

# NONLINEAR RELATIONS OF VISCOUS STRESS AND STRAIN RATE IN NONLINEAR VISCOELASTICITY

LENNART MACHILL

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a Kelvin-Voigt model for viscoelastic second-grade materials, where the elastic and the viscous stress tensor both satisfy frame indifference. Using a rigidity estimate by CIARLET AND MARDARE [15], existence of weak solutions is shown by means of a frame-indifferent time-discretization scheme. Further, the result includes viscous stress tensors which can be calculated by nonquadratic polynomial densities. Afterwards, we investigate the long-time behavior of solutions in the case of small external loading and initial data. Our main tool is the abstract theory of metric gradient flows [3].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Kelvin-Voigt rheology is a classical concept in engineering science and continuum mechanics. It serves as a tool for describing the evolution of viscoelastic solids, where slow continuous deformations are observed, tending to a recoverable configuration of the material. The key feature of this model is the stress tensor, which is determined by summation of the elastic and the viscous stress tensor. However, this relation may break down if the deformation is rather large and if the undeformed and deformed configurations are significantly different. In this article, we focus on a version of the Kelvin-Voigt model for large deformations and address this latter effect. More precisely, we consider the balance of momentum for second-grade nonsimple materials without inertia, which is governed by the system of equations

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\partial_F W(x, \nabla y) - \operatorname{div}(\partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y)) + \partial_{\dot{F}} R(x, \nabla y, \partial_t \nabla y)\right) = f \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega. \quad (1.1)$$

Here,  $[0, T]$  is a process time interval for some time horizon  $T > 0$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a bounded domain representing the reference configuration, and  $y: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  indicates a Lagrangian *deformation*. By  $f: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  we indicate a volume density of *external forces* acting on  $\Omega$ . The elastic stress tensors can be calculated by the densities  $W: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $P: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , where  $F$  and  $G$  are the place-holders for the gradient and Hessian of  $y$ , respectively.  $R: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the density of the viscous stress tensor, depending on both strain and strain rate with  $\dot{F}$  being the place-holder of the strain rate. Respecting the fundamental concept of frame indifference in nonlinear continuum mechanics, we are interested in densities  $W$  and  $R$  that can be rewritten in terms of the right Cauchy-Green tensor  $C = F^T F$  and its derivative in time  $\dot{C} = \dot{F}^T F + F^T \dot{F}$ , i.e., we assume that there exist nonnegative functions  $\hat{W}$  and  $\hat{R}$  such that

$$W(x, F) = \hat{W}(x, C) \quad \text{and} \quad R(x, F, \dot{F}) = \hat{R}(x, C, \dot{C}).$$

For a thorough discussion of the latter principle in the context of elastic and viscous stresses, we refer to ANTMAN [5, 6].  $P$  corresponds to a second-order regularizing term, and a precise definition of the corresponding stress tensor is provided in (2.8) below.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 74A30, 74D10, 35A15, 35Q74, 74G22.

*Key words and phrases.* Viscoelasticity, metric gradient flows, dissipative distance, curves of maximal slope, minimizing movements.

To date, existence results of weak solutions can only be guaranteed in the presence of such a term, which is a concept going back to TOUPIN [37, 38]. In general, this principle indeed proved to be useful in mathematical continuum mechanics, see e.g. [10, 12, 24, 28, 30]. If  $R$  is quadratic in  $\dot{F}$ , i.e., viscous stress tensor and strain rate are related *linearly*, existence of weak solutions to (1.1) has been shown in [7, 8, 29], even by including an additional coupling with a nonlinear heat equation. This approach has been extended in various directions over the last years, including models allowing for self-contact [16, 26], a nontrivial coupling with a diffusion equation [39] and a Cahn-Hilliard equation [17], inertial effects [2, 13], homogenization [23], or applications to fluid-structure interactions [13]. For the derivation of effective theories for (thin) materials, we refer to [7, 8, 9, 18, 19, 20, 21, 40]. While the aforementioned results are formulated using the Lagrangian approach, several recent works employ the alternative Eulerian perspective instead, see [32, 33, 34, 35]. Eventually, a comprehensive overview of the model itself and further related results can be found in [36].

While previous existence theories rely on a linear relation between viscous stress and strain rate, to the best of our knowledge, existence has not been proved in the nonquadratic case, except for a result neglecting frame-indifference [14]. The simplest choice for such a potential is  $R(x, F, \dot{F}) = \tilde{p}^{-1} |\dot{F}^T F + F^T \dot{F}|^{\tilde{p}}$  for some  $\tilde{p} \neq 2$ , leading to the viscous stress tensor

$$\partial_{\dot{F}} R(x, \nabla y, \partial_t \nabla y) = 2\nabla y |(\partial_t \nabla y)^T \nabla y + (\nabla y)^T \partial_t \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}-2} ((\partial_t \nabla y)^T \nabla y + (\nabla y)^T \partial_t \nabla y). \quad (1.2)$$

In the previously mentioned results, one shows the existence of weak solutions by relying on a Minty-trick for monotone operators to handle the nonlinearity induced by  $P$ . However, it is not straightforward to apply this argument in the presence of an additional nonlinear viscous stress tensor as in (1.2). The first main theorem of this article addresses the existence of weak solutions. By introducing a new application of the rigidity estimate by CIARLET AND MARDARE [15], which itself is based on the rigidity estimate by FRIESECKE, JAMES AND MÜLLER [22], we circumvent the latter issue by making use of a gradient flow formulation of (1.1) in a metric space.

We now highlight the main strategy and challenges of this problem by treating the specific choice of  $R$  in (1.2) and setting  $f = 0$  for the sake of simplicity. Fixing a timestep  $\tau > 0$  such that  $T\tau^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$  and an initial deformation  $y^0$ , we inductively solve the minimization problems

$$y^n \in \arg \min_y \frac{1}{\tilde{p}\tau^{\tilde{p}-1}} \int_{\Omega} |(\nabla y^{n-1})^T \nabla y^{n-1} - (\nabla y)^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} dx + \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y) dx + \int_{\Omega} P(x, \nabla^2 y) dx \quad (1.3)$$

for  $n = 1, \dots, T\tau^{-1}$ . Then, at least formally, limits of suitably defined interpolations of the time-discrete solutions converge to solutions of (1.1) as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Putting this approach into a rigorous framework, we show convergence of time-discrete approximations to so-called *curves of maximal slope*. Curves of maximal slope satisfy a certain energy-dissipation-balance which allows to relate these curves to weak solutions of the system (1.1). In the limiting passage  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , the crucial difficulty is proving a suitable bound on  $y^n - y^{n-1}$ . To overcome this issue, the rigidity estimates in [15] yield the bound

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla y^{n-1} - \nabla y^n|^{\tilde{p}} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |(\nabla y^{n-1})^T \nabla y^{n-1} - (\nabla y^n)^T \nabla y^n|^{\tilde{p}} dx, \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  depends on  $\nabla y^{n-1}$ , but can be chosen uniformly among functions in the set  $K := \{y \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : \det \nabla y > \mu, \|\nabla y\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})} < \mu^{-1}\}$  for  $\mu > 0$ . Indeed, as the scheme provides a bound on  $\int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y^n(x)) + P(x, \nabla^2 y^n(x)) dx$  which is uniform in  $n$ , suitable growth conditions on  $W$  and  $P$  then imply that  $(y^n)_n$  lies in  $K$ . Notice that this argument fails in the absence of  $P$ .

Comparing (1.3) with the discretization schemes in [7, 29], a further advantage is that the functional minimized in (1.3) is frame indifferent, i.e., this important feature of the model is already satisfied

on the time-discrete level. We prefer this approximation, as otherwise rigid body motions generate dissipation, suggesting an incorrect physical choice.

Whereas convergence of time-discrete approximations to curves of maximal slope has already been shown in the case of small external loading and initial data [18, 21], the corresponding result for large strains is new and established for  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2]$ , see Theorem 2.2(i). In the latter articles, the inequality in (1.4) was avoided by employing Taylor expansions at the identity and applying versions of Korn's inequality. Following this strategy, we prove an existence result for small strains in the case  $\tilde{p} \in (2, +\infty)$ , see Theorem 2.2(ii). If one tries to recover the previous result also for large deformations, one needs to prove a suitable representation of the local slope in order to show lower semicontinuity of the local slope, a quantity that arises in the setting of metric gradient flows. This relies on specific convexity properties, and if  $\tilde{p} > 2$ , the remainder of the Taylor expansion of the elastic energy cannot be controlled with the choice of our metric, see Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6.

So far, the long-time behavior of solutions for large strains has been investigated in one dimension [4, 11], and, to the best of our knowledge, results in higher dimensions are currently unavailable. The second main theorem of this article addresses the long-time behavior of weak solutions in the case of small external loading and initial data. We show that for  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2)$  and  $\tilde{p} = 2$  the elastic energy decays polynomially and exponentially, respectively, while for  $\tilde{p} > 2$  equilibrium is reached in finite time. Here, we benefit from the formulation as a metric gradient flow, inspired by the abstract theory in [3]. For small strains, one can show  $\lambda$ -convexity of the elastic energy with  $\lambda > 0$  along convex combinations of deformations, while the metric satisfies a generalized convexity property, see Lemma 4.5(iii). Then, the resulting representation of the local slope allows for a control on the time-derivative of the elastic energy with the elastic energy itself. Heuristically, if both  $\nabla y$  and  $\partial_t \nabla y$  in (1.1) depend linearly on *constant* tensors  $\partial_F W$  and  $\partial_{\dot{F}} R$ , respectively, it is well known that solutions decay exponentially, i.e., our result is consistent with the linearized case.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the model in more detail and state our main results. Section 3 recalls the relevant definitions about curves of maximal slope and presents an abstract theorem concerning the convergence of time-discrete solutions to curves of maximal slope. This abstract theory is applied in Section 4, where we prove the existence of weak solutions to (1.1). Finally, the long-time behavior is addressed in Section 5.

**Notation.** In what follows, we use standard notation for Lebesgue spaces,  $L^p(\Omega)$ , which are measurable maps on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , integrable with the  $p$ -th power (if  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ ) or essentially bounded (if  $p = +\infty$ ). Sobolev spaces, written  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ , denote the linear spaces of maps which, together with their weak derivatives up to the order  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , belong to  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Moreover, for a function  $\hat{v} \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  the set  $W_{\hat{v}}^{k,p}(\Omega)$  contains maps from  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  having boundary conditions (in the sense of traces) up to the  $(k-1)$ -th order with respect to  $\hat{v}$ . If the target space is a Banach space  $E \neq \mathbb{R}$ , we use the usual notion of Bochner-Sobolev spaces, written  $W^{k,p}(\Omega; E)$ . For more details on Sobolev spaces and their duals, we refer to [1].  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^2$  denote the spatial gradient and Hessian, respectively, and  $\partial_t$  indicates a time derivative. Further,  $\mathbf{Id} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the identity matrix. Finally,  $|A|$  stands for the Frobenius norm of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , and  $\text{sym}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A^T + A)$  and  $\text{skew}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^T)$  indicate the symmetric and skew-symmetric part, respectively. As usual, generic constants may vary from line to line.

## 2. THE MODEL AND MAIN RESULTS

**2.1. The variational setting.** In this section, we describe the model and discuss the variational setting. Following the discussion in [27, Section 2.2] and [18, Section 2], we model (1.1) as a metric gradient flow. For this purpose, we need to specify three main ingredients: the state space that contains admissible deformations of the material, the elastic energy that drives the evolution, and the dissipation mechanism represented by a distance.

**Elastic energy.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open, bounded set with Lipschitz boundary, denoting the reference configuration of the material. We define the *elastic energy* associated with a deformation  $y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\phi(y) = \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y(x)) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} P(x, \nabla^2 y(x)) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \cdot y(x) \, dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Here,  $W: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  denotes a frame-indifferent stored energy density with the usual assumptions in nonlinear elasticity. More precisely, we suppose that

(W.1)  $W$  is  $C^3$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times GL_+(d)$ ,

(W.2)  $W$  is frame indifferent, i.e.,  $W(x, QF) = W(x, F)$  for all  $F \in GL_+(d)$  and  $Q \in SO(d)$ ,

(W.3)  $W(x, F) \geq c_0(|F|^2 + \det(F)^{-q}) - C_0$  for all  $F \in GL_+(d)$ , where  $q \geq \frac{pd}{p-d}$ ,

where  $SO(d) = \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : Q^T Q = \mathbf{Id}, \det Q = 1\}$ . Besides the elastic energy density  $W$  depending on the deformation gradient, we also consider a *strain-gradient energy term*  $P$  depending on the Hessian  $\nabla^2 y$ , adopting the concept of second-grade nonsimple materials, see [37]. More specifically, for  $p > d$ , let  $P: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfy the following conditions:

(H.1)  $P$  is convex and  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}$ ,

(H.2) Frame indifference:  $P(x, QG) = P(x, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}$  and  $Q \in SO(d)$ ,

(H.3)  $c_0|G|^p \leq P(x, G) \leq C_0|G|^p$  and  $|\partial_G P(x, G)| \leq C_0|G|^{p-1}$  for all  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}$ .

The remaining term  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  denotes a dead load.

**State space.** Given  $M > 0$  and the Dirichlet boundary conditions  $\hat{y} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we introduce the set of admissible configurations by

$$\mathcal{S}_M := \left\{ y \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : y = \hat{y} \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \phi(y) \leq M \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

In the next subsection, we will assign a suitable metric to  $\mathcal{S}_M$  which simultaneously is related to the viscous stress.

**Dissipation mechanism:** Inspired by [27, Example 2.4], we consider the function

$$D(x, F_1, F_2) := |A(x)F_1^T F_1 - A(x)F_2^T F_2|, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $A(x) \in GL_+(d) := \{F \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \det F > 0\}$  is an invertible matrix, and the following density of the viscous stress tensor

$$R(x, F, \dot{F}) := \frac{1}{\bar{p}} |A(x)\dot{C}|^{\bar{p}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\dot{C} = \dot{F}^T F + F^T \dot{F} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$ . A computation of the derivative with respect to  $\dot{F}$  yields

$$\partial_{\dot{F}} R(x, F, \dot{F}) = |A(x)\dot{C}|^{\bar{p}-2} (F\dot{C}A(x)^T A(x) + FA(x)^T A(x)\dot{C}). \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed, using Einstein's summation convention, the chain rule, and the symmetry of  $\dot{C}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\dot{F}_{ij}} R(x, F, \dot{F}) &= |A(x)\dot{C}|^{\bar{p}-2} (A(x)\dot{C})_{sl} \partial_{\dot{F}_{ij}} (A(x)\dot{C})_{sl} \\ &= |A(x)\dot{C}|^{\bar{p}-2} (A(x)\dot{C})_{sl} \partial_{\dot{F}_{ij}} A(x)_{sk} (\dot{F}_{mk} F_{ml} + F_{mk} \dot{F}_{ml}) \\ &= |A(x)\dot{C}|^{\bar{p}-2} \left( (A(x)\dot{C})_{sl} A(x)_{sj} F_{il} + (A(x)\dot{C})_{sj} A(x)_{sk} F_{ik} \right) \\ &= |A(x)\dot{C}|^{\bar{p}-2} (F\dot{C}A(x)^T A(x) + FA(x)^T A(x)\dot{C})_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . The coefficients of  $A(x)$  then define the materials viscosity and particularly include *anisotropic* viscous stress tensors. We assume that  $D$  satisfies for all  $F_1, F_2 \in GL_+(d)$

$$(D.1) \quad C_0 |F_1^T F_1 - F_2^T F_2| \geq D(x, F_1, F_2) \geq c_0 |F_1^T F_1 - F_2^T F_2|.$$

(D.1) ensures that the Frobenius norm of  $A(\cdot)$  and its inverse are uniformly bounded. Eventually, we associate a metric  $\mathcal{D}$  to the set of admissible deformations  $\mathcal{S}_M$ , defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(y_1, y_2) = \left( \int_{\Omega} D(x, \nabla y_1(x), \nabla y_2(x))^{\bar{p}} dx \right)^{1/\bar{p}}. \quad (2.6)$$

for  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{S}_M$ . In our setting as metric gradient flows, (D.1) is particularly needed for proving that  $(\mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{D})$  is a metric space, see Lemma 4.4(i) below.

**2.2. Equations of nonlinear viscoelasticity.** Our first goal is to prove the existence of weak solutions to (1.1). The weak formulation reads as follows.

**Definition 2.1** (Weak solutions of nonlinear viscoelasticity). *We say that  $y \in L^\infty([0, \infty); W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)) \cap W^{1,\bar{p}}([0, \infty); W^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$  is a weak solution to (1.1) if  $y(0) = y_0$ ,  $y = \hat{y}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and if for a.e.  $t \geq 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\partial_F W(x, \nabla y(t, x)) + \partial_{\dot{F}} R(x, \nabla y(t, x), \partial_t \nabla y(t, x))) : \nabla \varphi(x) + \partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y(t, x)) \dot{:} \nabla^2 \varphi(x) dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \cdot \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The divergence of the derivative of  $P$  is defined as

$$(\operatorname{div}(\partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y)))_{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y))_{ijk} \quad (2.8)$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , and, by using integration by parts, one can check that (1.1) is satisfied, provided that the weak solution is sufficiently smooth. As in [29], the system is implicitly complemented with the boundary conditions

$$\partial_G P(\nabla^2 y) \dot{:} (\nu \otimes \nu) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the outward pointing unit normal on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\dot{:}$  denotes the scalar product between the third order tensors. We refer to [26, 29] for an explanation and the derivation of this condition.

**2.3. Main results.** Our first result addresses the existence of solutions to the problem. We employ an abstract convergence result concerning metric gradient flows, more precisely for curves of maximal slope and their approximation via the minimizing movement scheme. The relevant notions about curves of maximal slope are recalled in Section 3. In particular, the local slope of  $\phi$  with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  is denoted by  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ , see Definition 3.1.

**Theorem 2.2** (Existence of solutions). *Let  $M > 0$  and  $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_M$ .*

- (i) *Let  $\bar{p} \in (1, 2]$ . Then, there exists a function  $y \in L^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{S}_M) \cap W^{1,\bar{p}}([0, \infty); W^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$  satisfying  $y(0) = y_0$ , and  $y$  is a  $\bar{p}$ -curve of maximal slope for  $\phi$  with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $\bar{p} \in (2, \infty)$ . Assume that (W.1)–(W.3) are complemented with the conditions  $W(x, \mathbf{Id}) = 0$  and  $W(x, F) \geq c_0 \operatorname{dist}^2(F, SO(d))$  for all  $F \in GL^+(d)$ . Moreover, suppose that  $\hat{y} = \mathbf{Id} + \delta \hat{u}$  for  $\hat{u} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\delta > 0$  in (2.2), and that the force satisfies  $f = \delta \tilde{f}$  for some  $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Consider a sequence of initial data  $(y_0^\delta)_\delta$ , with  $y_0^\delta \in \mathcal{S}_M$  and  $\phi(y_0^\delta) \leq M\delta^2$  for some  $M > 0$ . Then, there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $\delta < \delta'$  there exists a function  $y^\delta \in L^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{S}_M) \cap W^{1,\bar{p}}([0, \infty); W^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$  satisfying  $y^\delta(0) = y_0^\delta$ , and  $y^\delta$  is a  $\bar{p}$ -curve of maximal slope for  $\phi$  with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ .*

- (iii) Let  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$ . Then, a  $\tilde{p}$ -curve of maximal slope for  $\phi$  with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a weak solution to (1.1) in the sense of Definition 2.1.

The following theorem addresses the long-time behavior of solutions for small strains.

**Theorem 2.3** (Long-time behavior for small strains). *Assume that (W.1)–(W.3) are complemented with the conditions  $W(x, \mathbf{Id}) = 0$  and  $W(x, F) \geq c_0 \text{dist}^2(F, SO(d))$  for all  $F \in GL^+(d)$ . Moreover, suppose that  $\hat{y} = \mathbf{id} + \delta\hat{u}$  for  $\hat{u} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\delta > 0$  in (2.2), and that the force satisfies  $f = \delta\tilde{f}$  for some  $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Consider a sequence of initial data  $(y_0^\delta)_\delta$ , with  $y_0^\delta \in \mathcal{S}_M$ ,  $M = M'\delta^2$  for some  $M' > 0$ . Let  $(y^\delta)_\delta$  be a sequence of  $\tilde{p}$ -curves of maximal slopes for  $\phi$  with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ .*

- (i) Then, there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $\delta < \delta'$  there exists a unique minimizer  $y_\infty^\delta \in \mathcal{S}_M$  solving

$$\operatorname{arg\,min}\{\phi(y) : y \in \mathcal{S}_M\}.$$

- (ii) Let  $\tilde{p} = 2$ . Then, there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $\delta < \delta'$  we have  $y^\delta(t) \rightarrow y_\infty^\delta$  strongly in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , and

$$0 \leq \phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta) \leq \exp(-Ct)(\phi(y_0^\delta) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta))$$

for a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $t$ . The dissipation can be bounded from below by

$$2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega R(x, \nabla y(s), \nabla \partial_t y(s)) \, dx \, ds \geq (1 - \exp(-Ct))(\phi(y_0^\delta) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta))$$

for  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ .

- (iii) Let  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2)$ . Then, there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $\delta < \delta'$  we have  $y^\delta(t) \rightarrow y_\infty^\delta$  strongly in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , and

$$0 \leq \phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta) \leq \left( (\phi(y_0^\delta) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta))^{1-s} - C(1-s)t \right)^{\frac{1}{1-s}}$$

for  $s = \frac{\tilde{p}}{2\tilde{p}-2} > 1$  and a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $t$ .

- (iv) Let  $\tilde{p} \in (2, +\infty)$ . Then, there exist  $T_{\text{ext}} > 0$  and  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $\delta < \delta'$  we have

$$y^\delta(t) = y_\infty^\delta \text{ for } t \geq T_{\text{ext}}.$$

Notice that we do not quantify the rate of convergence in (iv). Its proof leads to a rate which does not match the heuristic scaling. This is discussed in Remark 5.1.

### 3. METRIC GRADIENT FLOWS

**3.1. Definitions.** In this section, we recall the relevant definitions about curves of maximal slope and present an abstract theorem concerning the convergence of time-discrete solutions to curves of maximal slope. We consider a complete metric space  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D})$ . We say a curve  $y : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is *absolutely continuous* with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  if there exists  $m \in L^1(a, b)$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}(y(s), y(t)) \leq \int_s^t m(r) \, dr \quad \text{for all } a \leq s \leq t \leq b. \quad (3.1)$$

The smallest function  $m$  with this property, denoted by  $|y'|_{\mathcal{D}}$ , is called *metric derivative* of  $y$  and satisfies for a.e.  $t \in (a, b)$  (see [3, Theorem 1.1.2] for the existence proof)

$$|y'|_{\mathcal{D}}(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\mathcal{D}(y(s), y(t))}{|s - t|}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next, we define the notion of a  $\tilde{p}$ -curve of maximal slope. We only give the basic definition here and refer to [3, Section 1.2, 1.3] for motivations and more details. By  $h^+ := \max(h, 0)$  we denote the positive part of a function  $h$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Upper gradients, slopes, curves of maximal slope). *We consider a complete metric space  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D})$  with a functional  $\phi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ .*

(i) *A function  $g: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a strong upper gradient for  $\phi$  if for every absolutely continuous curve  $y: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  the function  $g \circ y$  is Borel and*

$$|\phi(y(t)) - \phi(y(s))| \leq \int_s^t g(y(r)) |y'|_{\mathcal{D}}(r) \, dr \quad \text{for all } a < s \leq t < b.$$

(ii) *For each  $y \in \mathcal{S}$  the local slope of  $\phi$  at  $y$  is defined by*

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) := \limsup_{z \rightarrow y} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(z))^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, z)}.$$

(iii) *Let  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$ . An absolutely continuous curve  $y: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is called a  $\tilde{p}$ -curve of maximal slope for  $\phi$  with respect to the strong upper gradient  $g$  if for a.e.  $t \in (a, b)$*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \phi(y(t)) \leq -\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} |y'|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}}(t) - \frac{1}{p'} g^{p'}(y(t))$$

for  $p' = \tilde{p}/(\tilde{p} - 1)$ .

**3.2. Curves of maximal slope as limits of time-discrete solutions.** Consider a functional  $\phi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ . We now describe the construction of time-discrete solutions for the energy  $\phi$  and the metric  $\mathcal{D}$ . Consider a fixed time step  $\tau > 0$  and suppose that an initial datum  $Y_\tau^0$  is given. Whenever  $Y_\tau^0, \dots, Y_\tau^{n-1}$  are known,  $Y_\tau^n$  is defined as (if existent)

$$Y_\tau^n = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{S}} \Phi(\tau, Y_\tau^{n-1}; v), \quad \Phi(\tau, u; v) := \frac{1}{\tilde{p}\tau^{\tilde{p}-1}} \mathcal{D}(v, u)^{\tilde{p}} + \phi(v). \quad (3.3)$$

Then, we define the piecewise constant interpolation by

$$\tilde{Y}_\tau(0) = Y_\tau^0, \quad \tilde{Y}_\tau(t) = Y_\tau^n \text{ for } t \in ((n-1)\tau, n\tau], \quad n \geq 1.$$

We call  $\tilde{Y}_\tau$  a *time-discrete solution*. Our goal is to study the limit of time-discrete solutions as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote the topology on  $\mathcal{S}$  for the convergence satisfying the following conditions: we suppose that

$$z_k \xrightarrow{\sigma} z, \quad \bar{z}_k \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bar{z} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \geq \mathcal{D}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $z, \bar{z} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, we assume that for every sequence  $(z_k)_k$ ,  $z_k \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\phi(z_k) \leq N \quad \Rightarrow \quad z_k \xrightarrow{\sigma} z \in \mathcal{S} \quad (\text{up to a subsequence}). \quad (3.5)$$

Further, we suppose lower semicontinuity of the energies and the slopes in the following sense: for all  $z \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $(z_k)_k$ ,  $z_k \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$z_k \xrightarrow{\sigma} z \quad \Rightarrow \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(z_k) \geq |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(z), \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z_k) \geq \phi(z). \quad (3.6)$$

For the relation of time-discrete solutions and curves of maximal slope we will use the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that (3.4)–(3.6) hold. Moreover, assume that  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a strong upper gradient for  $\phi$ . Consider a null sequence  $(\tau_k)_k$ . Let  $(Y_{\tau_k}^0)_k$  with  $Y_{\tau_k}^0 \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $z_0 \in \mathcal{S}$  be initial data satisfying*

$$Y_{\tau_k}^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} z_0, \quad \phi(Y_{\tau_k}^0) \rightarrow \phi(z_0).$$

*Then, for each sequence of discrete solutions  $(\tilde{Y}_{\tau_k})_k$  starting from  $(Y_{\tau_k}^0)_k$  there exists a limiting function  $z: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  such that up to a subsequence (not relabeled)*

$$\tilde{Y}_{\tau_k}(t) \xrightarrow{\sigma} z(t), \quad \phi(\tilde{Y}_{\tau_k}(t)) \rightarrow \phi(z(t)) \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $z$  is a  $\tilde{p}$ -curve of maximal slope for  $\phi$  with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ . In particular,  $z$  satisfies the energy-dissipation-balance

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} \int_0^T |z'|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}}(t) dt + \frac{1}{p'} \int_0^T |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^{p'}(z(t)) dt + \phi(z(T)) = \phi(z_0) \quad \forall T > 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $p' = \tilde{p}/(\tilde{p} - 1)$ .

*Proof.* The statement is proved in [3, Theorem 2.3.3].  $\square$

#### 4. PROPERTIES OF THE MODEL

First, we state properties of functions lying in the space  $\mathcal{S}_M$ .

**Lemma 4.1** (Bounds in  $\mathcal{S}_M$ ). *Let  $M > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C = C(M, \Omega) > 0$  such that for all  $y \in \mathcal{S}_M$  it holds that*

$$\|y\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \|y\|_{C^{1,1-d/p}(\Omega)} + \|(\nabla y)^{-1}\|_{C^{1-d/p}(\Omega)} \leq C_0, \quad \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \det(\nabla y(x)) \geq C_0^{-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* The proof can be found in [29, Theorem 3.1] and relies on [24].  $\square$

We now provide the rigidity estimate that gives a lower bound on the metric in Lemma 4.4. This inequality is the crucial ingredient for the existence of a curve of maximal slope for large strains and has not been applied yet in the context of viscoelasticity.

**Lemma 4.2** (Rigidity estimate). *For any  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{S}_M$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , only depending on  $M > 0$  and  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \leq C \|(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.2 is essentially addressed in [15, Theorem 3], and a generalization of the rigidity estimate by FRIESECKE, JAMES AND MÜLLER [22]. The crucial difference to [15] is that the constant  $C > 0$  depends on  $y_0$ , but can be chosen uniformly among functions in the space  $\mathcal{S}_M$ . This has already been exploited in the case of the generalized version of Korn's inequality in [29, Theorem 3.3].

*Proof.* Due to (4.1), we can use [15, Theorem 3], yielding

$$\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \leq C \|(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $C = C(y_0) > 0$  depends on  $y_0$ . The only thing left to show is that the constant can be chosen uniformly among functions in the set

$$W_{C_0} := \{y_0 \in C^{1,1-d/p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \det(\nabla y_0) \geq C_0^{-1}, \|y_0\|_{C^{1,1-d/p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_0\}.$$

Due to Arzelà-Ascoli,  $W_{C_0}$  is a compact subset of the space  $(C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})$ . Next, we show that  $y_0 \mapsto C(y_0)$  with  $C(y_0)$  as in (4.3) is a continuous mapping on  $(C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})$ . Indeed, if  $\|\nabla \hat{y}_0 - \nabla \tilde{y}_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\|\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla \tilde{y}_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} - \|\nabla y_1 - \nabla \hat{y}_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)}\| \leq |\Omega|^{1/\tilde{p}} \varepsilon,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla \tilde{y}_0)^T \nabla \tilde{y}_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} - \|(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla \hat{y}_0)^T \nabla \hat{y}_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \|(\nabla \tilde{y}_0)^T \nabla \tilde{y}_0 - (\nabla \hat{y}_0)^T \nabla \hat{y}_0\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \\ & = \|(\nabla \tilde{y}_0 - \nabla \hat{y}_0)^T \nabla \tilde{y}_0 - (\nabla \hat{y}_0)^T (\nabla \hat{y}_0 - \nabla \tilde{y}_0)\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq 2C_0 |\Omega|^{1/\tilde{p}} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Weierstraß' extremum principle implies that there exists a maximal constant  $C(y_0^*)$  such that (4.3) holds uniformly among functions in  $W_{C_0}$ . In view of (4.1), we can conclude the proof.  $\square$



**Remark 4.3** (Nonlinear Korn's inequality). *In the spirit of Lemma 4.2 and [29, Theorem 3.3], [31, Corollary 4.1] implies that there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , only depending on  $M > 0$  and  $\Omega$  such that for any  $y \in \mathcal{S}_M$  and  $u \in W_0^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  it holds that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \leq C\|(\nabla u)^T \nabla y + (\nabla y)^T \nabla u\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)}. \quad (4.4)$$

The following lemma provides properties about the topology and lower semicontinuity. In addition, the lemma will help to prove lower semicontinuity of the slopes in Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7.

**Lemma 4.4** (Properties of  $(\mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{D})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $\phi$ ). *Let  $M > 0$ . Then, we have*

- (i) Completeness:  $(\mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{D})$  is a complete metric space.
- (ii) Compactness: If  $(y_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_M$ , then  $(y_n)_n$  admits a subsequence converging weakly in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and strongly in  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- (iii) Topologies: The topology on  $\mathcal{S}_M$ , induced by  $\mathcal{D}$ , coincides with the strong  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ -topology, the strong  $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ -topology, and there exist  $c, C > 0$  depending on  $M$  such that

$$c\|\nabla y - \nabla \tilde{y}\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{D}(y, \tilde{y}) \leq C\|\nabla y - \nabla \tilde{y}\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $y, \tilde{y} \in \mathcal{S}_M$ .

- (iv) Lower semicontinuity of  $\phi$ : Let  $(y_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_M$  be a sequence such that  $\mathcal{D}(y_n, y) \rightarrow 0$  for some  $y \in \mathcal{S}_M$ . Then,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(y_n) \geq \phi(y)$ .
- (v) Continuity of  $\mathcal{D}$ : For sequences  $(y_n)_n, (\tilde{y}_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_M$ , with  $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$  and  $\tilde{y}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{y}_0$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{D}(y_n, \tilde{y}_n) = \mathcal{D}(y_0, \tilde{y}_0).$$

Before proving the lemma, we observe that for any  $F_0, F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  it holds that

$$(F_1 - F_0)^T F_0 + F_0^T (F_1 - F_0) - (F_1^T F_1 - F_0^T F_0) = - (F_1^T - F_0^T) (F_1 - F_0). \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.* Concerning (i), the positivity follows by Lemma 4.2, (D.1), and Poincaré's inequality. The other conditions of a metric space follow directly from the specific choice of  $\mathcal{D}$ . (ii), (iv) and the completeness of  $(\mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{D})$  now follow as in [18, Lemma 4.5], while we prove (iii) in detail: By Lemma 4.2 and (D.1) we derive the first inequality in (4.5). The second inequality follows from (D.1), (4.1), and (4.6). This particularly shows that the topology induced by  $\mathcal{D}$  is equivalent to the  $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega)$ -topology. Let  $(y_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}_M$  be a sequence converging strongly in  $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, (ii) implies that the sequence also converges strongly in  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Conversely, strong convergence in  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  yields strong convergence in  $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega)$ , concluding the proof of (iii). Eventually, (ii) implies that the integrand of  $\mathcal{D}$  converges uniformly and hence (v) follows.  $\square$

Proving existence of curves of maximal slopes relies on suitable lower semicontinuity properties of the energy and the distance. Therefore, one usually resorts to specific convexity properties of these objects. To prove these properties, we rely on the specific structure of  $\mathcal{D}$  and recall that for any  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$  and  $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ , we have

$$(a + b)^{\tilde{p}} \leq a^{\tilde{p}} + b^{\tilde{p}} + C(a^{\tilde{p}-1}b + b^{\tilde{p}-1}a), \quad (4.7)$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  only depends on  $\tilde{p}$ , see e.g. [25, Theorem 1]. The following lemma specifies these convexity properties.

**Lemma 4.5** (Generalized convexity). *Let  $M > 0$ .*

- (i) Assume that  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2]$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda < 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$  such that for all  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{S}_M$  with  $\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \kappa$  we have

$$\phi(y_s) \leq (1-s)\phi(y_0) + s\phi(y_1) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda s(1-s)\mathcal{D}(y_0, y_1)^{\tilde{p}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $y_s := (1-s)y_0 + sy_1$ .

- (ii) Assume that  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$ . Suppose that (W.1)–(W.3) are complemented with the conditions  $W(x, \mathbf{Id}) = 0$  and  $W(x, F) \geq c_0 \text{dist}^2(F, SO(d))$  for all  $F \in GL^+(d)$ . Moreover, set  $M = M'\delta^2$  for  $M' > 0$  and suppose that  $\hat{y} = \mathbf{id} + \delta\hat{u}$  for  $\hat{u} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  in (2.2), and  $f = \delta\tilde{f}$  for some  $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then, there exists some  $\delta' > 0$  and some  $\lambda > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \delta < \delta'$  the functional  $\phi$  is strictly convex on  $\mathcal{S}_M$  and satisfies the estimate

$$\phi(y_s) \leq (1-s)\phi(y_0) + s\phi(y_1) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^2 dx, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $y_s := (1-s)y_0 + sy_1$ .

- (iii) Assume that  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{S}_M$  we have

$$\mathcal{D}(y_s, y_0)^{\tilde{p}} \leq s^{\tilde{p}}\mathcal{D}(y_1, y_0)^{\tilde{p}} \left(1 + C\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right). \quad (4.10)$$

Notice that there might be better paths than convex combinations which allow for sharper estimates in (4.10). However, as (4.8) and (4.9) rely on Taylor expansions, the use of convex combinations is convenient in our setting. Moreover, we highlight that  $\lambda$  in (ii) is positive. This is particularly relevant for proving decay estimates as the time  $t$  tends to  $+\infty$ , see Section 5.

*Proof.* The proof of (4.8) relies on [29, Proposition 3.2]. The force term in (2.1) is linear and therefore convex. Due to the convexity of  $H$ , see (H.1), we only need to address the term  $\int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y(x)) dx$ . Let  $\kappa > 0$  so small such that  $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \det(\nabla y_s(x)) \geq C_0^{-1}/2$  for  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{S}_M$  with  $\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \kappa$ , where  $y_s$  is defined in the statement and  $C_0$  denotes the constant from (4.1). A Taylor expansion yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y_i(x)) dx &= \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y_s(x)) + \partial_F W(x, \nabla y_s(x)) : (\nabla y_i - \nabla y_s) dx \\ &\geq -C\|\nabla y_i - \nabla y_s\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for a constant  $C > 0$ , only depending on  $M$ , as  $\partial_{F^2}^2 W(x, F)$  attains its maximum on the set  $\overline{\Omega} \times \{F \in GL_+(d) : |F| \leq C_0, \det(F) \geq C_0^{-1}/2\}$  due to the regularity of  $W$ , see (W.1). We now multiply the previous inequality with  $(1-s)$  for  $i = 0$  and with  $s$  for  $i = 1$  and sum those inequalities up, to get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (1-s)W(x, \nabla y_0(x)) + \int_{\Omega} sW(x, \nabla y_1(x)) - W(x, \nabla y_s(x)) \\ &\geq -C(1-s)\|\nabla y_0 - \nabla y_s\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - Cs\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_s\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we have  $\|\nabla y_0 - \nabla y_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2-\tilde{p}} \leq C$  for  $C > 0$  by (4.1) and  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2]$ . Thus, using Lemma 4.2, (D.1), and the expansion

$$(1-s)\|\nabla y_0 - \nabla y_s\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + s\|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_s\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = s(1-s)\|\nabla y_0 - \nabla y_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y_s(x)) dx &\leq (1-s) \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y_0(x)) dx + s \int_{\Omega} W(x, \nabla y_1(x)) dx \\ &\quad + Cs(1-s)\mathcal{D}(y_0, y_1)^{\tilde{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\lambda := -2C$  concludes the proof of (4.8) and (i).

For the proof of (ii) we can argue along the lines of [18, Theorem 4.7(ii)] as the elastic energy satisfies identical assumptions. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y_s) &\leq (1-s)\phi(y_0) + s\phi(y_1) \\ &\quad - s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} |\text{sym}(\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}s(1-s)C\delta^{2/p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(y_1 - y_0)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

As  $y_1 - y_0 = 0$  on  $\Gamma_D$ , we can employ Korn's inequality and (4.5), yielding the statement.

We now address (iii). We exploit the explicit structure of the metric in (2.3) and (2.6). As matrix multiplication is a linear operation and the metric satisfies the bound (D.1), we can assume without loss of generality that  $D(F_1, F_2) = |F_1^T F_1 - F_2^T F_2|$ . An elementary expansion gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla y_s)^T \nabla y_s - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0 \\ &= ((1-s)\nabla y_0 + s\nabla y_1)^T ((1-s)\nabla y_0 + s\nabla y_1) - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0 \\ &= s \left( -2(\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0 + (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + s(\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0 - s(\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_1 + (\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_0 - s(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_0 + s(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 \right) \\ &= s((\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0 + (s-1)(\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0)^T (\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0)). \end{aligned}$$

By using (4.7) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\nabla y_s)^T \nabla y_s - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0|^{\tilde{p}} &\leq s^{\tilde{p}} \left( |(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0|^{\tilde{p}} + |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^{2\tilde{p}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C(|(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0|^{\tilde{p}-1} |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^2 + |(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0| |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^{2(\tilde{p}-1)}) \right) \\ &=: s^{\tilde{p}}(A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4), \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where each  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , corresponds to exactly one summand in its respective order. Recalling (2.6) and employing (4.2), we find

$$\int_{\Omega} A_2 dx \leq \|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^{\tilde{p}} dx \leq C \|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}} \mathcal{D}(y_1, y_0)^{\tilde{p}}. \tag{4.12}$$

Moreover, Hölder's inequality with coefficients  $\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)$  and  $\tilde{p}$  and Lemma 4.2 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} A_3 dx &\leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} |(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0|^{\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{(\tilde{p}-1)/\tilde{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^{2\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{1/\tilde{p}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \mathcal{D}(y_1, y_0)^{(\tilde{p}-1)} \mathcal{D}(y_1, y_0) \\ &= C \|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \mathcal{D}(y_1, y_0)^{\tilde{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Similarly, we derive by using Hölder's inequality with coefficients  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)$  and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} A_4 dx &\leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} |(\nabla y_1)^T \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_0)^T \nabla y_0|^{\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{1/\tilde{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0|^{2\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{(\tilde{p}-1)/\tilde{p}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla y_1 - \nabla y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} \mathcal{D}(y_1, y_0)^{\tilde{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Thus, by using (4.1) and (4.11)–(4.14) we can conclude the proof of (4.10).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6** (Properties of  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$  for large strains). *Let  $M > 0$  and  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2]$ . Then, there exists some  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the local slope  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$*

(i) admits the representation

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) = \sup_{\substack{y \neq w \\ \|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa'}} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}})}$$

for any  $\kappa' \leq \kappa$ .

- (ii) is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak topology in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  
 (iii) is a strong upper gradient for  $\phi$ ,

Similar properties of the local slope have been shown in [18, 19, 21]. We follow the lines of the latter articles, but highlight that the main difference to the latter articles is the representation in (i) which holds for *large strains* and  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2]$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the representation. Whenever  $y \neq w$  and  $\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}} > 0$  we obtain by (4.8)

$$\frac{\phi(y) - \phi(y_s)}{\mathcal{D}(y, y_s)} \geq \frac{\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1-s)\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}}}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)} \frac{s\mathcal{D}(y, w)}{\mathcal{D}(y, y_s)}$$

for  $\|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa$  and  $y_s = (1-s)y + sw$ . Then, (4.10) implies that

$$\frac{\phi(y) - \phi(y_s)}{\mathcal{D}(y, y_s)} \geq \frac{\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1-s)\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}}}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}}}.$$

Thus, by taking the limit  $s \rightarrow 0$  and the supremum, we obtain for any  $\kappa' \leq \kappa$

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) \geq \sup_{\substack{y \neq w \\ \|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa'}} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}}}. \quad (4.15)$$

We also get the reverse inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) &= \limsup_{w \rightarrow y} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{y \neq w \\ \|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa'}} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where we used Lemma 4.4(v),  $\tilde{p} > 1$ , and the fact that  $w \rightarrow y$  with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  implies  $\|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ , see Lemma 4.4(ii),(iii).

We are now ready to confirm the lower semicontinuity. Consider a sequence  $(y_n)_n$ ,  $y_n \in \mathcal{S}_M$ , converging weakly to  $y \in \mathcal{S}_M$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  (and equivalently with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ , see Lemma 4.4(ii),(iii)). For  $w \neq y$  such that  $\|w-y\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa/2$ , we have  $w \neq y_n$  and  $\|w-y_n\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa$  for  $n$  large enough, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y_n) &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\phi(y_n) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y_n, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y_n, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}}} \\ &\geq \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\tilde{p}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.4(ii)-(v), and (4.15) for  $\kappa' = \kappa$ . By taking the supremum with respect to  $w$  and Lemma 4.6(i) for  $\kappa' = \kappa/2$ , the lower semicontinuity follows.

We now show (iii). As the local slope is a weak upper gradient in the sense of Definition [3, Definition 1.2.2] by [3, Theorem 1.2.5], we only need to show that for an absolutely continuous curve  $z: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_M$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ ) with  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$  satisfying  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(z)|z'|_{\mathcal{D}} \in L^1(a, b)$  the curve  $\phi \circ z$  is absolutely continuous. We argue similarly to [3, Corollary 2.4.10] and extend the curve  $z$  by continuity to  $[a, b]$ .

For any  $t \in [a, b]$ , we consider a ball  $B_{\kappa/2}^{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)}(z(t))$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  is the constant from (i). Since the topologies induced by  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  coincide due to Lemma 4.4(iii), the function  $z$  is continuous with respect to the  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  topology. Thus, for each  $t \in [a, b]$  there exists some  $\delta_t > 0$  such that  $|t - \tilde{a}| < \delta_t$  implies that  $z(\tilde{a}) \in B_{\kappa/2}^{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)}(z(t))$ . Since  $[a, b]$  is compact there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{\delta_{t_i}}(t_i) = [a, b]$ . Thus, there exists a partition  $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m$  such that  $\|z(u) - z(v)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)} < \kappa$  for each  $u, v \in [s_{i-1}, s_i]$ . We introduce the compact metric spaces  $\mathcal{S}_M^i := z([s_{i-1}, s_i])$  with the metric  $\mathcal{D}$ , and we consider the related global slope  $I_\phi^i$ , see Definition [3, Definition 1.2.4]. Note that (4.5) gives  $\sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{S}_M^i} \mathcal{D}(x, y) \leq C$ . Hence, (4.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\phi^i(y) &= \sup_{y \neq w \in \mathcal{S}_M^i} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w))^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\substack{y \neq w \in \mathcal{S}_M^i \\ \|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa}} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{1/\tilde{p}}} \\ &\quad - \sup_{\substack{y \neq w \in \mathcal{S}_M^i \\ \|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa}} \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}-1} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\substack{y \neq w \in \mathcal{S}_M^i \\ \|y-w\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \kappa}} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{1/\tilde{p}}} + C \\ &= C|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) + C. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose for any  $u < v \in [a, b]$  the indices  $i_u$  and  $i_v \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $u \in [s_{i_u-1}, s_{i_u}]$  and  $v \in [s_{i_v-1}, s_{i_v}]$ . Since the global slope is a strong upper gradient due to [3, Theorem 1.2.5], we have by the definition of a strong upper gradient that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(z(u)) - \phi(z(v))| &\leq |\phi(z(u)) - \phi(z(s_{i_u}))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=i_u+1}^{i_v-1} |\phi(z(s_i)) - \phi(z(s_{i-1}))| + |\phi(z(v)) - \phi(z(s_{i_v-1}))| \\ &\leq \int_u^{s_{i_u}} I_\phi^{i_u}(z(r))|z'|_{\mathcal{D}}(r) \, dr + \sum_{i=i_u+1}^{i_v-1} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} I_\phi^i(z(r))|z'|_{\mathcal{D}}(r) \, dr \\ &\quad + \int_{s_{i_v-1}}^v I_\phi^{i_v}(z(r))|z'|_{\mathcal{D}}(r) \, dr \\ &\leq \int_u^v (C|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(z(r)) + C)|z'|_{\mathcal{D}}(r) \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is finite due to our assumption and [3, Theorem 1.1.2]. Thus,  $\phi(z(\cdot))$  is absolutely continuous and (iii) follows.  $\square$

Similar properties can be derived for small strains and  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$ .

**Lemma 4.7** (Properties of  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$  for small strains). *Let  $M > 0$  and  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$ . We suppose that (W.1)–(W.3) are complemented with the conditions  $W(x, \mathbf{Id}) = 0$  and  $W(x, F) \geq c_0 \text{dist}^2(F, SO(d))$  for all  $F \in GL^+(d)$ . Moreover, set  $M = M'\delta^2$  for  $M' > 0$  and suppose that  $\hat{y} = \mathbf{id} + \delta\hat{u}$  for  $\hat{u} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  in (2.2), and  $f = \delta\tilde{f}$  for some  $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then, there exists some  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \delta < \delta'$  the local slope  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$*

(i) *admits the representation*

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) = \sup_{y \neq w} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y - \nabla w|^2 dx)^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)(1 + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w - \nabla y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{1/\tilde{p}}}$$

for any  $\lambda > 0$ .

- (ii) *is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak topology in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*  
 (iii) *is a strong upper gradient for  $\phi$ ,*

*Proof.* We can argue along the lines of Lemma 4.6 and have to replace (4.8) by (4.9). Moreover, one uses [18, Lemma 4.2] to observe that  $\|\nabla w_1 - \nabla w_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\delta^{2/p}$ . Thus, one needs to choose  $\delta'$  so small such that  $C(\delta')^{2/p} \leq \kappa$ . □

One of our goals will be to establish a relation of curves of maximal slope to the system of equations. The natural idea is to make use of the energy-dissipation-balance (3.7). For this purpose, we use a finer representation of the local slope. For convenience, we introduce a differential operator associated to the perturbation  $P$  and define

$$(\mathcal{L}_P(x, \nabla^2 y))_{ij} := -(\text{div}(\partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y)))_{ij} = -\sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y))_{ijk}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Given a smooth function  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , we use the notation  $(\nabla\varphi)_{ik} = \partial_k \varphi_i$  and  $(\nabla^2\varphi)_{ijk} = \partial_{jk}^2 \varphi_i$  for  $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Then, for later purposes, we note that this operator particularly satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}_P(x, \nabla^2 y) : \nabla\varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \partial_G P(x, \nabla^2 y) : \nabla^2\varphi dx, \quad (4.17)$$

whenever integration by parts makes sense and  $\varphi$  vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Lemma 4.8** (Finer representation of the slope). *For any  $y \in \mathcal{S}_M$  the local slope  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$  admits the representation*

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) = \begin{cases} (\int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}R(x, \nabla y, \nabla\bar{w}) dx)^{1-1/\tilde{p}}, & \text{div } \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \in W^{-1, \tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(\Omega), \\ +\infty, & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

where  $\bar{w} \in W_0^{1, \tilde{p}}(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_F W(x, \nabla y) + \mathcal{L}_P(x, \nabla^2 y)) : \nabla\varphi - f \cdot \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{\bar{F}} R(x, \nabla y, \nabla\bar{w}) : \nabla\varphi dx \quad (4.19)$$

for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1, \tilde{p}}(\Omega)$ .

Notice that the representation is different compared to the representation in [18, Lemma 5.5] where the case  $\tilde{p} = 2$  is covered. The idea is similar, but for the relation of local slope with (2.7) in the proof of Theorem 2.2, it is convenient to keep  $\bar{w}$  in the representation of the slope.

Before proving the lemma, we state identities satisfied by the viscous stress tensor. To this end, notice that the scalar product of matrices  $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  satisfies  $U : V = U^T : V^T$ . Recalling (2.5),

the symmetry of the scalar product implies that for any  $F_0, F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\tilde{F}} R(x, F_0, F_1) : F_2 \\ &= |A(x)(F_1^T F_0 + F_0^T F_1)|^{\tilde{p}-2} (F_0(F_1^T F_0 + F_0^T F_1)A(x)^T A(x) + F_0A(x)^T A(x)(F_1^T F_0 + F_0^T F_1)) : F_2 \\ &= |A(x)(F_1^T F_0 + F_0^T F_1)|^{\tilde{p}-2} (A(x)(F_1^T F_0 + F_0^T F_1) : A(x)(F_2^T F_0 + F_0^T F_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Hence, in the case  $F_1 = F_2$ , we thus find by (2.4) that

$$\partial_{\tilde{F}} R(x, F_0, F_1) : F_1 = |A(x)(F_1^T F_0 + F_0^T F_1)|^{\tilde{p}} = \tilde{p}R(x, F_0, F_1). \quad (4.21)$$

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, we drop the  $x$ -dependence of the densities  $W$ ,  $R$  and  $P$ . Assume first that  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \in W^{-1, \tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ . We consider the following minimization problem

$$\min_{w \in W_0^{1, \tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} R(\nabla y, \nabla w) - (\partial_F W(\nabla y) + \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y)) : \nabla w + f \cdot w \, dx. \quad (4.22)$$

Recall that  $y$  satisfies the bounds (4.1) and that  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Thus, compactness follows by (4.4), (2.4), and (D.1). Sequential lower semi-continuity in  $W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\Omega)$  follows from the convexity of the map  $\tilde{F} \mapsto R(x, F, \tilde{F})$ . Since the norm is strictly convex, the unique solution  $\bar{w} \in W_0^{1, \tilde{p}}(\Omega)$  exists and satisfies (4.19).

In what follows, we neglect the force term. As this term corresponds to a linear perturbation, one needs to perform minor adaptations in this case.

*Step 1 (Lower bound):* Assume again that  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \in W^{-1, \tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ . To derive the lower bound for the local slope, we wish to compute the local slope for the point  $y$  along trajectories  $s \mapsto y - s\bar{w}$  as long as  $\bar{w} \neq 0$ . Since  $\bar{w}$  does not enjoy sufficient regularity properties, we introduce for any  $\gamma > 0$  some  $w_\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|\bar{w} - w_\gamma\|_{W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma$  and consider  $w_s := y - sw_\gamma$ . Without loss of generality one can find some  $s' > 0$  such that for all  $s < s'$  we have  $w_s \in \mathcal{S}_M$ . (Otherwise, we consider the space  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, M'}$  for  $M' > M$ .) Thus, a Taylor expansion and convexity of  $P$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w_s) - \phi(y) &\geq \int_{\Omega} \partial_F W(\nabla y) : (\nabla w_s - \nabla y) \, dx \\ &\quad - C \|\nabla w_s - \nabla y\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y) : (\nabla^2 w_s - \nabla^2 y) \, dx \\ &= s \int_{\Omega} \partial_F W(\nabla y) : \nabla w_\gamma + \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y) : \nabla^2 w_\gamma \, dx + O(s^2) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (4.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(y, w_s)^{\tilde{p}} &= \int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla y - A(x)(\nabla y - s\nabla w_\gamma)^T (\nabla y - s\nabla w_\gamma)|^{\tilde{p}} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |sA(x) ((\nabla y)^T \nabla w_\gamma + (\nabla w_\gamma)^T \nabla y) - s^2 A(x)(\nabla w_\gamma)^T \nabla w_\gamma|^{\tilde{p}} \, dx \\ &\leq s^{\tilde{p}} \int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla w_\gamma + A(x)(\nabla w_\gamma)^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} \, dx + O(s^{2\tilde{p}-1} + s^{\tilde{p}+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}(y, w_s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ , by integration by parts we derive

$$|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}(y)} \geq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w_s))^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w_s)} \geq \frac{(\int_{\Omega} \partial_F W(\nabla y) : \nabla w_\gamma + \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) : \nabla w_\gamma \, dx)^+}{(\int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla w_\gamma + A(x)(\nabla w_\gamma)^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} \, dx)^{1/\tilde{p}}} =: \Phi(w_\gamma),$$

where  $\Phi$  is a function defined for every  $0 \neq w \in W_0^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ . Thus, we derive by using (4.21) and (4.19)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\bar{w}) - \Phi(w_\gamma) + |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) &\geq \Phi(\bar{w}) = \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} \partial_F W(\nabla y) : \nabla \bar{w} + \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) : \nabla \bar{w} \, dx\right)^+}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla \bar{w} + A(x)(\nabla \bar{w})^T \nabla y|^{\bar{p}} \, dx\right)^{1/\bar{p}}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla \bar{w} + A(x)(\nabla \bar{w})^T \nabla y|^{\bar{p}} \, dx\right)^{1-1/\bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\Phi(\bar{w}) - \Phi(w_\gamma) \rightarrow 0$  for  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ , we find

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla \bar{w} + A(x)(\nabla \bar{w})^T \nabla y|^{\bar{p}} \, dx\right)^{1-1/\bar{p}}, \quad (4.23)$$

which is the desired lower bound due to (4.21). According to the definition of the local slope we have  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) \geq 0$  and thus the lower bound also makes sense if  $\bar{w} = 0$ .

Now assume that  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \notin W^{-1,\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ . Let  $(y_n)_n$  be a sequence of smooth functions converging strongly to  $y$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\bar{w}_n \in W_0^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega)$  be the solutions to (4.22) corresponding to  $y_n$ . We first show that  $(\bar{w}_n)_n$  is not bounded in  $L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ . Indeed, otherwise we get by (4.17), (H.3) (4.19), (2.5), (4.1), (W.1) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) : \nabla \varphi \, dx\right| &= \left|\int_{\Omega} \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y) : \nabla^2 \varphi \, dx\right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left|\int_{\Omega} \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y_n) : \nabla^2 \varphi \, dx\right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left|\int_{\Omega} (\partial_{\bar{F}} R(x, \nabla y_n, \nabla \bar{w}_n) - \partial_F W(\nabla y_n)) : \nabla \varphi \, dx\right| \leq C \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$ . This, however, contradicts  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \notin W^{-1,\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Due to Lemma 4.6(i) we find  $\kappa > 0$  such that for every  $y_n$  there exists  $w_n \neq y$  with  $\|w_n - y_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \kappa/2$  such that

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y_n) - \varepsilon \leq \frac{(\phi(y_n) - \phi(w_n) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \mathcal{D}(y_n, w_n)^{\bar{p}})^+}{\mathcal{D}(y_n, w_n)(1 + C\|\nabla w_n - \nabla y_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\bar{p}-1} + C\|\nabla w_n - \nabla y_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{1/\bar{p}}}.$$

Since  $\phi$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are continuous with respect to strong convergence in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ , Lemma 4.6(i) implies for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough that

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y_n) - \varepsilon \leq |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) + \varepsilon. \quad (4.24)$$

Since  $y_n$  is smooth we particularly derive the bound (4.23) for  $y_n$  with  $\bar{w}$  replaced by  $\bar{w}_n$ . Since  $(\bar{w}_n)_n$  is not bounded in  $L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ , the left-hand side of (4.24) tends to  $+\infty$ , due to (4.4). This concludes the proof in the case  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \notin W^{-1,\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ .

*Step 2 (Upper bound):* We now show the reverse inequality. Assume again that  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y) \in W^{-1,\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ . Given  $F_0, F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , (4.6), (4.7), the triangle inequality, and (D.1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)(F_1 - F_0)^T F_0 + A(x)F_0^T (F_1 - F_0)|^{\bar{p}} &\leq (|A(x)F_1^T F_1 - A(x)F_0^T F_0| + |F_1 - F_0|^2)^{\bar{p}} \\ &\leq |A(x)F_1^T F_1 - A(x)F_0^T F_0|^{\bar{p}} + |F_1 - F_0|^{2\bar{p}} \\ &\quad + C|F_1^T F_1 - F_0^T F_0|^{\bar{p}-1} |F_1 - F_0|^2 + C|F_1^T F_1 - F_0^T F_0| |F_1 - F_0|^{2(\bar{p}-1)} \\ &\leq |A(x)F_1^T F_1 - A(x)F_0^T F_0|^{\bar{p}} + |F_1 - F_0|^{2\bar{p}} \\ &\quad + C(|F_1| + |F_0|)^{\bar{p}-1} |F_1 - F_0|^{\bar{p}+1} + C(|F_1| + |F_0|) |F_1 - F_0|^{2\bar{p}-1}. \end{aligned}$$



Using the previous inequalities for  $F_1 = \nabla w$  and  $F_0 = \nabla y$ , by (4.1) we find that

$$\int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T(\nabla y - \nabla w) + A(x)(\nabla y - \nabla w)^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} dx \leq \mathcal{D}(y, w)^{\tilde{p}} + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w - \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}+1 \wedge 2\tilde{p}-1} dx, \quad (4.25)$$

where  $\wedge$  denotes the minimum function. A Taylor expansion and the convexity of  $P$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) &\leq \limsup_{w \rightarrow y} \frac{(\phi(y) - \phi(w))^+}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{w \rightarrow y} \frac{(\int_{\Omega} \partial_F W(\nabla y) : (\nabla y - \nabla w) + \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y) : (\nabla^2 y - \nabla^2 w) dx)^+}{(\int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T(\nabla y - \nabla w) + A(x)(\nabla y - \nabla w)^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} dx - C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w - \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}+1 \wedge 2\tilde{p}-1} dx)^{1/\tilde{p}}} \\ &\quad + \limsup_{w \rightarrow y} \frac{C \|\nabla y - \nabla w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y - \nabla w| dx}{\mathcal{D}(y, w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4(ii) and (iii) imply that the second term vanishes. In view of (4.19), the first term can be estimated by using (4.17), Hölder's inequality, and (4.20), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \partial_F W(\nabla y) : (\nabla y - \nabla w) + \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y) : (\nabla^2 y - \nabla^2 w) dx &= \int_{\Omega} \partial_{\tilde{F}} R(x, \nabla y, \nabla \bar{w}) : (\nabla y - \nabla w) dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T(\nabla \bar{w}) + A(x)(\nabla \bar{w})^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{(\tilde{p}-1)/\tilde{p}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T(\nabla y - \nabla w) + A(x)(\nabla y - \nabla w)^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{1/\tilde{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\tilde{p} \in (1, +\infty)$  yields  $\frac{\tilde{p}+1 \wedge 2\tilde{p}-1}{\tilde{p}} > 1$ , we can use (4.4) and (D.1) for the bound of the remainder. By taking the limit, this shows the upper bound in the case  $\tilde{w} \neq 0$ . The right-hand side is equal to 0 if  $\tilde{w} = 0$ . Concluding, we derive the upper bound

$$|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y) \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |A(x)(\nabla y)^T \nabla \bar{w} + A(x)(\nabla \bar{w})^T \nabla y|^{\tilde{p}} dx \right)^{1-1/\tilde{p}}. \quad (4.26)$$

Recalling (4.21), this concludes the proof.  $\square$

In the last part of this section, we address the existence of weak solutions and prove Theorem 2.2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* We divide the proof into four steps. First, we prove (i) and (ii), i.e., the existence of a curve of maximal slope  $y: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_M$  for  $\phi$  with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then, we prove the regularity stated in the theorem (Step 2). Step 3 consists in deriving sharp estimates for  $|y'|_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}\phi \circ y$ . This allows to relate the curve to the system of equations in Step 4.

*Step 1:* We first prove (i). Our goal is to employ Theorem 3.2 for the metric space  $(\mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{D})$ , elastic energy  $\phi$  and the weak  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ -topology, denoted by  $\sigma$  in this result. Let  $(\tau_k)_k$  be a sequence of time-steps such that  $\tau_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The existence of time-discrete solutions, as in (3.3), is guaranteed by the direct method of the Calculus of Variations. Indeed, let  $Y_{\tau_k}^{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  be given. Then, the coercivity of  $\Phi(\tau_k, Y_{\tau_k}^{n-1}, \cdot)$  with respect to the weak  $W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ -topology is a consequence of (4.1). Lower semicontinuity follows from Lemma 4.4(iv) and (v). Lemma 4.4(i) ensures that  $(\mathcal{S}_M, \mathcal{D})$  is a complete metric space. Moreover, (3.4)–(3.6) follow by Lemma 4.4(ii),(iv),(v) and Lemma 4.6(ii). Due to Lemma 4.6(iii), the local slope  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a strong upper gradient for  $\phi$ . Thus, Theorem 3.2 implies that the time-discrete approximations converge to a  $\tilde{p}$ -curve of maximal slope  $y: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_M$  for  $\phi$

with respect to  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ . In particular, the curve of maximal slope satisfies the balance

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} \int_0^T |y'|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}}(t) dt + \frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\tilde{p}} \int_0^T |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(y(t)) dt + \phi(y(T)) = \phi(y_0) \quad \forall T > 0. \quad (4.27)$$

The same strategy applies for the proof of (ii), recalling that Lemma 4.7 addresses properties of the local slope for small strains.

*Step 2:* As  $y$  is a curve of maximal slope, we get that  $\phi(y(t))$  is decreasing in time, see (4.27). This yields together with (4.1) that

$$y \in L^\infty([0, \infty); W^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (4.28)$$

Moreover, (4.27) implies that  $|y'|_{\mathcal{D}} \in L^{\tilde{p}}([0, \infty))$ . As  $\mathcal{D}$  is equivalent to the  $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega)$ -norm, see (4.5), we observe that  $y$  is an absolutely continuous curve with respect to  $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(\Omega)$ . Thus, by [3, Remark 1.1.3] and (4.27) we observe that  $y$  is differentiable for a.e.  $t$  and we have  $y \in W^{1,\tilde{p}}([0, \infty) \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . More precisely, for all  $0 \leq s < t$ , and almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  it holds that

$$y(t) - y(s) = \int_s^t \partial_t y(r) dr. \quad (4.29)$$

*Step 3:* We now use a standard technique to relate curves of maximal slope to PDEs in Hilbert spaces, see [3, Section 1.4]. More precisely, the proof follows the lines of [19, Theorem 2.2(ii)]. For the sake of convenience, we again neglect  $f$ . This term can be included by standard adaptations. Using Fatou's lemma, we can proceed as in (4.25), and we find for a.e.  $t$  that

$$|y'|_{\mathcal{D}}(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\mathcal{D}(y(s), y(t))}{|s-t|} \geq \left( \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p} R(\nabla y(t), \nabla \partial_t y(t)) dx \right)^{1/\tilde{p}}. \quad (4.30)$$

We now determine the derivative  $\frac{d}{dt}\phi(y(t))$ . Due to (4.27),  $|y'|_{\mathcal{D}}(t)$  and  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y(t))$  are finite for a.e.  $t$ . Fix such a  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then, Lemma 4.8 implies that  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{L}_P(\nabla^2 y(t)) \in W^{-1,\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(\Omega)$ . Using the convexity of  $P$ , integration by parts, and a Taylor expansion, we deduce together with  $1 < \tilde{p}$ , (4.1), and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\phi(y(t)) &\geq \liminf_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{1}{s-t} \int_{\Omega} (\partial_F W(\nabla y(t)) : (\nabla y(s) - \nabla y(t)) - \operatorname{div} \partial_G P(\nabla^2 y(t)) : (\nabla y(s) - \nabla y(t))) dx \\ &\quad - C \limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\mathcal{D}(y(s), y(t))^{\tilde{p}}}{|s-t|^{\tilde{p}}} |s-t|^{\tilde{p}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{w}(t)$  be the functions defined in Lemma 4.8. Shortly writing

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\bar{w}} &:= A(x)(\nabla \bar{w}(t))^T \nabla y(t) + A(x)(\nabla y(t))^T \nabla \bar{w}(t) \quad \text{and} \\ A_{\partial_t y} &:= A(x)(\partial_t \nabla y(t))^T \nabla y(t) + A(x)(\nabla y(t))^T \partial_t \nabla y(t), \end{aligned}$$

we find by (4.19) and (4.20) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(y(t)) \geq \int_{\Omega} |A_{\bar{w}}|^{\tilde{p}-2} A_{\bar{w}} : (A_{\partial_t y}) dx. \quad (4.31)$$

*Step 4:* Combining (4.31), Young's inequality with powers  $\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)$  and  $\tilde{p}$ , (4.18), (4.21), and (4.30) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(y(t)) \geq - \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\tilde{p}} |A_{\bar{w}}|^{\tilde{p}} + \tilde{p}^{-1} |A_{\partial_t y}|^{\tilde{p}} \right) dx \geq - \frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\tilde{p}} |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(y(t)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} |y'|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}}(t).$$

Since  $y$  is a  $\tilde{p}$ -curve of maximal slope, see Definition 3.1(iii), all inequalities are in fact equalities, and thus it holds that

$$|A_{\partial_t y}|^{\tilde{p}} = |A_{\bar{w}}|^{\tilde{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\bar{w}} : A_{\partial_t y} = -|A_{\bar{w}}| |A_{\partial_t y}|$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$ . This gives  $|A_{\bar{w}} + A_{\partial_t y}|^2 = 0$ , and therefore multiplying  $A_{\partial_t y} = -A_{\bar{w}}$  with  $2(\nabla y(t))|A_{\partial_t y}|^{\bar{p}-2} = 2(\nabla y(t))|A_{\bar{w}}|^{\bar{p}-2}$  from the left, taking the scalar product with  $A(x)((\nabla \varphi)\nabla y + (\nabla y)^T \nabla \varphi)$  for  $\varphi \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \subset W_0^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and using (4.20) we get that

$$\partial_{\bar{F}} R(x, \nabla y(t), \partial_t \nabla y(t)) : \nabla \varphi = -\partial_{\bar{F}} R(x, \nabla y(t), \nabla \bar{w}(t)) : \nabla \varphi$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Eventually, we can conclude (2.7) by an integration of the latter identity, (4.19), and integration by parts.  $\square$

**Remark 4.9** (Energy balance). *In the proof of Theorem 2.2(ii) we have seen that the sharp lower bound on the metric derivative in (4.30) is actually an equality and that  $|y'|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bar{p}}(t) = |\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bar{p}/(\bar{p}-1)}(y(t))$  for a.e.  $t$ . Thus, the energy-dissipation-balance in (4.27) implies that a  $\bar{p}$ -curve of maximal slope  $y$  satisfies*

$$\tilde{p} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} R(\nabla y(t), \nabla \partial_t y(t)) \, dx \, dt = \phi(y_0) - \phi(y(T))$$

for  $T > 0$ .

## 5. LONG-TIME BEHAVIOR FOR SMALL STRAINS

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.3, which is inspired by [3, Theorem 2.4.15].

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* The uniqueness of a minimizer of  $\phi$  follows from the strict convexity in Lemma 4.5(ii), concluding the proof of (i). Before proving (ii), (iii), and (iv), we provide a preliminary step addressing properties related to the local slope  $|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t))$ . To this end, we evaluate the local slope at  $y^\delta(t)$  and compare the supremum in Lemma 4.7(i) with the minimizer  $y_\infty^\delta$ . This implies together with (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y^\delta(t) - \nabla y_\infty^\delta|^2 \, dx \\ \leq \mathcal{D}(y^\delta(t), y_\infty^\delta)(1 + C\|\nabla y_\infty^\delta - \nabla y^\delta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\bar{p}-1} + C\|\nabla y_\infty^\delta - \nabla y^\delta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/\bar{p}}|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t))) \\ \leq C\mathcal{D}(y^\delta(t), y_\infty^\delta)|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Since  $y^\delta$  is a  $\bar{p}$ -curve of maximal slope with respect to the strong upper gradient  $|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ , we obtain that  $|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since the left-hand side of (5.1) is nonnegative due to the minimality of  $y_\infty^\delta$  and the fact that  $\lambda > 0$ , we obtain  $\phi(y^\delta(t)) \rightarrow \phi(y_\infty^\delta)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\nabla^2 y^\delta(t)$  converges weakly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , see Lemma 4.4(ii). Then, the uniform convexity of the  $L^p(\Omega)$ -space implies strong convergence. Moreover, as  $y^\delta$  is a  $\bar{p}$ -curve of maximal slope with respect to the strong upper gradient  $|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ , we get for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$  the equality

$$|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t))(y^\delta)'_{\mathcal{D}}(t) = \frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\tilde{p}}|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(y^\delta(t)) + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}|(y^\delta)'|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}}(t).$$

Thus, we have an equality in Young's inequality, which implies together with (3.7) that

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\phi(y^\delta(t)) = |\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde{p}/(\tilde{p}-1)}(y^\delta(t)). \quad (5.2)$$

Eventually, we address the long-time behavior. First, we set  $\tilde{p} = 2$ . By (5.1), (4.5), Young's inequality with power 2, and the positivity of  $\lambda$  for  $\delta$  sufficiently small it holds that

$$\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta) \leq C|\partial \phi|_{\mathcal{D}}^2(y^\delta(t)). \quad (5.3)$$

In view of (5.2), we thus get

$$-C(\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)) \geq \frac{d}{dt}(\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)).$$

Thanks to Gronwall's inequality, we find

$$\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta) \leq \exp(-Ct) (\phi(y^\delta(0)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)). \quad (5.4)$$

Eventually, combining Remark 4.9 with (5.4) leads to

$$2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega R(\nabla y(t), \nabla \partial_t y(t)) \, dx \, dt \geq (1 - \exp(-CT)) (\phi(y_0^\delta) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)).$$

This concludes the proof of (ii).

Let now  $\tilde{p} \in (1, 2)$ . By Jenssen's inequality, (5.1), (4.5), Young's inequality with power 2, and the positivity of  $\lambda$  for  $\delta$  sufficiently small we again see that (5.3) holds. Thus, (5.2) and (5.3) yield

$$-C (\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta))^{\tilde{p}/(2\tilde{p}-2)} \geq \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)).$$

To solve this differential inequality, we set  $f(t) := \phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)$  and observe that  $f$  is nonnegative and decreasing. Moreover, let  $s := \tilde{p}/(2\tilde{p}-2) > 1$ . Using integration by substitution, we find that

$$\frac{1}{1-s} f(t)^{1-s} - \frac{1}{1-s} f(t_0)^{1-s} = \int_{f(t_0)}^{f(t)} x^{-s} \, dx = \int_{t_0}^t f(r)^{-s} f'(r) \, dr \leq -C(t - t_0) \quad (5.5)$$

for all  $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ . By taking the  $(s-1)$ th root, we find

$$f(t) \leq (f(t_0)^{1-s} - C(1-s)(t - t_0))^{\frac{1}{1-s}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Setting  $t_0 = 0$ , this shows (iii).

Let now  $\tilde{p} > 2$ . By the Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla y^\delta(t) - \nabla y_\infty^\delta|^{\tilde{p}} \, dx \right)^{1/\tilde{p}} &\leq C \|\nabla^2 y^\delta(t) - \nabla^2 y_\infty^\delta\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^\alpha \|\nabla y^\delta(t) - \nabla y_\infty^\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1-\alpha} \\ &\quad + C \|\nabla y^\delta(t) - \nabla y_\infty^\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d} \right) + (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{2}.$$

As  $p > d$ , rearranging yields

$$1 - \alpha = \frac{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{d}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{d}} > \frac{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{\tilde{p}}, \quad \frac{2}{1-\alpha} < \tilde{p}, \quad 2 > \frac{2}{1+\alpha} > \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-1}. \quad (5.8)$$

By (5.1), (4.5), (5.7), Young's inequality with powers  $\frac{2}{1-\alpha}$  and  $\frac{2}{1+\alpha}$  and power 2, and the fact that  $|\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t)) \rightarrow 0$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta) \leq C |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t))^{2/(1+\alpha)} + C |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t))^2 \leq 2C |\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{D}}(y^\delta(t))^{2/(1+\alpha)}$$

for all  $t \geq t_0$ . In view of (5.2), we thus get

$$-C (\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta))^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-1} \frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \geq \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(y^\delta(t)) - \phi(y_\infty^\delta)).$$

Due to (5.8), the exponent  $s := \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-1} \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$  on the left-hand side is smaller than 1. Following the lines of (5.5), we can solve this differential inequality, and take the  $(1-s)$ th root, implying that (5.6) holds, as long as the base on the right-hand side is positive. Since the left-hand side is positive, there exists a time  $T_{\text{ext}} > 0$  such that  $f(T_{\text{ext}}) = 0$ . As  $\phi(y^\delta(t))$  is decreasing, we have  $\phi(y^\delta(t)) = \phi(y_\infty^\delta)$  for all  $t \geq T_{\text{ext}}$ . Finally, we conclude the proof using (i).  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** *To obtain the decay estimate for  $\tilde{p} > 2$ , we benefit from the integrability of the second gradient: by using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality in (5.7), we can control the  $L^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega)$ -norm by means of the  $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm with a suboptimal scaling. Thus, we not match the heuristic scaling with  $s = \frac{\tilde{p}}{2\tilde{p}-2}$  in (5.6). Still, the exponent is sufficiently small, showing that equilibrium is reached in finite time.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the DFG project FR 4083/5-1 and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 -390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure. The work was further supported by the DAAD project 57600633 / DAAD-22-03.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. ADAMS, J. J. F. FOURNIER: Sobolev Spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), Second edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam (2003)
- [2] S. ALMI, R. BADAL, M. FRIEDRICH, S. SCHWARZACHER: Thermo-elastodynamics of nonlinearly viscous solids. *Preprint*: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.01229> (2024)
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, N. GIGLI, G. SAVARÉ: Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures. Lectures Math. ETH Zürich, First edition, Birkhäuser Basel (2005)
- [4] G. ANDREWS, J. M. BALL: Asymptotic behaviour and changes of phase in one-dimensional nonlinear viscoelasticity. *J. Differ. Equ.* **44**, 306–341 (1982)
- [5] S. S. ANTMAN: Nonlinear problems of elasticity. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Second edition, Springer, New York (2005)
- [6] S. S. ANTMAN: Physically unacceptable viscous stresses. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **49**, 980–988 (1998)
- [7] R. BADAL, M. FRIEDRICH, M. KRUŽÍK: Nonlinear and linearized models in thermoviscoelasticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **247**(1), Article number: 5 (2023)
- [8] R. BADAL, M. FRIEDRICH, M. KRUŽÍK, L. MACHILL: Positivity of temperatures in large strain nonlinear thermoviscoelasticity and linearized models at positive critical temperatures. *Preprint*: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.02035> (2024)
- [9] R. BADAL, M. FRIEDRICH, L. MACHILL: Derivation of a von Kármán plate theory for thermoviscoelastic solids. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, to appear. *Preprint*: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.07196> (2023)
- [10] J. M. BALL, J. C. CURRIE, P. L. OLVER: Null Lagrangians, weak continuity, and variational problems of arbitrary order. *J. Funct. Anal.* **41**(2), 135–174 (1981)
- [11] J. M. BALL, Y. ŞENGÜL: Quasistatic Nonlinear Viscoelasticity and Gradient Flows. *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.* **27**, 405–442 (2015)
- [12] R. C. BATRA: Thermodynamics of non-simple elastic materials. *J. Elasticity* **6**, 451–456 (1976)
- [13] B. BENEŠOVÁ, M. KAMPSCHULTE, S. SCHWARZACHER: A variational approach to hyperbolic evolutions and fluid-structure interactions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, DOI: 10.4171/JEMS/1353 (2023)
- [14] M. BULÍČEK, J. MÁLEK, K. R. RAJAGOPAL: On Kelvin-Voigt model and its generalizations. *Evol. Equ. and Control Theory* **1**, 17–42 (2012)
- [15] P. G. CIARLET, C. MARDARE: Nonlinear Korn inequalities. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **104**, 1119–1134 (2015)
- [16] A. ČEŠÍK, G. GRAVINA, M. KAMPSCHULTE: Inertial evolution of non-linear viscoelastic solids in the face of (self-) collision. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **63**, Article number: 55 (2024)
- [17] T. EITER, L. SCHMELLER: Weak solutions to a model for phase separation coupled with finite-strain viscoelasticity subject to external distortion. *Preprint*: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.07066> (2024)
- [18] M. FRIEDRICH, M. KRUŽÍK: On the passage from nonlinear to linearized viscoelasticity. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **50**(4), 4426–4456 (2018)
- [19] M. FRIEDRICH, M. KRUŽÍK: Derivation of von Kármán plate theory in the framework of three-dimensional viscoelasticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **238**(1), 489–540 (2020)
- [20] M. FRIEDRICH, L. MACHILL: Derivation of a one-dimensional von Kármán theory for viscoelastic ribbons. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **29**, Article number: 11 (2022)
- [21] M. FRIEDRICH, L. MACHILL: One-dimensional viscoelastic von Kármán theories derived from nonlinear thin-walled beams. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **62**(7), Article number: 190 (2023)
- [22] G. FRIESECKE, R. D. JAMES, S. MÜLLER: A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from three-dimensional elasticity. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **55**(11), 1461–1506 (2002)

- [23] M. GAHN: Extension operators and Korn inequality for variable coefficients in perforated domains with applications to homogenization of viscoelastic non-simple materials. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **63**, Article number: 182 (2024)
- [24] T. J. HEALEY, S. KRÖMER: Injective weak solutions in second-gradient nonlinear elasticity. *ESAIM Control Optim. Cal. Var.* **15**(4), 863–871 (2009)
- [25] G. JAMESON: Inequalities comparing  $(a + b)^p - a^p - b^p$  and  $a^{p-1}b + ab^{p-1}$ . *Elem. Math.* **69**, 156–161 (2014)
- [26] S. KRÖMER, T. ROUBÍČEK: Quasistatic viscoelasticity with self-contact at large strains. *J. Elasticity* **142**, 433–445 (2020)
- [27] A. MIELKE, C. ORTNER, Y. ŞENGÜL: An approach to nonlinear viscoelasticity via metric gradient flows. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46**, 1317–1347 (2014)
- [28] A. MIELKE, T. ROUBÍČEK: Rate-independent elastoplasticity at finite strains and its numerical approximation. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **26**(12), 2203–2236 (2016)
- [29] A. MIELKE, T. ROUBÍČEK: Thermoviscoelasticity in Kelvin-Voigt rheology at large strains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **238**(1), 1–45 (2020)
- [30] P. PODIO-GUIDUGLI: Contact interactions, stress, and material symmetry for nonsimple elastic materials. *Theor. Appl. Mech.* **28–29**, 261–276 (2002)
- [31] W. POMPE: Korn’s first inequality with variable coefficients and its generalization. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **44**, 57–70 (2003)
- [32] T. ROUBÍČEK: Interaction of finitely-strained viscoelastic multipolar solids and fluids by an Eulerian approach. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **25**, Article number: 81 (2023)
- [33] T. ROUBÍČEK: Thermodynamics of viscoelastic solids, its Eulerian formulation, and existence of weak solutions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **75**, Article number: 51 (2024)
- [34] T. ROUBÍČEK: Visco-elastodynamics at large strains Eulerian. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **73**, Article number: 80 (2022)
- [35] T. ROUBÍČEK, U. STEFANELLI: Viscoelastodynamics of swelling porous solids at large strains by an Eulerian approach. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **55**(4), 2677–2703 (2023)
- [36] Y. ŞENGÜL: Nonlinear viscoelasticity of strain rate type: an overview. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **477**, 20200715 (2021)
- [37] R. A. TOUPIN: Elastic materials with couple stresses. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **11**, 385–414 (1962)
- [38] R. A. TOUPIN: Theory of elasticity with couple stress. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **17**, 85–112 (1964)
- [39] W. J. M. VAN OOSTERHOUT, M. LIERO: Finite-strain poro-visco-elasticity with degenerate mobility. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **104**(5), e202300486 (2024)
- [40] W. J. M. VAN OOSTERHOUT: Linearization of finite-strain poro-visco-elasticity with degenerate mobility. *Preprint*: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.15151> (2024)

(Lennart Machill) APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTR. 62, D-48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY  
*Email address*: [lennart.machill@uni-muenster.de](mailto:lennart.machill@uni-muenster.de)