

Fujita type results for a parabolic inequality with a non-linear convolution term on the Heisenberg group

Ahmad Z. Fino, Mokhtar Kirane, Bilal Barakeh, Sebti Kerbal

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the non-existence of global weak solutions of the following degenerate inequality on the Heisenberg group

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u \geq (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q, & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(\eta, 0) = u_0(\eta), & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $n \geq 1$, $p, q > 0$, $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the Heisenberg Laplacian, and $\mathcal{K} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function satisfying $\mathcal{K}(|\cdot|_{\mathbb{H}}) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ which decreases in a vicinity of infinity. In addition, $*_{\mathbb{H}}$ denotes the convolution operation in \mathbb{H}^n . Our approach is based on the non-linear capacity method.

MSC 2020 Classification: Primary 35A01; 35R03; 35B53; Secondary 35B33; 35B45

Keywords: Parabolic inequalities, Heisenberg group, global non-existence, non-linear capacity estimates

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the non-existence of global weak solutions of the following parabolic inequality on the Heisenberg group

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u \geq (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q, & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(\eta, 0) = u_0(\eta), & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $n \geq 1$, $p, q > 0$, $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, and $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the Heisenberg Laplacian. The function $\mathcal{K} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous, it satisfies $\mathcal{K}(|\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and there exists $R_0 > 1$ such that $\inf_{r \in (0, R)} \mathcal{K}(r) = \mathcal{K}(R)$ for all $R > R_0$. The non-linear convolution term $\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p$ is the Heisenberg convolution between \mathcal{K} and $|u|^p$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p)(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{K}(d_{\mathbb{H}}(\eta, \xi)) |u(\xi)|^p d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{K}(|\xi|_{\mathbb{H}}) |u(\eta \circ \xi^{-1})|^p d\xi,$$

where $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{H}}$ are, respectively, the Korányi distance and Korányi norm defined below.

Remark 1.1. *Typical examples of \mathcal{K} are the constant functions as well as*

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = r^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, Q),$$

where $Q = 2n + 2$ is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n .

The Heisenberg group is the Lie group $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ equipped with the following law

$$\eta \circ \eta' = (x + x', y + y', \tau + \tau' + 2(x \cdot y' - x' \cdot y)),$$

where $\eta = (x, y, \tau)$, $\eta' = (x', y', \tau')$, and \cdot is the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . Let us denote the parabolic dilation in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} by δ_λ , namely, $\delta_\lambda(\eta) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 \tau)$ for any $\lambda > 0$, $\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. The Jacobian determinant of δ_λ is λ^Q , where $Q = 2n + 2$ is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n .

The homogeneous Heisenberg norm (also called Korányi norm) is derived from an anisotropic dilation on the Heisenberg group and is defined by

$$|\eta|_{\mathbb{H}} = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 + y_i^2) \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = ((|x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm associated to \mathbb{R}^n ; the associated Korányi distance between two points η and ξ of \mathbb{H} is defined by

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(\eta, \xi) = |\xi^{-1} \circ \eta|_{\mathbb{H}}, \quad \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{H},$$

where ξ^{-1} denotes the inverse of ξ with respect to the group action, i.e. $\xi^{-1} = -\xi$.

The left-invariant vector fields that span the Lie algebra are given by

$$X_i = \partial_{x_i} - 2y_i \partial_\tau, \quad Y_i = \partial_{y_i} + 2x_i \partial_\tau.$$

The Heisenberg gradient is given by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \quad (1.2)$$

and the sub-Laplacian (also called Kohn Laplacian) is defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2) = \Delta_x + \Delta_y + 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \partial_\tau^2 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \partial_{y_i \tau}^2 - y_i \partial_{x_i \tau}^2), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Delta_x = \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_x$ and $\Delta_y = \nabla_y \cdot \nabla_y$ stand for the Laplace operators on \mathbb{R}^n .

1.1 Historical background

1.1.1 Results on \mathbb{R}^n

Let us start by the pioneering paper of Fujita [10] where the following semi-linear heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = |u|^p & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

has been investigated. The exponent $p_F = 1 + 2/n$ is known as the critical Fujita exponent of (1.4). Namely, for $p < p_F$, Fujita [10] proved the non-existence of non-negative global-in-time solution for any non-trivial initial condition, and for $p > p_F$, global solutions do exist for any sufficiently small non-negative initial data. The proof of blowing-up non-negative solutions in the critical case $p = p_F$ was completed in [15, 18, 22].

The study of convolution terms in the non-linearity of evolution equations has been used to model various quantities in gravity and quantum physics. For instance, the equation

$$i\psi_t - \Delta\psi = (|x|^{\alpha-n} * \psi^2)\psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$\alpha \in (0, n)$, $n \geq 1$, was introduced by D.H. Hartee [12, 13, 14] in 1928, shortly after the publication of the Schrödinger equation, in order to study the non-relativistic atoms, using the concept of self-consistency. The stationary case of (1.5) for $n = 3$ and $\alpha = 2$ is known in the literature as the Choquard equation and was introduced in [20] as a model in quantum theory.

To our knowledge, the first results in solving (1.4) with a nonlocal non-linearity defined by the convolution operation i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u \geq (\mathcal{K} * |u|^p)|u|^q, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

were done recently in 2022 by Filippucci and Ghergu [6, 7], where $n \geq 1$, $p, q > 0$, and $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The non-linear convolution term $\mathcal{K} * |u|^p$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{K} * |u|^p)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(|x - y|)|u(y)|^p dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(|y|)|u(x - y)|^p dy.$$

Indeed, in [6, 7] the authors studied even more general cases than (1.6) which includes quasilinear operators such as m-Laplacian. They proved that (1.6) has no nontrivial global weak solutions whenever $p + q > 2$, $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}(R)R^{\frac{2n+2}{p+q}-n} > 0.$$

They have illustrated their results by discussing the case of pure powers in the potential $\mathcal{K}(r) = r^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and proved that problem (1.6) has no global weak solutions whenever $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $2 < p + q \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n+\alpha}$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(\eta) d\eta > 0$.

1.1.2 Motivation

Motivated by the above facts, the aim of this paper is to generalize the non-existence of global solutions results to the case of Heisenberg group (Theorem 2.1-i below). In addition, we get optimal results under some restrictions on the initial data (Theorem 2.1-ii below).

Our proof approach is based on the method of non-linear capacity estimates specifically adapted to the nature of the Heisenberg groups. The non-linear capacity method was introduced to prove the non-existence of global solutions in \mathbb{R}^n by Baras and Pierre [1], then used by Baras and Kersner in [2]; later on, it was developed by Zhang in [24] and Pohozaev and Mitidieri in [19]. It was also used by Kirane and Guedda [11], then by Kirane et al. in [17], and Fino et al in [3, 5, 8]. While, in \mathbb{H}^n , it was used by Pohozaev and Veron in [21], by D'Ambrosio in [4], and by Jleli-Kirane-Samet in [16] and recently by Fino-Ruzhansky-Torebek in [9].

2 Main results

Our main results are about the nonexistence of global weak solutions of (1.1) for various values of exponents p and q .

Let us start with the definition of the weak solution of (1.1).

Definition 2.1. (Weak solution of (1.1))

Let $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $T > 0$. We say that $u \in L^p_{loc}((0, T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{H}^n$ if

$$(\mathcal{K} *__{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p)|u|^q \in L^1_{loc}((0, T) \times \mathbb{H}^n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(\tau, \eta) \psi(\tau, \eta) d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(0, \eta) \psi(0, \eta) d\eta \\ & \geq \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} (\mathcal{K} *__{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p)|u|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u \psi_t(t, \eta) d\eta dt, \end{aligned}$$

holds for all non-negative compactly supported test function $\psi \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0, T) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$, and $0 \leq \tau < T$. If $T = \infty$, u is called a global in time weak solution to (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 1$, $p, q > 0$ such that $p + q > 2$.

(i) If $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0(\eta) d\eta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}(R) R^{\frac{2Q+2}{p+q}-Q} > 0,$$

then problem (1.1) has no global weak solutions.

(ii) If $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$,

$$u_0(\eta) \geq \varepsilon(1 + |\eta|^2)^{-\gamma/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R)^{-1} R^{\gamma(p+q-1)-2-Q} \right) = 0,$$

for some positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and any exponent $\gamma > 0$, then problem (1.1) has no global weak solutions.

Note that, when

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = r^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, Q),$$

i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u \geq (|\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}^{-\alpha} *__{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p)|u|^q, & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(\eta, 0) = u_0(\eta), & \eta \in \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and due to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}(R) R^{\frac{2Q+2}{p+q}-Q} > 0 & \iff \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\frac{2Q+2}{p+q}-Q-\alpha} > 0 \\ & \iff \frac{2Q+2}{p+q} - Q - \alpha \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R)^{-1} R^{\gamma(p+q-1)-2-Q} \right) = 0 & \iff \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\gamma(p+q-1)-2-Q+\alpha} = 0 \\ & \iff \gamma(p+q-1) - 2 - Q + \alpha < 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have the following

Corollary 2.2. *Let $n \geq 1$, $p, q > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

(i) *Assume that $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0(\eta) d\eta > 0.$$

If

$$2 < p + q \leq \frac{2(Q+1)}{Q+\alpha},$$

then problem (2.1) has no global weak solutions.

(ii) *Assume that $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, and there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every $0 < \gamma < Q + \alpha$, the initial datum verifies the following assumption:*

$$u_0(\eta) \geq \varepsilon(1 + |\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}^2)^{-\gamma/2}.$$

If

$$2 < p + q < \frac{2 + Q + \gamma - \alpha}{\gamma},$$

then problem (2.1) has no global weak solutions.

Remark 2.1. *Note that, we have chosen $\alpha \in (0, 1) = (0, 1) \cap (0, Q)$ to ensure that $2 < \frac{2(Q+1)}{Q+\alpha}$. In addition, due to*

$$\gamma < Q + \alpha \iff \frac{2(Q+1)}{Q+\alpha} < \frac{2 + Q + \gamma - \alpha}{\gamma},$$

the result in Corollary 2.2-(ii) positively affects on the nonexistence of global weak solutions in Corollary 2.2-(i).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i) The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that u is a global weak solution of (1.1), then, for all $T \gg 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(T, \eta) \psi(T, \eta) d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u(0, \eta) \psi(0, \eta) d\eta \\ & \geq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u \psi_t(t, \eta) d\eta dt \end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative compactly supported test function $\psi \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{H}^n)$.

We choose

$$\psi(t, \eta) := \varphi^\ell(\eta) \varphi_3^\ell(t) := \varphi_1^\ell(x) \varphi_1^\ell(y) \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \varphi_3^\ell(t),$$

with

$$\varphi_1(x) := \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right), \varphi_1(y) := \Phi\left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right), \varphi_2(\tau) := \Phi\left(\frac{|\tau|}{T}\right), \varphi_3(t) := \Phi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right),$$

where $\ell \gg 1$, and Φ is a smooth nonnegative non-increasing function such that

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq 1/2, \\ \searrow & \text{if } 1/2 \leq r \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) \, d\eta &\leq - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \varphi_3^\ell(t) \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u \varphi^\ell(\eta) \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \, d\eta \, dt \\ &=: I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; |x|^2, |y|^2, |\tau| \leq T\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \frac{T}{2} \leq |x|, |y| \leq T^{1/2}, \frac{T}{2} \leq |\tau| \leq T\}.$$

Let us start to estimate I_1 . Using the following Hölder's inequality

$$\int ab \leq \left(\int a^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \left(\int a^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u| \varphi_3^\ell(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| \, d\eta \, dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u| \psi^{\frac{2}{p+q}}(t, \eta) \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q}}(t, \eta) \varphi_3^\ell(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| \, d\eta \, dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q-2}}(t, \eta) \varphi_3^{\frac{\ell(p+q)}{p+q-2}}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u| \varphi^\ell(\eta) \left| \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \right| \, d\eta \, dt \\ &= \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u| \psi^{\frac{2}{p+q}}(t, \eta) \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q}}(t, \eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) \left| \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \right| \, d\eta \, dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q-2}}(t, \eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell(p+q)}{p+q-2}}(\eta) \left| \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Inserting (2.3)-(2.4) into (2.2), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) \, d\eta \\
& \leq \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q-2}}(t, \eta) \varphi_3^{\frac{\ell(p+q)}{p+q-2}}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q-2}}(t, \eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell(p+q)}{p+q-2}}(\eta) \left| \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \\
& = J_1 \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} + J_2 \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 := \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q-2}}(t, \eta) \varphi_3^{\frac{\ell(p+q)}{p+q-2}}(t) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}},$$

and

$$J_2 := \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \psi^{-\frac{2}{p+q-2}}(t, \eta) \varphi^{\frac{\ell(p+q)}{p+q-2}}(\eta) \left| \partial_t(\varphi_3^\ell(t)) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\eta \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}}.$$

Let us estimate J_2 . As $\partial_t \varphi_3^\ell(t) = \ell \varphi_3^{\ell-1}(t) \partial_t \varphi_3(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T \varphi_3^{\ell-\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}}(t) \left| \partial_t \varphi_3(t) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \\
& = C \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T \Phi^{\ell-\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \left| \partial_t \Phi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{y}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{T}, \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{t}{T},$$

and using the fact that $\varphi \leq 1$ and $\text{meas}(\mathcal{B}) = CT^{\frac{Q}{2}}$, we get

$$J_2 \leq CT^{\frac{Q(p+q-2)}{2(p+q)} - \frac{2}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^1 \Phi^{\ell-\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}}(\tilde{t}) \left| \Phi'(\tilde{t}) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} \, d\tilde{t} \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \leq CT^{\frac{Q(p+q-2)}{2(p+q)} - \frac{2}{p+q}}. \tag{2.6}$$

To estimate J_1 , using (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| &= \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \left(\varphi_1^\ell(x) \varphi_1^\ell(y) \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \Delta_x \varphi_1^\ell(x) \right| \varphi_1^\ell(y) \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) + \varphi_1^\ell(x) \left| \Delta_y \varphi_1^\ell(y) \right| \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \\
&\quad + 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \varphi_1^\ell(x) \varphi_1^\ell(y) \left| \partial_\tau^2 \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \right| + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \varphi_1^\ell(x) \left| \partial_{y_j} \varphi_1^\ell(y) \right| \left| \partial_\tau \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \right| \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j| \varphi_1^\ell(y) \left| \partial_{x_j} \varphi_1^\ell(x) \right| \left| \partial_\tau \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . So

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| &\leq \left[\ell(\ell-1) \varphi_1^{\ell-2}(x) |\nabla_x \varphi_1(x)|^2 + \ell \varphi_1^{\ell-1}(x) |\Delta_x \varphi_1(x)| \right] \varphi_1^\ell(y) \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \\
&\quad + \varphi_1^\ell(x) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \varphi_1^{\ell-2}(y) |\nabla_y \varphi_1(y)|^2 + \ell \varphi_1^{\ell-1}(y) |\Delta_y \varphi_1(y)| \right] \varphi_2^\ell(\tau) \\
&\quad + 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \varphi_1^\ell(x) \varphi_1^\ell(y) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \varphi_2^{\ell-2}(\tau) |\partial_\tau \varphi_2(\tau)|^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \ell \varphi_2^{\ell-1}(\tau) |\partial_\tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau)| \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \varphi_1^\ell(x) \left[\ell \varphi_1^{\ell-1}(y) |\partial_{y_j} \varphi_1(y)| \right] \left[\ell \varphi_2^{\ell-1}(\tau) |\partial_\tau \varphi_2(\tau)| \right] \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j| \varphi_1^\ell(y) \left[\ell \varphi_1^{\ell-1}(x) |\partial_{x_j} \varphi_1(x)| \right] \left[\ell \varphi_2^{\ell-1}(\tau) |\partial_\tau \varphi_2(\tau)| \right],
\end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Substituting φ_1 and φ_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| \\
&\leq \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left| \nabla_x \Phi \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left| \Delta_x \Phi \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right| \right] \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \\
&\quad + \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left| \nabla_y \Phi \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left| \Delta_y \Phi \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right| \right] \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \\
&\quad + 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \left| \partial_\tau \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \right|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \left| \partial_\tau^2 \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \right| \right] \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left| \partial_{y_j} \Phi \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \left| \partial_\tau \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \right| \right] \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j| \Phi^\ell \left(\frac{|y|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left| \partial_{x_j} \Phi \left(\frac{|x|}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \left| \partial_\tau \Phi \left(\frac{|\tau|}{T} \right) \right| \right],
\end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . By letting

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{y}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{T}.$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| \\ & \leq \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2}(|\tilde{x}|) T^{-1} |\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \Phi(|\tilde{x}|)|^2 + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{x}|) T^{-1} |\Delta_{\tilde{x}} \Phi(|\tilde{x}|)| \right] \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{y}|) \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{\tau}|) \\ & + \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{x}|) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2}(|\tilde{y}|) T^{-1} |\nabla_{\tilde{y}} \Phi(|\tilde{y}|)|^2 + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{y}|) T^{-1} |\Delta_{\tilde{y}} \Phi(|\tilde{y}|)| \right] \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{\tau}|) \\ & + 4T(|\tilde{x}|^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2) \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{x}|) \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{y}|) \left[\ell(\ell-1) \Phi^{\ell-2}(|\tilde{\tau}|) T^{-2} |\partial_{\tilde{\tau}} \Phi(|\tilde{\tau}|)|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{\tau}|) T^{-2} |\partial_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \Phi(|\tilde{\tau}|)| \right] \\ & + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n T^{\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{x}_j| \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{x}|) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{y}|) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_{\tilde{y}_j} \Phi(|\tilde{y}|)| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{\tau}|) T^{-1} |\partial_{\tilde{\tau}} \Phi(|\tilde{\tau}|)| \right] \\ & + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n T^{\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{y}_j| \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{y}|) \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{x}|) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_{\tilde{x}_j} \Phi(|\tilde{x}|)| \right] \left[\ell \Phi^{\ell-1}(|\tilde{\tau}|) T^{-1} |\partial_{\tilde{\tau}} \Phi(|\tilde{\tau}|)| \right], \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{C} . Note that, as

$$\Phi \leq 1 \Rightarrow \Phi^\ell \leq \Phi^{\ell-1} \leq \Phi^{\ell-2},$$

we can easily see that

$$\left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right| \leq C T^{-1} \left[\Phi^\ell(|\tilde{x}|) \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{y}|) \Phi^\ell(|\tilde{\tau}|) \right]^{\ell-2}, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{C},$$

and therefore, using the fact that $\Phi, \varphi_3 \leq 1$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & = C \left(\int_0^T \varphi_3^\ell(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^{-\frac{2\ell}{p+q-2}}(\eta) \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi^\ell(\eta) \right|^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-2}} d\eta \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \\ & \leq C T^{-1} \left(\int_0^T \varphi_3^\ell(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \left(\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} [\Phi(|\tilde{x}|) \Phi(|\tilde{y}|) \Phi(|\tilde{\tau}|)]^{\ell - \frac{2(p+q)}{p+q-2}} T^{\frac{Q}{2}} d\tilde{\eta} \right)^{\frac{p+q-2}{p+q}} \\ & \leq C T^{-1 + \frac{p+q-2}{p+q} + \frac{Q(p+q-2)}{2(p+q)}} \\ & \leq C T^{-\frac{2}{p+q} + \frac{Q(p+q-2)}{2(p+q)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where we have used the fact that $\ell \gg 1$.

Using (2.6)-(2.7), we get from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \\ & \leq C T^{\frac{Q}{2} - \frac{2+Q}{p+q}} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{J}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\mathbf{I} := \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{J} := \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} &= \left(\int_0^T 1 \cdot \int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \\ &\leq T^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p+q}} \leq T^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \\ &\leq C T^{\frac{Q}{2} - \frac{1+Q}{p+q}} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By letting

$$J(t) := \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad \text{for almost all } t \geq 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \\ &\leq C T^{\frac{Q}{2} - \frac{1+Q}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

To estimate the left-hand side of (2.10), we have

$$(\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p)(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{K}(d_{\mathbb{H}}(\eta, \xi)) |u(\xi)|^p d\xi \geq \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{K}(d_{\mathbb{H}}(\eta, \xi)) |u(\xi)|^p d\xi.$$

Note that $|\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}, |\xi|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq \sqrt[4]{5} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on \mathcal{B} , therefore, using [23], we have

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(\eta, \xi) = |\xi^{-1} \circ \eta|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq 3(|\xi|_{\mathbb{H}} + |\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}) \leq 12T^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{B},$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{K}(d_{\mathbb{H}}(\eta, \xi)) \geq \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{on } \mathcal{B},$$

for all $T \gg 1$, namely $12T^{\frac{1}{2}} > R_0$. So,

$$(\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p)(\eta) \geq \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u(\xi)|^p d\xi \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u(\eta)|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \\ & \geq \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u(\xi)|^p |u(\eta)|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ & \geq \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^p \psi(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where we have used that $\psi \leq 1$ and $\psi(\cdot, t) \equiv 0$ outside of \mathcal{B} for all $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, using Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^{\frac{p}{2}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^{\frac{q}{2}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \eta) |u(\xi)|^{\frac{q}{2}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^{\frac{p}{2}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^p \psi(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^q \psi(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^p \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^p \psi(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By (2.11) and (2.12), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} *_{\mathbb{H}} |u|^p) |u(\eta)|^q \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \\ & \geq \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\xi)|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \xi) |u(\eta)|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ & = \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |u(\eta)|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 \\ & = \mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) J^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.13), we infer that

$$\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_0^T J^2(t) dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \leq C T^{\frac{Q}{2} - \frac{1+Q}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}}. \quad (2.14)$$

As $\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0(\eta) d\eta > 0 \implies \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \geq 0$, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_0^T J^2(t) dt \leq C T^{\frac{Q}{2} - \frac{1+Q}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}},$$

i.e.

$$\left(\int_0^T J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-1}{p+q}} \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) T^{\frac{1+Q}{p+q} - \frac{Q}{2}}}, \quad (2.15)$$

As

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}(R) R^{\frac{2Q+2}{p+q} - Q} > 0,$$

there exists a sequence $\{R_j\}_j$ such that

$$R_j \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{2Q+2}{p+q} - Q} \rightarrow \ell > 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R_j > R_{j-1}$ for all $j > 1$.

If $\ell = \infty$, replacing T by R_j^2 , we have

$$\left(\int_0^{R_j^2} J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-1}{p+q}} \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(12R_j) R_j^{\frac{2(1+Q)}{p+q} - Q}},$$

passing to the limit when $j \rightarrow \infty$, using (2.16), and the monotone convergence theorem, we infer that

$$\int_0^\infty J^2(t) dt = 0,$$

which implies that $J \equiv 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^+ . By (2.14), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } T \gg 1.$$

Letting $T \rightarrow \infty$, using $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and the dominated convergence theorem, we arrive at

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0(\eta) d\eta \leq 0,$$

contradiction.

If $\ell < \infty$, then (2.15) shows that $J \in L^2(0, \infty)$. This implies that

$$\int_0^{R_j^2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_j} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 dt, \quad \int_{\frac{R_j^2}{2}}^{R_j^2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_j} |u|^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \psi(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 dt \rightarrow 0,$$

when $j \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; |x|^2, |y|^2, |\tau| \leq R_j^2\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; \frac{R_j}{2} \leq |x|, |y| \leq R_j, \frac{R_j^2}{2} \leq |\tau| \leq R_j^2\}.$$

By (2.9) and (2.13), and by replacing T by R_j^2 , we conclude that

$$\left(\int_0^{R_j^2} J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p+q-1}{p+q}} \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(12R_j) R_j^{\frac{2(1+Q)}{p+q} - Q}} o(1), \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Again, passing to the limit when $j \rightarrow \infty$, using (2.16) and $\ell \in (0, \infty)$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty J^2(t) dt = 0,$$

which implies as above that

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} u_0(\eta) d\eta \leq 0,$$

contradiction.

(ii) As $T > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta &\geq \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} u_0(\eta) \varphi^\ell(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} u_0(\eta) d\eta \\ &\geq \varepsilon \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} (1 + |\eta|_{\mathbb{H}}^2)^{-\gamma/2} d\eta \\ &\geq \varepsilon C \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \left(\frac{T}{2} + \frac{T}{2}\right)^{-\gamma/2} d\eta \\ &= \varepsilon C T^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \text{meas}(\mathcal{C}_0) \\ &= \varepsilon C T^{\frac{Q-\gamma}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_0 := \{\eta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}^n; |x|, |y| \leq \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}, |\tau| \leq \frac{T}{2}\}. \quad (2.17)$$

Therefore by repeating the same calculation as in the subcritical case (see (2.14)), we get

$$\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_0^T J^2(t) dt + \varepsilon C T^{\frac{Q-\gamma}{2}} \leq C T^{\frac{Q}{2} - \frac{1+Q}{p+q}} \left(\int_0^T J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}},$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T J^2(t) dt + \frac{\varepsilon C}{\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) T^{\frac{\gamma-Q}{2}}} &\leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) T^{\frac{1+Q}{p+q} - \frac{Q}{2}}} \left(\int_0^T J^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\left(\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) T^{\frac{1+Q}{p+q} - \frac{Q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T J^2(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{1}{2} a^{p+q} + C b^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\varepsilon C}{\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) T^{\frac{\gamma-Q}{2}}} \leq \frac{C}{\left(\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) T^{\frac{1+Q}{p+q} - \frac{Q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}}},$$

i.e.

$$\varepsilon \leq C \left[\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p+q-1}} T^{\frac{\gamma-Q}{2} - \frac{2(1+Q)-Q(p+q)}{2(p+q-1)}},$$

and then

$$\varepsilon \leq C \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left[\mathcal{K}(12T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p+q-1}} T^{\frac{\gamma-Q}{2} - \frac{2(1+Q)-Q(p+q)}{2(p+q-1)}} \right) = 0,$$

we get a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgment

Ahmad Fino is supported by the Research Group Unit, College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East.

References

- [1] P. Baras, M. Pierre, *Critère d'existence de solutions positives pour des équations semi-linéaires non monotones*. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire Volume 2, Issue 3, May–June 1985, Pages 185–212.
- [2] P. Baras, R. Kersner, *Local and global solvability of a class of semilinear parabolic equations*. J. Diff. Equations. **68** (1987), 2, 238–252.
- [3] W. Chen, A. Z. Fino, *Blow-up of solutions to semilinear strongly damped wave equations with different nonlinear terms in an exterior domain*. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, **44** (2021) no. 8, pp. 6787–6807.
- [4] L. D'Ambrosio, *Critical degenerate inequalities on the Heisenberg group*. Manuscripta math. **106** (2001), 519–536.
- [5] T. A. Dao, A. Z. Fino, *Critical exponent for semi-linear structurally damped wave equation of derivative type*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **43** (2020), 9766–9775.
- [6] R. Filippucci, M. Ghergu, *Fujita type results for quasilinear parabolic inequalities with nonlocal terms*. DCDS Ser. A **42** (2022), 1817–1833.
- [7] R. Filippucci, M. Ghergu, *Higher order evolution inequalities with nonlinear convolution terms*. Nonlinear Analysis **221** (2022), 112881.
- [8] A. Z. Fino, *Finite time blow up for wave equations with strong damping in an exterior domain*. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, **17** (2020), no. 6, Paper No. 174, 21 pp.
- [9] A. Z. Fino, M. Ruzhansky, B. T. Torebek, *Fujita-type results for the degenerate parabolic equations on the Heisenberg groups*. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **31** (2024), 19.
- [10] H. Fujita, *On the blowing up of solutions of the problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **13** (1966), 109–124.
- [11] M. Guedda, M. Kirane, *Criticality for Some Evolution Equations*. Differential Equations, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2001, pp. 540–550. Translated from Differentsial'nye Uravneniya, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2001, pp. 511–520.

- [12] D.R. Hartree, *The wave mechanics of an atom with a non-Coulomb central field. Part I. Theory and methods.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **24** (1928) 89–110.
- [13] D.R. Hartree, *The wave mechanics of an atom with a non-Coulomb central field. Part II. Some results and discussion.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **24** (1928) 111–132.
- [14] D.R. Hartree, *The wave mechanics of an atom with a non-Coulomb central field. Part III. Term values and intensities in series in optical spectra.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **24** (1928) 426–437.
- [15] K. Hayakawa, *On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations,* Proc. Japan Acad. 4 (1973), 503–505.
- [16] M. Jleli, M. Kirane, B. Samet, *A Fujita-type theorem for a multitime evolutionary p -Laplace inequality in the Heisenberg group.* Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2016** (2016), no. 303, 1–8.
- [17] M. Kirane, Y. Laskri, N.-e Tatar, *Critical exponents of Fujita type for certain evolution equations and systems with Spatio-Temporal Fractional derivatives.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **312** (2005), 488–501.
- [18] K. Kobayashi, T. Sirao, H. Tanaka, *On the growing up problem for semilinear heat equations,* J. Math. Soc. Japan **29** (1977), 407–424.
- [19] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pohozaev, *A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities.* Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **234** (2001), 1–383.
- [20] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle.* Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [21] S. Pohozaev, L. Veron, *Nonexistence results of solutions of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group.* Manuscripta math. **102** (2000), 85–99.
- [22] S. Sugitani, *On nonexistence of global solutions for some nonlinear integral equations,* Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 45–51.
- [23] Yunyan Yang, *Trudinger-Moser inequalities on the entire Heisenberg group.* Math. Nachr. 287 (2014), no. 8-9, 1071–1080.
- [24] Qi. S. Zhang, *A blow up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping: the critical case.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **333** (2001), 2, 109–114.

Address:

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East, Kuwait.

e-mail: `ahmad.fino@aum.edu.kw`

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Khalifa University, P.O. Box: 127788, Abu Dhabi, UAE.

e-mail: `mokhtar.kirane@ku.ac.ae`

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Lebanese University, Tripoli, P.O. Box 1352, Lebanon

e-mail: `bbarakeh@ul.edu.lb`

Department of Mathematics, Sultan Qaboos University, FracDiff Research Group (DR/RG/03), P.O. Box 36, Al-Khoud 123, Muscat, Oman vspace-7mm

e-mail: `skerbalsqu.edu.om`