

Global Well-posedness for the Fourth-order Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Mingjuan Chen^a, Yufeng Lu^{b,*}, and Yaqing Wang^a

^a Department of Mathematics, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, P.R. China,

^b School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen 361021, P.R. China,

E-mails: mjchen@jnu.edu.cn, lyf@jmu.edu.cn

September 18, 2024

Abstract

The local and global well-posedness for the one dimensional fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation are established in the modulation space $M_{2,q}^s$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$. The local result is based on the $U^p - V^p$ spaces and crucial bilinear estimates. The key ingredient to obtain the global well-posedness is that we achieve a-priori estimates of the solution in modulation spaces by utilizing the power series expansion of the perturbation determinant introduced by Killip-Visan-Zhang for completely integrable PDEs.

Keywords: Well-posedness; Fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Bilinear estimates; Completely integrable structure.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4NLS) on the line \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xxxx} + 8u_{xx}|u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx}u^2 + 6u_x^2\bar{u} + 4u|u_x|^2 + 6u|u|^4 = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u is a complex valued function of $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and the fixed coefficients in the nonlinearities could ensure the complete integrable structure. 4NLS (1.1), as a member of the NLS integrable hierarchy, can be expressed as the compatibility conditions of linear eigenvalue equations. For a more general class of the 4NLS:

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + 2u|u|^2 + \gamma(u_{xxxx} + 8u_{xx}|u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx}u^2 + 6u_x^2\bar{u} + 4u|u_x|^2 + 6u|u|^4) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

which can be used to describe the propagation of ultrashort optical pulses in long-distance high-speed optical fiber transmission systems [1, 8], or the three dimensional motion of

*Corresponding author.

an isolated vortex filament embedded in an inviscid incompressible fluid [6]. In magnetic mechanics, it can describe the nonlinear spin excitations in one-dimensional isotropic bi-quadratic Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin with the octuple-dipole interaction [7].

It is worth noting that 4NLS (1.1) is endowed with two important features. One is the complete integrability: in the sense that it admits Lax pair formulations, thus one can show that the solution exists globally in time for any Schwartz initial data. The other one is the scaling symmetry: for any solution $u(x, t)$ to (1.1) with initial data $u_0(x)$, then the λ -scaled function $u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^4 t)$ is also a solution to (1.1) with λ -scaled initial data $u_{0,\lambda}(x) = \lambda u_0(\lambda x)$. The Sobolev space \dot{H}^{s_c} is called the scaling-critical space if it satisfies $\|u_{0,\lambda}\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} \sim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}}$. By a simple calculation, one can know that $s_c = -1/2$. We reasonably predict that (1.1) is ill-posed in H^s when $s < -1/2$.

The Cauchy problem for the 4NLS has been studied extensively by many researchers. Segata [31] established the local-wellposedness of (1.2) excluding the nonlinearity $u_{xx}|u|^2$ in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1/2$ under the condition $\gamma < 0$. Then Huo and Jia [15] relaxed the restriction $\gamma < 0$ while still not incorporating $u_{xx}|u|^2$. For the complete (1.2) which includes the nonlinearity $u_{xx}|u|^2$, Segata [32] obtained the local-wellposedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > 7/12$ under the condition $\gamma < 0$. Huo and Jia [16] eliminated the requirement $\gamma < 0$ and improved the regularity to $s > 1/2$ by employing a crucial maximal function estimate. In addition, it is known that (1.2) is ill-posed in H^s for $s \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ in the sense that the data-to-solution map fails to be uniformly continuous, see [24, 33]. There are also many studies focusing on the 4NLS with different nonlinearities or in the probabilistic setting, see, for example, [23, 26, 34] on the torus, [14, 28, 29, 30, 36] on the real line, [4, 9, 25] in the probabilistic setting.

In this work, we study the sharp global well-posedness of the 4NLS (1.1) in modulation spaces $M_{2,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1/2$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$. The sharpness arises from the ill-posed theory in [24, 33], which implies that the 4NLS can not be solved in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s < 1/2$ by a contraction mapping principle. Many researchers have directed their attention towards solving nonlinear PDEs in modulation spaces, see [11, 12, 17, 27, 35] and the references therein. We recall the definition of the modulation spaces $M_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$, which was introduced by Feichtinger [10]. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1], \quad \psi_n(\xi) := \psi(\xi - n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_n(\xi) \equiv 1,$$

then the modulation spaces $M_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$ are defined as the collection of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f\|_{M_{p,q}^s} < \infty$, where the $M_{p,q}^s$ -norm can be equivalently defined in the following way (cf. [37, 38]):

$$\|f\|_{M_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R})} = \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\square_n f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\|_{\ell_n^q(\mathbb{Z})}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\square_n = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_n(\xi) \mathcal{F}$, \mathcal{F} (\mathcal{F}^{-1}) denotes the (inverse) Fourier transform on \mathbb{R} , and $\langle n \rangle = (1 + |n|^2)^{1/2}$.

By incorporating the U^p and V^p spaces into modulation spaces, and applying Strichartz-type estimates, bilinear estimates and L^4 estimates, we derive nonlinear estimates and achieve local well-posedness in modulation spaces. In order to upgrade local well-posedness to global well-posedness, we need to develop the specific properties of the 4NLS (1.1) and obtain the global bounds of the solutions. The main idea is from Killip-Visan-Zhang [19] where they exploited the complete integrable structure and obtained the conservation laws on the H^s -norm of solutions for KdV, NLS and mKdV equations. The 4NLS (1.1) belongs to the classical ZS-AKNS system and admits the following Lax pair formulation [39]:

$$\frac{d}{dt}L(t; \kappa) = [P(t; \kappa), L(t; \kappa)] \quad \text{with} \quad L(t; \kappa) = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x + \kappa & u(x) \\ -\bar{u}(x) & -\partial_x - \kappa \end{bmatrix}$$

and some operator pencil $P(t; \kappa)$ whose precise form will play no role in this paper. We define the following perturbation determinant

$$\alpha(\kappa; u) := \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ [(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1/2} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1/2}]^{\ell} \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

which formally represents

$$-\operatorname{Re} \log \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} (-\partial_x + \kappa)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (-\partial_x - \kappa)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x + \kappa & u(x) \\ -\bar{u}(x) & -\partial_x - \kappa \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

Here, the trace of an operator A on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ($\operatorname{tr}A$) is defined in Definition 2.1. We will show that $\alpha(\kappa; u)$ is conserved under the 4NLS flow (1.1) in Proposition 5.2. The $M_{2,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$ -norm of solutions can be related to the size of the leading term in the series $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$, therefore it is almost conserved and can be controlled by the norm of the initial data. Finally, the local well-posedness can be extended to global well-posedness.

In this work, we shall prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $2 \leq q < \infty$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $u_0 \in M_{2,q}^s$. Then there exists a time $T > 0$ such that 4NLS (1.1) is locally well posed in $C([0, T]; M_{2,q}^s) \cap X_{q,S}^s([0, T])$, where $X_{q,S}^s$ is defined in Definition 2.6.*

Theorem 1.2. *Fix parameters s and q conforming to either of the following restrictions: $q = 2$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, or $2 < q < \infty$ and $0 \leq s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. Then there exists a nondecreasing function $C_{s,q} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \lesssim C_{s,q}(\|u_0\|_{M_{2,q}^s}). \quad (1.6)$$

for any Schwartz class solution u to (1.1) on \mathbb{R} .

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we can establish the subsequent global well-posedness.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $2 \leq q < \infty$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $u_0 \in M_{2,q}^s$. Then 4NLS (1.1) is globally well-posed.*

Remark 1.4. *For $q = 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$, or $2 < q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$, Theorem 1.3 is a corollary to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. For larger s , a persistence of regularity argument with the global bounds on the $M_{2,q}^{1/2}$ -norms of solutions implies the corresponding global well-posedness.*

Remark 1.5. *Local well-posedness in Theorem 1.1 is achieved by using PDE techniques and the contraction principle, without requiring the complete integrable structure. On the other hand, for the general 4NLS operator $i\partial_t + \Delta^2 \pm \Delta$, we can combine Biharmonic estimates in low frequency with Strichartz estimates to obtain nonlinear estimates. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 is available for the following general 4NLS equation:*

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + \gamma u_{xxxx} + a_1 u|u|^2 + a_2 u_{xx}|u|^2 + a_3 \bar{u}_{xx}u^2 + a_4 u_x^2 \bar{u} + a_5 u|u_x|^2 + a_6 u|u|^4 = 0,$$

which improves the local results in [15, 16, 31, 32].

Remark 1.6. *For the spectral parameter $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ in (1.4), its real part and imaginary part correspond to dilation and translation transformations, respectively. Therefore, the advantage of the imaginary part of κ can be fully developed in the modulation space. Combining with the scaling symmetry of the 4NLS equation (1.1) itself, we can obtain Theorem 1.2 for $2 < q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. If the equation does not enjoy the scaling symmetry, the result shall need the small initial condition. However, for $q = 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$ ($M_{2,2}^s = B_{2,2}^s = H^s$), we can choose $\text{Re}\kappa$ large enough to remedy the absence of scaling symmetry and achieve the result for large initial data in Besov space, see [3].*

Therefore, we have the following corollary, which is the sharp global well-posedness via the contraction principle method, since the data-to-solution map fails to be uniformly continuous when $s < 1/2$, see [33].

Corollary 1.7. *The 4NLS equation (1.2) is globally well-posed in H^s for $s \geq 1/2$.*

Notations. Let $c < 1$, $C > 1$ denote positive universal constants, which can be different at different places. We use the notation $a \lesssim b$ if there is an independently constant C such that $a \leq Cb$. Denote $a \sim b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. $a \approx b$ means that $|a - b| \leq C$. Denote $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$, $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$. p' is the dual number of $p \in [1, \infty]$, i.e., $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. The (inverse) Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}$ in \mathbb{R} can be defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx, \quad (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) = \check{f}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\xi)e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval of finite length, we denote $u_\lambda = \square_\lambda u$, $u_I = \sum_{\lambda \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}} u_\lambda$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some function spaces utilized in establishing the well-posedness theory for nonlinear dispersive equations. U^p and V^p spaces were initially introduced by Koch and Tataru [5, 20, 21, 22] in the study of NLS and mKdV. They have played a crucial role in establishing the well-posedness of various equations, including the KP-II equation [13], the cubic NLS equation [11, 21, 22], the DNLS equation [12], and the mKdV equation [2].

2.1 Function spaces

Definition 2.1. Let A be an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with continuous integral kernel $K(x, y)$. The trace of operator A is defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}(A) := \int K(x, x) dx.$$

If A is a Hilbert-schmidt operator with integral kernel $K(x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, then

$$\mathrm{tr}(A^2) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x, y) K(y, x) dx dy,$$

and

$$\|A\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2}^2 := \mathrm{tr}(AA^*) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy. \quad (2.1)$$

Let \mathcal{Z} be the set of finite partitions $-\infty = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{K-1} < t_K = \infty$. In the following, we consider functions taking values in $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$, but in the general L^2 can be replaced by an arbitrary Hilbert space or general Banach space.

Definition 2.2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any $\{t_k\}_{k=0}^K \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{K-1} \subset L^2$ with $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \|\phi_k\|_2^p = 1$, $\phi_0 = 0$. A step function $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2$ given by

$$a = \sum_{k=1}^K \chi_{[t_{k-1}, t_k)} \phi_{k-1}$$

is said to be a U^p -atom. The set of all U^p atoms is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(U^p)$. The U^p space is

$$U^p := \left\{ u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j a_j : a_j \in \mathcal{A}(U^p), c_j \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| < \infty \right\}$$

for which the norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{U^p} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| : u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j a_j, a_j \in \mathcal{A}(U^p), c_j \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We define V^p as the normed space of all functions $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^2$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} v(t)$ exist and for which the norm

$$\|v\|_{V^p} := \sup_{\{t_k\}_{k=0}^K \in \mathcal{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \|v(t_k) - v(t_{k-1})\|_{L^2}^p \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite, where we use the convention that $v(-\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)$ and $v(\infty) = 0$ (here $v(\infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)$ are different notations). Likewise, we denote by V_-^p the subspace of all $v \in V^p$ so that $v(-\infty) = 0$. Moreover, we define the closed subspace V_{rc}^p ($V_{-,rc}^p$) as all right continuous functions in V^p (V_-^p).

Definition 2.4. We define

$$U_S^p := e^{i\partial_x^4} U^p, \quad \|u\|_{U_S^p} = \|e^{-it\partial_x^4} u\|_{U^p},$$

$$V_S^p := e^{i\partial_x^4} V^p, \quad \|u\|_{V_S^p} = \|e^{-it\partial_x^4} u\|_{V^p},$$

and similarly for the definition of $V_{rc,S}^p$, $V_{-,S}^p$, $V_{-,rc,S}^p$.

Definition 2.5. Besov type Bourgain's spaces $\dot{X}^{s,b,q}$ are defined by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{X}^{s,b,q}} := \left\| \left\| \chi_{|\tau - \xi^4| \in [2^{j-1}, 2^j]} |\xi|^s |\tau - \xi^4|^b \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2} \right\|_{\ell_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^q}.$$

Definition 2.6. The frequency-uniform localized U^2 -spaces $X_q^s(I)$ and V^2 -spaces $Y_q^s(I)$ are defined by

$$\|u\|_{X_q^s(I)} = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{sq} \|\square_\lambda u\|_{U^2}^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad X_q^s := X_q^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|v\|_{Y_q^s(I)} = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{sq} \|\square_\lambda v\|_{V^2}^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad Y_q^s := Y_q^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|u\|_{X_{q,S}^s} := \|e^{-it\partial_x^4} u\|_{X_q^s}, \quad \|v\|_{Y_{q,S}^s} := \|e^{-it\partial_x^4} v\|_{Y_q^s}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.2 Known results

Proposition 2.7 (Scaling properties). Let $s \geq 0$, $q \geq 2$, then

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{M_{2,q}^s(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \lambda^{-1/q'} \|f(\cdot)\|_{M_{2,q}^s(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda \leq 1; \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{M_{2,q}^s(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \lambda^{s-1/2} \|f(\cdot)\|_{M_{2,q}^s(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{for } \lambda > 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Proposition 2.8. [3] Suppose that the operator A is given on the Fourier side by

$$\widehat{A}f(\xi) = \int m(\xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta,$$

then the following results hold

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A^* f}(\xi) &= \int \overline{m(\eta, \xi)} \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta, \\ \text{tr}(A) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int m(\xi, \xi) d\xi, \quad \|A\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |m(\xi, \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, if A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are Hilbert-Schmidt operators with Fourier kernels m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n , then

$$\text{tr}(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) \cdots m_n(\xi_n, \xi_1) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n. \quad (2.8)$$

The following known results about U^p and V^p can be found in [11, 13, 20, 22].

Proposition 2.9. (Embedding) *Let $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. We have the following results.*

- (i) U^p and $V^p, V_{rc}^p, V_-^p, V_{rc,-}^p$ are Banach spaces.
- (ii) $U^p \subset V_{rc,-}^p \subset U^q \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2)$. Every $u \in U^p$ is right continuous on $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) $V^p \subset V^q, V_-^p \subset V_-^q, V_{rc}^p \subset V_{rc}^q, V_{rc,-}^p \subset V_{rc,-}^q$.
- (iv) $\dot{X}^{0,1/2,1} \subset U_S^2 \subset V_S^2 \subset \dot{X}^{0,1/2,\infty}$.

Proposition 2.10. (Interpolation) *Let $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. There exists a positive constant $\epsilon(p, q) > 0$, such that for any $u \in V^p$ and $M > 1$, there exists a decomposition $u = u_1 + u_2$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{M} \|u_1\|_{U^p} + e^{\epsilon M} \|u_2\|_{U^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{V^p}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proposition 2.11. (Orthogonality in V^2) *Take an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, then for $u \in V^2$ the following orthogonality holds:*

$$\|u_I\|_{V^2} \leq \left(\sum_{\lambda \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}} \|u_\lambda\|_{V^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proposition 2.12. (Duality) *Let $1 \leq p < \infty, 1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Then $(U^p)^* = V^{p'}$ in the sense that*

$$T : V^{p'} \rightarrow (U^p)^*; \quad T(v) = B(\cdot, v), \quad (2.11)$$

is an isometric mapping. The bilinear form $B : U^p \times V^{p'}$ is defined as follows: For a partition $\mathfrak{t} := \{t_k\}_{k=0}^K \in \mathcal{Z}$, we define

$$B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u, v) = \sum_{k=1}^K \langle u(t_{k-1}), v(t_k) - v(t_{k-1}) \rangle. \quad (2.12)$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product on L^2 . For any $u \in U^p$, $v \in V^{p'}$, there exists a unique number $B(u, v)$ satisfying the following property. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition t such that

$$|B(u, v) - B_{t'}(u, v)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall t' \supset t.$$

Moreover,

$$|B(u, v)| \leq \|u\|_{U^p} \|v\|_{V^{p'}}.$$

In particular, let $u \in V_-^1$ be absolutely continuous on compact interval, then for any $v \in V^{p'}$,

$$B(u, v) = \int \langle u'(t), v(t) \rangle dt.$$

Proposition 2.13. [12] (Duality) Let $1 \leq q < \infty$. Then $(X_q^s)^* = Y_{q'}^{-s}$ in the sense that

$$T : Y_{q'}^{-s} \rightarrow (X_q^s)^*; \quad T(v) = B(\cdot, v), \quad (2.13)$$

is an isometric mapping, where the bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined in Proposition 2.12. Moreover, we have

$$|B(u, v)| \leq \|u\|_{X_q^s} \|v\|_{Y_{q'}^{-s}}.$$

3 Basic Estimates

Lemma 3.1. [18](Strichartz-type Estimates) Let $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, a pair (p, q) is called biharmonic admissible or Strichartz admissible if

$$\frac{4}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

(i) Let (p, q) be biharmonic admissible, then

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^4} \phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) Let (p, q) be Strichartz admissible, then

$$\|D_x^{2/p} e^{it\partial_x^4} \phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, for $N \geq 1$,

$$\|P_N e^{it\partial_x^4} \phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim \langle N \rangle^{-2/p} \|\phi\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

By testing atoms in U_S^p space, we obtain

$$\|P_N u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim \langle N \rangle^{-2/p} \|u\|_{U_S^p}. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.2 (Bilinear Estimates). Suppose that $\widehat{u}_0, \widehat{v}_0$ are localized in some compact intervals I_1, I_2 with $\text{dist}(I_1, I_2) \gtrsim \lambda > 0$. For any $\xi_1 \in \text{supp } \widehat{u}_0$, $\xi_2 \in \text{supp } \widehat{v}_0$,

(i) if $2|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2| \sim N$, then

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^4} u_0 e^{it\partial_x^4} v_0\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim N^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^4} u_0 \overline{e^{it\partial_x^4} v_0}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim N^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

(ii) if $|\xi_1| \sim N_1$, $|\xi_2| \sim N_2$, then

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^4} u_0 e^{it\partial_x^4} v_0\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim (\lambda \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\})^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^4} u_0 \overline{e^{it\partial_x^4} v_0}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim (\lambda \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\})^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. For (3.7), by duality, it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{\|g\|_{L_{x,t}^2}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it\partial_x^4} u_0 e^{it\partial_x^4} v_0 \cdot \overline{g} \, dx dt \right| \lesssim (\lambda \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\})^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

From the Plancherel theorem and the definition of Fourier transform, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS}(3.9) &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L_{x,t}^2}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it((\xi-\xi_1)^4 + \xi_1^4)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \widehat{v}_0(\xi - \xi_1) d\xi_1 \cdot \overline{\widehat{g}}(\xi, t) d\xi dt \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L_{x,t}^2}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{x,t} g)(\xi_1 + \xi_2, \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \widehat{v}_0(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right| \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Let $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $\tau = \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4$. A simple calculation shows that $d\xi d\tau = |J| d\xi_1 d\xi_2$, $|J| = 4|\xi_1^3 - \xi_2^3| \gtrsim \lambda \cdot \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\}$. Then by using Hölder's inequality and the change of variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS}(3.9) &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{u}_0(\xi_1(\xi, \tau)) \widehat{v}_0(\xi_2(\xi, \tau))|^2 |J|^{-2} d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \widehat{v}_0(\xi_2)|^2 |J|^{-1} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim (\lambda \cdot \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\})^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

A similar argument gives (3.5) as long as $|J|$ is replaced by $4|\xi_1^3 - \xi_2^3| \sim N^3$. (3.6) and (3.8) can be derived through analogous discussions. \square

Next by testing atoms in the U^2 space and applying the interpolation in Proposition 2.10, we get the bilinear estimates as follows.

Corollary 3.3 (Bilinear Estimates). *Let $0 < T \leq 1$. Suppose that \widehat{u}, \widehat{v} are localized in some compact intervals I_1, I_2 with $\text{dist}(I_1, I_2) \gtrsim \lambda > 0$. For any $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, $\xi_1 \in \text{supp } \widehat{u}$, $\xi_2 \in \text{supp } \widehat{v}$,*

(i) if $2|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2| \sim N$, then

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{x,t \in [0,T]}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/4} N^{-3/2+\varepsilon} \|u\|_{V_S^2} \|v\|_{V_S^2}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\|u\bar{v}\|_{L^2_{x,t \in [0,T]}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/4} N^{-3/2+\varepsilon} \|u\|_{V_S^2} \|v\|_{V_S^2}. \quad (3.13)$$

(ii) if $|\xi_1| \sim N_1$, $|\xi_2| \sim N_2$, then

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{x,t \in [0,T]}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/4} (\lambda \cdot \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\})^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \|u\|_{V_S^2} \|v\|_{V_S^2}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|u\bar{v}\|_{L^2_{x,t \in [0,T]}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/4} (\lambda \cdot \max\{N_1^2, N_2^2\})^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \|u\|_{V_S^2} \|v\|_{V_S^2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Lemma 3.4. (L^4 Estimates) Let $I \subset [0, +\infty)$ or $(-\infty, 0]$ with $|I| < \infty$. For any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\|u_I\|_{L^4_{x,t \in [0,T]}}^2 \lesssim (T^{1/4} + T^{(1-\theta)/4} |I|^{2\beta+(1-\theta)/2}) \|u\|_{X_{4,S}^{-1/4}(I)}^2. \quad (3.16)$$

In particular, if $1 \lesssim |I| < \infty$, $0 < T < 1$, then for any $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, $4 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$\|u_I\|_{L^4_{x,t \in [0,T]}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/4} |I|^{1/4-1/q+\varepsilon} \max_{\lambda \in I} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-3/4} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(I)}. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $I \subset [0, +\infty)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_I\|_{L^4([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}^2 &= \|(u_I)^2\|_{L^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} = \left\| \sum_{m,n \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}} u_m u_n \right\|_{L^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{m-n \sim 2^k} u_m u_n \right\|_{L^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = 0$, we utilize the orthogonality in L^2 and Strichartz estimates in $L_t^8 L_x^4$ to derive the conclusions. When $k > 0$, by applying the interpolation in Proposition 2.10, bilinear estimates in Lemma 3.3, and Strichartz estimates, we can complete the proof. The detailed proof follows a similar approach to the L^4 estimates in [2, 11], and is therefore omitted here. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $1 \lesssim |I| < \infty$, $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, we have

$$\|u_I\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap V_S^2} \lesssim |I|^{1/2-1/q} \max_{\lambda \in I} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(I)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. By employing the embedding $V_S^2 \subset L_t^\infty L_x^2$, the orthogonality in V^2 and Hölder's inequality, we can derive the following results step by step:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_I\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap V_S^2} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\lambda \in I} \|u_\lambda\|_{V_S^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \max_{\lambda \in I} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in I} (\langle \lambda \rangle^{1/2} \|u_\lambda\|_{V_S^2})^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |I|^{1/2-1/q} \max_{\lambda \in I} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(I)}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4 Local well-posedness

It is known that 4NLS (1.1) is equivalent to the following integral equation:

$$u(x, t) = e^{it\partial_x^4} u_0 + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} F(u(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$F(u) = 8u_{xx} |u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx} u^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 4u |u_x|^2 + 6u |u|^4.$$

4.1 Trilinear estimates

Lemma 4.1. *Let $q \geq 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, for any $u \in X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have*

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} (u_{xx} |u|^2) (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3; \quad (4.2)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} (\bar{u}_{xx} u^2) (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3; \quad (4.3)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} (u_x^2 \bar{u}) (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3; \quad (4.4)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} (u |u_x|^2) (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. The most crucial and challenging estimate is (4.2). The estimates of (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) can be deduced in a similar way as (4.2). Therefore, for the sake of brevity, we only prove (4.2).

By Propositions 2.12 and 2.13, we see that, for $\text{supp } v \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} F(u(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &= \sup \left\{ \left| B \left(\int_0^t e^{-i\tau\partial_x^4} F(u(\tau)) d\tau, v \right) \right| : \|v\|_{Y_{q'}^{-1/2}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_{Y_{q'}^{-1/2}} \leq 1} \left| \int_{[0,T]} \langle F(u(\tau)), e^{i\tau\partial_x^4} v(\tau) \rangle d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\|_{Y_{q'}^{-1/2}} \leq 1} \left| \int_{[0,T]} \langle F(u(\tau)), v(\tau) \rangle d\tau \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0,T]} \bar{v} (\partial_x^2 u) u \bar{u} dx dt \right| \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3 \|v\|_{Y_{q'}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (4.7)$$

We perform a frequency-uniform decomposition with u, v in the left-hand side of (4.7), it suffices to prove that

$$\left| \sum_{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_1}) u_{\lambda_2} \bar{u}_{\lambda_3} dx dt \right| \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q, S}^{1/2}}^3 \|v\|_{Y_{q', S}^0}. \quad (4.8)$$

In order to keep the left-hand side of (4.8) nonzero, we have the frequency constraint condition (FCC)

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_0 \approx 0. \quad (4.9)$$

We only need to consider the cases that λ_0 is minimal or secondly minimal number in $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3$ (Otherwise one can replace $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3$ with $-\lambda_0, \dots, -\lambda_3$).

Step 1. $\lambda_0 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$. We separate the proof into two subcases $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_0 < 0$.

Step 1.1. $\lambda_0 \geq 0$. Let us denote $I_0 = [0, 1)$, $I_j = [2^{j-1}, 2^j)$, $j \geq 1$. We decompose $\lambda_0 + [0, \infty)$ by dyadic decomposition, i.e.,

$$\lambda_k \in \lambda_0 + [0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_k \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_k}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

By FCC (4.9), we see that $j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2)$. Then $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3$ have the following two orders:

$$\text{Order 1 : } \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3$$

$$\text{Order 2 : } \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$$

We will take Order 1 for example because Order 2 is similar and even easier (notice that the derivatives are located in u_{λ_1}).

Order 1 : $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3$. In view of FCC (4.9), we see that $j_2 \leq j_1 \approx j_3$. It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^+(u, v) &:= \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_1}) u_{\lambda_2} \bar{u}_{\lambda_3} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, 0 \leq j_2 \leq j_1 \approx j_3 \lesssim 1} + \sum_{\lambda_0, j_2 \geq 0, j_1 \approx j_3 \gg 1} \right) \\ &\quad \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} dx dt \\ &:= \mathcal{L}_l^+(u, v) + \mathcal{L}_h^+(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

In $\mathcal{L}_l^+(u, v)$, we have $\lambda_0 \approx \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3$. So, by Hölder's inequality, Strichartz estimate and $U_S^2 \subset V_S^2 \subset U_S^8$, we have

$$|\mathcal{L}_l^+(u, v)| \lesssim T^{1/2} \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_0 \approx \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{5/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4} \|u_{\lambda_1}\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4} \|u_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4} \|u_{\lambda_3}\|_{L_t^8 L_x^4}$$

$$\lesssim T^{1/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \cdot \left(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|u_{\lambda_0}\|_{U_S^2} \right)^3 \lesssim T^{1/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \quad (4.10)$$

In $\mathcal{L}_h^+(u, v)$, notice that the frequency of v_{λ_0} and $u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_1}}$ have transversality. Thus we can use bilinear estimate (Lemma 3.3), L^4 estimate (Lemma 3.4) and V_S^2 estimate (Lemma 3.5) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_h^+(u, v)| &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0, j_2 \geq 0, j_1 \approx j_3 \gg 1} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|\bar{u}_{\lambda_0+I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0, j_2 \geq 0, j_1 \approx j_3 \gg 1} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_1} \rangle^2 T^{\varepsilon/4} [2^{j_1} \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_1} \rangle^2]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_1}}\|_{V_S^2} \\ &\quad \cdot T^{\varepsilon/2} 2^{(j_2+j_3)(1/4-1/q+\varepsilon)} \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_2} \rangle^{-3/4} \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_3} \rangle^{-3/4} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0, j_2 \geq 0, j_1 \gg 1} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_1} \rangle^{-1/4+2\varepsilon} \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_2} \rangle^{-3/4} \\ &\quad 2^{j_1(1/4-2/q+2\varepsilon)+j_2(1/4-1/q+\varepsilon)} \cdot \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(\lambda_0+I_{j_1})} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0, j_2 \geq 0, j_1 \gg 1} 2^{j_1(-2/q+4\varepsilon)+j_2(-1/q+\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(\lambda_0+I_{j_1})} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Making the summation on j_2 , then applying Hölder's inequality on λ_0 , and finally making the summation on j_1 , we know for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2q$,

$$|\mathcal{L}_h^+(u, v)| \lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{j_1 \gg 1} 2^{j_1(-2/q+4\varepsilon)} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3.$$

Step 1.2. $\lambda_0 < 0$. We can assume that $\lambda_0 \ll 0$. For short, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_k \in h_- \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4]; \\ \lambda_k \in h_+ \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [-3\lambda_0/4, -\lambda_0]; \\ \lambda_k \in l_- \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [3\lambda_0/4, 0]; \\ \lambda_k \in l_+ \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [0, -3\lambda_0/4]. \end{cases}$$

We need to consider the following three cases $\lambda_1 \in h_-$, $\lambda_1 \in l_-$ and $\lambda_1 \in [0, \infty)$ separately.

Case A. $\lambda_1 \in h_-$. We consider the following four subcases as in Table 1.

Case A	$\lambda_1 \in$	$\lambda_2 \in$	$\lambda_3 \in$
$h_- h_- h_-$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0, \lambda_0/2 + C)$
$h_- l_- l_{h_-}$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4 - C, \lambda_0/4)$
$h_- l_- l_{l_{\pm}}$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[\lambda_0/4, -\lambda_0/4 + C)$
$h_- a_+ a_+$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[0, \infty)$	$[-C, \infty)$

Table 1: $\lambda_0 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$, $\lambda_0 \ll 0$, $\lambda_1 \in h_-$.

Case $h_-h_-h_-$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\lambda_k \in \lambda_0 + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{4}) = \bigcup_{j_k \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_k}), \quad k = 1, 2; \quad \lambda_3 \in \lambda_0 + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2} + C) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}),$$

where we can assume that dyadic interval I_{j_1} satisfies $I_{j_1} = [2^{j_1-1}, 2^{j_1}] \cap [0, -\lambda_0/4)$. In the following, we will all explain it in this way. By FCC (4.9), we see that $j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2)$ and $0 \leq j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, 0 \leq j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, 0 \leq j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim 1} + \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, 1 \ll j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \right) \\ &\quad \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} dx dt \\ &:= \mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^{-,l}(u, v) + \mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^{-,h}(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

In $\mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^{-,l}(u, v)$, we have $\lambda_0 \approx \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3$. Using the same method as in $\mathcal{L}_l^+(u, v)$ (4.10), we can get the result and the details are omitted.

In $\mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^{-,h}(u, v)$, we have $\langle \lambda_0 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_1 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_2 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_3 \rangle$. By applying bilinear estimate (3.14), L^4 estimate (3.17), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^{-,h}(u, v)| &\lesssim \sum_{1 \ll j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{1 \ll j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2 \cdot T^{\varepsilon/4} [2^{j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}}\|_{V_S^2} \\ &\quad \cdot T^{\varepsilon/2} 2^{(j_1 + j_2)(1/4 - 1/q + \varepsilon)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{1 \ll j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2\varepsilon(j_1 + j_2)(1/4 - 1/q + \varepsilon) + j_3(-1/2 + \varepsilon)} \\ &\quad \cdot 2^{j_3(1/2 - 1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{1 \ll j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2 + 2\varepsilon(j_1 + j_2)(1/4 - 1/q + \varepsilon) + j_3(-1/q + \varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-2/q + 4\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Here we first make the summation on j_1, j_2, j_3 (as long as $0 < \varepsilon < 1/q$), then apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 .

Case $h_-l_-l_-$. By FCC (4.9), we see that $\lambda_2 \in [3\lambda_0/4, \lambda_0/4 + C)$. Then we have $\langle \lambda_0 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_1 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_2 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_3 \rangle$. Using the same method as in $\mathcal{L}_{h_-h_-h_-}^{-,h}(u, v)$, we can get the result as desired.

Case $h_-l_-l_{l\pm}$. We decompose λ_k :

$$\lambda_1 \in \lambda_0 + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{4}) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_2 \in [\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}, 0) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} -I_{j_2}; \quad \lambda_3 \in [\frac{\lambda_0}{4}, -\frac{\lambda_0}{4} + C) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} \pm I_{j_3}.$$

Noticing that $|\lambda_0 - \lambda_2| \geq \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_3| \geq \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, so we can use twice bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h_-l_-l_{l\pm}}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, 0 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}} u_{-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{\pm I_{j_3}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{-I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|(\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}) \bar{u}_{\pm I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{0 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{5/2} [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2 + \varepsilon} 2^{j_2(-1/q)} \\ &\quad \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2 + \varepsilon} 2^{j_1(1/2 - 1/q) + j_3(-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{0 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1 + 6\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(1/2 - 1/q) + (j_2 + j_3)(-1/q)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2 - 1/q + 6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Here the summations on j_2, j_3 are convergent to a constant, the summation on j_1 is controlled by $\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2 - 1/q}$, and we finally use Hölder's inequality on λ_0 .

Case $h_-a_+a_+$. We decompose λ_k :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \in \lambda_0 + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{4}) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_2 \in [0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} I_{j_2}; \\ \lambda_3 \in [-C, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} I_{j_3}, \quad I_{-1} = [-C, 0). \end{aligned}$$

In this case we have $|\lambda_0 - \lambda_2| \gtrsim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_3| \gtrsim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ and $j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. Noticing that we only use $j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ and do not use $j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ in (4.12), so we can use the same method as in (4.12) to estimate this case and the details are omitted.

Case B. $\lambda_1 \in l_-$. We need to consider the following subcases as in Table 2.

For *Case $l_-h_-l_{h-}$* and *Case $l_-h_-l_{l\pm}$* , we can use the same method as for *Case $h_-l_-l_{h-}$* and *Case $h_-l_-l_{l\pm}$* in *Case A*, respectively. In fact, these two cases are easier because the derivative at low frequency is simpler than at high frequency, so we omit it.

Case $l_-l_{h-}l_{h-}$. By FCC (4.9), we see that $\lambda_1 < 3\lambda_0/8 + C$. Then we have $\langle \lambda_k \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$. We decompose λ_k :

$$\lambda_1 \in \frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + [0, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{8} + C) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} (\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_2 \in \frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2}) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} (\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + I_{j_2});$$

Case B	$\lambda_1 \in$	$\lambda_2 \in$	$\lambda_3 \in$
$l_-h_-l_{h-}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[3\lambda_0/4 - C, \lambda_0/4)$
$l_-h_-l_{l\pm}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0/4, -\lambda_0/4 + C)$
$l_-l_{h-}l_{h-}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, \lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0/2 - C, \lambda_0/8)$
$l_-l_{h-}l_{l-}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, \lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0/8, 0)$
$l_-l_{h-}l_{l+}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, \lambda_0/4)$	$[0, -3\lambda_0/4 + C)$
$l_-l_{l-}l_{l+}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[-C, -\lambda_0/2)$
$l_-l_{l-}h_{l+}$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[-\lambda_0/2, -\lambda_0 + C)$
$l_-a_+a_+$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[0, \infty)$	$[-\lambda_0/4 - C, \infty)$

Table 2: $\lambda_0 \ll 0$, $\lambda_1 \in l_-$, dividing the range of λ_2 .

$$\lambda_3 \in \frac{\lambda_0}{2} + [-C, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{8}) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} (\frac{\lambda_0}{2} + I_{j_3}), \quad I_{-1} = [-C, 0).$$

Noticing that $|\lambda_0 - \lambda_2| \gtrsim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ and $j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15), L^4 estimates (3.17) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{l_-l_{h-}l_{h-}}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{3\lambda_0/4+I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|\partial_x^2 u_{3\lambda_0/4+I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|\bar{u}_{\lambda_0/2+I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \\ &\lesssim T^{3\epsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\epsilon} \cdot 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2(j_1+j_3)(1/4-1/q+\epsilon)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/4} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/4} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\epsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/q+5\epsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\epsilon/4} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Case $l_-l_{h-}l_{l-}$. By FCC (4.9), we see that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_0/4 + C$. We decompose λ_k :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \in \frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2} + C) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} (\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_2 \in \frac{\lambda_0}{4} + [\frac{\lambda_0}{2}, 0) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} (\frac{\lambda_0}{4} - I_{j_2}); \\ \lambda_3 \in [\frac{\lambda_0}{8}, 0) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} -I_{j_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $|\lambda_0 - \lambda_1|$, $|\lambda_2 - \lambda_3| \gtrsim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ and $j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{l_-l_{h-}l_{l-}}^-(u, v) &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{3\lambda_0/4+I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{\lambda_0/4-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{\epsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2 \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\epsilon} \cdot 2^{j_1(1/2-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)+j_3(-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_2 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$.

Case $l_-l_h-l_+$. We collect λ_k in the following dyadic version:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in [\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}, 0) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} -I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in \lambda_0 + [-\frac{\lambda_0}{4}, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}) = \bigcup_{j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}); \\
\lambda_3 \in [0, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + C) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} I_{j_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_1) and (λ_2, λ_3) have transversality and $j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{l_-l_h-l_+}^-(u, v) &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{-I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot 2^{2j_1} [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(-1/q)} \\
&\quad \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)+j_3(-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Case $l_-l_l-l_+$. By FCC (4.9), we see that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_0/4 + C$. We decompose λ_k :

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in \lambda_0 + [-\frac{\lambda_0}{4}, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + C) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_2 \in [\frac{\lambda_0}{4}, 0) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} (-I_{j_2}); \\
\lambda_3 \in [-C, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2}) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} I_{j_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality and $j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{l_-l_l-l_+}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_1}}) u_{-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{-I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|(\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0+I_{j_1}}) \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(-1/q)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2 [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(1/2-1/q)+j_3(-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-1/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the same summation order as in (4.12).

Case $l_-l_-h_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 & \in \left[\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}, 0\right) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} -I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in \left[\frac{\lambda_0}{4}, 0\right) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} -I_{j_2}; \\
\lambda_3 & \in -\lambda_0 + \left[\frac{\lambda_0}{2}, C\right) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} (-\lambda_0 - I_{j_3}), \quad I_{-1} = [-C, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_1) and (λ_2, λ_3) have transversality, and $j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. Using a similar way as in (4.13), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{l_-l_-h_+}^-(u, v) & := \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{-I_{j_1}}) u_{-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 - I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{-I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 - I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot 2^{2j_1} [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(-1/q)} \\
& \quad \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(-1/q)+j_3(1/2-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Case $l_-a_+a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 & \in \frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + \left[0, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}\right) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} \left(\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + I_{j_1}\right); \quad \lambda_2 \in [0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} I_{j_2}; \\
\lambda_3 & \in -\frac{\lambda_0}{4} + [-C, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} \left(-\frac{\lambda_0}{4} + I_{j_3}\right), \quad I_{-1} = [-C, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality and $j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. Using the embedding $\|u_{3\lambda_0/4+I_{j_1}}\|_{V_S^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}$ for any fixed j_1 , it follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\mathcal{I}_{l_-a_+a_+}^-(u, v) := \sum_{j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_2, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{3\lambda_0/4+I_{j_1}}) u_{I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0/4+I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_2, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|(\partial_x^2 u_{3\lambda_0/4+I_{j_1}}) \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0/4+I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_2, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{5/2} \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(-1/q)} [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^2]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \\
&\quad \cdot 2^{j_3(1/2-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2+4\varepsilon} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} 2^{j_3(1/2-1/q)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

Here we make the summation on j_2 . Then one can use the inequalities

$$(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} \lesssim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1-1/q+3\varepsilon} 2^{j_3(-1/2+1/q-\varepsilon)}, \quad \sum_{j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} 1 \lesssim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^\varepsilon,$$

and Hölder's inequality on λ_0 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{l_- a_+ a_+}^-(u, v) &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \geq -1} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-1/q+8\varepsilon} 2^{j_3(-\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Case C. $\lambda_1 \in [0, \infty)$. We need to consider the following subcases as in Table 3.

Case C	$\lambda_1 \in$	$\lambda_2 \in$	$\lambda_3 \in$
$a_+ h_- a_+$	$[0, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0, \lambda_0/2)$	$[-C, \infty)$
$a_+ l_- a_+$	$[0, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0/2, 0)$	$[-\lambda_0/2 - C, \infty)$
$l_+ a_+ a_+$	$[0, -\lambda_0/2)$	$[0, \infty)$	$[-\lambda_0 - C, \infty)$
$a_+ l_+ a_+$	$[-\lambda_0/2, \infty)$	$[0, -\lambda_0/2)$	$[-3\lambda_0/2 - C, \infty)$
$a_+ a_+ a_+$	$[-\lambda_0/2, \infty)$	$[-\lambda_0/2, \infty)$	$[-2\lambda_0 - C, \infty)$

Table 3: $\lambda_0 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$, $\lambda_0 \ll 0$, $\lambda_1 \in [0, \infty)$.

Case $a_+ h_- a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in [0, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in \lambda_0 + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2}) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}); \\
\lambda_3 \in [-C, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} I_{j_3}, \quad I_{-1} = [-C, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_1) and (λ_2, λ_3) have transversality, $j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2)$ by FCC (4.9) and $j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\mathcal{X}_{a_+ h_- a_+}^-(u, v) := \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2), j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2), j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2), j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot 2^{2j_1} [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle \cdot 2^{2j_1}]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(-1/q)} \\
&\quad \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle \cdot 2^{2j_3}]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)+j_3(-1/q)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-1/q+2\varepsilon} 2^{j_3(-2/q+4\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we first use $j_1 \lesssim j_3$, $j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ to make the summation on j_1, j_2 , then make the summation on j_3 for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2q$, finally apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 .

Case $a_+ l_- a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in [0, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in \lambda_0 + [-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}, -\lambda_0) = \bigcup_{j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}); \\
\lambda_3 \in [-\frac{\lambda_0}{2} - C, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} I_{j_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_1) and (λ_2, λ_3) have transversality, $j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2)$ by FCC (4.9) and $j_2 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. Using the embedding $\|u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}}\|_{V_S^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}$ for any fixed j_2 , it follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_{a_+ l_- a_+}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot 2^{2j_1} [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1}) \cdot 2^{2j_1}]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(-1/q)} \\
&\quad \cdot [\langle \lambda_0 \rangle \cdot 2^{2j_3}]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_3(-1/q)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2\varepsilon} 2^{j_3(-1/2-2/q+5\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the same summation order as in (4.14).

Case $l_+ a_+ a_+$. We collect λ_k in the following dyadic version:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2}) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in [0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} I_{j_2}; \\
\lambda_3 \in -\lambda_0 + [-C, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \geq -1} (-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}), \quad I_{-1} = [-C, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality and $j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_{l_+ a_+ a_+}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_2, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}) u_{I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_2, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|(\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}) \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_1 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, j_2, j_3} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2}) \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(-1/q)} \\
&\quad \cdot 2^{2j_1} [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(-1/q)+j_3(-1/q)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-1/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we first choose $\langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ from $(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_k})$, $(k = 2, 3)$, then handle it like (4.12).

Case $a_+ l_+ a_+$. We collect λ_k in the following dyadic version:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in [-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{2}) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} I_{j_2}; \\
\lambda_3 \in -\lambda_0 + [-\frac{\lambda_0}{2} - C, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_1) and (λ_2, λ_3) have transversality. In view of FCC (4.9), we see that $j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_{l_+ a_+ a_+}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}) u_{I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot 2^{2j_1} \cdot [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1}) \cdot 2^{2j_1}]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(-1/q)} \\
&\quad \cdot [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle)(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^2]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(-1/q)+j_3(1/2-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^{-1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

where we choose 2^{j_k} from $(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_k})$, $k = 2, 3$, then perform the summation on j_2, j_1 and j_3 one by one, and finally apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 .

Case $a_+a_+a_+$. We collect λ_k in the following dyadic version:

$$\lambda_k \in \left[-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}, \infty\right) = \bigcup_{j_k \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} I_{j_k}, \quad k = 1, 2; \quad \lambda_3 \in -\lambda_0 + [-\lambda_0 - C, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}).$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_3) has transversality and $j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ by FCC (4.9). It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15), L^4 estimates (3.17) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{a_+a_+a_+}^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}) u_{I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{-\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|\partial_x^2 u_{I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|u_{I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \approx (j_1 \vee j_2) \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{j_3(1/2-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot 2^{2j_1} \cdot 2^{(j_1+j_2)(-1/2-1/q+\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/q+5\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Here we first choose 2^{j_3} from $(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_3})$, then make the summation on j_1, j_2 and j_3 by $j_1 \leq j_3 + 1$ and $j_2, j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, finally apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 .

Step 2. λ_0 is the secondly minimal integer in $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3$.

Step 2.1. We consider the case $\lambda_1 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_0 \gg 0$. We need to consider the following cases by dividing the range of λ_1 as in Table 4.

Case	$\lambda_1 \in$	$\lambda_2 \in$	$\lambda_3 \in$
$a_-a_+a_+$	$(-\infty, 0)$	$[2\lambda_0 - C, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0, \infty)$
$l_+a_+a_+$	$[0, \lambda_0/2)$	$[3\lambda_0/2 - C, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0, \infty)$
$h_+a_+a_+$	$[\lambda_0/2, \lambda_0)$	$[\lambda_0, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0, \infty)$

Table 4: $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_2$, $\lambda_0 \gg 0$.

Case $a_-a_+a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \in (-\infty, 0) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} -I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in \lambda_0 + [-\lambda_0 - C, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_2 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}); \\ \lambda_3 \in [\lambda_0, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} I_{j_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality. In view of FCC (4.9), we see that $j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3)$. It follows from the bilinear estimate (3.15) and Lemma

3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{a_- a_+ a_+}^+(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{-I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|(\partial_x^2 u_{-I_{j_1}}) \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot (2^{3j_2})^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2})^{-1/2} \\
&\quad \cdot 2^{2j_1} (2^{3j_1})^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{(j_1+j_3)(-1/q)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\
&\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Here the summations are made on j_1, j_2 and j_3 one by one by $j_1 \leq j_2 + 1$ and $j_2 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$.

Case $l_+ a_+ a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \in [0, \frac{\lambda_0}{2}) &= \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} I_{j_1}; \quad \lambda_2 \in \lambda_0 + [\frac{\lambda_0}{2} - C, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_2 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}); \\
\lambda_3 \in [\lambda_0, \infty) &= \bigcup_{j_3 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} I_{j_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality and $j_1 \leq j_2 \approx j_3$ by FCC (4.9), thus we can use the same way as in (4.17) to obtain the same estimate and omit the details.

Case $h_+ a_+ a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\lambda_1 \in \lambda_0 + [-\frac{\lambda_0}{2}, 0) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 - I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_k \in \lambda_0 + [0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_k \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_k}), \quad k = 2, 3.$$

From FCC (4.9) it follows that $j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3)$. We need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{h_+ a_+ a_+}^+(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3) \lesssim 1} + \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3) \gg 1} \right) \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \\
&\quad \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\
&=: \mathcal{H}_{h_+ a_+ a_+}^{+,l}(u, v) + \mathcal{H}_{h_+ a_+ a_+}^{+,h}(u, v)
\end{aligned}$$

In $\mathcal{H}_{h_+ a_+ a_+}^{+,l}(u, v)$, we have $\lambda_0 \approx \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3$. Using the same way as in $\mathcal{L}_l^+(u, v)$, we can get the result as desired.

In $\mathcal{H}_{h_+a_+a_+}^{+,h}(u, v)$, we can use bilinear estimate (3.15), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.5 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{h_+a_+a_+}^{+,h}(u, v) &\lesssim \sum_{j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3) \gg 1} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{j_2 \approx (j_1 \vee j_3) \gg 1} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot [2^{j_2} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2})^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^2 2^{(j_1+j_3)(1/4-1/q+\varepsilon)} \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/4-3/4} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2 \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(\lambda_0 + I_{j_2})} \end{aligned}$$

Here we can made the summation on j_1, j_3 by $j_1, j_3 \leq j_2 + 1$, and use $(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2})^{-1/2} \lesssim 2^{j_2(-1/2+2\varepsilon)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-2\varepsilon}$ to absorb the positive power of $\langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. Thus one can apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 , and then make the summation on j_2 for $0 < \varepsilon < 3/5q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{h_+a_+a_+}^{+,h}(u, v) &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_2 \gg 1} 2^{j_2(-3/q+5\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(\lambda_0 + I_{j_2})} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.2. We consider the case $\lambda_1 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_0 \ll 0$. We need to consider the following cases by dividing the range of λ_2 as in Table 5.

Case	$\lambda_1 \in$	$\lambda_2 \in$	$\lambda_3 \in$
1	$[5\lambda_0/4 - C, \lambda_0)$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4 + C)$
2	$[2\lambda_0 - C, \lambda_0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[\lambda_0, 3\lambda_0/4)$
3	$[7\lambda_0/4 - C, \lambda_0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, 0)$	$[3\lambda_0/4, C)$
4	$[11\lambda_0/4 - C, \lambda_0)$	$[0, -3\lambda_0/4)$	$[\lambda_0, 0)$
5	$[7\lambda_0/4 - C, \lambda_0)$	$[0, -3\lambda_0/4)$	$[0, -3\lambda_0/4 + C)$
6	$(-\infty, 7\lambda_0/4 + C)$	$[-3\lambda_0/4, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0, 0)$
7	$(-\infty, \lambda_0)$	$[-3\lambda_0/4, \infty)$	$[0, \infty)$

Table 5: $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_2$, $\lambda_0 \ll 0$.

Case 1. In this case, we have $\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_2 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_3 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, so we can use the similar way as *Case $h_-h_-h_-$* in Step 1.2 to obtain the result and omit the details.

Case 3 and Case 5. Similar to *Case $h_-l_-l_{\pm}$* as in Step 1.2, so we omit the details.

Case 2 and Case 4. We decompose λ_k in Case 2 and Case 4 as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 \in 2\lambda_0 + [-C, -\lambda_0) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq -1} (2\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}); & \lambda_2 \in [\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}, 0) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} (-I_{j_2}); \\ \lambda_3 \in \lambda_0 + [0, -\frac{\lambda_0}{4}) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_3}). \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 \in \lambda_0 + [\frac{7\lambda_0}{4} - C, 0) = \bigcup_{j_1 \geq 0} (\lambda_0 - I_{j_1}); & \lambda_2 \in [0, -\frac{3\lambda_0}{4}) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} I_{j_2}; \\ \lambda_3 \in [\lambda_0, 0) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} (-I_{j_3}). \end{cases}$$

In these two cases, we have $2^{j_1} \approx 2^{j_2} + 2^{j_3}$, hence $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_3| \gtrsim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. In fact, $j_1, j_2, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$ and the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality, so we can also use the similar way as in $h_- l_- l_{\pm}$ in Step 1.2 to obtain the result.

Case 7. Similar to *Case $a_- a_+ a_+$* as in Step 2.1, so we omit the details.

Case 6. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\lambda_1 \in \frac{3\lambda_0}{4} + (-\infty, \lambda_0 + C) = \bigcup_{j_1 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \left(\frac{3\lambda_0}{4} - I_{j_1} \right); \quad \lambda_2 \in \frac{\lambda_0}{4} + [-\lambda_0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_2 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{4} + I_{j_2} \right);$$

$$\lambda_3 \in [\lambda_0, 0) = \bigcup_{j_3 \geq 0} (-I_{j_3}).$$

By FCC (4.9), we have $j_1 \approx j_2 \geq j_3$. We see that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) and (λ_1, λ_3) have transversality. We can use bilinear estimate (3.15), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.5 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_6^-(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle \lesssim j_1 \approx j_2} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{3\lambda_0/4 - I_{j_1}}) u_{\lambda_0/4 + I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{-I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle \lesssim j_1 \approx j_2} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_0/4 + I_{j_2}} \|_{L_{x,t}^2} \| (\partial_x^2 u_{3\lambda_0/4 - I_{j_1}}) \bar{u}_{-I_{j_3}} \|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0, j_3 \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle \lesssim j_1 \approx j_2} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2})^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_2(1/2-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2})^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1})^2 [(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1})^3]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{j_1(1/2-1/q)+j_3(-1/q)} (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1})^{-1/2} \| v_{\lambda_0} \|_{V_S^2} \| u \|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \ll 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \| v_{\lambda_0} \|_{V_S^2} \| u \|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \| v \|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \| u \|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Here we choose $2^{j_1}, 2^{j_2}$ from $(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1})$ and $(\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_2})$, then make the summation on j_3, j_1, j_2 by using $j_1 \approx j_2 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, and finally apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 .

Step 2.3. We consider the case $\lambda_2 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_0 \gg 0$. We need to consider the following cases by dividing the range of λ_2 as in Table 6.

Case	$\lambda_1 \in$	$\lambda_2 \in$	$\lambda_3 \in$
$a_+ a_- a_+$	$[2\lambda_0 - C, \infty)$	$[-\infty, 0)$	$[\lambda_0, \infty)$
$a_+ l_+ a_+$	$[3\lambda_0/2 - C, \infty)$	$[0, \lambda_0/2)$	$[\lambda_0, \infty)$
$h_+ h_+ h_+$	$[\lambda_0, 2\lambda_0)$	$[\lambda_0/2, \lambda_0)$	$[\lambda_0, 2\lambda_0 + C)$
$a_+ h_+ a_+$	$[2\lambda_0, \infty)$	$[\lambda_0/2, \lambda_0)$	$[3\lambda_0/2 - C, \infty)$

Table 6: $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_1, \quad \lambda_0 \gg 0$.

Case $a_+ a_- a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the following way:

$$\lambda_1 \in \lambda_0 + [\lambda_0 - C, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_1 \gtrsim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} (\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}); \quad \lambda_2 \in (-\infty, 0) = \bigcup_{j_2 \geq 0} (-I_{j_2});$$

$$\lambda_3 \in [\lambda_0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j_3 \gtrsim \ln(\lambda_0)} I_{j_3}.$$

From FCC (4.9) it follows that $j_1 \approx (j_2 \vee j_3)$. We see that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_1) and (λ_2, λ_3) have transversality. We can use bilinear estimate (3.15), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.5 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{a_+ a_- a_+}^+(u, v) &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_1 \approx (j_2 \vee j_3) \gtrsim \ln(\lambda_0)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \left| \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} (\partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}) u_{-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}} \right| dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_1 \approx (j_2 \vee j_3) \gtrsim \ln(\lambda_0)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|\bar{v}_{\lambda_0} \partial_x^2 u_{\lambda_0 + I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{-I_{j_2}} \bar{u}_{I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0, j_1 \approx (j_2 \vee j_3) \gtrsim \ln(\lambda_0)} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1})^2 \cdot (2^{3j_1})^{-1/2+\varepsilon} 2^{j_1(1/2-1/q)} \\ &\quad \cdot (\langle \lambda_0 \rangle + 2^{j_1})^{-1/2} \cdot \left[2^{3(j_2 \vee j_3)} \right]^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{(j_2+j_3)(-1/q)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\lambda_0 \gg 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-2/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3 \\ &\lesssim T^{\varepsilon/2} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Case $a_+ l_+ a_+$. We decompose λ_k in the similar way as in last case, and have $j_3 \approx j_1 \geq j_2$. Thus using the same way as in last case, we can get the desired result.

Case $h_+ h_+ h_+$. In this case, we have $\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_2 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_3 \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$, so we can use the similar way as *Case $h_- h_- h_-$* in Step 1.2 to obtain the result and omit the details.

Case $a_+ h_+ a_+$. Similar to *Case $a_+ l_+ a_+$* , we have $j_3 \approx j_1 \geq j_2$. Thus we could use the above approach to obtain the estimate as desired.

Step 2.4. We consider the case $\lambda_2 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_0 \ll 0$. The subcases are the same as in Table 5, except that the positions of λ_1 and λ_2 need to be exchanged. *Case 1–Case 6* are similar to *Case 1–Case 6* in Step 2.2, *Case 7* is similar to *Case $a_+ a_- a_+$* in Step 2.3, so we omit the details.

Step 2.5. We consider the case $\lambda_3 = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \lambda_k$, it follows from FCC (4.9) we have $\lambda_0 \approx \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3$, which is similar to $\mathcal{L}_1^+(u, v)$ in Step 1.1.

Step 3. We consider the case $|\lambda_0| \lesssim 1$. It follows that $\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \sim 1$, which implies that we now have gained half order derivative. Besides, we can use biharmonic estimates instead of Strichartz estimates in the low frequency. As a result, this case becomes easier to manage, and we can skip the details. \square

4.2 Quintic estimates

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q \geq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, for any $u \in X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have*

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_x^4} \left(u |u|^4 \right) (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^5. \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. In view of (4.6), it suffices to show that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v} u |u|^4 dx dt \right| \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^{-1/2}}. \quad (4.20)$$

We perform a uniform decomposition with u, v in the left-hand side of (4.20), it suffice to prove that

$$\left| \sum_{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_1} \bar{u}_{\lambda_2} u_{\lambda_3} \bar{u}_{\lambda_4} u_{\lambda_5}(x, t) dx dt \right| \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \quad (4.21)$$

In order to keep the left-hand side of the inequality above nonzero, we have the new frequency constraint condition (FCC)

$$\lambda_0 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 \approx \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5. \quad (4.22)$$

We can assume that $\lambda_0 \geq 0$, because if it is not the case, we can replace $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_5$ with $-\lambda_0, \dots, -\lambda_5$.

Step 1. Let us assume that $\lambda_0 = \max_{0 \leq k \leq 5} |\lambda_k|$. For short, considering the higher and lower frequency of λ_k , we use the following notations:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_k \in h \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [\lambda_0/8, \lambda_0] \\ \lambda_k \in h_- \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [-\lambda_0, -\lambda_0/8] \\ \lambda_k \in l \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [0, \lambda_0/8] \\ \lambda_k \in l_- \Leftrightarrow \lambda_k \in [-\lambda_0/8, 0] \end{cases}$$

Combining FCC (4.22), we know that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$ cannot all belong to the frequency intervals l_\pm .

Step 1.1. We consider the case at least two higher frequency in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$. Without loss of generality, we can assume λ_1, λ_2 belong to higher frequency intervals h_\pm . We decompose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$ in a dyadic way:

$$\lambda_k \in \bigcup_{j_k \geq 0} (\lambda_0 - I_{j_k}), \quad k = 1, \dots, 5. \quad (4.23)$$

We can use Hölder's inequality and L^4 estimate in Lemma 3.4 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h_\pm h_\pm l_\pm l_\pm l_\pm} &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=1, \dots, 5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_1}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_2}} u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_3}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_4}} u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_5}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=1, \dots, 5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \prod_{k=1,2} \|u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_k}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \prod_{k=3,4} \|u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_k}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|u_{\lambda_0 - I_{j_5}}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim T^\varepsilon \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=1, \dots, 5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/2} 2^{(j_3+j_4)\varepsilon + (j_1+j_2)(1/4-1/q+\varepsilon)} 2^{j_5/2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \\ &\lesssim T^\varepsilon \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-2/q+4\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim T^\varepsilon \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5,$$

where we use $\|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \lesssim \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2}$ and the embedding

$$\|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_k}}\|_{X_{4,S}^{-1/4}} \lesssim \|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_k}}\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}, (k=3,4); \quad \|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_k}}\|_{V_S^2} \lesssim \|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_k}}\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}, (k=5).$$

Step 1.2. Now we study the case that there is only one higher frequency in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$. Without loss of generality, we can assume λ_1 belong to higher frequency intervals h_\pm and $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_5$ belong to frequency intervals l_\pm . We decompose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$ in a dyadic way as (4.23).

We see that the frequency of (λ_0, λ_2) has transversality. We can use bilinear estimate (3.14), L^4 estimate (3.17) and Hölder's inequality to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h_\pm l_\pm l_\pm l_\pm l_\pm} &:= \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=1, \dots, 5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \bar{v}_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_1}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0-I_{j_2}} u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_3}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_0-I_{j_4}} u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_5}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=1, \dots, 5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_2}}\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_1}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \prod_{k=4,5} \|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_k}}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0, j_k \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=1, \dots, 5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{3(-1/2+\varepsilon)} \cdot \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-3/4} 2^{j_1(1/4-1/q+\varepsilon)+j_3\varepsilon} \cdot 2^{(j_4+j_5)(1/2-1/q)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \sum_{\lambda_0 \geq 0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2-3/q+6\varepsilon} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \\ &\lesssim T^{3\varepsilon/4} \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use $\|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_3}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \lesssim 2^{j_3\varepsilon} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}$, $\|u_{\lambda_0-I_{j_k}}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \lesssim 2^{j_k/2-1/q} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}$ ($k=4,5$).

Step 2. Let us assume that λ_0 is the second largest one in $|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_5|$. There exists $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ such that $|\lambda_i| = \max_{0 \leq k \leq 5} |\lambda_k|$. If $|\lambda_i|$ is the largest one in $|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_5|$, we have from the frequency constraint condition (4.22)

$$\lambda_0 \leq |\lambda_i| \leq 10\lambda_0.$$

At this point, we can know that $\langle \lambda_i \rangle \sim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle$. That is to say, there exists a high frequency in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$. We see that this case is quite similar to that of λ_0 to be the largest one as in Step 1. Therefore the details are omitted.

Step 3. We assume that λ_0 is the third largest one in $|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_5|$. Namely, there is a bijection $\pi : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ such that

$$|\lambda_{\pi(1)}| \geq |\lambda_{\pi(2)}| \geq \lambda_0 \geq |\lambda_{\pi(3)}| \geq |\lambda_{\pi(4)}| \geq |\lambda_{\pi(5)}|$$

We decompose $\lambda_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\pi(5)}$ in a dyadic way:

$$\lambda_{\pi(k)} \in \bigcup_{j_{\pi(k)} \geq 0} \pm(\lambda_0 + I_{j_{\pi(k)}}), \quad k=1,2; \quad \lambda_{\pi(k)} \in \bigcup_{j_{\pi(k)} \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle} \pm I_{j_{\pi(k)}}, \quad k=3,4,5. \quad (4.24)$$

We can use Hölder's inequality and L^4 estimate in Lemma(3.4) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(u, v) &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0, j_{\pi(k)} \geq 0, k=1,2; j_{\pi(k)} \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=3,4,5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \prod_{k=1,2} \|u_{\pm(\lambda_0 + I_{j_{\pi(k)}})}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=3,4} \|u_{\pm I_{j_{\pi(k)}}}\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \|u_{\pm I_{j_{\pi(5)}}}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \\
&\lesssim T^\varepsilon \sum_{\lambda_0, j_{\pi(k)} \geq 0, k=1,2; j_{\pi(k)} \lesssim \ln \langle \lambda_0 \rangle, k=3,4,5} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{1/2} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=1,2} 2^{j_{\pi(k)}(1/4-1/q+\varepsilon)} \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_{\pi(k)}} \rangle^{-3/4} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(\pm(\lambda_0 + I_{j_{\pi(1)}}))} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=3,4} 2^{j_{\pi(k)}(-1/2-1/q+\varepsilon)} \cdot \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^2 \cdot 2^{j_{\pi(5)}(1/2-1/q)} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim T^\varepsilon \sum_{\lambda_0, j_{\pi(1)} \geq 0} 2^{j_{\pi(1)}(-1/2-1/q+\varepsilon)} \|v_{\lambda_0}\|_{V_S^2} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}(\pm(\lambda_0 + I_{j_{\pi(1)}}))} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^4 \\
&\lesssim T^\varepsilon \|v\|_{Y_{q',S}^0} \|u\|_{X_{q,S}^{1/2}}^5, \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

where we first use

$$\|u_{\pm I_{j_{\pi(5)}}}\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \lesssim 2^{j_{\pi(5)}/2} \|u_{\pm I_{j_{\pi(5)}}}\|_{V_S^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \lambda_0 + 2^{j_{\pi(2)}} \rangle^{-3/4} \lesssim \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1/2} 2^{j_{\pi(2)}(-1/4)},$$

then take the summation on $j_{\pi(k)}$ ($k = 2, \dots, 5$) and apply Hölder's inequality on λ_0 . Finally we take the summation on $j_{\pi(1)}$ for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/q$.

Step 4. We assume that λ_0 is the fourth, or five largest one, or the minimal one in $|\lambda_0|, \dots, |\lambda_5|$. We can apply the similar decomposition to (4.24), then take $L_{x,t}^\infty$ norms for v_{λ_0} and the minimal $u_{\lambda_{\pi(5)}}$, take $L_{x,t}^4$ norms for the remaining four items. It is similar to Step 3, so we omit the details. Now the proof is completed. \square

5 Global well-posedness

In this section, we exploit the conservation laws and a priori estimates in modulation spaces of the equation (1.1) to extend the local well-posedness established in the previous section to global well-posedness.

5.1 Conservation laws

To guarantee the convergence of the series $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$ and so that we can differentiate it term by term, we estimate its leading term and generator in the following lemma, which was mentioned in paper [27] (Lemma A.7) without a proof.

Lemma 5.1. *For $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } \kappa > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\text{Re tr} \left\{ (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} \right\} = \int \frac{2(\text{Re } \kappa) |\widehat{u}(\xi + 2\text{Im } \kappa)|^2}{4(\text{Re } \kappa)^2 + \xi^2} d\xi; \tag{5.1}$$

$$\left\| (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}_2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \sim \int \log \left(4 + \frac{\xi^2}{(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2} \right) \frac{|\hat{u}(\xi + 2\operatorname{Im} \kappa)|^2}{\sqrt{4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + \xi^2}} d\xi. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.8, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} \right\} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \frac{|\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)|^2}{(\kappa - i\xi)(\kappa + i\eta)} d\xi d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \frac{|\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta}{[\operatorname{Re} \kappa - i(\xi - \operatorname{Im} \kappa)] \cdot [\operatorname{Re} \kappa + i(\eta + \operatorname{Im} \kappa)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Multiplying the numerator and denominator by the conjugate of the denominator, we can obtain that

$$\text{LHS (5.1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \frac{[(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + (\xi - \operatorname{Im} \kappa)(\eta + \operatorname{Im} \kappa)] \cdot |\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)|^2}{[(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + (\xi - \operatorname{Im} \kappa)^2] \cdot [(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + (\eta + \operatorname{Im} \kappa)^2]} d\xi d\eta, \quad (5.4)$$

then by choosing $\xi - \operatorname{Im} \kappa = (\operatorname{Re} \kappa)(x + y)$ and $\eta + \operatorname{Im} \kappa = (\operatorname{Re} \kappa)(x - y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS (5.1)} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint \frac{(1 + x^2 - y^2) |\hat{u}(2\operatorname{Im} \kappa + 2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)y)|^2}{[1 + (x + y)^2] \cdot [1 + (x - y)^2]} dx dy \\ &= \int \frac{|\hat{u}(2\operatorname{Im} \kappa + 2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)y)|^2}{1 + y^2} dy, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

here we used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + x^2 - y^2}{[1 + (x + y)^2] \cdot [1 + (x - y)^2]} dx = \frac{\pi}{1 + y^2}, \quad (5.6)$$

which can be calculated by using the residue theorem. Finally, we make the change of variables $2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)y = \xi$ and get the conclusion (5.1).

For (5.2), by applying (2.1) and cycling the trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS (5.2)} &= \operatorname{tr} \left\{ (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\bar{\kappa} - \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{u} (\bar{\kappa} + \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \left[|\kappa|^2 - \partial_x^2 + 2i(\operatorname{Im} \kappa)\partial_x \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} u \left[|\kappa|^2 - \partial_x^2 - 2i(\operatorname{Im} \kappa)\partial_x \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{u} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Proposition 2.8 and taking the same change of variables as before, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS (5.2)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \frac{|\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta}{\sqrt{[(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + (\xi - \operatorname{Im} \kappa)^2] \cdot [(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + (\eta + \operatorname{Im} \kappa)^2]}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint \frac{|\hat{u}(2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)y + 2\operatorname{Im} \kappa)|^2}{\sqrt{(1 + (x + y)^2)(1 + (x - y)^2)}} dx dy \\ &\sim \int |\hat{u}(2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)y + 2\operatorname{Im} \kappa)|^2 \frac{\log(4 + 4y^2)}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} dy \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int \log \left(4 + \frac{\xi^2}{(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2} \right) \frac{|\widehat{u}(\xi + 2\operatorname{Im} \kappa)|^2}{\sqrt{4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + \xi^2}} d\xi,$$

where we used the fact that

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+(x+y)^2)(1+(x-y)^2)}} dx \sim \frac{\log(4+4y^2)}{\sqrt{1+y^2}},$$

which can be obtained by breaking the region of integration into the pieces $|x| > 3|y|/2$, $|x| \leq |y|/2$, $|x-y| \leq |y|/2$ and $|x+y| \leq |y|/2$. \square

We are now ready to give the conservation of the perturbation determinant $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$.

Proposition 5.2 (Conservation of $\alpha(\kappa; u)$). *Let $u(t)$ be a Schwartz solution to 4NLS (1.1). Then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \alpha(\kappa; u(t)) = 0$$

as soon as $\operatorname{Re} \kappa > 0$ is sufficiently large such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log \left(4 + \frac{\xi^2}{(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2} \right) \frac{|\widehat{u}(\xi + 2\operatorname{Im} \kappa)|^2 d\xi}{\sqrt{4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa)^2 + \xi^2}} \leq c \quad (5.7)$$

holds for some absolute constant $c > 0$.

Proof. Since (5.2) and the condition (5.7) hold, the series of $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$ converges and can be differentiated term by term, so from (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \alpha(\kappa; u(t)) &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ [(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u]^{\ell-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. [(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u}_t (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u + (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u_t] \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ [(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u]^{\ell-1} (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad (\bar{u}_{xxxx} + 8\bar{u}_{xx} |u|^2 + 2u_{xx} \bar{u}^2 + 6\bar{u}_x^2 u + 4\bar{u} |u_x|^2 + 6\bar{u} |u|^4) (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u \\ &\quad \left. - [(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u]^{\ell-1} (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (u_{xxxx} + 8u_{xx} |u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx} u^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 4u |u_x|^2 + 6u |u|^4) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, denote $A(u) := (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u$, it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left\{ (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u}_{xxxx} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u_{xxxx} \right\} = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr} \left\{ A(u) \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u}_{xxxx} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u_{xxxx} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \operatorname{tr} \left\{ (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} (8\bar{u}_{xx} |u|^2 + 2u_{xx} \bar{u}^2 + 6\bar{u}_x^2 u + 4\bar{u} |u_x|^2) (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$- (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} (8u_{xx} |u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx} u^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 4u |u_x|^2) \Big\} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^\ell \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u}_{xxxx} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u_{xxxx} \right] \right\} \\ & + \text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^{\ell-1} \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} (8\bar{u}_{xx} |u|^2 + 2u_{xx} \bar{u}^2 + 6\bar{u}_x^2 u + 4\bar{u} |u_x|^2) (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} (8u_{xx} |u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx} u^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 4u |u_x|^2) \right] \right\} \\ & + 6 \text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^{\ell-2} \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} |u|^4 (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u |u|^4 \right] \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

for all $\ell \geq 2$.

For (5.8), we use Lemma 2.8 and obtain that

$$\text{LHS of (5.8)} = \iint \frac{((\xi_1 - \xi_2)^4 - (\xi_2 - \xi_1)^4) |\hat{u}(\xi_1 - \xi_2)|^2}{(\kappa + i\xi_1)(\kappa - i\xi_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 = 0.$$

To demonstrate the validity of (5.10) and absorb $(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}$ and $(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}$ from either side, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} u_{xxxx} &= \partial_x^4 u - 4\partial_x^3 u \partial_x + 6\partial_x^2 u \partial_x^2 - 4\partial_x u \partial_x^3 + u \partial_x^4 \\ &= (\partial_x^4 + 4\kappa \partial_x^3 + 6\kappa^2 \partial_x^2 + 4\kappa^3 \partial_x - 7\kappa^4) u + u (\partial_x^4 - 4\kappa \partial_x^3 + 6\kappa^2 \partial_x^2 - 4\kappa^3 \partial_x - 7\kappa^4) \\ &\quad + (\kappa - \partial_x) [4\partial_x^2 u - 6\partial_x u \partial_x + 4u \partial_x^2 + 10\kappa u_x + 14\kappa^2 u] (\kappa + \partial_x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_{xxxx} &= \partial_x^4 \bar{u} - 4\partial_x^3 \bar{u} \partial_x + 6\partial_x^2 \bar{u} \partial_x^2 - 4\partial_x \bar{u} \partial_x^3 + \bar{u} \partial_x^4 \\ &= \bar{u} (\partial_x^4 + 4\kappa \partial_x^3 + 6\kappa^2 \partial_x^2 + 4\kappa^3 \partial_x - 7\kappa^4) + (\partial_x^4 - 4\kappa \partial_x^3 + 6\kappa^2 \partial_x^2 - 4\kappa^3 \partial_x - 7\kappa^4) \bar{u} \\ &\quad + (\kappa + \partial_x) [4\partial_x^2 \bar{u} - 6\partial_x \bar{u} \partial_x + 4\bar{u} \partial_x^2 - 10\kappa \bar{u}_x + 14\kappa^2 \bar{u}] (\kappa - \partial_x). \end{aligned}$$

The second identity here follows from the first by replacing u with \bar{u} and κ with $-\kappa$. When the first two terms from each identity are inserted into (5.10), their contributions cancel each other out, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^\ell \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u}_{xxxx} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u_{xxxx} \right] \right\} \\ & = \text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^{\ell-1} \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} T_1(u) - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} T_2(u) (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u) &= 4u \partial_x^2 |u|^2 - 6u \partial_x \bar{u} \partial_x u + 4|u|^2 \partial_x^2 u - 10\kappa u^2 \bar{u}_x + 14\kappa^2 |u|^2 u, \\ T_2(u) &= 4\bar{u} \partial_x^2 |u|^2 - 6\bar{u} \partial_x u \partial_x \bar{u} + 4|u|^2 \partial_x^2 \bar{u} + 10\kappa \bar{u}^2 u_x + 14\kappa^2 |u|^2 \bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$u \partial_x^2 |u|^2 = \partial_x^2 u |u|^2 - 2\partial_x u_x |u|^2 + u_{xx} |u|^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|u|^2 \partial_x^2 u &= |u|^2 u_{xx} + 2|u|^2 u_x \partial_x + |u|^2 u \partial_x^2, \\
u \partial_x \bar{u} \partial_x u &= (\partial_x u - u_x) \bar{u} (u_x + u \partial_x) = \partial_x |u|^2 u \partial_x + (|u|^2 u_x)_x - u_x^2 \bar{u},
\end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(u) &= 8u_{xx}|u|^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 4\partial_x^2 |u|^2 u + 4|u|^2 u \partial_x^2 - 14(|u|^2 u_x)_x - 6\partial_x |u|^2 u \partial_x \\
&\quad - 10\kappa u^2 \bar{u}_x + 14\kappa^2 |u|^2 u.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2(u) &= 8\bar{u}_{xx}|u|^2 + 6\bar{u}_x^2 u + 4\partial_x^2 |u|^2 \bar{u} + 4|u|^2 \bar{u} \partial_x^2 - 14(|u|^2 \bar{u}_x)_x - 6\partial_x |u|^2 \bar{u} \partial_x \\
&\quad + 10\kappa \bar{u}^2 u_x + 14\kappa^2 |u|^2 \bar{u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

The first two terms in (5.12) and (5.13) are exactly the second derivative terms that appear in (5.10). For the remaining two second derivative terms in (5.10), note that

$$2\bar{u}_{xx} u^2 + 4u|u_x|^2 = 2(u^2 \bar{u}_x)_x, \quad 2u_{xx} \bar{u}^2 + 4\bar{u}|u_x|^2 = 2(\bar{u}^2 u_x)_x, \tag{5.14}$$

we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
2(|u|^2 u_x)_x &= (|u|^2 u)_{xx} - (u^2 \bar{u}_x)_x, \\
\text{and } (|u|^2 u)_{xx} &= \partial_x^2 |u|^2 u - 2\partial_x |u|^2 \bar{u} \partial_x + |u|^2 u \partial_x^2,
\end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(u) &= 8u_{xx}|u|^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 6(u^2 \bar{u}_x)_x - 2\partial_x^2 |u|^2 u - 2|u|^2 u \partial_x^2 - 2(|u|^2 u_x)_x + 6\partial_x |u|^2 u \partial_x \\
&\quad - 10\kappa u^2 \bar{u}_x + 14\kappa^2 |u|^2 u.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2(u) &= 8\bar{u}_{xx}|u|^2 + 6\bar{u}_x^2 u + 6(\bar{u}^2 u_x)_x - 2\partial_x^2 |u|^2 \bar{u} - 2|u|^2 \bar{u} \partial_x^2 - 2(|u|^2 \bar{u}_x)_x + 6\partial_x |u|^2 \bar{u} \partial_x \\
&\quad + 10\kappa \bar{u}^2 u_x + 14\kappa^2 |u|^2 \bar{u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

In order to absorb $(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}$ and $(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}$ from either side again, we rewrite

$$\partial_x |u|^2 u \partial_x = -(\kappa - \partial_x) |u|^2 u (\kappa + \partial_x) + \kappa^2 |u|^2 u - \kappa (|u|^2 u)_x, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\partial_x |u|^2 \bar{u} \partial_x = -(\kappa + \partial_x) |u|^2 \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x) + \kappa^2 |u|^2 \bar{u} + \kappa (|u|^2 \bar{u})_x. \tag{5.18}$$

Therefore, inserting (5.14)-(5.18) into (5.10) and (5.11), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^{\ell-1} \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} T_1(u) - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} T_2(u) (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u \right] \right\}, \\
&+ \text{tr} \left\{ A(u)^{\ell-1} \left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} (8\bar{u}_{xx} |u|^2 + 2u_{xx} \bar{u}^2 + 6\bar{u}_x^2 u + 4\bar{u} |u_x|^2) (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} u \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1} (8u_{xx} |u|^2 + 2\bar{u}_{xx} u^2 + 6u_x^2 \bar{u} + 4u |u_x|^2) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +6\text{tr}\left\{A(u)^{\ell-2}\left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}\bar{u}|u|^4(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}u - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}\bar{u}(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}u|u|^4\right]\right\} \\
& =2\text{tr}\left\{A(u)^{\ell-1}\left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}\bar{u}(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}H_1(u) - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}H_2(u)(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}u\right]\right\}, \quad (5.19)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1(u) &= 2(u^2\bar{u}_x)_x - \partial_x^2|u|^2u - |u|^2u\partial_x^2 - (|u|^2u_x)_x - 5\kappa u^2\bar{u}_x + 10\kappa^2|u|^2u - 3\kappa(|u|^2u)_x, \\
H_2(u) &= 2(\bar{u}^2u_x)_x - \partial_x^2|u|^2\bar{u} - |u|^2\bar{u}\partial_x^2 - (|u|^2\bar{u}_x)_x + 5\kappa\bar{u}^2u_x + 10\kappa^2|u|^2\bar{u} + 3\kappa(|u|^2\bar{u})_x.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to prove that the right-hand side of (5.19) equals zero. This is based on the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
(u^2\bar{u}_x)_x - \partial_x^2|u|^2u - |u|^2u\partial_x^2 &= \partial_x\bar{u}_xu^2 - u^2\bar{u}_x\partial_x - \partial_x^2\bar{u}u^2 - u^2\bar{u}\partial_x^2 = -\partial_x\bar{u}\partial_xu^2 - u^2\partial_x\bar{u}\partial_x \\
&= (\kappa - \partial_x)\bar{u}\partial_xu^2 - u^2\partial_x\bar{u}(\kappa + \partial_x) - \kappa(|u|^2u)_x + 2\kappa u^2\bar{u}_x, \\
(\bar{u}^2u_x)_x - \partial_x^2|u|^2\bar{u} - |u|^2\bar{u}\partial_x^2 &= \bar{u}^2\partial_xu(\kappa - \partial_x) - (\kappa + \partial_x)u\partial_x\bar{u}^2 + \kappa(|u|^2\bar{u})_x - 2\kappa\bar{u}^2u_x, \\
(u^2\bar{u}_x)_x - (|u|^2u_x)_x &= -(\kappa - \partial_x)(u^2\bar{u}_x - |u|^2u_x) - (u^2\bar{u}_x - |u|^2u_x)(\kappa + \partial_x) \\
&\quad + 2\kappa(u^2\bar{u}_x - |u|^2u_x), \\
(\bar{u}^2u_x)_x - (|u|^2\bar{u}_x)_x &= (\bar{u}^2u_x - |u|^2\bar{u}_x)(\kappa - \partial_x) + (\kappa + \partial_x)(\bar{u}^2u_x - |u|^2\bar{u}_x) \\
&\quad - 2\kappa(\bar{u}^2u_x - |u|^2\bar{u}_x).
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting these identities into the right-hand side of equation (5.19), we observe that the combined effect of the first four terms is zero, as well as that of the pair of second terms. Therefore, notice that $u^2\bar{u}_x + 2|u|^2u_x = (|u|^2u)_x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (5.19)} &= 10\text{tr}\left\{A(u)^{\ell-1}\left[(\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}\bar{u}(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}(2\kappa^2|u|^2u - \kappa(|u|^2u)_x) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-1}(2\kappa^2|u|^2\bar{u} + \kappa(|u|^2\bar{u})_x)(\kappa - \partial_x)^{-1}u\right]\right\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This is because the net contribution of the following two terms is zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa^2|u|^2u - \kappa(|u|^2u)_x &= \kappa|u|^2u(\kappa + \partial_x) + \kappa(\kappa - \partial_x)|u|^2u, \\
2\kappa^2|u|^2\bar{u} + \kappa(|u|^2\bar{u})_x &= \kappa(\kappa + \partial_x)|u|^2\bar{u} + \kappa|u|^2\bar{u}(\kappa - \partial_x).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (5.10) is now finished. For (5.9), let $\ell = 1$ in the preceding calculation, and we only need to observe that when plugging (5.17) and (5.18) into (5.9), the contribution of the two first terms is zero. The proof of Proposition 5.2 is concluded. \square

5.2 Global bounds in Modulation spaces

The size of the leading term in the series $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$ can be related to the norm of modulation space, as stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. (*Equivalent norms*) Fix $0 \leq s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$, $2 \leq q < \infty$, and $\kappa_0 \geq 1$, define

$$\|f\|_{Z_{\kappa_0, q}^s} := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle n \rangle^{sq} \left(\int \frac{\kappa_0^2 |\hat{f}(\xi + n)|^2}{4\kappa_0^2 + \xi^2} d\xi \right)^{q/2} \right)^{1/q}, \quad (5.20)$$

Then, we have

$$\|f\|_{M_{2, q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{Z_{\kappa_0, q}^s} \lesssim \kappa_0 \|f\|_{M_{2, q}^s}.$$

Proof. By a simple calculation, we know that

$$\|\langle n \rangle^s \|\langle \xi - n \rangle^{-1} \hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2}\|_{\ell_n^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{Z_{\kappa_0, q}^s} \lesssim \kappa_0 \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\langle \xi - n \rangle^{-1} \hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2}\|_{\ell_n^q}.$$

Since $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $\psi(\xi - n) \lesssim \langle \xi - n \rangle^{-1}$, which yields that

$$\|f\|_{M_{2, q}^s} \lesssim \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\langle \xi - n \rangle^{-1} \hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2}\|_{\ell_n^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{Z_{\kappa_0, q}^s}.$$

In the opposite direction, it follows from $s \geq 0$ that $\langle n \rangle^{2s} \lesssim \langle k - n \rangle^{2s} + \langle k \rangle^{2s}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\langle \xi - n \rangle^{-1} \hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2}\|_{\ell_n^q}^2 \\ & \lesssim \left\| \langle n \rangle^{2s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k - n \rangle^{-2} \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k - n \rangle^{2s-2} \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}} + \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k - n \rangle^{-2} \langle k \rangle^{2s} \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}} := I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$I_2 \lesssim \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle n \rangle^{-2} \right) \cdot \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2(I_n)}\|_{\ell_n^q}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{M_{2, q}^s}^2.$$

When $s = 0$, I_1 is the same as I_2 . When $s > 0$, from Young's and Hölder's inequalities, $1 + \frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + \left(\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{2}{q}\right)$, it turns out that

$$I_1 \lesssim \|\langle n \rangle^{2s-2}\|_{\ell_n^r} \cdot \|\langle n \rangle^{-2s}\|_{\ell_n^{r'}} \cdot \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2(I_n)}\|_{\ell_n^q}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{M_{2, q}^s}^2 \quad (5.21)$$

on the conditions that $2 - 2s > \frac{1}{r}$, $2s > \frac{1}{r'}$, and $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1$. In order to obtain the upper bound of s , we choose $r = \frac{q}{2}$, and obtain that the conditions are satisfied for $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} < s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. For $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$, the conditions are satisfied as long as r is chosen to satisfy $\frac{1}{r'} < 2s$ (indeed we can choose $r = 1$). Therefore, we conclude that the estimate (5.21) holds for $s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. Thus the required conclusion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is well known that $M_{2, 2}^s = B_{2, 2}^s = H^s$, so the results in (1.6) for the case $q = 2$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ can be obtained in the same way as for the NLS and 5th-mKdV equations. We refer the readers to [3, 19] for details and omit the proof here.

Next we consider the case $2 < q < \infty$ and $0 \leq s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. In the conserved perturbation determinant $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$, we choose $\kappa = \kappa_n := \kappa_0 + i\frac{n}{2}$ ($\kappa_0 > 1$), then from Lemma 5.1 and

$$\left\| (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}_2(\mathbb{R})} = \left\| (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{u} (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}_2(\mathbb{R})},$$

we have for any $\delta > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha(\kappa; u(t)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2\kappa_0 |\widehat{u}(\xi + n, t)|^2}{4\kappa_0^2 + \xi^2} d\xi \right| &\leq \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} \left\| (\kappa - \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u (\kappa + \partial_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}_2}^{2l} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2}{(4\kappa_0^2 + (\xi - n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}} d\xi \right)^l. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

In order to ensure the convergence of the infinite sum above, we make the following estimate from Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2}{(4\kappa_0^2 + (\xi - n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}} d\xi &\lesssim \kappa_0^{-2\delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\langle k - n \rangle^{1-4\delta}} \|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^2(I_k)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \kappa_0^{-2\delta} \|\langle k \rangle^{4\delta-1}\|_{\ell_k^{\frac{q}{q-2}}} \|u\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^2 \lesssim \kappa_0^{-2\delta} \|u\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

provided that $\delta = \delta(q) > 0$ is sufficiently small (here we need $\delta < 1/2q$). Therefore, we can choose

$$\kappa_0 \geq \max\{1, C \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\} \quad (5.24)$$

with some large absolute constant C , such that the series of $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$ converges and Proposition 5.2 holds uniformly for any $t \in I$, where I is a small closed interval containing 0. Therefore, for any $t \in I$

$$\left| \alpha(\kappa; u(t)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2\kappa_0 |\widehat{u}(\xi + n, t)|^2}{4\kappa_0^2 + \xi^2} d\xi \right| \lesssim \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\langle k - n \rangle^{1-4\delta}} \|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^2(I_k)}^2 \right)^2. \quad (5.25)$$

Multiply by $\langle n \rangle^{2s}$ and compute the $\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}$ -norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \langle n \rangle^{2s} \alpha(\kappa; u(t)) - \langle n \rangle^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2\kappa_0 |\widehat{u}(\xi + n, t)|^2}{4\kappa_0^2 + \xi^2} d\xi \right\|_{\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\langle n \rangle^s}{\langle k - n \rangle^{1-4\delta}} \|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^q}^2 \\ &\lesssim \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\langle k - n \rangle^{1-4\delta-s}} \|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^q}^2 + \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\langle k \rangle^s}{\langle k - n \rangle^{1-4\delta}} \|\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^q}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\delta = \delta(q) > 0$ sufficiently small (indeed $\delta < 1/4q$) and applying Young's inequality, we can get the second term above is controlled by $\kappa_0^{-4\delta} \|u\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^4$. For the first

term, when $s = 0$ it is the same as the second term. When $s > 0$, proceeding as in Lemma 5.3, from Young's and Hölder's inequalities, $1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{l} + (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{2}{q})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\langle k - n \rangle^{1-4\delta-s}} \|\hat{u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^2(I_k)}^2 \right\|_{\ell_n^q} \\ & \lesssim \|\langle n \rangle^{s-1+4\delta}\|_{\ell_n^l} \cdot \|\langle n \rangle^{-2s}\|_{\ell_n^r} \cdot \|\langle n \rangle^s \|\hat{u}\|_{L_\xi^2(I_n)}\|_{\ell_n^q}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

on the conditions that $1 - 4\delta - s > \frac{1}{l}$, $2s > \frac{1}{r}$, and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1$. When $s \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$, we can choose $\frac{1}{r} = 2s - \epsilon$ (as long as $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{q} - 4\delta$) to satisfy the conditions. When $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} < s < 1 - \frac{1}{q}$, we can choose $l = q$, $\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{2}{q}$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small (indeed $\delta < \frac{1-s}{4} - \frac{1}{4q}$) to satisfy the conditions. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\left\| \langle n \rangle^{2s} \alpha(\kappa_n; u(t)) - \langle n \rangle^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2\kappa_0 |\hat{u}(\xi + n, t)|^2}{4\kappa_0^2 + \xi^2} d\xi \right\|_{\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}} \lesssim \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \|u\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^4$$

for any $t \in I$. Then from Lemma 5.3 and the conservation of $\alpha(\kappa; u(t))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2 & \lesssim \kappa_0^2 (\|\alpha(\kappa_n; u(t))\|_{\ell_n^{\frac{q}{2}}} + \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \|u(t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^4) \\ & \lesssim \kappa_0^2 (\kappa_0^{-2} \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2 + \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^4 + \kappa_0^{-4\delta} \|u(t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^4) \\ & \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2 + \kappa_0^{2-4\delta} \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^4 + \kappa_0^{2-4\delta} \|u(t)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^4, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for any $t \in I$,

$$\kappa_0^{2-4\delta} \|u(t)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2 \lesssim \kappa_0^{2-4\delta} \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2 + (\kappa_0^{2-4\delta} \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2)^2 + (\kappa_0^{2-4\delta} \|u(t)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}^2)^2,$$

Since the power of κ_0 is positive, we can not obtain smallness by choosing κ_0 sufficiently large, so the smallness of $\|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}$ is needed. Thus, without loss of generality, we fix $\kappa_0 = C$. Suppose that

$$\|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \leq \varepsilon \ll 1, \quad (5.27)$$

by a standard continuity argument, we can get

$$\|u(t)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies that

$$\|u(t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{Z_{\kappa_0,q}^s} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}.$$

This completes the proof for initial data with small $M_{2,q}^s$ norm. For the general case, we use the scaling symmetry. For any solution $u(x, t)$ to (1.1) with initial data $u(x, 0)$, $u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^4 t)$ with initial data $u_\lambda(x, 0)$ is also a solution to (1.1). From (2.5), we can choose sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\lambda(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \leq \varepsilon \ll 1, \quad (5.28)$$

which implies that we can obtain

$$\|u_\lambda(x, t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \lesssim \|u_\lambda(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \quad (5.29)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the small initial data results above. Indeed, we may choose

$$\lambda \sim \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}^{-q}. \quad (5.30)$$

Therefore, from (2.6), and (5.28)-(5.30), we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\lambda(\lambda^{-4}t)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}} \|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s} \lesssim C_{s,q}(\|u(0)\|_{M_{2,q}^s}). \quad (5.31)$$

The theorem is now proved. \square

Acknowledgments.

The first author M.J. Chen is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12001236, and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province under Grant No. 2020A1515110494.

References

- [1] F. Azzouzi, H. Triki, K. Mezghiche, A. E. Akrmi, Solitary wave solutions for high dispersive cubic-quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 39 (2009) 1304-1307.
- [2] M.J. Chen and B.L. Guo, Local well and ill posedness for the modified KdV equations in subcritical modulation spaces, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 2020, 18(4): 909-946.
- [3] M.J. Chen and M.J. Shan, A priori estimates for the fifth-order modified KdV equations in Besov spaces with low regularity, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, 2023, 13(4): 2315-2325.
- [4] M.J. Chen and S. Zhang, Random data Cauchy problem for the fourth order Schrödinger equation with the second order derivative nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2020, 190: 111608.
- [5] M. Christ, J. Holmer and D. Tataru, Low regularity a priori bounds for the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Lib. Math.*, 2012, 1(1): 51-75.
- [6] L.S. Da Rios, On the motion of an unbounded fluid with a vortex filament of any shape, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 22 (1906) 117-135.
- [7] M. Daniel, L. Kavitha, R. Amuda, Soliton spin excitations in an anisotropic Heisenberg ferromagnet with octupole-dipole interaction, *Phys. Rev. B* 59 (1999) 13774-13781.

- [8] T.A. Davydova, Yu.A. Zaliznyak, Schrödinger ordinary solitons and chirped solitons: fourth-order dispersive effects and cubic-quintic nonlinearity, *Physica D*, 156 (2001) 260-282.
- [9] Dinh and V. Duong, Probabilistic Cauchy theory for the mass-critical fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, 2021, 62(3).
- [10] H.G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces on locally compact Abelian group, Technical Report, University of Vienna, 1983.
- [11] S.M. Guo, On the 1D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in an almost critical space, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 2017, 23(1): 91-124.
- [12] S.M. Guo, X.F. Ren and B.X. Wang. Local well-posedness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with L^2 -subcritical data. *Discrete Continuous Dyn. Syst.*, 2021, 41(9): 4207-4253.
- [13] M. Hadac, S. Herr and H. Koch, Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 2009, 27(3): 917-941.
- [14] C.C. Hao, L. Hsiao and B.X. Wang, Well-posedness of Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations in multi-dimensional spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2007, 328(1): 58-83.
- [15] Z.H. Huo and Y.L. Jia, The Cauchy problem for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation related to the vortex filament, *J. Differ. Equations*, 2005, 214(1): 1-35.
- [16] Z.H. Huo and Y.L. Jia, A refined well-posedness for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation related to the vortex filament, *Commun. Part. Diff. Eq.*, 2007, 32(10): 1493-1510.
- [17] K. Kato, M. Kobayashi and S. Ito, Estimates on modulation spaces for Schrödinger evolution operators with quadratic and sub-quadratic potentials. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2014, 266(2), 733–753.
- [18] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 1991, 40: 33-69.
- [19] R. Killip, M. Viřan and X. Zhang, Low regularity conservation laws for integrable PDE, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 2018, 28(4): 1062-1090.
- [20] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Dispersive estimates for principally normal pseudo-differential operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2005, 58(2): 217-284.

- [21] H. Koch and D. Tataru, A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2007, 2007(9): rnm053-rnm053.
- [22] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Energy and local energy bounds for the 1D cubic NLS equation in $H^{1/4}$, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 2010, 29(6): 955-988.
- [23] C. Kwak, Periodic fourth-order cubic NLS: Local well-posedness and Non-squeezing property, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2018, 461(2): 1327-1364.
- [24] M. Maeda and J.I. Segata, Existence and stability of standing waves of fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation related to vortex filament, *Funkc. Ekvacioj*, 2011, 54(1): 1-14.
- [25] T. Oh, N. Tzvetkov and Y.Z. Wang, Solving the 4NLS with white noise initial data, *Forum Math. Sigma*, 2020, 8: e48.
- [26] T. Oh and Y.Z. Wang, Global well-posedness of the periodic cubic fourth order NLS in negative Sobolev spaces, *Forum Math. Sigma*, 2018, 6: e5.
- [27] T. Oh and Y.Z. Wang, Global well-posedness of the one-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in almost critical spaces, *J. Differ. Equations*, 2020, 269(1): 612-640.
- [28] B. Pausader, The cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2009, 256(8): 2473-2517.
- [29] B. Pausader and S.L. Shao, The mass-critical fourth-order Schrödinger equation in high dimensions, *J. Hyperbol. Differ. Eq.*, 2010, 7(04): 651-705.
- [30] M. Ruzhansky, B.X. Wang and H. Zhang, Global well-posedness and scattering for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small data in modulation and Sobolev spaces, *J. Math. Pure. Appl.*, 2016, 105(1): 31-65.
- [31] J.I. Segata, Well-posedness for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equation related to the vortex filament, *Differ. Integral Equ.*, 2003, 16(7): 841-864.
- [32] J.I. Segata, Remark on well-posedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2004, 132(12): 3559-3568.
- [33] J.I. Segata, Well-posedness and existence of standing waves for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation, *Discrete Continuous Dyn. Syst.*, 2010, 27(3): 1093-1105.
- [34] J.I. Segata, Refined energy inequality with application to well-posedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation on torus, *J. Differ. Equations*, 2012, 252(11): 5994-6011.

- [35] B.X. Wang, Globally well and ill posedness for non-elliptic derivative Schrödinger equations with small rough data, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2013, 265, 3009-3052.
- [36] K. Seong, Well-posedness and ill-posedness for the fourth order cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in negative Sobolev spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2021, 504(1): 125342.
- [37] B.X. Wang and C.Y. Huang, Frequency-uniform decomposition method for the generalized BO, KdV and NLS equations, *J. Differ. Equations*, 2007, 239(1): 213-250.
- [38] B.X. Wang, Z.H. Huo, C.C. Hao and Z.H. Guo, *Harmonic Analysis Method for Non-linear Evolution Equations, I*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ 2011.
- [39] H. Q. Zhang, B. Tian, X. H. Meng, X. Lü, W. J. Liu, Conservation laws, soliton solutions and modulational instability for the higher-order dispersive nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 72 (2009) 233-239.