

A note on the radially symmetry in the moving plane method

Shu-Yu Hsu

Department of Mathematics
National Chung Cheng University
168 University Road, Min-Hsiung
Chia-Yi 621, Taiwan, R.O.C.
e-mail: shuyu.sy@gmail.com

Sept 17, 2024

Abstract

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a bounded connected C^2 domain. For any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $T_\lambda^\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \nu = \lambda\}$, $\Sigma_\lambda^\nu = \{x \in \Omega : x \cdot \nu < \lambda\}$ and $x^* = x - 2(x \cdot \nu - \lambda)\nu$ be the reflection of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ about the plane T_λ^ν . Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_\lambda^\nu = \{x \in \Omega : x^* \in \Sigma_\lambda^\nu\}$ and $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Suppose for any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Ω is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$ and u is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$ and satisfies (i) $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$ and (ii) $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$. We will give a simple proof that u is radially symmetric about some point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and Ω is a ball with center at x_0 . Similar result holds for the domain \mathbb{R}^n and function $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying similar monotonicity and symmetry conditions. We also extend this result under weaker hypothesis on the function u .

Keywords: elliptic equations, moving plane method, radial symmetry

AMS 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35J61 Secondary 35J25

1 Introduction

Moving plane technique [CL], [GNN1], [GNN2], [L1], [L2], is a very important technique used by many researchers to study the radially symmetry of the solutions of elliptic partial differential equations. In [GNN1] and [GNN2] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg used moving plane technique to prove the radial symmetry of solutions of the following equation

$$\Delta u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0, \quad u > 0, \quad n \geq 3$$

in a ball $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$ and in \mathbb{R}^n respectively. This moving plane technique was also used by W. Chen and C. Li [CL] to prove the radially symmetry of solutions of the equation,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + e^u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{u(x)} dx < +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a bounded connected C^2 domain. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of the equation,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -1, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = c \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\partial/\partial n$ is the derivative with respect to the unit outward normal n to $\partial\Omega$. In [S] J. Serrin used the moving plane technique to prove that

$$\Omega = B_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < R\} \quad \text{for some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and constant } R > 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$u(x) = \frac{R^2 - |x - x_0|^2}{2n} \quad \forall x \in B_R(x_0). \quad (1.4)$$

More precisely for any unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$T_\lambda^v = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot v = \lambda\}, \quad \Sigma_\lambda^v = \{x \in \Omega : x \cdot v < \lambda\},$$

and $x^* = x - 2(x \cdot v - \lambda)v$ be the reflection of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ about the plane T_λ^v . Let

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_\lambda^v = \{x \in \Omega : x^* \in \Sigma_\lambda^v\}. \quad (1.5)$$

J. Serrin [S] proved that for any unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $\lambda_v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Ω is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_v}^v$ and the solution u of (1.2) is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_v}^v$. From this J. Serrin [S] concluded that the solution u of (1.2) in Ω satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). However it is not very obvious why (1.3) and (1.4) hold in [S] as the hyperplanes $T_{\lambda_v}^v$, $v \in S^{n-1} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : |v| = 1\}$, may not all intersect in a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that one cannot apply the Cartan-Dieudonné Theorem [Ga] directly to conclude that u is radially symmetric.

Note that by the results of J. Serrin [S] and Hopf's Lemma [PW] the solution u of (1.2) satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_v}^v, v \in S^{n-1}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda_v}^v, v \in S^{n-1}, \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$u(x) = u(x^*) \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_v}^v, v \in S^{n-1}. \quad (1.8)$$

In this paper we will give a simple proof that if Ω is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$ for any $\nu \in S^{n-1}$ and u satisfies (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8), then u is radially symmetric about some point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and Ω is a ball with center x_0 and radius R for some constant $R > 0$. Similar result holds for the domain \mathbb{R}^n and function $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying some monotonicity and symmetry conditions. More precisely we will prove the following results:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a bounded connected C^2 domain and $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Suppose for any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Ω is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$ and u satisfies (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8). Then u is radially symmetric about some point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and Ω is a ball with center at x_0 .*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ and let $0 \leq f \in C^1([0, \infty))$ be such that $f(s) > 0$ for any $s > 0$. Suppose $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a solution of the equation,*

$$\Delta u + f(u) = 0, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.9)$$

such that for any $\nu \in S^{n-1}$ there exists a constant $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that u satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu, \quad (1.11)$$

and (1.8). Let $g(\nu) = \lambda_\nu$. Suppose the map $g : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then u is radially symmetric about some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we also have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ and $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose for any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that u satisfies (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8). Then u is radially symmetric about some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Remark 1.4. *By Theorem 1.1 the solution $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ of (1.2) is radially symmetric and hence given by (1.4) and Ω is given by (1.3).*

Remark 1.5. *In [CL] W. Chen and C. Li proved that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 holds for any solution $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of (1.1). Hence Theorem 1.3 shows that such solution must be radially symmetric about some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

2 Proof of the main theorems

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. We will let $e_i = (\delta_{ij})_{j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a constant $\lambda_i := \lambda_{e_i} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Ω is symmetric about the plane $T_{\lambda_i}^{e_i}$ and u satisfies

(1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) with $\lambda_\nu = \lambda_i$, $\nu = e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Omega$. For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$, let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be given by

$$y_i = x_i - \lambda_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

Then in the new coordinates y , the domain Ω is symmetric about the $y_i = 0$ plane for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and the origin $0 \in \Omega$. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$0 \in T_{\lambda_i}^{e_i} = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_i = 0\} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y) > 0 \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \Omega, y_i < 0, i = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y) < 0 \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \Omega, y_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence u attains its maximum at the origin. Suppose there exists $\nu \in S^{n-1}$ such that

$$0 \notin T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $P_0 = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the projection of the origin onto the hyperplane $T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$. That is $\text{dist}(0, P_0) = \text{dist}(0, T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu)$. Since by (1.6),

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \overrightarrow{OP_0}} > 0 \quad \text{along } \overrightarrow{OP_0},$$

$u(P_0) > u(0)$ which contradicts the fact that the origin is the maximum point of the function u . Hence no such ν exists and all the hyperplanes $T_{\lambda_\nu}^\nu$, $\nu \in S^{n-1}$, will intersect at the origin or at the point $x_0 = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in the original x -coordinates. Then by (1.8) and the Cartan-Dieudonné Theorem [Ga], u is radially symmetric about the point x_0 and Ω is a ball with center at x_0 . □

Proof of Theorem 1.2: By the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a constant $\lambda_i := \lambda_{e_i} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that u satisfies (1.8), (1.10) and (1.11) with $\lambda_\nu = \lambda_i$, $\nu = e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be given by (2.1). Then in the new coordinates y , the hyperplane $T_{\lambda_i}^{e_i}$ becomes the hyperplane

$$\{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_i = 0\}.$$

Hence we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_i < 0, i = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y) \leq 0 \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence u attains its maximum (say M) at the origin. Suppose there exists

$$v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in S^{n-1}, v_i \neq 0 \text{ for any } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.4)$$

such that (2.2) holds. Let $P_0 = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the projection of the origin onto the hyperplane $T_{\lambda_v}^v$. Then there exists a constant $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mu(v_1, \dots, v_n). \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), $a_i \neq 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$a_i > 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.6)$$

Since u attains its maximum at the origin and by the hypothesis of the theorem,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \overrightarrow{OP_0}} \geq 0 \quad \text{along } \overrightarrow{OP_0},$$

we have

$$u(sP_0) = u(0) = M = \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq 1. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.3) and (2.6) the function $u(t_1 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is decreasing in $t_1 \in (0, 1)$. This together with (2.7) implies that

$$u(t_1 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = u(0) = M \quad \forall 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1.$$

Repeating the above argument we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t_1 a_1, t_2 a_2, \dots, t_n a_n) &= u(0) = M \quad \forall 0 \leq t_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n \\ \Rightarrow u(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) &= u(0) = M \quad \forall 0 \leq y_i \leq a_i, i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By (1.9) and (2.8) we get

$$f(u(y)) = -\Delta u = 0 \quad \forall y \in \prod_{i=1}^n [0, a_i] \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \prod_{i=1}^n [0, a_i]$$

and contradiction arises. Hence no such v exists and $0 \in T_{\lambda_v}^v$ for any v satisfying (2.4). For any $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in S^{n-1}$, we choose a sequence $\{v^k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset S^{n-1}$, $v^k = (v_1^k, \dots, v_n^k)$, $v_i^k \neq 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that $v^k \rightarrow v$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By continuity of the map $g(v) = \lambda_v$,

$$\lambda_{v^k} \rightarrow \lambda_v \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$0 \in T_{\lambda_{v^k}}^{v^k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in T_{\lambda_v}^v \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$0 \in T_{\lambda_v}^v \quad \forall v \in S^{n-1}.$$

Thus all the hyperplanes $T_{\lambda_v}^v$, $v \in S^{n-1}$, will intersect at the origin or at the point $x_0 = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in the original x -coordinates. Then by (1.8) and the Cartan-Dieudonné Theorem [Ga], u is radially symmetric about the point x_0 . □

References

- [CL] W. Chen and C. Li, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991), no. 3, 615–622.
- [Ga] Jean Gallier, *Geometric Methods and Applications, For Computer Science and Engineering*, 2ed., Springer-Verlag 2011.
- [GNN1] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via maximum principle*, Commun. Math. Phys. 68 (1979), 209–243.
- [GNN2] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, "Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n " in *Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Part A*, ed. L. Nachbin, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud. vol. 7A, Academic Press, New York, 1981, 369–402.
- [L1] C. Li, *Monotonicity and Symmetry of Solutions of Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations on Bounded domains*, Commun. in Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), no. 2&3, 491–526.
- [L2] C. Li, *Monotonicity and symmetry of solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations on unbounded domains*, Commun. in Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), no. 4&5, 585–615.
- [S] J. Serrin, *A symmetry problem in potential theory*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 43 (1971), 304–318.
- [PW] M.H. Protter and H.F. Weinberger, *Maximum principles in Differential equations*, reprint, Springer-Verlag New York, 1984.