

**EXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE RADIAL SOLUTIONS FOR
NONLINEAR EQUATION INVOLVING THE MEAN
CURVATURE OPERATOR IN LORENTZ-MINKOWSKI
SPACE**

VITTORIO COTI ZELATI, XU DONG, AND YUANHONG WEI

ABSTRACT. This paper devotes to the multiplicity of the radial solutions for the Dirichlet problem for nonlinear equations involving the mean curvature operator in Lorentz-Minkowski space

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = \lambda b(|x|)|u|^{q-2}u + f(|x|, u) \quad \text{in } B_R,$$

in case $q \in (1, 2)$ and $f(|x|, s)$ is superlinear in s .

Solutions are found using Szulkin's critical point theory for non-smooth functional. Multiplicity results are also given for some cases in which f depends also on (the absolute value of) the gradient of u .

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is about existence of multiple radial solutions for nonlinear equations involving the mean curvature operator in Lorentz-Minkowski space

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = \lambda b(|x|)|u|^{q-2}u + f(|x|, u) & \text{in } B_R, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R \end{cases}$$

where B_R is the open ball centered at 0 of radius R in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter, $1 < q < 2$. Here $|\cdot|$ stands for the Euclidian norm in \mathbb{R}^N .

Under this ansatz $u(|x|)$, where $u: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and letting $r = |x|$ the equation (1.1) reduces to the one-dimensional mixed boundary problem

$$(BP) \quad \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = r^{N-1}\lambda b(r)|u|^{q-2}u + r^{N-1}f(r, u) & r \in (0, R), \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

The operator $\mathcal{Q}: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{Q}(u) := -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^2}}\right),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J62 35B09 35J20.

Key words and phrases. mean curvature; Lorentz-Minkowski space; Born-Infeld theory; variational method; non-smooth functional.

is related to the mean curvature of space-like hypersurfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space and to some problems in Physics, see for example in [12, 15] for application in geometry and [11] where its relation to the Born-Infeld theory is explained.

The study of the nonlinear equations involving the operator \mathcal{Q} has received a lot of attention after the publication of the paper [3] by Bereanu, Jebelean, Mawhin and since then several different methods have been used to tackle different nonlinear problems involving such and operator.

Fixed point theorems have been used to prove existence of radial solutions for both Dirichlet and Neumann problems with different kind of nonlinearities in [3, 5, 9, 8].

The same authors have then introduced a variational approach to the problem to prove, using critical point theory for nonsmooth functionals the existence radial solutions for the Neumann problem in [6, 7]. In [4] they prove, with similar techniques, existence of (not radial) solutions for the Dirichlet problem.

Also using critical point theory for nonsmooth functionals Bonheure, d'Avenia and Pomponio in [11] prove existence and uniqueness of the weak solution for the electrostatic Born-Infeld equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = \rho & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \lim_{|x|\rightarrow+\infty} u(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where ρ is an assigned extended charge density.

Motivated in particular by the results of Coelho, Corsato, and Rivetti, who in the paper [13] prove existence of one, two or three positive radial solutions with a nonlinearity similar to that in (1.1), we prove here for that equation some existence and multiplicity of radial solutions. Due to the lack of regularity of the operator \mathcal{Q} , we are lead to study existence of critical points for a non-smooth functional following Szulkin [16] in a way related to what has been done in [4]. This approach is different from the one used by Coelho, Corsato, and Rivetti in [13], where an equivalent, non-singular problem is introduced and studied.

In our Theorem 1.4 we show existence of 3 positive and 3 negative solutions for equation (1.1). This result is similar to the one in [13] (from which one can easily deduce also existence of negative solutions), although with a (slightly) different proof (for a more precise comparison of the results see Remark 1.5). Our main contributions are the existence of a seventh solution, see Theorem 1.6, applying in this situation an idea of Ambrosetti, Garcia Azorero and Peral in [1], and the existence of multiple solutions in case the nonlinear term depends also from the norm of the gradient of the solution, see Theorem 1.11.

Let $F(r, s) = \int_0^s f(r, t) dt$. On f and b we will assume that

(F1) $f \in C([0, R] \times [-R, R], \mathbb{R})$;

(F2) $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(r, s)}{s} = 0$ uniformly for $r \in [0, R]$;

(F3) $sf(r, s) > 0$ for every $0 < |s| \leq R$ and $r \in [0, R]$;

(F4) $F(r, s) \geq a_1|s|^\theta - a_2$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, R] \times [-R, R]$ for some $\theta > 2$ and $a_1 > 0, a_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad (1 + a_2) \frac{1}{N} - a_1 R^\theta \frac{\Gamma(N)\Gamma(\theta + 1)}{\Gamma(N + \theta + 1)} \leq -1$$

(here Γ denotes the usual Gamma function);

(F5) there is $\sigma > 0$ and $\bar{\theta} > 4$ such that $|F(r, -s) - F(r, s)| \leq |s|^{\bar{\theta}}$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, R] \times [-\sigma, \sigma]$;

(F6) $f \in C^1([0, R] \times [-R, R], \mathbb{R})$ and there is $\sigma > 0$ and $\tilde{\theta} > 2$ such that $|f'(r, s)| \leq c|s|^{\tilde{\theta}-2}$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, R] \times [-\sigma, \sigma]$,

and

(B1) $b \in C([0, R], \mathbb{R})$ is such that $0 < b_0 \leq b(r) \leq b_1$ for some real constants b_0 and b_1 .

Remark 1.3. The assumption **(F4)** is satisfied for all R large enough if $F(r, s) \geq a_1|s|^\theta - a_2$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. It implies that the nonlinear term $f(r, s)$ is superlinear. It can also be satisfied taking if f is multiplied by a large constant μ as in the paper [13], see remark 1.5.

The assumption **(F5)** tells us that the function F is close to be an even function in s when s is small.

The assumption **(F6)** implies **(F2)**, and we can assume $\tilde{\theta} \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$.

From the validity of the well known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition $0 < \theta F(r, s) \leq sf(r, s)$ for all $r \in [0, +\infty)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ follows that **(F3)** and **(F4)** hold provided R is large enough. From such an assumption also follows that $F(r, s) \leq c|s|^\theta$ for $|s| \leq 1$ and $r \in [0, R]$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let f satisfy **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)**, **(F4)** and assume **(B1)** holds. Then*

- For $\lambda > 0$ (BP) has one positive solution u_+ and one negative solution u_- ;
- There is $\lambda_*(R)$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$ (BP) has one additional positive solution w_+ and one additional negative solution w_- of mountain pass type;
- There is $\lambda_{**} \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{**})$ (BP) has third positive solution v_+ and third negative solution v_- .

Remark 1.5. This Theorem should be compared with the result by Coelho, Corsato and Rivetti in [13, Theorem 3.1]. In that Theorem the authors consider a class of equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} - \left(\frac{r^{N-1} u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \right)' = r^{N-1} \lambda g(r, u) + \mu r^{N-1} f(r, u) & r \in (0, R), \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Their assumptions on $g(r, u)$ and $f(r, u)$ are weaker than our assumptions on the corresponding nonlinear terms. They prove existence of 3 positive

solutions provided μ is large enough and $\lambda < \lambda_*(\mu)$. The method of the proof there relies on the introduction of a modified problem that allows them to use standard critical point theory in Hilbert space for C^1 functional in order to find the three critical point (a global minimum, a local minimum and a mountain pass critical point).

Our main improvement on the paper [13] is the existence of a seventh solution, a mountain pass critical point between the two local minima v_{\pm} .

Theorem 1.6. *Let f satisfy **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)**, **(F4)**, **(F5)** and **(F6)**, and assume **(B1)** holds. Then there exists a $\lambda_{***} \in (0, \lambda_{**})$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{***})$ (BP) has an additional solution v of mountain pass type such that $I_{\lambda}(v) < 0$.*

Remark 1.7. An example of a function satisfying assumptions **(F1)**–**(F6)** is $f(r, s) = \frac{a_1}{5}|s|^3s^+ - \frac{a_2}{5}|s|^3s^-$ provided a_1 and a_2 are such that

$$\frac{120a_iR^5}{(N+5)\cdots N} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{N}.$$

If $N = 3$ it is satisfied if

$$\frac{a_iR^5}{168} \geq \frac{4}{3}.$$

We also consider here the mean curvature equation in Lorentz-Minkowski space which with a gradient term.

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = \lambda b(|x|)|u|^{q-2}u + g(|x|, u, |\nabla u|) & \text{in } B_R, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R(0) \end{cases}$$

We assume that the nonlinear term g satisfies the following assumptions

- (G1)** $g \in C([0, R] \times [-R, R] \times [-1, 1], \mathbb{R})$;
- (G2)** $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(r, s, \xi)}{s} = 0$ uniformly for $r \in [0, R]$ and $\xi \in [-1, 1]$;
- (G3)** $sg(r, s, \xi) > 0$ for every $0 < |s| \leq R$, $r \in [0, R]$ and $\xi \in [-1, 1]$;
- (G4)** $G(r, s, \xi) \geq a_1|s|^\theta - a_2$ for all $(r, s, \xi) \in [0, R] \times [-R, R] \times [-1, 1]$ for some $\theta > 2$ and $a_1 > 0$, $a_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$(1.9) \quad (1 + a_2)\frac{1}{N} - a_1R^\theta \frac{\Gamma(N)\Gamma(\theta + 1)}{\Gamma(N + \theta + 1)} \leq -1;$$

- (G5)** there exist positive constants L_1, L_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(r, s_1, \xi) - g(r, s_2, \xi)| &\leq L_1|s_1 - s_2| \\ |g(r, s, \xi_1) - g(r, s, \xi_2)| &\leq L_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0, R]$, $|s| \leq R$, $|\xi| \leq 1$ where

$$(1.10) \quad L_1C_2 < \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2\sqrt{C_2} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

(Here $C_2 = C(N, 2, R)$ is the Sobolev's embedding constant, see Lemma 2.10 below).

Our main result about the mean curvature equation in Lorentz-Minkowski space with gradient term reads as follows.

Theorem 1.11. *Suppose that g satisfies **(G1)**, **(G2)**, **(G3)**, **(G4)** and **(G5)**, and b satisfies **(B1)**. Then there exists $\bar{\lambda}$ such that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ problem (1.8) has at least two positive and two negative nontrivial solutions.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we focus on some preliminaries and introduce some technical tools that will be used in the sequel.

2.1. Szulkin critical point theory for non-smooth functionals. To find solutions of our problem we will use the the critical point theory for non-smooth functionals developed by Szulkin in [16], which we present briefly here.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a real Banach space and $\Psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a convex lower semi-continuous function. Let $D(\Psi) = \{u \in X \mid \Psi(u) < +\infty\}$ be the effective domain of Ψ . Denote by X^* the dual of X and by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ the duality pairing between X^* and X . For $u \in D(\Psi)$, the set

$$\partial\Psi(u) = \{u^* \in X^* \mid \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \geq \langle u^* | v - u \rangle \forall v \in D(\Psi)\}$$

is called the sub-differential of Ψ at u .

For a functional of the form $I(u) = \Psi(u) + \mathcal{F}(u)$, with $\Psi(u)$ as above and $\mathcal{F} \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $u \in D(\Psi)$ is said to be a critical point for I if

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \mathcal{F}'(u) | v - u \rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in D(\Psi).$$

or, equivalently, if $-\mathcal{F}'(u) \in \partial\Psi(u)$.

A number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I^{-1}(c)$ contains a critical point is called a critical value of I .

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 1.1 in [16]). *If $u \in X$ is a local minimum for I then u is a critical point.*

Always following [16] we now state in this setting the Palais-Smale compactness condition.

Definition 2.4. The functional $I(u) = \Psi(u) + \mathcal{F}(u)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if every sequence $\{u_n\} \in X$ for which $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and

$$(2.5) \quad \langle \mathcal{F}'(u_n) | v - u_n \rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \geq -\varepsilon_n \|v - u_n\| \quad \forall v \in D(\Psi),$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ possesses a convergent subsequence.

Proposition 2.6 (Theorem 1.7 of [16]). *If I is bounded from below and satisfies (PS) then*

$$c = \inf_X I$$

is a critical value.

Proposition 2.7. (*Mountain Pass Theorem, see Theorem 3.2 of [16]*) *Suppose that $I = \Psi + \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F} \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is convex, proper (i.e. $\Psi \not\equiv +\infty$), and lower semi-continuous, is a function satisfying Palais-Smale condition and*

- (i) $I(0) = 0$ and there exist $M, \rho > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq M$,
- (ii) $I(e) \leq 0$ for some $e \notin \overline{B_\rho}$.

Then I has a critical value

$$c \geq M$$

which may be characterized by

$$c = \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} I(\phi(s)),$$

where $\Phi = \{ \phi \in C([0, 1], X) \mid \phi(0) = 0, \phi(1) = e \}$.

2.2. The functional space and some inequalities. We let

$$\mathcal{A}(0, R) = \left\{ u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(0, R] \mid \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u'|^2 dr < +\infty, u(R) = 0 \right\},$$

which is an Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u \mid v \rangle := \int_0^R r^{N-1} u'(r) v'(r) dr$$

and the corresponding norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \langle u \mid u \rangle.$$

For $p \geq 1$, we consider the following weighted Lebesgue spaces

$$L_{N-1}^p(0, R) := \left\{ u: (0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable} \mid \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u|^p dr < +\infty \right\}.$$

The space $L_{N-1}^p(0, R)$ (L_{N-1}^p for brevity) is a Banach space with norm

$$\|u\|_{N-1,p} = \left(\int_0^R r^{N-1} |u|^p dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

while with L^∞ we denote the usual Lebesgue space $L^\infty(0, R)$ and with $|\cdot|_\infty$ its norm. Let us remark that for all radially symmetric functions $v: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have that $v(x) = u(|x|)$ for some function $u: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,R)} |\nabla v(x)|^2 dx &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u'(r)|^2 dr, \\ \int_{B(0,R)} |v(x)|^p dx &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u(r)|^p dr, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have that for radial functions the norm in \mathcal{A} is, up to a constant, the norm in $H_0^1(B(0, R))$ and the norms $|\cdot|_{N-1,p}$ are the $L^p(B(0, R))$ norms. The Hilbert space \mathcal{A} can be identified with the subspace of $H_0^1(B(0, R))$ consisting of radial functions.

Let us now recall some well known properties of \mathcal{A} , which plays an essential role in the proof of the main result. One can find a more general statement – and a sketch of the proofs – in [10].

Lemma 2.8 (Hardy’s inequality for radial functions, Proposition 1 of [10]). *Assume that $N \geq 3$. Then for any $u \in \mathcal{A}$ it holds*

$$\int_0^R r^{N-3} u^2 dr \leq \frac{4}{(N-2)^2} \|u\|^2.$$

Lemma 2.9 (Corollary 2 of [10] and Lemma 2.2 of [13]). *Let $N \geq 2$ and $m > (N-2)/2$. Then for all $u \in \mathcal{A}$*

$$|r^m u|_\infty \leq C(N, m, R) \|u\|.$$

The following Lemma is the Sobolev embedding of $H_0^1(B(0, R))$ in $L^p(B(0, R))$ in case of radial functions.

Lemma 2.10 (Proposition 3 of [10] and Lemma 2.3 of [13]). *If $N \geq 3$, $p \in [1, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ and $N = 2$, $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Then there exists a constant $C(N, p, R)$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$(2.11) \quad |u|_{N-1, p}^p \leq C(N, p, R) \|u\|^p.$$

Remark 2.12. The inequality (2.11) is actually the *Poincaré inequality*. Shows that the norm $\|u\|$ is actually equivalent – for radial functions – to the usual norm in $H_0^1(B(0, R))$.

We recall the following compact embedding, equivalent to the Sobolev embedding of H_0^1 in L^p for the ball of radius R .

Lemma 2.13. *Assume that $p \in [1, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ if $N \geq 3$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$ if $N = 2$. Then the following embedding is compact:*

$$\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow L_{N-1}^p.$$

We finally introduce the set K , in which we will look for solutions of our problem.

$$K = \{ u \in \mathcal{A} \mid |u'|_\infty \leq 1 \}.$$

Remark that $K \subset W^{1, \infty}(0, R) \subset W^{1, 2}(0, R)$.

Lemma 2.14. *K is a closed, convex and bounded subset of \mathcal{A} . We also have that*

$$|u|_\infty \leq R \quad \text{for all } u \in K.$$

Proof. The convexity is immediate. Let us remark that the functions in K are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant 1, and $K \subset W^{1, \infty}(0, R)$. As a consequence we have that $\|u\|^2 \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1} dr = \frac{R^N}{N}$ and also $|u(r)| \leq \int_r^R |u'(r)| dr \leq R$. Then the family of functions K is equicontinuous and equibounded.

Let $\{u_n\} \subset K$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{A} . We can apply Ascoli-Arzelà to deduce that a subsequence converges uniformly to a function u , and also u is 1-Lipschitz, and we immediately deduce that $|u'|_\infty \leq 1$ and $u \in K \subset W^{1, \infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$. \square

3. THE VARIATIONAL PROBLEM

In this section we introduce the notion of weak solution of problem (BP) and the related variational problem, and prove some of their properties.

We will always assume in this section that f satisfies **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)** and that b satisfies **(B1)**.

Definition 3.1. A weak solution of (BP) is a function $u \in K$ such that for all $\varphi \in K$,

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}u'\varphi'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1}(\lambda b(r)|u|^{q-2}u + f(r,u))\varphi dr.$$

Remark 3.3. (3.2) holds for all $\varphi \in K$ if and only if it holds for all $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty} \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.4. *If u is a weak solution, then $u \in C^1([0, R])$,*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|^2}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr < +\infty,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr < +\infty$$

and there exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $|u'(r)| < 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $r \in [0, R]$.

Moreover for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$,

$$(3.7) \quad - \left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \right)' = r^{N-1}(\lambda b(r)|u|^{q-2}u + f(r,u)).$$

Proof. The following proof is similar to [11, Proposition 3.3].

Since $u \in K$, f is continuous and $|u(r)| \leq R$ and we have that

$$r^{N-1}(\lambda b(r)|u(r)|^{q-2}u + f(r,u(r))) \in L^\infty(0, R)$$

Taking $\varphi = u$ in Definition 3.1, follows that

$$\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|^2}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1}(\lambda b(r)|u|^q + f(r,u)u) dr < +\infty.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr &= \int_{(0,R) \cap \{|u'| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}} \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr \\ &\quad + \int_{(0,R) \cap \{|u'| > \frac{1}{2}\}} \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^R r^{N-1} dr + \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|^2}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr \right) < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant, and (3.5) and (3.6) follow.

From the fact that u is a weak solution, see (3.2), we deduce that

$$h_1(r) \equiv \frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \in W^{1,\infty}(0, R),$$

and that for a.e. $r \in (0, R)$,

$$(3.8) \quad -h_1'(r) = - \left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \right)' = r^{N-1}(\lambda b(r)|u|^{q-2}u + f(r, u)) \leq Cr^{N-1}$$

and (3.7) follows. The continuous embedding of $W^{1,\infty}(0, R)$ in $C[0, R]$ implies that

$$h_1(r) := \frac{r^{N-1}u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} \in C[0, R].$$

and

$$\frac{u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} := \frac{h_1(r)}{r^{N-1}} \in C(0, R].$$

Now we study the behavior of $u'(r)$ as r tends to 0^+ . Fix $\varphi \in K$. Integrating by parts (3.2) we deduce that

$$(3.9) \quad -h_1(0)\varphi(0) = \int_0^R (h_1'(r) + r^{N-1}(\lambda b(r)|u(r)|^{q-2}u(r) + f(r, u(r)))) \varphi dr.$$

and from the equation (3.8) we obtain

$$-h_1(0)\varphi(0) = 0,$$

which implies that $h_1(0) = 0$ since $\varphi \in K$ is arbitrary.

Integrating (3.8) from 0 to r with $0 < r \leq R$ that

$$|h_1(r)| \leq \int_0^r t^{N-1} (\lambda b_1|u(t)|^{q-1} + |f(t, u(t))|) dt \leq Cr^{\frac{r^N}{N}}.$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad |r^{N-1}u'(r)| \leq \left| \frac{r^{N-1}u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} \right| \leq Cr^{\frac{r^N}{N}}.$$

So we have

$$|u'(r)| \leq Cr^{\frac{r}{N}} \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, R].$$

Consequently, $u \in C^1[0, R]$ with $u'(0) = 0$. Since $h_1(r) \in C([0, R])$ and $u'(0) = 0$ it follows that there exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $|u'(r)| < 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $r \in [0, R]$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Any weak solution u is of class $C^2[0, R]$ is a classical solution of (BP).*

Proof. This proof can be found in [13, Remark 3.7]. We give it here only for reader's convenience. Follows from Lemma 3.4 that a weak solution u is in $C^1[0, R]$ and $\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \in C[0, R]$. From the equation (3.7) follows then that $\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \in C^1[0, R]$. Now we want to prove $\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \in C^1[0, R]$. Let

$$h_2(r) = \lambda b(r)|u(r)|^{q-2}u(r) + f(r, u(r)).$$

For any $0 < r \leq R$, integrating the equation (3.8) from 0 to r , we deduce that

$$\frac{u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} = - \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N-1} h_2(s) ds \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, R].$$

We have that $\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \in C^1((0, R]) \cup C([0, R])$. It remains to study the behavior of $\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)'$ as r tends to 0^+ . Let's show that the following limit holds

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r} \frac{u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} = -\frac{h_2(0)}{N}.$$

Fix $\tau > 0$. By continuity of $h_2(r)$ at 0, there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that $|h_2(s) - h_2(0)| < \tau$ for all $s \in [0, r_1]$. Taking $r \in (0, r_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h_2(0)}{N} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} \right| &= \left| \frac{h_2(0)}{N} - \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N-1} h(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\tau}{r^N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} ds = \frac{\tau}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \in C^1([0, R])$ and $u' \in C^1([0, R])$. Consequently, $u \in C^2([0, R])$ is a classical solution of (BP). \square

We now give the proof of another basic property of the the nontrivial solutions of (BP).

Lemma 3.12. *If u is a positive weak solution, then $u'(r) < 0$ for any $r \in (0, R]$. If u is a negative solution, then $u'(r) > 0$ for any $r \in (0, R]$.*

Proof. Let us remark that **(F3)** implies that $f(r, s)s \geq 0$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, R] \times [0, R]$. Let u be a positive weak solution. We know from Lemma 3.11 that $u \in C^2[0, R]$ is a classical solution of (BP), hence for every $r \in [0, R]$, we have

$$- \left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} \right)' = r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) |u|^{q-2} u + r^{N-1} f(r, u).$$

Since for all $r \in (0, R)$, $f(r, u(r)) \geq 0$ we deduce that

$$- \left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} \right)' > 0$$

and hence we have, for all $0 < r \leq R$, that

$$\frac{r^{N-1}u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} < \frac{r^{N-1}u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'(r)|^2}} \Big|_{r=0} = 0$$

which means $u'(r) < 0$ for any $r \in (0, R]$. The proof is similar if u is a negative solution. \square

We will now start building the functional whose critical points will correspond to weak solutions of (BP).

First of all we observe that, since

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{2}t \leq 1 - \sqrt{1-t} \leq t, \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1],$$

we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^R r^{N-1}|u'|^2 dr \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1}(1 - \sqrt{1-|u'|^2}) dr \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1}|u'|^2 dr$$

and we can define $\Psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$,

$$\Psi(u) = \begin{cases} \int_0^R r^{N-1}(1 - \sqrt{1-|u'|^2}) dr & \text{if } u \in K, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 3.14. Ψ is weakly lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. In this proof we follow ideas from Bereanu, Jebelean, and Mawhin [4].

It is enough to show that $\Psi(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(u_n)$ for sequences $\{u_n\} \subset K$ which weakly converge to some $u \in K$. By Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem we know that a subsequence $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly in $C[0, R]$. Since $|u'_n|_\infty \leq 1$, we know that a subsequence (which we still denote u'_n) converges in the weak* $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ topology to a function $v \in L^\infty$, that is

$$\int_0^R u'_n(r)w(r) dr \rightarrow \int_0^R v(r)w(r) dr, \quad \text{for all } w \in L^1(0, R).$$

Take any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, R)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R u(r)\varphi'(r) dr &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R u_n(r)\varphi'(r) dr \\ &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R u'_n(r)\varphi(r) dr = - \int_0^R v(r)\varphi(r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $v(r) = u'(r)$.

Since the function $t \mapsto 1 - \sqrt{1 - t^2}$ is convex, we deduce that for all $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$(3.15) \quad \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |u'_n|^2}) dr \geq \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}) dr + \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{tu'(u'_n - tu')}{\sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}} dr.$$

Since $|tu'(r)| \leq t < 1$ for all $r \in [0, R]$ if $t \in (0, 1)$ we have that

$$w_t(r) \equiv r^{N-1} \left| \frac{tu'(r)}{\sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}(r)} \right| \leq \frac{R^{N-1}t}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}$$

and $w_t \in L^\infty \subset L^1$ follows. Then

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{tu'(r)}{\sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}} u'_n(r) dr \rightarrow \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{t|u'(r)|^2}{\sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}} dr$$

and from (3.15) we deduce that for all $t \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |u'_n|^2}) dr &\geq \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}) dr + \frac{1-t}{t} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{|tu'|^2}{\sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}} dr \\ &\geq \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq (1 - \sqrt{1 - |tu'|^2}) \leq 1$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$, we can use Lebesgue dominated convergence to pass to the limit as $t \rightarrow 1$, showing that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |u'_n|^2}) dr \geq \int_0^R r^{N-1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - |u'|^2}) dr,$$

and Ψ is weakly lower semicontinuous. \square

Since we are interested in positive and negative solutions, and since functions in K are bounded by R we introduce the following modifications of f :

$$\hat{f}^+(r, s) = \begin{cases} f(r, s) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq R \\ -f(r, R)(s - R - 1) & \text{if } R < s < R + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } s < 0 \text{ or } s \geq R + 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\hat{f}^-(r, s) = \begin{cases} f(r, s) & \text{if } -R \leq s \leq 0 \\ f(r, -R)(s + R + 1) & \text{if } -R - 1 < s < -R \\ 0 & \text{if } s > 0 \text{ or } s \leq -R - 1 \end{cases}$$

If f satisfies **(F1)** and **(F2)**, the same is true for \hat{f}^\pm , and we also have that $\hat{f}^\pm(r, s) \geq 0$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, R] \times \mathbb{R}$ so that all the results we have

proved in this section hold for \hat{f}^\pm . Since $\hat{f}^\pm(r, s)$ is continuous there exists a positive constant $c = c(R)$, such that

$$(3.16) \quad |\hat{f}^\pm(r, s)| \leq c(R) \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, R] \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Corresponding to the modified nonlinear terms \hat{f}^\pm we consider the following modified problems

$$(BP^+) \quad \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = \lambda r^{N-1}|u|^{q-2}u^+ + r^{N-1}\hat{f}^+(r, u) & r \in (0, R) \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $u^+ = \max\{0, u\}$ and

$$(BP^-) \quad \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = -\lambda r^{N-1}|u|^{q-2}u^- + r^{N-1}\hat{f}^-(r, u) & r \in (0, R) \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $u^- = \max\{0, -u\} = (-u)^+$.

Lemma 3.17. *Assume that u is a nontrivial weak solution of equation (BP^+) . Then u is a nonnegative weak (and hence classical) solution of (BP) .*

Similarly, a nontrivial weak solution of equation (BP^-) is actually a non-positive weak (and hence classical) solution of (BP) .

Proof. Let us prove the first assertion. Taking $\varphi = u^-$ in the definition of weak solution (3.2) for (BP^+) by u^- we get

$$-\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|(u^-)'|^2}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda |u|^{q-2}u^+ + \hat{f}^+(r, u) \right) u^- dr = 0,$$

which implies $u^- = 0$ and thus $u = u^+$ is a non-negative weak solution of (BP^+) . Moreover since $u \in K$ we have that $0 \leq u(r) \leq R$. It follows that $u^+(r) = u(r)$ and $\hat{f}^+(r, u(r)) = f(r, u(r))$ for all $r \in [0, R]$ and the Lemma follows. \square

We let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm(u) = -\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_0^R r^{N-1}b(r)|u^\pm|^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1}F^\pm(r, u) dr$$

where

$$F^\pm(r, s) = \int_0^s \hat{f}^\pm(r, t) dt.$$

Since \hat{f}^\pm are bounded and continuous, it is a standard fact that $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are C^1 maps and that for all $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm)'(u) | v \rangle = -\lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1}b(r)|u^\pm|^{q-2}u^\pm v dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1}\hat{f}^\pm(r, u)v dr.$$

The following property is an immediate consequence of the compactness of the embedding of \mathcal{A} in L^p_{N-1} :

Lemma 3.18. *The operator $(\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm)'$ is completely continuous on \mathcal{A} , i.e. if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, then $(\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm)'(u_n) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm)'(u)$.*

Then the Euler-Lagrange functional $I_\lambda^\pm: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ can be defined as

$$I_\lambda^\pm(u) = \Psi(u) + \mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm(u).$$

Now we want to explore properties of functionals I_λ^\pm . The proof of the following two Lemmas are similar to those in [11, Proposition 2.7 and proof of Theorem 1.4].

Lemma 3.19. *Assume $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is a critical point of I_λ^\pm . Then u satisfies*

$$(3.20) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|^2}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr < +\infty$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|u'|}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr < +\infty.$$

In particular we have that

$$E = \{ r \in (0, R) \mid |u'(r)| = 1 \}$$

is a null set with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Let us assume u is a critical point of I_λ^+ . The other case is similar. Since u is a critical point of I_λ^+ , by Definition 2.1, we have that $u \in K$ and for any $v \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^R r^{N-1} (-\sqrt{1-|v'|^2} + \sqrt{1-|u'|^2}) dr \\ & \geq \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r) |u^+|^{q-2} u^+ + \hat{f}^+(r, u) \right) (v - u) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v_t = (1-t)u$. Then $v_t \in K$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{\left(\sqrt{1-(1-t)^2|u'|^2} - \sqrt{1-|u'|^2} \right)}{t} \\ & \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r) |u^+|^q + \hat{f}^+(r, u) u \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from this that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1} (|u'|^2 - |(1-t)u'|^2)}{t \left(\sqrt{1-|(1-t)u'|^2} + \sqrt{1-|u'|^2} \right)} \\ & \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r) |u^+|^q + \hat{f}^+(r, u) u \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in K \subset L^\infty$ and f is a continuous function we have that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r) |u^+|^q + \hat{f}^+(r, u) u \right) dr < +\infty$$

and by Fatou's Lemma, letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain (3.20).

Proceeding now as in the proof of (3.6) in Lemma 3.4 we deduce from (3.20) that (3.21) holds. \square

Lemma 3.22. *If u is a critical point of I_λ^+ , then u is a weak solution of (BP^+) , and hence a nonnegative classical solution of (BP) .*

If u is a critical point of I_λ^- , then u is a weak solution of (BP^-) , and hence a nonpositive classical solution of (BP) .

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$(3.23) \quad E_k = \left\{ r \in (0, R) \mid |u'(r)| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

According to Lemma 3.19,

$$(3.24) \quad E = \left\{ r \in (0, R) \mid |u'(r)| = 1 \right\}$$

is a null set with respect to Lebesgue measure. Since $E_k \supset E_{k+1}$ and $E = \bigcap_k E_k$ we have that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |E_k| = |E| = 0$.

Take $\varphi \in K$ and let

$$\varphi_k(r) = - \int_r^R \varphi'(s) [1 - \chi_{E_k}(s)] ds,$$

where

$$\chi_{E_k}(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \in E_k, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \notin E_k. \end{cases}$$

For all $|t| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ we have $u + t\varphi_k \in K$. Indeed, since $(u + t\varphi_k)'(r) = u'(r) + t\varphi'(r)[1 - \chi_{E_k}(r)]$, we have, if $r \in E_k$,

$$|(u + t\varphi_k)'(r)| = |u'(r)| \leq 1$$

while if $r \notin E_k$, letting $|t| \leq \frac{1}{k}$, we have

$$|(u + t\varphi_k)'(r)| \leq |u'(r)| + |t||\varphi'(r)| < 1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} = 1.$$

We have that for all $0 < |t| \leq \frac{1}{k}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Psi(u + t\varphi_k) - \Psi(u)}{t} &= \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - |u'|^2} - \sqrt{1 - |(u + t\varphi_k)'|^2}}{t} dr \\ &= \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{2u'(r)\varphi'_k(r) + t\varphi'_k(r)^2}{\sqrt{1 - |u'|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |(u + t\varphi_k)'|^2}} dr \\ &= \int_{(0, R) \setminus E_k} r^{N-1} \frac{2u'(r)\varphi'_k(r) + t\varphi'_k(r)^2}{\sqrt{1 - |u'|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |(u + t\varphi_k)'|^2}} dr \end{aligned}$$

Since $|u'(r)| < 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ in $(0, R) \setminus E_k$ we can pass to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ to deduce that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(u + t\varphi_k) - \Psi(u)}{t} = \int_{(0,R)} r^{N-1} \frac{u'(r)\varphi_k'(r)}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr.$$

From the fact that u is a critical point we deduce that

$$\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm)'(u) | t\varphi_k \rangle + \Psi(u + t\varphi_k) - \Psi(u) \geq 0$$

and passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^\pm$ we obtain that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\pm)'(u) | \varphi_k \rangle + \frac{\Psi(u + t\varphi_k) - \Psi(u)}{t} \right) \\ &= \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\frac{u'\varphi_k'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} - \lambda b(r)|u^\pm|^{q-2}u^\pm \varphi_k - \hat{f}^\pm(r, u)\varphi_k \right) dr \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_{k+1} \subset E_k$ and $|E_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $\chi_{E_k} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $(0, R)$. Since (3.21) holds we deduce from Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{u'\varphi'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} [1 - \chi_{E_k}] dr \rightarrow \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{u'\varphi'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr.$$

Since also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r)|u^\pm|^{q-2}u^\pm + \hat{f}^\pm(r, u) \right) \varphi_k dr \\ \rightarrow \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r)|u^\pm|^{q-2}u^\pm + \hat{f}^\pm(r, u) \right) \varphi dr, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that any $\varphi \in K$ holds that

$$(3.25) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}u'\varphi'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}} dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\lambda b(r)|u^\pm|^{q-2}u^\pm + \hat{f}^\pm(r, u) \right) \varphi dr.$$

i.e. u is a weak solution of (BP^+) or (BP^-) . \square

We finish this section proving that the functional satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition.

Lemma 3.26. *Every Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_n\}$ of I_λ^\pm has a convergent subsequence in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. To fix ideas, let $\{u_n\}$ be a (PS) sequence for I_λ^+ . Since the Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset K$, then it is bounded and we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in K$. According to Lemma 3.14, Ψ is weakly lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{A} , so we have

$$(3.27) \quad \Psi(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(u_n).$$

Let us show that u is a weak solution of (BP^+) . For any $v \in \mathcal{A}$, we know that

$$\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^+)'(u_n) | v - u_n \rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \geq -\varepsilon_n \|v - u_n\|.$$

Taking the lim sup we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^+)'(u_n) | v - u_n \rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \right) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (-\varepsilon_n \|v - u_n\|),$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^+)'(u_n) | v - u_n \rangle + \Psi(v) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(u_n) \geq - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_n \|v - u_n\|.$$

Since $(\mathcal{F}_\lambda^+)'(u)$ is complete continuous (see Lemma 3.18) and (3.27) holds we find that

$$\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^+)'(u) | v - u \rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \geq 0,$$

which means that u is a critical point of I_λ^+ . From Lemma 3.22, u is a weak solution of (BP) and follows from Lemma 3.4 that there exists a constant $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $|u'(r)| < 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $r \in [0, R]$.

Now let $v = u$ in (2.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}_\lambda^+(u_n) | u - u_n \rangle + \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\sqrt{1 - |u_n'|^2} - \sqrt{1 - |u'|^2} \right) dr \\ \geq -\varepsilon_n \|u - u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in K$ using Lemma 3.18 again we have that $\langle (\mathcal{F}_\lambda^+)'(u_n) | u - u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{|u'|^2 - |u_n'|^2}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2}} dr \\ \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{|u'|^2 - |u_n'|^2}{\sqrt{1 - |u'|^2} + \sqrt{1 - |u_n'|^2}} dr \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| \leq \|u\|$. Since we also have that $\|u\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n\|$ it follows that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{A} . \square

4. EXISTENCE OF CRITICAL POINTS

In this section we will prove the existence of critical points for the functionals I_λ^\pm . We assume in this section that **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)**, **(F4)** and **(B1)** hold for f (and hence also for \hat{f}^\pm). We start by proving the existence of two minima, one positive and one negative (the global minima of, respectively, I_λ^+ and I_λ^-).

Lemma 4.1. *For all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $R > 0$ there exist $u_+ \geq 0$ and $u_- \leq 0$ such that*

$$I_\lambda^\pm(u_\pm) = \mu_\pm = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{A}} I_\lambda^\pm(u).$$

Moreover there exist $\bar{u}_\pm \in K$ with

$$(4.2) \quad \|\bar{u}_\pm\|^2 = \frac{R^N}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda^\pm(u_\pm) = \mu_\pm \leq I_\lambda^\pm(\bar{u}_\pm) \leq -R^N.$$

Proof. From (3.16) we know that $|\hat{f}^\pm(r, u)| \leq c$, and thus

$$F^\pm(r, u) \leq c|u^\pm|, \quad \forall r \in [0, R], u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have that $I_\lambda^\pm(u) = +\infty$ if $u \in \mathcal{A} \setminus K$. Recalling that $|u(r)| \leq R$ if $u \in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^\pm(u) &\geq -\frac{\lambda b_1}{q} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u^\pm|^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} c |u^\pm| dr \\ &\geq -\frac{\lambda b_1}{q} \int_0^R r^{N-1} R^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} c R dr = -\frac{\lambda b_1 R^{N+q}}{qN} - \frac{cR^{N+1}}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and I_λ^\pm is bounded from below on \mathcal{A} . Since I_λ^\pm satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, it follows from Proposition 2.6 that there exist $u_\pm \in K$ such that

$$I_\lambda^\pm(u_\pm) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{A}} I_\lambda^\pm(u).$$

We now estimate μ_\pm . Since

$$F(r, u) \geq a_1 |u|^\theta - a_2, \quad \forall (r, u) \in [0, R] \times [-R, R].$$

Let

$$\bar{u}_\pm(r) = \pm R \left(1 - \frac{r}{R}\right) \in K.$$

We have that

$$\|\bar{u}_\pm\|^2 = \int_0^R r^{N-1} |\bar{u}'_\pm(r)|^2 dr = \frac{R^N}{N}$$

and we deduce, using (1.2) of assumption **(F4)**

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(\bar{u}_\pm) &\leq (1 + a_2) R^N \int_0^1 t^{N-1} dt - a_1 R^{N+\theta} \int_0^1 t^{N-1} (1-t)^\theta dt \\ &\leq (1 + a_2) \frac{R^N}{N} - a_1 R^{N+\theta} \int_0^1 t^{N-1} (1-t)^\theta dt \\ &= (1 + a_2) \frac{R^N}{N} - a_1 R^{N+\theta} \frac{\Gamma(N)\Gamma(\theta+1)}{\Gamma(N+\theta+1)} \leq -R^N. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will now show that we can apply the Mountain Pass Theorem to our functionals I_λ^\pm .

Lemma 4.3. *There exist $\lambda_*(R) > 0$ and $\rho_+(R) > 0$ and such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$*

$$I_\lambda^+(u) \geq \frac{\rho_+(R)^2}{8} \quad \text{for all } \|u\| = \rho_+(R).$$

Moreover for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$ there is $\rho_-(\lambda, R) \in (0, \rho_+(R))$ such that

$$I_\lambda^+(u) \geq \frac{\rho_-(\lambda, R)^2}{8} \quad \text{for all } \rho_-(\lambda, R) \leq \|u\| \leq \rho_+(R)$$

and $\rho_-(\lambda, R) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Similar results holds for I_λ^- .

Proof. Take any $\alpha \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$. From **(F2)** follows that all $\epsilon > 0$ there is c_ϵ such that

$$|F^+(r, u)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon u^2 + c_\epsilon |u|^\alpha, \quad \forall (r, u) \in [0, R] \times [0, R].$$

Recalling the inequality (3.13) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^+(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u'|^2 dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left(\frac{\lambda b(r)}{q} |u|^q + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon u^2 + c_\epsilon |u|^\alpha \right) dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \epsilon d_2) \|u\|^2 - \lambda d_q \|u\|^q - c_\epsilon d_\alpha \|u\|^\alpha \\ &= \|u\|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - \epsilon d_2) - \lambda d_q \|u\|^{q-2} - c_\epsilon d_\alpha \|u\|^{\alpha-2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where c_ϵ , $d_2 = C(N, 2, R)$, $d_q = \frac{b_1}{q} C(N, q, R)$ and $d_\alpha = C(N, \alpha, R)$ depend on R (see Lemma 2.10). Take ϵ small enough so that $A = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon d_2) \geq \frac{3}{8}$. Let

$$H_{\lambda, R}(t) = \lambda d_q t^{q-2} + c_\epsilon d_\alpha t^{\alpha-2}$$

This function has a minimum at the point

$$t_{\lambda, R} = \left(\frac{\lambda(2-q)d_q}{(\alpha-2)c_\epsilon d_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-q}}$$

whose value is

$$H_{\lambda, R}(t_{\lambda, R}) = (\lambda d_q)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha-q}} (c_\epsilon d_\alpha)^{\frac{2-q}{\alpha-q}} \left(\frac{2-q}{\alpha-2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha-q}} \left(\frac{\alpha-q}{2-q} \right).$$

Let

$$\beta = \frac{(\alpha-q)^{\frac{\alpha-q}{\alpha-2}}}{(2-q)^{\frac{2-q}{\alpha-2}} (\alpha-2)}$$

and take

$$\lambda_*(R) = \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-q}{\alpha-2}} \frac{1}{\beta d_q (c_\epsilon d_\alpha)^{\frac{2-q}{\alpha-2}}}$$

so that

$$t_{\lambda_*(R), R} = \left(\frac{2-q}{4c_\epsilon(\alpha-q)d_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}.$$

If $\lambda < \lambda_*(R)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_-(\lambda, R) &\equiv t_{\lambda, R} = \left(\frac{\lambda(2-q)d_q}{(\alpha-2)c_\epsilon d_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-q}} < \left(\frac{\lambda_*(R)(2-q)d_q}{(\alpha-2)c_\epsilon d_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-q}} \\ &= t_{\lambda_*(R), R} = \left(\frac{2-q}{4c_\epsilon(\alpha-q)d_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}} = \rho_+(R). \end{aligned}$$

Since both d_α and c_ϵ are increasing functions of R , we have that $\rho_+(R)$ is a decreasing functions of R , while $\rho_-(\lambda, R)$ is an increasing function of $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$.

For all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*(R)$ and $\rho_-(\lambda, R) \leq \rho \leq \rho_+(R)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda,R}(t_{\lambda,R}) &\leq H_{\lambda,R}(\rho) \leq H_{\lambda,R}(t_{\lambda_*,R}) \\ &= \lambda d_q t_{\lambda_*,R}^{q-2} + c_\epsilon d_\alpha t_{\lambda_*,R}^{\alpha-2} \leq \lambda_* d_q t_{\lambda_*,R}^{q-2} + c_\epsilon d_\alpha t_{\lambda_*,R}^{\alpha-2} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce that for all u such that $\rho_-(\lambda, R) \leq \|u\| = \rho \leq \rho_+(R)$

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^+(u) &\geq \rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon d_2) - H_{\lambda,R}(\rho) \right) \\ &\geq \rho^2 \left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \geq \frac{\rho_-(\lambda, R)^2}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also remark that since we can assume that $c_\epsilon \geq 1$ and since $d_\alpha = C(N, \alpha, R) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that $\rho_+(R) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

The previous Lemma, together with Lemma 4.1 show that I_λ^\pm have the geometry of the Mountain Pass Theorem.

We now prove the existence of local minima (different from the global ones) in a neighborhood of the origin.

Lemma 4.4. *For all $R > 0$ there exists $\lambda_{**} < \lambda_*(R)$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{**})$ the functionals I_λ^\pm have local minima v_\pm such that $\|v_\pm\| \leq \rho_-(\lambda, R)$ and*

$$0 > I(v_\pm) = \min_{B_{\rho_+(R)}} I_\lambda^\pm > -R^N.$$

Proof. Let us prove the existence of v_+ , the existence of v_- follows from the same arguments applied to I_λ^- .

For any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$ let

$$B_{\rho(R)} = \{ u \in K \mid \|u\| \leq \rho(R) \}, \quad \partial B_{\rho(R)} = \{ u \in K \mid \|u\| = \rho(R) \}.$$

We know from Lemma 4.3 that for all u with $\|u\| = \rho(R)$ we have that

$$I_\lambda^+(u) \geq \frac{\rho_-(\lambda, R)^2}{8} \quad \text{for all } u \in \partial B_{\rho(R)}.$$

Set

$$d_{\lambda,R}^+ = \inf \{ I_\lambda^+(u) \mid u \in B_{\rho(R)} \}.$$

Take any positive function $v \in K$ (for example $\bar{v}_+ = R(1 - \frac{r}{R})$) and let $t \in (0, \frac{\rho(R)}{\|v\|})$ so that $tv \in B_{\rho(R)}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^+(tv) &\leq \int_0^R r^{N-1} |tv'|^2 dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{\lambda b_0}{q} |tv^+|^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} F^+(r, tv) dr \\ &\leq t^2 \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v'|^2 dr - t^q \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{\lambda b_0}{q} |v|^q dr < 0, \end{aligned}$$

for t small enough. Consequently, $d_{\lambda,R}^+ < 0$. From Proposition 2.6 it follows that $d_{\lambda,R}^+$ is a critical value and there exists $w_+ \in K$ such that $I_\lambda^+(w_+) = d_{\lambda,R}^+$.

We now estimate the value of the infimum d_λ^+ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^+(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon d_2)\|u\|^2 - \lambda d_q \|u\|^q - c_\epsilon d_\alpha \|u\|^\alpha \\ &\geq \inf_{\rho \leq \rho_-(\lambda, R)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon d_2)\rho^2 - \lambda d_q \rho^q - c_\epsilon d_\alpha \rho^\alpha \right\} \\ &\geq -\lambda d_q \rho_-(\lambda, R)^q - c_\epsilon d_\alpha \rho_-(\lambda, R)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\rho_-(\lambda, R) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that there exists $\lambda_{**} < \lambda_*(R)$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{**})$

$$d_{\lambda, R}^+ \geq -R^N.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let us prove the existence of three critical points of the functional I_λ^+ to which correspond, see Lemma 3.22, three positive solutions of (1.1).

The first critical point u_+ is the global minimum of the functional I_λ^+ , whose existence is given by Lemma 4.1. We also know that there is a function \bar{u}_\pm with norm $\|\bar{u}_\pm\|^2 = \frac{R^N}{N}$ and such that $I_\lambda^+(\bar{u}_+) \leq -R^N$.

This together with Lemma 4.3 shows that I_λ^+ has the geometry of the Mountain Pass Theorem [2] (remark that $\|\bar{u}_\pm\| > \rho_-(\lambda, R)$, possibly taking λ_* smaller). Since the Palais-Smale condition holds true, see Lemma 3.26, we immediately deduce the existence of a critical point w_+ at mountain pass level

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_\lambda^+(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma(t) = \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1]) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = u_+ \}$. In particular $I_\lambda^+(w_+) \geq \frac{\rho_+(R)^2}{8}$.

The existence of a local minimum v_+ follows from Lemma 4.4. Moreover we have, for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{**})$ that

$$(4.5) \quad I_\lambda^+(w_+) \geq \frac{\rho_+^2(R)}{8} > 0 > I_\lambda^+(v_+) \geq -R^N > I_\lambda^+(u_+)$$

which shows that the three critical points are different and concludes the proof of the Theorem. □

5. EXISTENCE OF AN ADDITIONAL SOLUTION

The goal of this section is to establish the existence of an additional critical point v_0 satisfying $I_\lambda(v_0) < 0$. We find it as Mountain Pass Theorem between the two local minima v_+ and v_- , following ideas of Ambrosetti, Garcia Azorero and Peral in [1].

We assume in this section that **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)**, **(F4)**, **(F5)**, **(F6)** and **(B1)** hold.

The first step is to show that v_{\pm} , the local minima of I_{λ}^{\pm} found in Theorem 1.4, are also local of the complete functional I_{λ} . In this section we will modify the nonlinear term and assume that $f(r, s)$ is as follows:

$$\hat{f}(r, s) = \begin{cases} f(r, s) & \text{if } |s| \leq R \\ \text{linear} & \text{if } R < |s| < R + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |s| \geq R + 1 \end{cases}$$

The same argument as in section 3 shows that critical points and weak solutions involving the modified nonlinearity are actually critical points and solutions of the original problem. We will write f instead of \hat{f} for simplicity. Also all the results of section 3, with the exception of sign condition on the solutions in Lemma 3.22, hold in this setting.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $v_{\pm} \in \mathcal{A}$ be the local minimizers of I_{λ}^{\pm} whose existence is given by Lemma 4.4. Then v_{\pm} are local minima also for the functional I_{λ} , i.e. there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$I_{\lambda}(v_{\pm}) \leq I_{\lambda}(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|u - v_{\pm}\| \leq \delta.$$

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 4.3 v_{\pm} are local minima per I_{λ}^{\pm} in the ball of radius $\rho_{-}(\lambda, R)$ and in the ball of radius $\rho_{+}(R)$. Suppose the conclusion does not hold. Then for any $\delta > 0$, there exists v_{δ} with $\|u_{+} - v_{\delta}\| \leq \delta$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad I_{\lambda}(u_{+}) > I_{\lambda}(v_{\delta}).$$

We will show that we can build, starting with v_{δ} for δ small enough, a function $\bar{v}_{\delta} \geq 0$ such that $\|\bar{v}_{\delta}\| \leq \|v_{\delta}\| \leq \rho_{-}(\lambda, R) + \delta < \rho_{+}(R)$ and

$$I_{\lambda}(v_{\delta}) > I_{\lambda}(\bar{v}_{\delta}) = I_{\lambda}^{+}(\bar{v}_{\delta}),$$

a contradiction which proves the Lemma.

We know from Lemma 3.12 that $v'_{+}(R) = -\mu < 0$, and that $v_{+}(r)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $r \in (0, R)$. Hence for all $\epsilon \in (0, v_{+}(0))$ there is $r_1 > 0$ such that $v_{+}(r) > \epsilon > 0$ for all $r \in (0, r_1)$. From $v_{+}(r) \approx -\mu(r - R)$ for r close to R , we also have that for ϵ small $r_1 \approx R - \frac{\epsilon}{\mu}$.

Take any $\epsilon > 0$ such that $3\epsilon < v_{+}(0)$ and any $0 < \tilde{r} < \epsilon$. For all $r \in (\tilde{r}, R)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{\delta}(r) - v_{+}(r)| &= \left| \int_r^R (v'_{\delta}(s) - v'_{+}(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq (R - r)^{1/2} \left(\int_r^R (v'_{\delta}(s) - v'_{+}(s))^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (R - r)^{1/2} \left(\int_r^R \left(\frac{s}{\tilde{r}} \right)^{N-1} (v'_{\delta}(s) - v'_{+}(s))^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{R^{1/2}}{\tilde{r}^{(N-1)/2}} \left(\int_0^R s^{N-1} (v'_{\delta}(s) - v'_{+}(s))^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{R^{1/2} \delta}{\tilde{r}^{(N-1)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking δ so small so that $\frac{R^{1/2}}{\tilde{r}^{(N-1)/2}}\delta < \epsilon$, we have that $v_\delta(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (\tilde{r}, r_1)$ and $v_\delta(r) > -\epsilon$ for all $r \in (\tilde{r}, R)$.

Since $|v'_+(r)| \leq 1$ and $|v'_\delta(r)| \leq 1$ we also have that

$$v_\delta(r) \geq v_\delta(\tilde{r}) - \epsilon \geq v_+(\tilde{r}) - 2\epsilon \geq v_+(0) - 3\epsilon > 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, \tilde{r})$$

and we deduce that $v_\delta(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, r_1)$ and $v_\delta(r) > -\epsilon$ for all $r \in (0, R)$.

Assuming that $v_\delta(r) < 0$ for some $r \in (r_1, R)$ we will show that for δ small there is \bar{v}_δ such that $\|\bar{v}_\delta\| < \rho_-(\lambda, R)$, $\bar{v}_\delta(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$ and $I_\lambda(v_\delta) \geq I_\lambda(\bar{v}_\delta)$.

To construct the new function \bar{v}_δ assume that for some $r_1 \leq r_2 < r_3 \leq R$ we have that $v_\delta(r_2) = v_\delta(r_3) = 0$, that $0 > v_\delta(r) > -\epsilon$ for all $r \in I = (r_2, r_3)$ and that $v_\delta(r) > 0$ in $(0, r_2)$.

Let also $\bar{r} \in I$ be the minimum point of v_δ in I and let $w_1 = |v_\delta(\bar{r})| < \epsilon$. We also let $\tau = \sup\{r < r_2 \mid v_\delta(r) > w_1\}$. We have that $\tau > 0$ provided ϵ is small enough.

We now define

$$\bar{v}_\delta(r) = \begin{cases} v_\delta(r) & r \in (0, \tau) \cup (r_3, R) \\ w_1 & r \in (\tau, \bar{r}) \\ -v_\delta(r) & r \in (\bar{r}, r_3) \end{cases}.$$

One can immediately check that

$$\|\bar{v}_\delta\|^2 = \int_0^R r^{N-1} v'_\delta(r)^2 dr - \int_\tau^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} v'_\delta(r)^2 dr < \|v_\delta\|^2$$

and hence

$$\|\bar{v}_\delta\| \leq \|v_\delta\| \leq \rho_-(\lambda, R) + \delta \leq \rho_+(R).$$

Let us now estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(v_\delta) - I_\lambda(\bar{v}_\delta) &= \int_\tau^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - |v'_\delta|^2}\right) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\tau^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} b(r) (w_1^q - |v_\delta(r)|^q) dr \\ &\quad + \int_\tau^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} (F(r, w_1) - F(r, v_\delta(r))) dr \\ &\quad + \int_{\bar{r}}^{r_3} r^{N-1} (F(r, -v_\delta(r)) - F(r, v_\delta(r))) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|v_\delta(r)| \leq w_1$ for all $r \in (\tau, r_3)$ we have that the second term is positive.

Let us now analyse the other terms. We have that for all $r \in (r_2, \bar{r})$

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, w_1) - F(r, v_\delta(r)) &= F(r, w_1) - F(r, -v_\delta(r)) + F(r, -v_\delta(r)) - F(r, v_\delta(r)) \\ &\geq F(r, -v_\delta(r)) - F(r, v_\delta(r)) \geq -|v_\delta(r)|^{\bar{\theta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used assumption **(F5)** and the fact that from **(F3)** follows that $f(r, s) \geq 0$ for all $(r, s) \in [0, R] \times [0, R]$.

Hence we have that

$$I_\lambda(v_\delta) - I_\lambda(\bar{v}_\delta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\tau^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr - \int_{r_2}^{r_3} r^{N-1} |v_\delta|^{\bar{\theta}} dr.$$

Follows from the Sobolev embedding that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1^2 &\leq C_1 \int_{r_2}^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr \\ \int_{r_2}^{r_3} r^{N-1} |v_\delta|^2 dr &\leq C_2 \int_{r_2}^{r_3} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr \leq C_2 \rho_+(R)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(v_\delta) - I_\lambda(\bar{v}_\delta) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\tau^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr - w_1^{\bar{\theta}-2} \int_{r_2}^{r_3} r^{N-1} |v_\delta|^2 dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_2}^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr - \left(C_1 \int_{r_2}^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{\bar{\theta}-2}{2}} C_2 \rho_+(R)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which is positive provided $\int_{r_2}^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr$ is small enough since $\frac{\bar{\theta}-2}{2} > 1$ by assumption **(F5)**. To show that $\int_{r_2}^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr$ goes to zero as δ goes to zero it is enough to remark that

$$\int_{r_2}^{\bar{r}} r^{N-1} |v'_\delta|^2 dr \leq (r_3 - r_2) R^{N-1}$$

and $r_3 - r_2 \leq R - r_1 \approx \frac{\epsilon}{\mu}$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

In case \bar{v}_δ is not everywhere positive, we can repeat this argument. It is clear that after a finite number of steps we can stop, by setting $\bar{v}_\delta(r) \equiv 0$ for all $r \in (r_3, R)$ provided $R - r_3$ is sufficiently small and we have that $\bar{v}_\delta(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$ and $\|\bar{v}_\delta\| \leq \rho(R)$ is such that

$$I_\lambda(v_+) > I_\lambda(\bar{v}_\delta)$$

and the Lemma follows. \square

Lemma 5.3. *There is λ_{***} such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{***})$ there is $\gamma \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ such that*

$$\gamma(0) = v_+, \quad \gamma(1) = v_- \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)) < 0.$$

Proof. Let $g(t) = I_\lambda(tv_+)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g'(t)}{t} &= \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1} |v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1 - |tv'_+|^2}} dr - t^{q-2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) |v_+|^q dr \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, tv_+) v_+ dr. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\ell(t) = \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1-|tv'_+|^2}} dr$$

is an increasing function of $t > 0$. Let us analyze the function

$$\beta(t) = t^{q-2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) |v_+|^q dr + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, tv_+) v_+ dr.$$

We have that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty$. We also know that $g'(1) = \ell(1) - \beta(1) = 0$ since v_+ is a critical point for I_λ .

The derivative of β is

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'(t) &= (q-2)t^{q-3} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) |v_+|^q dr \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, tv_+) v_+ dr + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f'(r, tv_+) v_+^2 dr, \end{aligned}$$

which is negative for $t \in J = (0, \tilde{t})$, for a $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that $\beta'(\tilde{t}) = 0$. We deduce that β is decreasing in J and hence that $\ell(t) - \beta(t)$ has at most one zero in J . Let us estimate \tilde{t} .

From $g'(1) = 0$ we deduce that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) |v_+|^q dr = \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1-|v'_+|^2}} dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, v_+) v_+ dr,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \beta'(\tilde{t}) &= (q-2)\tilde{t}^{q-3} \left(\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1-|v'_+|^2}} dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, v_+) v_+ dr \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\tilde{t}^2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, \tilde{t}v_+) v_+ dr + \frac{1}{\tilde{t}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f'(r, \tilde{t}v_+) v_+^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

From **(F6)** we deduce that for all s small $f(r, s) = f'(r, \bar{s})s \leq c|s|^{\tilde{\theta}-1}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &(2-q)\tilde{t}^{q-3} \left(\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1-|v'_+|^2}} dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, v_+) v_+ dr \right) \\ &\leq (2-q)\tilde{t}^{q-3} \left(\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1-|v'_+|^2}} dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, v_+) v_+ dr \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{t}^2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, \tilde{t}v_+) v_+ dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{t}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} f'(r, \tilde{t}v_+) v_+^2 dr \leq c\tilde{t}^{\tilde{\theta}-3} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v_+|^{\tilde{\theta}} dr \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{t}^{\tilde{\theta}-q} &\geq (2-q) \frac{\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'_+|^2}{\sqrt{1-|v'_+|^2}} dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, v_+) v_+ dr}{c \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v_+|^{\tilde{\theta}} dr} \\
&\geq (2-q) \frac{\int_0^R r^{N-1} |v'_+|^2 dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, v_+) v_+ dr}{c \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v_+|^{\tilde{\theta}} dr} \\
&\geq (2-q) \frac{\int_0^R r^{N-1} |v'_+|^2 dr - c \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v_+|^{\tilde{\theta}} dr}{c \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v_+|^{\tilde{\theta}} dr} \\
&\geq \frac{(2-q)}{c} \left(\frac{\|v_+\|^2}{C(N, \tilde{\theta}, R) \|v_+\|^{\tilde{\theta}}} - c \right) \\
&\geq \frac{(2-q)}{c} \left(\frac{1}{C(N, \tilde{\theta}, R) \rho_-(\lambda, R)^{\tilde{\theta}-2}} - c \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking λ small we can make $\tilde{t} > 1$. This implies that $t = 1$ is the only zero of $\ell(t) - \beta(t)$ in J , that $g'(t) = t(\ell(t) - \beta(t))$ is negative in $(0, 1)$ and we finally find that $I_\lambda(tv_+) < 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

The same argument also apply to show that $I_\lambda(tv_-) < 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

We can now build the path γ .

Consider 2-dimensional plane Π_2 containing the straight lines tv_+ and tv_- (If v_+ and v_- are proportional, take any 2-dimensional plane containing them), and take any $v \in \Pi_2$ with $\|v\| = \varepsilon_2$. Since in the 2-dimensional plane Π_2 all the norms are equivalent and $F(r, s) \geq 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\lambda(v) &\leq \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v'|^2 dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{\lambda b_0}{q} |v|^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} F(r, v) dr \\
&\leq (\varepsilon_2)^2 - \frac{\lambda b_0}{q} (c^*)^q (\varepsilon_2)^q < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the path γ obtained gluing together the segments tv_- for $t \in (\varepsilon_2 \|v_-\|^{-1}, 1)$, tv_+ , $t \in (\varepsilon_2 \|v_+\|^{-1}, 1)$ and the arc on the circle in $\Pi_2 \{v \in \Pi_2 \mid \|v\| = \varepsilon_2\}$. From the above, we know

$$\max_{v \in \tilde{\gamma}} I_\lambda(v) < 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We know from Lemma 5.1 that v_\pm are local minima for the functional I_λ . We can also assume v_\pm are isolated local minima. We let

$$b_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_\lambda(\gamma(t))$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{A}) \mid \gamma(0) = v_-, \gamma(1) = v_+, \|\gamma(t)\| \leq \rho(R) \}.$$

We know from Lemma 5.3 that $b_\lambda < 0$ and that I_λ satisfies the (PS) condition. Then we can apply the Mountain Pass Theorem and find the seventh solution of our problem. \square

6. MULTIPLICITY RESULT INVOLVING GRADIENT TERM

In this section, we will prove the results on multiplicity of solutions for the mean curvature equation with nonlinearity depending also on the gradient (1.8). We remark that this problem does not have a variational structure.

We will follow ideas introduced by De Figueiredo, Girardi, and Matzeu [14] to deal with semilinear elliptic equations involving the Laplacian. If we look for radial solutions of (1.8), we are lead to consider the one-dimensional mixed boundary problem

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = \lambda b(r)r^{N-1}|u|^{q-2}u + r^{N-1}g(r, u, |u'|) & \text{in } (0, R) \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0 \end{cases}$$

In order to apply the critical point Theorem, we freeze the derivative term and study a modified problem which does not depend on the derivative term. Namely we consider, for each $\omega \in C^1[0, R] \cap K$, the following problem

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = \lambda b(r)r^{N-1}|u|^{q-2}u + r^{N-1}g(r, u, |\omega'|) & \text{in } (0, R) \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that for all $\omega \in C^1[0, R] \cap K$ the function $f(r, s) \equiv g(r, s, |\omega'(r)|)$ satisfies assumptions **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)** and **(F4)** if g satisfies assumptions **(G1)**, **(G2)**, **(G3)** and **(G4)**.

Then we immediately deduce

Lemma 6.3. *Let g satisfy **(G1)**, **(G2)**, **(G3)** and **(G4)** and assume **(B1)** holds. Let $\omega \in C^1([0, R]) \cap K$. Then*

- For all $\lambda > 0$ (6.2) has one positive solution u_ω^+ and one negative solution u_ω^- , global minima of the corresponding functionals;
- There is $\lambda_*(R)$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*(R))$ (6.2) has one additional positive solution v_ω^+ and one additional negative solution v_ω^- of mountain pass type.

Let us remark that here, as in section 3, we modify the function $g(r, s, |\omega'(r)|)$ in the following way

$$\hat{g}_\omega^+(r, s) = \begin{cases} g(r, s, |\omega'(r)|) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq R \\ -g(r, R, |\omega'(r)|)(s - R - 1) & \text{if } R < s < R + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } s < 0 \text{ or } s \geq R + 1 \end{cases}$$

and similarly for the function $\hat{g}_\omega^-(r, s)$. It is immediate to observe that \hat{g}_ω^+ satisfies assumptions **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(F3)** and **(F4)** if g satisfies assumptions **(G1)**, **(G2)**, **(G3)** and **(G4)**.

For simplicity we deal here only the existence of positive solutions, similar reasoning work for the existence of negative solutions.

We let

$$I_{\omega,\lambda}^+(u) = \int_0^R r^{N-1}(1 - \sqrt{1 - |u'|^2}) dr - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_0^R b(r)r^{N-1}|u^+|^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1}G_\omega^+(r, u) dr,$$

where

$$G_\omega^+(r, s) = \int_0^s \hat{g}_\omega^+(r, t) dt.$$

We now give the following Lemma of the lower bound for the solutions obtained by Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that $\omega \in C^1[0, R] \cap K$. Then there exists a positive constant $\varrho_1(R)$, independent of ω , such that*

$$\|v_\omega^+\| \geq \varrho_1(R),$$

where v_ω^+ is the (positive) solution of mountain pass type whose existence is stated in Lemma 6.3.

Proof. Follows from **(F2)** (which is a consequence of **(G2)**) that there is a constant $\tilde{c} > 0$ which does not depend upon ω such that

$$(6.5) \quad |\hat{g}_\omega^+(r, s)| \leq \tilde{c}|s|, \quad \forall (r, s) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

and also that for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ there is a constant C_ϵ (which does not depend on ω) such that for

$$0 \leq \hat{g}_\omega^+(r, s)s \leq \epsilon|s|^2 + C_\epsilon|s|^\alpha.$$

We also remark that

$$\frac{s^2}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} - q \left(1 - \sqrt{1-s^2}\right) \geq \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}\right) s^2 \quad \text{for all } s \in (-1, 1).$$

Since v_ω^+ is a weak solution, it holds (where, for simplicity of notation, we let $v = v_\omega^+$)

$$\int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'|^2}{\sqrt{1-|v'|^2}} dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1}\lambda b(r)|v|^q dr + \int_0^R r^{N-1}\hat{g}_\omega^+(r, v)v dr,$$

while from the fact that v is a mountain pass critical point we deduce that

$$I_{\omega,\lambda}^+(v) = \int_0^R r^{N-1}(1 - \sqrt{1 - |v'|^2}) dr - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_0^R r^{N-1}b(r)|v|^q dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1}G_\omega^+(r, v) dr > 0.$$

Using the above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^R r^{N-1}(1 - \sqrt{1 - |v'|^2}) dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1}G_\omega^+(r, v) dr \\ & > \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_0^R r^{N-1}b(r)|v|^q dr = \frac{1}{q} \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'|^2}{\sqrt{1 - |v'|^2}} dr - \frac{1}{q} \int_0^R r^{N-1}\hat{g}_\omega^+(r, v)v dr, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{q}{2}\right) \int_0^R r^{N-1}|v'|^2 dr \\ & \leq \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1}|v'|^2}{\sqrt{1 - |v'|^2}} dr - q \int_0^R r^{N-1}(1 - \sqrt{1 - |v'|^2}) dr \\ & < \int_0^R r^{N-1}\hat{g}_\omega^+(r, v)v dr - q \int_0^R r^{N-1}G_\omega^+(r, v) dr \\ & \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1}(\epsilon|v|^2 + C_\epsilon|v|^\alpha) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Taking ϵ small enough so that $1 - \frac{q}{2} - \epsilon C(N, 2, R) > 0$ we find that

$$\left(1 - \frac{q}{2} - \epsilon C(N, 2, R)\right) \|v\|^2 \leq C_\epsilon C(N, \alpha, R) \|v\|^\alpha$$

and the Lemma follows. \square

A similar result holds for the global minimum of functional $I_{\omega, \lambda}^+$.

Lemma 6.6. *Assume that $\omega \in C^1[0, R] \cap K$. Then there exists a positive constant $\varrho_2(R)$, independent of ω , such that*

$$\|u_\omega^+\| \geq \varrho_2(R),$$

where u_ω^+ is the (positive) global minimum whose existence is stated in Lemma 4.1.

Proof. It is enough to remark that, according to Lemma 4.1, the global minimum u_ω^+ is such that $I_{\omega, \lambda}^+(u_\omega^+) \leq -R^N$.

Suppose that there exist a sequence of functions $\omega_n \in C^1[0, R] \cap K$ such that the corresponding global minima $\{u_{\omega_n}\}$ are such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{\omega_n}\| = 0$. By the continuity of $I_{\omega, \lambda}^+$ we immediately deduce that

$$-R^N \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_{\omega, \lambda}^+(u_{\omega_n}) = 0,$$

a contradiction which proves the Lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.11. We now consider the following problem

$$(MP_\omega^+) \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1 - |u'|^2}}\right)' = \lambda b(r)r^{N-1}|u|^{q-2}u + r^{N-1}\hat{g}_\omega^+(r, u) & \text{in } (0, R), \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Choose any $\omega_0 \in C^1[0, R] \cap K$ and define by induction a sequence v_n , where v_n is a positive mountain pass solution of problem (MP_ω^+) with $\omega = \omega_{n-1}$ (if there is more than one such solution, choose any one) and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_n = v_{n-1}$.

In such a way we construct a sequence of positive mountain pass solutions $\{v_n\} \subset C^1[0, R] \cap K$. Moreover, $I_{\omega_{n-1}, \lambda}^+(v_n) > 0$.

Similarly we can define by induction a sequence u_n , where u_n is a global minimum solution of problem (MP_ω^+) with $\omega = \omega_{n-1}$ (if there is more than one such solution, choose any one) and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_n = u_{n-1}$.

We will show that $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences. We only give the proof for $\{u_n\}$.

We deduce from the fact that u_n and u_{n+1} are positive weak solutions of (MP_ω^+) and recalling the definition of \hat{g}_ω^+ we have that

$$(6.7) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1} u_n'(u_{n+1} - u_n)'}{\sqrt{1 - |u_n'|^2}} dr \\ = \int_0^R r^{N-1} (\lambda b(r) u_n^{q-1} + g(r, u_n, |u_{n-1}'|)) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad \int_0^R \frac{r^{N-1} u_{n+1}'(u_{n+1} - u_n)'}{\sqrt{1 - |u_{n+1}'|^2}} dr \\ = \int_0^R r^{N-1} (\lambda b(r) u_{n+1}^{q-1} + g(r, u_{n+1}, |u_n'|)) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr.$$

By Lemma 3.4, we know $|u_n'(r)| < 1$. Then Mean Value Theorem shows that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \frac{|(u_{n+1} - u_n)'|^2}{(1 - |\psi'|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) (u_{n+1}^{q-1} - u_n^{q-1}) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr \\ + \int_0^R r^{N-1} (g(r, u_{n+1}, |u_n'|) - g(r, u_n, |u_{n-1}'|)) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr,$$

where $\psi' = u_n' + \theta(u_{n+1}' - u_n')$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. As a consequence we have

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} |(u_{n+1} - u_n)'|^2 dr \leq \int_0^R r^{N-1} \lambda b(r) (u_{n+1}^{q-1} - u_n^{q-1}) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr \\ + \int_0^R r^{N-1} (g(r, u_{n+1}, |u_n'|) - g(r, u_n, |u_n'|)) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr \\ + \int_0^R r^{N-1} (g(r, u_n, |u_n'|) - g(r, u_n, |u_{n-1}'|)) (u_{n+1} - u_n) dr.$$

From $|x^{q-1} - y^{q-1}| \leq q(|x| + |y|)^{q-2}|x - y|$ and using assumption **(G5)** we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|^2 &\leq \lambda q b_1 \int_0^R r^{N-1} (u_{n+1} + u_n)^{q-2} |u_{n+1} - u_n|^2 dr \\ &\quad + L_1 \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u_{n+1} - u_n|^2 dr \\ &\quad + L_2 \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left| |u'_n| - |u'_{n-1}| \right| |u_{n+1} - u_n| dr. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $0 \leq u_n \leq R$ and that $u'_n \leq 0$ (see Lemma 3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|^2 &\leq (\lambda(2R)^{q-2} q b_1 + L_1) \int_0^R r^{N-1} |u_{n+1} - u_n|^2 dr \\ &\quad + L_2 \left(\int_0^R r^{N-1} |u'_n - u'_{n-1}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^R r^{N-1} |u_{n+1} - u_n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\lambda(2R)^{q-2} q b_1 + L_1) C_2 \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|^2 + \sqrt{C_2} L_2 \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = C(N, 2, R)$ is the Sobolev embedding constant. Let $0 < \bar{\lambda} < \lambda_*(R)$ be small enough so that

$$\lambda(2R)^{q-2} q b_1 C_2 < \frac{1}{4}$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$. Then follows from assumption (1.10) that

$$(\lambda(2R)^{q-2} q b_1 + L_1) C_2 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 \sqrt{C_2} < \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \frac{L_2 \sqrt{C_2}}{1 - (\lambda b_1 (2R)^{q-2} q + L_1) C_2} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| := k \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|,$$

with $k < 1$ and $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Then u_n converges strongly to some $u \in \mathcal{A}$. From Lemma 6.4, we know $\|u\| \geq \varrho_1(R)$, hence it is a nontrivial solution. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Antonio Ambrosetti, Jesus Garcia Azorero, and Ireneo Peral. Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 137(1):219–242, 1996.
- [2] Antonio Ambrosetti and Paul H. Rabinowitz. Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *J. Functional Analysis*, 14:349–381, 1973.
- [3] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, and J. Mawhin. Radial solutions for some nonlinear problems involving mean curvature operators in Euclidean and Minkowski spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 137(1):161–169, 2009.
- [4] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, and J. Mawhin. The Dirichlet problem with mean curvature operator in Minkowski space – a variational approach. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 14(2):315–326, 2014.

- [5] Cristian Bereanu, Petru Jebelean, and Jean Mawhin. Radial solutions for Neumann problems involving mean curvature operators in Euclidean and Minkowski spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, 283(3):379–391, 2010.
- [6] Cristian Bereanu, Petru Jebelean, and Jean Mawhin. Multiple solutions for Neumann and periodic problems with singular ϕ -Laplacian. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(11):3226–3246, 2011.
- [7] Cristian Bereanu, Petru Jebelean, and Jean Mawhin. Radial solutions of Neumann problems involving mean extrinsic curvature and periodic nonlinearities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 46(1-2):113–122, 2013.
- [8] Cristian Bereanu, Petru Jebelean, and Pedro J. Torres. Multiple positive radial solutions for a Dirichlet problem involving the mean curvature operator in Minkowski space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265(4):644–659, 2013.
- [9] Cristian Bereanu, Petru Jebelean, and Pedro J. Torres. Positive radial solutions for Dirichlet problems with mean curvature operators in Minkowski space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264(1):270–287, 2013.
- [10] D. Bonheure, J. M. Gomes, and L. Sanchez. Positive solutions of a second-order singular ordinary differential equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 61(8):1383–1399, 2005.
- [11] Denis Bonheure, Pietro d’Avenia, and Alessio Pomponio. On the electrostatic Born-Infeld equation with extended charges. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 346(3):877–906, 2016.
- [12] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing Tung Yau. Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(3):407–419, 1976.
- [13] Isabel Coelho, Chiara Corsato, and Sabrina Rivetti. Positive radial solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Minkowski-curvature equation in a ball. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 44(1):23–39, 2014.
- [14] Djairo De Figueiredo, Mario Girardi, and Michele Matzeu. Semilinear elliptic equations with dependence on the gradient via mountain-pass techniques. *Differential Integral Equations*, 17(1-2):119–126, 2004.
- [15] F. J. Flaherty. The boundary value problem for maximal hypersurfaces. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 76(10):4765–4767, 1979.
- [16] Andrzej Szulkin. Minimax principles for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire*, 3:77–109, 1986.