

GRAPH STRUCTURE OF THE NODAL SET AND BOUNDS ON THE NUMBER OF CRITICAL POINTS OF EIGENFUNCTIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

MATTHIAS HOFMANN AND MATTHIAS TÄUFER

ABSTRACT. In this article we illustrate and draw connections between the geometry of zero sets of eigenfunctions, graph theory, vanishing order of eigenfunctions, and unique continuation. We identify the nodal set of an eigenfunction of the Laplacian (with smooth potential) on a compact, orientable Riemannian manifold as an *imbedded metric graph* and then use tools from elementary graph theory in order to estimate the number of critical points in the nodal set of the k -th eigenfunction and the sum of vanishing orders at critical points in terms of k and the genus of the manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we illustrate and use connections between the geometry of zero sets of eigenfunctions, graph theory, vanishing order of eigenfunctions, and unique continuation. For the sake of simplicity, we focus on compact and orientable two-dimensional manifolds. Given an eigenfunction u of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold with C^∞ potential, its so-called *nodal set* has a particular geometry: It consists of a finite number of arcs, meeting at particular points – the so-called *critical points in the nodal set*. In other words, the nodal set essentially constitutes a graph or a network, imbedded in the manifold, with edges given by arcs of the nodal set and vertices by critical points.

Critical points in the nodal set of eigenfunctions have been the subject of numerous investigations [Che76, DF88, DF90] and they are closely connected to the concepts of vanishing order, doubling estimates and unique continuation in the sense that they are points where eigenfunctions can exhibit their most extreme behaviour, see e.g. [Zel09, TTV16], and the references therein. Most investigation so far have either focused on the Hausdorff measure of the nodal set itself or on the *maximal order of vanishing* of eigenfunctions at critical points. Usually, these estimates are based on local techniques, zeroing in onto critical points.

In this article, we take a more global perspective and consider the nodal set as a *network*, that is an imbedded graph in a surface. Together with methods from graph theory this allows us to deduce

- a bound on the overall number of critical points,
- a bound on the sum over vanishing orders at critical points.

This article is structured as follows: Section 2 contains definitions, notation and recalls some results from elementary graph theory. Section 3 collects useful facts about nodal domains of eigenfunctions on two-dimensional manifolds and makes the intuition that the nodal domain of an eigenfunction is an imbedded graph rigorous. Sections 4 and 5 contain our main results and their

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the COST Action 18232 MAT-DYN-NET (Mathematical models for interacting dynamics on networks) for enabling numerous fruitful collaborations during the last years. The MAT-DYN-NET final conference in Braga, Portugal, in February 2024 helped to initiate this work. M. Hofmann thanks Winfried Hochstädtler for helpful discussions regarding the validity of Euler's formula for imbedded graphs.

proofs, respectively. The results therein are bounds on the sum of vanishing orders number of critical points at nodes of eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator and their vanishing order. In order to obtain these bounds we use nodal partitions and study their topological features by using graph related results, most notably applications to Euler's formula. Finally, Section 6 demonstrates the optimality of our main results by means of several examples.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Setting the stage. In this article, M denotes a compact, orientable and connected d -dimensional Riemannian manifold with empty boundary. We will mostly focus on the case $d = 2$, in which we will write $M = M^2$ for the sake of clarity.

More precisely, M is a smooth manifold such that for every point $z \in M$ there is a positive definite inner product g_z on the tangent space $T_z M$ at z such that g varies smoothly with z in any smooth coordinate chart. The family of inner products $g = (g_z)_{z \in M}$ is also called a *Riemannian metric*. This endows M with a natural notion of angles and distance.

For a smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote by ∇f its *gradient*, that is the vector field satisfying

$$g_z(\nabla f, X) = \partial_X f(z) \quad \text{for all vector fields } X,$$

where $\partial_X f$ is the directional derivative in direction $X(z)$ of f in $z \in M$. Note that in general the gradient of a function M maps to the cotangent bundle T^*M , but since cotangent spaces are canonically identified with tangent spaces via the Riemannian metric g , we can consider ∇f indeed as a vector field, mapping from M to the tangent bundle TM .

The H^1 -Sobolev norm on M is given by

$$\|f\|_{H^1(M)}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \int_M g(\nabla f, \nabla f)$$

and the Sobolev space $H^1(M)$ is the completion of the space of smooth functions under this norm. The (negative of the) Laplace-Beltrami operator, $-\Delta$, is the maximal self-adjoint operator, associated with the quadratic form

$$a(f, g) := \int_M g(\nabla f, \nabla g) \quad \text{on the form domain } H^1(M).$$

In particular, by compactness of the imbedding $H^1(M) \subset\subset L^2(M)$ (which follows from compactness of M itself and corresponding imbedding theorems in finite Euclidean domains), the operator $-\Delta$ is nonnegative with purely discrete spectrum.

Note that in this note, we stick to notation commonplace in the PDE community where $-\Delta$ is a nonnegative operator whereas in differential geometry, the minus is routinely omitted.

Two-dimensional compact manifolds are also known as *closed surfaces*, and all compact surfaces have been identified [Bra21, Jor66, Möb61] see also [GX13] for an overview:

Proposition 2.1 (Classification theorem for compact surfaces). *An orientable closed surface M^2 is homeomorphic to either the sphere, or the connected sum of g tori for some $g \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.*

This means that every orientable, closed surface is homeomorphic to a manifold S_g , where S_0 denotes the sphere and S_g , $g \geq 1$ denotes the connected sum of g tori, that is "a torus with g holes", see Figure 2.1. This allows to define

Definition 2.2. For an orientable, closed surface M^2 , we define its *genus* $g = g(M^2)$ to be 0 if M^2 is homeomorphic to the sphere and the number g of tori in Proposition 2.1 otherwise.




FIGURE 2.1. Closed orientable surfaces. The number g in S_g is the genus of the surface.

2.2. Euler formula for imbedded graphs. The well-known Euler formula for planar connected graphs

$$v + f - e = 2,$$

where v is the number of vertices, f is the number of faces and e is the number of edges of a given graph, can be extended for any imbedded graphs via the notion of genus.

Definition 2.3. Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold. A *cellularly imbedded graph* $G \subset M$ is a graph drawn on M such that edges only intersect at their end points (that is at the vertices) and every connected component of $\Sigma \setminus G$ is homeomorphic to a disc. If G is cellularly imbedded in Σ , the connected components of $\Sigma \setminus G$, when viewed as subsets of Σ , are called the *faces* of G .

Two cellularly imbedded graphs $G \subset \Sigma$ and $G' \subset \Sigma'$ are *equivalent*, written $G = G'$, if there is a homeomorphism from Σ to Σ' that sends G to G' . That is, there is a homeomorphism $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ with the property that $\phi|_G : G \rightarrow G'$ is an isomorphism of the graphs.

Proposition 2.4 (The Euler formula for cellularly imbedded graphs). *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold of genus g . Let $G \subset M^2$ be a cellularly imbedded metric graph with V vertices, E edges, partitioning $M^2 \setminus G$ into F faces. Then, we have the Euler formula for cellularly imbedded graphs*

$$V - E + F = 2 - 2g.$$

3. NODAL DOMAINS, NODAL SETS AND CRITICAL POINTS

We are interested in the eigenvalue problem in M

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta u + Vu = \lambda u,$$

where $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$ and $-\Delta$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The choice $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$ is due to the fact that we rely on a theorem from [Ber55] to transfer results on the geometry of zero sets from the Euclidean domain to manifolds.

The operator $-\Delta + V$ is again self-adjoint with discrete spectrum, i.e. there is a nondecreasing sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty,$$

counting multiplicities. Furthermore, there is an associated sequence of eigenfunctions u_1, u_2, \dots forming an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M^2)$ (c.f. [Jos17, Section 3.2]).

In special examples such as the flat torus \mathbb{T}^d or the d -dimensional sphere, one has some chance to calculate explicit solutions of (3.1), but in general little is known about the form or shape of eigenfunctions. One approach to better understand their geometry is to understand better their *nodal sets* and *singular points*. We define:

Definition 3.1 (Nodal set and nodal domains). For $u \in C(M^2)$, the *nodal set* of u is

$$N(u) = \{x \in M^2 \mid u(x) = 0\}.$$

We call the connected components of $M^2 \setminus N(u)$ the *nodal domains* of u . The number of nodal domains is the *nodal count*, denoted by $\mu(u)$.

An important result by Courant states:

Proposition 3.2 (Courant's nodal domain theorem). *Let u_k be an eigenfunction of $-\Delta + V$ associated to λ_k . Then $\mu_k(u) \leq k$.*

Remark 3.3. The idea of the proof of Proposition 3.2 is quite simple and relies on the classic variational principle for the k -th eigenvalue:

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_k = \min_{V \subset H^1(M), \dim V = k} \max_{\phi \in V} \frac{\langle \phi, (-\Delta + V)\phi \rangle}{\|\phi\|_{L^2(M)}^2}.$$

One then observes that restrictions of u_k to k different nodal domains will yield a basis of a vector space V realising the minimum in (3.2). Therefore, if u_k had more than k nodal domains, one could make the expression on the right hand side of (3.2) even smaller by slight modifications to the functions of this basis, using the additional volume of M provided by the other nodal domains. This would lead to a contradiction. We refer to [CH66] and [CH08, VI §6] for details.

3.1. Critical points, Hartman-Wintner theorem and degree. We now make the intuition precise that the nodal set $N(u)$ of a function u solving $-\Delta u + V = 0$ for $V \in C^\infty(M)$ has a network-like structure resembling a compact metric graph imbedded in M .

The role of vertices will be taken by so-called *critical points* in the nodal set $N(u)$:

Definition 3.4. Let $u \in C^1(M)$. The set of *critical points in the nodal set*, associated to u is

$$(3.3) \quad C(u) := \{x \in N(u) \mid \nabla u(x) = 0\}.$$

Remark 3.5. In the literature, e.g. in [Zel09], it is also common to use the name *critical set* for points where ∇u vanishes, but not necessarily u itself, whereas points lying both in the nodal set and having vanishing gradient are referred to as *singular points*. Since we are only interested in points of the nodal set, we have no need for this distinction and exclusively use the name *critical points* as in Definition 3.4.

Proposition 3.6 (Hartman-Wintner theorem on manifolds, cf. [Che76, Theorem 2.5]). *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable, and connected 2-dimensional manifold, $V \in C^\infty(M)$, and let u solve $-\Delta u + V = 0$. Then:*

- (i) *The set $C(u)$ of critical points in $N(u)$ is finite.*
- (ii) *The nodal set is a union of finitely many closed C^2 -curves (i.e. twice differentiable maps from the unit circle to M^2), which can only intersect at the critical points.*
- (iii) *Whenever $n \geq 2$ of these curves meet at a critical point in the nodal set, they form an equiangular system, that is locally, the nodal set consists of $2n$ arcs, meeting at the critical point such that the outgoing tangent vectors have angles which are every multiple of π/n .*

Let us comment on the steps in the proof of Proposition 3.6. The starting point is the celebrated Hartman-Wintner theorem which characterizes the behaviour of eigenfunctions (and thus also of arcs of the nodal set) of equations of the form $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$ in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ in a neighbourhood of their zeros.

Proposition 3.7 (Hartman-Wintner [HW53], see also [HHOT09]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be open and $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let u solve $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$, and let u and ∇u vanish at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Write (r, θ) for polar coordinates. Then, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

(i) There is a complex-valued function ξ of class $C^{0,+}$ with $\xi(0) \neq 0$ and

$$u_x + iu_y = r^n e^{-in\theta} \xi(x, y).$$

(ii) There is a function $\tilde{\xi}$ of class $C^{0,+}$ such that $\tilde{\xi}(0) = 0$ and

$$u(x, y) = \frac{r^{n+1}}{n+1} (\Re(\xi(x_0, y_0)) \cos((n+1)\theta) + \Im(\xi(x_0, y_0)) \sin((n+1)\theta) + \tilde{\xi}(x, y)).$$

(iii) There exists $R > 0$ such that $N(u) \cap B_R(0)$ consists of $2n$ $C^{1,+}$ -simple arcs which meet in z and whose tangent lines at 0 divide the disk into $2n$ equal angles.

Since Theorem 3.7 is a local statement, it can be generalized to eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on M^2 . Note that from now on, we require $V \in C^\infty(M)$. The key step in the proof of Proposition 3.6 is the *Bers scaling theorem* [Ber55] (see also [Che76, Theorem 2.1] for a watered down formulation appropriate in our context), which states that solutions of elliptic partial differential equations of order $m \geq 2$ with smooth coefficients (indeed, Bers only assumed Hölder continuous coefficients) are close to harmonic polynomials in a neighbourhood of their zeroes.

3.2. Graph structure of nodal sets. The following statement is a consequence of Proposition 3.6

Corollary 3.8. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable, and connected 2-dimensional manifold, $V \in C^\infty(M)$, and let u solve $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$. Then, the nodal set $N(u)$ consists of a finite number of connected components. Each such connected component is either homeomorphic to a circle or it is homeomorphic to a finite number of closed arcs (homeomorphic to the closed unit interval), joined at points of the critical set $C(u)$.*

Every point in the critical set is adjacent to an even number and at least four end points of arcs, where two end points, belonging to the same arc will be counted twice.

In other words, we have identified the nodal set as a union of a finite number of circles and a compact metric graph, imbedded on the surface M^2 .

Definition 3.9. A compact metric graph \mathcal{G} is a disjoint union of intervals – called *edges* –, topologically joined at their end points – called *vertices* – according to the structure of a combinatorial graph G , see [Mug19] for details.

The *degree* of a vertex in metric graph is the number of edges incident to it, where loops (edges starting and ending at the same vertex) are counted twice.

We say that a metric graph \mathcal{G} is *imbedded in M^2* if \mathcal{G} can be homeomorphically mapped onto \mathcal{G} , that is if it can be drawn on \mathcal{G} without intersections.

Remark 3.10. At the risk of being redundant let us stress that

- (i) The nodal set does not need to be connected.
- (ii) Some components of the nodal set can be homeomorphic to circles.
- (iii) Every vertex in the metric graph, associated to a nontrivial (i.e. not isomorphic to a circle) component of the nodal set, will have degree at least four.

While circles clearly are metric graphs, which can be made precise by formally inserting a so-called *dummy vertex* on them, we will for now refrain from turning them into a graph this way. The reason is that we will need to amend the nodal set with additional arcs to make it connected at a later stage, which will lead to new vertices on circles in the nodal set, and thus turn them formally into proper metric graphs anyway.

Remark 3.11. We can also consider the nodal set in the language of two-dimensional, finite CW complexes, see for instance [Whi49, EMM13]. Indeed, two-dimensional CW complexes are the appropriate framework for Euler’s formula. However, a priori, the critical points, the nodal

arcs and the nodal domains will not form a two-dimensional CW complex. This is due to several effects; in particular that connected components of the nodal set can be homeomorphic to circles, and nodal domains do not need to be homeomorphic to discs.

However, a *cellularly imbedded* metric graph in M^2 will indeed be a CW complex.

This is why, in Section 5, we will amend the nodal set with an additional number of arcs to turn it into a cellularly imbedded graph, or – alternatively – turn the critical points, the arcs and the resulting partition of M^2 into a CW complex.

3.3. Vanishing order. By Proposition 3.6, at every critical point z , there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that exactly $2n$ arcs of the nodal set meet at z . We now relate this number to another relevant quantity, namely the *order of vanishing*.

Definition 3.12. Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $z \in M$. The *order of vanishing* of u at z is

$$\Gamma_u(z) := \max \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \partial^\alpha u(z) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq N. \right\}$$

Lemma 3.13. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected two-dimensional Riemannian manifold, let u solve $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$ for some $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$.*

- (i) *If $z \in N(u) \setminus C(u)$, then the order of vanishing of u at z is one.*
- (ii) *If $z \in C(u)$, then the order of vanishing of u at z is equal to $n/2$, where n is the number of arcs of the nodal set, meeting at u .*

In particular at points of the critical set, the order of vanishing is at least 2.

Lemma 3.13 is again a consequence of the planar Hartmann-Wintner theorem, Proposition 3.7 in combination with the Bers scaling theorem, similar to the arguments used by Cheng in the Proof of Proposition 3.6.

Let us point out that the notion of degree of points is intimately connected with a similar definition in terms of the asymptotic behaviour of averages of balls, that is

$$\frac{\int_{B_r(z)} |u(x)|^p dx}{r^d \int_M |u(x)|^p dx}$$

as r tends to 0, see [GMZ24] and references therein.

The concept of vanishing order is of importance in several contexts, including doubling inequalities, unique continuation, and observability, see [DF90, Zel09, GMZ24].

In some sense, points with high vanishing order – namely critical points – are exactly those where eigenfunctions exhibit their most extremal behaviour.

4. MAIN RESULTS

We now provide our main results, namely upper bounds on the number of critical points, as well as upper bounds on the sum of their vanishing orders in terms of the number of nodal domains and the genus of the surface M^2 .

Theorem 4.1. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold, let $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$, and let $0 \neq u$ be an eigenfunction of $-\Delta + V$ on M^2 with at least one critical point in the nodal set. Then, the number of critical points is bounded as*

$$|C(u)| \leq \mu(u) + 2g - 2,$$

where $\mu(u)$ is the number of nodal domains of u and g is the genus of M^2 .

We also have a similar statement on the sum of degrees of critical points:

Theorem 4.2. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold, let $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$, and let $0 \neq u$ be an eigenfunction of $-\Delta + V$ on M^2 with at least one critical point in the nodal set. Then, the sum of degrees of critical points (considered at vertices of the metric graph associated with their connected component of the nodal set) satisfies*

$$\sum_{z \in C(u)} \deg(z) \leq 4\mu(u) + 8g - 8,$$

where $\mu(u)$ is the number of nodal domains of u and g is the genus of M^2 .

Together with Courant's Nodal Domain Theorem, Proposition 3.2, we obtain

Corollary 4.3. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold, let $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$, and let u_k be an eigenfunction, associated to the k -th eigenvalue λ_k of $-\Delta + V$ with at least one critical point in the nodal set. Then*

$$|C(u_k)| \leq k + 2g - 2.$$

Furthermore, since the degree of critical points, considered as vertices in the nodal set is twice their vanishing order, we also have.

Corollary 4.4. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold, let $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$, and let u_k be an eigenfunction, associated to the k -th eigenvalue λ_k of $-\Delta + V$ with at least one critical point in the nodal set. Then*

$$\sum_{z \in C(u_k)} \Gamma_{u_k}(z) \leq 2k + 4g - 4.$$

Finally, using that on compact, two-dimensional manifolds, the k -th eigenvalue is asymptotically proportional to k by Weyl's law, we obtain:

Corollary 4.5. *Let M^2 be a compact, orientable and connected two-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and $V \in L^\infty(-\Delta + V)$. Then, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for sufficiently large λ and every eigenfunction u to the eigenvalue λ , we have*

$$\sum_{z \in C(u)} \Gamma_u(z) \leq c\lambda$$

Proof of Corollary 4.5. By Weyl's asymptotic law, the k -th eigenvalue is proportional to λ . The statement then follows from Corollary 4.4. \square

Remark 4.6. Corollary 4.5 should be compared with [DF88] where it is proved that for an eigenfunction u to the eigenvalue λ , one has

$$\max_{z \in C(u)} \Gamma_u(z) \leq c\sqrt{\lambda}.$$

5. PROOFS

In this section, we prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

By Corollary 3.8, the nodal set $N(u)$ of an eigenfunction u of $-\Delta + V$, $V \in C^\infty(M)$, is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of a (possibly disconnected) compact metric graph with a finite number of closed arcs, homeomorphic to circles. The metric graph is imbedded on M^2 where the roles of vertices are taken by the critical points.

Definition 5.1. Let M^2 be a 2-dimensional compact, orientable and connected Riemannian manifold and let u solve $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$ for $V \in C^\infty(M^2)$. Consider the nodal set $N(u)$ as a union of a

metric graph with a finite number of closed arcs, imbedded into M^2 . Assume that $N(u)$ contains at least one critical point. We set

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V(u) && \text{the cardinality of the critical set } C(u), \\ E &= E(u) && \text{the number of arcs of the metric graph plus the number} \\ &&& \text{of connected components of } N(u) \text{ homeomorphic to a circle,} \\ F &= F(u) && \text{the number of connected components of } M^2 \setminus N(u). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $\#N(u)$ denote the number of connected components of $N(u)$.

The notations V , E , F are meant to signify *vertices*, *edges* and *faces* in the context of the Euler formula for cellularly imbedded graphs or CW complexes. Let us explain the overall strategy of proof: We want to consider the nodal set as an imbedded metric graph and use the Euler formula on the associated combinatorial graph. However, this requires a number of surgery operations to turn the nodal set into a proper, cellularly imbedded metric graph for which the Euler formula holds. We will proceed in three steps:

- (1) *Step 1 (Making nodal set connected)*: Add a finite number of arcs to turn $N(u)$ into a connected set $N_1 \subset M^2$ which now has indeed the structure of a connected metric graph, imbedded into M^2 .
- (2) *Step 2 (Making faces have connected boundary)*: Add finitely many more arcs to turn N_1 into an imbedded metric graph N_2 in which the boundary of every connected component of $M^2 \setminus N_2$ is connected. This essentially means, *getting rid of annuli*.
- (3) *Step 3: Making faces homeomorphic to discs*: Add a finite number of arcs to turn N_2 into an imbedded metric graph N_3 in which every connected component of $M^2 \setminus N_3$ is homeomorphic to a disc. This essentially means *getting rid of handles*.

We will then keep track of the changes to V , E and F under these operations and then apply the Euler formula.

Let us make these steps precise

Lemma 5.2 (Step 1: Making nodal set connected). *Let $N(u)$ consist of $(\#N)$ many connected components and assume that $V \geq 1$. Then, we can add $\#N - 1$ many smooth arcs, each intersecting $N(u)$ only at its endpoints, such that the resulting set $N_1 \subset M^2$ is connected and has the structure of an imbedded metric graph on M^2 , consisting of*

- $E_1 = E + (\#N)$ many edges,
- $V_1 = V + (\#N) - 1$ many vertices,
- and the set $M^2 \setminus N_1$ consists of exactly F many connected components.

Proof. We proceed inductively, starting from a connected component G_1 of $N(u)$, which is not homeomorphic to a circle. Such a component always exists because there is at least one critical point by assumption. Then, there is another connected component G_2 of $N(u)$, and a connected component D of $M^2 \setminus N(u)$ such that both G_1 and G_2 have non-empty intersection with its boundary ∂D .

Note that there must exist a critical point c_1 on $\partial D \cap G_1$, for else, G_1 would be homeomorphic to the circle. Pick any point c_2 on $\partial D \cap G_2$ which is not critical and connect c_1 and c_2 by an arc e_1 such that the arc hits $N(u)$ only at c_1 and c_2 (which is possible because G is assumed connected). This connects G_1 and G_2 and reduces the number of connected components of $N(u)$ by one.

Note that $D \setminus e_1$ is still connected, i.e. we do not create additional faces. Indeed, it suffices to see that for any point in the interior of e_1 , one can draw a continuous curve from one side of e_1 to the other side. This is done by following e_1 on one side until v_2 , then following $\partial D \cap G_2$, and then going back on the other side of e_1 , see Figure 5.1 for an illustration.




FIGURE 5.1. Connecting different connected components of the nodal set as in Lemma 5.2. The connected component D of the complement will remain connected.

The claim now follows by induction. \square

Lemma 5.3 (Step 2: Making faces have connected boundary). *Let N_1 be a set as obtained in Lemma 5.2. Then, we can add a finite number m of smooth arcs such that the resulting set $N_2 \subset M^2$ is connected, the boundary of every connected component of $M^2 \setminus N_2$ is connected, and N_2 has the structure of an imbedded metric graph in M^2 , consisting of*

- $E_2 = E_1 + m$ many edges,
- $V_2 = V_1$ many vertices,
- and the set $M^2 \setminus N_2$ consists of exactly F many connected components.

Proof. The argument is similar as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Let D be a connected component of $M^2 \setminus N_1$ such that its boundary consists of more than one connected component.

Note that there is a slight subtlety here: Since D can touch arcs in N_1 from both sides, it is convenient to somewhat regularize D to D_ε by increasing N_1 to a small, closed ε -neighbourhood of N_1 for sufficiently small ε . This will not change the topology of D but it ensures that the boundary ∂D_ε consists of a finite number of closed curves. Furthermore, for every vertex $v \in F_1 \cap \partial D$, we can uniquely associate a vertex $\tilde{v} \in \partial D_\varepsilon$, namely one with minimal distance to v .

Assume that this ε -regularized boundary of D for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ contains two connected components G'_1 and G'_2 . Take vertices $\tilde{v}_1 \in G'_1$, and \tilde{v}_2 corresponding to a vertex v_1 in N_1 , and a vertex $\tilde{v}_2 \in G'_2$, corresponding to a vertex $v_2 \in N_1$ (this exists because else N_1 contains no cycles), place a new vertex \tilde{v}_2 on G'_2 , and connect them with an edge.

This ensures that the boundary of D consists of one less component, and similarly to the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we see that D remains connected. Finally, we go back from the ε -regularized boundaries to the original set D , continue the edge from \tilde{v}_1 to v_1 , and from \tilde{v}_2 to a vertex v_2 on the corresponding edge in its ε -neighbourhood.

Since there are finitely many components in any ε -regularized boundary of D , uniformly for ε sufficiently small, and there are finitely many connected components of $M^2 \setminus D$, we only need to perform this operation finitely many times.

Proceeding by induction yields the claim. \square

Lemma 5.4 (Step 3 (Making faces homeomorphic to discs)). *Let N_2 be a set as obtained in Lemma 5.3. Then, we can add a finite number n of smooth arcs such that the resulting set $N_3 \subset M^2$ is connected, every connected component of $M^2 \setminus N_3$ is homeomorphic to a disc, and N_3 has the structure of an imbedded metric graph in M^2 , consisting of*

- $E_3 = E_2 + 4n$ many edges,




FIGURE 5.2. Removing one handle U_j , which is attached at the dashed line, by adding four vertices and four edges (dotted line) in the proof of Lemma 5.4

- $V_3 = V_2 + 3n$ many vertices,
- and the set $M^2 \setminus N_3$ consists of exactly F many connected components.

Proof. Let D be a connected component of $M^2 \setminus N_2$. We again increase N_2 to a closed ε -neighbourhood and consider the ε -regularized boundary of D in order to avoid issues with D touching an edge from both sides. This will not change the topology of D .

Then, the ε -regularized boundary of D will be homeomorphic to a circle. This means that D itself is homeomorphic to a compact, closed surface with exactly one hole. Indeed, this can for instance be seen by applying the Alexandroff-compactification to D , identifying all points in its boundary with a single point (the hole). The resulting surface will be a compact, connected, orientable surface. Using the Classification Theorem for compact surfaces, Proposition 2.1, we conclude that D is homeomorphic to $S_g \setminus B_\delta(x)$ for some $0 < \delta \ll 1$, $x \in S_g$, and $g \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, i.e. it is a sphere in case $g = 0$ or a connected sum of g tori with a small δ -ball removed.

We now claim that on $S_g \setminus B_\delta(x)$, we can draw $4g$ closed arcs, $2g$ endpoints of which end at $2g$ distinct points of $\partial B_\delta(x)$ such that there are $2g$ vertices in $S_g \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)$ at which three endpoints of edges meet, and such that the complement of the arcs in $S_g \setminus B_\delta(x)$ remains connected.

Indeed, $S_g \setminus B_\delta(x)$ has exactly g handles, that is, we may assume that there are disjoint open sets U_1, U_2, \dots, U_g in $S_g \setminus B_\delta(x)$ such that

$$S_g \setminus (B_\delta(x) \cup U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_g)$$

is homeomorphic to a disc with exactly g holes, and U_j is homeomorphic to a 1-torus S_g with exactly one hole removed.

But clearly, U_j can be made homeomorphic to a ball by cutting along four arcs which meet the boundary of U_j at two points and meet each other at two vertices, see Figure 5.2. Extending these edges from boundary points of the U_j to the boundary of $S_g \setminus B_\delta(x)$ yields the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. After applying Lemmas 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4, we end up with a circularly imbedded graph N_3 on M^2 with $V_3 = V + (\#N) - 1 + 3n$ vertices, $E_3 = E + (\#N) - 1 + m + 4n$ edges and F faces.

The Euler formula for circularly imbedded graphs then yields

$$V_3 - E_3 + F_3 = V - E - m - n + F = 2 - 2g.$$

Now note that in every connected component of the original nodal set $N(u)$, which is not homeomorphic to a circle, vertices are exactly points of $C(u)$ and as vertices in the imbedded metric

graph, they have degree at least four. We therefore obtain

$$(5.1) \quad E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in C(u)} \deg(z) \geq 2V$$

This implies

$$F = 2 - 2g + (m + n) + E - V \geq 2 - 2g + V,$$

that is

$$V \leq F + 2g - 2 \leq F + 2g - 2.$$

Noting that V is the cardinality of the critical set and F the number of nodal domains yields the claim. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The proof is analogous to the previous one with the modification that in (5.1), we estimate

$$E \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in C(u)} \deg(z) \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{z \in C(u)} \deg(z) + V$$

leading to

$$F = 2 - 2g + (m + n) + E - V \geq 2 - 2g + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{z \in C(u)} \deg(z).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{z \in C(u)} \deg(z) \leq 4F + 8g - 8. \quad \square$$

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we discuss some simple examples, where an eigenbasis can be explicitly computed.

6.1. On the sphere. Let us consider the sphere $M = S^2$, then the eigenfunctions of the spherical Laplacian are known as the *spherical harmonics* (see [DLMF, Section 14.30] and [CH66, Section VII.5]). They are used for example to describe the angular part of wavefunctions in electrons in atoms and can be used to solve the Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom and is used describe the orbital model for atoms.




FIGURE 6.1. Different nodal sets of spherical harmonics. The left is an example for which the optimal bounds for the number of critical points. The right spherical harmonics function does not satisfy the optimal bound for the number of critical points, however the vanishing orders satisfy the optimal bound.

A complete system of eigenfunction can be determined via

$$(6.1) \quad Y_{\ell,m}(\phi, \theta) = \begin{cases} P_\ell^0(\cos(\theta)), & m = 0 \\ P_\ell^m(\cos(\theta)) \cos(m\phi), & 1 \leq m \leq \ell \\ P_\ell^{|m|}(\cos(\theta)) \sin(|m|\phi), & -\ell \leq m \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ with $m = -\ell, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, \ell$, where $P_\ell^m(\cos(\theta))$ is the associate Legendre polynomial (see [CH66, Section VII.5]). The corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_\ell = \ell(\ell + 1)$ and $Y_{\ell,m}$ for $m = -\ell, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, \ell$ form a basis of the corresponding eigenspace. The associated Legendre polynomial $P_\ell^{|m|}$ has $\ell - |m|$ roots in $(-1, 1)$ (see [DLMF, Section 14.16] or [Hob31, Chapter IX]) and due to the form of (6.1) the node set of the spherical harmonics consists of m longitudes and $\ell - m$ latitudes intersecting in $2m(\ell - m)$ points. The number of critical points, vanishing order and nodal count of the spherical harmonic functions $Y_{\ell,m}$ can be easily obtained (see Figure 6.1) In summary, $Y_{\ell,m}$ has

- one nodal domain for $\ell = 0$, two nodal domains for $\ell = 1$, $\ell + 1$ nodal domains for $m = 0$ and $\ell \geq 2$, and $2m(\ell - m + 1)$ otherwise;
- $2m(\ell - m)$ critical points if $|m| \leq 1$. Otherwise $2m(\ell - m) + 2$ critical points;
- The $2m(\ell - m)$ critical points are vanishing of order 2 if $|m| \leq 1$. For $|m| \geq 2$ there exist two additional critical points of order m .

The nodal partitions are cellularly imbedded and from our main results we deduce for $\ell \geq 2$ and $m \neq 0$

$$(6.2) \quad |C(Y_{\ell,m})| \leq 2m(\ell - m + 1) - 2.$$

One easily verifies that equality holds if $m = 1$ and the the bounds in Theorem 4.1 and 4.2 are therefore sharp.

6.2. On the torus. Next, we show that the bounds in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are also optimal on the torus S_2 .

More precisely, we consider the *flat torus*, that is, we can identify S_2 with $\mathbb{R}^2 \bmod 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$ with the Riemannian metric equal to the usual Euclidean scalar product at every point. Alternatively, we can interpret the Laplacian on S_2 with this metric as the Laplacian on the square $(-\pi, \pi)^2$ with periodic boundary conditions.

The spectrum and eigenfunctions are then explicitly known and the following lemma is folklore:

Lemma 6.1. *An orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ on $(-\pi, \pi)^2$ with periodic boundary conditions is given by $(e_l)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$, where*

$$e_l(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(x \cdot l).$$

The eigenvalue, corresponding to e_l , is $|l|^2 = l_1^2 + l_2^2$.

In particular, an eigenvalue λ can have high multiplicity if many lattice points $l \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ lie on the circle with radius $\sqrt{\lambda}$ around zero.

By taking linear combinations of the four elements of the form $e_{(\pm l_1, \pm l_2)}$, we can also see that functions of the form

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \cos(l_1 x_1) \cos(l_2 x_2)$$

are eigenfunctions. However, it is easy to see that the nodal set of these eigenfunction will have exactly $4 \cdot l_1 \cdot l_2$ many critical points and equally many nodal domains, all of vanishing order 2 (cf. Figure 6.2 for an illustration). This shows that the upper bound of Theorem 4.1 is sharp on the torus.




FIGURE 6.2. Nodal set of the eigenfunction $u(x_1, x_2) = \cos(2x_1)\cos(x_2)$ on the flat torus (opposites side of the square are identified) with eight critical points and eight nodal domains, illustrating sharpness of the upper bound of Theorem 4.1.

We have seen before that on the sphere S_0 we can also find points of arbitrarily high degree in the nodal graph of eigenfunctions – namely at the ”north” and ”south poles”.

Let us demonstrate that one can observe a similar phenomenon on the flat torus, which is not a priori, considering that each of them are of degree 4 by construction.

Theorem 6.2 (Cf. [Täu17]). *On the flat torus S_1 , for every point $x \in S_1$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an eigenfunction u of the Laplacian which has a point of vanishing order at least n on which at least $2n$ arcs of the nodal set meet.*

We also refer to [GMZ24] for more recent developments on the vanishing order of eigenfunction on the flat torus.

The key is the sums-of-squares theorem by Gauss [Gau01]; see also [Fri82, Chapter 1]:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ have the prime factor decomposition*

$$n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k} \cdot q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_l^{b_l} \cdot 2^c$$

where the p_i are primes of the form $4k + 1$, and the q_j are primes of the form $4k + 3$. Then the number of pairs (l_1, l_2) satisfying $l_1^2 + l_2^2 = n$ is equal to

$$\begin{cases} 4 \cdot (1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_n) & \text{if all } b_i \text{ are even,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.2. By Proposition 6.3 and the spectral decomposition in Lemma 6.1 we infer that the Laplacian on the flat torus has eigenspaces of arbitrarily high dimension. Due to the spectral decomposition of Lemma 6.1, every eigenfunction u to an eigenvalue $\lambda \geq 0$ is of the form

$$u = \sum_{k \in I_\lambda} \mu_k e_k, \quad \mu_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $I_\lambda = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |k|^2 = \lambda\}$. Expanding the e_k in a Taylor series around 0, we find

$$e_k(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha e_k)(0) \cdot x^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{(ik)^\alpha}{\alpha!} x^\alpha$$

where we used multindex notation, i.e. for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we write $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_d!$, $D^\alpha := \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d}$, $k^\alpha := k_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots k_d^{\alpha_d}$, $|\alpha|_1 := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$. Then, u can be written as

$$u(x) = \sum_{k \in I_\lambda} \mu_k e_k = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{(ix)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{k \in I_\lambda} \mu_k k^\alpha \right).$$

Since the Taylor series is locally absolutely convergent, u vanishes to order n at 0 if for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $|\alpha|_1 \leq n$, we have

$$\sum_{k \in I_\lambda} \mu_k k^\alpha = 0.$$

This is a system of finitely many linear equations, indexed by α , with variables $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in I_\lambda}$. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\text{equations}\} &= \#\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : |\alpha|_1 \leq N\} =: C(n), \quad \text{fixed, once we chose } n, \\ \#\{\text{variables}\} &= \#\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |k|^2 = \lambda\} = \#I_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We clearly can find a nontrivial solution $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in I_\lambda}$ if for a given $C = C(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\#I_\lambda \geq C$. This will then yield a function u which vanishes to order n at 0. But by Proposition 6.3, we have that I_{5^C} contains $4C$ many points, i.e. $\lambda = 5^C$ does the job.

The fact that at least $2n$ arcs meet at the critical point follows from Lemma 3.13. \square

From the proof of Theorem 6.2 it is obvious that the construction of functions with high vanishing order readily generalizes to higher dimensional flat tori. However, due to the more complicated structure of the nodal set in higher dimensions, there is no longer a simple interpretation of the degree of vanishing as (half the) degree of a vertex in a graph.

REFERENCES

- [Ber55] L. Bers. Local behavior of solutions of general linear elliptic equations. *Commun. pure appl. math.*, 8(4):473–496, November 1955.
- [Bra21] H. R. Brahana. Systems of circuits on two-dimensional manifolds. *Ann. Math.*, 23(2):144, December 1921.
- [CH66] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Volume 1*. John Wiley & Sons, Weinheim, Germany, 1966.
- [CH08] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Volume 2: Partial Differential Equations*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Weinheim, Germany, 2008.
- [Che76] S.-Y. Cheng. Eigenfunctions and nodal sets. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 51:43–56, 1976.
- [DF88] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman. Nodal sets for eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds. *Invent. math.*, 93(1):161–183, 1988.
- [DF90] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman. Nodal sets for eigenfunctions of the laplacian on surfaces. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):333–353, 1990.
- [DLMF] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.2.2 of 2024-09-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [EMM13] J. A. Ellis-Monaghan and I. Moffatt. *Graphs on Surfaces: Dualities, Polynomials, and Knots*. Springer New York, 2013.
- [Fri82] F. Fricker. *Einführung in die Gitterpunktlehre*, volume 73 of *Lehrbücher und Monographien aus dem Gebiete der Exakten Wissenschaften (LMW)*. *Mathematische Reihe*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1982.
- [Gau01] C. F. Gauß. *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*. Leipzig, 1801.
- [GMZ24] P. Germain, I. Moyano, and H. Zhu. On the vanishing of eigenfunctions of the laplacian on tori, 2024. arXiv:2406.19925 [math.AP].
- [GX13] J. Gallier and D. Xu. *A Guide to the Classification Theorem for Compact Surfaces*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [HHOT09] B. Helffer, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and S. Terracini. Nodal domains and spectral minimal partitions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré (C) Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(1):101–138, 2009.
- [Hob31] E. W. Hobson. *The theory of spherical and ellipsoidal harmonics*. CUP Archive, 1931.
- [HW53] P. Hartman and A. Wintner. On the local behavior of solutions of non-parabolic partial differential equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 75:449–476, 1953.
- [Jor66] C. Jordan. Sur la déformation des surfaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 2e série, 11:105–109, 1866.
- [Jos17] J. Jost. *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*. Springer International Publishing, 2017.

- [Möb61] A.F. Möbius. Zur Theorie der Polyeder und der Elementarverwandtschaft, 1861. Oeuvres Complètes, Tome 2, 519—559.
- [Mug19] D. Mugnolo. What is actually a metric graph? *ArXiv Preprint arXiv:1912.07549*, 2019.
- [Täu17] M. Täufer. Laplace-eigenfunctions on the torus with high vanishing order, 2017. arXiv:1710.09328 [math.AP].
- [TTV16] M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, and I. Veselić. *Harmonic Analysis and Random Schrödinger Operators*, page 223–255. Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [Whi49] J. H. C. Whitehead. Combinatorial homotopy. I. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55(3.P1):213 – 245, 1949.
- [Zel09] S. Zelditch. Local and global analysis of eigenfunctions, 2009. arXiv:0903.3420 [math.AP].

MATTHIAS HOFMANN, FAKULTÄT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, FERNUNIVERSITÄT IN HAGEN, D-58084 HAGEN, GERMANY

MATTHIAS TÄUFER, FAKULTÄT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, FERNUNIVERSITÄT IN HAGEN, D-58084 HAGEN, GERMANY