

# HÖLDER REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS OF THE STEADY BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH SOFT POTENTIALS

KUNG-CHIEN WU AND KUAN-HSIANG WANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the Hölder regularity of solutions to the steady Boltzmann equation with in-flow boundary condition in bounded and strictly convex domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  for gases with cutoff soft potential ( $-3 < \gamma < 0$ ). We prove that there is a unique solution with a bounded  $L^\infty$  norm in space and velocity. This solution is Hölder continuous, and its order depends not only on the regularity of the incoming boundary data, but also on the potential power  $\gamma$ . The result for modulated soft potential case  $-2 < \gamma < 0$  is similar to hard potential case ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ) since we have  $C^1$  velocity regularity from collision part. However, we observe that for very soft potential case ( $-3 < \gamma \leq -2$ ), the regularity in velocity obtained by the collision part is lower (Hölder only), but the boundary regularity still can transfer to solution (in both space and velocity) by transport and collision part under the restriction of  $\gamma$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The model.** In this paper, we study the regularity of solutions to the following steady Boltzmann equation with cutoff soft potential:

$$(1) \quad v \cdot \nabla_x F = \frac{1}{\kappa_n} Q(F, F), \quad (x, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where  $\kappa_n$  is the Knudsen number. In (1),  $F(x, v) \geq 0$  denotes the density distribution function for gas particles with position  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and microscopic velocity  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . The left-hand side of (1) models the transport of particles and the operator on the right-hand side models the effect of collisions during the transport,

$$Q(F, G)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma b(\vartheta) [F(v')G(u') - F(v)G(u)] d\omega du,$$

where  $\gamma = 1$  denotes hard sphere case,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$  denotes hard potential case,  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  denotes soft potential case, the post-collisional velocities of particles satisfy

$$v' = v - [(v - u) \cdot \omega] \omega, \quad u' = u + [(v - u) \cdot \omega] \omega, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

and  $\vartheta$  is defined by

$$\cos \vartheta = \frac{|(v - u) \cdot \omega|}{|v - u|}.$$

Throughout this paper, we consider the soft potential ( $-3 < \gamma < 0$ ),  $b(\vartheta)$  satisfies the Grad cutoff assumption

$$0 < b(\vartheta) \leq C \cos \vartheta$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ , and  $\kappa_n = 1$  in our problem.

We assume that  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \xi(x) < 0\}$  is connected and bounded with  $\xi(x)$  be a smooth function and  $\nabla \xi(x) \neq 0$  at the boundary  $\xi(x) = 0$ . The outward normal vector at  $\partial\Omega$  is given by  $n(x) = \frac{\nabla \xi(x)}{|\nabla \xi(x)|}$ . We say that  $\Omega$  is strictly convex if there is  $c_\xi > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i,j} \partial_{i,j} \xi(x) \zeta^i \zeta^j \geq c_\xi |\zeta|^2$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We denote the phase boundary of the phase space  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$  as  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , and split it into three parts, an outgoing boundary  $\Gamma_+$ , an incoming boundary  $\Gamma_-$ , and a singular boundary  $\Gamma_0$  for grazing velocities:

$$\Gamma_+ = \{(x, v) \in \Gamma : n(x) \cdot v > 0\};$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Boltzmann equation; regularity; soft potential.  
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q20; 82C40.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_- &= \{(x, v) \in \Gamma : n(x) \cdot v < 0\}; \\ \Gamma_0 &= \{(x, v) \in \Gamma : n(x) \cdot v = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_{T,w,\rho}$  be a local Maxwellian with density  $\rho$ , bulk velocity  $w$ , and temperature  $T$  and be defined as

$$M_{T,w,\rho}(x, v) := \rho(2\pi T)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|v-w|^2}{2T}}.$$

Moreover,  $M(v) := M_{1,0,1}(v) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}$  is a global Maxwellian. In this paper, the steady Boltzmann equation (1) is given with the in-flow boundary condition and  $\kappa_n = 1$  as follows:

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ F = \widetilde{M}, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

where  $\widetilde{M}(x, v) \geq 0$  is a given function and is taken as a small perturbation of the global Maxwellian  $M(v)$ . One example is the local Maxwellian  $M_{T(x),0,1}$ , which describes a non-isothermal wall temperature for the motion of rarefied gases in a bounded domain.

It is well known that the global Maxwellian  $M(v)$  is a (steady-state) solution to (1). Therefore, it is natural to consider (2) around the global Maxwellian  $M(v)$  with the standard perturbation  $f = f(x, v)$  to  $M(v)$  as

$$F = M + M^{\frac{1}{2}} f.$$

After substituting  $F$  into (2), the problem for  $f$  reads as

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf = N(f, f), & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

where  $r = (\widetilde{M} - M)M^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $L$  is the linearized collision operator defined as

$$\begin{aligned}Lf &= -M^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ Q(M, M^{\frac{1}{2}} f) + Q(M^{\frac{1}{2}} f, M) \right] \\ &= \nu(v)f - Kf,\end{aligned}$$

and  $N$  is the nonlinear operator defined as

$$\begin{aligned}N(f, g)(v) &= M^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)Q(M^{\frac{1}{2}} f, M^{\frac{1}{2}} g)(v) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v-u|^\gamma b(\vartheta) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) (f(v')g(u') - f(v)g(u)) d\omega du \\ &=: N_+(f, g)(v) - N_-(f, g)(v).\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\nu$  is a multiplicative operator,  $K$  is an integral operator, and  $N_+$  and  $N_-$  correspond to the gain part and loss part in  $Q$  respectively. Moreover, the loss part  $N_-$  can be rewritten as  $N_-(f, g)(v) = f(v)\varphi(g)(v)$ , where  $\varphi(g)$  is defined as

$$(4) \quad \varphi(g)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v-u|^\gamma b(\vartheta) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) g(u) d\omega du.$$

**1.2. Notations.** Let us define some notations used in this paper. Denote the  $L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ -norm by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . For the phase boundary integration, we denote  $d\Gamma = |n(x) \cdot v| dS_x dv$  with the surface measure  $dS_x$  and denote the  $L^p(\Gamma)$ -norm by

$$|f|_\infty = \sup_{(x,v) \in \Gamma} |f(x, v)|$$

and

$$|f|_p = \left( \int_\Gamma |f(x, v)|^p d\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Furthermore, we denote the  $L^p(\Gamma_\pm)$ -norm by  $|f|_{p,\pm} = |f \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_\pm}|_p$ . We use  $C_a, C_b, \dots$  to denote the positive constants depending on  $a, b, \dots$ , respectively. For simplicity of notations, hereafter, we abbreviate “ $\leq C$ ” to “ $\lesssim$ ”, where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on fixed numbers, and the notation  $A \sim B$  denotes the statement that  $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$ .  $a^\pm$  denotes  $a \pm \epsilon$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrary small. Let  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , the notation  $v_{1,2}$  is defined as  $v_{1,2} = v_1$  if  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$  and

$v_{1,2} = v_2$  if  $|v_1| < |v_2|$ . Denote  $\langle v \rangle^s = (1 + |v|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define a function presenting the distance between  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  as  $d_x = \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} |x - y|$ , and denote  $d_{(x,y)} = \min\{d_x, d_y\}$ . We define a velocity weight function

$$w(v) = w_{\tau,\kappa}(v) = \langle v \rangle^\tau e^{\kappa|v|^2},$$

where  $\tau > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$  are given constants.

**1.3. Review of previous work and main result.** The regularity and singularity of solutions of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains for various boundary conditions have been an interesting and challenging question in theoretical studies. The Boltzmann equation reads as

$$\partial_t F(t, x, v) + v \cdot \nabla_x F(t, x, v) = Q(F, F)(t, x, v).$$

For a general setting of the initial boundary value problems, we refer the reader to [4, 5, 15]. In the existing literature, there are a few papers concerning the regularity and continuity of solutions of the Boltzmann equation in the bounded domain. In [19], Guo studied the time decay and continuity of solutions of the Boltzmann equation with hard sphere and hard potential in bounded domains for four basic types of boundary conditions: in-flow, bounce-back reflection, specular reflection, and diffuse reflection. In convex domains, Guo showed that the continuity of these solutions away from the grazing set  $\Gamma_0$ . After that, Kim [23] demonstrated that singularity (discontinuity) of the Boltzmann solutions does occur in non-convex domains for in-flow, diffuse reflection, and bounce-back boundary conditions. Furthermore, the author showed that discontinuity propagates only along the forward characteristics emanating from the grazing set.

In [21], Guo-Kim-Tanon-Trescases studied the regularity problem for solutions of the Boltzmann equation in convex domains. The authors showed the non-existence of second order spatial normal derivative of the Boltzmann solutions at the boundary even in convex domains or symmetric domains. Furthermore, they constructed weighted  $C^1$  solutions away from the grazing set for the specular, bounce-back, and diffuse reflection boundary conditions. However, the weighted  $C^1$  norm grows without bound as the time increases; therefore such estimates do not give any information on the stationary Boltzmann solution through large time behavior. Based on the observation above, Chen [7] investigated the regularity of stationary solutions to the linearized Boltzmann equation in convex domains. Chen proved the stationary linearized Boltzmann solution is Hölder continuous with order  $\frac{1}{2}^-$  away from the boundary provided the incoming data have the same regularity. Very recently, Chen and Kim [6] considered the steady Boltzmann equation (1) with the non-isothermal diffuse reflection boundary condition in strictly convex domains for fixed  $\kappa_n \sim 1$ . The authors constructed a locally  $C^{1,\beta}$  solutions away from the grazing boundary, for any  $0 < \beta < 1$ , when the non-isothermal wall temperature  $T(x) \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$  is a small fluctuation around any constant temperature. For more related results, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 9, 11, 20, 24, 26] and references therein.

We notice that there seems to be rarely concerned with the regularity of the Boltzmann solution for the soft potential case in the existing literature. Based on the fact, the main goal of this paper is to study the Hölder regularity of the stationary Boltzmann solutions on the effects of the modulated soft potential and the boundary regularity. Therefore, we assume that  $\kappa_n = 1$  in this paper for simplicity of presentation. For more related problem involving the Knudsen number, we refer the reader to [10, 12, 13, 14, 22, 28] and references therein.

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and let  $\tau > 3 + |\gamma|$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . There exist  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $C_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$|w_{\tau,\kappa} r|_{\infty,-} \leq \delta_0,$$

*then there exists a unique nonnegative solution  $F_* = M + M^{\frac{1}{2}} f_*$  to the steady problem (2) satisfying the estimate*

$$\|w_{\tau,\kappa} f_*\|_\infty + |w_{\tau,\kappa} f_*|_\infty \leq C_0 |w_{\tau,\kappa} r|_{\infty,-}.$$

*Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex and  $r$  is continuous on  $\Gamma_-$ , then  $F_*$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma_0$ . In addition, when  $\Omega$  is strictly convex, assume further that there exist  $m > 0$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  such*

that

$$(5) \quad |r(y_1, v_1) - r(y_2, v_2)| \leq m(|y_1 - y_2| + |v_1 - v_2|)^\beta$$

for every  $(y_1, v_1), (y_2, v_2) \in \Gamma_-$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$ . Then the solution  $F_*$  is Hölder continuous locally in  $\Omega$  with order  $\min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . More precisely, for every  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$|f_*(x_1, v_1) - f_*(x_2, v_2)| \leq \tilde{C}_0 m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-2} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}$$

for some constant  $\tilde{C}_0 > 0$  depending on  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , and  $\Omega$ .

In order to prove our main theorem, we rewrite the equation (3) as

$$\begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf + \varphi(f)f = N_+(f, f), & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

Since we consider the problem in perturbative region, one may expect the regularity of solutions to (3) could be determined by the regularity estimates of the stationary linearized Boltzmann equation:

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the approach for our problem is by constructing the Hölder regularity of the linearized problem, then design a suitable iterative scheme to solve the nonlinear problem. Precisely, we design the iteration as follows:

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f^{j+1} + Lf^{j+1} + \varphi(f^j)f^{j+1} = N_+(f^j, f^j), & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f^{j+1} = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

In order to proceed, we need to study the existence and continuity of the following approximate steady Boltzmann equation:

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf + \varphi(g_1)f = g_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

where  $g_1, g_2$  are given. To achieve this goal, modify the approach in [11], we turn to consider the solvability of the following approximate problem:

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon f^\epsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f^\epsilon + \nu(v)f^\epsilon - \lambda K f^\epsilon + \varphi(g_1)f^\epsilon = g_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f^\epsilon = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

Following the procedures of [11, Lemma 3.5], we only obtain the existence of solutions of (9) for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda^*$  for some  $\lambda^* < 1$  due to the extra term  $\varphi(g_1)f^\epsilon$ . To obtain the existence for  $\lambda = 1$ , we construct a velocity-weighted  $L^2$  estimate independent of  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$ , which is also used to obtain a unique solution constructed from the  $L^\infty$  convergence of  $f^\epsilon$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Next, we turn to study the Hölder regularity of the linearized Boltzmann equation (6). For each  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $v \neq 0$ , we define the backward exit time:

$$t_b(x, v) = \sup\{t > 0 : x - sv \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t\}$$

and hence  $x - t_b(x, v)v \in \partial\Omega$ . We also define the backward exit position in  $\partial\Omega$ :

$$x_b(x, v) = x - t_b(x, v)v \in \partial\Omega.$$

Then we always have  $v \cdot n(x_b(x, v)) < 0$  whenever  $x \in \Omega$ . Note that for any  $(x, v)$ , we use  $t_b(x, v)$  whenever it is well-defined. To analyze the linearized problem, from the method of characteristics, we get the integral form of the stationary linearized Boltzmann solution:

$$f(x, v) = f(x_b(x, v), v)e^{-\nu(v)t_b(x, v)} + \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} K f(x - vs, v) ds$$

for every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ . To obtain the Hölder regularity, we follow the pioneering work of Vidav [27] to iterate  $Kf$  once more to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x, v) \\
&= f(x_b(x, v), v) e^{-\nu(v)t_b(x, v)} \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) f(x_b(x - vs, \eta), \eta) e^{-\nu(\eta)t_b(x - vs, \eta)} d\eta ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) \int_0^{t_b(x - vs, \eta)} e^{-\nu(\eta)\tau} Kf(x - vs - \eta\tau, \eta) d\tau d\eta ds \\
&=: F_1(f)(x, v) + F_2(f)(x, v) + F_3(f)(x, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Our estimate is based on [7] for the linearized Boltzmann equation with hard potential. The velocity regularity of  $F_i(f)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , come directly from the velocity regularity of  $r$  on  $\Gamma_-$  and the Hölder regularity of the integral operator  $K$ . The space regularity of  $F_1(f)$  and  $F_2(f)$  come from the space regularity of  $r$  on  $\Gamma_-$ . For the space regularity of  $F_3(f)$ , the key observation is that the regularity in velocity of  $K(f)$  can be partially transferred to space due to transport and collision.

Based on the approach in [7], one need the estimate of  $\nabla_v K$  to obtain the velocity regularity results of  $F_2(f)$  and  $F_3(f)$ , and the space regularity result of  $F_3(f)$ . Therefore, to generalize the result [7] from hard potential to soft potential in the linearized level, the main difficulty is that we do not have gradient estimate of the integral operator  $K$  for  $-3 < \gamma \leq -2$  due to the singularity of the kernel function  $k(v, \eta)$ . Indeed, one can show that  $\nabla_v Kf$  is uniformly bounded in velocity for  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  if  $\gamma > -2$ . For  $-3 < \gamma \leq -2$ , instead of the gradient estimate, one can only show that the Hölder regularity of  $Kf$  in velocity (see Lemma 3). Our main contribution here is that, for the soft potential case  $-2 < \gamma < 0$ , we get similar result for hard potential case. However, for the very soft potential case  $-3 < \gamma \leq -2$ , based on the Hölder regularity of  $Kf$ , one can prove that the boundary regularity can transfer to the solution but with the restriction of the velocity regularity of  $K$ .

In our linearized estimate (see Theorem 15), we provide two different kind of singularity behaviors (see (45) and (46)) in our result. The estimate (46) presents that the singularity occurs when the position is close to the boundary, while the estimate (45) presents that part of the space singularity can be replaced as the velocity singularity when velocity goes to zero. The later one (see (46)) is the standard presentation for the boundary value problem. However, the former one (see (45)) is necessary for our nonlinear estimate and we will explain it below.

In order to achieve the Hölder regularity estimate of the nonlinear problem, one need to consider the approximate steady Boltzmann equation (8) with  $g_1 = g_2$ . If we apply the estimate (46) to the approximate problem, the space singularity will increase and therefore it is unable to solve the nonlinear problem. However, if one apply estimate (45), then the integral operator  $K$  will absorb the velocity singularity and there one can control the singularity. With above preparation, one can design the iteration (7) to solve the nonlinear problem.

**1.4. Plan of the paper.** In Section 2, we present some basic estimates for the operators  $L, \nu, K$ , and  $N$ , and also some basic properties of the backward exit time  $t_b$  and the backward exit position  $x_b$ . The existence of solutions to the approximate problem (8) will be proved in Section 3. In Section 4, we study the Hölder regularity of the linearized problem, and the Hölder regularity of the approximate problem will be discussed in Section 5. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1 will be presented in section 6.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Basic estimates for  $L$  and  $N$ .** It is well known that the null space of the linearized collision operator  $L$  is a five-dimensional vector space with the orthonormal basis  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^4$ , where

$$\text{Ker}(L) = \{\phi_0, \phi_i, \phi_4\} = \left\{ M^{\frac{1}{2}}, v_i M^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Based on this property, we define the macro-micro decomposition for each  $f \in L_v^2$ : let  $Pf$  (the macroscopic part) be the orthogonal projection of  $f$  onto  $\text{Ker}(L)$ , i.e.,  $Pf = \sum_{i=0}^4 \langle f, \phi_i \rangle \phi_i$ , and  $(I - P)f = f - Pf$  be the microscopic part of  $f$ . Furthermore, from [18, Lemma 3], there is a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$(10) \quad \langle Lf, f \rangle \geq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(v) |(I - P)f|^2 dv.$$

The linearized collision operator  $L$  consists of a multiplicative operator  $\nu$  and an integral operator  $K$ :

$$Lf = \nu(v)f - Kf,$$

where

$$\nu(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma b(\vartheta) M(u) d\omega du$$

and

$$Kf = -K_1f + K_2f$$

is defined as [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1f(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma b(\vartheta) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) f(u) d\omega du; \\ K_2f(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma b(\vartheta) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) \left( M^{\frac{1}{2}}(v') f(u') + M^{\frac{1}{2}}(u') f(v') \right) d\omega du. \end{aligned}$$

We next present a number of properties and estimates of the multiplicative operator  $\nu(v)$  and the integral operator  $K$ , which can be found in [1, 8, 16, 17, 25]. For the multiplicative operator  $\nu(v)$ , there exist  $0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2$  such that

$$\nu_1 \langle v \rangle^\gamma \leq \nu(v) \leq \nu_2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma,$$

and for each multi-index  $\alpha$ ,

$$|\partial_v^\alpha \nu(v)| \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\gamma - |\alpha|}.$$

For the integral operator  $K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Kf &= -K_1f + K_2f = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_1(v, \eta) f(\eta) d\eta + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_2(v, \eta) f(\eta) d\eta \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) f(\eta) d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

the kernels  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are symmetric, i.e.,  $k_i(v, \eta) = k_i(\eta, v)$ , and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(v, \eta) &\leq C |v - \eta|^\gamma e^{-\frac{|v|^2 + |\eta|^2}{4}}; \\ k_2(v, \eta) &\leq C_\varepsilon (1 + |v| + |\eta|)^{\gamma-1} A(|v - \eta|) e^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{8} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , together with

$$(11) \quad A(|v - \eta|) = \begin{cases} |v - \eta|^{-1}, & \text{if } -1 < \gamma < 0, \\ |v - \eta|^{-1} |\ln |v - \eta||, & \text{if } \gamma = -1, \\ |v - \eta|^\gamma, & \text{if } -3 < \gamma < -1, \end{cases}$$

and their derivatives have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_v k_1(v, \eta)|, |\nabla_\eta k_1(v, \eta)| \lesssim |v - \eta|^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{|v|^2 + |\eta|^2}{4}}; \\ &|\nabla_v k_2(v, \eta)|, |\nabla_\eta k_2(v, \eta)| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1 + |v|}{(1 + |v| + |\eta|)^{1-\gamma}} \frac{A(|v - \eta|)}{|v - \eta|} e^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{8} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $-3 < \tilde{\gamma} < 0$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . If  $\alpha < 3 + \tilde{\gamma}$ , then

$$(12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v - \eta|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)} \langle \eta \rangle^\tau |\eta|^{-\alpha} d\eta \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\tau - \alpha - 1}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4} \left( |u|^2 + \frac{(|u + v_1|^2 - |v_1|^2)^2}{|u|^2} \right)} |v_2 + u|^{-\alpha} du \lesssim \langle v_1 \rangle^{-1} \langle v_2 \rangle^{-\alpha}$$

for any  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{4}$  and for any  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* For (12), we divide the proof into three cases.

(i)  $|\eta| \geq 2|v|$ . In this case, one has  $|v - \eta| \sim |\eta|$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\eta| \geq 2|v|} |v - \eta|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)} \langle \eta \rangle^\tau |\eta|^{-\alpha} d\eta \\ & \lesssim \int_{|\eta| \geq 2|v|} |\eta|^{\tilde{\gamma} - \alpha} \langle \eta \rangle^\tau e^{-C|\eta|^2} d\eta \\ & \lesssim \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{2|v|}^\infty \rho^{\tilde{\gamma} - \alpha + 2} (1 + \rho)^\tau e^{-C\rho^2} \sin \phi \, d\rho d\theta d\phi \\ & \lesssim e^{-\frac{C}{2}|v|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\frac{|v|}{2} < |\eta| < 2|v|$ . By using the spherical coordinates around  $v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{|v|}{2} < |\eta| < 2|v|} |v - \eta|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)} \langle \eta \rangle^\tau |\eta|^{-\alpha} d\eta \\ & \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\tau |v|^{-\alpha} \int_{\frac{|v|}{2} < |\eta| < 2|v|} |v - \eta|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)} d\eta \\ & \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\tau |v|^{-\alpha} \int_{0 < |\eta| < 3|v|} |\eta|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |\eta|^2 + \frac{(2v \cdot \eta + |\eta|^2)^2}{|\eta|^2} \right)} d\eta \\ & \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\tau |v|^{-\alpha} \int_0^{3|v|} \rho^{\tilde{\gamma} + 2} e^{-\frac{1}{16}\rho^2} \left( \int_0^\pi e^{-\frac{1}{16}(2|v| \cos \phi + \rho)^2} \sin \phi d\phi \right) d\rho \\ & \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\tau - \alpha - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $|\eta| \leq \frac{|v|}{2}$ . One has  $|v - \eta| \sim |v|$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\eta| \leq \frac{|v|}{2}} |v - \eta|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v - \eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v - \eta|^2} \right)} \langle \eta \rangle^\tau |\eta|^{-\alpha} d\eta \\ & \lesssim |v|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-C|v|^2} \int_{|\eta| \leq \frac{|v|}{2}} \langle \eta \rangle^\tau |\eta|^{-\alpha} d\eta \\ & \lesssim |v|^{\tilde{\gamma}} e^{-C|v|^2} \int_0^{\frac{|v|}{2}} (1 + \rho)^\tau \rho^{-\alpha + 2} d\rho \\ & \lesssim e^{-C|v|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates (13) is essential same as that of (12), so we omit it here. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma shows the Hölder regularity for the kernel of the integral operator  $K$ , which plays a crucial rule in this paper.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ . For every  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| d\eta \lesssim |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \max \{ \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma - 1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma - 1} \},$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma$  is defined as

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } -2 < \gamma < 0, \\ 1^-, & \text{if } \gamma = -2, \\ 3 + \gamma, & \text{if } -3 < \gamma < -2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ , then we have

$$|Kf(x, v_1) - Kf(x, v_2)| \lesssim \|f\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-1}\}.$$

*Proof.* For  $|v_1 - v_2| \geq 1$ , by (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| d\eta &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta)| + |k(v_2, \eta)| d\eta \\ &\lesssim |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $|v_1 - v_2| < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{|\eta - v_1| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|} |k(v_1, \eta)| d\eta + \int_{|\eta - v_1| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|} |k(v_2, \eta)| d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{|\eta - v_1| \geq 2|v_1 - v_2|} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| d\eta \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By using the spherical coordinates around  $v_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim (1 + |v_1|)^{\gamma-1} \int_{|\eta - v_1| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|} A(|\eta - v_1|) e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |\eta - v_1|^2 + \frac{(|\eta|^2 - |v_1|^2)^2}{|\eta - v_1|^2} \right)} d\eta \\ &\lesssim (1 + |v_1|)^{\gamma-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2|v_1 - v_2|} A(\rho) \rho^2 e^{-\frac{1}{16} \rho^2} \left( \int_0^\pi e^{-\frac{1}{16} (2|v_1| \cos \phi + \rho)^2} \sin \phi d\phi \right) d\rho d\theta \\ &\lesssim (1 + |v_1|)^{\gamma-2} \int_0^{2|v_1 - v_2|} \rho^{\bar{\gamma}+2} d\rho \\ &\lesssim (1 + |v_1|)^{\gamma-2} |v_1 - v_2|^{3+\bar{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is defined as

$$(14) \quad \bar{\gamma} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } -1 < \gamma < 0, \\ (-1)^-, & \text{if } \gamma = -1, \\ \gamma, & \text{if } -3 < \gamma < -1. \end{cases}$$

For  $I_2$ , note that  $|\eta - v_2| \leq |\eta - v_1| + |v_1 - v_2| \leq 3|v_1 - v_2|$ , and therefore we conclude

$$I_2 \lesssim (1 + |v_2|)^{\gamma-2} |v_1 - v_2|^{3+\bar{\gamma}}.$$

For  $I_3$ , let  $v(s) = v_2 + s(v_1 - v_2)$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Note that in this case, we have

$$|\eta - v(s)| \geq |\eta - v_1| - (1-s)|v_1 - v_2| \geq |v_1 - v_2|.$$

Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{|\eta - v_1| \geq 2|v_1 - v_2|} \left| \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{d}{ds} k(v(s), \eta) \right] ds \right| d\eta \\ &\lesssim |v_1 - v_2| \int_0^1 (1 + |v(s)|)^\gamma \\ &\quad \times \int_{|\eta - v(s)| \geq |v_1 - v_2|} |\eta - v(s)|^{\bar{\gamma}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |\eta - v(s)|^2 + \frac{(|\eta|^2 - |v(s)|^2)^2}{|\eta - v(s)|^2} \right)} d\eta ds \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim |v_1 - v_2| \left( \int_0^1 (1 + |v(s)|)^{\gamma-1} ds \right) \int_{|v_1-v_2|}^{\infty} \rho^{\tilde{\gamma}+1} e^{-\frac{1}{16}\rho^2} d\rho \\
&\lesssim |v_1 - v_2| \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-1}\} \left( \int_{|v_1-v_2|}^1 \rho^{\tilde{\gamma}+1} d\rho + \int_1^{\infty} \rho^{\tilde{\gamma}+1} e^{-\frac{1}{16}\rho^2} d\rho \right) \\
&\lesssim \begin{cases} |v_1 - v_2| \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-1}\}, & \text{if } -2 < \gamma < 0, \\ |v_1 - v_2| |\ln |v_1 - v_2|| \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-1}\}, & \text{if } \gamma = -2, \\ |v_1 - v_2|^{3+\gamma} \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-1}\}, & \text{if } -3 < \gamma < -2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we have

$$I_3 \lesssim |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-1}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-1}\}.$$

This complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Next, we present some useful estimates for the nonlinear operator  $N$ .

**Lemma 4.** ([11, Lemma 2.4]) *Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
|w(v)N_+(f, g)(v)| &\lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \|wf\|_\infty \|wg\|_\infty; \\
|w(v)N_-(f, g)(v)| &\lesssim \langle v \rangle^\gamma \|wf\|_\infty \|wg\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.** ([24, Lemma 3.1]) *It holds that*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} &N_+(f, g)(v) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\eta=0}^v \frac{|u+\eta|^\gamma}{|u|^2} b \left( \frac{|u|}{|u+\eta|} \right) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(v+u+\eta) f(v+u) g(v+\eta) d\eta du \end{aligned}$$

$$(16) \quad = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{u=\eta=0}^v \frac{|u+\eta|^\gamma}{|\eta||u|} b \left( \frac{|u|}{|u+\eta|} \right) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(v+u+\eta) f(v+u) g(v+\eta) dud\eta.$$

With (15) and (16), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and for every  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|N_+(g, g)(x_1, v) - N_+(g, g)(x_2, v)| \\
&\lesssim \|wg\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(|u|) e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}(|u|^2 + \frac{(|u+v|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{|u|^2})} |g(x_1, v+u) - g(x_2, v+u)| du; \\
&|N_+(g, g)(x, v_1) - N_+(g, g)(x, v_2)| \\
&\lesssim \|wg\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(|u|) \left( e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}(|u|^2 + \frac{(|u+v_1|^2 - |v_1|^2)^2}{|u|^2})} + e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4}(|u|^2 + \frac{(|u+v_2|^2 - |v_2|^2)^2}{|u|^2})} \right) \\
&\quad \times |g(x, v_1+u) - g(x, v_2+u)| du \\
&+ \|wg\|_\infty^2 |v_1 - v_2|; \\
&|\varphi(g)(x_1, v) - \varphi(g)(x_2, v)| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v-u|^\gamma M^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) |g(x_1, u) - g(x_2, u)| du; \\
&|\varphi(g)(x, v_1) - \varphi(g)(x, v_2)| \\
&\lesssim \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^\gamma M^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_2+u) |g(x, v_1+u) - g(x, v_2+u)| du,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A(|u|)$  is defined as (11).

*Proof.* This proof is most same as that of [24, Lemma 3.2] and is omitted here.  $\square$

**2.2. Some estimates for  $t_b$  and  $x_b$ .** In this subsection, we will present some results about the backward exit time  $t_b$  and its associate position  $x_b$ , which will be used in our analysis.

**Proposition 7.** ([7, Propositions 3.1 and 3.3]) *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $x, x_1, x_2, \in \Omega$ ,  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $\theta_{(v_1, v_2)}$  be the angle between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Then it holds that*

$$(17) \quad |x_b(x_1, v) - x_b(x_2, v)| \lesssim d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2|;$$

$$(18) \quad |t_b(x_1, v) - t_b(x_2, v)| \lesssim d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1};$$

$$(19) \quad |x_b(x, v_1) - x_b(x, v_2)| \lesssim d_x^{-1} \theta_{(v_1, v_2)}.$$

**Proposition 8.** ([7, Proposition 3.2]) *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $y \in \overline{xx_b(x, v)}$ , then*

$$\left| \overline{yx_b(x, v)} \right| \leq \frac{R}{d_x} d_y,$$

where  $R$  is the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

The following lemma shows that the singularity in velocity can be transferred to the singularity in space.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , and  $0 < d \leq R$  for some  $R > 0$ . For any  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$ , we have*

$$(20) \quad \left( \frac{d}{|v_1|} \right)^s e^{-\frac{\nu(v_2)}{|v_1|} d} \leq C_{\gamma, s, R} \langle v_1 \rangle^{-s}.$$

*Proof.* For  $|v_1| \leq 1$ , one has  $\nu(v_2) \sim 1$ . Thus,

$$\left( \frac{d}{|v_1|} \right)^s e^{-\frac{\nu(v_2)}{|v_1|} d} \leq \left( \frac{d}{|v_1|} \right)^s e^{-C_\gamma \frac{d}{|v_1|}} \leq C_{\gamma, s}.$$

For  $|v_1| > 1$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{d}{|v_1|} \right)^s e^{-\frac{\nu(v_2)}{|v_1|} d} \leq R^s |v_1|^{-s}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $a > -1$ . For any  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , we have*

$$(21) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} s^a e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{-1-a};$$

$$(22) \quad \left| \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \right| \lesssim d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}.$$

Let  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $|v_1| \geq |v_2| > 0$  and  $\bar{v}_2 = \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|} v_2$ , then

$$(23) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x, v_1)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_2)s} - e^{-\nu(v_1)s} \right| ds \lesssim |v_1 - v_2|;$$

$$(24) \quad \left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} \nu(v_1) e^{-\nu(v_1)s} ds \right| \lesssim d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \langle v_1 \rangle^{-1};$$

$$(25) \quad \left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} \nu(v_2) e^{-\nu(v_2)s} ds \right| \lesssim d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \langle v_1 \rangle^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* For (21), we divide the proof into two cases.

(i)  $|v| \leq 1$ . One has  $\nu(v) \sim 1$  and  $t_b(x, v) = \frac{|xx_b(x, v)|}{|v|} \leq \frac{R}{|v|}$ , where  $R$  is the diameter of  $\Omega$ . We then have

$$\int_0^{t_b(x, v)} s^a e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \leq \int_0^\infty s^a e^{-C_\gamma s} ds = C_{\gamma, a}.$$

(ii)  $|v| > 1$ . One has  $\nu(v) \sim |v|^\gamma$ . We then have

$$\int_0^{t_b(x,v)} s^a e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \leq \int_0^{\frac{R}{|v|}} s^a e^{-C_\gamma |v|^\gamma s} ds = |v|^{-1-a} \int_0^R t^a dt = C_{a,\Omega} |v|^{-1-a}.$$

For (22), using the mean value theorem and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_b(x_2,v)}^{t_b(x_1,v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \right| &\leq |t_b(x_1,v) - t_b(x_2,v)| e^{-\nu(v) \min\{t_b(x_1,v), t_b(x_2,v)\}} \\ &\lesssim d_{(x_1,x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1,x_2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

For (23), using the mean value theorem and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_b(x,v_1)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_2)s} - e^{-\nu(v_1)s} \right| ds &= \int_0^{t_b(x,v_1)} \left| \int_{\nu(v_1)}^{\nu(v_2)} s e^{-st} dt \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_b(x,v_1)} s |\nu(v_2) - \nu(v_1)| e^{-\min\{\nu(v_1), \nu(v_2)\}s} ds \\ &\leq |v_1 - v_2| |\nabla_v \nu(v(t))| \int_0^{t_b(x,v_1)} s e^{-\frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} \nu(v_1)s} ds \\ &\lesssim |v_1 - v_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v(t) = v_1 + t(v_2 - v_1)$  for some  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

For (24), let  $\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)}$  be the angle between  $v_1$  and  $\bar{v}_2$ . One can see that  $|v_1 - \bar{v}_2| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|$  and

$$\sin(\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)}/2) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}|v_1 - \bar{v}_2|}{|v_1|} \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)}.$$

Thus

$$\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} \lesssim |v_1 - v_2| |v_1|^{-1}.$$

Now we divide the proof into two cases:

(i)  $\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} \geq \frac{1}{3}\pi$ . By using (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} \nu(v_1) e^{-\nu(v_1)s} ds \right| &= \left| e^{-\nu(v_1)t_b(x, v_1)} - e^{-\nu(v_1)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right| \\ &\lesssim \theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} \min\{|\overline{xx_b(x, v_1)}|, |\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|\}} \\ &\lesssim |v_1 - v_2| |v_1|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \\ &\lesssim d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| |v_1|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} < \frac{1}{3}\pi$ . From [19, Lemma 2], we have

$$(26) \quad \nabla_v t_b(x, v) = \frac{t_b(x, v) n(x_b(x, v))}{v \cdot n(x_b(x, v))}.$$

Let  $Y$  be the projection of  $x$  on the tangent plane  $T_{x_b(x, v)}(\partial\Omega)$ . By the convexity of domain  $\Omega$ ,  $\overline{xY}$  intersects  $\partial\Omega$  at one point  $X$ . Then

$$(27) \quad \frac{|v \cdot n(x_b(x, v))|}{|v|} = \frac{|\overline{xY}|}{|\overline{xx_b(x, v)}|} \geq \frac{|\overline{xX}|}{|\overline{xx_b(x, v)}|} \geq \frac{d_x}{|\overline{xx_b(x, v)}|}.$$

Let  $v(t) = v_1 + t(\bar{v}_2 - v_1)$  for some  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|v_1| \leq |v(t)| \leq |v_1|$ . By using the mean value theorem, (20), (26), and (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} \nu(v_1) e^{-\nu(v_1)s} ds \right| &= \left| e^{-\nu(v_1)t_b(x, v_1)} - e^{-\nu(v_1)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right| \\ &= \left| (v_1 - \bar{v}_2) \cdot e^{-\nu(v_1)t_b(x, v(t))} \nu(v_1) \nabla_v t_b(x, v(t)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim |v_1 - v_2| \nu(v_1) \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, v(t))}|}{d_x |v(t)|} t_b(x, v(t)) e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v(t)|} |\overline{xx_b(x, v(t))}|} \\
&\lesssim d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (25), note that we have  $x_b(x, \bar{v}_2) = x_b(x, v_2)$  and  $|\bar{v}_2 - v_2| \leq |v_1 - v_2|$ . We divide the proof into two cases:

(i)  $\frac{|v_1|}{2} \leq |v_2| \leq |v_1|$ . By using the mean value theorem and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} \nu_2 e^{-\nu(v_2)s} ds \right| \\
&\leq \nu(v_2) \left( \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|}{|v_2|} - \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}|}{|\bar{v}_2|} \right) e^{-\nu(v_2) \min\{t_b(x, v_2), t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)\}} \\
&\leq \nu(v_2) |v_1 - v_2| \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|}{|v_1|^2} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_2)}{2|v_1|} |\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|} \\
&\lesssim d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $|v_2| < \frac{|v_1|}{2}$ . One has  $|v_1| \sim |v_1 - v_2|$ . Thus, by (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} \nu(v_2) e^{-\nu(v_2)s} ds \right| = \left( e^{-\nu(v_2)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} - e^{-\nu(v_2)t_b(x, v_2)} \right) \\
&\leq [t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)]^{-1} [t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)] e^{-\nu(v_2)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\
&\leq \frac{|v_1|}{|\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|} \langle v_1 \rangle^{-1} \\
&\lesssim d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \langle v_1 \rangle^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. APPROXIMATE STEADY BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In this section, we will construct the solution to problem (8). The precise statement of the main result in this section is as follows:

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ , and let  $\tau > 3 + |\gamma|$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Assume that  $\|wg_1\|_\infty + \|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty, -} < \infty$ . Then there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that if  $\|wg_1\|_\infty \leq \delta_1$ , there is a unique solution  $f$  to problem (8) satisfying*

$$\|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \leq C (\|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty, -}).$$

Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are continuous on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $r$  is continuous on  $\Gamma_-$ , then  $f$  is continuous away from  $\Gamma_0$ .

To prove this proposition, following the approach in [11], we turn to consider the solvability of the approximate boundary-value problem:

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nu(v)f - \lambda K f + \varphi(g_1)f = g_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

In what follows we give the *a priori*  $L^\infty$ -estimate and *a priori*  $L^2$ -estimate for our problem. Consider the following approximate linearized steady problem

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nu(v)f - \lambda K f = g, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

Let  $h(x, v) = w(v)f(x, v)$ . Then problem (29) for  $h$  reads as

$$(30) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + \nu(v)h = \lambda K_w h + wg, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ h = wr, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

where  $K_w h = wK(h/w)$ . For the result of the  $L^\infty$  bound of solutions to problem (30), we have the following lemma whose proof is essential same as that in [11, Lemma 3.4] and is omitted here.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\tau > 3$ , and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Assume that  $h$  is the solution of (30). Then*

$$\|h\|_\infty + |h|_\infty \leq C \left( \|\nu^{-1}wg\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-} + \left\| \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}h}{w} \right\|_2 \right),$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$ .

For the  $L^\infty$  bound of solutions to problem (28), we have the following result.

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\tau > 3$ , and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . There exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  independent of  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$  such that if  $\|wg_1\|_\infty \leq \delta_1$  and  $f$  is a solution of (28), then*

$$(31) \quad \|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \leq C \left( \|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-} + \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}f\|_2 \right),$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Note that, from Lemma 4, we have

$$(32) \quad |\varphi(g)(x, v)| \leq \widehat{C} \|wg\|_\infty \nu(v),$$

where  $\widehat{C} > 0$  depends only on  $\gamma$ . Applying Lemma 12 with  $g = g_2 - \varphi(g_1)f$ , it follows from (32) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \\ & \leq C \left( \|\nu^{-1}w[g_2 - \varphi(g_1)f]\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-} + \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}f\|_2 \right) \\ & \leq C\widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty\|wf\|_\infty + C \left( \|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-} + \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}f\|_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the inequality (31) holds provided that  $\|wg_1\|_\infty$  is small enough.  $\square$

The following lemma gives an *a priori*  $L^2$  estimate.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Assume that  $f$  is a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \lambda Lf = g, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense of

$$\int_{\Gamma} \psi f(v \cdot n(x)) dv dS(x) - \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot \nabla_x \psi) f dv dx = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} (-\epsilon f - \lambda Lf + g) \psi dv dx,$$

for any  $\psi \in H^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then

$$\|Pf\|_2 \leq C \left( \epsilon \|f\|_2 + \lambda \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - P)f\|_2 + \|g\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} + |r|_{2,-} \right),$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $Pf(x, v) = \left( a(x) + b(x) \cdot v + c(x) \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \right) M^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)$  on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . The estimates of  $b(x)$  and  $c(x)$  are essential same as that in [13, Lemma 3.3], except the estimate of  $a(x)$ . For the estimate of  $a(x)$ , it is similar as that of  $c(x)$  under the case of in-flow boundary condition. Therefore, we omit the details of the proof here for simplicity of presentation.  $\square$

Now we are in a position to prove Proposition 11:

*Proof of Proposition 11.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . We first consider the solvability of the following boundary value problem for  $\lambda$ :

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbb{L}_\lambda := \epsilon f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nu(v)f + \varphi(g_1)f - \lambda Kf = g_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we denote  $\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}$  to be the solution operator associated with problem (33). Because the proof is very long, we divide it into several steps.

**Step 1. The existence of  $\mathbb{L}_0^{-1}$ .** Let  $h(x, v) = w(v)f(x, v)$ , then the problem (33) for  $h$  with  $\lambda = 0$  reads as

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + \nu(v)h + \varphi(g_1)h = g_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ h = wr, & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{cases}$$

By the method of characteristics, for almost every  $(x, v) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_-)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, v) &= w(v)r(x_b(x, v), v)e^{-(\epsilon + \nu(v))t_b(x, v) - \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} \varphi(g_1)(x - v\ell, v)d\ell} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-(\epsilon + \nu(v))s - \int_0^s \varphi(g_1)(x - v\ell, v)d\ell} w(v)g_2(x - vs, v)ds \end{aligned}$$

and for  $(x, v) \in \Gamma_-$ , one has

$$h(x, v) = w(v)r(x, v).$$

By (32), we have

$$e^{(-\epsilon + \nu(v))s - \int_0^s \varphi(g_1)(x - v\ell, v)d\ell} \leq e^{-(\epsilon + \frac{\nu(v)}{2})s}$$

provided that  $\|wg_1\|_\infty$  is small enough. Thus, we deduce

$$\|h\|_\infty + |h|_\infty \leq |wr|_{\infty, -} + C_1 \epsilon^{-1} \|wg_2\|_\infty.$$

Let  $(x, v) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma_0$ . If  $\Omega$  is strictly convex, then it holds that  $v \cdot n(x_b(x, v)) < 0$ , which implies that  $t_b(x, v)$  and  $x_b(x, v)$  are smooth by [19, Lemma 2]. Therefore, if  $g_1, g_2$ , and  $r$  are continuous, we conclude that  $h$  is continuous away from  $\Gamma_0$ .

**Step 2. The existence of  $\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*]$ .** We first give an *a priori estimate*. For any given  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*]$  with  $\lambda^* = 1 - \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty$ , where  $\widehat{C}$  is as in (32). Multiplying the first equation of (33) by  $f$  and using the Green's identity, one has

$$\epsilon \|f\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f|_{2,+}^2 + \lambda \langle Lf, f \rangle + (1 - \lambda) \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2^2 + \langle \varphi(g_1) f, f \rangle = \langle g_2, f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} |r|_{2,-}^2,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the  $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  inner product. It follows from  $\langle Lf, f \rangle \geq 0$  and (32) that

$$\epsilon \|f\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f|_{2,+}^2 + (1 - \lambda - \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty) \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|f\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|g_2\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} |r|_{2,-}^2.$$

Thus,

$$(34) \quad \|f\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} = \|\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1} g_2\|_2 + |\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1} g_2|_{2,+} \leq C_\epsilon (\|g_2\|_2 + |r|_{2,-}).$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 13 and (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (35) \quad & \|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \\ & \leq C_2 \left( \|\nu^{-1} wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty, -} + \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2 \right) \\ & \leq C_\epsilon \left( \|\nu^{-1} wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty, -} + \|g_2\|_2 + |r|_{2,-} \right) \\ & \leq C_\epsilon \left( \|\nu^{-1} wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty, -} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nu^{-1} w \bar{g}_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\nu^{-1} w \tilde{g}_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Assume that  $\bar{f} = \mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1} \bar{g}_2$  and  $\tilde{f} = \mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1} \tilde{g}_2$  are the solutions to (33) with  $g_2$  replaced by  $\bar{g}_2$  and  $\tilde{g}_2$ , respectively. Then

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon(\bar{f} - \tilde{f}) + v \cdot \nabla_x(\bar{f} - \tilde{f}) + \nu(v)(\bar{f} - \tilde{f}) + \varphi(g_1)(\bar{f} - \tilde{f}) - \lambda K(\bar{f} - \tilde{f}) = \bar{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_2, \\ \bar{f} - \tilde{f}|_{\Gamma_-} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (34) and (35), we have

$$(36) \quad \|\bar{f} - \tilde{f}\|_2 + |\bar{f} - \tilde{f}|_{2,+} \leq C_\epsilon \|\bar{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_2\|_\infty;$$

$$(37) \quad \|w(\bar{f} - \tilde{f})\|_\infty + |w(\bar{f} - \tilde{f})|_\infty \leq C_\epsilon \|\nu^{-1} w(\bar{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_2)\|_\infty.$$

Evidently, the uniqueness of solutions to (33) follows from (36)–(37). Note that the constant  $C_\epsilon$  in (34)–(37) does not depend on  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*]$ , which is crucial to extend  $\mathbb{L}_0^{-1}$  to  $\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}$  by a bootstrap argument. We now define a Banach space

$$B = \{f(x, v) : wf \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\Gamma), f(x, v)|_{\Gamma_-} = r(x, v)\}.$$

Then we define a map on  $B$  by  $T_\lambda f = \mathbb{L}_0^{-1}(\lambda Kf + g_2)$ . By (35), one has  $T_\lambda : B \rightarrow B$ . For any  $f_1, f_2 \in B$ , by using (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w(T_\lambda f_1 - T_\lambda f_2)\|_\infty \\ & \leq C_\epsilon \|\nu^{-1}w(\lambda Kf_1 + g_2 - \lambda Kf_2 - g_2)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \lambda C_\epsilon \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can take  $\lambda_\epsilon > 0$  small sufficiently such that  $\lambda_\epsilon C_\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $T_\lambda : B \rightarrow B$  is a contraction mapping for  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_\epsilon]$ . Hence,  $T_\lambda$  has a fixed point, i.e., there is  $f \in B$  such that  $f = T_\lambda f = \mathbb{L}_0^{-1}(\lambda Kf + g_2)$ . Define  $T_{\lambda_\epsilon + \lambda} f = \mathbb{L}_{\lambda_\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda Kf + g_2)$ . Note that estimates (34)–(37) for  $\mathbb{L}_{\lambda_\epsilon}^{-1}$  are independent of  $\lambda_\epsilon$ . By repeating the previous procedures, we conclude that  $T_{\lambda_\epsilon + \lambda} : B \rightarrow B$  is a contraction mapping for  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_\epsilon]$ . Step by step, we can obtain the existence of  $\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*]$  with  $\lambda^* = 1 - \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty$ . Evidently, the operator  $\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}$  satisfies (34)–(37). Furthermore, the fixed point  $f$  can be found by defining a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  with  $f_0 \equiv 0$  and  $f_{n+1} = T_\lambda(f_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . Since the sequence under consideration always converges in  $L^\infty$ , we conclude that the solution is continuous away from  $\Gamma_0$  when  $\Omega$  is strictly convex.

**Step 3. The existence of  $\mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in [\lambda^*, 1]$ .** Note that  $\lambda^* > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $\|wg_1\|_\infty$  sufficiently small. We first give an *a priori estimate*. For any given  $\lambda \in [\lambda^*, 1]$  with  $\lambda^* = 1 - \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty$ , multiplying the equation of (33) by  $f$  and using the Green's identity, one has

$$\epsilon \|f\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f|_{2,+}^2 + \lambda \langle Lf, f \rangle + (1 - \lambda) \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2^2 = -\langle \varphi(g_1)f, f \rangle + \langle g_2, f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} |r|_{2,-}^2.$$

It follows from (10) and (32) that

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad & \epsilon \|f\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f|_{2,+}^2 + \lambda C_0 \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - P)f\|_2^2 \\ & \leq (\lambda - \lambda^*) \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2^2 + |\langle g_2, f \rangle| + \frac{1}{2} |r|_{2,-}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 14 with  $g = g_2 - \varphi(g_1)f - (1 - \lambda)\nu(v)f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (39) \quad & \|Pf\|_2 \leq C_3 \left[ \epsilon \|f\|_2 + \lambda \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - P)f\|_2 + \|g\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} + |r|_{2,-} \right] \\ & \leq C_3 \left[ \epsilon \|f\|_2 + \lambda \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - P)f\|_2 + \left( \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty + 1 - \lambda \right) \|\nu f\|_2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|g_2\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} + |r|_{2,-} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (38) and (39), we deduce from  $\lambda \geq \lambda^* > \frac{1}{2}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} \\ & \leq \|Pf\|_2 + \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - P)f\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} \\ & \leq C_4 \left[ \epsilon \|f\|_2 + \lambda \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - P)f\|_2 + \left( \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty + 1 - \lambda \right) \|\nu f\|_2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|g_2\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} + |r|_{2,-} \right] \\ & \leq \overline{C}_4 \left[ \left( \widehat{C}\|wg_1\|_\infty + 1 - \lambda + (\lambda - \lambda^*) \right) \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2 + |\langle g_2, f \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|g_2\|_2 + |r|_{2,-} \right] \\ & \leq \overline{C}_4 \left( \|wg_1\|_\infty \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2 + \delta \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2 + C_\delta \|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_2\|_2 + |r|_{2,-} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\|wg_1\|_\infty$  and  $\delta > 0$  small enough, we have

$$(40) \quad \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_2 + |f|_{2,+} \leq \overline{C}_\delta \left( \|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_2\|_2 + |r|_{2,-} \right) \leq \widetilde{C}_\delta \left( \|\nu^{-1} w g_2\|_\infty + |w r|_{\infty,-} \right).$$

Applying Corollary 13 with (40), we have

$$(41) \quad \|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \leq C_5 (\|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-}).$$

Let  $\nu^{-1}w\bar{g}_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\nu^{-1}w\tilde{g}_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Assume that  $\bar{f} = \mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}\bar{g}_2$  and  $\tilde{f} = \mathbb{L}_\lambda^{-1}\tilde{g}_2$  are two solutions to (33) with  $g_2$  replaced by  $\bar{g}_2$  and  $\tilde{g}_2$ , respectively. Applying (40) and (41) gives

$$(42) \quad \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{f} - \tilde{f})\|_2 + |\bar{f} - \tilde{f}|_{2,+} \leq C_6 \|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_2)\|_2;$$

$$(43) \quad \|w(\bar{f} - \tilde{f})\|_\infty + |w(\bar{f} - \tilde{f})|_\infty \leq C_6 \|\nu^{-1}w(\bar{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_2)\|_\infty.$$

Note that the constant  $C_6$  in (42)–(43) does not depend on  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda \in [\lambda^*, 1]$ . We define a map on  $B$  by  $T_{\lambda^*+\lambda}f = \mathbb{L}_{\lambda^*}^{-1}(\lambda Kf + g_2)$ . For any  $f_1, f_2 \in B$ , by using (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w(T_{\lambda^*+\lambda}f_1 - T_{\lambda^*+\lambda}f_2)\|_\infty \\ & \leq C_6 \|\nu^{-1}w(\lambda Kf_1 + g_2 - \lambda Kf_2 - g_2)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \lambda \bar{C}_6 \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We take  $\lambda_0 > 0$  sufficiently small such that  $\lambda_0 \bar{C}_6 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $T_{\lambda^*+\lambda} : B \rightarrow B$  is a contraction mapping for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ . Step by step, we can obtain the existence of  $\mathbb{L}_1^{-1}$  and  $\mathbb{L}_1^{-1}$  satisfies (40)–(43). Furthermore, since the sequence under consideration always converges in  $L^\infty$ , the solution is continuous away from  $\Gamma_0$  when  $\Omega$  is strictly convex.

**Step 4. The convergence of  $f^\epsilon$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .** Let  $f^\epsilon$  be the solution of (33) with  $\lambda = 1$  obtained by step 3. For any  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$ ,  $f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x (f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2}) + L(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2}) + \varphi(g_1)(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2}) = -\epsilon_1 f^{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_2 f^{\epsilon_2}, \\ f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2}|_{\Gamma_-} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Repeating the similar arguments in (38)–(41), we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2})\|_2^2 + |f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2}|_{2,+}^2 & \lesssim \|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\epsilon_1 f^{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_2 f^{\epsilon_2})\|_2^2 \\ & \lesssim (\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) \left( \|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}f^{\epsilon_1}\|_2^2 + \|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}f^{\epsilon_2}\|_2^2 \right) \\ & \lesssim (\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) (\|wf^{\epsilon_1}\|_\infty^2 + \|wf^{\epsilon_2}\|_\infty^2) \\ & \lesssim (\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) (\|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty^2 + |wr|_{\infty,-}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying Corollary 13 to  $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2})$  and (44), it follows from  $\tau > 3 + |\gamma|$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2})\|_\infty + |w\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2})|_\infty \\ & \lesssim \|w(\epsilon_1 f^{\epsilon_1} - \epsilon_2 f^{\epsilon_2})\|_\infty + \|\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(f^{\epsilon_1} - f^{\epsilon_2})\|_2 \\ & \lesssim (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) (\|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists a function  $f$  such that  $\|\nu w(f^\epsilon - f)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , and it holds that

$$\|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \leq C (\|\nu^{-1}wg_2\|_\infty + |wr|_{\infty,-}).$$

Furthermore, since the sequence under consideration always converges in  $L^\infty$ , the solution is continuous away from  $\Gamma_0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. HÖLDER REGULARITY OF STATIONARY LINEARIZED PROBLEM

In this section we study the Hölder regularity of solutions to the stationary linearized Boltzmann problem (6). The main result of this section is stated as follows:

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ , and let  $\tau > 3 + |\gamma|$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Assume that  $|wr|_{\infty,-} < \infty$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $f$  to problem (6) satisfying*

$$\|wf\|_\infty + |wf|_\infty \leq C|wr|_{\infty,-}$$

for some positive constant  $C = C_{\gamma,\tau,\Omega}$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex and  $r$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , then  $f$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma_0$ . Assume further that  $r$  satisfies (5) when  $\Omega$  is strictly



convex. Let  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$ . Then, there exist  $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Omega} > 0$  and  $c = c_\gamma > 0$  such that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$(45) \quad |f(x_1, v_1) - f(x_2, v_2)| \leq \tilde{C}(|wr|_{\infty, -} + m) \times \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}.$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$(46) \quad |f(x_1, v_1) - f(x_2, v_2)| \lesssim (|wr|_{\infty, -} + m) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-2} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}.$$

In order to prove the result, as mentioned in introduction, we will discuss the Hölder regularity for  $F_1(f)$ ,  $F_2(f)$ , and  $F_3(f)$ . We first investigate the Hölder regularity to  $F_3(f)$ . Define

$$G(f)(x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{t_b(x, \eta)} k(v, \eta) e^{-\nu(\eta)\tau} K f(x - \eta\tau, \eta) d\tau d\eta.$$

Then

$$F_3(f)(x, v) = \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} G(x - vs, v) ds.$$

Following the idea of [7], we use the spherical coordinates  $(\rho, \phi, \theta)$  by  $\eta = (\rho \cos \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \cos \phi)$  and  $|\eta| = \rho$ , then do the change of variable from  $\tau$  to  $r$  by  $r = \rho\tau$ . We then get

$$\begin{aligned} G(f)(x, v) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{t_b(x, \eta)} k(v, \eta) e^{-\nu(\eta)\tau} K f(x - \eta\tau, \eta) \rho^2 \sin \phi d\tau d\theta d\phi d\rho \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{|\frac{x x_b(x, \eta)}{\rho}|} k(v, \eta) e^{-\nu(\eta)\frac{r}{\rho}} K f\left(x - \frac{\eta}{\rho} r, \eta\right) \rho \sin \phi dr d\theta d\phi d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

From the convexity of the domain  $\Omega$ , we can parametrize  $\Omega$  by  $(r, \theta, \phi)$ . Let  $y = x - \frac{\eta}{\rho} r$ , then  $y \in \Omega$ ,  $r = |x - y|$ ,  $\eta = \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \rho$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} G(f)(x, v) &= \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega k\left(v, \frac{(x-y)}{|x-y|} \rho\right) e^{-\nu\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \rho\right) \frac{|x-y|}{\rho}} K f\left(y, \frac{(x-y)}{|x-y|} \rho\right) \frac{\rho}{|x-y|^2} dy d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma is the Hölder regularity of  $G(f)$  for space variable.

**Lemma 16.** Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$ . Then there exists  $C = C_{\gamma, \Omega, \alpha} > 0$  such that

$$(47) \quad |G(f)(x_1, v) - G(f)(x_2, v)| \leq C \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha.$$

for every  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be the diameter of  $\Omega$ . By (12), (21), and  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have

$$(48) \quad |G(f)(x, v)| \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \langle v \rangle^{2\gamma-5}.$$

(48) implies that (47) is obvious for  $|x_1 - x_2| \geq 1$ . Now, we only need to consider the case of  $|x_1 - x_2| < 1$ . For simplicity of notation, let  $X(x) = \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \rho$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|G(f)(x_1, v) - G(f)(x_2, v)| \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left| k(v, X(x_1)) \frac{e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1-y|}{\rho}}}{|x_1-y|^2} - k(v, X(x_2)) \frac{e^{-\nu(X(x_2)) \frac{|x_2-y|}{\rho}}}{|x_2-y|^2} \right| \\ &\quad \times |K f(y, X(x_2))| \rho dy d\rho \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega |k(v, X(x_1))| e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1-y|}{\rho}} \frac{\rho}{|x_1-y|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times |Kf(y, X(x_1)) - Kf(y, X(x_2))| dyd\rho \\ & =: G_k + G_K. \end{aligned}$$

For  $G_k$ , we divide the domain of the integration into three subdomains:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \left\{ (\rho, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \Omega : |y - x_1| \geq 4|x_1 - x_2|, |v - X(x_1)| \geq 4\rho \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_1 - y|} \right\}; \\ D_2 &= \left\{ (\rho, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \Omega : |y - x_1| \geq 4|x_1 - x_2|, |v - X(x_1)| < 4\rho \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_1 - y|} \right\}; \\ D_3 &= \{ (\rho, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \Omega : |y - x_1| < 4|x_1 - x_2| \}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_k &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho \left| k(v, X(x_1)) \frac{e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho}}}{|x_1 - y|^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k(v, X(x_2)) \frac{e^{-\nu(X(x_2)) \frac{|x_2 - y|}{\rho}}}{|x_2 - y|^2} \right| dyd\rho \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \iint_{D_1} \dots + \iint_{D_2} \dots + \iint_{D_3} \dots \right) \\ &=: \|f\|_\infty (G_{k1} + G_{k2} + G_{k3}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the fundamental theorem of calculus to  $G_{k1}$ , we have

$$G_{k1} = \iint_{D_1} \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left[ k(v, X(x(s))) \frac{e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}}}{|x(s) - y|^2} \right] ds \right| dyd\rho,$$

where  $x(s) = x_2 + s(x_1 - x_2)$ . With straight calculations one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left[ k(v, X(x(s))) \frac{e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}}}{|x(s) - y|^2} \right] \right| \\ & \leq |x_1 - x_2| e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}} \left( |\nabla_\eta k(v, X(x(s)))| \frac{2\rho}{|x(s) - y|^3} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |k(v, X(x(s)))| \left[ \frac{2}{|x(s) - y|^3} + \frac{\nu(X(x(s)))}{\rho|x(s) - y|^2} + \frac{2|\nabla\nu(X(x(s)))|}{|x(s) - y|^2} \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{k1} \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |\nabla_\eta k(v, X(x(s)))| e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho^2}{|x(s) - y|^3} dyd\rho ds \\ & \quad + |x_1 - x_2| \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |k(v, X(x(s)))| e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{|x(s) - y|^3} dyd\rho ds \\ & \quad + |x_1 - x_2| \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |k(v, X(x(s)))| e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{2\gamma-2}}{|x(s) - y|^2} dyd\rho ds \\ & \quad + |x_1 - x_2| \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |k(v, X(x(s)))| e^{-\nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x(s) - y|}{\rho}} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{2\gamma-3} \rho}{|x(s) - y|^2} dyd\rho ds \\ & =: G_{k11} + G_{k12} + G_{k13} + G_{k14}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (49) \quad & \left| \frac{x_1 - y}{|x_1 - y|} - \frac{x_2 - y}{|x_2 - y|} \right| = \left| \frac{(x_1 - x_2)|x_2 - y| + (x_2 - y)(|x_2 - y| - |x_1 - y|)}{|x_1 - y||x_2 - y|} \right| \\ & \leq 2 \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_1 - y|}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $(\rho, y) \in D_1$ , by (49), one has

$$(50) \quad |y - x_2| \geq \frac{3}{4}|y - x_1| \geq 3|x_1 - x_2|;$$

$$(51) \quad |y - x(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|y - x_1|;$$

$$(52) \quad |v - X(x(s))| \geq 4\rho \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_1 - y|} - 2\rho \frac{(1-s)|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_1 - y|} \geq \rho \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x(s) - y|}.$$

Applying the parametrizations  $x(s) - y = (r \cos \theta \sin \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \phi)$  and  $\eta = (\rho \cos \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \cos \phi)$  and using (12), (20), (51), and (52), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{k11} \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |\nabla_\eta k(v, \eta)| e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{\rho} r} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho^2}{r} \sin \phi \, dr d\theta d\phi d\rho ds \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \langle v \rangle^\gamma \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |v - \eta|^{\bar{\gamma}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v-\eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v-\eta|^2} \right)} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho^2}{r} \\ & \quad e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \sin \phi \, dr d\theta d\phi d\rho ds \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \langle v \rangle^\gamma \int_0^1 \int_{D_1} |v - \eta|^{\max\{\bar{\gamma}-1, -3+\epsilon\}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v-\eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v-\eta|^2} \right)} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho^2}{r} \\ & \quad \left( \frac{\rho}{r} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^{\min\{0, 2+\gamma-\epsilon\}} e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \sin \phi \, dr d\theta d\phi d\rho ds \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}} \langle v \rangle^\gamma \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-1+a_1} dr \right) \times \\ & \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v - \eta|^{\max\{\bar{\gamma}-1, -3+\epsilon\}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \left( |v-\eta|^2 + \frac{(|v|^2 - |\eta|^2)^2}{|v-\eta|^2} \right)} \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-a_1} d\eta \right) \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is as in (14),  $a_1 = 0$  if  $-2 < \gamma < 0$  and  $a_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  if  $-3 < \gamma \leq -2$ .

By using (12), (20), and (51), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{k12} \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \int_{D_1} |k(v, \eta)| e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{r} \sin \phi \, dr d\theta d\phi d\rho \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2}}{|\eta|} \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{|\eta|}{r} \right)^{a_2} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{a_2} e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{|\eta|} r} dr \right) d\eta \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-1+a_2} d\eta \right) \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-1-a_2} dr \right) \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{k13} \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^{2\gamma-2}}{|\eta|^2} \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R \left( \frac{|\eta|}{r} \right)^{1+a_2} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{1+a_2} e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{|\eta|} r} dr \right) d\eta \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{2\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-1+a_2} d\eta \right) \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-1-a_2} dr \right) \\ & \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{k14} \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{2\gamma-3} |\eta|^{-1} \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{|\eta|} r} dr \right) d\eta \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{2\gamma-3} d\eta \right) \left( \int_{2|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-1} dr \right) \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{1-\epsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_2 = \max\{|\gamma| - 2 - \epsilon, 0\}$ .

For  $(\rho, y) \in D_2$ , by (49), one has (50),

$$\begin{aligned}
& |x_2 - y| \leq |x_1 - y| + |x_1 - x_2| \leq \frac{5}{4}|x_1 - y|; \\
(53) \quad & \left| v - \frac{x_2 - y}{|x_2 - y|} \rho \right| < \left| v - \frac{x_1 - y}{|x_1 - y|} \rho \right| + \left| \frac{x_1 - y}{|x_1 - y|} \rho - \frac{x_2 - y}{|x_2 - y|} \rho \right| < \frac{15}{2} \rho \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_2 - y|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the parametrizations  $x_i - y = (r \cos \theta \sin \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \phi)$  and  $\eta = (\rho \cos \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \cos \phi)$  and using (12), (20), (50), and (53), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{k2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{D_2} |k(v, X(x_i))| e^{-\nu(X(x_i)) \frac{|x_i - y|}{\rho}} \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho |x_i - y|^{-2} dy d\rho \\
& \lesssim \int_{\substack{r \geq 3|x_1 - x_2| \\ |v - \eta| < 8\frac{\rho}{r}|x_1 - x_2|}} |k(v, \eta)| e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho \sin \phi dr d\theta d\phi d\rho \\
& \lesssim \int_{\substack{r \geq 3|x_1 - x_2| \\ |v - \eta| < 8\frac{\rho}{r}|x_1 - x_2|}} |k(v, \eta)| \frac{\left( \frac{\rho}{r} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^{a_3}}{|v - \eta|^{a_3}} \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \sin \phi dr d\theta d\phi d\rho \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{a_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| |v - \eta|^{-a_3} \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-1+a_3} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{3|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-a_3} \left( \frac{|\eta|}{r} \right)^{\max\{0, |\gamma|-2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\}} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{\max\{0, |\gamma|-2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\}} e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{|\eta|} r} dr \right) d\eta \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{a_3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| |v - \eta|^{-a_3} \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-a_1} d\eta \right) \left( \int_{3|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-1+a_1} dr \right) \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3-|\gamma|-\epsilon\}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1$  is as above and  $a_3 = \min\{1, 3 + \gamma - \epsilon\} > 0$ .

For  $G_{k3}$ , we have  $|y - x_2| \leq |y - x_1| + |x_1 - x_2| < 5|x_1 - x_2|$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{k3} \\
& \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{5|x_1-x_2|} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \sin \phi dr d\theta d\phi d\rho \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-1} \left( \int_0^{5|x_1-x_2|} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{-a_2} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{a_2} e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{|\eta|}} dr \right) d\eta \\
& \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\eta|^{-1-a_2} d\eta \right) \left( \int_0^{5|x_1-x_2|} r^{-a_2} dr \right) \\
& \lesssim |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_2$  is as above. Hence

$$G_k \lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}}.$$

For  $G_K$ , we divide the domain of the integration into two subdomains:  $\tilde{D}_1 = \{y \in \Omega : |y - x_1| \geq |x_1 - x_2|\}$  and  $\tilde{D}_2 = \{y \in \Omega : |y - x_1| < |x_1 - x_2|\}$ . We name the corresponding integrals as  $G_{K1}$  and  $G_{K2}$ , respectively. Using Lemma 3, (12), (20), and (49), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{K1} &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{D}_1} |k(v, X(x_1))| e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1-y|}{\rho}} \frac{\rho \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-1}}{|x_1-y|^2} |X(x_1) - X(x_2)|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} dy d\rho \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{D}_1} |k(v, X(x_1))| e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1-y|}{\rho}} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-1} \rho^{1+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma}}{|x_1-y|^{2+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma}} dy d\rho \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-1} |\eta|^{-1+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \\
&\quad \left( \int_{|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \left(\frac{r}{|\eta|}\right)^{-1+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \left(\frac{r}{|\eta|}\right)^{1-\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{|\eta|} r} dr \right) d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-1} d\eta \right) \left( \int_{|x_1-x_2|}^R r^{-1} dr \right) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $G_{K2}$ , by Lemma 3, (12), and (20), it follows from  $\left| \frac{x_1-y}{|x_1-y|} - \frac{x_2-y}{|x_2-y|} \right| \leq 2$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{K2} &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{D_2} |k(v, X(x_1))| e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1-y|}{\rho}} \frac{\rho \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-1}}{|x_1-y|^2} |X(x_1) - X(x_2)|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} dy d\rho \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{|x_1-x_2|} |k(v, \eta)| e^{-\nu(\eta) \frac{r}{\rho}} \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-1} \rho^{1+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \sin \phi dr d\theta d\phi d\rho \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-1} |\eta|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma-1} \int_0^{|x_1-x_2|} \left(\frac{r}{|\eta|}\right)^{-1+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \left(\frac{r}{|\eta|}\right)^{1-\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} e^{-\frac{\nu(\eta)}{|\eta|} r} dr d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-1} d\eta \right) \int_0^{|x_1-x_2|} r^{-1+\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} dr \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G_K \lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1-\epsilon, 3+\gamma-\epsilon\}}.$$

We complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The next lemma is the Hölder regularity of  $G(f)$  for velocity variable.

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then, for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$*

$$|G(f)(x, v_1) - G(f)(x, v_2)| \lesssim \|f\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma},$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma$  is as in Lemma 3.

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3, (12), and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|G(f)(x, v_1) - G(f)(x, v_2)| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{t_b(x, \eta)} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| e^{-\nu(\eta)\tau} |Kf(x - \eta\tau, \eta)| d\tau d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-2} \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \eta)} e^{-\nu(\eta)\tau} d\tau \right) d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma} \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{2\gamma-4}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{2\gamma-4}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to estimate  $F_3(f)$ .

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ , and let  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$ . Then, for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and for every  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(54) \quad |F_3(f)(x_1, v) - F_3(f)(x_2, v)| \\ \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^\alpha;$$

$$(55) \quad |F_3(f)(x, v_1) - F_3(f)(x, v_2)| \lesssim \|f\|_\infty (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|)^\alpha.$$

*Proof.* By (21) and (48), we have

$$(56) \quad |F_3(f)(x, v)| \leq \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} |G(f)(x - vs, v)| ds \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \langle v \rangle^{2\gamma-6}.$$

For (54), since (56), it is obvious for  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case where  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) < 1$ . Moreover, we assume without loss of generality that  $t_b(x_1, v) \geq t_b(x_2, v)$ . By using Lemma 16, (21), (22), and (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_3(f)(x_1, v) - F_3(f)(x_2, v)| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} |G(f)(x_1 - vs, v) - G(f)(x_2 - vs, v)| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} |G(f)(x_1 - vs, v)| ds \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha + \langle v \rangle^{2\gamma-5} \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \right) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha + d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For (55), since (56), it is obvious for  $d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case of  $d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| < 1$ . Moreover, we only do the  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$  case. Let  $\bar{v}_2 = \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|} v_2$ , it is easy to obtain that  $|v_2 - \bar{v}_2| \leq |v_1 - v_2|$  and  $|v_1 - \bar{v}_2| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_3(f)(x, v_1) - F_3(f)(x, v_2)| \\ & \leq |F_3(f)(x, v_1) - F_3(f)(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |F_3(f)(x, \bar{v}_2) - F_3(f)(x, v_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then we assume without loss of generality that  $t_b(x, v_1) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . Using Lemmas 16 and 17, (21), (23), (24), and (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_3(f)(x, v_1) - F_3(f)(x, \bar{v}_2)| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |G(f)(x - v_1 s, v_1) - G(f)(x - \bar{v}_2 s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_1)s} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \right| |G(f)(x - v_1 s, v_1)| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} e^{-\nu(v_1)s} |G(f)(x - v_1 s, v_1)| ds \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \left( |v_1 s - \bar{v}_2 s|^\alpha + |v_1 - \bar{v}_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} \right) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \langle v_1 \rangle^{2\gamma-5} \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_1)s} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \right| ds + \langle v_1 \rangle^{2\gamma-5} \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} e^{-\nu(v_1)s} ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( |v_1 - v_2|^\alpha + |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} + |v_1 - v_2| + d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \right) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For the remain term, we now have  $t_b(x, v_2) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . Using Lemmas 16 and 17, (21), (23), (25), and (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_3(f)(x, v_2) - F_3(f)(x, \bar{v}_2)| \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |G(f)(x - v_2s, v_2) - G(f)(x - \bar{v}_2s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_2)s} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \right| |G(f)(x - v_2s, v_2)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} e^{-\nu(v_2)s} |G(f)(x - v_2s, v_2)| ds \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \left( |v_2s - \bar{v}_2s|^\alpha + |v_2 - \bar{v}_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} \right) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_2)s} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \right| ds + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} e^{-\nu(v_2)s} ds \right) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( |v_1 - v_2|^\alpha + |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} + |v_1 - v_2| + d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \right) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma is the Hölder regularity of  $F_1(f)$ .

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , and let  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$ . Suppose that  $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-)$  and there exists  $m > 0$  such that*

$$(57) \quad |f(y_1, v_1) - f(y_2, v_2)| \leq m (|y_1 - y_2| + |v_1 - v_2|)^\beta$$

for every  $(y_i, v_i) \in \Gamma_-$ . Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$(58) \quad |F_1(f)(x_1, v) - F_1(f)(x_2, v)| \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}};$$

$$(59) \quad |F_1(f)(x, v_1) - F_1(f)(x, v_2)| \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_x}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}.$$

*Proof.* By  $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-)$ , we have

$$(60) \quad |F_1(f)(x, v)| \leq |f|_{\infty, -}.$$

For (58), since (60), it is obvious for  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case where  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) < 1$ . By using (17), (22), and (57), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1(f)(x_1, v) - F_1(f)(x_2, v)| \\ &\leq |f(x_b(x_1, v), v) - f(x_b(x_2, v), v)| e^{-\nu(v)t_b(x_1, v)} \\ &\quad + |f(x_b(x_2, v), v)| \left| e^{-\nu(v)t_b(x_1, v)} - e^{-\nu(v)t_b(x_2, v)} \right| \\ &\leq m |x_b(x_1, v) - x_b(x_2, v)|^\beta + |f|_{\infty, -} \left| \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} -\nu(v) e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^\beta + |f|_{\infty, -} d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}} \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}. \end{aligned}$$

For (59), we only do the case of  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$ . From (60), (59) is obvious for  $d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case for  $d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) < 1$ . Let  $\bar{v}_2 := \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|} v_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1(f)(x, v_1) - F_1(f)(x, v_2)| \\ &\leq |F_1(f)(x, v_1) - F_1(f)(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |F_1(f)(x, \bar{v}_2) - F_1(f)(x, v_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|v_2 - \bar{v}_2| \leq |v_1 - v_2|$ ,  $|v_1 - \bar{v}_2| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|$ , and  $x_b(x, v_2) = x_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . By using (19), (21), (23), (24), (57), and the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1(f)(x, v_1) - F_1(f)(x, \bar{v}_2)| \\ &\leq |f(x_b(x, v_1), v_1) - f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, v_1)} + |f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| \\ &\quad \times \left( \left| e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, v_1)} - e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right| + \left| e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right| \right) \\ &\leq m (|x_b(x, v_1) - x_b(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |v_1 - \bar{v}_2|)^\beta e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, v_1)} \\ &\quad + |f|_{\infty, -} \left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} -\nu(v_1) e^{-\nu(v_1) s} ds \right| \\ &\quad + |f|_{\infty, -} |\bar{v}_1 - v_2| t_b(x, v_2) |\nabla \nu(v_1(t))| e^{-\nu(v_1(t)) t_b(x, v_2)} \\ &\lesssim m (d_x^{-1} \theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} + |v_1 - v_2|)^\beta e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, v_1)} + |f|_{\infty, -} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| + |f|_{\infty, -} |v_1 - v_2| \\ &\lesssim m \left( d_x^{-1} \frac{|v_1 - v_2|}{|v_1|} + |v_1 - v_2| \right)^\beta e^{-\nu(v_1) t_b(x, v_1)} + |f|_{\infty, -} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1(t) = v_1 + t(\bar{v}_2 - v_1)$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Using (21), (23), (25), (57) and the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1(f)(x, v_2) - F_1(f)(x, \bar{v}_2)| \\ &\leq |f(x_b(x, v_2), v_2) - f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| e^{-\nu(v_2) t_b(x, v_2)} + |f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| \\ &\quad \times \left( \left| e^{-\nu(v_2) t_b(x, v_2)} - e^{-\nu(v_2) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right| + \left| e^{-\nu(v_2) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right| \right) \\ &\leq m (|x_b(x, v_2) - x_b(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |v_2 - \bar{v}_2|)^\beta + |f|_{\infty, -} \left| \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} -\nu(v_2) e^{-\nu(v_2) s} ds \right| \\ &\quad + |f|_{\infty, -} |v_2 - \bar{v}_2| t_b(x, \bar{v}_2) |\nabla \nu(v_2(t))| e^{-\nu(v_2(t)) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\ &\lesssim m |v_1 - v_2|^\beta + |f|_{\infty, -} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_2(t) = v_2 + t(\bar{v}_2 - v_2)$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1(f)(x, v_1) - F_1(f)(x, v_2)| \\ &\lesssim m \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) \right)^\beta + |f|_{\infty, -} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We give the Hölder regularity of  $F_2(f)$  in the following lemma. Note that

$$F_2(f)(x, v) = \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) F_1(f)(x - vs, \eta) d\eta ds.$$



**Lemma 20.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$ . Suppose that  $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-)$  satisfies the regularity condition (57). Then, for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and for every  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(61) \quad |F_2(f)(x_1, v) - F_2(f)(x_2, v)| \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{4|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}};$$

$$(62) \quad |F_2(f)(x, v_1) - F_2(f)(x, v_2)| \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_x}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}.$$

*Proof.* By (12) and (21), we have

$$(63) \quad |F_2(f)(x, v)| \leq C_{\gamma, \Omega} |f|_{\infty, -} \langle v \rangle^{\gamma-3}.$$

For (61), from (63), it is obvious for  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{4|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case where  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{4|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) < 1$ . We divide  $\Omega$  as follows: let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 &= \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq 2^{-1} d_{(x_1, x_2)}\}; \\ \Omega_n &= \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq 2^{-n} d_{(x_1, x_2)} \min\{1, |v|\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $y_n$  the intersection of  $\overline{x_1 x_b(x_1, v)}$  with  $\partial\Omega_n \setminus \partial\Omega$  and denote  $z_n$  the intersection of  $\overline{x_2 x_b(x_2, v)}$  with  $\partial\Omega_n \setminus \partial\Omega$ . From Proposition 8, we have

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} |\overline{y_n x_b(x_1, v)}|, |\overline{z_n x_b(x_2, v)}| &\leq R 2^{-n} \min\{1, |v|\}, n \geq 1; \\ |\overline{y_0 x_b(x_1, v)}|, |\overline{z_0 x_b(x_2, v)}| &\leq R 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that one has  $|\overline{x_1 y_n}|, |\overline{x_2 z_n}| \geq 2^{-1} d_{(x_1, x_2)}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Let  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy

$$(65) \quad 2^{-N_1} \leq \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}$$

For simplicity of notations, we denote  $A_n = \min\left\{ \frac{|\overline{x_1 y_n}|}{|v|}, \frac{|\overline{x_2 z_n}|}{|v|} \right\}$  and  $a = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $t_b(x_1, v) \geq t_b(x_2, v)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_2(f)(x_1, v) - F_2(f)(x_2, v)| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_1-1} \int_{A_n}^{A_{n+1}} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x_1 - vs, \eta) - F_1(f)(x_2 - vs, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_{A_0}^{A_1} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x_1 - vs, \eta) - F_1(f)(x_2 - vs, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{A_0} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x_1 - vs, \eta) - F_1(f)(x_2 - vs, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_{A_{N_1}}^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x_1 - vs, \eta) - F_1(f)(x_2 - vs, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| F_1(f)(x_1 - vs, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(66) \quad d_{(x_1 - vs, x_2 - vs)}^{-1} \leq 2^{n+1} d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (1 + |v|^{-1})$$

for  $s \in [A_n, A_{n+1}]$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Moreover, by (64), one has

$$(67) \quad A_{n+1} - A_n \leq \max\{|\overline{y_n x_b(x_1, v)}|, |\overline{z_n x_b(x_2, v)}|\} |v|^{-1} \leq R 2^{-n}, n \geq 1.$$

Let's estimate  $I_1$ . By using the mean value theorem, (12), (58), (66), and (67), it follows from  $a < 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) |x_1 - x_2|^a \\
&\quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{N_1-1} \int_{A_n}^{A_{n+1}} e^{-\nu(v)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \left[ d_{(x_1 - vs, x_2 - vs)}^{-1} (1 + |\eta|^{-1}) \right]^a d\eta ds \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a \sum_{n=1}^{N_1-1} 2^{an} (A_{n+1} - A_n) e^{-\nu(v)A_n} \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_1-1} 2^{(a-1)n} \right) e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} \min\{|x_1 y_n|, |x_2 z_n|\}} \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , by applying (12), (21), (58), and (66), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)A_0} \int_{A_0}^{A_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)s} ds \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{4|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) |x_1 - x_2|^a \int_0^{A_0} e^{-\nu(v)s} \langle v \rangle^{\gamma-2} [2^{-1} d_{(x_1, x_2)}]^{-a} ds \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_4$ , by using (64) and (65), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} \int_{A_{N_1}}^{t_b(x_2, v)} \langle v \rangle^{\gamma-2} e^{-\nu(v)s} ds \\
&\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} \left( \frac{|x_2 x_b(x_2, v)|}{|v|} - \min \left\{ \frac{|x_1 y_{N_1}|}{|v|}, \frac{|x_2 z_{N_1}|}{|v|} \right\} \right) \langle v \rangle^{\gamma-2} e^{-\nu(v)A_{N_1}} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} \max \{ |\overline{y_{N_1} x_b(x_1, v)}|, |\overline{z_{N_1} x_b(x_2, v)}| \} \frac{\langle v \rangle^{\gamma-2}}{|v|} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} 2^{-N_1} R \min \{ 1, |v| \} \frac{\langle v \rangle^{\gamma-2}}{|v|} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_5$ , by (22), we have

$$I_5 \lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}.$$

Summing up, one get (61).

For (62), we only do the case of  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$ . Since (63), (62) is obvious for  $d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case for  $d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) < 1$ . We now divide  $\Omega$  as follows: let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega'_0 &= \{y \in \Omega : d(y, \partial\Omega) \leq 2^{-1} d_x\}, \\
\Omega'_n &= \{y \in \Omega : d(y, \partial\Omega) \leq 2^{-n} d_x \min\{1, |v_1|\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $y'_n$  the intersection of  $\overline{xx_b(x, v_1)}$  with  $\partial\Omega'_n \setminus \partial\Omega$  and denote  $z'_n$  the intersection of  $\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}$  with  $\partial\Omega'_n \setminus \partial\Omega$ . From Proposition 8, we have

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} \max \left\{ |\overline{y'_n x_b(x, v_1)}|, |\overline{z'_n x_b(x, v_2)}| \right\} &\leq R2^{-n} \min\{1, |v_1|\}, n \geq 1; \\ \max \left\{ |\overline{y'_0 x_b(x, v_1)}|, |\overline{z'_0 x_b(x, v_2)}| \right\} &\leq R2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_2 = N_2(x, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy

$$(69) \quad 2^{-N_2} \leq (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a.$$

Let  $\bar{v}_2 = \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|}v_2$ . Note that  $|v_1 - \bar{v}_2| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|$  and  $|v_2 - \bar{v}_2| \leq |v_1 - v_2|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |F_2(x, v_1) - F_2(x, v_2)| &\leq |F_2(x, v_1) - F_2(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |F_2(x, \bar{v}_2) - F_2(x, v_2)| \\ &=: II + III. \end{aligned}$$

For  $II$ , without loss of generality, we assume that  $t_b(x, v_1) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(\bar{v}_2, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_1s, \eta) - F_1(f)(x - \bar{v}_2s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(\bar{v}_2, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_1s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_1)s} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_1s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} e^{-\nu(v_1)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_1s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &=: II_1 + II_2 + II_3 + II_4. \end{aligned}$$

For  $II_1$ , let  $B_n = \min \left\{ \frac{|xy'_n|}{|v_1|}, \frac{|xz'_n|}{|v_2|} \right\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} \int_{B_n}^{B_{n+1}} \dots + \int_{B_0}^{B_1} \dots + \int_0^{B_0} \dots + \int_{B_{N_2}}^{t_b(x, v_2)} \dots \\ &=: II_{11} + II_{12} + II_{13} + II_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(70) \quad d_{(x-v_1s, x-\bar{v}_2s)}^{-1} \leq 2^{n+1} d_x^{-1} (1 + |v_1|^{-1})$$

for  $s \in [B_n, B_{n+1}]$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Moreover, by (68), one has

$$(71) \quad B_{n+1} - B_n \leq \max\{|\overline{y'_n x_b(x, v_1)}|, |\overline{z'_n x_b(x, v_2)}|\} |\bar{v}_2|^{-1} \leq R2^{-n}, n \geq 1.$$

For  $II_{11}$ , by using the mean value theorem, (12), (58), (70), and (71), we have

$$\begin{aligned} II_{11} &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) |v_1 - \bar{v}_2|^a \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} \int_{B_n}^{B_{n+1}} s^a e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(\bar{v}_2, \eta)| \left[ d_{(x-v_1s, x-\bar{v}_2s)}^{-1} (1 + |\eta|^{-1}) \right]^a d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} 2^{an} (B_{n+1} - B_n) \left( \frac{s_n}{|\bar{v}_2|} \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(\bar{v}_2)}{|\bar{v}_2|} s_n} \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} 2^{(a-1)n} \right) \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\min \{ |\overline{xy'_n}|, |\overline{xz'_n}| \} \leq s_n \leq \min \{ |\overline{xy'_{n+1}}|, |\overline{xz'_{n+1}}| \}$ .

For  $II_{12}$  and  $II_{13}$ , by using (12), (21), (58), and (70), we have

$$\begin{aligned} II_{12} &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)B_0} \int_{B_0}^{B_1} s^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} ds \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II_{13} &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) |v_1 - v_2|^a \int_0^{B_0} s^a e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} (2^{-1}d_x)^{-a} \langle \bar{v}_2 \rangle^{\gamma-2} ds \\ &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|)^a. \end{aligned}$$

For  $II_{14}$ , by using the mean value theorem, (68), and (69), we have

$$\begin{aligned} II_{14} &\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} (t_b(x, \bar{v}_2) - B_{N_2}) \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)B_{N_2}} \\ &\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} \max\{|\overline{y'_{N_2} x_b(x, v_1)}|, |\overline{z'_{N_2} x_b(x, v_2)}|\} |v_1|^{-1} \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2} e^{-\frac{\nu(\bar{v}_2)}{2|v_2|}d_x} \\ &\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} R 2^{-N_2} \min\{1, |v_1|\} |v_1|^{-1} \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2} e^{-\frac{\nu(\bar{v}_2)}{2|v_2|}d_x} \\ &\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3, (12), (21), (23), and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 + II_3 + II_4 &\lesssim |f|_{\infty, -} \left( |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} + |v_1 - v_2| + d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, one get

$$II \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}) e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x} \right)^a.$$

For  $III$ , one has that  $t_b(x, v_2) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} III &\leq \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(\bar{v}_2, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_2s, \eta) - F_1(f)(x - \bar{v}_2s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_2, \eta) - k(\bar{v}_2, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_2s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\nu(v_2)s} - e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_2, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_2s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} e^{-\nu(v_2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(\bar{v}_2, \eta)| |F_1(f)(x - v_2s, \eta)| d\eta ds \\ &=: III_1 + III_2 + III_3 + III_4. \end{aligned}$$

For  $III_1$ , let  $\tilde{B}_n = \frac{|xz'_n|}{|\bar{v}_2|}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} III_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} \int_{\tilde{B}_n}^{\tilde{B}_{n+1}} \cdots + \int_{\tilde{B}_0}^{\tilde{B}_1} \cdots + \int_0^{\tilde{B}_0} \cdots + \int_{\tilde{B}_{N_2}}^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \cdots \\ &=: III_{11} + III_{12} + III_{13} + III_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $III_{11}$ , by using the mean value theorem, (12), (58), (70), and (71), we have

$$\begin{aligned} III_{11} &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty, -}) (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} 2^{an} \int_{\tilde{B}_n}^{\tilde{B}_{n+1}} s^a e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} 2^{(a-1)n} \left( \frac{s_n}{|\bar{v}_2|} \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(\bar{v}_2)}{|\bar{v}_2|} s_n} \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_2-1} 2^{(a-1)n} \right) \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\overline{xy'_n}| \leq s_n \leq |\overline{xy'_{n+1}}|$ . For  $III_{12}$  and  $III_{13}$ , by using (12), (21), (58), and (70), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&III_{12} \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)\tilde{B}_0} \int_{\tilde{B}_0}^{\tilde{B}_1} s^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} ds \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
III_{13} &\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) |v_1 - v_2|^a \int_0^{\tilde{B}_0} s^a e^{-\nu(\bar{v}_1)s} (2^{-1}d_x)^{-a} \langle \bar{v}_2 \rangle^{\gamma-2} ds \\
&\lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|)^a.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $III_{14}$ , by using the mean value theorem, (68), and (69), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
III_{14} &\lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2} |\overline{y'_{N_2} x_b(x, v_2)}| |\bar{v}_2|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(\bar{v}_2)}{|\bar{v}_2|} |\overline{xy'_{N_2}}|} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2} R 2^{-N_2} \min\{1, |v_1|\} |v_1|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} (d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3, (12), (21), (23), and (25), we have

$$III_2 + III_3 + III_4 \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \left( |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} + |v_1 - v_2| + d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2| \right).$$

Summing up, one get

$$III \lesssim (m + |f|_{\infty,-}) \left( d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}) e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} \right)^a.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

From the results of Proposition 11, Lemmas 18–20, and (20), we complete the proof of Theorem 15.

## 5. HÖLDER REGULARITY OF THE APPROXIMATE STEADY BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In this section, we will study the Hölder regularity of solution of the following approximate steady Boltzmann equation:

$$(72) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf + \varphi(g)f = N_+(g, g), & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded and strictly convex domain and  $\varphi(g)$  is defined in (4). According to the regularity estimate (45) obtained in Theorem 15, we suppose that  $g$  satisfies the following estimate:

$$(73) \quad \begin{aligned} &|g(x_1, v_1) - g(x_2, v_2)| \\ &\leq C_g \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1}) e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}} \right)^a, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $0 < a < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma\}$  and  $C_g > 0$  depends on  $g$ . Moreover, from Lemma 4, we have

$$|\varphi(g)(x, v)| \leq \widehat{C} \|wg\|_{\infty} \nu(v).$$

Assume that  $g$  satisfies

$$(74) \quad \widehat{C} \|wg\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ .

The Hölder regularity estimate of problem (72) is stated as follows:

**Theorem 21.** *Assume that  $r \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-)$  satisfies (5) and  $g$  satisfies (73) and (74) for  $\tau > 3 + |\gamma|$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Let  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  be a solution of (72). Then, there exists  $C = C_{a,\beta,\gamma,\Omega} > 0$  such that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_1, v_1) - f(x_2, v_2)| &\leq C(m + C_{f,g} + \widetilde{C}_{f,g} + \widetilde{C}_g) \\ &\quad \times \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{a,\beta\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f,g} &= |f|_{\infty,-} (1 + C_g + \|wg\|_\infty); \\ \widetilde{C}_{f,g} &= \|f\|_\infty (1 + C_g + \|wg\|_\infty); \\ \widetilde{C}_g &= (C_g + C_g \|wg\|_\infty + \|wg\|_\infty + \|wg\|_\infty^2) \|wg\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the result, from the method of characteristics, for every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, v) &= f(x_b(x, v), v) e^{-(\nu(v)t_b(x,v) + \int_0^{t_b(x,v)} \varphi(g)(x-v\ell, v) d\ell)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_b(x,v)} e^{-(\nu(v)s + \int_0^s \varphi(g)(x-v\ell, v) d\ell)} (Kf + N_+(g, g))(x - vs, v) dv ds. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote

$$\Phi(g)(x, v, s) = \nu(v)s + \int_0^s \varphi(g)(x - v\ell, v) d\ell.$$

We iterate the term  $Kf$  once more to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, v) &= M_1(f, g)(x, v) + M_2(f, g)(x, v) + M_3(f, g)(x, v) + N_1(g)(x, v) + N_2(g)(x, v), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(f, g)(x, v) &:= f(x_b(x, v), v) e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, t_b(x, v))}; \\ M_2(f, g)(x, v) &:= \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) M_1(f, g)(x - vs, \eta) d\eta ds; \\ M_3(f, g)(x, v) &:= \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{t_b(x - vs, \eta)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x - vs, \eta, \tau)} Kf(x - vs - \eta\tau, \eta) d\tau d\eta ds; \\ N_1(g)(x, v) &:= \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, s)} N_+(g, g)(x - vs, v) ds; \\ N_2(g)(x, v) &:= \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) N_1(g)(x - vs, \eta) d\tau d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma shows the Hölder regularity for the nonlinear operators.

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73). Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , we have*

$$(75) \quad |N_+(g, g)(x_1, v) - N_+(g, g)(x_2, v)| \\ \lesssim C_g \|wg\|_\infty \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a \langle v \rangle^{\gamma-2};$$

$$(76) \quad |N_+(g, g)(x, v_1) - N_+(g, g)(x, v_2)| \\ \lesssim \|wg\|_\infty \left( C_g [d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|]^a + \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2| \right) \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{\gamma-2}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma-2}\};$$

$$(77) \quad |\varphi(g)(x_1, v) - \varphi(g)(x_2, v)| \\ \lesssim C_g \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a \langle v \rangle^\gamma;$$

$$(78) \quad |\varphi(g)(x, v_1) - \varphi(g)(x, v_2)| \\ \lesssim \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2| \langle v_1 \rangle^\gamma + C_g (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|)^a \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^\gamma, \langle v_2 \rangle^\gamma\}.$$

*Proof.* By applying Lemma 6, (13), and (73), we get (75)–(78).  $\square$

We need the following two lemmas, and their proofs are most same as that of Lemma 20 and are omitted here for simplicity of presentation.

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73). Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $t_b(x_1, v) \geq t_b(x_2, v)$ . Then*

$$(79) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)s} \int_0^s |\varphi(g)(x_1 - v\ell, v) - \varphi(g)(x_2 - v\ell, v)| d\ell ds \\ \lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^a;$$

$$(80) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)s} |N_+(g)(x_1 - vs, v) - N_+(g)(x_2 - vs, v)| ds \\ \lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \|wg\|_\infty \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^a.$$

Let  $x \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $0 < |v_2| \leq |v_1|$  and  $t_b(x, v_1) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ , where  $\bar{v}_2 = \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|} v_2$ . Then

$$(81) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_0^s |\varphi(g)(x - v_1\ell, v_1) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2\ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell ds \\ \lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) d_x^{-a} |v_1 - v_2|^a (1 + |v_1|^{-a} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) + \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|;$$

$$(82) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |N_+(g)(x - v_1s, v_1) - N_+(g)(x - \bar{v}_2s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\ \lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \|wg\|_\infty d_x^{-a} |v_1 - v_2|^a (1 + |v_1|^{-a} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) + \|wg\|_\infty^2 |v_1 - v_2|;$$

$$(83) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \int_0^s |\varphi(g)(x - v_2\ell, v_2) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2\ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell ds \\ \lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) d_x^{-a} |v_1 - v_2|^a (1 + |v_1|^{-a} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) + \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|;$$

$$(84) \quad \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |N_+(g)(x - v_2s, v_2) - N_+(g)(x - \bar{v}_2s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\ \lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \|wg\|_\infty d_x^{-a} |v_1 - v_2|^a (1 + |v_1|^{-a} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) + \|wg\|_\infty^2 |v_1 - v_2|.$$

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{8}$ . Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73). Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $t_b(x_1, v) \geq t_b(x_2, v)$ . Then*

$$(85) \quad \left( \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} |\varphi(g)(x_1 - v\ell, v) - \varphi(g)(x_2 - v\ell, v)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)t_b(x_2, v)}$$

$$\lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{4|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}.$$

Let  $x \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $0 < |v_2| \leq |v_1|$  and  $t_b(x, v_1) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ , where  $\bar{v}_2 = \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|} v_2$ . Then

$$(86) \quad \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} |\varphi(g)(x - v_1 \ell, v_1) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2 \ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu(v_1) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}$$

$$\lesssim C_g (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} + \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|;$$

$$(87) \quad \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} |\varphi(g)(x - v_2 \ell, v_2) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2 \ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu(\bar{v}_2) t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}$$

$$\lesssim C_g (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1}))^a e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} + \|wg\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|.$$

In what follows we study the Hölder regularity for  $N_1(g)$ ,  $N_2(g)$ ,  $M_1(f, g)$ ,  $M_2(f, g)$ , and  $M_3(f, g)$ . We begin by showing the Hölder regularity of  $N_1(g)$ .

**Lemma 25.** *Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73) and (74). Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(88) \quad |N_1(g)(x_1, v) - N_1(g)(x_2, v)| \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^a;$$

$$(89) \quad |N_1(g)(x, v_1) - N_1(g)(x, v_2)| \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_x}) \right)^a.$$

where  $\tilde{C}_g$  is as in Theorem 21.

*Proof.* From (74), we have

$$(90) \quad \Phi(g)(x, v, s) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nu(v) s.$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4 and (21) that

$$(91) \quad |N_+(g, g)(x, v)| \lesssim w^{-1}(v) \langle v \rangle^\gamma \|wg\|_\infty^2;$$

$$(92) \quad |N_1(g)(x, v)| \lesssim w^{-1}(v) \langle v \rangle^{\gamma-1} \|wg\|_\infty^2.$$

For (88), from (92), it is obvious for  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case where  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) < 1$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $t_b(x_1, v) \geq t_b(x_2, v)$ . By the mean value theorem, (22), (79), (80), (90), and (91), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |N_1(g)(x_1, v) - N_1(g)(x_2, v)| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} \left| e^{-\Phi(g)(x_1, v, s)} - e^{-\Phi(g)(x_2, v, s)} \right| |N_+(g, g)(x_1 - vs, v)| ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x_2, v, s)} |N_+(g, g)(x_1 - vs, v) - N_+(g, g)(x_2 - vs, v)| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x_1, v, s)} |N_+(g, g)(x_1 - vs, v)| ds \\ & \lesssim \frac{\nu(v)}{w(v)} \|wg\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu(v) s} \int_0^s |\varphi(g)(x_1 - v\ell, v) - \varphi(g)(x_2 - v\ell, v)| d\ell ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t_b(x_2, v)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu(v) s} |N_+(g, g)(x_1 - vs, v) - N_+(g, g)(x_2 - vs, v)| ds \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t_b(x_2, v)}^{t_b(x_1, v)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)s} |N_+(g, g)(x_1 - vs, v)| ds \\
& \lesssim \|wg\|_\infty^2 (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-a} |x_1 - x_2|^a (1 + |v|^{-a} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \\
& \quad + (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \|wg\|_\infty d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-a} |x_1 - x_2|^a (1 + |v|^{-a} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \\
& \quad + \|wg\|_\infty^2 d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}} \\
& \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^a.
\end{aligned}$$

For (89), we only do the  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$  case. Since (92), (89) is obvious for  $d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) \geq 1$ , and thus we consider the case where  $d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) < 1$ . Let  $\bar{v}_2 = \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|} v_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |N_1(g)(x, v_1) - N_1(g)(x, v_2)| \\
& \leq |N_1(g)(x, v_1) - N_1(g)(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |N_1(g)(x, \bar{v}_2) - N_1(g)(x, v_2)| =: I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $t_b(x, v_1) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . By using the mean value theorem, (21), (24), (81), (82), (90), and (91), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I & \leq \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, s)} - e^{-\Phi(g)(x, \bar{v}_2, s)} \right| |N_+(g, g)(x - v_1 s, v_1)| ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, \bar{v}_2, s)} |N_+(g, g)(x - v_1 s, v_1) - N_+(g, g)(x - \bar{v}_2 s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\
& \quad + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, s)} |N_+(g, g)(x - v_1 s, v_1)| ds \\
& \lesssim \|wg\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} s e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)s} |v_1 - \bar{v}_2| |\nabla \nu(v_1(t))| ds \\
& \quad + \|wg\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)s} \left[ \int_0^s |\varphi(g)(x - v_1 \ell, v_1) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2 \ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell \right] ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |N_+(g, g)(x - v_1 s, v_1) - N_+(g, g)(x - \bar{v}_2 s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\
& \quad + \|wg\|_\infty^2 \langle v_1 \rangle^\gamma \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)s} ds \\
& \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_x^{-a} |v_1 - v_2|^a (1 + |v_1|^{-a} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) + d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \right) \\
& \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x}) \right)^a,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1(t) = v_1 + t(\bar{v}_2 - v_1)$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For  $II$ , we now have  $t_b(x, v_2) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . By using the mean value theorem, (21), (25), (81), (82), (90), and (91), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II & \leq \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \left| e^{-\Phi(x, v_2, s)} - e^{-\Phi(x, \bar{v}_2, s)} \right| |N_+(g, g)(x - v_2 s, v_2)| ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, \bar{v}_2, s)} |N_+(g, g)(x - v_2 s, v_2) - N_+(g, g)(x - \bar{v}_2 s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\
& \quad + \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_2, s)} |N_+(g, g)(x - v_2 s, v_2)| ds \\
& \lesssim \|wg\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} s e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |v_2 - \bar{v}_2| |\nabla \nu(v_2(t))| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|wg\|_\infty^2 \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} \left[ \int_0^s |\varphi(g)(x - v_2\ell, v_2) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2\ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell \right] ds \\
& + \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)s} |N_+(g, g)(x - v_2s, v_2) - N_+(g, g)(x - \bar{v}_2s, \bar{v}_2)| ds \\
& + \|wg\|_\infty^2 \langle v_2 \rangle^\gamma \int_{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}^{t_b(x, v_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_2)s} ds \\
& \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_x^{-a} |v_1 - v_2|^a (1 + |v_1|^{-a} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x}) + d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| \right) \\
& \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x}) \right)^a,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $v_2(t) = v_2 + t(\bar{v}_2 - v_2)$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 26.** *Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73) and (74). Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
|N_2(g)(x_1, v) - N_2(g)(x_2, v)| & \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|}d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^a; \\
|N_2(g)(x, v_1) - N_2(g)(x, v_2)| & \lesssim \tilde{C}_g \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|}d_x}) \right)^a.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{C}_g$  is as in Theorem 21.

*Proof.* Note that

$$N_2(g)(x, v) = \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) N_1(g)(x - vs, \eta) d\eta ds.$$

By using (12), (88), and (89), the proof is most same as that of Lemmas 20 and 25 and is omitted here. We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 27.** *Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73) and (74) and  $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-)$  satisfies (57). Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(93) \quad |M_1(f, g)(x_1, v) - M_1(f, g)(x_2, v)| \lesssim (m + C_{f, g}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|}d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{a, \beta\}};$$

$$(94) \quad |M_1(f)(x, v_1) - M_1(f)(x, v_2)| \lesssim (m + C_{f, g}) \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|}d_x}) \right)^{\min\{a, \beta\}},$$

where  $C_{f, g}$  is as in Theorem 21.

*Proof.* From (74), one has (90). It follows from (90) that

$$(95) \quad |M_1(f, g)(x, v)| \leq |f|_{\infty, -} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)t_b(x, v)} \leq |f|_{\infty, -}.$$

For (93), since (95), it is obvious for  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|}d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case for  $d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|}d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) < 1$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $t_b(x_1, v) \geq t_b(x_2, v)$ . By using the mean value theorem, (17), (18), (22), (57), (85), and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |M_1(f, g)(x_1, v) - M_1(f, g)(x_2, v)| \\
& \leq |f(x_b(x_1, v), v) - f(x_b(x_2, v), v)| e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)t_b(x_1, v)} \\
& \quad + |f|_{\infty, -\nu(v)} (t_b(x_1, v) - t_b(x_2, v)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)t_b(x_2, v)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |f|_{\infty,-} \left( \int_{t_b(x_2,v)}^{t_b(x_1,v)} |\varphi(g)(x_1 - v\ell, v)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v)t_b(x_2,v)} \\
& + |f|_{\infty,-} \left( \int_0^{t_b(x_2,v)} |\varphi(g)(x_1 - v\ell, v) - \varphi(g)(x_2 - v\ell, v)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}t_b(x_2,v)} \\
& \lesssim m \left( d_{(x_1,x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^\beta + |f|_{\infty,-} (1 + \|wg\|_\infty) d_{(x_1,x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|}d_{(x_1,x_2)}} \\
& + |f|_{\infty,-} (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1,x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1}) \right)^a e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|}d_{(x_1,x_2)}} \\
& \lesssim (m + C_{f,g}) \left( d_{(x_1,x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2|v|}d_{(x_1,x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{a,\beta\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (94), we only do the  $|v_1| \geq |v_2|$  case. Since (95), (94) is obvious for  $d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x}) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case where  $d_x^{-1}|v_1 - v_2|(1 + |v_1|^{-1}e^{-c\frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|}d_x}) < 1$ . Let  $\bar{v}_2 := \frac{|v_1|}{|v_2|}v_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |M_1(f, g)(x, v_1) - M_1(f, g)(x, v_2)| \\
& \leq |M_1(f, g)(x, v_1) - M_1(f, g)(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |M_1(f, g)(x, \bar{v}_2) - M_1(f, g)(x, v_2)| \\
& =: II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|v_1 - \bar{v}_2| \leq 2|v_1 - v_2|$ ,  $|\bar{v}_2 - v_2| \leq |v_1 - v_2|$ , and  $x_b(x, v_2) = x_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ .

For  $II$ , we assume without loss of generality that  $t_b(x, v_1) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
II & \leq |f(x_b(x, v_1), v_1) - f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, t_b(x, v_1))} \\
& + |f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| \left| e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, t_b(x, v_1))} - e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, t_b(x, \bar{v}_2))} \right| \\
& + |f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| \left| e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, t_b(x, \bar{v}_2))} - e^{-\Phi(g)(x, \bar{v}_2, t_b(x, \bar{v}_2))} \right| \\
& =: II_1 + II_2 + II_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $II_1$ , by using (19), (57), and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 & \lesssim m \left( d_x^{-1} \theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} + |v_1 - v_2| \right)^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, v_1)} \\
& \lesssim m \left[ (d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1})) \right]^\beta e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{2|v_1|}d_x}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $II_2$ , we divide it into the following two case.

(i)  $\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} \geq \frac{\pi}{3}$ . By (20) and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II_2 & \leq |f|_{\infty,-} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, v_1)} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right) \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{2|v_1|}d_x} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} |v_1 - v_2| |v_1|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_1)}{2|v_1|}d_x} \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\theta_{(v_1, \bar{v}_2)} < \frac{\pi}{3}$ . One has that  $|v_1(t)| \sim |v_1|$ , where  $v_1(t) =: v_1 + t(\bar{v}_2 - v_1)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By applying the mean value theorem, (20), (26), (27), and (74), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II_2 & \leq |f|_{\infty,-} |v_1 - \bar{v}_2| e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_1, t_b(x, v_1(t)))} \\
& \quad \times |[\nu(v_1) + \varphi(g)(x - v_1 t_b(x, v_1(t)), v_1)] \nabla_v t_b(x, v_1(t))| \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \langle v_1 \rangle^\gamma d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| [t_b(x, v_1(t))]^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, v_1(t))} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $II_3$ , by using the mean value theorem, (86), and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II_3 & \leq |f|_{\infty,-} |\nu(v_1) - \nu(\bar{v}_2)| t_b(x, \bar{v}_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\
& + |f|_{\infty,-} \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} |\varphi(g)(x - v_1\ell, v_1) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2\ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} |v_1 - \bar{v}_2| |\nabla \nu(v_1(t))| t_b(x, \bar{v}_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_1)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |f|_{\infty,-} \left( C_g [d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1})]^a e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} + \|wg\|_{\infty} |v_1 - v_2| \right) \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \left( C_g [d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1})]^a e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} + (1 + \|wg\|_{\infty}) |v_1 - v_2| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For  $III$ , we have  $t_b(x, v_2) \geq t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
III & \leq |f(x_b(x, v_2), v_2) - f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| e^{-\Phi(x, v_2, t_b(x, v_2))} \\
& \quad + |f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| \left| e^{-\Phi(x, v_2, t_b(x, v_2))} - e^{-\Phi(x, v_2, t_b(x, \bar{v}_2))} \right| \\
& \quad + |f(x_b(x, \bar{v}_2), \bar{v}_2)| \left| e^{-\Phi(x, v_2, t_b(x, \bar{v}_2))} - e^{-\Phi(x, \bar{v}_2, t_b(x, \bar{v}_2))} \right| \\
& =: III_1 + III_2 + III_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $III_1$ , by using (57) and (90), we have

$$III_1 \leq m (|x_b(x, v_2) - x_b(x, \bar{v}_2)| + |v_2 - \bar{v}_2|)^{\beta} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_2)t_b(x, v_2)} \lesssim m |v_1 - v_2|^{\beta}.$$

For  $III_2$ , we divide it into the following two cases.

(i)  $|v_2| < \frac{|v_1|}{2}$ . One has  $|v_1 - v_2| \sim |v_1|$ . By using the mean value theorem and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
III_2 & \leq |f|_{\infty,-} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_2)t_b(x, v_2)} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_2)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \right) \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} [t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)]^{-1} [t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(v_2)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\frac{|v_1|}{2} \leq |v_2| \leq |v_1|$ . By applying the mean value theorem, (20), and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
III_2 & \leq |f|_{\infty,-} \left( \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|}{|v_2|} - \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, \bar{v}_2)}|}{|\bar{v}_2|} \right) |\nu(v_2) + \varphi(g)(x - v_2 \tilde{t})| e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v_2, \tilde{t})} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \langle v_2 \rangle^{\gamma} |v_1 - v_2| \frac{|\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|}{|v_1||v_2|} e^{-\frac{\nu(v_2)}{|v_1|} |\overline{xx_b(x, v_2)}|} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2|,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $t_b(x, \bar{v}_2) \leq \tilde{t} \leq t_b(x, v_2)$ . For  $III_3$ , by using the mean value theorem, (87), and (90), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
III_3 & \leq |f|_{\infty,-} |\nu(v_2) - \nu(\bar{v}_2)| t_b(x, \bar{v}_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\
& \quad + |f|_{\infty,-} \left( \int_0^{t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} |\varphi(g)(x - v_2 \ell, v_2) - \varphi(g)(x - \bar{v}_2 \ell, \bar{v}_2)| d\ell \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\bar{v}_2)t_b(x, \bar{v}_2)} \\
& \lesssim |f|_{\infty,-} \left( C_g [d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_1|^{-1})]^a e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_1)}{|v_1|} d_x} + (1 + \|wg\|_{\infty}) |v_1 - v_2| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we get (94). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 28.** Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73) and (74) and  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma_-)$  satisfies (57). Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |M_2(f, g)(x_1, v) - M_2(f, g)(x_2, v)| \\
& \lesssim (m + C_{f,g}) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{8|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{a, \beta\}}; \\
& |M_2(f, g)(x, v_1) - M_2(f, g)(x, v_2)| \\
& \lesssim (m + C_{f,g}) \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_x}) \right)^{\min\{a, \beta\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{f,g}$  is as in Theorem 21.

*Proof.* Note that

$$M_2(f, g)(x, v) = \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(g)(x, v, s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, \eta) M_1(f, g)(x - vs, \eta) d\eta ds.$$

By using (12), (93), and (94), the proof is most same as that of Lemmas 20 and 25 and is omitted here. We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 29.** *Assume that  $g$  satisfies (73) and (74). Then for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(96) \quad |M_3(f, g)(x_1, v) - M_3(f, g)(x_2, v)| \\ \lesssim \tilde{C}_{f, g} \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + |v|^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{|v|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^a;$$

$$(97) \quad |M_3(f, g)(x, v_1) - M_3(f, g)(x, v_2)| \\ \lesssim \tilde{C}_{f, g} \left( d_x^{-1} |v_1 - v_2| (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_x}) \right)^a,$$

where  $\tilde{C}_{f, g}$  is as in Theorem 21.

*Proof.* Define

$$G(f, g)(x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{t_b(x, \eta)} k(v, \eta) e^{-\Phi(g)(x, \eta, \tau)} K f(x - \eta \tau, \eta) d\tau d\eta.$$

Then

$$M_3(f, g)(x, v) = \int_0^{t_b(x, v)} e^{-\Phi(x, v, s)} G(f, g)(x - vs, v) ds.$$

It follows from the approach of studying  $G(f)$  in Section 4 that

$$(98) \quad G(f, g)(x, v) \\ = \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega k(v, X(x)) e^{-\Phi(g)(x, X(x), \frac{|x-y|}{\rho})} K f(y, X(x)) \frac{\rho}{|x-y|^2} dy d\rho,$$

where  $X(x) := \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \rho$  for simplicity. To estimate (96)–(97), we need to complete the following estimates:

$$(99) \quad |G(f, g)(x_1, v) - G(f, g)(x_2, v)| \lesssim \tilde{C}_{f, g} \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a;$$

$$(100) \quad |G(f, g)(x, v_1) - G(f, g)(x, v_2)| \lesssim \|f\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|^a.$$

For (99), we divide the integration domain  $\Omega$  into two subdomains:  $D_1 = \{y \in \Omega : |y - x_1| \leq |y - x_2|\}$  and  $D_2 = \{y \in \Omega : |y - x_1| > |y - x_2|\}$ . We shall only do the  $D_1$  case since the other is similar. Applying (98) to the difference  $G(f, g)(x_1, v) - G(f, g)(x_2, v)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |G(f, g)(x_1, v) - G(f, g)(x_2, v)|_{D_1} \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{D_1} |k(v, X(x_1)) K f(y, X(x_1))| \frac{\rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} \\ & \quad \times \left| e^{-\Phi(g)(x_1, X(x_1), \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho})} - e^{-\Phi(g)(x_2, X(x_2), \frac{|x_2 - y|}{\rho})} \right| dy d\rho \\ & \quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{D_1} \rho e^{-\Phi(g)(x_2, X(x_2), \frac{|x_2 - y|}{\rho})} |k(v, X(x_1)) K f(y, X(x_1))| |x_1 - y|^{-2} \\ & \quad - k(v, X(x_2)) K f(y, X(x_2)) |x_2 - y|^{-2} dy d\rho \\ & =: G_1 + G_2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $G_1$ , we divide again the integration domain  $D_1$  into two subdomains :

$$D_{11} = \{y \in \Omega : |y - x_1| \leq |y - x_2|, |y - x_2| \geq 2|x_1 - x_2|\};$$

$$D_{12} = \{y \in \Omega : |y - x_1| \leq |y - x_2| < 2|x_1 - x_2|\},$$

and name the corresponding integrals as  $G_{11}$  and  $G_{12}$ , respectively. For  $G_{11}$ , by using the mean value theorem and (12), we have

$$G_{11} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{D_{11}} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} e^{-A(x_1, x_2, \rho, y)} \sum_{i=1}^3 H_i(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) dy d\rho$$

where  $A(x_1, x_2, \rho, y)$  is between  $\Phi(g)(x_1, X(x_1), \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho})$  and  $\Phi(g)(x_2, X(x_2), \frac{|x_2 - y|}{\rho})$ ,

$$H_1(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) = \int_0^{\frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho}} |\varphi(g)(x_1 - X(x_1)\ell, X(x_1)) - \varphi(g)(x_2 - X(x_2)\ell, X(x_2))| d\ell;$$

$$H_2(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) = \int_{\frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho}}^{\frac{|x_2 - y|}{\rho}} |\varphi(g)(x_2 - X(x_2)\ell, X(x_2))| d\ell;$$

$$H_3(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) = \left| \nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho} - \nu(X(x_2)) \frac{|x_2 - y|}{\rho} \right|.$$

By (74), we now have

$$(101) \quad A(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) \geq \min \left\{ \nu(X(x_i)) \frac{|x_i - y|}{2\rho} : i = 1, 2 \right\} \geq \frac{\nu_1 \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma}{2\rho} |x_1 - y|.$$

For  $H_1$ , let  $\tilde{\Omega}_0 = \Omega$  and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n = \{x \in \Omega : d_x < 2^{-n} d_{(x_1, x_2)} \min\{1, \rho\}\}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$2^{-N} \leq \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + \rho^{-1}) \right)^a.$$

Because of the convexity, there is a unique intersection point  $X_i$  of the ray  $\overrightarrow{x_i y}$  with  $\partial\Omega$ . Denote  $x_{in}$  be the intersection point of  $\overrightarrow{x_i X_i}$  with  $\partial\tilde{\Omega}_n \setminus \partial\Omega$ . By Proposition 8, (49), (77), and (78), we have

$$(102) \quad |x_{in} - X_i| \lesssim 2^{-n} \min\{1, \rho\}$$

and

$$(103) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\varphi(g)(x_1 - X(x_1)\ell, X(x_1)) - \varphi(g)(x_2 - X(x_2)\ell, X(x_2))| \\ & \lesssim C_g |x_1 - x_2|^a \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma d_{(x_1 - X(x_1)\ell, x_2 - X(x_2)\ell)}^{-a} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho^a \ell^a}{|x_1 - y|^a} + \frac{\rho^a}{|x_1 - y|^a} \right) \\ & \quad + \|wg\|_\infty \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma \rho \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_1 - y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tilde{A}_n = \min \left\{ \frac{|x_1 - x_{1n}|}{\rho}, \frac{|x_2 - x_{2n}|}{\rho} \right\}$ . By applying the idea in the proof of Lemma 20 and using (102) and (103), we can obtain that for any  $y \in \tilde{\Omega}_n \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_{n+1}$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) \\ & = \int_0^{\tilde{A}_1} \dots + \int_{\tilde{A}_1}^{\tilde{A}_2} \dots + \dots + \int_{\tilde{A}_n}^{\frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho}} \dots \\ & \lesssim C_g \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + \rho^{-1}) \right)^\alpha \left[ \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho} + \frac{|x_1 - y|^{1-\alpha}}{\rho^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{(\alpha-1)j} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\rho^\alpha}{|x_1 - y|^\alpha} \right) \right] + \|wg\|_\infty \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma |x_1 - x_2|, \end{aligned}$$

and for any  $y \in \tilde{\Omega}_{N+1}$ ,

$$H_1(x_1, x_2, \rho, y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^{\tilde{A}_1} \cdots \cdots + \int_{\tilde{A}_1}^{\tilde{A}_2} \cdots \cdots + \cdots + \int_{\tilde{A}_{N+1}}^{\frac{|x_1-y|}{\rho}} \cdots \cdots \\
&\lesssim C_g \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + \rho^{-1}) \right)^a \left[ \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho} + \frac{|x_1 - y|^{1-a}}{\rho^{1-a}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{(a-1)j} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\rho^a}{|x_1 - y|^a} \right) \right] + \|wg\|_\infty \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma |x_1 - x_2| \\
&\quad + \|wg\|_\infty \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma 2^{-N} \min\{1, \rho\} \rho^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (101) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_1(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) e^{-A(x_1, x_2, \rho, y)} \\
&\lesssim (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| (1 + \rho^{-1}) \right)^a \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho^a}{|x_1 - y|^a} \right] e^{-c \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^\gamma}{\rho} |x_1 - y|},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, by (12) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{D_{11}} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} e^{-A(x_1, x_2, \rho, y)} H_1(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) dy d\rho \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty \int_{D_{11}} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{2\gamma-2} \rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} (1 + \rho^{-1})^a \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho^a}{|x_1 - y|^a} \right] e^{-c \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^\gamma}{\rho} |x_1 - y|} dy d\rho \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^{2\gamma-2}}{|\eta|} (1 + |\eta|^{-1})^a \\
&\quad \times \int_{|x_1 - x_2|}^R \left[ \left( \frac{|\eta|}{r} \right)^{1-\beta_1} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{1-\beta_1} + \left( \frac{|\eta|}{r} \right)^a \left( \frac{|\eta|}{r} \right)^{\beta_2} \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right)^{\beta_2} \right] e^{-c \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^\gamma}{|\eta|} r} dr d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{2\gamma-2} (1 + |\eta|^{-1})^a (|\eta|^{-\beta_1} + |\eta|^{a+\beta_2-1}) d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^a,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen  $0 < \beta_1 < \min\{1, 3 + \gamma - a\}$  and  $\max\{0, |\gamma| - 2\} < \beta_2 < 1 - a$ .

For  $H_2$  and  $H_3$ , by the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) &\lesssim \|wg\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2| \langle \rho \rangle^\gamma \rho^{-1}; \\
H_3(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left[ \nu(X(x(s))) \frac{|x_1 - y|}{\rho} \right] ds \right| \\
&\lesssim |x_1 - x_2| (\langle \rho \rangle^\gamma \rho^{-1} + \langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

By repeating the estimate for  $G_{k13}$  in the proof of Lemma 16, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{D_{11}} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} e^{-A(x_1, x_2, \rho, y)} \sum_{i=2}^3 H_i(x_1, x_2, \rho, y) dy d\rho \\
&\lesssim (\|wg\|_\infty + 1) \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^a.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $G_{12}$ , by (12) and (101) and by repeating the estimate for  $G_{k3}$  in the proof of Lemma 16, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{12} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{D_{12}} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} \left( e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1 - y|}{2\rho}} + e^{-\nu(X(x_2)) \frac{|x_2 - y|}{2\rho}} \right) dy d\rho
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{D_{12}} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{\gamma-2} \rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} e^{-c \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^\gamma}{\rho} |x_1 - y|} dy d\rho \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For  $G_2$ , by (101), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G_2 \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{D_1} |k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} e^{-c \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^\gamma}{\rho} |x_1 - y|} |Kf(y, X(x_1)) - Kf(y, X(x_2))| dy d\rho \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{D_1} \rho |Kf(y, X(x_2))| \left| k(v, X(x_1)) e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1 - y|}{2\rho}} |x_1 - y|^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. - k(v, X(x_2)) e^{-\nu(X(x_2)) \frac{|x_2 - y|}{2\rho}} |x_2 - y|^{-2} \right| dy d\rho \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{D_1} |Kf(y, X(x_2)) k(v, X(x_1))| \frac{\rho}{|x_1 - y|^2} \\ &\quad \quad \times \left| e^{-\nu(X(x_1)) \frac{|x_1 - y|}{2\rho}} - e^{-\nu(X(x_2)) \frac{|x_2 - y|}{2\rho}} \right| dy d\rho \end{aligned}$$

Then, by repeating the estimates of  $G_k$  and  $G_K$  in Lemma 16 and the estimate of  $G_{13}$  above, we can get

$$G_2 \lesssim \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha.$$

Summing up, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|G(f, g)(x_1, v) - G(f, g)(x_2, v)| \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty (C_g + \|wg\|_\infty) \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} |x_1 - x_2| \right)^\alpha + \|f\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (99).

For (100), since  $G(f, g)$  is bounded, it is obvious for  $|v_1 - v_2| \geq 1$ . Therefore, we consider the case for  $|v_1 - v_2| < 1$ . By Lemma 3 and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|G(f, g)(x, v_1) - G(f, g)(x, v_2)| \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(v_1, \eta) - k(v_2, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{\gamma-3} d\eta \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|^{\tilde{\alpha}\gamma} \max\{\langle v_1 \rangle^{2\gamma-4}, \langle v_2 \rangle^{2\gamma-4}\} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty |v_1 - v_2|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using (99)–(100) and repeating the proof of Lemma 25, we can get (96)–(97). This completes the proof.  $\square$

From the results of Lemmas 25–29, we complete the proof of Theorem 21.

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let us consider the following iterative scheme

$$(104) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x f^{j+1} + Lf^{j+1} + \varphi(f^j) f^{j+1} = N_+(f^j, f^j), & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f^{j+1} = r, & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $f^0 \equiv 0$ .

For  $j = 0$ , by Proposition 11, there is a unique solution  $f^1$  to (104) satisfying

$$(105) \quad \|wf^1\|_\infty + |wf^1|_\infty \leq C_1 |wr|_{\infty, -} \leq C_1 \delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\widehat{C})^{-1}\},$$

where  $\delta_1$  is as in Proposition 11,  $\widehat{C}$  is as in (74), and  $\delta_0 > 0$  is small enough such that  $C_1 \delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\widehat{C})^{-1}\}$ . Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex and  $r$  satisfies (5), it follows from Theorem 15 and (105) that

$$(106) \quad |f^1(x_1, v_1) - f^1(x_2, v_2)|$$



$$\leq 2C_2m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}},$$

where we choose  $|wr|_{\infty, -} \leq \delta_0 \leq m$ .

For  $j = 1$ , by Proposition 11 with (105), there is a unique solution  $f^2$  to (104) satisfying

$$\|wf^2\|_{\infty} + |wf^2|_{\infty, -} \leq C_1 (|wr|_{\infty, -} + \|\nu^{-1}wN_+(f^1, f^1)\|_{\infty}).$$

It follows from Lemma 4 and (105) that

$$(107) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|wf^2\|_{\infty} + |wf^2|_{\infty, -} \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_1 (|wr|_{\infty, -} + \|wf^1\|_{\infty}^2) \leq \tilde{C}_1 |wr|_{\infty, -} \left( 1 + \tilde{C}_1^{-1} C_1^2 \delta_0 \right) \\ & \leq 2\tilde{C}_1 |wr|_{\infty, -} \leq 2\tilde{C}_1 \delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\hat{C})^{-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is small enough such that  $\tilde{C}_1^{-1} C_1^2 \delta_0 \leq 1$  and  $2\tilde{C}_1 \delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\hat{C})^{-1}\}$ . Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex and  $r$  satisfies (5), then  $f^1$  satisfies (73) with  $C_{f^1} = 2C_2m$  by (106) and satisfies (74) by (105). It follows from Theorem 21, (105), and (107) that

$$(108) \quad \begin{aligned} & |f^2(x_1, v_1) - f^2(x_2, v_2)| \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_2 \left( m + C_{f^2, f^1} + \tilde{C}_{f^2, f^1} + \tilde{C}_{f^1} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}} \\ & \leq 2\tilde{C}_2m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{f^2, f^1} + \tilde{C}_{f^2, f^1} + \tilde{C}_{f^1} \\ & \leq \delta_0 \left[ (1 + 2\tilde{C}_1)(1 + 2C_2m + C_1\delta_0) + 2C_1C_2m + 2C_1^2C_2m\delta_0 + C_1^2\delta_0 + C_1^3\delta_0 \right] \\ & \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

For  $j = 2$ , by Proposition 11 with (107), there is a unique solution  $f^3$  to (104) satisfying

$$(109) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|wf^3\|_{\infty} + |wf^3|_{\infty, -} \\ & \leq C_1 (|wr|_{\infty, -} + \|\nu^{-1}wN_+(f^2, f^2)\|_{\infty}) \leq \tilde{C}_1 (|wr|_{\infty, -} + \|wf^2\|_{\infty}^2) \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_1 |wr|_{\infty, -} \left( 1 + 4\tilde{C}_1 \delta_0 \right) \leq 2\tilde{C}_1 |wr|_{\infty, -} \leq 2\tilde{C}_1 \delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\hat{C})^{-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is small enough such that

$$(110) \quad 4\tilde{C}_1 \delta_0 \leq 1 \text{ and } 2\tilde{C}_1 \delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\hat{C})^{-1}\}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex and  $r$  satisfies (5), then  $f^2$  satisfies (73) with  $C_{f^2} = 2\tilde{C}_2m$  by (108) and satisfies (74) by (107). It follows from Theorem 21, (107), and (109) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |f^3(x_1, v_1) - f^3(x_2, v_2)| \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_2 \left( m + C_{f^3, f^2} + \tilde{C}_{f^3, f^2} + \tilde{C}_{f^2} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}} \\ & \leq 2\tilde{C}_2m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is small enough such that

$$(111) \quad C_{f^3, f^2} + \tilde{C}_{f^3, f^2} + \tilde{C}_{f^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \delta_0 \left[ (1 + 2\tilde{C}_1)(1 + 2\tilde{C}_2m + 2\tilde{C}_1\delta_0) + 4\tilde{C}_1\tilde{C}_2m + 8\tilde{C}_1^2\tilde{C}_2m\delta_0 + 4\tilde{C}_1^2\delta_0 + 8\tilde{C}_1^3\delta_0 \right] \\ &\leq m. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we shall show that there exists a unique solution  $f^{j+1}$  to (104) for  $j = 2, 3, \dots$  and  $f^{j+1}$  satisfies

$$(112) \quad \|wf^{j+1}\|_\infty + |wf^{j+1}|_\infty \leq 2\tilde{C}_1|wr|_{\infty,-} \leq 2\tilde{C}_1\delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\hat{C})^{-1}\}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex and  $r$  satisfies (5), then

$$(113) \quad |f^{j+1}(x_1, v_1) - f^{j+1}(x_2, v_2)| \leq 2\tilde{C}_2m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}.$$

We have proved  $j = 2$ . Now we assume that (112)–(113) hold for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ . For  $j = n$ , from Proposition 11 with (112), there is a unique solution  $f^{n+1}$  to (104) satisfying

$$(114) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{w}f^{n+1}\|_\infty + |\tilde{w}f^{n+1}|_{\infty,-} \\ &\leq C_1 (|wr|_{\infty,-} + \|\nu^{-1}wN_+(f^n, f^n)\|_\infty) \leq \tilde{C}_1 (|wr|_{\infty,-} + \|wf^n\|_\infty^2) \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_1|wr|_{\infty,-} (1 + 4\tilde{C}_1\delta_0) \leq 2\tilde{C}_1|wr|_{\infty,-} \leq 2\tilde{C}_1\delta_0 \leq \min\{\delta_1, (2\hat{C})^{-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  satisfies (110). Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is strictly convex, then we have that  $f^n$  satisfies (73) with  $C_{f^n} = 2\tilde{C}_2m$  by (113) and satisfies (74) by (112). It follows from Theorem 21, (112), and (114) that

$$\begin{aligned} &|f^{n+1}(x_1, v_1) - f^{n+1}(x_2, v_2)| \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_2 \left( m + C_{f^{n+1}, f^n} + \tilde{C}_{f^{n+1}, f^n} + \tilde{C}_{f^n} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}} \\ &\leq 2\tilde{C}_2m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-1} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) (1 + |v_{1,2}|^{-1} e^{-c \frac{\nu(v_{1,2})}{|v_{1,2}|} d_{(x_1, x_2)}}) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  satisfies (111). Hence we now have (112)–(113) by induction.

It is easy to see that the difference  $\bar{f}^j = f^{j+1} - f^j$  satisfies the following problem

$$(115) \quad \begin{cases} v \cdot \nabla_x \bar{f}^j + L\bar{f}^j + \varphi(f^j)\bar{f}^j = -\varphi(\bar{f}^{j-1})f^j + N_+(\bar{f}^{j-1}, f^j) + N_+(f^{j-1}, \bar{f}^{j-1}), \\ \bar{f}^j|_{\Gamma_-} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 11 to (115) and using (112), we obtain

$$(116) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|w\bar{f}^j\|_\infty + |w\bar{f}^j|_\infty \\ &\leq C_3 (\|\nu^{-1}w\varphi(\bar{f}^{j-1})f^j\|_\infty + \|\nu^{-1}wN_+(\bar{f}^{j-1}, f^j)\|_\infty + \|\nu^{-1}wN_+(f^{j-1}, \bar{f}^{j-1})\|_\infty) \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_3 (\|wf^j\|_\infty \|w\bar{f}^{j-1}\|_\infty + \|wf^{j-1}\|_\infty \|w\bar{f}^{j-1}\|_\infty) \\ &\leq 2\tilde{C}_1\tilde{C}_3\delta_0 \|w\bar{f}^{j-1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|w\bar{f}^{j-1}\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is small enough such that  $2\tilde{C}_1\tilde{C}_3\delta_0 \leq 1/2$ . This implies that  $\{f^j\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\infty$ . Therefore, we can obtain the solution by taking the limit  $f_* = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f^j$ . The uniqueness is also obtained by using (116).

The proof of the non-negativity of the solution is most same as the argument in [28, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1] and is omitted here for simplicity of presentation.

If  $\Omega$  is strictly convex, the continuity of  $f_*$  is obtained by the  $L^\infty$ -convergence. Moreover, if  $r$  satisfies (5), by using (20), (113), and the  $L^\infty$ -convergence, we get

$$|f_*(x_1, v_1) - f_*(x_2, v_2)| \leq \bar{C}_2 m \left( d_{(x_1, x_2)}^{-2} (|x_1 - x_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) \right)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}$$

for  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ . Finally, by continuity of  $f_*$ , we can get

$$|f_*(x, v) - f_*(x, 0)| \leq \bar{C}_2 m (d_x^{-2} |v|)^{\min\{\alpha, \beta\}}.$$

We complete this proof of the theorem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K.-C. Wu is supported by the National Science and Technology Council under the grant NSTC 112-2636-M-006-001, 112-2628-M-006-006-MY4 and National Center for Theoretical Sciences. K.-H. Wang is supported by the National Science and Technology Council under the grant NSTC 113-2115-M-017-001.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Caflisch, The Boltzmann equation with a soft potential. I. Linear, spatially-homogeneous, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **74** (1980) 71–95.
- [2] Y. Cao, Regularity of Boltzmann equation with external fields in convex domains of diffuse reflection. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **51** (2019) 3195–3275.
- [3] Y. Cao, C. Kim, and D. Lee, Global strong solutions of the Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann system in bounded domains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **233** (2019) 1027–1130.
- [4] C. Cercignani, *The Boltzmann Equation and Its Applications*. Appl. Math. Sci. 67. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [5] C. Cercignani, R. Illner, and M. Pulvirenti, *The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases*, Appl. Math. Sci. 106. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [6] H. Chen and C. Kim, Regularity of stationary Boltzmann equation in convex domains, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **244** (2022) 1099–1222.
- [7] I.-K. Chen, Regularity of stationary solutions to the linearized Boltzmann equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **50** (2018) 138–161.
- [8] I.-K. Chen and C.-H. Hsia, Singularity of macroscopic variables near boundary for gases with cutoff hard potential. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015) 4332–4349.
- [9] I.-K. Chen, C.-H. Hsia, and D. Kawagoe, Regularity for diffuse reflection boundary problem to the stationary linearized Boltzmann equation in a convex domain. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **36** (2019) 745–782.
- [10] I.-K. Chen, T.-P. Liu, and S. Takata, Boundary singularity for thermal transpiration problem of the linearized Boltzmann equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **212** (2014) 575–595.
- [11] R.J. Duan, F.M. Huang, Y. Wang, and Z. Zhang, Effects of soft interaction and non-isothermal boundary upon long-time dynamics of rarefied gas, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **234** (2019) 925–1006.
- [12] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, and R. Marra, Hydrodynamic limit of a kinetic gas flow past an obstacle. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **364** (2018) 765–823.
- [13] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim, and R. Marra, Non-isothermal boundary in the Boltzmann theory and Fourier law. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **323**, (2013) 177–239.
- [14] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim, and R. Marra, Stationary solutions to the Boltzmann equation in the Hydrodynamic limit. *Ann. PDE.* **4** (2018) 1–119.
- [15] R. Glassey, *The Cauchy Problems in Kinetic Theory*. SIAM, Philadelphia 1996.
- [16] F. Golse and F. Poupaud, Stationary solutions of the linearized Boltzmann equation in a half-space, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **11** (1989) 483–502
- [17] H. Grad, Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation, in: J.A. Laurmann (Ed.), *Rarefied Gas Dynamics*, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1963, pp. 26–59.
- [18] Y. Guo, Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with an angular cutoff. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **169** (2003) 305–353.
- [19] Y. Guo, Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** (2010) 713–809.
- [20] Y. Guo, C. Kim, D. Tonon, and A. Trescases, BV-regularity of the Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **220** (2016) 1045–1093.
- [21] Y. Guo, C. Kim, D. Tonon, and A. Trescases, Regularity of the Boltzmann equation in convex domains. *Invent. Math.* **207** (2017) 115–290.
- [22] N. Jiang and X. Zhang, The Boltzmann equation with incoming boundary condition: global solutions and Navier-Stokes limit. *SIAM. J. Math. Anal.* **51** (2019) 2504–2534.

- [23] C. Kim, Formation and propagation of discontinuity for Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **308** (2011) 641–701.
- [24] C. Kim and D. Lee, Hölder regularity of the Boltzmann equation past an obstacle, arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2111.07558>
- [25] Y.-C Lin, M.-J. Lyu, H. Wang, and K.-C. Wu, Space-time behavior of the solution to the Boltzmann equation with soft potentials, *J. Differ. Eq.* **322** (2022) 180–236.
- [26] S.-Q. Liu and X.-F. Yang, The initial boundary value problem for the Boltzmann equation with soft potential. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **233** (1) (2017) 463–541.
- [27] I. Vidav, Spectra of perturbed semigroups with applications to transport theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **30** (1970) 264–279.
- [28] C. Wang, F. Zhou, and W. Wu, Positive solutions and hydrodynamic limit of the steady Boltzmann equation with in-flow boundary. *Applicable Analysis* **101** (2022) 5792–5808.

KUNG-CHIEN WU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN, TAIWAN AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN  
*Email address:* kungchienwu@gmail.com

KUAN-HSIANG WANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL KAOHSIUNG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG, TAIWAN  
*Email address:* khwang0511@gmail.com