

# A limiting case of a theorem of C. Miranda for layer potentials in Schauder spaces

Massimo Lanza de Cristoforis  
Dipartimento di Matematica ‘Tullio Levi-Civita’,  
Università degli Studi di Padova,  
Via Trieste 63, Padova 35121, Italy.  
E-mail: mlde@math.unipd.it

**Abstract:** The aim of this paper is to prove a theorem of C. Miranda for the single and double layer potential corresponding to the fundamental solution of a second order differential operator with constant coefficients in Schauder spaces in the limiting case in which the open set is of class  $C^{m,1}$  and the densities are of class  $C^{m-1,1}$  for the single layer potential and of class  $C^{m,1}$  for the double layer potential for some nonzero natural number  $m$ . The treatment of the limiting case requires generalized Schauder spaces.

**Keywords:** Single layer potential, double layer potential, generalized Schauder spaces.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 31B10, 35J25.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the single and double layer potential associated to the fundamental solution of a second order differential operator with constant coefficients. Unless otherwise specified, we assume throughout the paper that

$$n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\},$$

where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers including 0. Let  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{m,\alpha}$ . Let

$$\Omega^- \equiv \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$$

denote the exterior of  $\Omega$ . For the notation and standard properties of the (generalized) Schauder spaces and sets of class  $C^{m,\alpha}$  we refer for example to Dondi and

the author [5, §2] and to the reference [2, §2.11, 2.13] of Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino. Let  $\nu \equiv (\nu_l)_{l=1,\dots,n}$  denote the external unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $N_2$  denote the number of multi-indexes  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $|\gamma| \leq 2$ . For each

$$\mathbf{a} \equiv (a_\gamma)_{|\gamma| \leq 2} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_2}, \quad (1.1)$$

we set

$$a^{(2)} \equiv (a_{lj})_{l,j=1,\dots,n} \quad a^{(1)} \equiv (a_j)_{j=1,\dots,n} \quad a \equiv a_0,$$

with  $a_{lj} \equiv 2^{-1}a_{e_l+e_j}$  for  $j \neq l$ ,  $a_{jj} \equiv a_{e_j+e_j}$ , and  $a_j \equiv a_{e_j}$ , where  $\{e_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We note that the matrix  $a^{(2)}$  is symmetric. Then we assume that  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_2}$  satisfies the following ellipticity assumption

$$\inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi|=1} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{|\gamma|=2} a_\gamma \xi^\gamma \right\} > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

and we consider the case in which

$$a_{lj} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall l, j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.3)$$

Then we introduce the operators

$$\begin{aligned} P[\mathbf{a}, D]u &\equiv \sum_{l,j=1}^n \partial_{x_l} (a_{lj} \partial_{x_j} u) + \sum_{l=1}^n a_l \partial_{x_l} u + au, \\ B_\Omega^* v &\equiv \sum_{l,j=1}^n \bar{a}_{jl} \nu_l \partial_{x_j} v - \sum_{l=1}^n \nu_l \bar{a}_l v, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ , a fundamental solution  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ , the single layer potential

$$v_\Omega[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu](x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the double layer potential

$$\begin{aligned} w_\Omega[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu](x) &\equiv \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(y) \overline{B_{\Omega,y}^*} (S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)) d\sigma_y \\ &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(y) \sum_{l,j=1}^n a_{jl} \nu_l(y) \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}(x-y) d\sigma_y \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(y) \sum_{l=1}^n \nu_l(y) a_l S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where the density or moment  $\mu$  is a function from  $\partial\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Here the subscript  $y$  of  $\overline{B_{\Omega,y}^*}$  means that we are taking  $y$  as variable of the differential operator  $\overline{B_{\Omega,y}^*}$ .

It is well known that if  $\mu$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous, and  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , then the restriction  $v_\Omega[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\Omega}$  has a continuous extension  $v_\Omega^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  to  $\overline{\Omega}$ , that  $v_\Omega[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\Omega^-}$  has a continuous extension  $v_\Omega^-[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  to  $\overline{\Omega^-}$ , that  $w_\Omega[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\Omega}$  has a continuous extension  $w_\Omega^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  to  $\overline{\Omega}$  and that  $w_\Omega[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\Omega^-}$  has a continuous extension  $w_\Omega^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  to  $\overline{\Omega^-}$ .

In a remarkable paper, Miranda [16] has considered the case of homogeneous differential operators and has shown that if  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{m,\alpha}$ , then  $v_\Omega^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot]$  defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m-1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  and that  $w_\Omega^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot]$  defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  and that a corresponding result holds in the exterior of  $\Omega$ . Miranda [16] has also considered elliptic operators of order greater or equal to 2.

In the present paper, we plan to consider case the limiting case  $\alpha = 1$ , a case that requires the introduction of the generalized Schauder space  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  of the functions of class  $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$  such that the  $m$ -th order partial derivatives satisfy a generalized  $\omega_1$ -Hölder condition with

$$\omega_1(r) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & r = 0, \\ r|\ln r| & r \in ]0, r_1], \\ r_1|\ln r_1| & r \in ]r_1, +\infty[, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $r_1 \equiv e^{-1}$  and we show that  $v_\Omega^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot]$  defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  (cf. Theorems 4.13, 6.3) and that  $w_\Omega^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot]$  defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  and that a corresponding result holds in the exterior of  $\Omega$  (cf. Theorems 5.8, 6.3).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a section of preliminaries and notation. In Section 3, we introduce some properties on the fundamental solution  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  that we need. In Section 4 we prove our Theorem 4.13 on the single layer potential in case  $m = 1$ . In Section 5 we prove our Theorem 5.8 on the double layer potential in case  $m = 1$ . In section 6 we prove our Theorem 6.3 on the single and double layer potential in case  $m \geq 1$ .

## 2 Preliminaries and notation

If  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $Z$  are normed spaces, then  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  denotes the space of linear and continuous maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}(X \times Y, Z)$  denotes the space of bilinear and continuous maps from  $X \times Y$  to  $Z$  with their usual operator norm (cf. *e.g.*, [2, pp. 16, 621]).  $|A|$  denotes the operator norm of a matrix  $A$  with real (or complex) entries,  $A^t$  denotes the transpose matrix of  $A$ .  $\delta_{l,j}$  denotes the Kronecker symbol. Namely,  $\delta_{l,j} = 1$  if  $l = j$ ,  $\delta_{l,j} = 0$  if  $l \neq j$ , with  $l, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The symbol  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean modulus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For all  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x_j$  denotes the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $x$ , and  $\mathbb{B}_n(x, r)$  denotes the ball  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$ . If  $\mathbb{D}$  is

a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then we set

$$B(\mathbb{D}) \equiv \{f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}} : f \text{ is bounded}\}, \quad \|f\|_{B(\mathbb{D})} \equiv \sup_{\mathbb{D}} |f| \quad \forall f \in B(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then  $C^0(\mathbb{D})$  denotes the set of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and we introduce the subspace  $C_b^0(\mathbb{D}) \equiv C^0(\mathbb{D}) \cap B(\mathbb{D})$  of  $B(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $\omega$  be a function from  $[0, +\infty[$  to itself such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(0) = 0, \quad \omega(r) > 0 \quad \forall r \in ]0, +\infty[, \\ \omega \text{ is increasing, } \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(r) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \sup_{(a,t) \in [1, +\infty[ \times ]0, +\infty[} \frac{\omega(at)}{a\omega(t)} < +\infty.$$

Here ‘ $\omega$  is increasing’ means that  $\omega(r_1) \leq \omega(r_2)$  whenever  $r_1, r_2 \in [0, +\infty[$  and  $r_1 < r_2$ . If  $f$  is a function from a subset  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we denote by  $|f|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}}$  the  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder constant of  $f$ , which is delivered by the formula

$$|f|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}} \equiv \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\omega(|x - y|)} : x, y \in \mathbb{D}, x \neq y \right\}.$$

If  $|f|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}} < +\infty$ , we say that  $f$  is  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous. Sometimes, we simply write  $|f|_{\omega(\cdot)}$  instead of  $|f|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}}$ . The subset of  $C^0(\mathbb{D})$  whose functions are  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous is denoted by  $C^{0, \omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $|f|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}}$  is a semi-norm on  $C^{0, \omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then we consider the space  $C_b^{0, \omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \equiv C^{0, \omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \cap B(\mathbb{D})$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_b^{0, \omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{D}} |f(x)| + |f|_{\omega(\cdot)} \quad \forall f \in C_b^{0, \omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}).$$

**Remark 2.2** *Let  $\omega$  be as in (2.1). Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $f$  be a bounded function from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in ]0, +\infty[$ . Then,*

$$\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{D}, |x - y| \geq a} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\omega(|x - y|)} \leq \frac{2}{\omega(a)} \sup_{\mathbb{D}} |f|.$$

In the case in which  $\omega(\cdot)$  is the function  $r^\alpha$  for some fixed  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ , a so-called Hölder exponent, we simply write  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\alpha}}$  instead of  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{D}|_{r^\alpha}}$ ,  $C^{0, \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$  instead of  $C^{0, r^\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $C_b^{0, \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$  instead of  $C_b^{0, r^\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ , and we say that  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous provided that  $|f|_{\mathbb{D}|_{\alpha}} < +\infty$ . The function  $\omega_1(\cdot)$  of (1.5) is concave and satisfies condition (2.1). We also note that if  $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the continuous embedding

$$C_b^{0, 1}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq C_b^{0, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq C_b^{0, \theta'}(\mathbb{D}) \quad (2.3)$$

holds for all  $\theta' \in ]0, 1[$ . For the standard properties of the spaces of Hölder or Lipschitz continuous functions, we refer to [5, §2], [2, §2.6].

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The space of  $m$  times continuously differentiable complex-valued functions on  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , or more simply by  $C^m(\Omega)$ . Let  $f \in C^m(\Omega)$ . Then  $Df$  denotes the Jacobian matrix of  $f$ . Let  $\eta \equiv (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $|\eta| \equiv \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n$ . Then  $D^\eta f$  denotes  $\frac{\partial^{|\eta|} f}{\partial x_1^{\eta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\eta_n}}$ . The subspace of  $C^m(\Omega)$  of those functions  $f$  whose derivatives  $D^\eta f$  of order  $|\eta| \leq m$  can be extended with continuity to  $\overline{\Omega}$  is denoted  $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$ . The subspace of  $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$  whose functions have  $m$ -th order derivatives that are Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$  is denoted  $C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  and the subspace of  $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$  whose functions have  $m$ -th order derivatives that are  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous is denoted  $C^{m,\omega(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

The subspace of  $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$  of those functions  $f$  such that  $f|_{(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}_n(0,r))}$  belongs to  $C^{m,\omega}(\overline{(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}_n(0,r))})$  for all  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  is denoted  $C_{\text{loc}}^{m,\omega}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Now let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $C^{m,\omega(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $\omega$  as in (2.1) and  $C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$  are endowed with their usual norm and are well known to be Banach spaces (cf. *e.g.*, [5, §2], Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [2, §2.11]).

For the (classical) definition of the Hölder and Schauder spaces  $C^{m,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{m,\omega(\cdot)}(\partial\Omega)$  on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of an open set  $\Omega$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ , we refer for example to Dondi and the author [5, §2], Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [2, §2.20].

The space of real valued functions of class  $C^\infty$  with compact support in an open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Then its dual  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  is known to be the space of distributions in  $\Omega$ . The support of a function is denoted by the abbreviation ‘supp’.

Moreover, we retain the standard notation for the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$  for  $p \in [1, +\infty]$  (cf. *e.g.*, Folland [6, Chapt. 6], [2, §2.1]) and  $m_n$  denotes the  $n$  dimensional Lebesgue measure. If  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ , then we set

$$\mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha} \equiv \left\{ k \in C_{\text{loc}}^{m,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : k \text{ is positively homogeneous of degree } h \right\},$$

where  $C_{\text{loc}}^{m,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  denotes the set of functions of  $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  whose restriction to  $\overline{\Omega}$  is of class  $C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for all bounded open subsets  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and we set

$$\|k\|_{\mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha}} \equiv \|k\|_{C^{m,\alpha}(\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1))} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha}.$$

We can easily verify that  $(\mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha}})$  is a Banach space and we consider the closed subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{h;o}^{m,\alpha} &\equiv \{k \in \mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha} : k \text{ is odd}\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{h;\varepsilon,0}^{m,\alpha} &\equiv \left\{ k \in \mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha} : k \text{ is even, } \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1)} k \, d\sigma = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

of  $\mathcal{K}_h^{m,\alpha}$ . We now introduce the following extension of a classical result of Miranda [16] (see also [2, Thm. 4.17 (ii)]), who has considered the case of domains of class

$C^{1,\alpha}$  and of densities  $\mu \in C^{0,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  for  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  to the limiting case in which  $\alpha = 1$ . For a proof, we refer to Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [3].

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{1,1}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *For each  $(k, \mu) \in \mathcal{K}_{-(n-1);o}^{1,1} \times C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} k(x-y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

*can be extended to a unique  $\omega_1(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous function  $K[k, \mu]^+$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Moreover, the map from  $\mathcal{K}_{-(n-1);o}^{1,1} \times C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $(k, \mu)$  to  $K[k, \mu]^+$  is bilinear and continuous.*

(ii) *Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . Then for each  $(k, \mu) \in \mathcal{K}_{-(n-1);o}^{1,1} \times C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  the map*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} k(x-y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

*can be extended to a unique continuous function  $K[k, \mu]^-$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  such that the restriction  $K[k, \mu]^-|_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous. Moreover, the map from  $\mathcal{K}_{-(n-1);o}^{1,1} \times C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $(k, \mu)$  to  $K[k, \mu]^-|_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is bilinear and continuous.*

### 3 Preliminaries on the fundamental solution

In order to analyze the volume potential, we need some more information on the fundamental solution  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ . To do so, we introduce the fundamental solution  $S_n$  of the Laplace operator. Namely, we set

$$S_n(x) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s_n} \ln |x| & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{(2-n)s_n} |x|^{2-n} & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{if } n > 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $s_n$  denotes the  $(n-1)$  dimensional measure of  $\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0, 1)$  and we follow a formulation of Dalla Riva [1, Thm. 5.2, 5.3] and Dalla Riva, Morais and Musolino [4, Thm. 5.5], that we state as in paper [5, Cor. 4.2] with Dondi (see also John [10], Miranda [16] for homogeneous operators, and Mitrea and Mitrea [17, p. 203]).

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Then there exist an invertible matrix  $T \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that*

$$a^{(2)} = TT^t, \quad (3.2)$$

a real analytic function  $A_1$  from  $\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $A_1(\cdot, 0)$  is odd,  $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , a real analytic function  $B_1$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $B_1(0) = 0$ , and a real analytic function  $C$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$S_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det a^{(2)}}} S_n(T^{-1}x) + |x|^{3-n} A_1\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, |x|\right) + (B_1(x) + b_0(1 - \delta_{2,n})) \ln |x| + C(x),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , and such that both  $b_0$  and  $B_1$  equal zero if  $n$  is odd. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det a^{(2)}}} S_n(T^{-1}x)$$

is a fundamental solution for the principal part of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ .

In particular for the statement that  $A_1(\cdot, 0)$  is odd, we refer to Dalla Riva, Morais and Musolino [4, Thm. 5.5, (32)], where  $A_1(\cdot, 0)$  coincides with  $\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{a}, \cdot)$  in that paper. Here we note that a function  $A$  from  $(\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1) \times \mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be real analytic provided that it has a real analytic extension to an open neighbourhood of  $(\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1) \times \mathbb{R})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then we have the following elementary lemma (cf. e.g., [14, Lem. 4.2]).

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . A function  $A$  from  $(\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1) \times \mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  is real analytic if and only if the function  $\tilde{A}$  from  $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$\tilde{A}(x, r) \equiv A\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, r\right) \quad \forall (x, r) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$$

is real analytic.

Then one can prove the following formula for the Jacobian of the fundamental solution (see Dondi and the author [5, Lem. 4.3, (4.8) and the following 2 lines]). Here one should remember that  $A_1(\cdot, 0)$  is odd and that  $b_0 = 0$  if  $n$  is odd).

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $T \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be as in (3.2). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $B_1, C$  be as in Proposition 3.1. Then there exists a real analytic function  $A_2 \equiv (A_{2,j})_{j=1,\dots,n}$  from  $\partial\mathbb{B}_n(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that*

$$DS_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = \frac{1}{s_n \sqrt{\det a^{(2)}}} |T^{-1}x|^{-n} x^t (a^{(2)})^{-1} + |x|^{2-n} A_2\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, |x|\right) + DB_1(x) \ln |x| + DC(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $A_2(\cdot, 0)$  is even.

Next we introduce some notation. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the symbol

$$\mathbb{D}_{X \times Y} \equiv \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : x = y\}$$

denotes the diagonal set of  $X \times Y$  and we introduce the following class of ‘potential type’ kernels (see also paper [5] of the author and Dondi, where such classes have been introduced in a form that generalizes those of Giraud [8], Gegelia [7], Kupradze, Gegelia, Basheleishvili and Burchuladze [11, Chap. IV]).

**Definition 3.5** *Let  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote by the symbol  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1, s_2, s_3}(X \times Y)$  the set of continuous functions  $K$  from  $(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1, s_2, s_3}(X \times Y)} \equiv & \sup \left\{ |x - y|^{s_1} |K(x, y)| : (x, y) \in X \times Y, x \neq y \right\} \\ & + \sup \left\{ \frac{|x' - y|^{s_2}}{|x' - x''|^{s_3}} |K(x', y) - K(x'', y)| : \right. \\ & \left. x', x'' \in X, x' \neq x'', y \in Y \setminus \mathbb{B}_n(x', 2|x' - x''|) \right\} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

## 4 A limiting case of a theorem of C. Miranda for the single layer potential in case $m = 1$

If  $h \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , we find convenient to set

$$\begin{aligned} K[h, \mu](x) & \equiv \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x - y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega, \quad (4.1) \\ K^+[h, \mu] & \equiv K[h, \mu]_{|\Omega}, \quad K^-[h, \mu] \equiv K[h, \mu]_{|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\mu \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ . In those cases in which  $K^+[h, \mu]$  can be extended by continuity to  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we still denote the extension by the symbol  $K^+[h, \mu]$  and in those cases in which  $K^-[h, \mu]$  can be extended by continuity to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ , we still denote the extension by the symbol  $K^-[h, \mu]$ . Then we consider the following preliminary technical statement on the single layer potential that corresponds to the fundamental solution of a nonhomogeneous second order elliptic differential operator with constant coefficients.

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{1,1}$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$K \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right] (x) \equiv \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}(x - y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega,$$

for all  $\mu \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$ . Then the following statements hold.



- (i) For each  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map  $K \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right]_{|\Omega}$  can be extended to a unique  $\omega_1(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous function  $K^+ \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right]$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $K^+ \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right]$  is linear and continuous.
- (ii) Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . For each  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map  $K \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right]_{|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}}$  can be extended to continuous function  $K^- \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right]$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $K^- \left[ \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j}, \mu \right]_{|\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is linear and continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . Let

$$J_j(x) \equiv \frac{1}{s_n \sqrt{\det a^{(2)}}} |T^{-1}x|^{-n} \left( x^t (a^{(2)})^{-1} \right)_j,$$

$$k_j(x) \equiv \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{s_n \sqrt{\det a^{(2)}}} |T^{-1}x|^{-n} \left( x^t (a^{(2)})^{-1} \right)_j$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $J_j$  is odd and positively homogeneous of degree  $-(n-1)$ , Theorem 2.4 implies that  $K[J_j, \mu]_{|\Omega}$  can be extended by continuity to  $\overline{\Omega}$ , that  $K[J_j, \mu]_{|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}}$  can be extended by continuity to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  for all  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and that

$$K^+[J_j, \cdot] \in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \quad (4.3)$$

$$K^-[J_j, \cdot]_{|\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega} \in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega) \right).$$

Thus it suffices to show that statements (i) and (ii) are satisfied by the integral with kernel  $k_j(x-y)$ . Since  $\partial\Omega$  is upper  $(n-1)$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (cf. [15, Prop. 6.5]) and the singularity of  $k_j(x-\cdot)$  is weak for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$K^+[k_j, \cdot] \in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \quad (4.4)$$

$$K^-[k_j, \cdot]_{|\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega} \in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega) \right).$$

(cf. [12, Prop. 4.1], [13, Prop. 4.3]). By the classical Theorem of differentiation of integrals depending on a parameter, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \int_{\partial\Omega} k_j(x-y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} k_j(x-y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega$$

for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus it suffices to show that

$$K^+ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} k_j, \cdot \right] \in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \quad (4.5)$$

$$K^- \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} k_j, \cdot \right]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega} \in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right),$$

(see the notation in (4.1)). Indeed, the following continuous embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} C^1(\overline{\Omega}) &\subseteq C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \subseteq C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega}), \\ C^1(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) &\subseteq C^{0,1}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \subseteq C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

hold true (cf. (2.3), [2, Lem. 2.28]). Let  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, r)$  denote the variable of  $A_2$ . Then by classical differentiation rules and by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial k_j}{\partial x_l}(x) &= (2-n)|x|^{1-n} \frac{x_l}{|x|} A_{2,j} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, |x| \right) \tag{4.6} \\ &+ |x|^{2-n} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial \xi_s} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, |x| \right) \left( \frac{\delta_{sl}}{|x|} - \frac{x_s x_l}{|x|^3} \right) + |x|^{2-n} \frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial r} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, |x| \right) \frac{x_l}{|x|} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 B_1}{\partial x_l \partial x_j}(x) \ln |x| + \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_j}(x) \frac{x_l}{|x|^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x_l \partial x_j}(x) \\ &= J_{jl,1}(x) + (2-n)|x|^{2-n} \frac{x_l}{|x|} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial r} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, \tau|x| \right) d\tau \\ &+ J_{jl,2}(x) + |x|^{3-n} \sum_{s=1}^n \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 A_{2,j}}{\partial \xi_s \partial r} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, \tau|x| \right) d\tau \left( \frac{\delta_{sl}}{|x|} - \frac{x_s x_l}{|x|^3} \right) \\ &+ |x|^{2-n} \frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial r} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, |x| \right) \frac{x_l}{|x|} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 B_1}{\partial x_l \partial x_j}(x) \ln |x| + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x_l \partial x_j}(x) \\ &+ J_{jl,3}(x) + \int_0^1 \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 B_1}{\partial x_j \partial x_s}(\tau x) d\tau \frac{x_s x_l}{|x|^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{jl,1}(x) &\equiv (2-n)|x|^{1-n} \frac{x_l}{|x|} A_{2,j} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, 0 \right) \tag{4.7} \\ J_{jl,2}(x) &\equiv |x|^{2-n} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial \xi_s} \left( \frac{x}{|x|}, 0 \right) \left( \frac{\delta_{sl}}{|x|} - \frac{x_s x_l}{|x|^3} \right) \\ J_{jl,3}(x) &\equiv \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_j}(0) \frac{x_l}{|x|^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Next we note that the function  $A_{2,j}(\frac{x}{|x|}, 0)$  is positively homogeneous of degree 0 in the variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . By Proposition 3.4, the

function  $A_{2,j}(\frac{x}{|x|}, 0)$  is even. Then the function  $J_{jl,1}$  is odd and positively homogeneous of degree  $-(n-1)$  for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and Theorem 2.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K^+[J_{jl,1}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \\ K^-[J_{jl,1}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Next we note that the function  $\frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial \xi_s}(\frac{x}{|x|}, 0)$  is positively homogeneous of degree 0 in the variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . By Proposition 3.4, the function  $\frac{\partial A_{2,j}}{\partial \xi_s}(\frac{x}{|x|}, 0)$  is odd. Then the function  $J_{jl,2}$  is odd and positively homogeneous of degree  $-(n-1)$  for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and Theorem 2.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K^+[J_{jl,2}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \\ K^-[J_{jl,2}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is upper  $(n-1)$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the singularity of  $J_{jl,3}(x - \cdot)$  is weak on  $\partial\Omega$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^+[J_{jl,3}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \\ K^-[J_{jl,3}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for  $n \geq 3$ ,

(cf. [15, Prop. 6.5], [12, Prop. 4.1], [13, Prop. 4.3]). If instead  $n = 2$ , we note that the function  $J_{jl,3}$  is odd and positively homogeneous of degree  $-1$  for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and Theorem 2.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K^+[J_{jl,3}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \\ K^-[J_{jl,3}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for  $n = 2$ .

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is upper  $(n-1)$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the singularity of  $\frac{\partial k_j}{\partial x_l}(x - \cdot) - \sum_{s=1}^3 J_{jl,s}(x - \cdot)$  is weak on  $\partial\Omega$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^+ \left[ \frac{\partial k_j}{\partial x_l} - \sum_{s=1}^3 J_{jl,s}, \cdot \right] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \\ K^- \left[ \frac{\partial k_j}{\partial x_l} - \sum_{s=1}^3 J_{jl,s}, \cdot \right] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

(cf. [15, Prop. 6.5], [12, Prop. 4.1], [13, Prop. 4.3] and see the notation in (4.1)). By equalities (4.6), (4.7) and by the memberships of (4.8)–(4.12), we deduce the validity of the memberships of (4.5) and thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the following extension of a known result of Miranda [16] on the single layer potential that is associated to the fundamental solution of elliptic operators of second order with constant coefficients. Miranda had considered the case of homogeneous operators and the case of domains of class  $C^{m,\alpha}$  and of densities  $\mu \in C^{m,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  for  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . Later Dalla Riva [1] has extended the result of Miranda by removing the restriction that the operator be homogeneous, under the assumption that  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . Here, we consider the limiting case in which  $\alpha = 1$  and we first state and prove case  $m = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.13** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{1,1}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map  $v_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  belongs to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $v_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  is linear and continuous.*
- (ii) *Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . If  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the restriction  $v_{\Omega}^-[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  belongs to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $v_{\Omega}^-[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is linear and continuous.*

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma \in ]0, 1[$ . By [5, Lemma 4.2 (i)], we have

$$C_{0, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, 2r)}, n-1-\gamma} \equiv \sup_{0 < |\xi| \leq 4r} |\xi|^{n-1-\gamma} |S_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi)| < +\infty.$$

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is upper  $(n-1)$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (cf. [12, (1.4)], [15, Prop. 6.5]), then Lemma 3.4 of [12] implies that

$$\sup_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{d\sigma_y}{|x-y|^{n-1-\gamma}} < +\infty,$$

(an inequality that one could also prove directly by elementary calculus). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)}} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y \right| \\ & \leq C_{0, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, 2r)}, n-1-\gamma} \sup_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{d\sigma_y}{|x-y|^{n-1-\gamma}} \|\mu\|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ . Moreover,  $v[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $\mu \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$  (cf. e.g., [13, Prop. 4.3]) and accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} v^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] & \in \mathcal{L}(C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega})), \\ v^-[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] & \in \mathcal{L}(C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

By the classical differentiation theorem for integrals depending on a parameter, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int_{\partial\Omega} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega$$

for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mu \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$  and accordingly Proposition 4.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega}) \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v^-[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L} \left( C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the memberships of (4.14) and (4.15) imply the validity of statements (i), (ii) for  $m = 1$ .

## 5 A limiting case of a theorem of C. Miranda for the double layer potential in case $m = 1$

We first introduce the following statement that follows by the definition of double layer potential and by Theorem 4.13 on the single layer potential.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{1,1}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , then the restriction  $w_{\Omega}[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\Omega}$  can be extended uniquely to function  $w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu] \in C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  is linear and continuous.*
- (ii) *Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0,r)$ . If  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , then the restriction  $w_{\Omega}[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\Omega^-}$  can be extended uniquely to a continuous function  $w_{\Omega}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  from  $\overline{\Omega}^-$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and the restriction  $w_{\Omega}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  belongs to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $w_{\Omega}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{|\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is linear and continuous.*

**Proof.** (i) Formula (1.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu](x) & \\ &= - \sum_{l,j=1}^n a_{jl} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu\nu_l](x) - \sum_{l=1}^n a_l v_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu\nu_l](x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , then Proposition 4.2, Theorem 4.13 and the continuity

of the embedding of  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  into  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  imply that  $w_\Omega^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_\Omega$  can be extended to a unique element of  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  and that statement (i) holds true. Then statement (ii) can be deduced applying statement (i) to the open bounded set  $\mathbb{B}_n(0, r) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Indeed the map from  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,1}(\partial(\mathbb{B}_n(0, r) \setminus \overline{\Omega}))$  that takes  $\mu$  to

$$\tilde{\mu}(x) \equiv \begin{cases} \mu(x) & \text{if } x \in \partial\Omega, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \partial\mathbb{B}_n(0, r) \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

is linear and continuous and we have

$$w_\Omega^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega} = -w_{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r) \setminus \overline{\Omega}}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mu}] \quad (5.4)$$

for all  $\mu \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ .  $\square$

In order proceed further, we need the definition of tangential derivative that we now introduce. Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$ . If  $l, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $M_{lr}$  denotes the tangential derivative operator from  $C^1(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^0(\partial\Omega)$  that takes  $f$  to

$$M_{lr}[f] \equiv \nu_l \frac{\partial f^\#}{\partial x_r} - \nu_r \frac{\partial f^\#}{\partial x_l} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $f^\#$  is any continuously differentiable extension of  $f$  to an open neighborhood of  $\partial\Omega$ . We note that  $M_{lr}[f]$  is independent of the specific choice of  $f^\#$  (cf. *e.g.*, Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [2, §2.21]). If necessary, we write  $M_{lr,x}$  to emphasize that we are taking  $x$  as variable of the differential operator  $M_{lr}$ . Then by exploiting standard differentiation rules, one can prove the following statement (cf. *e.g.* Dondi and the author [5, (7.1)])

**Proposition 5.5** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $\mu \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ , then the following equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} w_\Omega[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu](x) \\ &= \sum_{s,l=1}^n a_{ls} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) M_{js}[\mu](y) d\sigma_y \right\} \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[ DS_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)a^{(1)} + aS_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) \right] \nu_j(y) \mu(y) d\sigma_y \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{x_j} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) \nu^t(y) a^{(1)} \mu(y) d\sigma_y \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

We note that formula (5.6) for the Laplace operator with  $n = 3$  can be found in Günter [9, Ch. 2, § 10, (42)]. By combining Theorems 4.13 and Proposition 5.5,

we deduce that under the assumptions of Proposition 5.5, the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu] \\
&= \sum_{s,l=1}^n a_{ls} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} v_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, M_{js}[\mu]] + Dv_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \nu_j \mu] a^{(1)} \\
& \quad + av_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \nu_j \mu] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_{\Omega}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, (\nu^t a^{(1)}) \mu] \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

We are now ready to prove the following extension of a Theorem of Miranda for the double layer potential to the limiting case in which  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Theorem 5.8** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{1,1}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $\mu \in C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map  $w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  belongs to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  is linear and continuous.*
- (ii) *Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . If  $\mu \in C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the restriction  $w_{\Omega}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  belongs to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $w_{\Omega}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is linear and continuous.*

**Proof.** (i) By the continuity of the embedding of  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  into  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and by Theorem 5.1, we have

$$w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})\right)$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} w_{\Omega}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})\right) \tag{5.9}$$

for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We plan to do so by exploiting formula (5.7) and Theorem 4.13 on the single layer potential. Since the tangential derivative  $M_{js}[\cdot]$  is linear and continuous from  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , Theorem 4.13 implies that the first addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) is linear and continuous from  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  Theorem 4.13 implies that the second and fourth addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) define linear and continuous maps from  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is

continuously embedded into  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ . Theorem 4.13 and the continuity of the embedding of  $C^{1,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  into  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  imply that the third addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) defines a linear and continuous map from  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{0,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Hence, the membership of (5.9) holds true and the proof of (i) is complete.

Then statement (ii) can be deduced applying statement (i) to the open bounded set  $\mathbb{B}_n(0, r) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  by exploiting (5.3) and (5.4).  $\square$

## 6 A limiting case of a theorem of C. Miranda for the single and double layer potential in case $m \geq 1$

By exploiting standard differentiation rules, one can prove the following statement.

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^2$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the following equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_{\Omega}[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu](x) &= v_{\Omega} \left[ S_{\mathbf{a}}, \sum_{r,s=1}^n M_{rj} \left[ \frac{a_{rs} \nu_s \mu}{\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu} \right] \right] (x) \\ &\quad - w_{\Omega} \left[ \mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \frac{\nu_j \mu}{\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu} \right] (x) - v_{\Omega} \left[ S_{\mathbf{a}}, \frac{(a^{(1)} \nu) \nu_j \mu}{\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu} \right] (x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

for all  $\mu \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega$ , then the classical differentiation theorem for integrals depending on a parameter implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_{\Omega}[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu](x) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=1}^n \int_{\partial\Omega} -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)) \nu_r(y) a_{rs} \nu_s(y) \mu(y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r,s=1}^n \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r} (S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)) \nu_j(y) a_{rs} \nu_s(y) \mu(y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{r,s=1}^n \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r} (S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)) \nu_j(y) a_{rs} \nu_s(y) \mu(y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \\ &= - \sum_{r,s=1}^n \int_{\partial\Omega} M_{rj,y} [S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y)] a_{rs} \nu_s(y) \mu(y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{r,s=1}^n \int_{\partial\Omega} \nu_j(y) \mu(y) a_{rs} \nu_s(y) \frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial x_r}(x-y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \\
& + \int_{\partial\Omega} \nu_j(y) \mu(y) \sum_{l=1}^n \nu_l(y) a_l S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \\
& - \int_{\partial\Omega} \nu_j(y) \mu(y) \sum_{l=1}^n \nu_l(y) a_l S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) \frac{d\sigma_y}{\nu^t(y) a^{(2)} \nu(y)} \\
& = \sum_{r,s=1}^n \int_{\partial\Omega} S_{\mathbf{a}}(x-y) M_{rj} \left[ \frac{a_{rs} \nu_s \mu}{\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu} \right] (y) d\sigma_y \\
& - w_{\Omega} \left[ \mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \frac{\nu_j \mu}{\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu} \right] (x) - v_{\Omega} \left[ S_{\mathbf{a}}, \frac{(a^{(1)} \nu) \nu_j \mu}{\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu} \right] (x)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus equality (6.2) holds true (see also Lemma 2.86 of [2] on the tangential derivative).  $\square$

We note that a related form of formula (6.2) for the Laplace operator with  $n = 3$  can be found in Günter [9, Ch. 2, § 8, (38)]. We are now ready to prove the following extension of a known result of Miranda [16] on the single and double layer potential associated to the fundamental solution of elliptic operators of second order with constant coefficients. Miranda had considered the case of homogeneous operators and the case of domains of class  $C^{m,\alpha}$  and of densities  $\mu \in C^{m-1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  for the single layer potential and  $\mu \in C^{m,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  for the double layer potential when  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . Later Dalla Riva [1] has extended the result of Miranda by removing the restriction that the operator be homogeneous, under the assumption that  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . Here, we consider the limiting case in which  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Theorem 6.3** *Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Let  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a fundamental solution of  $P[\mathbf{a}, D]$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{m,1}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $\mu \in C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map  $v_{\Omega}^+ [S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  belongs to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $v_{\Omega}^+ [S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  is linear and continuous.*
- (ii) *Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . If  $\mu \in C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the restriction  $v_{\Omega}^- [S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  belongs to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $v_{\Omega}^- [S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is linear and continuous.*
- (iii) *If  $\mu \in C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the map  $w_{\Omega}^+ [\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  belongs to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $w_{\Omega}^+ [\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]$  is linear and continuous.*

(iv) Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  be such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n(0, r)$ . If  $\mu \in C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the restriction  $w_{\overline{\Omega}}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega}$  belongs to  $C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega)$ . Moreover, the map from  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $w_{\overline{\Omega}}^-[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu]_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0, r)} \setminus \Omega}$  is linear and continuous.

**Proof.** We first prove (i), (iii) by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 1$ , then Theorems 4.13, 5.8 imply the validity of statements (i), (iii). We now assume that statements (i), (iii) hold for  $m \geq 1$  and we prove them for  $m + 1$ . So we now assume that  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{m+1,1}$ . By case  $m = 1$  and by the continuity of the embedding of  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  into  $C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and of  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  into  $C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and of  $C^{1, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$  into  $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\overline{\Omega}}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega})\right), \\ w_{\overline{\Omega}}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] &\in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega), C^0(\overline{\Omega})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_{\overline{\Omega}}^+[S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})\right), \quad (6.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} w_{\overline{\Omega}}^+[\mathbf{a}, S_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot] \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega), C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})\right), \quad (6.5)$$

for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We plan to do so by exploiting formulas (5.7) and (6.2). We first consider the membership of (6.4). Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the function  $(\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu)^{-1}$  belongs to  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the tangential derivative  $M_{rj}[\cdot]$  is linear and continuous from  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the inductive assumption of statement (i) implies that the first addendum in the right hand side of formula (6.2) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the function  $(\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu)^{-1}$  belongs to  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the inductive assumption of statement (iii) implies that the second addendum in the right hand side of formula (6.2) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the function  $(\nu^t a^{(2)} \nu)^{-1}$  belongs to  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the inductive assumption of statement (i) implies that the third addendum in the right hand side of formula (6.2) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m, \omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Hence, the membership of (6.4) holds true.

We now consider the membership of (6.5). Since the tangential derivative  $M_{js}[\cdot]$  is linear and continuous from  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , statement (i) for case  $(m + 1)$  that we have just proved above to be a consequence of the inductive

assumption implies that the first addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , statement (i) for case  $(m+1)$  that we have just proved above to be a consequence of the inductive assumption implies that the second addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $C^{m-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , the inductive assumption of statement (i) implies that the third addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Since the components of  $\nu$  are of class  $C^{(m+1)-1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$  and the pointwise product is continuous in  $C^{m,1}(\partial\Omega)$ , statement (i) for case  $(m+1)$  that we have just proved above to be a consequence of the inductive assumption implies that the fourth addendum in the right hand side of formula (5.7) defines a linear and continuous operator from  $C^{m+1,1}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $C^{m,\omega_1(\cdot)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Hence, the membership of (6.5) holds true and thus the proof of statements (i), (iii) is complete by the induction principle.

Then statements (ii), (iv) can be deduced applying statements (i), (iii) to the open bounded set  $\mathbb{B}_n(0, r) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  by exploiting (5.3) and (5.4).  $\square$

## Statements and Declarations

**Competing interests:** This paper does not have any conflict of interest or competing interest.

### Acknowledgement.

The author acknowledges the support of the Research Project GNAMPA-INdAM CUP\_E53C22001930001 ‘Operatori differenziali e integrali in geometria spettrale’ and of the Project funded by the European Union – Next Generation EU under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.1 - Call for tender PRIN 2022 No. 104 of February, 2 2022 of Italian Ministry of University and Research; Project code: 2022SENJZ3 (subject area: PE - Physical Sciences and Engineering) “Perturbation problems and asymptotics for elliptic differential equations: variational and potential theoretic methods”.

## References

- [1] M. Dalla Riva, *A family of fundamental solutions of elliptic partial differential operators with real constant coefficients*. Integral Equations Operator Theory,

**76** (2013), 1–23.

- [2] M. Dalla Riva, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, and P. Musolino. *Singularly Perturbed Boundary Value Problems. A Functional Analytic Approach*. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [3] M. Dalla Riva, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, and P. Musolino. *Regularity properties of certain convolution operators in Hölder spaces*, preprint, 2024.
- [4] M. Dalla Riva, J. Morais, and P. Musolino, *A family of fundamental solutions of elliptic partial differential operators with quaternion constant coefficients*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **36** (2013), 1569–1582.
- [5] F. Dondi and M. Lanza de Cristoforis, *Regularizing properties of the double layer potential of second order elliptic differential operators*, Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys. 71 (2017), 69–110.
- [6] G.B. Folland. *Introduction to partial differential equations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, second edition, 1995.
- [7] T.G. Gegelia, *Certain special classes of functions and their properties*. (Russian), Sakhart. SSR Mecn. Akad. Math. Inst. Šrom. **32** (1967), 94–139.
- [8] G. Giraud, *Équations à intégrales principales; étude suivie d’une application*. (French) Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **51** (1934), 251–372.
- [9] N.M. Günter, *Potential theory and its applications to basic problems of mathematical physics*, translated from the Russian by John R. Schulenberg, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1967.
- [10] F. John, *Plane waves and spherical means applied to partial differential equations*. Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1955.
- [11] V.D. Kupradze, T.G. Gegelia, M.O. Bacheleishvili and T.V. Burchuladze, *Three-dimensional Problems of the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity and Thermoelasticity*, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1979.
- [12] M. Lanza de Cristoforis, *Integral operators in Hölder spaces on upper Ahlfors regular sets*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., **34** (2023), 195–234.
- [13] M. Lanza de Cristoforis, *Classes of kernels and continuity properties of the tangential gradient of an integral operator in Hölder spaces on a manifold*, Eurasian Mathematical Journal, **14**, no. 3 (2023), 54–74.
- [14] M. Lanza de Cristoforis, *Classes of kernels and continuity properties of the double layer potential in Hölder spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **95**, 21 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-023-02741-8>

- [15] M. Lanza de Cristoforis, *A survey on the boundary behavior of the double layer potential in Schauder spaces in the frame of an abstract approach*, Exact and Approximate Solutions for Mathematical Models in Science and Engineering, C. Constanda, P. Harris, B. Bodmann (eds.), pp. 95–125, Birkhäuser, Springer, Cham, 2024.
- [16] C. Miranda, *Sulle proprietà di regolarità di certe trasformazioni integrali*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Mem. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Sez I, **7** (1965), 303–336.
- [17] I. Mitrea and M. Mitrea, *Multi-Layer Potentials and Boundary Problems, for Higher-Order Elliptic Systems in Lipschitz Domains*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, etc. 2013.