Generalizations of the fractional Fourier transform and their analytic properties

Yue $Zhou^{1*}$

^{1*}Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, Guangdong, P.R. China.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): zhouy583@mail2.sysu.edu.cn;

Abstract

We consider one-parameter families of quadratic-phase integral transforms which generalize the fractional Fourier transform. Under suitable regularity assumptions, we characterize the one-parameter groups formed by such transforms. Necessary and sufficient conditions for continuous dependence on the parameter are obtained in L^2 , pointwise, and almost-everywhere senses.

 ${\bf Keywords:}$ fractional Fourier transform, linear canonical transform, quadratic phase, maximal function

 \mathbf{MSC} Classification: 42B10 , 42B20 , 42B25

1 Introduction

The idea of the *fractional Fourier transform* (FRFT) goes back to Wiener in 1929. In the last few decades, the FRFT has found practical applications in optics [1] and signal processing [2], among many others.

As a one-parameter extension of the Fourier transform

$$\mathscr{F}f(u) = \hat{f}(u) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iut} f(t) dt \quad (u \in \mathbb{R}),$$

the FRFT of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}f(u) = \sqrt{\frac{1-i\cot\alpha}{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\left[\frac{1}{2}(\cot\alpha)u^2 - (\csc\alpha)ut + \frac{1}{2}(\cot\alpha)t^2\right]} f(t)dt \tag{1}$$

for $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}\pi$, and $\mathscr{F}_{n\pi}f(u) = f((-1)^n u)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ([3], [4], [5]). Clearly,

$$\mathscr{F}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}f = \mathscr{F}f.$$

It is shown in [3] (see also [4], [5]) that \mathscr{F}_{α} satisfies Plancherel's theorem

$$\|\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

and that $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ depends continuously on α when $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$; moreover, $\{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}\}$ forms a *one-parameter group*, i.e., it satisfies $\mathscr{F}_{0} = I$ (identity) and

$$\mathscr{F}_{\alpha} \circ \mathscr{F}_{\beta} = \mathscr{F}_{\alpha+\beta} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}).$$
⁽²⁾

More generally, $\{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}\}$ is a subgroup of a three-parameter group of unitary transformations on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ called the *linear canonical transforms* (LCTs; [6], [7, Ch. 9]). Up to suitable completion, the LCTs take the form

$$\mathscr{L}_{A,B,C}f(u) = D \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\left[\frac{1}{2}Au^2 - But + \frac{1}{2}Ct^2\right]} f(t)dt,$$
(3)

where $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \neq 0$, and $D \in \mathbb{C}$ is an appropriate constant with $|D| = \sqrt{\frac{|B|}{2\pi}}$ (whose precise value will be omitted). For simplicity, we will denote $\mathscr{L}_{A,B,C}$ by the triple [A, B, C]. Thus, for example,

$$\mathscr{F}_{\alpha} = [\cot \alpha, \csc \alpha, \cot \alpha]. \tag{4}$$

Besides $\{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}\}$, the LCTs contain two other one-parameter subgroups:

$$\mathscr{E}_{\alpha} := \left[\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right],\tag{5}$$

$$\mathscr{G}_{\alpha} := [\coth \alpha, \operatorname{csch}\alpha, \coth \alpha]. \tag{6}$$

It is easy to see that if $[A(\alpha), B(\alpha), C(\alpha)]$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) is a one-parameter subgroup of the LCTs, then so are the following (where $\omega, \lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary fixed constants):

$$[A(\omega\alpha), B(\omega\alpha), C(\omega\alpha)], \tag{7}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}A(\alpha), \frac{1}{\lambda}B(\alpha), \frac{1}{\lambda}C(\alpha)\right],\tag{8}$$

$$[A(\alpha) - \gamma, B(\alpha), C(\alpha) + \gamma].$$
(9)

The first objective of this note is to show that, up to the transformations (7)–(9) and under suitable regularity assumptions on the functions $A(\alpha)$, $B(\alpha)$, and $C(\alpha)$, $\{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}\}, \{\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}\}, \{\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}\}, \{\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}\}$ give all one-parameter subgroups of the LCTs.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha} = [A(\alpha), B(\alpha), C(\alpha)] \ (\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$ be a one-parameter subgroup of the LCTs, satisfying (14) and (15). Then \mathscr{L}_{α} takes one of the following forms:

$$\begin{cases} (I) \quad \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\cot(\omega\alpha) - \gamma, \frac{1}{\lambda}\csc(\omega\alpha), \frac{1}{\lambda}\cot(\omega\alpha) + \gamma\right] & (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}), \\ (II) \quad \left[\frac{1}{\lambda\alpha} - \gamma, \frac{1}{\lambda\alpha}, \frac{1}{\lambda\alpha} + \gamma\right] & (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}), \\ (III) \quad \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\coth(\omega\alpha) - \gamma, \frac{1}{\lambda}\operatorname{csch}(\omega\alpha), \frac{1}{\lambda}\coth(\omega\alpha) + \gamma\right] & (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}), \end{cases}$$
(10)

for some constants $\omega, \lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

The one-parameter subgroups (10) are essentially identified in [7, §9.3] using Lie-theoretic methods. However, the problem of characterizing *all* one-parameter subgroups of the LCTs is considered only formally in [7]. Our approach here is more direct: We turn the subgroup property into functional equations satisfied by $A(\cdot)$, $B(\cdot)$, and $C(\cdot)$, and eventually reduce the problem to solving differential equations. It should be noted that the regularity condition of Theorem 1.1 is limited by our approach and can likely be weakened. Note also that there are one-parameter subgroups of the LCTs (corresponding to the case $B(\alpha) \equiv \infty$) which are not contained in (10) (see [7]).

The second objective of this note is to study one-parameter families of LCTs of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}f(u) = D(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\left[\frac{1}{2}A(\alpha)u^2 - B(\alpha)ut + \frac{1}{2}C(\alpha)t^2\right]} f(t)dt \quad (\alpha \in I),$$
(11)

where $A(\alpha), B(\alpha), C(\alpha), D(\alpha)$ are as in (3), and I is an interval. More specifically, we are interested in finding necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}f$ to be continuous in α and f in certain topologies. To this end, it is useful to notice that $A(\alpha)$ does not influence the magnitude of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}f$, and that the integral in (11) becomes highly oscillatory when $|B(\alpha)|$ or $|C(\alpha)| \to \infty$. Note that, by composing $\mathscr{L}_{A,B,C}$ with $\mathscr{F}_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$, one has the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,B,C}f(u) = \mathcal{L}_{A-\frac{B^{2}}{C},-\frac{B}{C},-\frac{1}{C}}\hat{f}(u)$$

= $\frac{D}{\sqrt{|C|}}e^{i(\frac{A}{2}u^{2}+\frac{\pi}{4})}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-i\frac{(Bu-v)^{2}}{2C}}\hat{f}(v)dv,$ (12)

which can be used to turn a highly oscillatory integral into a (at least formally) less oscillatory one.

Assuming that $D(\alpha)$ is continuous, in Section 3 we show that $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} : L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \to L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is strongly continuous in α if and only if $A(\alpha)$, $B(\alpha)$, and $C(\alpha)$ are continuous in α . Assuming that $A(\alpha)$, $B(\alpha)$, $C(\alpha)$, and $D(\alpha)$ are all continuous, in Section 4 we show that $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}f(u)$ (*u* fixed) is continuous in α for all $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, (1 + t^{2})^{r}dt)$ if and only if r > 1/2, and that, assuming additionally $C(\alpha) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}f(u)$ is continuous for all $f \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ (Sobolev space of order *s*) if and only if s > 1/2.

To study the case where $|C(\alpha)| \to \infty$, we will specialize to the situation where the convergence of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}f$ can be reduced by (12) to that of

$$L_a f(u) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i[b(a)uv + av^2]} \hat{f}(v) dv \quad (a \to 0),$$
(13)

where b(a) satisfies $\lim_{a\to 0} b(a) = 1$. This is indeed the case, for example, when $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} = \mathscr{E}_{\alpha}$ (with $a = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$), \mathscr{F}_{α} (with $a = -\frac{\tan \alpha}{2}$), or \mathscr{G}_{α} (with $a = -\frac{\tanh \alpha}{2}$). Assuming that b(a) is Lipschitz near a = 0, in Section 4 we extend a result by

Carleson [8] for the case b(a) = 1, by showing that

$$\lim_{a \to 0} L_a f(u) = f(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds for all $f \in C_c^s(\mathbb{R})$ (Hölder space of order s) if and only if s > 1/2, and that

$$\limsup_{a \to 0} |L_a f(u)| < \infty, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds for all $f \in C_c^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $s \ge 1/2$.

Under the same assumption on b(a), in Section 5 we show that

$$\lim_{a \to 0} L_a f(u) = f(u), \quad a.e. \ u \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $s \geq 1/4$. The necessity part is a direct generalization of a result by Dahlberg-Kenig [9] for the case b(a) = 1. The sufficiency part is due to Carleson [8] when b(a) = 1, and to Cho-Lee-Vargas [10] (for s > 1/4) and Ding-Niu [11] (for s = 1/4) in the general case. In fact, [10] and [11] consider more general phase functions and their proofs are quite involved. Here we give a simplified proof utilizing the quadratic phase structure in (13).

Finally, Section 6 is concerned with possible global bound for the maximal function

$$L_*f(u) := \sup_a |L_a f(u)|, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Extending [12, Theorem 3] for the case $b(a) = \sqrt{1 + a^2}$, we show that if $b(a) \neq 1$ and is continuous, then no global bound of the form

$$L_*: H^s(\mathbb{R}) \to L^p(\mathbb{R})$$

holds for s > 0 and $p < \infty$. This is in sharp contrast with the case b(a) = 1, for which L_* is known to be bounded from $H^{1/4}(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^4(\mathbb{R})$ (see [13]).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. To formulate the precise conditions, we restate Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathscr{L}_{α} ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) be a one-parameter family of LCTs of the form (11), satisfying

(i)
$$A(\alpha) + C(\alpha) \neq 0;$$
 (14)

(ii)
$$A''(\alpha)$$
 and $B''(\alpha)$ exist. (15)

Then \mathscr{L}_{α} ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) forms a one-parameter group in the sense of (2) if and only if \mathscr{L}_{α} belongs to one of the three classes in (10).

Proof. By calculation, we get $\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \circ \mathscr{L}_{\alpha} f(u) = D(\tilde{\alpha}) D(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{i\pi}{C(\alpha) + A(\tilde{\alpha})}} \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{i \left[(A(\alpha) - \frac{B^2(\alpha)}{4(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha))})t^2 - \frac{B(\alpha)B(\tilde{\alpha})}{2(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha))}ut + (C(\alpha) - \frac{B^2(\alpha)}{4(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha))})u^2 \right]} dt.$$

Then we get that algebraic property is equivalent to equations

$$\begin{cases} A(\tilde{\alpha} + \alpha) = A(\alpha) - B^2(\alpha) \left(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha) \right)^{-1} & 1) \\ B(\tilde{\alpha} + \alpha) = B(\alpha) B(\tilde{\alpha}) \left(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha) \right)^{-1} & 2) \\ C(\tilde{\alpha} + \alpha) = C(\alpha) - B^2(\alpha) \left(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha) \right)^{-1} & 3) \\ D(\tilde{\alpha} + \alpha) = \sqrt{2\pi i} D(\alpha) D(\tilde{\alpha}) \left(A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

For sufficiency, we just need to substitute (I), (II) and (III) into the equations above.

For necessity, firstly we show that $\forall \alpha$, $C(\alpha) - A(\alpha) \equiv const$. By equation 2) and interchange α and $\tilde{\alpha}$, we get

$$C(\alpha) + A(\tilde{\alpha}) = \frac{B(\tilde{\alpha})B(\alpha)}{B(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha})},$$
$$C(\tilde{\alpha}) + A(\alpha) = \frac{B(\tilde{\alpha})B(\alpha)}{B(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha})}.$$

So $\forall \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$, $C(\alpha) + A(\tilde{\alpha}) = C(\tilde{\alpha}) + A(\alpha)$. Then $\exists C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $C(\alpha) - A(\alpha) \equiv C_0$. For simplicity, now let $A(\tilde{\alpha}) + C(\alpha) = (A(\tilde{\alpha}) + \frac{C_0}{2}) + (A(\alpha) + \frac{C_0}{2}) \triangleq a(\alpha) + a(\tilde{\alpha})$, $B(\alpha) \triangleq \frac{1}{b(\alpha)}$. Then rewrite equations 1)-4) below.

$$\begin{cases} a(\tilde{\alpha} + \alpha) - a(\alpha) = -(b^2(\alpha)(a(\alpha) + a(\tilde{\alpha})))^{-1} & 1)' \\ b(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}) = b(\alpha)b(\tilde{\alpha})(a(\alpha) + a(\tilde{\alpha})) & 2)' \\ D(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}) = \sqrt{2\pi i}D(\alpha)D(\tilde{\alpha})(a(\alpha) + a(\tilde{\alpha}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 3)' \end{cases}$$

In order to derive the solution we want, next we need to show that

$$b'(0) \neq 0, \ (ab)'(0) = 0.$$

By 2)' we get

$$b(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}) = (ab)(\alpha)b(\tilde{\alpha}) + (ab)(\tilde{\alpha})b(\alpha).$$
(16)

Differentiate w.r.t. $\tilde{\alpha}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$, we have

$$b'(\alpha) = (ab)(\alpha)b'(0) + (ab)'(0)b(\alpha).$$
(17)

If b'(0) = 0, $b'(\alpha) = -2(ab)'(0)b(\alpha)$. When (ab)'(0) = 0, $b(\alpha) \equiv const$. And then by 2)', we get $a(\alpha) \equiv const$. This leads to contradiction with 1)'. When $(ab)'(0) \neq 0$, $b(\alpha)$ is an exponential function multiplied by a constant at most. Similarly by 2)', we get $a(\alpha)$ is a constant function, which also leads to contradiction with 1)'. So we get

 $b'(0) \neq 0.$

For (ab)'(0), we set $f(\alpha) \triangleq b(\alpha)e^{-(ab)'(0)\alpha}$. By (16) and calculation, we get

$$f'(\alpha) = b'(0)(af)(\alpha), \tag{18}$$

 $f(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}) = (af)(\alpha)f(\tilde{\alpha}) + (af)(\tilde{\alpha})f(\alpha).$ (19)

Differentiate w.r.t. $\tilde{\alpha}$ in (19) and let $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$, we have

$$f'(\alpha) = (af)(\alpha)f'(0) + (af)'(0)f(\alpha).$$
(20)

Similar to proof of $b'(0) \neq 0$, we could also show that $f'(0) \neq 0$. By taking derivative of (18) and (20), we have

$$f''(0) = b'(0)(af)'(0),$$

$$f''(0) = 2f'(0)(af)'(0).$$
(21)

Now we suppose $f''(0) \neq 0$, then b'(0) = 2f'(0). And because f'(0) = b'(0)(ab)(0), we get $(ab)(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, and then we calculate that

$$(af)'(0) = (ab)'(0)[1 - (ab)(0)] = \frac{1}{2}(ab)'(0).$$

Based on these results and by (17), (20), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}b'(\alpha)e^{-(ab)'(0)\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}[b'(0)(ab)(\alpha) + (ab)'(0)b(\alpha)]e^{-(ab)'(0)\alpha},$$
$$f'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}[b'(0)(ab)(\alpha) + (ab)'(0)b(\alpha)]e^{-(ab)'(0)\alpha}.$$

Thus, $f'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}b'(\alpha)e^{-(ab)'(0)\alpha}$. Since $f'(\alpha) = [b'(\alpha) - (ab)'(0)b(\alpha)]e^{-(ab)'(0)\alpha}$, we have

$$b'(\alpha) = 2(ab)'(0)b(\alpha).$$

However, it will lead to contradiction with equations 1)'-2)'. So f''(0) = 0. Then by (21), we get (af)'(0) = 0. Now rewrite (20),

$$f'(\alpha) = f'(0)(af)(\alpha).$$
(22)

When $\alpha = 0$, we have that (af)(0) = 1. Let $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} = 0$ in (19), then f(0) = 0. And differentiate w.r.t. α in (22) and let $\alpha = 0$, then f''(0) = 0. Lastly by taking partial derivatives of second order in (19), we get $\exists \omega \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f''(\alpha) = \omega f(\alpha). \tag{23}$$

In the following, we solve f based on the value range of $\omega.$

When $\omega = 0$, since f(0) = 0, we solve that

$$\begin{split} f(\alpha) &= \lambda \alpha, \\ b(\alpha) &= \lambda \alpha e^{(ab)'(0)\alpha}, \; \forall \; \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \end{split}$$

Then by equations 1)'-2)', we get that

$$(ab)'(0) = 0.$$

For the same reason, when $\omega > 0$ or < 0, we could solve f from (23). Then by f(0) = 0 and equations 1)'-2)' we could get that (ab)'(0) = 0. So (17) can be rewritten as

$$b'(\alpha) = b'(0)(ab)(\alpha).$$

This equation is similar to (22), in fact we could follow the proof above and show that $\exists \omega \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$b''(\alpha) = \omega b(\alpha).$$

In fact, no matter what ω is, the proof idea is same. So we just consider $\omega > 0$ below. When $\omega > 0$, we solve that

$$b(\alpha) = \lambda e^{\sqrt{\omega}\alpha} + \gamma e^{-\sqrt{\omega}\alpha}, \ \forall \ \lambda, \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since b(0) = f(0) = 0 and b satisfies 1)'-2)', we can calculate that

$$\begin{cases} A(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \coth(\omega\alpha) + \gamma \\ B(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \operatorname{csch}(\omega\alpha) & \text{for some } \lambda, \omega, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \\ C(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \coth(\omega\alpha) - \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Now solve $D(\alpha)$, let $C_1 \triangleq \sqrt{2\pi i \lambda}$. By 3)', we have $D(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}) = C_3 D(\alpha) D(\tilde{\alpha})$ $(\operatorname{coth}(\omega \alpha) + \operatorname{coth}(\omega \tilde{\alpha}))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Take $E(\alpha) \triangleq C_1 \sqrt{\sinh(\omega \alpha)} D(\alpha)$, then $\forall \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E(\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}) = E(\alpha)E(\tilde{\alpha}).$$

So $\exists \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $E(\alpha) = e^{\theta \alpha}$, $D(\alpha) = \frac{1}{C_1 \sqrt{\sinh(\omega \alpha)}} e^{\theta \alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi i \lambda \sinh(\omega \alpha)}} e^{\theta \alpha}$, $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, we could calculate that

$$\|\mathscr{L}_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}}=\Big|D(\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{i}{2A(\alpha)}}\Big|\Big(-\frac{2A(\alpha)}{B(\alpha)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Especially when we suppose that \mathscr{L}_{α} is a unitary operator, we have $\left|D(\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{i}{2A(\alpha)}}\right|\left(-\frac{2A(\alpha)}{B(\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=1$. Then we get $e^{\theta\alpha}=\sqrt{2\pi}$. Similarly, we get the solutions (I) and (II). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 L^2 convergence

In this section, we consider strong continuity of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to α . We may assume without loss of generality that $C(\alpha) \neq 0$, so that the continuity of \mathcal{L}_{α} reduces by (12) to that of G_{α} (which we now define).

Definition 3.1. G_{α} is an operator well defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. For each α , $B(\alpha) \neq 0$,

$$G_{\alpha}f(u) \triangleq D(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i[A(\alpha)\xi^2 + B(\alpha)u\xi]} d\xi \ (c_0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant c_1).$$

By density, there is a unique bounded extension of G_{α} on L^2 .

First we apply Plancherel's theorem to G_{α} . (For related estimates in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$, see [14].)

Lemma 3.1. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|G_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} = \left(\frac{2\pi D^{2}(\alpha)}{|B(\alpha)|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

Proof. Take $g(\xi) \triangleq \hat{f}(\xi)e^{i\frac{1}{2}C(\alpha)\xi^2}$. By Plancherel's theorem, we get $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\|G_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} = \frac{2\pi D^{2}(\alpha)}{|B(\alpha)|} \|g^{\vee}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} = \frac{2\pi D^{2}(\alpha)}{|B(\alpha)|} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}.$$

By density, we have $\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ \|G_{\alpha}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = (\frac{2\pi D^2(\alpha)}{|B(\alpha)|})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$

Since we are concerned with continuous $D(\alpha)$, we will assume without loss of generality that

$$D(\alpha) = 1.$$

Theorem 3.1. $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} ||G_{\alpha}f - G_{\alpha_0}f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $A(\alpha)$ and $B(\alpha)$ are continuous at $\alpha = \alpha_0$.

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, define $U(\alpha_0, \delta) \triangleq \{\alpha : |\alpha - \alpha_0| < \delta\}$. For sufficiency, by Uniformly Bounded Theorem, it suffices to show that

(1)
$$\exists C_0, \delta_1 > 0, \ \forall \alpha \in U(\alpha_0, \delta_1), \ \|G_\alpha\| \leq C_0.$$

(2) $\forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \ \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} \|G_\alpha f - G_{\alpha_0}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$

For (1) By condition (1) and (2), we get $B(\alpha)$ exists a positive lower bound C_0 on a neighborhood $U(\alpha_0, \delta_1)$. Then using Lemma (3.1), $\forall \alpha \in U(\alpha_0, \delta_1), \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $||G_{\alpha}f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{0}||f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$. Then $\forall \alpha, ||G_{\alpha}|| \leq C_{0}$.

For (2) It takes two steps.

I. Show that $\forall u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_\alpha f(u) = G_{\alpha_0} f(u)$. In particular, when u belongs to a bounded interval [a, b], the limit above is uniformly convergent w.r.t $u \in [a, b]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), & |G_{\alpha}f(u) - G_{\alpha_{0}}f(u)| \\ & \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \ |e^{i[(A(\alpha) - A(\alpha_{0}))\xi^{2} + (B(\alpha) - B(\alpha_{0}))u\xi]} - 1| \ d\xi \\ & \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \ \Big[|A(\alpha) - A(\alpha_{0})|\xi^{2} + |B(\alpha) - B(\alpha_{0})|(|a| + |b|)|\xi| \Big] \ d\xi \end{aligned}$$

By Dominated Convergence Theorem, the limit is zero as $\alpha \to \alpha_0$.

II. Show that $\forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \exists H \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \forall \alpha, \forall u, |G_{\alpha}f(u)| \leq |H(u)|.$ Firstly we can easily get $\exists C_1 > 0, \forall \alpha, |G_{\alpha}f(u)| \leq \frac{C_1}{|u|}$ by integration by parts and $|G_{\alpha}f(u)| \leq ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$. Now define

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} & \forall \ |u| \leqslant 1, \\ \frac{C_1}{|u|} & \forall \ |u| > 1. \end{cases}$$

By I and II, we prove that $\forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} \|G_{\alpha}f - G_{\alpha_0}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$. For necessity, suppose $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} B(\alpha) \neq B(\alpha_0)$, then $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$, $\forall \delta > 0$, $\exists \alpha \in U(\alpha_0, \delta)$, $\|G_{\alpha}f - G_{\alpha_0}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \ge \left|\sqrt{\frac{1}{B(\alpha)}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{B(\alpha_0)}}\right| \sqrt{2\pi} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \ge \epsilon_0 \sqrt{2\pi} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. It leads to contradiction with L^2 convergence. So $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} B(\alpha) = B(\alpha_0)$ is necessary. Furthermore, let $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} A(\alpha) \neq A(\alpha_0)$. Without loss of generality, suppose $A(\alpha) - A(\alpha_0) \neq 0$ on $U(\alpha_0, \delta)$ and $B(\alpha) \equiv 1$, then by Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\|G_{\alpha}f - G_{\alpha_0}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\hat{f}(\xi)(e^{i[A(\alpha) - A(\alpha_0)]\xi^2} - 1)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Take $\hat{f}(\xi) = \chi_E(\xi)$, where $E = U(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{|A(\alpha) - A(\alpha_0)|}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{50|A(\alpha) - A(\alpha_0)|}})$. Then we have $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} \|G_{\alpha}f - G_{\alpha_0}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \neq 0.$ This leads to a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4 Pointwise convergence

In this section we study pointwise continuity of $G_{\alpha}f(u)$ with respect to α . First we consider the problem for f belonging to suitable weighted L^2 spaces.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $A(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then

$$G_{\alpha}f(u) = \sqrt{\frac{i}{2A(\alpha)}}D(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-i\frac{(B(\alpha)u-t)^2}{4A(\alpha)}} dt, \quad \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. $\forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),$

$$G_{\alpha}f(u) = D(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-i\xi t}dt\right) e^{i[A(\alpha)\xi^{2} + B(\alpha)u\xi]} d\xi$$

$$= \lim_{N \to +\infty} D(\alpha) \int_{-N}^{N} e^{i[A(\alpha)\xi^{2} + B(\alpha)u\xi]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-i\xi t} dtd\xi$$

$$= \frac{D(\alpha)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \int_{-N}^{N} e^{i[A(\alpha)\xi^{2} + (B(\alpha)u - t)\xi]} d\xi dt \quad (\text{Fubini's Theorem}).$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin x^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos x^2 dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ (cf. [15]), we have for $a \neq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(a\xi^2 + b\xi)} d\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{-i\frac{b^2}{4a} + \frac{\pi}{4}i}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $A(\alpha)$ and $B(\alpha)$ are continuous at $\alpha = \alpha_0$, and $A(\alpha_0) \neq 0$. Then for all $f \in L^2((1+t^2)^r dt)$ with $r > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha} f(u) = G_{\alpha_0} f(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (24)

Conversely, if there exists $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha}f(u_0) = G_{\alpha_0}f(u_0)$ holds for all $f \in L^2((1+t^2)^r dt)$, then $r > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. By the uniform boundedness theorem, it suffices to show

I $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \ \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha}f(u) = G_{\alpha_0}f(u);$

II
$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \ \exists C_0, \delta > 0, \ \sup_{\substack{f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\\ \alpha \in U(\alpha_0, \delta)}} \frac{|G_{\alpha}f(u)|}{\|f\|} \leq C_0, \ \text{where } \|f\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^2 (1+t^2)^r dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For I By condition and Theorem 3.1, we can get I.

For II By Lemma 4.1, When $r > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$|G_{\alpha}f(u)| \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt$$

$$\leq C_0 ||f||_{L^2((1+t^2)^r dt)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+t^2)^{-r} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C_0 ||f||_{L^2((1+t^2)^r dt)}.$$

Conversely, if for all $f \in L^2((1+t^2)^r dt)$, $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha}f(u_0) = G_{\alpha_0}f(u_0)$. By the uniform boundedness theorem again, $\exists C > 0$, such that $\|G_{\alpha}\| \leq C$. By the Riesz representation theorem, we have

$$\|G_{\alpha}\| = \left\|\sqrt{\frac{i}{2A(\alpha)}}(1+(\cdot)^{2})^{-\frac{r}{2}}e^{-i\frac{(B(\alpha)u_{0}-(\cdot))^{2}}{4A(\alpha)}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

Thus $r > \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.1. When $r > \frac{1}{2}$, we have $L^2((1+t^2)^r dt) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$, thus (24) holds even when $B(\alpha_0) = 0$.

Next, we consider the pointwise convergence problem for f belonging to the Sobolev spaces $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $A(\alpha)$ and $B(\alpha)$ are continuous at $\alpha = \alpha_0$. Then for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha} f(u) = G_{\alpha_0} f(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Conversely, if there exists $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha}f(u_0) = G_{\alpha_0}f(u_0)$ holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, then $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show

I $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \ \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha}f(u) = G_{\alpha_0}f(u);$

II
$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \ \exists C_0, \delta > 0, \ \sup_{\substack{f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \alpha \in U(\alpha_0, \delta)}} \frac{|G_{\alpha}f(u)|}{\|f\|} \leq C_0, \ \text{where } \|f\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^s dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For I By Theorem 3.1 and the assumptions, we get I. For II By Definition 3.1, for $s > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$|G_{\alpha}f(u)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi$$
$$\leq ||f||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+\xi^{2})^{-s} d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

1	1
Т	
-	-

 $\leqslant C_0 \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.$

Conversely, if for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} G_{\alpha}f(u_0) = G_{\alpha_0}f(u_0)$ holds. Then $\exists C > 0$ such that $\|G_{\alpha}\| \leq C$. By the Riesz theorem again, we have

$$\|G_{\alpha}\| = \left\| (1+(\cdot)^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}} e^{i[(A(\alpha)(\cdot))^2 + B(\alpha)u_0(\cdot)]} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

Thus $s > \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2

We turn to the operator L_a defined in (13) and consider its limit as $a \to 0$. The space of Hölder continuous functions supported in (c, d) will be denoted by $C_c^s(c, d)$, where s is the Hölder exponent. Since $C_c^s(c, d) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [16, §1.4]), by Theorem 4.2 the pointwise convergence (24) holds for all $f \in C_c^s(c, d)$ with s > 1/2.

We will show that the condition $s > \frac{1}{2}$ is also optimal for Hölder continuous functions. First we state a positive result.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose b(a) is Lipschitz continuous on $[0, c_1]$, and b(0) = 1. Then there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$, such that for all R > |c| + |d|, we have

$$\left\| \sup_{a \in [0,c_1]} |L_a f| \right\|_{L^{\infty}[-R,R]} \leq C_2 (1 + |d-c|) R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{C_c^{\frac{1}{2}}(c,d)}.$$

Proof. For simplicity, denote $b(a) \triangleq b$ and $||f||_{C_c^{\frac{1}{2}}(c,d)} \triangleq ||f||$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_{a}f(u) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-i\frac{(b(a)u-t)^{2}}{4a}} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} \int_{c-b(a)u}^{d-b(a)u} f(t+bu)e^{-i\frac{t^{2}}{4a}} dt \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| 2a \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{c-bu}^{d-bu} f(t+u)e^{-i\frac{t^{2}}{4a}} dt \right| \\ &+ \left| 2a \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{c-bu}^{d-bu} \left(f(t+bu) - f(t+u) \right) e^{-i\frac{t^{2}}{4a}} dt \right| \\ &\triangleq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

When $u \neq 0$, without loss of generality, suppose the lower limit of the integral above satisfies $c-bu \ge 0$ (if c-bu < 0, we can divide I into \int_{c-bu}^{0} and \int_{0}^{d-bu}). Now we divide I into several parts. Let $t_k = \sqrt{8k\pi a}$ $(k = n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_2; n_1, n_2 > 0)$, $t_{n_1} = c - bu \in [\sqrt{8n_1\pi a}, \sqrt{8(n_1+1)\pi a}), t_{n_2+1} = d - bu \in (\sqrt{8n_2\pi a}, \sqrt{8(n_2+1)\pi a}].$

$$I \leq |2a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (f(t+u) - f(t_k+u))e^{-i\frac{t^2}{4a}} dt \right|$$

$$\begin{split} &+ |2a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \left| f(t_k + u) \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} e^{-i\frac{t^2}{4a}} dt \right|. \\ &\triangleq I^{(1)} + I^{(2)}. \\ &I^{(1)} \lesssim |a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\| \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} |t_{k+1} - t_k|^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim |a|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|f\| \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2+1} k^{-\frac{3}{4}} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|. \\ &I^{(2)} \lesssim \|f\| \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \Big| \int_{\frac{t_k^2}{4a}}^{\frac{t_k^2}{4a}} e^{-it} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt \Big|. \end{split}$$

Now divide $\sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \left| \int_{\frac{t_k^2}{4a}}^{\frac{t_k^2}{4a}} e^{-it} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt \right|$ into three parts: (1) Using integration by parts,

$$\sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} \left| \int_{2k\pi}^{2(k+1)\pi} e^{-it} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt \right| \lesssim \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \right) \leqslant (n_1+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant 1;$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (2) \left| \int_{\frac{(c-bu)^2}{4a}}^{2(n_1+1)\pi} e^{-it} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt \right| : \text{When } n_1 \leqslant \ 3, \ \left| \int_{\frac{(c-bu)^2}{4a}}^{2(n_1+1)\pi} \right| \leqslant \int_0^{20} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt; \text{Otherwise,} \\ \left| \int_{\frac{(c-bu)^2}{4a}}^{2(n_1+1)\pi} \right| \lesssim \int_{2n_1\pi}^{2(n_1+1)\pi} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \lesssim n_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}; \\ (3) \left| \int_{2n_2\pi a}^{\frac{(d-bu)^2}{4a}} e^{-it} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt \right| : \text{Similar to } (2). \\ \text{Thus, by the Lipschitz continuity of } b(a), we have \end{array}$$

$$II \lesssim |a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |(d-c)^2 (b-1)u|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||f|| \lesssim |d-c|R^{\frac{1}{2}} ||f||.$$

Since $f \in C_c^{1/2}(c,d)$, it is easy to verify that $L_a f(u)$ is continuous with respect to u. Therefore the case u = 0 follows immediately from the bound for $u \neq 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Now we state the negative result.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose $|b(a)| \leq M$ and b(0) = 1. Then $\exists f \in C_c^{\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $u_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{80M})$, such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} L_c f(u_0) \neq L_c f(u_0)$

$$\lim_{a \to 0} L_a f(u_0) \neq L_0 f(u_0).$$

Proof. Let $a_k = 2^{-k}$, $b_k = b(a_k)$. Take $f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{2a_k} e^{i\frac{(b_k u_0 - t)^2}{4a_k}} \phi(t)$, where $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $supp \ \phi \subset [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. It is easy to see that $f \in C[0, 1]$. Let $0 < u_0 < \frac{1}{80M}$. Then

$$|L_{a_n}f(u_0)| = \Big|\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{a_k}{a_n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \ e^{i\left[\frac{(b_k u_0 - t)^2}{4a_k} - \frac{(b_n u_0 - t)^2}{4a_n}\right]} \ dt\Big|.$$

Now divide $L_{a_n} f(u_0)$ into three parts.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1) \ k = n : & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \ dt \neq 0. \\ (2) \ k < n : & \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) e^{i[2^{k-2}(b_k u_0 - t)^2 - 2^{n-2}(b_n u_0 - t)^2]} \ dt \right| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\phi'(t)}{2^{k-1}(b_k u_0 - t) - 2^{n-1}(b_n u_0 - t)} \right| \ dt \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\phi'(t)| \ |2^{k-1} - 2^{n-1}|}{|2^{k-1}(b_k u_0 - t) - 2^{n-1}(b_n u_0 - t)|^2} \ dt \quad (\text{using integration by parts}) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{|2^{k-1} - 2^{n-1}|} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2^{\frac{n-k}{2}} 2^{-n} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty. \end{array}$$

(3) k > n:

$$\begin{split} \Big| \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} 2^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) e^{i[2^{k-2}(b_k u_0 - t)^2 - 2^{n-2}(b_n u_0 - t)^2]} dt \Big| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}{|2^{k-1} - 2^{n-1}|} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} 2^{\frac{n-k}{2}} 2^{-k} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-\frac{l}{2}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty. \end{split}$$

Since $L_0f(u_0) = 0$, we get $\lim_{n \to +\infty} L_{a_n}f(u_0) \neq L_0f(u_0)$. It remains to show $f \in C_c^{\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$, that is, $\exists C > 0$, such that $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq C|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $t_1 \neq t_2$, $\exists N > 0$, such that $2^{-(N+1)} < |t_1 - t_2| \leq 2^{-N}$. Now

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\sqrt{2a_k}| \left| e^{i\frac{(b_k u_0 - t_1)^2}{4a_k}} \phi(t_1) - e^{i\frac{(b_k u_0 - t_2)^2}{4a_k}} \phi(t_2) \right|$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k \leq N} + \sum_{k > N}\right) |\sqrt{2a_k}| |e^{i\frac{(b_k u_0 - t_1)^2}{4a_k}} \phi(t_1) - e^{i\frac{(b_k u_0 - t_2)^2}{4a_k}} \phi(t_2) \\ \triangleq I + II,$$

where

$$\begin{split} I \lesssim & \sum_{k \leqslant N} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} (2^k + 1) \ |t_1 - t_2| \qquad \text{(by the mean value theorem)} \\ \lesssim & 2^{\frac{N}{2}} |t_1 - t_2| \lesssim |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ II \lesssim & \sum_{k > N} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \\ \lesssim & 2^{-\frac{N}{2}} \lesssim |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Thus $f \in C_c^{\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

5 Almost-everywhere convergence

In this section we consider the almost-everywhere convergence of $L_a f$ as $a \to a_0$.

The following result is a special case of [10, Theorem 1.3] and [11, Theorem 1.3]. Here we give a simplified proof for the specific operator L_a . (For related results in higher dimensions, we refer to [17], [18], [19], and references therein.)

Proposition 5.1. Suppose b(a) is Lipschitz continuous near $a = a_0$. Then for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \ge \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$\lim_{a \to a_0} L_a f(u) = L_{a_0} f(u), \quad a.e. \ u \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$$

Before proving Proposition 5.1, we first recall that the almost-everywhere convergence of $L_a f$ is implied by boundedness of the corresponding maximal operators

$$L^+_*f(u) \triangleq \sup_{a \in (a_0, a_0 + \delta)} |L_a f(u)|$$

and

$$L_*^-f(u) \triangleq \sup_{a \in (a_0 - \delta, a_0)} |L_a f(u)|.$$

For simplicity, we will only consider $L_*f \triangleq L_*^+f$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. Suppose

(1)
$$\lim_{a \to a_0} b(a) = b(a_0);$$

(2) $\exists C > 0$, such that $||L_*f||_{L^{1,\infty}(E)} \leq C ||f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.$

¹For more quantitative results concerning the rate of convergence, see [20].

Then for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\lim_{a \to a_0} L_a f(u) = L_{a_0} f(u), \quad a.e. \ u \in E.$$

Proof. The proof follows from a standard density argument, cf. [21, §1.1].

To bound the maximal function L_*f , we use the Kolmogorov-Seliverstov-Plessner method (cf. [8]).

Lemma 5.2. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\exists C > 0, \ \|L_*f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(E)} \leqslant C \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \Leftrightarrow \exists \tilde{C} > 0, \ \sup_{\{a(\cdot)\}} \|L_{\{a(\cdot)\}}f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(E)} \leqslant \tilde{C} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})},$$

where a(u) is an arbitrary function whose value lies in $(a_0, a_0 + \delta)$.

As in [8] we will need the following integral estimate.

Lemma 5.3. There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ independent of $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for any $N > \max(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|b|}}, \frac{1}{|b|})$, we have

$$\left| \int_{-N}^{N} (1+\xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{i(a\xi^2+b\xi)} d\xi \right| \leq C_0 (|a|^2+|b|^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Proof. By [22, Ch. 8], when $b = 0, \exists C_1 > 0$, such that for N > 0, we have

$$\left|\int_{-N}^{N} (1+\xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{ia\xi^2} d\xi\right| \leq C_1 |a|^{-\frac{1}{2}};$$

when $b \neq 0$, $\exists C_2 > 0$, such that for $N > \max(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|b|}}, \frac{1}{|b|})$, we have

$$\left|\int_{-N}^{N} (1+\xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{i(a\xi^2+b\xi)} d\xi\right| \leq C_2 |b|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining the above estimates, the desired bound follows.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, it suffices to show that there exists $p \ge 1$, such that for any bounded interval $[c, d] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\exists C > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{\{a(\cdot)\}} \|L_{a(\cdot)}f\|_{L^{p}[c,d]} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(25)

 $\forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \text{ we have } \|L_{a(u)}f\|_{L^p[c,d]} = \sup_{\substack{g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \\ \|g\|_{L^{p'}[c,d]} \leqslant 1}} \langle L_{a(u)}f,g \rangle, \text{ where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1. \text{ By}$

Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{a(u)}f,g\rangle &= \int_{c}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i(a(u)\xi^{2}+b(a(u))u\xi)} d\xi \ g(u) \ du \\ &= \lim_{N \to +\infty} \int_{-N}^{N} \left[(1+\xi^{2})^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) \right] (1+\xi^{2})^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left[\int_{c}^{d} e^{i(a(u)\xi^{2}+b(a(u))u\xi)} g(u) \ du \right] d\xi \\ &= \lim_{N \to +\infty} \int_{-N}^{N} (1+\xi^{2})^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) \times \\ &\left[(1+\xi^{2})^{-s} \int_{c}^{d} \int_{c}^{d} g(u_{1}) \overline{g(u_{2})} e^{i[(a(u_{1})-a(u_{2}))\xi^{2}+(b(a(u_{1}))u_{1}-b(a(u_{2}))u_{2})\xi]} \ du_{1} du_{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\xi \\ &\leqslant \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{N} \left\| \left[(1+(\cdot)^{2})^{-s} \int_{c}^{d} \int_{c}^{d} g(u_{1}) \overline{g(u_{2})} e^{i[(a_{1}-a_{2})(\cdot)^{2}+(b_{1}u_{1}-b_{2}u_{2})(\cdot)]} \ du_{1} du_{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}[-N,N]} \end{aligned}$$

where for simplicity, let $a_i \triangleq a(u_i), b_i \triangleq b(a(u_i))$. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\left\| \left[(1+(\cdot)^2)^{-s} \int_c^d \int_c^d g(u_1) \overline{g(u_2)} e^{i[(a_1-a_2)(\cdot)^2+(b_1u_1-b_2u_2)(\cdot)]} du_1 du_2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2[-N,N]}^2$$

$$= \int_c^d \int_c^d g(u_1) \overline{g(u_2)} \int_{-N}^N (1+\xi^2)^{-s} e^{i[(a_1-a_2)\xi^2+(b_1u_1-b_2u_2)\xi]} d\xi du_1 du_2$$

Now estimate $K(u_1, u_2) \triangleq \int_{-N}^{N} (1+\xi^2)^{-s} e^{i[(a_1-a_2)\xi^2+(b_1u_1-b_2u_2)\xi]} d\xi$. Divide $[c, d]^2$ into several parts:

I When $(u_1, u_2) \in \{[c, d]^2 : a_1 = a_2, b_1u_1 \neq b_2u_2\}$, by Lemma 5.3, $|K(u_1, u_2)| \leq C_0|b_1u_1 - b_2u_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $a_1 = a_2 \Rightarrow b_1 = b_2$,

$$|b_1u_1 - b_2u_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = |b_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|u_1 - u_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C|u_1 - u_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

II When $(u_1, u_2) \in \{[c, d]^2 : a_1 \neq a_2, b_1u_1 = b_2u_2\}$, by Lemma 5.3, $|K(u_1, u_2)| \leq C_0|a_1 - a_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since b is Lipschitz continuous,

$$|a_1 - a_2| \ge C|b_1 - b_2| = C|\frac{b_1}{u_2}u_2 - \frac{b_2}{u_1}u_1| = C|\frac{b_1}{u_2}(u_1 - u_2)| \ge C|u_1 - u_2|.$$

III When $(u_1, u_2) \in \{[c, d]^2 : a_1 \neq a_2, b_1u_1 \neq b_2u_2\}$, for simplicity, let $b_1u_1 \triangleq \frac{1}{\epsilon_1}$, $b_2u_2 \triangleq \frac{1}{\epsilon_2}$. Since $|a_1 - a_2| \ge C|b_1 - b_2| = C|\frac{1}{\epsilon_1u_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2u_2}|$, by Lemma 5.3, we get

$$|K(u_1, u_2)| \leqslant C_0 \left(\left| \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 u_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2 u_2} \right| \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $\left(\left|\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\epsilon_1 u_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2 u_2}\right|\right)^2 \leqslant C_0 \epsilon^2 \left[u_1^2 u_2^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} - 1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon_2 u_2}{\epsilon_1} - u_1\right)^2\right]$, now consider $u_1^2 u_2^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} - 1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon_2 u_2}{\epsilon_1} - u_1\right)^2$ as a function w.r.t. $\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1}$, and we have

$$\left(\left|\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\epsilon_1 u_1} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2 u_2}\right|\right)^2 \ge C_0(\epsilon_1 u_1)^2 (u_1 - u_2)^2 \ge C_0(u_1 - u_2)^2.$$

IV When $(u_1, u_2) \in \{[c, d]^2 : a_1 = a_2, b_1u_1 = b_2u_2\}$, by **III**, we know that

$$(|a_1 - a_2| + |b_1u_1 - b_2u_2|)^2 \ge C_0(u_1 - u_2)^2$$

So $\{[c,d]^2 : a_1 = a_2, b_1u_1 = b_2u_2\} \subset \{[c,d]^2 : u_1 = u_2\}$ be a zero measure. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $a_1 = a_2$ and $b_1u_1 = b_2u_2$ do not hold at the same time.

To sum up, we have $\exists N_0 > 0, \ \forall N > N_0$,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{c}^{d} \int_{c}^{d} g(u_{1}) \overline{g(u_{2})} K(u_{1}, u_{2}) \ du_{1} du_{2} \right| \\ \leqslant C \int_{c}^{d} \int_{c}^{d} |g(u_{1})g(u_{2})| \ |u_{1} - u_{2}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ du_{1} du_{2} \\ \leqslant C \langle H * |g|, |g| \rangle \qquad (H(u_{1}) \triangleq |u_{1}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \leqslant C \|H * |g|\|_{L^{p}[c,d]} \|g\|_{L^{p'}[c,d]} \\ \leqslant C \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2},\infty}[c,d]} \|g\|_{L^{p'}[c,d]}^{2} \\ \leqslant C \|H\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2},\infty}[c,d]}, \text{ where } C \text{ is independent of } N. \end{split}$$

In the second last line, we have used Young's inequality on weak type spaces (cf. [23, Ch. 1]). Now all we need is $||H||_{L^{\frac{p}{2}},\infty} < \infty$, that is, $p \leq 4$. It follows that (25) holds for $p \leq 4$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Remark 5.1. The condition $p \le 4$ is optimal for (25) to hold. This follows from the sharpness of the Sobolev inequality:

$$||f||_{L^{p}[c,d]} = c||L_{0}f||_{L^{p}[c,d]} \leqslant c||L_{*}f||_{L^{p}[c,d]} \leqslant C||f||_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad p \le 4.$$

Now we show that the almost-everywhere convergence in Proposition 5.1 does not always hold if $s < \frac{1}{4}$. As in [9, p. 208], the proof reduces to the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. Suppose b(a) is continuous on $[a_0, a_0 + \delta)$. If there exists a set E of positive measure such that

$$||L_*f||_{L^{1,\infty}(E)} \leqslant C||f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R})},$$

then $s \ge \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. For any N > 10, take $\Phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $\Phi_N \triangleq N\Phi(Nx)$ such that $|L_{a_0}\Phi_N(x)| > \frac{N}{2}$ on $[-\frac{1}{N}, \frac{1}{N}]$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\lim_{a \to a_0} |L_a\Phi_N(u)| = |L_{a_0}\Phi_N(u)|$ uniformly w.r.t. $u \in [-\frac{1}{N}, \frac{1}{N}]$, *i.e.* $\exists \epsilon_0 < \frac{1}{50}$, $\exists \delta > 0$, $\forall a \in U(a_0, \delta)$, $\forall u \in [-\frac{1}{N}, \frac{1}{N}]$, $|L_a\Phi_N(u)| > |L_{a_0}\Phi_N(u)| - \epsilon_0 > \frac{N}{4}$. Since by calculation,

$$L_a(e^{i\frac{a-a_0}{a}Ny}\Phi_N(y))(u) = e^{i\left(\frac{[(a-a_0)N]^2}{a} + \frac{b(a)u(a-a_0)}{a}N\right)}L_a\Phi_N(u + \frac{2(a-a_0)N}{b(a)}).$$

Then $\forall u \in E, \exists a = a(u) \in U(a_0, \delta), s.t. u = \frac{2(a-a_0)N}{b(a)},$

$$|L_a(e^{-i\frac{a-a_0}{a}Ny}\Phi_N(y))(u)| > |L_{a_0}\Phi_N(u-\frac{2(a-a_0)N}{b(a)})| - \epsilon_0 > \frac{N}{4}.$$

This is because the range of $\frac{2(a-a_0)}{b(a)}N$ can be denoted by $[C_1N, C_2N]$ $(C_1 < C_2)$ by continuity of b, and we can choose N at the beginning such that $E \subset [C_1N, C_2N]$. Now we have $\|L_*(e^{-i\frac{a-a_0}{a}Ny}\Phi_N(y))\|_{L^{1,\infty}(E)} \ge \frac{N}{4}$. Since $\|e^{-i\frac{a-a_0}{a}N(\cdot)}\Phi_N(\cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \le C_0N^{2s+\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\frac{N}{4} \leqslant \|L_*(e^{-i\frac{a-a_0}{a}Ny}\Phi_N(y))\|_{L^{1,\infty}(E)} \leqslant C \|e^{-i\frac{a-a_0}{a}N(\cdot)}\Phi_N(\cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant CN^{2s+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So $1 \leq 2s + \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow s \geq \frac{1}{4}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.2.

6 Global boundedness

In this section we consider global boundedness of the maximal function L_*f .

Proposition 6.1. Suppose the range of b(a), $a \in (a_0, a_0 + \delta)$ is a set of positive measure. Then

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\|L_* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}}{\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}} = +\infty$$

for any s > 0 and $p < \infty$.

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of that of [12, Theorem 3]. By Lemma 5.2, it suffices to show that $\forall L > 0$, $\exists f_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $\exists a(u)$, $\|L_{a(\cdot)}f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} > L\|f_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $a_0 + \delta \neq 0$. Take $\hat{f}_0(\xi) = e^{iN\xi}\chi_{U(0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0+\delta}})}(\xi)$. $\forall u \in F \triangleq \{-\frac{N}{x} : \forall x \in E\}, \exists \tilde{a}(u) \in (a_0, a_0 + \delta), s.t. \ b(\tilde{a}(u))u + N = 0.$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\tilde{a}(u)}f_0(u)| &= \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0+\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0+\delta}}} e^{i\tilde{a}(u)\xi^2} \, d\xi \right| \\ &> \left| \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(u)}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{a}(u)}{a_0+\delta}}} \cos \eta^2 \, d\eta \right| \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < |E| < \infty$, there exists $C_0 > 0$, s.t. $|F| = C_0 N |E| = C_0 N$, then

$$||L_{\tilde{a}(\cdot)}f_0||_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \ge (\int_F |2\cos 1|^p du)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge C_0 N^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If N is chosen large enough, then $\sup_{\{a(\cdot)\}} ||L_{a(\cdot)}f_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} > L||f_0||_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}$, as desired. This completes the proof of Proposition 6.1.

References

- H. M. Ozaktas and D. Mendlovic, "Fractional Fourier transforms and their optical implementation. ii," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, vol. 10, pp. 2522–2531, Dec 1993.
- [2] E. Sejdić, I. Djurović, and L. Stanković, "Fractional Fourier transform as a signal processing tool: An overview of recent developments," *Signal Processing*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp. 1351–1369, 2011. Fourier Related Transforms for Non-Stationary Signals.
- [3] V. Namias, "The fractional order Fourier transform and its application to quantum mechanics," J. Inst. Math. Appl., vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 241–265, 1980.
- [4] A. C. McBride and F. H. Kerr, "On Namias's fractional Fourier transforms," IMA J. Appl. Math., vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 159–175, 1987.
- [5] L. Almeida, "The fractional fourier transform and time-frequency representations," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 3084–3091, 1994.
- [6] M. Moshinsky and C. Quesne, "Linear canonical transformations and their unitary representations," J. Mathematical Phys., vol. 12, pp. 1772–1780, 1971.
- [7] K. B. Wolf, Integral transforms in science and engineering, vol. 11 of Mathematical Concepts and Methods in Science and Engineering. Plenum Press, New York-London, 1979.
- [8] L. Carleson, "Some analytic problems related to statistical mechanics," in Euclidean harmonic analysis (Proc. Sem., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1979), vol. 779 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 5–45, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [9] B. E. J. Dahlberg and C. E. Kenig, "A note on the almost everywhere behavior of solutions to the Schrödinger equation," in *Harmonic analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1981)*, vol. 908 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pp. 205–209, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.

- [10] C.-H. Cho, S. Lee, and A. Vargas, "Problems on pointwise convergence of solutions to the Schrödinger equation," J. Fourier Anal. Appl., vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 972–994, 2012.
- [11] Y. Ding and Y. Niu, "Weighted maximal estimates along curve associated with dispersive equations," Anal. Appl. (Singap.), vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 225–240, 2017.
- [12] P. Sjögren and J. L. Torrea Colloq. Math., vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 161–174, 2010.
- [13] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, "Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations," *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 33–69, 1991.
- [14] W. Chen, Z. Fu, L. Grafakos, and Y. Wu, "Fractional Fourier transforms on L^p and applications," Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., vol. 55, pp. 71–96, 2021.
- [15] H. Chen, "The Fresnel integrals revisited," College Math. J., vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 259–262, 2009.
- [16] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier analysis, vol. 250 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, third ed., 2014.
- [17] X. Du, L. Guth, and X. Li, "A sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate in R²," Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 607–640, 2017.
- [18] X. Du and R. Zhang, "Sharp L² estimates of the Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions," Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 189, no. 3, pp. 837–861, 2019.
- [19] W. Li and H. Wang, "A study on a class of generalized Schrödinger operators," J. Funct. Anal., vol. 281, no. 9, pp. Paper No. 109203, 38, 2021.
- [20] Z. Cao, D. Fan, and M. Wang, "The rate of convergence on Schrödinger operator," *Illinois J. Math.*, vol. 62, no. 1-4, pp. 365–380, 2018.
- [21] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, vol. No. 30 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [22] E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, vol. 43 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.
- [23] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier analysis, vol. 249 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second ed., 2008.
- [24] H. M. Ozaktas, M. A. Kutay, and D. Mendlovic, "Introduction to the fractional Fourier transform and its applications," vol. 106 of Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics, pp. 239–291, Elsevier, 1999.

- [25] Z. Fu, Y. Lin, D. Yang, and S. Yang, "Fractional Fourier transforms meet Riesz potentials and image processing," *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 476– 500, 2024.
- [26] S.-C. Pei and J.-J. Ding, "Relations between fractional operations and timefrequency distributions, and their applications," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 1638–1655, 2001.
- [27] K. B. Wolf, "A top-down account of linear canonical transforms," SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., vol. 8, pp. Paper 033, 13, 2012.