlaps with at most  $\Delta^2$  other hyperedges. Hence, by Theorem 27, we have  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}_1) = O(\ln^2 \Delta)$ . Let  $f_1$  denote this coloring. Under  $f_1$ , every vertex in  $A_1$  sees a unique color in its closed neighborhood.

Next, by list CF\* coloring a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_2$  (defined below) having vertex set  $B$ , we intend to take care of every vertex in  $A_2 \cup B$ . This however can lead to problems of two types which are described below.

- Let  $c_v$  be the unique color seen by a vertex  $v \in A_1$  under the coloring  $f_1$ . We should ensure that none of the neighbors of  $v$  in  $B$  receive the color  $c_v$ . For this, we update the lists of vertices  $b \in B$  as  $L_b^1 = L_b \setminus S_b$ , where  $S_b = \{c_v : v \in N_G(b) \cap A_1\}$ . Note that  $|S_b| < \ln \Delta$ .
- Let  $w \in A_2 \cup B$ . Let  $c_w$  be the unique color to be seen by  $w$  under the list-CF\*-coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  (to be defined) having vertex set  $B$ . We need to ensure that  $w$  does not have a neighbor in  $A$  which received the color  $c_w$  under the coloring  $f_1$ . For this purpose, for each vertex  $b \in B$ , we define  $T_b = \{f_1(a) : a \in N_G[w] \cap A, w \in N_G[b] \cap (A_2 \cup B)\}$ . Since  $|N_G[b]| \leq \ln \Delta$  and  $|N_G[w] \cap A| \leq \ln \Delta$ , for all  $w \in (A_2 \cup B)$ , we have  $|T_b| \leq \ln^2 \Delta$ . Let  $L_b^2 = L_b^1 \setminus T_b$ , for every  $b \in B$ . By taking a sufficiently large constant  $K$  (recall that, every list  $L_v$  is of size  $K \cdot \lceil \ln^2 \Delta \rceil$ ), we can ensure that  $|L_b^2| \geq \ln \Delta + 1$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{L}^2 = \{L_b^2 : b \in B\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_2 = (V_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$ , where  $V_2 = B$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{N_G[v] \cap B : v \in A_2 \cup B\}$ . From the definition of  $B$ , the maximum degree of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is at most  $\ln \Delta$ . Hence, by Theorem 22,  $\mathcal{H}_2$  admits an  $\mathcal{L}^2$ -CF\*-coloring.

This completes the proof. □

## 4.3 A bound in terms of claw number

We show an upper bound for the CFON\* choice number for  $K_{1,k}$ -free graphs. We will use Theorem 22 and Lemma 28 to prove Theorem 33.

**Theorem 33.** *Let  $G$  be a  $K_{1,k}$ -free graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then, (i)  $ch_{ON}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$ , and (ii)  $ch_{CN}^*(G) = O(k \ln \Delta + \ln n)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove only Statement (i) here. The reader may observe that the CFON\* coloring of  $G$  obtained here is also a CFCN\* coloring.

Construct a maximal independent set  $A$  of  $G$ . We now partition  $A$  into two. Let  $A_L := \{v \in A : d_G(v) \leq k \ln \Delta\}$  and  $A_H := \{v \in A : d_G(v) > k \ln \Delta\}$ . Here,  $A_L$  are the low-degree vertices in  $A$ , and  $A_H$  are the high-degree vertices in  $A$ . Let  $X := \bigcup_{v \in A_L} N_G(v)$ .

**Observation 34.** *Since  $A$  is a maximal independent set in  $G$ , every vertex  $v \in V(G) \setminus (A \cup X)$  has a neighbor in  $A_H$ . Further,  $v$  cannot have any neighbor in  $A_L$  as all the neighbors of  $A_L$  are in  $X$ .*

We remove all the vertices that belong to  $A \cup X$  from  $G$  to obtain a new graph  $G'$ . We consider a greedy, proper coloring of  $G'$  using  $s$  colors, where  $1 \leq s \leq \Delta + 1$ , as described below. Vertices are colored one by one, and each vertex is given the smallest available color that is not used by any of its colored neighbors so far. Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_s$  be the color classes given by this coloring.

**Observation 35.** *Let  $2 \leq i \leq s$ . Every vertex in the color class  $S_i$  has at least one neighbor in each color class  $S_j$ , for every  $j < i$ .*

We prove the observation by contradiction. Suppose  $v \in S_i$  had no neighbor in  $S_j$ , for some  $j < i$ . Then the greedy algorithm would have given  $v$  a color of value at most  $j$ .

**Observation 36.** *Since  $G$  is  $K_{1,k}$ -free, every vertex in  $G$  has at most  $k - 1$  neighbors in each  $S_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .*

We partition the color classes obtained by the above proper coloring of  $G'$  into two parts,  $B$  and  $C$ . Let  $B := S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_b$ , where  $b = \max\{2^{12}, 272 \ln(4\Delta)\}$ , and let  $C := S_{b+1} \cup \dots \cup S_s$ . Suppose there are at most  $b$  color classes, then  $C = \emptyset$  and  $B = V(G')$  (in this case our proof becomes easy). We proceed with our proof by assuming  $C \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V(G)\}$  be an  $r$ -assignment for  $G$  given by the adversary, where  $r = 25(2^{17}k \ln \Delta + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ . We obtain the desired  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON\*-coloring of  $G$  by list CF\* coloring five hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_5$  that are defined on vertex sets  $V_1 := B, V_2 := A_H, V_3 := A_L, V_4 := X, V_5 := C$ , respectively. Note that  $V(G) = V_1 \uplus V_2 \uplus \dots \uplus V_5$ . Apply Lemma 23 on  $G$  with  $z = 2^{17}k \ln \Delta, t = 5$ , and  $i_v = j$ , when  $v \in V_j, 1 \leq j \leq 5$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}' = \{L_v^{i_v} : v \in V(G)\}$  be an  $(2^{17}k \ln \Delta + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ -assignment for  $G$  given by Lemma 23. By Observation 24 and from the definitions of  $i_v$ 's and hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_5$ , we can infer that in any  $\mathcal{L}'$ -coloring of these hypergraphs no two vertices that belong to two distinct hypergraphs shall receive the same color.

We now construct hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_5$ . An  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-coloring of these hypergraphs will give us the desired  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON\* coloring of  $G$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{H}_1 = (V_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$ , where  $V_1 = B$  and  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{N_{G'}(v) \cap B : v \in C\}$ . Fix a vertex  $v \in C$ . Notice that  $v$  shares a common neighbor with at most  $\Delta^2$  other vertices in  $C$ . Thus, every hyperedge in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  overlaps with at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges, where  $\Gamma \leq \Delta^2$ .

By Observations 35 and 36, any vertex  $v \in C$  has at least  $b$  and at most  $(k - 1)b$  neighbors in  $B$ . So for each  $E \in \mathcal{E}_1$ , we have  $b \leq |E| \leq (k - 1)b$ . By applying Lemma 28 to  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , with  $\alpha = b, \beta = (k - 1)b$ , and  $\Gamma \leq \Delta^2$ , we get  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq 32(k - 1)b = \max\{2^{17}(k - 1), 8704(k - 1) \ln(4\Delta)\} < 2^{17}k \ln \Delta$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-colorable. By coloring a subset of vertices in  $B$ , every vertex in  $C$  is getting to see a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood.

- Now we construct a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_2 = (V_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$ , where  $V_2 = A_H$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{N_G(v) \cap A_H : v \in B\}$ . By Observation 36, the maximum degree of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is at most  $(k - 1)b$ . Thus, by Theorem 22, we have  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}_2) \leq (k - 1)b + 1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-colorable. By coloring a subset of vertices in  $A_H$ , every vertex in  $B$  is getting to see a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood.
- Similarly, we define a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_3 = (V_3, \mathcal{E}_3)$ , where  $V_3 = A_L$  and  $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{N_G(v) \cap A_L : v \in X\}$ . Note that the maximum degree of  $\mathcal{H}_3$  is at most  $k \ln \Delta$ . Thus, by Theorem 22, we have,  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}_3) \leq k \ln \Delta + 1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}_3$  is  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-colorable. By coloring a subset of vertices in  $A_L$ , every vertex in  $X$  is getting to see a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood.

Note that the vertices in  $B \cup C \cup X$  are handled and a subset of vertices in  $A \cup B$  receives colors. Only the vertices in  $A$  are left to be taken care of. We now partition  $A$  as follows: let  $A_X = \{v \in A : N_G(v) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $A_{\overline{X}} = A \setminus A_X$ . That is,  $A_X \subseteq A$  and  $A_{\overline{X}} \subseteq A_H$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{H}_4 = (V_4, \mathcal{E}_4)$  be a hypergraph, where  $V_4 = X$  and  $\mathcal{E}_4 = \{N_G(v) \cap X : v \in A_X\}$ . Since  $A$  is an independent set and since  $G$  is  $K_{1,k}$ -free, the maximum degree of  $\mathcal{H}_4$  is at most  $k - 1$ . Thus, by Theorem 22,  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}_4) \leq k$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}_4$  is  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-colorable. By coloring a subset of vertices in  $X$ , every vertex in  $A_X$  is getting to see a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood.
- Finally, we construct a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_5 = (V_5, \mathcal{E}_5)$ , where  $V_5 = C$  and  $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{N_G(v) \cap C : v \in A_{\overline{X}}\}$ . Since  $A$  is an independent set and since  $G$  is  $K_{1,k}$ -free, the maximum degree of  $\mathcal{H}_5$  is at most  $k - 1$ . Thus, by Theorem 22,  $ch_{CF}^*(\mathcal{H}_5) \leq k$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}_5$  is  $\mathcal{L}'$ -CF\*-colorable. By coloring a subset of vertices in  $C$ , every vertex in  $A_{\overline{X}}$  is getting to see a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood.

The above coloring ensures that every vertex sees a uniquely colored vertex in its open neighborhood. In addition, each vertex in the above coloring process receives a color at most once. Some vertices might not have been colored because they weren't part of the hypergraphs. We have thus obtained an  $\mathcal{L}$ -CFON\* coloring of  $G$ .  $\square$

## 5 Discussion

It was shown in [7] that for a graph  $G$  with treewidth  $t$ ,  $\chi_{ON}(G) \leq 2t + 1$ . A straightforward extension of this proof would yield  $ch_{ON}(G) \leq 2t + 1$ . Since  $G$  is  $t$ -degenerate, we have  $ch_{CN}(G) \leq ch(G) \leq t + 1$ . The *Hadwiger number* of a graph  $G$ , denoted  $h(G)$ , is the size of a largest clique minor of  $G$ . It was shown in [1] that  $\chi_{CN}(G) \leq h(G)$ . It would be interesting to see if such a result can be obtained in the context of CFCN/CFCN\* choice number. The arguments used in proving the above bound in [1] cannot be extended to the list variant. A graph  $G$  is *minor- $k$ -colorable* if every minor of  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable. It was shown in [17] that if  $G$  is minor- $k$ -colorable, then  $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq k$ . Since planar graphs are minor-4-colorable, the CFON\* chromatic number of a planar graph is at most 4. Similarly, the CFON\* chromatic number of an outerplanar graph is at most 3. It would be interesting if one can show similar results for CFON\* choice number. The proof in [17] that uses edge contractions does not work for list CFON\* coloring as it is not clear what is the new list for the new vertex obtained after an edge contraction.

## 6 Omitted proofs

### 6.1 Proof of Lemma 23

*Proof.* We first partition the palette of colors  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$  into  $t$  parts, say  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^1, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^t$ . For each color  $c \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , independently and uniformly at random, choose an integer  $i$  from  $\{1, \dots, t\}$  and add  $c$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^i$ . Fix a vertex  $v$ . Let  $c \in L_v$ . Let  $X_v^c$  be a 0-1 indicator random variable which takes the value 1 if and only if color  $c$  is present in  $L_v^i$ . Let  $X_v := \sum_{c \in L_v} X_v^c$ . Then,  $\mu_v := \mathbb{E}[X_v] = \frac{1}{t} \cdot 5t(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil) = 5(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)$ . Applying the Chernoff bound given in Theorem 20 with  $\delta = \sqrt{3/5}$ ,  $Pr[|X_v - \mu_v| \geq \delta \mu_v] \leq 2e^{-\mu_v \delta^2/3} = 2e^{-(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil)} \leq \frac{2}{ne^z}$ . Applying union bound over all vertices, the probability that there exists a vertex  $v$  with  $|X_v - \mu_v| \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}\mu_v}{\sqrt{5}}$  is strictly less than 1. Thus, with a positive probability,  $\forall v \in V(\mathcal{H}), 9(z + \lceil \ln n \rceil) \geq |L_v^i| \geq z + \lceil \ln n \rceil$ .  $\square$

## 6.2 Proof of Theorem 27

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_v : v \in V\}$ , where each list  $L_v (\subseteq \mathbb{N})$  is sorted in increasing order. Consider a hyperedge  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We know  $|f| \geq 2t - 1$ . We color the points in  $f$  using the following iterative process until all the points in  $f$  are colored. In Round  $i$ , each uncolored point then is independently assigned the  $i$ -th color in its list with a probability  $q$  (to be determined later).

**Lemma 37.** *For any hyperedge  $f$ ,  $Pr[f \text{ is not CF colored from } \mathcal{L}] \leq 2(eqt)^t$ .*

*Proof.* We split the proof into two cases.

**Case 1:**  $|f| = 2t - 1$ .

We claim that, given a partition of the points in  $f$  into  $k$  parts, the probability that the coloring of the points of  $V$  induces the same partition of  $f$  is at most  $q^{2t-1-k}$ . Treating the first point in each part as a *leader*, it is about the probability that the leaders get distinct colors and the rest of the points in each part get the color of its leader. The probability that the rest of the points in each part get the color of its leader given every leader gets a distinct color is at most  $q^{2t-1-k}$ . We know that the number of ways to partition a  $(2t - 1)$ -sized set into  $k$  parts is at most  $\frac{k^{2t-1}}{k!} \leq e^k k^{2t-1-k}$ . The probability that  $f$  is colored with exactly  $k$  colors is at most  $A_k := e^k (qk)^{2t-1-k}$ . We thus have,

$$Pr[f \text{ is not } \mathcal{L}\text{-CF-colored}] \leq Pr[f \text{ is colored with at most } t-1 \text{ colors}] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} A_k \leq 2A_{t-1} \leq (eqt)^t.$$

**Case 2:**  $|f| > 2t - 1$ .

Let  $f'$  denote a set of  $2t$  points in  $f$  such that every point in  $f'$  got a color that is greater than or equal to the color of every point in  $f \setminus f'$ . If  $f$  is not  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF-colored, then there is at most one color that appears exactly once in  $f'$ . Given a partition of the points in  $f'$  into  $k$  parts, the probability that the coloring of the points of  $V$  induces the same partition of  $f'$  is at most  $q^{2t-k}$ . The number of ways to partition a  $2t$ -sized set into  $k$  parts is at most  $e^k k^{2t-k}$ . The probability that  $f'$  is colored with exactly  $k$  colors is at most  $B_k := e^k (qk)^{2t-k}$ . Thus,

$$Pr[f \text{ is not } \mathcal{L}\text{-CF-colored}] \leq Pr[f' \text{ is colored with at most } t \text{ colors}] \leq \sum_{k=1}^t B_k \leq 2B_t \leq 2(eqt)^t. \quad \square$$

## The main proof

*Proof.* We first trim the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$  as described here. We designate some  $2t - 1$  points for each hyperedge in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $V'$  be obtained from  $V$  by removing all those points in  $V$  that are not designated to any hyperedge. Let  $\mathcal{H}' = (V', \mathcal{E}')$  be the hypergraph thus obtained, where  $\mathcal{E}' = \{f \cap V' : f \in \mathcal{E}\}$ . We observe that for each  $f \in \mathcal{E}'$ ,  $2t - 1 \leq |f| \leq (2t - 1)(\Gamma + 1)$ .

We now color the points in  $V'$  using the iterative process described above. In Round  $i$ , each uncolored point then in  $V'$  is independently assigned the  $i$ -th color in its list with probability  $q$ . Let  $q = \frac{1}{30t\Gamma^{1/t}}$ . For a hyperedge  $f \in \mathcal{E}'$ , let  $A_f$  denote the event that  $f$  is not CF-colored from  $\mathcal{L}$  after the iterative process is over. From Lemma 37, we know that  $Pr[A_f] \leq \frac{1}{5\Gamma}$ . Let  $T = O(t\Gamma^{1/t} \ln \Gamma)$ . Let  $B_f$  denote the event that some point in  $f$  is not colored after  $T$  rounds of the iterative coloring. We have  $Pr[B_f] \leq (1 - q)^T \leq \frac{1}{20\Gamma^3}$ . Let  $C_f$  be the bad event that  $A_f$  or  $B_f$  occurs. Then,  $Pr[C_f] \leq \frac{1}{e(\Gamma+1)}$ . Note that  $C_f$  is independent from all events  $C_g$  for edges  $g$  that are disjoint from  $f$ . Applying Lemma 19 (the Local Lemma) with  $p = \frac{1}{e(\Gamma+1)}$  and  $d = \Gamma$ , we conclude that there is a non-zero probability that  $\mathcal{H}'$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF-colored, and thereby  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF\*-colored.  $\square$

## 6.3 Proof of Lemma 28

*Proof.* For each vertex in  $V$ , assign a color that is chosen independently, uniformly at random from its list of size  $32\beta$ . For any hyperedge  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $X_E$  be a random variable that denotes

the number of vertices in  $E$  whose color is not unique in  $E$ . Thus, for any vertex  $v$  in  $E$ , we have

$$\Pr[\text{color of } v \text{ is not unique in } E] \leq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{32\beta}\right)^{|E|-1} \leq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{|E|-1}{32\beta}\right) \leq \frac{|E|}{32\beta}.$$

Then, by linearity of expectation,

$$E[X_E] \leq \frac{|E|^2}{32\beta} \leq \frac{|E|}{32}.$$

We claim that the random variable  $X_E$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 21 (Talagrand's Inequality) with  $n = |E|$ ,  $a = 2$ , and  $b = 2$ . The value of  $X_E$  is determined by  $|E|$  independent trials. Changing the outcome of any trial can affect  $X_E$  by at most 2. For any  $s > 0$ , if it is given that  $X_E \geq s$ , then there is a set of at most  $2s$  trials whose outcomes would ensure that  $X_E$  is at least  $s$ , regardless of the outcomes of the remaining trials. This proves our claim. Let  $A = \frac{|E|}{2} + 20a\sqrt{bE[X]} + 64a^2b = \frac{|E|}{2} + 40\sqrt{2E[X]} + 512$ . Applying Theorem 21 with  $t = |E|/2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[|X_E - E[X_E]| > A] &\leq 4e^{-\frac{|E|^2/4}{64(E[X_E] + |E|/2)}} \\ &\leq 4e^{-\frac{|E|^2}{256(17|E|/32)}} \quad (\text{since } E[X_E] \leq \frac{|E|}{32}) \\ &\leq 4e^{-\frac{|E|}{136}} \\ &< 4e^{-\ln(16\Gamma)} \quad (\text{since } |E| \geq \alpha \geq \lceil 136 \ln(16\Gamma) \rceil) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E[X_E] \leq \frac{|E|}{32}$ , we have

$$E[X_E] + A \leq \frac{17|E|}{32} + 40\sqrt{\frac{2|E|}{32}} + 512 = \frac{17|E|}{32} + 10\sqrt{|E|} + 512 < |E|,$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that  $|E| \geq \alpha \geq 2^{12}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[X_E = |E|] &= \Pr[X_E - |E| \geq 0] \\ &\leq \Pr[X_E - (E[X_E] + A) > 0] \\ &= \Pr[(X_E - E[X_E]) > A] \\ &\leq \Pr[|X_E - E[X_E]| > A] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_E$  denote the bad event that  $X_E = |E|$ . From the above calculations, we know that  $\Pr[A_E] \leq \frac{1}{4\Gamma}$ . We can apply the Local Lemma (Lemma 19) on the events  $A_E$ , for all hyperedges  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since each hyperedge intersects with at most  $\Gamma$  other hyperedges, and  $4 \cdot \frac{1}{4\Gamma} \cdot \Gamma \leq 1$ , we get  $\Pr[\cap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} (\overline{A_E})] > 0$ . That is,  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -CF colorable for any  $k$ -assignment  $\mathcal{L}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $k = 32\beta$ .  $\square$

## 6.4 Proof of Lemma 30

*Proof.* We first construct a random subset  $V'$  by picking each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  independently and uniformly at random with probability  $\frac{350 \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)}{c\Delta}$  into  $V'$ . For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $X_v$  be a random variable that denotes  $|N_G(v) \cap V'|$ . Then,  $\mu_v := \mathbb{E}[X_v] = \frac{350 \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)}{c\Delta} \cdot |N_G(v)| \geq$

$350 \ln(4\Delta)$ . We know that the size of the neighborhood of  $v$  in a graph  $G$  is at most  $\Delta$ . Thus, we also have,  $\mu_v \leq \frac{350 \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)}{c}$ . Let  $A_v$  denote the event that  $|X_v - \mu_v| \geq \delta \mu_v$ , where  $0 < \delta < 1$  is a constant. Applying the Chernoff bound given in Theorem 20 with  $\delta = 1/6$ ,  $Pr[A_v] \leq 2e^{-\mu_v \delta^2/3} \leq 2e^{-\frac{350 \ln(4\Delta)}{108}} \leq \frac{2}{(4\Delta)^3}$ . Each event  $A_v$  is mutually independent of all but at most  $\Delta^2$  other events  $A_u$ . Applying the local lemma (see Lemma 19) with  $p = Pr[A_v] \leq \frac{2}{(4\Delta)^3}$  and  $d = \Delta^2$ , we have  $4 \cdot \frac{2}{(4\Delta)^3} \cdot \Delta^2 \leq 1$ . Thus, there is a non-zero probability that none of the events  $A_v$  occur. This implies that, with a positive probability, there exists a  $V' \subseteq V(G)$  such that,  $\forall v \in V(G)$ ,  $291 \ln(4\Delta) < |N_G(v) \cap V'| < \frac{409}{c} \ln^{1+\epsilon}(4\Delta)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Zachary. Abel, Victor. Alvarez, Erik D. Demaine, Sándor P. Fekete, Aman. Gour, Adam. Hesterberg, Phillip. Keldenich, and Christian. Scheffer. Conflict-free coloring of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 32(4):2675–2702, 2018.
- [2] Noga Alon and Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free colorings of shallow discs. In *Proceedings of the twenty-second annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 41–43, 2006.
- [3] Sriram Bhyravarapu, Shiwali Gupta, Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram, and Rogers Mathew. Extremal results on conflict-free coloring. *CoRR*, abs/2305.02570, 2023.
- [4] Sriram Bhyravarapu, Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram, and Rogers Mathew. Conflict-free coloring on open neighborhoods of claw-free graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.12173*, 2021.
- [5] Sriram Bhyravarapu, Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram, and Rogers Mathew. A short note on conflict-free coloring on closed neighborhoods of bounded degree graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 97(4):553–556, 2021.
- [6] Sriram Bhyravarapu, Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram, and Rogers Mathew. Conflict-free coloring on claw-free graphs and interval graphs. In *47th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2022)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022.
- [7] Hans L. Bodlaender, Sudeshna Kolay, and Astrid Pieterse. Parameterized complexity of conflict-free graph coloring. *SIAM J. Discret. Math.*, 35(3):2003–2038, 2021.
- [8] Panagiotis Cheilaris. *Conflict-free coloring*. City University of New York, 2009.
- [9] Panagiotis Cheilaris, Shakhar Smorodinsky, and Marek Sulovský. The potential to improve the choice: list conflict-free coloring for geometric hypergraphs. In *Proceedings of the twenty-seventh annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 424–432, 2011.
- [10] Michał Dębcki and Jakub Przybyło. Conflict-free chromatic number versus conflict-free chromatic index. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 99(3):349–358, 2022.
- [11] Khaled M. Elbassioni and Nabil H. Mustafa. Conflict-free colorings of rectangles ranges. In Bruno Durand and Wolfgang Thomas, editors, *STACS 2006, 23rd Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, Marseille, France, February 23-25, 2006, Proceedings*, volume 3884 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 254–263. Springer, 2006.
- [12] P. Erdős and L. Lovász. Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions. *Infinite and finite sets*, 10:609–627, 1975.



- [13] Guy Even, Zvi Lotker, Dana Ron, and Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free colorings of simple geometric regions with applications to frequency assignment in cellular networks. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 33(1):94–136, January 2004.
- [14] Roman Glebov, Tibor Szabó, and Gábor Tardos. Conflict-free colouring of graphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 23(3):434–448, 2014.
- [15] Sylvain Gravier. A hajós-like theorem for list coloring. *Discrete Mathematics*, 152(1-3):299–302, 1996.
- [16] Sarel Har-Peled and Shakhar Smorodinsky. On conflict-free coloring of points and simple regions in the plane. In *Proceedings of the nineteenth annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 114–123, 2003.
- [17] Fei Huang, Shanshan Guo, and Jinjiang Yuan. A short note on open-neighborhood conflict-free colorings of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 34(3):2009–2015, 2020.
- [18] Prasad Krishnan, Rogers Mathew, and Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram. Pliable index coding via conflict-free colorings of hypergraphs. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 70(6):3903–3921, 2024.
- [19] Nissan Lev-Tov and David Peleg. Conflict-free coloring of unit disks. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157(7):1521–1532, 2009.
- [20] M. Mitzenmacher and E. Upfal. *Probability and computing: Randomized algorithms and probabilistic analysis*. Cambridge Univ Pr, 2005.
- [21] Michael Molloy and Bruce A. Reed. Colouring graphs when the number of colours is almost the maximum degree. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 109:134–195, 2014.
- [22] János Pach and Gábor Tardos. Conflict-free colourings of graphs and hypergraphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 18(5):819–834, 2009.
- [23] Janos Pach and Gábor Tardos. Conflict-free colourings of graphs and hypergraphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 18(5):819–834, 2009.
- [24] János Pach and Géza Tóth. Conflict-free colorings. In *Discrete and Computational Geometry: The Goodman-Pollack Festschrift*, pages 665–671. Springer, 2003.
- [25] Shakhar Smorodinsky. *Conflict-Free Coloring and its Applications*, pages 331–389. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.