

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS TO 3D QUADRATIC NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE EQUATION

ZIHUA GUO, NAIJIA LIU, AND LIANG SONG

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem to the 3D fractional Schrödinger equation with quadratic interaction of $u\bar{u}$ type. We prove the global existence of solutions and scattering properties for small initial data. For the proof, one novelty is that we combine the normal form methods and the space-time resonance methods. Using the normal form transform enables us more flexibilities in designing the resolution spaces so that we can control various interactions. It is also convenient for the final data problem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Normal form transform and resolution spaces	4
3. Linear and bilinear estimates	7
4. Estimate for w	11
5. Estimate for u	16
6. Proof of the main theorem	37
7. Final data problem	38
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem to the 3D quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger-type equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)u = \lambda u\bar{u}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the unknown function, u_0 is a given data, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, and D^α is defined via the Fourier multiplier:

$$D^\alpha f(x) := (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} |\xi|^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and plays no role in this paper. We may assume $\lambda = 1$.

When $\alpha = 2$, we have $D^\alpha = -\Delta$. Then (1.1) becomes the quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS). The global existence of the solutions for the following NLS

$$(\partial_t - i\Delta)u = F(u), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \quad (1.2)$$

where $|F(u)| \sim |u|^{p+1}$, in particular the Hamiltonian nonlinearity $F(u) = i\mu|u|^p u$, has been extensively studied. We do not attempt to exhaust the list of literature of the studies,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q53, 35Q55, 35E15.

Key words and phrases. 3D quadratic Schrödinger equation, Global solutions.

Z. Guo is supported by ARC FT230100588. N. Liu and L. Song were supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2022YFA1005700. N. Liu was supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2022M723673). L. Song was supported by NNSF of China (No. 12071490).

but refer to the nice introduction of [10]. The NLS (1.2) is invariant under the scaling transform: for $\lambda > 0$

$$u(t, x) \rightarrow \lambda^{2/p} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x). \quad (1.3)$$

The critical Sobolev space is \dot{H}^{s_c} where $p = \frac{4}{d-s_c}$ in the sense that the norm of \dot{H}^{s_c} is invariant under the above scaling transform. There are three important indices for the study of global existence of solutions: mass-critical index $p_0 = \frac{4}{d}$, Strauss index $p_1(d) = \frac{2-d+\sqrt{d^2+12d+4}}{2d}$ and scattering-critical index $p_2(d) = \frac{2}{d}$. Note that $p_1(3) = 1$.

For the quadratic nonlinear terms $F(u) = \alpha_1 u^2 + \alpha_2 u\bar{u} + \alpha_3 \bar{u}\bar{u}$, which appear in many physical models, have the same scaling invariance as the nonlinear term $i|u|u$. However, the methods for $i\mu|u|u$ do not work in general for $F(u)$, as $i\mu|u|u$ has some special gauge-invariant and Hamiltonian structures. On the other hand, compared to the nonlinearity $i|u|u$, $F(u)$ has better algebraic structures and smoothness. Some new methods are developed to exploit the nonlinear interaction structures. When $\alpha_2 = 0$, small data global existence was proved by Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah [1] via the space-time resonance method. When $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, the space-time resonance structure of $u\bar{u}$ is worse (as explained below) and to our knowledge the small data global-existence is still an open question. Ikeda-Inui [8] showed the existence of blow-up solutions for a class of small L^2 initial data, which decays at rate $\frac{1}{|x|^{2-\epsilon}}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$. In [2], Ginibre and Hayashi proved the almost global existence using the vector fields method. See [7], [9], [16], and [13] for related results. In particular, in [16], Wang gave an alternative proof using the space-time resonance method. The difficulty for showing the global existence is some logarithmic divergence problem due to the *high* \times *high* $\rightarrow 0$ interactions. Indeed, it was shown in [16] that small data global existence holds if $u\bar{u}$ is replaced by some similar nonlinearity $Q(u, \bar{u})$ with some null-structure, e.g. $Q(u, \bar{u}) \sim D^\epsilon(u\bar{u})$. See [13] for similar results for general 3D quadratic systems.

The purpose of this paper is to study the small data global existence for the equation (1.1). We keep the nonlinearity $u\bar{u}$, but with a general dispersion. Our results show that the Schrödinger dispersion (in particular for low frequency) is really critical for $u\bar{u}$. Our main results can be roughly described as follows (We refer to Theorem 2.3 for the precise version):

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Assume u_0 is sufficiently small in suitable space, then there exists a unique global solution u to (1.1). Moreover, $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-}$ and scattering holds.*

In the rest of the introduction, we would like to describe our methods of proof. Consider the general 3D quadratic dispersive system

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + L_1)u_1 &= B_1(u_1, u_2) \\ (\partial_t + L_2)u_2 &= B_2(u_1, u_2) \\ (u_1, u_2)|_{t=0} &= (f_1, f_2) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $L_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} i\omega_j(\xi) \mathcal{F} f$, $j = 1, 2$, with dispersion $\omega_j(\xi) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $B_j(u_1, u_2)$ are the Coifman-Meyer bilinear Fourier multiplier operators

$$\mathcal{F}[B_j(u_1, u_2)](\xi) = \int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} m_j(\xi_1, \xi_2) \widehat{u_1}(\xi_1) \widehat{u_2}(\xi_2) d\mu, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (1.5)$$

Here u_j could be replaced by its conjugate \bar{u}_j .

It is now well-known that the nonlinear interaction structures of the equation (1.4) play a decisive role on the behaviour of its solutions, e.g. well-posedness and large time behaviour. A powerful approach is the perturbation method. That is, to view the nonlinearity as a

perturbation to the linear equations under proper sense. This requires very delicate work to design the suitable topology. Consider the equivalent integral equation of (1.4)

$$u_j(t) = W_j(t)f_j + \int_0^t W_j(t-s)B_j(u_1, u_2)(s)ds, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (1.6)$$

where $W_j(t) = e^{-tL_j} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{-it\omega_j(\xi)}\mathcal{F}$. Define the sequence of iteration: $u^{(0)} = 0$ and

$$u_j^{(n+1)}(t) = W_j(t)f_j + \int_0^t W_j(t-s)B_j(u_1^{(n)}, u_2^{(n)})(s)ds, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.7)$$

We would like to derive some compactness properties of the sequence $\{u_j^{(n)}\}$. We can see some nonlinear interactions through the second iteration. The second iteration

$$u_j^{(2)}(t) = W_j(t)f_j + \int_0^t W_j(t-s)B_j(u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)})(s)ds \quad (1.8)$$

implies

$$e^{it\omega_j(\xi)}\mathcal{F}[u_j^{(2)}](t, \xi) - \widehat{f}_j(\xi) = \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} \widehat{f}_1(\eta) \widehat{f}_2(\xi - \eta) d\eta \right) ds \quad (1.9)$$

where $\phi(\xi, \eta) = \omega_j(\xi) - \omega_1(\eta) - \omega_2(\xi - \eta)$ is the resonance function. The right-hand side is a bilinear oscillatory integral operator with a phase function $s\phi(\xi, \eta)$. By the theory of oscillatory integrals (e.g. see [12]), the stationary sets play a crucial role:

- $\mathcal{R} = \{(\xi, \eta) : \phi(\xi, \eta) = 0\}$
- $\mathcal{T} = \{(\xi, \eta) : \nabla_\eta \phi(\xi, \eta) = 0\}$

In general, when $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{T}$ is very small, the equation has good nonlinear interactions. When $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{T}$ is very large, the equation has bad nonlinear interactions. For example, when $\omega_1(\xi) = \omega_2(\xi) = \xi$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, then we do not have any nonlinear oscillations.

Many tools have been developed to exploit the nonlinear oscillations. The first one is the Bourgain's $X^{s,b}$ method. This method (and its relatives) is now a powerful and standard tool for low-regularity well-posedness and small data global existence. See [14] for local-in-time analysis, and [15] for global-in-time analysis. According to Tao [14], \mathcal{R} is called the *resonance* and \mathcal{T} is called the *coherence*. The non-resonant and non-coherent structures lead to extra smoothing effects and stronger decay. To exploit that, some very delicate harmonic analysis tools (e.g. for transversality) enter into play, in particular when data only belongs to Sobolev space, that is $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2$ has no smoothness.

Another approach to exploit the nonlinear oscillation is more elementary and straightforward. On $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{T})$, one can integrate by parts either in time variable or in spatial variables. This technique was explicitly used by Gustafson-Nakanishi-Tsai in [6] (e.g. Section 10), where they proved global existence and scattering for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in three dimensions with small data in weighted Sobolev spaces. This technique was also systematically developed by Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah [1] and is now known as the space-time resonance method. According to [6] and [1], \mathcal{R} is referred as time-resonance and \mathcal{T} is referred as space-resonance. This method is powerful for obtaining global existence of solutions for many physical models for small and nice data. In particular, the integration by parts in spatial variables will inevitably requires the data in weighted Sobolev spaces (namely, requires that \widehat{f}_j has some smoothness). The integration by parts in time variables still works for Sobolev spaces, and is closely related to the method of normal form transform introduced by Shatah [11]. In [4], the first-named author and Nakanishi introduced the combination of the normal form transform and generalized Strichartz estimates to obtain small data scattering in Sobolev spaces for 3D quadratic dispersive systems.

In this paper, we combine the normal form transform and the space-time resonance method. We use the normal form transform to replace (some) integration-by-parts in time in the space-time resonance method. More precisely, we write the equation (1.4) as

$$(\partial_t + L_j)u_j = B_{j,R}(u_1, u_2) + B_{j,NR}(u_1, u_2) \quad (1.10)$$

where $B_{j,NR}(u_1, u_2)$ denotes the non-resonant terms (where $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ is large). Then we perform a normal form transform $u_j = w_j + \Omega(u_l, u_k)$ and get an equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + L_j)w_j &= B_{j,R}(u_1, u_2) + \text{Cubic terms}(u_l, u_k, w_m) \\ u_j &= w_j + \Omega(u_l, u_k). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

The advantage of doing so is:

- One has more flexibilities for choosing the function spaces for w_j, u_j . The spaces for u_j are usually weaker than that for w_j . For some problems, it is necessary as w_j behaves (e.g. decay) better than u_j due to the cancellation between u_j and $\Omega(u_l, u_k)$
- The map $u_j \rightarrow w_j$ is one-to-one for small data. One can rewrite the equation (1.11) further by plug-in u_j , so that in the first equation u_j is only involved in higher order nonlinearity. In this way, one can use much weaker spaces for u_j than w_j . This is exactly what we use for this paper (see Section 2).
- For (1.11), it is convenient and easier to deal with the final data problem. The final data problem (namely construction of wave operator) of (1.1) is easier to handle. See [5] Section 2 for the case $\alpha = 2$ and their methods should also work for $\alpha < 2$. We revisit the final data problem in Section 7 using our approach. Different from the initial data problem, the time interval is now $[0, \infty]$.

We believe our approach works for other models. We will address the problems for the general system (1.4) under some general conditions in forthcoming works.

2. NORMAL FORM TRANSFORM AND RESOLUTION SPACES

For $X, Y \geq 0$, $X \lesssim Y$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $X \leq CY$. $X \sim Y$ means $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$.

We use \hat{u} or $\mathcal{F}u$ to denote the standard Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix\xi} u(x) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

We also use $\mathcal{F}_x u$, $\mathcal{F}_t u$ or $\mathcal{F}_{t,x} u$ for the Fourier transform with specified variables. Define

$$e^{-itD^\alpha} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(x\xi - t|\xi|^\alpha)} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued, non-negative, even, and radially-decreasing function such that $\text{supp}\varphi \subset [-5/4, 5/4]$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $[-1, 1]$. Let $\psi(\xi) = \varphi(|\xi|) - \varphi(2|\xi|)$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\psi_k(\xi) = \psi(2^{-k}\xi)$, $\psi_{\leq k}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-k}|\xi|)$ and the Littlewood-Paley projectors:

$$\widehat{P_k f}(\xi) := \psi(2^{-k}|\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \widehat{P_{\leq k} f}(\xi) := \varphi(2^{-k}|\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Define $a_{HH}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10} \psi_{k_1}(\xi - \eta) \psi_{k_2}(\eta)$, $a_{HL}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_k \psi_k(\xi - \eta) \psi_{\leq k-10}(\eta)$ and $a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_k \psi_{\leq k-10}(\xi - \eta) \psi_k(\eta)$. Define

$$(u\bar{v})_X(t, x) = (2\pi)^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} a_X(\xi, \eta) \hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{v}(t, \eta) d\eta d\xi,$$

where $X \in \{HH, HL, LH\}$. Then we can decompose $u\bar{v}$ as

$$u\bar{v} = (u\bar{v})_{HH} + (u\bar{v})_{HL} + (u\bar{v})_{LH}. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $(u\bar{v})_{LH}$ is non-resonant, we will use normal form transform to remove this term. More precisely, we let

$$B(u, v)(t, x) := (2\pi)^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} \phi^{-1}(\xi, \eta) a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) \hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{v}(t, \eta) d\xi d\eta \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\phi(\xi, \eta) := |\xi|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha. \quad (2.4)$$

We define a normal form transform

$$w = u + iB(u, \bar{u}). \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a interval. Then u satisfies $(\partial_t + iD^\alpha)u = u\bar{u}$ for all $t \in I$ if and only if (w, u) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)w &= (w\bar{w})_{HH+HL} + i[\overline{wB(u, \bar{u})}]_{HH+HL} - i[B(u, \bar{u})\bar{u}]_{HH+HL} \\ &\quad + iB(|u|^2, \bar{u}) + iB(u, |u|^2), \\ u &= w - iB(u, \bar{u}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Choose $t_0 \in I$ and suppose $(\partial_t + iD^\alpha)u = u\bar{u}$ holds. By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} \hat{u}(t, \xi) &= \hat{u}(t_0, \xi) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})^\wedge(s, \xi) ds \\ &= \hat{u}(t_0, \xi) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})_{HH+HL+LH}^\wedge(s, \xi) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The term $(u\bar{u})_{LH}$ is non-resonant. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})_{LH}^\wedge(s, \xi) ds &= \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) \hat{u}(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{\bar{u}}(s, \eta) ds d\eta \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} \hat{u}(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-is|\eta|^\alpha} \hat{\bar{u}}(s, \eta) ds d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that on $\text{supp}(a_{LH})$ we have $|\phi| \sim |\xi|^\alpha$. By integration by parts in time and (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})_{LH}^\wedge(s, \xi) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} \phi^{-1} a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) \hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{\bar{u}}(t, \eta) d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{-1} a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) \hat{u}(0, \xi - \eta) \hat{\bar{u}}(0, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} \phi^{-1} a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-is|\eta|^\alpha} \hat{\bar{u}}(s, \eta) ds d\eta \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} \phi^{-1} a_{LH}(\xi, \eta) e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} \hat{u}(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-is|\eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)^\wedge(s, \eta) ds d\eta \\ &= e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})^\wedge(t, \xi) - B(u, \bar{u})^\wedge(t_0, \xi) \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(|u|^2, \bar{u})^\wedge(s, \xi) ds - \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, |u|^2)^\wedge(s, \xi) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.5), means

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} \hat{w}(t, \xi) &= \hat{w}(t_0, \xi) + i \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(|u|^2, \bar{u})^\wedge(s, \xi) ds \\ &\quad + i \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, |u|^2)^\wedge(s, \xi) ds + \int_{t_0}^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})_{HH+HL}^\wedge(s, \xi) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t w + iD^\alpha w)^\wedge(\xi) &= e^{-it|\xi|^\alpha} \partial_t (e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} \hat{w})(\xi) \\ &= iB(|u|^2, \bar{u})^\wedge(t, \xi) + iB(u, |u|^2)^\wedge(t, \xi) + (u\bar{u})_{HH+HL}^\wedge(t, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

So we have

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)w = (u\bar{u})_{HH+HL} + iB(|u|^2, \bar{u}) + iB(u, |u|^2), \\ u = w - iB(u, \bar{u}). \end{cases}$$

Using the second equation, we have

$$(u\bar{u})_{HH+HL} = (w\bar{w})_{HH+HL} + i[\overline{wB(u, \bar{u})}]_{HH+HL} - i[B(u, \bar{u})\bar{u}]_{HH+HL}.$$

This finishes the proof of (2.6). \square

Remark 2.2. Note that in the system (2.6), in the first equation u only appears in the cubic terms. This enables us to have more flexibility to use different resolution spaces for w and u . We can plug-in the second equation again into the first equation, then we can make u appears in higher order nonlinearity. However, this is not necessary for our purposes.

Inspired by [16], we define the resolution spaces. Through out the paper, we assume $\lambda \in (\frac{\alpha-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. We define the space W with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_W &:= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2k+} (2^{-\lambda k} \|\psi_k(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \|\psi_k(\xi) \nabla_\xi \hat{f}(\xi)\|_2 + 2^{(2-\lambda)k} \|\psi_k(\xi) \nabla_\xi^2 \hat{f}(\xi)\|_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and the space U with the norm

$$\|f\|_U := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2k+} (2^{-\lambda k} \|\psi_k(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)\|_2 + 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \|\psi_k(\xi) \nabla_\xi \hat{f}(\xi)\|_2). \quad (2.9)$$

We denote $f(t) := e^{itD^\alpha} w(t)$ and $g(t) := e^{itD^\alpha} u(t)$, which are the profiles of w and u . The space W is used to estimate f and the space U is used to estimate g . Here is our main result. Denote $C(\mathbb{R}; H^{10})^2 := C(\mathbb{R}; H^{10}) \times C(\mathbb{R}; H^{10})$.

Theorem 2.3. Assume $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\lambda \in (\frac{\alpha-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be sufficiently small (that depends only on α, λ). Assume that the initial datas satisfy the following assumption

$$\|w_0\|_W + \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} + \|u_0\|_U \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

Then there exists a unique global solution (w, u) to (2.6) in $C(\mathbb{R}; H^{10})^2$ and the solution posses scattering property. Moreover, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t>0} \left(\|w(t)\|_{H^{10}} + \|e^{itD^\alpha} w(t)\|_W + (1+t)^{1+\delta} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &+ \sup_{t>0} \left(\|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} + \|e^{itD^\alpha} u(t)\|_U + (1+t)^{1+\delta} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq C_{\alpha, \lambda} \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}{\alpha}, \frac{3}{2}\} - 1$. We can take $\delta = \min\{\frac{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}{\alpha}, \frac{3}{2}\} - 1$ if $\lambda + \frac{3}{2} \neq \frac{3}{2}\alpha$.

3. LINEAR AND BILINEAR ESTIMATES

For simplicity of notations, for $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, denote $f_k = P_k f$ and $f_{\leq k} = P_{\leq k} f$. In this section, we collect some linear and bilinear estimates.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $t > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f\|_2 &= \|f\|_2, \\ \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_\infty &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{3(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})k} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by interpolation we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_4 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})k} \|f\|_{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Proof. The first estimate follows from Plancherel's theorem. The second estimate follows from [4]. \square

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|f\|_2^{1/4} \| |x|^2 f \|_2^{3/4}; \\ \|f\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|f\|_2^{1/4} \| |x| f \|_2^{3/4}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. For the second one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{4/3} &\leq \|1_{|x| \leq R} f\|_{4/3} + \|1_{|x| \geq R} f\|_{4/3} \\ &\lesssim \|1_{|x| \leq R}\|_4 \|f\|_2 + \| |x|^{-1} 1_{|x| \geq R} \|_4 \| |x| f \|_2 \\ &\lesssim R^{3/4} \|f\|_2 + R^{-1/4} \| |x| f \|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Optimising in R , we complete the proof. For the first inequality, the proof is similar. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For all $t > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_\infty &\lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k-2k_+}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k-2k_+}\} \|f\|_W, \\ \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_4 &\lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{4})k-2k_+}, t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{4}\alpha+\frac{3}{4})k-2k_+}\} \|f\|_W, \\ \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (\nabla \hat{f}_{k_1})^\vee\|_4 &\lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda-\frac{1}{4})k-2k_+}, t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{4}\alpha-\frac{1}{4})k-2k_+}\} \|f\|_W, \\ \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_6 &\lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda+1)k-2k_+}, t^{-1} 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+1)k-2k_+}\} \|f\|_W. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_\infty &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{3(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})k} \|f_k\|_1 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{3(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})k} \|f_k\|_2^{1/4} \| |x|^2 f_k \|_2^{3/4} \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k-2k_+} \|f\|_W. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|f_k\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k-2k_+} \|f\|_W.$$

By interpolation, we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_4 \leq \|f_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{4})k-2k_+}, t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{4}\alpha+\frac{3}{4})k-2k_+}\} \|f\|_W.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (\nabla \hat{f}_{k_1})^\vee\|_4 &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\frac{3}{2}k} \|(\nabla \hat{f}_{k_1})^\vee\|_{\frac{4}{3}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\frac{3}{2}k} \| |x| f_k \|_{\frac{4}{3}} \| |x|^2 f_k \|_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{4}\alpha-\frac{1}{4})k-2k_+} \|f\|_W. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (\nabla \hat{f}_{k_1})^\vee\|_4 \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{4}k} \|\nabla \hat{f}_{k_1}\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-\frac{1}{4})k-2k_+} \|f\|_W.$$

By interpolation, we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k\|_6 \leq \|f_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|e^{-it|D|^\alpha} f_k\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}} \lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda+1)k-2k_+}, t^{-1}2^{(\lambda-\alpha+1)k-2k_+}\} \|f\|_W.$$

□

For a bounded function m on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$T_{j,k}(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} \psi_k(\xi) m(\xi, \eta) \psi_j(\eta) \hat{f}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}(\eta) d\xi d\eta. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 30$,*

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} |\eta|^{-|\beta|}.$$

Then for all $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following bilinear estimate holds,

$$\sup_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|T_{j,k}(f, g)\|_r \lesssim \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$.

Proof. Choose a smooth function $\tilde{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\})$, which equals to 1 on $\text{supp}\psi$. By Fourier series, we have

$$\psi_k(\xi) m(\xi, \eta) \psi_j(\eta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3} m_{k,\alpha}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(\eta),$$

where $m_{k,\alpha}(\xi) := \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\alpha\zeta} m(\xi, 2^j\zeta) \psi(\zeta) d\zeta$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(\eta) := e^{i\alpha 2^{-j}\eta} \tilde{\psi}_j(\eta)$. By integration by parts, for all $|\beta| \leq 10$, we have

$$|\partial_\xi^\beta m_{k,\alpha}(\xi)| \leq C_\beta (1 + |\alpha|)^{-20} 2^{-|\beta|k}.$$

Define

$$T_{j,k}^\alpha(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} m_{k,\alpha}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(\eta) \hat{f}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}(\eta) d\xi d\eta = m_{k,\alpha}(D)(f \tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(D)g)(x),$$

which means for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{j,k}(f, g)\|_r &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3} \|T_{j,k}^\alpha(f, g)\|_r = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3} \|m_{k,\alpha}(D)(f \tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(D)g)(x)\|_r \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3} (1 + |\alpha|)^{-20} \|f \tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(D)g\|_r \lesssim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3} (1 + |\alpha|)^{-20} \|f\|_p \|\tilde{\psi}_{j,\alpha}(D)g\|_q \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3} (1 + |\alpha|)^{-10} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \lesssim \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let m be as in Lemma 3.4. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following bilinear estimate holds,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_k(\cdot) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} m(\cdot, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_1}(\cdot - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(\eta) d\eta)\|_2 \lesssim \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_1}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2}\} \|f_{k_1}\|_2 \|g_{k_2}\|_2.$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 3.4 and Bernstein's inequality. □

For a bounded function m on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$T_{j,k,l}(f, g, h)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} \psi_k(\xi) m(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \psi_j(\eta) \psi_l(\zeta) \hat{f}(\xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{h}(\zeta) d\xi d\eta d\zeta.$$

Again by Fourier series as in Lemma 3.4, we have

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq 60$,*

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \partial_\zeta^\gamma m(\xi, \eta, \zeta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} |\eta|^{-|\beta|} |\zeta|^{-|\gamma|}.$$

Then for all $1 \leq p_i, r \leq \infty$ with $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $f \in L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $g \in L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $h \in L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following trilinear estimate holds,

$$\sup_{j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|T_{j, k, l}(f, g, h)\|_r \lesssim \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2} \|h\|_{p_3},$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{p_i}$. Note we can also use Bernstein's inequality and above result to get similar results as in Lemma 3.5.

Definition 3.7. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^6$ be an open set. We say a function $a \in \tau S^0(\Omega)$ if $a \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$, $(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega$, we have

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta a(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \tau} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} |\eta|^{-|\beta|}$$

and is elliptic if

$$|a(\xi, \eta)| \geq c\tau,$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Definition 3.8. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^9$ be an open set. We say a function $a \in \tau S^0(\Omega)$ if $a \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$, $(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \Omega$, we have

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \partial_\zeta^\gamma a(\xi, \eta, \zeta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} |\eta|^{-|\beta|} |\zeta|^{-|\gamma|}$$

and is elliptic if

$$|a(\xi, \eta, \zeta)| \geq c\tau,$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Recall $\phi(\xi, \eta) = |\xi|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha$ and define

$$\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2} := \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \mid |\xi| \in (2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}), |\xi - \eta| \in (2^{k_1-1}, 2^{k_1+1}), |\eta| \in (2^{k_2-1}, 2^{k_2+1})\},$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10$ and $k_1 \geq k - 10$. Then we have*

$$\nabla_\xi \phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k_1} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2}), \quad \nabla_\eta \phi \in 2^k 2^{(\alpha-2)k_1} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2}).$$

They are both elliptic and $|\langle \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \nabla_\eta \phi \rangle| \sim |\nabla_\eta \phi|$. Here we choose l satisfying $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{|\xi_i|\} = |\xi_l|$ and thus $|\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)| \sim |\nabla_\eta \phi(\xi, \eta)|$. Note the size of $\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi$ can be improved, but it is not necessary in our proof.

Proof. Suppose $(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_{k, k_1, k_2}$. By our assumption, we have $|\xi - \eta| \sim |\eta| \geq C|\xi|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough that will be chosen later.

Case 1: $|\xi| \geq \varepsilon|\eta|$. Now we have $|\xi - \eta| \sim |\eta| \sim |\xi|$. If $\angle(\xi, \xi - \eta) \leq \varepsilon'$, then we have $||\xi| - |\xi - \eta|| \geq C_{\varepsilon'} |\eta|$ if $\varepsilon' > 0$ is small enough because $|\xi - \eta| \sim |\eta|$. This in turn tells that $||\xi|^{\alpha-1} - |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha-1}| \geq C_{\varepsilon'} |\eta|^{\alpha-1}$. Then by a triangle inequality, we have

$$|\nabla_\xi \phi| = \left| \alpha |\xi|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \alpha |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\xi - \eta}{|\xi - \eta|} \right| \geq C_{\varepsilon'} 2^{(\alpha-1)k_1}.$$

If $\angle(\xi, \xi - \eta) \geq \varepsilon'$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_\xi \phi|^2 &= \left| \alpha |\xi|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \alpha |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\xi - \eta}{|\xi - \eta|} \right|^2 \\ &\geq 2\alpha^2 |\xi|^{\alpha-1} |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha-1} (1 - \cos \varepsilon') \geq C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} 2^{2(\alpha-1)k_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|\nabla_\eta \phi| = \left| \alpha |\eta|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\eta}{|\eta|} - \alpha |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\eta - \xi}{|\eta - \xi|} \right| \geq C_{\alpha, \varepsilon} 2^{(\alpha-1)k_1}.$$

The proof of other estimates is standard and we omit it.

Case 2: $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon|\eta|$. By a triangle inequality, we have

$$|\nabla_\xi \phi(\xi, \eta)| \sim |\xi - \eta|^{\alpha-1} \sim 2^{(\alpha-1)k_1}.$$

Define $\Gamma(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha$. Then

$$\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \Gamma(\xi) = \partial_{\xi_j}(\alpha|\xi|^{\alpha-2}\xi_i) = \alpha|\xi|^{\alpha-2}((\alpha-2)|\xi|^{-2}\xi_i\xi_j + \delta_{ij}),$$

which means for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\partial_\xi^2 \Gamma(\xi)X = \alpha|\xi|^{\alpha-2}((\alpha-2)\langle X, \xi/|\xi| \rangle \xi/|\xi| + X).$$

Let $X = \langle X, \xi/|\xi| \rangle \xi/|\xi| + X'$ be the orthogonal decomposition. Then we have

$$|(\alpha-2)\langle X, \xi/|\xi| \rangle \xi/|\xi| + X| = |(\alpha-1)\langle X, \xi/|\xi| \rangle \xi/|\xi| + X'| \sim |X|, \quad (3.4)$$

where we use $\alpha > 1$. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$\nabla_\eta \phi(\xi, \eta) = \nabla_\eta \Gamma(\eta) - \nabla_\eta \Gamma(\eta - \xi) = \int_0^1 \partial_\eta^2 \Gamma(\eta - \theta\xi) \xi d\theta.$$

Because $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon|\eta|$, we have $|\eta - \theta\xi| \sim |\eta|$ and

$$\frac{\eta - \theta\xi}{|\eta - \theta\xi|} = \frac{\eta}{|\eta|} + O(|\xi|/|\eta|) = \frac{\eta}{|\eta|} + O(\varepsilon),$$

which tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\eta^2 \Gamma(\eta - \theta\xi) \xi &= \alpha|\eta - \theta\xi|^{\alpha-2}((\alpha-2)\langle \xi, \eta - \theta\xi/|\eta - \theta\xi| \rangle (\eta - \theta\xi)/|\eta - \theta\xi| + \xi) \\ &= \alpha|\eta - \theta\xi|^{\alpha-2}((\alpha-2)\langle \xi, \eta/|\eta| \rangle \eta/|\eta| + \xi) + O(\varepsilon|\eta|^{\alpha-2}|\xi|). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\eta \phi(\xi, \eta) &= \int_0^1 \partial_\eta^2 \Gamma(\eta - \theta\xi) \xi d\theta \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 |\eta - \theta\xi|^{\alpha-2} d\theta ((\alpha-2)\langle \xi, \eta/|\eta| \rangle \eta/|\eta| + \xi) + O(\varepsilon|\eta|^{\alpha-2}|\xi|) \\ &\sim |\eta|^{\alpha-2}|\xi| + O(\varepsilon|\eta|^{\alpha-2}|\xi|) \sim |\eta|^{\alpha-2}|\xi| \end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Other estimates can be proven by standard calculus. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $k_1 \geq k_2 + 10$ and $|k_1 - k| \leq 10$. Then we have*

$$\nabla_\xi \phi(\xi, \eta) \in 2^{(\alpha-2)k} 2^{k_2} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2}), \quad \nabla_\eta \phi(\xi, \eta) \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2}).$$

They are both elliptic and $|\langle \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \nabla_\eta \phi \rangle| \sim |\nabla_\eta \phi|$. Here we choose l satisfying $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{|\xi_i|\} = |\xi_l|$ and thus $|\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)| \sim |\nabla_\eta \phi(\xi, \eta)|$. Note we can improve the size of $\partial_{\eta_l}^2 \phi$, but it is not necessary in our proof.

Proof. Suppose $(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_{k, k_1, k_2}$. By the assumption on (k, k_1, k_2) , we have $|\eta| \leq C|\xi|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough. If $|\eta| \leq \varepsilon|\xi|$, the above estimates can be proved similarly as Lemma 3.9. If $|\eta| \in [\varepsilon|\xi|, C|\xi|]$, then $|\xi| \sim |\eta| \sim |\xi - \eta|$ by the assumption on (k, k_1, k_2) and the above estimates can also be proved similarly as Lemma 3.9. \square

4. ESTIMATE FOR w

Recall that $f(t) = e^{itD^\alpha} w(t)$, $g(t) = e^{itD^\alpha} u(t)$. In this section, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|Q_1(t)\|_W \lesssim \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|f(s)\|_W^2,$$

where $Q_1(t)$ is defined as

$$\hat{Q}_1(t) = \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds.$$

Let δ be as in Theorem 2.3. The norm of w has three components. For convenience we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\|_{W_1} &:= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|w(s)\|_{H^{10}}, \\ \|w(t)\|_{W_2} &:= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (1+s)^{1+\delta} \|w(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|w(t)\|_{W_3} &:= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|e^{isD^\alpha} w(s)\|_W. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The norm of u has three components. For convenience we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{U_1} &:= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_{H^{10}}, \\ \|u(t)\|_{U_2} &:= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (1+s)^{1+\delta} \|u(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|u(t)\|_{U_3} &:= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|e^{isD^\alpha} u(s)\|_U. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.2. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|B(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_{U_1} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\|w(t)\|_{U_1} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{U_1} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, for $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\|B(u, \bar{u})_k(t)\|_{H^{10}} \lesssim 2^{-\alpha k} \|u(t)\|_\infty \|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} \leq 2^{-\alpha k} \|u(t)\|_{U_1} \|u(t)\|_{U_2}.$$

For $k \leq 0$, by $(2, \infty)$ estimate and Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(u, \bar{u})_k(t)\|_{H^{10}} &\lesssim \|B(u, \bar{u})_k(t)\|_2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda + \frac{3}{2} - \alpha)k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k+10} 2^{\lambda k_1 - 2k_1} \|u(t)\|_{U_3}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda + \frac{3}{2} - \alpha)k} \|u(t)\|_{U_3}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.3) follows by a triangle inequality. (4.4) follows from (4.3) and (2.5). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\lambda \in (\frac{\alpha-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then we have*

$$C_1 := 2^{-\lambda k} \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{-2k_1} \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k+2\lambda k_1}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1}\} \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\varepsilon}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\varepsilon := \min\{\frac{2\lambda}{\alpha-1}, \frac{3}{2} - \lambda\} - 1 > 0$ and

$$C_2 := \sum_{k_2} 2^{-2k_2} \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k_2}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k_2}\} \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. If $\frac{\alpha-1}{2} < \lambda \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} < \min\{\frac{3}{4}\alpha - \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}$, we have

$$C_1 \lesssim 2^{-2k} 2^{(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha})k} t^{-\frac{2\lambda}{\alpha-1}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{2\lambda}{\alpha-1}}.$$

If $\frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, we can choose $\tau_1 \in (0, 2\lambda)$ satisfying $\frac{3}{2} - \lambda = \frac{2\lambda-\tau_1}{\alpha-1}$ and $2\lambda - \tau_1 < \frac{3}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &\lesssim 2^{-\lambda k} \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k+(2\lambda-\tau_1)k_1}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(2\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2}-\tau_1)k_1}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{2}-\lambda-\frac{2\lambda-\tau_1}{\alpha-1})k} t^{-\frac{2\lambda-\tau_1}{\alpha-1}} = t^{\lambda-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

These, together with

$$C_1 \leq \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{-2k_1} 2^{(\frac{3}{2}-\lambda)k+2\lambda k_1} \leq \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{-2k_1} 2^{(\frac{3}{2}+\lambda)k_1} \lesssim 1,$$

tell us that (4.5) holds. Next we show (4.6). If $\alpha - \frac{3}{2} < \lambda \leq \frac{3}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}$, we can choose a small $\tau_2 \in [0, 2)$ such that $\delta = \min\{\frac{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}-\tau_2}{\alpha}, \frac{3}{2}\} - 1$ and

$$C_2 \leq \sum_{k_2} \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2}-\tau_2)k_2}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2}-\tau_2)k_2}\} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}-\tau_2}{\alpha}}.$$

If $\frac{3}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2} < \lambda < \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$C_2 \lesssim \sum_{k_2} 2^{-2k_2} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k_2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Then for all $\alpha - \frac{3}{2} < \lambda < \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}$ and $t \geq 1$, we have

$$C_2 \lesssim t^{-1-\delta}.$$

If $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$C_2 \leq \sum_{k_2} 2^{-2k_2} 2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k_2} \lesssim 1.$$

Then for all $\alpha - \frac{3}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$C_2 \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta}.$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|w(t)\|_{W_2} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{W_3},$$

$$\sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} 2^{-\alpha k} \|w_{k_1}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \min\{1, 2^{-\alpha k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta}\} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3.3 and 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f(t)\|_{\infty} &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_k(t)\|_{\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k-2k}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k-2k}\} \|f(t)\|_W \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} \|f(t)\|_W, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|w(t)\|_{W_2} = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (1+s)^{1+\delta} \|e^{-isD^\alpha} f(s)\|_\infty \lesssim \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|f(s)\|_W = \|w(t)\|_{W_3}.$$

Next we show (4.7). By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_{k_1}(t)\|_\infty &\lesssim \sum_{k_1} \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k_1-2k_{1,+}}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k_1-2k_{1,+}}\} \|f(t)\|_W \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} 2^{-\alpha k} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} f_{k_1}(t)\|_\infty &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} 2^{-\alpha k} 2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2}-\theta)k_1} \|f(t)\|_W \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}-\theta)k} \|f(t)\|_W = \|w(t)\|_{W_3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta := \lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \in (0, 1)$. □

Recall that $f(t) = e^{itD^\alpha} w(t)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_k^1 &= \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |k_1 - k_2| \leq 10, k \leq k_1 + 10\}, \\ \chi_k^2 &= \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |k_1 - k_2| \geq 10, |k_1 - k| \leq 10\}, \\ \chi_k^3 &= \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |k_2 - k_1| \geq 10, |k_2 - k| \leq 10\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and $\chi_k = \cup_{\ell=1}^3 \chi_k^\ell$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} (w\bar{w})_{HH+HL}^\wedge(\xi) &= \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &= \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} H_{k_1, k_2}(t, \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $\phi(\xi, \eta) = |\xi|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha$ and

$$H_{k_1, k_2}(t, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta.$$

Define $H_{k, k_1, k_2}(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) H_{k_1, k_2}(t, \xi)$.

Apply ∂_{ξ_i} derivative to (4.9), we have

$$\partial_{\xi_i} (e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} (w\bar{w})_{HH+HL}^\wedge(\xi)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} I_{k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi), \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(t, \xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\xi_i} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ I_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}(t, \xi) &= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} t \partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Define $I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) I_{k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi)$ for $\ell = 1, 2$.

Apply $\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2$ derivative to (4.9), we have

$$\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 (e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} (w\bar{w})_{HH+HL}^\wedge(\xi)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} J_{k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi), \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(t, \xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ J_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}(t, \xi) &= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} t (\partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_i}) \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ J_{k_1, k_2}^{(3)}(t, \xi) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} t^2 \partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_j} \phi(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ J_{k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(t, \xi) &= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} t \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Define $J_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) J_{k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi)$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, 4$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $k_2 \leq k_1 + 10$. Then we have*

$$\|H_{k, k_1, k_2}(t, \xi)\|_2 \lesssim \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}\kappa} 2^{\lambda k_1 - 2k_1 +} 2^{\lambda k_2 - 2k_2 +}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1 - 2k_1 +} 2^{\lambda k_2 - 2k_2 +}\} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}^2,$$

where $\kappa := \min\{k, k_2\}$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $k_2 \leq k_1 + 10$ and $\kappa = \min\{k, k_2\}$.*

(i) *If $|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10$,*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^2 \|I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \leq C 2^{-k} \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k} 2^{2\lambda k_1 - 4k_1 +}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1 - 4k_1 +}\} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}^2; \quad (4.12)$$

(ii) *If $k_1 - k_2 \geq 10$,*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^2 \|I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \leq C 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k+} \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k_2-2k_2+}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k_2-2k_2+}\} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Suppose $\|w(t)\|_{W_3} = 1$. We can decompose \mathbb{R}^3 as

$$\mathbb{R}^3 = \cup_{l=1}^3 \Omega_l,$$

where

$$\Omega_l := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi_l| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{|\xi_i|\}\}. \quad (4.14)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\xi \in \Omega_l$ for some fixed l .

First we consider $|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10$. By Lemma 3.9, $|\partial_{\eta_l} \phi| \sim |\nabla_{\eta} \phi|$. By integration by parts, we have

$$I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(2)}(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta)}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) \right) d\eta.$$

Since $k_2 \leq k_1 + 10$, by Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, 3.3 and 3.9, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^2 \|I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{-4k_1 +} \min\{2^{\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{2\lambda k_1}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{(2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1}\} \|f(t)\|_W^2,$$

where we use (2, 2) and (2, ∞) estimates. This finishes the proof of (4.12).

Next we consider $k_1 - k_2 \geq 10$. Again by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(2)}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta)}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta)}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \right) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, 3.5, 3.3 and 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \|I_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{\lambda k_2 - 2k_2, +} \min\{t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1 - 2k_1, +}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} 2^{\lambda k_1 - 2k_1, +}\} \\ &\quad + 2^{(\lambda-1)k_1 - 2k_1, +} \min\{t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_2 - 2k_2, +}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} 2^{\lambda k_2 - 2k_2, +}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k - 2k_+} \min\{t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_2 - 2k_2, +}, 2^{(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})k_2 - 2k_2, +}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $(\infty, 2)$ estimate to the first term of $I_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(2)}(t)$ and $(2, \infty)$ estimates to other terms. This finishes the proof of (4.13). \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $k_2 \leq k_1 + 10$. For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \text{ If } |k_1 - k_2| \leq 10, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|J_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim 2^{-2k} \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} 2^{2\lambda k_1} 2^{-4k_1, +}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1} 2^{-4k_1, +}\} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}^2; \quad (4.15) \\ (ii) \text{ If } k_1 - k_2 \geq 10, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|J_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k - 2k_+} \min\{2^{(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})k_2} 2^{-2k_2, +}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_2} 2^{-2k_2, +}\} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. The proof is similar as Lemma 4.6. Suppose $\|w(t)\|_{W_3} = 1$. Again we can assume $\xi \in \Omega_l$ for some fixed l and thus $|\partial_{\eta_l} \phi| \sim |\nabla_{\eta} \phi|$, where Ω_l is defined as in (4.14).

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(2)}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_i}}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi(\xi, \eta) \partial_{\xi_i}}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi(\xi, \eta)} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \right) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ J_{k_1, k_2}^{(3)}(t, \xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{1}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \right) \right) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \frac{1}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \right) \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{(\partial_{\eta_l} \phi)^2} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \right) \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{(\partial_{\eta_l} \phi)^2} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_l}^2 \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ J_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(4)}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{f}_{k_2}(t, \eta) \right) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, 3.5, 3.3 and 3.9, (4.15) follows, where we use (4, 4) estimates to the terms with $\nabla \hat{f}_{k_1}$ and $\nabla \hat{f}_{k_2}$.

By Lemma 3.4, 3.5, 3.3 and 3.10, (4.16) follows. \square

By (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), the proof of Lemma 4.1 follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\frac{\alpha-1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$. There exists a $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha, \lambda) > 0$ such that*

$$2^{2k_+ - \lambda k} \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1 + 10} \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^t \|H_{k, k_1, k_2}(s)\|_2 ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 2^{2k_+ + (1-\lambda)k} \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} \int_{t/2}^t \|I_{k, k_1, k_2}^{(\ell)}(s)\|_2 ds$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^4 2^{2k_+ + (2-\lambda)k} \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1} \int_{t/2}^t \|J_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(s)\|_2 ds \lesssim (1+t)^{-\varepsilon} \|w(t)\|_{W_3}^2.$$

Proof. Assume $\|w(t)\|_{W_3} = 1$.

Case 1: $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 = \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |k_1 - k_2| \leq 10, k \leq k_1 + 10\}$. By Lemma 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{2k_+ - \lambda k} \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1 + 10} \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^t \|H_{k,k_1,k_2}(s)\|_2 ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 2^{2k_+ + (1-\lambda)k} \sum_{(k_1,k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} \int_{t/2}^t \|I_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(s)\|_2 ds \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^4 2^{2k_+ + (2-\lambda)k} \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1} \int_{t/2}^t \|J_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(s)\|_2 ds \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\lambda k} \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{-2k_1, +} \min\{t 2^{\frac{3}{2}k + 2\lambda k_1}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{(2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1}\} \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2 = \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |k_1 - k_2| \geq 10, |k_1 - k| \leq 10\}$. By Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{2k_+ - \lambda k} \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1 + 10} \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^t \|H_{k,k_1,k_2}(s)\|_2 ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 2^{2k_+ + (1-\lambda)k} \sum_{(k_1,k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} \int_{t/2}^t \|I_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(s)\|_2 ds \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^4 2^{2k_+ + (2-\lambda)k} \sum_{(k_1,k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \cup \chi_k^2} \int_{t/2}^t \|J_{k,k_1,k_2}^{(\ell)}(s)\|_2 ds \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_2} 2^{-2k_2, +} \min\{2^{(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})k_2}, t^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_2}\} \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. Note the proof in case 1 can not go through if $\alpha = 2$ and the condition for λ can be released in case 2. \square

5. ESTIMATE FOR u

Note ϕ^{-1} and $(\partial_\eta \phi)^{-1}$ do not satisfy the symbol condition in Lemma 3.4 if $|\xi - \eta| \leq \varepsilon|\eta|$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$, but we can use the following lemma to make sure that the desired bilinear or trilinear estimates hold.

Recall $\phi(\xi, \eta) = |\xi|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha$ and define $\rho(\xi, \eta) = |\xi|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha$, $\gamma(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough. Suppose $|\xi - \eta| \leq \varepsilon|\eta|$. For all $N \geq 1$, one has*

$$\phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \rho^{-N} |\xi - \eta|^{N\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^N \rho^{-j} |\xi - \eta|^{(j-1)\alpha},$$

$$(\partial_\eta \phi)^{-1} = (\partial_\eta \phi)^{-1} (\partial_\eta \gamma(\eta))^{-N} (\partial_\eta \gamma(\eta - \xi))^N + \sum_{j=1}^N (\partial_\eta \gamma(\eta))^{-j} (\partial_\eta \gamma(\eta - \xi))^{j-1},$$

where $\max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \{|\eta_j|\} = |\eta|$.

Proof. We have

$$\phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} - \rho^{-1} + \rho^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \rho^{-1} |\xi - \eta|^\alpha + \rho^{-1}.$$

By this relation again, we have

$$\phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \rho^{-2} |\xi - \eta|^{2\alpha} + \rho^{-2} |\xi - \eta|^\alpha + \rho^{-1}.$$

The other cases can be proven by induction. This finishes the proof of the first equality and the second one follows by the same way. \square

Lemma 5.2. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} + C \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{H^{10}} \frac{\|u(s)\|_{U_2}}{(1+s)^{1+\delta}} ds.$$

Proof. Recall $g(t) = e^{it|D|^\alpha} u(t)$. By (1.1) and Duhamel's formula, we have

$$e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} \hat{u}(t, \xi) = \hat{u}_0(\xi) + \int_0^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})^\wedge(s, \xi) ds,$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} &\leq \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} + \int_0^t \|u(s)\bar{u}(s)\|_{H^{10}} ds \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} + C \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{H^{10}} \|u(s)\|_\infty ds \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} + C \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{H^{10}} \frac{\|u(s)\|_{U_2}}{(1+s)^{1+\delta}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 5.3. *For all $t > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\alpha k} \|u_{\leq k-10}(t)\|_\infty &\lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k}, 2^{-\alpha k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta}\} \|u(t)\|_{U_3}, \\ 2^{-\alpha k} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (xg_{\leq k-10})^\vee(t)\|_\infty &\lesssim 2^{-k}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|u_{\leq k-10}(t)\|_\infty \lesssim \min\{2^{\varepsilon k}, 1\} (1+t)^{-1-\varepsilon} \|u(t)\|_{U_3},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends only on α, λ , and

$$2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k+10} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (\nabla_\xi \hat{g}_{k_1})^\vee(t)\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-k} \min\{2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k}, 1\} \|u(t)\|_{U_3}.$$

Proof. Assume $\|u(t)\|_{U_3} = 1$. By Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\alpha k} \|u_{\leq k-10}(t)\|_\infty &\leq 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} \|u_{k_1}(t)\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} 2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k_1-2k_{1,+}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k}, \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\|u_{\leq k-10}(t)\|_\infty \lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})k}, 1, (1+t)^{-1-\delta}\} \leq \min\{2^{\varepsilon k}, 1\} (1+t)^{-1-\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\alpha k} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (xg_{\leq k-10})^\vee(t)\|_\infty &\leq 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (xg_{k_1})^\vee(t)\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} 2^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})k_1-2k_{1,+}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} \|e^{-itD^\alpha} (\nabla_\xi (\hat{g}_{k_1}))^\vee(t)\|_\infty &\lesssim 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k-10} 2^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})k_1-2k_1,+} \\ &\lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{1}{2})k}, 2^{-k}\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.4. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|B(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_{U_i} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2.$$

Moreover, we have the following estimates,

$$\|B(u, \bar{u})_k(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{\lambda k-2k_+} \min\{1, 2^{-\alpha k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta}\} \sum_{i=2}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\|B(u, \bar{u})_k(t)\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-\alpha k} (1+t)^{-2-2\delta} \sum_{i=2}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (x e^{itD^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_k)(t)\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta} \sum_{i=2}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. By (2.3) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})^\wedge(t, \xi) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \sum_{k_2-k_1 \geq 10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} a_j(\xi, \eta) 2^{-(j-1)\alpha k_1} |\xi - \eta|^{(j-1)\alpha} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_j := 2^{\alpha k_2} \rho^{-j} 2^{(j-1)\alpha k_1}$ if $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $a_{N+1} := 2^{\alpha k_2} \phi^{-1} \rho^{-N} 2^{N\alpha k_1}$ and $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{N+1}$ satisfy the condition in Lemma 3.4 if N is large enough. It suffices to show

$$T(u, \bar{u})(t, \xi) = \sum_{k_2-k_1 \geq 10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} a(\xi, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta$$

and $T_k(u, \bar{u})(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) T(u, \bar{u})(t, \xi)$ satisfy the desired estimate, where $a = a_j$ for some j . Note $0 < \lambda + \frac{3}{2} - \alpha < 1$ because $\frac{\alpha-1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$. By Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\|T_k(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_2 \lesssim \min\{2^{-\alpha k-10k_+}, 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k} 2^{-10k_+}\} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2,$$

which means

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (T(u, \bar{u}))^\vee(t)\|_{U_1} = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|T(u, \bar{u})(s)\|_{H^{10}} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2.$$

In the rest of the proof we suppose $\sum_{i=2}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2 = 1$.

By Lemmas 3.4 and 5.3, we have

$$\|T_k(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_2 \lesssim \min\{1, 2^{-\alpha k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta}\} 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (T_k(u, \bar{u}))^\vee(t)\|_\infty \lesssim \min\{2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k}, 2^{-\alpha k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta}\} (1+t)^{-1-\delta}.$$

Then we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (T(u, \bar{u}))^\vee(t)\|_{U_2} = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (1+s)^{1+\delta} \|e^{-isD^\alpha} (T(u, \bar{u}))^\vee(s)\|_\infty \lesssim 1.$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\xi T_k(u, \bar{u})(t, \xi) &= \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} i\psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} a(\xi, \eta) t \nabla_\xi \phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&\quad + \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} \nabla_\xi a(\xi, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&\quad + \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} a(\xi, \eta) \nabla_\xi \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&= \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} i\psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} a(\xi, \eta) t (\nabla_\xi \phi + \nabla_\eta \phi) \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&\quad + \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} (\nabla_\xi + \nabla_\eta) a(\xi, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&\quad + \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} 2^{-\alpha k_2} a(\xi, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \nabla_\eta \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemmas 3.4, 3.5 and 5.3, tells us that

$$\|\nabla_\xi T_k(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (\nabla_\xi T_k(u, \bar{u})(t))^\vee\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-k} (1+t)^{-1-\delta},$$

where we use $(\infty, 2)$ estimate to the first inequality and (∞, ∞) estimate to the second inequality. By (5.4) and (5.5), we have

$$\|e^{-itD^\alpha} (T(u, \bar{u}))_k^\vee(t)\|_{U_3} \lesssim \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|(T(u, \bar{u}))_k^\vee(s)\|_U \lesssim 1.$$

□

Lemma 5.5. *For all $t > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\|u_k(t)\|_6 \lesssim t^{-1} 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+1)k-2k_+} \|u(t)\|_{U_3}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, [3, Theorem 1.4.19] and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{-itD^\alpha} g_k(t)\|_{L^{6,2}} &\lesssim t^{-1} 2^{(2-\alpha)k} \|g_k(t)\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5},2}} \lesssim t^{-1} 2^{(2-\alpha)k} \|xg_k(t)\|_{L^2} \| |x|^{-1} \|_{L^{3,\infty}} \\
&\lesssim t^{-1} 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+1)k-2k_+} \|u(t)\|_{U_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_k(t) = e^{-itD^\alpha} g_k(t)$ and $L^{6,2} \subseteq L^6$, the proof is finished. □

Lemma 5.6. *For all $t > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_k \partial_t \hat{g}(t)\|_2 &= \|(|u|^2)_k(t)\|_2 \lesssim \min\{t^{-1} 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}, (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{-10k_+}\} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2, \\
\|\psi_k \nabla \partial_t \hat{g}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim \left(t^{-1} 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+} + 2^{(\alpha-1)k} 2^{\lambda k-2k_+} \right) \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2, \\
\|e^{-itD^\alpha} \partial_t g(t)\|_\infty &= \|u\|_\infty^2 \leq (1+t)^{-2-2\delta} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note to get the first estimate, we require $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. The last inequality can be proven by the definition of U_2 and we only prove the first two inequalities. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2 = 1$. By (1.1), we have

$$\psi_k \partial_t \hat{g}(t) = \psi_k e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})^\wedge(t),$$

which tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \partial_t \hat{g}(t)\|_2 &= \|(|u|^2)_k(t)\|_2 \leq 2 \left\| \sum_{k_1} \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1} (u_{k_1} \bar{u}_{k_2})_k(t) \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10} \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} \|(u_{k_1} \bar{u}_{k_2})_k(t)\|_2 + \sum_{|k_1 - k| \leq 10} \left\| \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1 - 10} (u_{k_1} \bar{u}_{k_2})_k(t) \right\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein's inequality, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10} \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} \|(u_{k_1} \bar{u}_{k_2})_k(t)\|_2 &\leq \sum_{|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10} \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \|u_{k_1}(t)\|_2 \|u_{k_2}(t)\|_6 \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{-2k_+} \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} 2^{(2\lambda - \alpha + 1)k_1} 2^{-2k_{1,+}} \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} 2^{\lambda k} 2^{-2k_+} \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} 2^{(\lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_1} 2^{-2k_{1,+}} \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} 2^{\lambda k} 2^{-2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

By $(2, \infty)$ estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|k_1 - k| \leq 10} \left\| \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1 - 10} (u_{k_1} \bar{u}_{k_2})_k(t) \right\|_2 &\leq \sum_{|k_1 - k| \leq 10} \|u_{k_1}(t)\|_2 \left\| \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1} u_{k_2}(t) \right\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+} \|g(t)\|_U \|u(t)\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}, \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\|(|u|^2)_k^\wedge\|_2 \lesssim t^{-1} 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}. \quad (5.6)$$

If $k \leq 0$, we have

$$\|(u\bar{u})_k(t)\|_2 \lesssim \|u\bar{u}(t)\|_2 \leq \|u(t)\|_2 \|u(t)\|_\infty \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} \|u(t)\|_\infty \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta}.$$

If $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|((u\bar{u})_{HH})_k\|_2 &\leq \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} \|u_{k_1}\|_2 \sum_{|k_2 - k_1| \leq 10} \|\bar{u}_{k_2}\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} \|u_{k_1}\|_2 \|u\|_\infty \\ &\leq (1+t)^{-1-\delta} \sum_{k_1 \geq k - 10} 2^{-10k_{1,+}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{-10k_+}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|((u\bar{u})_{HL})_k\|_2 &\leq \sum_{|k_1 - k| \leq 10} \|u_{k_1}\|_2 \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1 - 10} \|\bar{u}_{k_2}\|_2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k_1 - k| \leq 10} \|u_{k_1}\|_2 \|u\|_\infty \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{-10k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we have

$$\|(u\bar{u})_k\|_2 \lesssim \|((u\bar{u})_{HH})_k\|_2 + \|((u\bar{u})_{HL})_k\|_2 \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{-10k_+}.$$

This, together with (5.6), finishes the proof of the first inequality. By

$$\partial_t \hat{g}(t) = e^{it|\xi|^\alpha} (u\bar{u})^\wedge(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \hat{g}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}(t, \eta) d\eta,$$

we have

$$\psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_t \hat{g}(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}(t, \eta) d\eta + it\psi_k(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \hat{g}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}(t, \eta) d\eta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi_k(\xi) \left(\sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} + \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} + \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&+ it\psi_k(\xi) \left(\sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} + \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} + \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \\
&=: \sum_{\ell=1}^6 A_\ell(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2, ∞) estimate, we have

$$\|A_1(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{(\lambda-1)k_1-2k_1,+} \|u_{k_2}(t)\|_\infty \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

$$\|A_2(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{|k_1-k| \leq 10} 2^{(\lambda-1)k_1-2k_1,+} \left\| \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1-10} u_{k_2}(t) \right\|_\infty \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3(t) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \left(it \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \partial_{\eta_j} \phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_j} \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (∞ , 2) estimates, means

$$\|A_3(t)\|_2 \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-\delta} 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+} + 2^{(\alpha-1)k} 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}.$$

For $A_4(t)$, we use integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4(t) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} \left[- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \eta) \right) d\eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\phi} \partial_{\xi_l} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \frac{\partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \eta) d\eta \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the same way as in the proof of first inequality, we have

$$\|A_4(t)\|_2 \lesssim t^{-1} 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

For $A_5(t)$ and $A_6(t)$, we use (2, ∞) and (∞ , 2) estimates to get

$$\sum_{\ell=5}^6 \|A_\ell(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\alpha-1)k} 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}.$$

These tell us that

$$\|\psi_k \nabla \partial_t \hat{g}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \|A_\ell(t)\|_2 \lesssim t^{-1} 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+} + 2^{(\alpha-1)k} 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}.$$

□

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Q}_2(t) &= \left(\sum_{|k_1-k_2| \leq 10} + \sum_{k_1-k_2 \geq 10} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{it|D|^\alpha} B(u, u))_{k_2}^\wedge}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\
&=: \hat{Q}_{2,1}(t) + \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Lemma 5.7. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|Q_2(t)\|_W \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}^3.$$

Proof. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}^3 = 1$. We can decompose \mathbb{R}^3 as

$$\mathbb{R}^3 = \cup_{l=1}^3 \Omega_l,$$

where

$$\Omega_l := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi_l| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{|\xi_i|\}\}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume $\xi \in \Omega_l$ for some fixed $1 \leq l \leq 3$.

Case 1: $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1$, i.e. $|k_1 - k_2| \leq 10$ and $k_1 \geq k - 10$. By Lemma 3.5 and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,1}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim 2^{-2k_+} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1-\delta} \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} 2^{(2\lambda-\alpha)k_1} 2^{-2k_{1,+}} ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+} 2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k} 2^{-2k_+} \lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $\lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \in (0, 1)$. By (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{Q}_{2,1}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} \left(i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemmas 3.5, 3.9, (5.1) and (5.2), means

$$\|\psi_k \nabla \hat{Q}_{2,1}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k - 2k_+},$$

where we use (2, ∞) and (2, 2) estimates. Applying $\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2$ to (5.7), we can write $\psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_{2,1}(t, \xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} \left(- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ &\quad - i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &\quad \left. + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s (\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \partial_{\xi_i} + \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi) \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right) \\ &=: \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,1}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

We can write $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,1}^{(1)}(t, \xi)$ as

$$-\psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^3}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi(\eta + \zeta, \zeta)} \psi_{k_2}(\eta + \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds,$$

where $\Phi = |\xi|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha - |\zeta|^\alpha \sim 2^{\alpha k_1}$ and $\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k_1} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(1)})$ for all i by Lemma 3.9, where

$$\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(1)} := \{(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^9 \mid 2^{-k}|\xi|, 2^{-k_1}|\xi - \eta - \zeta|, 2^{-k_2}|\eta + \zeta|, 2^{-k_3}|\eta|, 2^{-k_4}|\zeta| \in (1/2, 2)\}. \quad (5.8)$$

By integration by parts in s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,1}^{(1)}(t, \xi) \\ &= i\psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^3}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi} t^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi(\eta + \zeta, \zeta) \Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\eta + \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(t, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(t, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(t, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_s \left(s^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi(\eta + \zeta, \zeta) \Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\eta + \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.6, 5.6 with $(2, \infty, \infty)$ estimates we have

$$\|\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,1}^{(1)}(t, \xi)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

By $(2, \infty)$ estimates and Lemma 3.3, 3.9 and 5.4, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,1}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} 2^{(\lambda-2)k_1-2k_{1,+}} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1-\delta} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

These tell us that

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,1}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,1}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

Case 2: $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2$, which means $k_2 \leq k_1 - 10$ and $|k_1 - k| \leq 10$. Recall

$$\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t, \xi) = \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{it|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))_{k_2}^\wedge}(s, \eta) d\eta ds,$$

and by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{it|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))_{k_2}^\wedge}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ & \quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \right) \overline{(e^{it|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))_{k_2}^\wedge}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \frac{\partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\partial_{\eta_l} \phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_l} \overline{(e^{it|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))_{k_2}^\wedge}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right] \\ &=: \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 5.4, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{|k_1-k| \leq 10} \int_0^t \|\hat{f}_{k_1}(s)\|_2 \left\| \sum_{k_2 \leq k_1-10} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_2}(s) \right\|_\infty ds \lesssim 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}.$$

Similarly as $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t)$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^2 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

By Lemma 3.4 with $(2, \infty)$ estimate and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(3)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

These tell us that

$$\|\psi_k \nabla \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

Applying $\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2$ to (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t, \xi) \\ &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ &+ i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} s (\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \partial_{\xi_i} + \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi) \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &- \left. \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} s^2 \partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(e^{is|D|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right) \\ &=: \sum_{\ell=3}^5 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as $\hat{Q}_{2,2}(t)$ and $\nabla \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t)$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=3}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}. \quad (5.9)$$

By a change of variable, we can write $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5)}(t)$ as

$$\sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^3}} \psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)} s^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi(\eta + \zeta, \zeta)} \psi_{k_2}(\eta + \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds,$$

where $\Phi = |\xi|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha - |\zeta|^\alpha$, $\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-2)k} 2^{k_2} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4}^{(1)})$ for all i and $\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi, \partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4}^{(1)})$ and the last two symbols are elliptic, where the symbol class is defined in Definition 3.8 and $\Omega_{k, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4}^{(1)}$ is defined as in (5.8). By integration by parts, we can write $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5)}(t, \xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{|k_1-k| \leq 10 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^3}} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \partial_{\zeta_l} \left(a_{k_1} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \right) \frac{\hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta)}{\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\zeta_l} \left(a_{k_1} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \right) \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta)}{\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \right) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{a_{k_1}}{\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \right) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta) \partial_{\zeta_l} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &+ \left. \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)} \frac{a_{k_1}}{\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \hat{f}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \eta) \partial_{\zeta_l} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right] \\ &=: \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5, \ell)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{k_1} := \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\partial_{\xi_l} \Phi \phi(\eta + \zeta, \zeta)} \varphi_{k_1-10}(\eta + \zeta)$. By Lemmas 3.3, $(2, \infty, \infty)$ estimate and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5,\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

By $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimate and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5,4)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k}.$$

By $(\infty, \infty, 2)$, $(2, \infty, \infty)$ estimates and Lemma 5.3, for $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5,4)}(t)\|_2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_2 \leq k+10} \int_0^t 2^{k_2-2k} \min\{s^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k-2k_+} 2^{(\lambda-1)k_2-2k_{2,+}}, 2^{-10k_+} 2^{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})k_2-2k_{2,+}}\} ds \\ & \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k} \int_0^t \min\{s^{-\frac{3}{2}}, 2^{-10k_+}\} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

These tell us that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5)}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{2,2}^{(5,\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

which, together with (5.9), tells us that

$$\|\psi_k \nabla^2 \hat{Q}_{2,2}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

□

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_3(t) &= \left(\sum_{|k_1-k_2| \leq 10} + \sum_{k_1-k_2 \geq 10} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{u}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &=: \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t) + \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Lemma 5.8. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|Q_3(t)\|_W \leq C \sum_{i=2}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}^3.$$

Proof. Assume $\sum_{i=2}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}^3 = 1$.

Case 1: $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1$, which means $|k_2 - k_1| \leq 10$ and $k_1 \geq k - 10$. By Lemma 5.7, it suffices to show

$$\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t) := \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} \psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds$$

satisfies desired estimate. By Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t)\|_2 & \lesssim 2^{-2k_+} \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} \int_0^t \min\{(1+s)^{-1-\delta} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} 2^{(2\lambda-\alpha)k_1}, (1+s)^{-2-2\delta} 2^{(\lambda-\alpha)k_1}\} ds \\ & \lesssim 2^{\lambda k-2k_+} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1-\delta} \min\{2^{(\lambda-\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k}, 2^{-\alpha k}\} ds \lesssim 2^{\lambda k-2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t, \xi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} \left(\int_0^t \int e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} (e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta)) e^{-it|\eta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + i \int_0^t \int e^{is\phi} s \partial_{\xi_j} \phi e^{it|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-it|\eta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 5.4, means

$$\|\psi_k \nabla \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{-2k_+} \sum_{k_1 \geq k-10} \int_0^t 2^{(\lambda-1)k_1} (1+s)^{-1-\delta} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+},$$

where we use $(2, \infty)$ estimates. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t, \xi) \\
&= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^1} \left(- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi e^{it|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-it|\eta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\
&\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s (\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \partial_{\xi_i} + \partial_{\eta_j} \phi \partial_{\xi_i} + \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi) (e^{it|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \times e^{-it|\eta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_i} (e^{it|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta)) \partial_{\eta_j} (e^{-it|\eta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta)) d\eta ds \right) \\
&:= \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,1}^{(\ell)}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.4 with $(2, \infty)$ estimates, we have

$$\|\psi_k \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_{3,1}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,1}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

Case 2: $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2$, which means $k_1 - k_2 \geq 10$ and $|k_1 - k| \leq 10$. By (5.10), we have

$$\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t, \xi) = \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi(\xi, \eta)} e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} (e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta)) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s \partial_{\xi_j} \phi e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 5.4 with $(2, \infty)$ estimate, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}, \quad \|\psi_k \nabla \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k-2k_+}.$$

By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t, \xi) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_1}^3}} \psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta, \zeta)} \frac{\psi_{k_1}(\xi - \eta)}{a} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta d\zeta ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a = |\xi - \eta|^\alpha - |\zeta|^\alpha + |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha \sim 2^{\alpha k}$,

$$\Phi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) := |\xi|^\alpha - |\zeta|^\alpha + |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha \sim 2^{\alpha k}$$

and $\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi, \partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(2)})$ for all i , where

$$\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(2)} = \{(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^9 | 2^{-k} |\xi|, 2^{-k_1} |\xi - \eta|, 2^{-k_2} |\eta|, 2^{-k_3} |\zeta|, 2^{-k_4} |\xi - \eta - \zeta| \in (1/2, 2)\}.$$

By integration by parts, we can write $\psi_k \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t)$ as the sum of following terms,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \sum_{(k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_1}^3} \left(- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{a} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ & + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi \partial_{\xi_i} + \partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \Phi}{a} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ & \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\zeta_i} (a^{-1} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta)) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right) \\ & =: \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,2}^{(\ell)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts in s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{3,2}^{(1)}(t, \xi) \\ & = \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^2} \sum_{(k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_1}^3} \left(i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi} t^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{a\Phi} \hat{g}_{k_3}(t, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(t, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta \right. \\ & \left. - i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_s (s^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{a\Phi}) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 5.6 and $(\infty, 2, \infty)$ estimate, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,2}^{(1)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

We can use Lemma 5.3 and $(\infty, 2, \infty)$ estimates to obtain

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^3 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

These tell us that

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,2}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{3,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

□

Define

$$\hat{Q}_4(t, \xi) = \int_0^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(|u|^2, \bar{u})^\wedge(s, \xi) ds. \quad (5.11)$$

Lemma 5.9. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|Q_4(t)\|_W \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}^3.$$

Proof. By (2.3), we have

$$\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_4(t, \xi) = \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \phi^{-1} e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds.$$

By Lemma 3.4, 3.5 and 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_4(t)\|_2 &\lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+} \int_0^t 2^{-\alpha k} \min\left\{ \sum_{k_1 \leq k+10} s^{-1} 2^{(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})k_1} 2^{-2k_{1,+}}, (1+s)^{-2-2\delta} \right\} ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+} \int_0^t \min\{s^{-1} 2^{(\lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2})k}, 2^{-\alpha k} (1+s)^{-2-2\delta}\} ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+} \int_0^t \min\{s^{-1+\varepsilon}, s^{-1-\varepsilon}\} ds \lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{Q}_4(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_j} \phi + \partial_{\eta_j} \phi}{\phi} e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_j} (\phi^{-1} \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta)) d\eta ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

By $(\infty, 2)$ estimate to the first term and the same way as $\psi_k \hat{Q}_4(t)$ to the second term, we have

$$\|\psi_k \nabla \hat{Q}_4(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k - 2k_+}.$$

Next we estimate $\psi_k \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_4(t)$. By integration by parts, we can write $\psi_k \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_4(t)$ as the sum of following terms,

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \left[\sum_{(i_1, j_1) = (i, j), (j, i)} i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_{j_1}} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \phi}{\phi} \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) \right) d\eta ds \right. \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \phi}{\phi} e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \left(\partial_{\eta_i} \phi \partial_{\eta_j} (\phi^{-1} \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta)) + \partial_{\eta_i} \left(\frac{\partial_{\eta_j} \phi}{\phi} \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) \right) \right) d\eta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_i, \eta_j}^2 \left(\phi^{-1} \hat{f}_{k_2}(s, \eta) \right) d\eta ds \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_i, \eta_j}^2 \left(\phi^{-1} \overline{(B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) \right) d\eta ds \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \frac{a}{\phi} e^{is|\xi - \eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right] \\ &=: \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \psi_k \hat{Q}_{4, \ell}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $a := (\partial_{\xi_i} \phi + \partial_{\eta_i} \phi)(\partial_{\xi_j} \phi + \partial_{\eta_j} \phi)$. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \overline{(B(u, \bar{u}))}_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \xi) &= \psi_{k_2}(\xi) \sum_{(j_1, j_2) \in \chi_{k_2}^3} \left[i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-is\phi} s \partial_{\eta_{j_1}} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_{j_1}} \phi} \hat{g}_{j_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \right) \hat{g}_{j_2}(s, \eta) d\eta \right. \\ &\quad + i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-is\phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_{j_1}} \phi} \hat{g}_{j_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_{j_1}} \hat{g}_{j_2}(s, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad - i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-is\phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi + \partial_{\eta_i} \phi \partial_{\xi_j} \phi + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \partial_{\xi_i} \phi}{\phi} \hat{g}_{j_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{j_2}(s, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-is\phi} \partial_{\xi_i} \hat{g}_{j_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \partial_{\eta_j} (\phi^{-1} \hat{g}_{j_2}(s, \eta)) d\eta \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we require $|\eta_0| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} |\eta_i|$. By Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\|\nabla^2 \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_2}^\wedge(s)\|_2 \lesssim s 2^{(\lambda+\alpha-2)k-2k_+} + 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

By Lemma 5.6, we have

$$2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k+10} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_1} \|(|u|^2)_{k_1}\|_2 \lesssim \min\{s^{-1+\varepsilon}, s^{-1-\varepsilon}\}.$$

Then by Lemma 5.3, 5.6, $(\infty, 2)$ estimate and Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^5 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,\ell}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}. \quad (5.12)$$

We further decompose $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{4,6}(t, \xi)$ into four terms,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \frac{a}{\phi} e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} ((u\bar{u})_{HL+LH})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ & + \sum_{(k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_1}^1} \left(\sum_{k_3 \geq k+10} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \frac{a}{\phi} e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} (u_{k_3} \bar{u}_{k_4})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ & + \sum_{k_3 \leq k-10} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \frac{a}{\phi} e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} (u_{k_3} \bar{u}_{k_4})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ & \left. + \sum_{|k_3-k| \leq 10} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s^2 \frac{a}{\phi} e^{is|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} (u_{k_3} \bar{u}_{k_4})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right) \Big] \\ & =: \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(\ell)}(t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

For $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(1)}(t, \xi)$, we can write it as

$$- \sum_{\substack{|k_2-k| \leq 10 \\ |k_3-k_4| \geq 10}} \psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 \frac{a}{\phi} \varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta d\zeta ds,$$

where $\Phi = |\xi|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha - |\xi-\eta-\zeta|^\alpha + |\zeta|^\alpha \sim 2^{\alpha k}$. Then we can use integration by parts in s to write $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(1)}(t, \xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{|k_2-k| \leq 10 \\ |k_3-k_4| \geq 10}} \left[-i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_s \left(s^2 \frac{a}{\phi\Phi} \varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ & \left. + i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi} t^2 \frac{a}{\phi\Phi} \varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(t, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(t, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta d\zeta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note in the above sum we must have $\max\{k_3, k_4\} \leq k+10$ and $\varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta)$ satisfies condition in Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 5.6, $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ and $(2, \infty, \infty)$ (or $(\infty, 2, \infty)$) estimates, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(1)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{j \leq k+10} \min\{2^{(\lambda-2)k-10k_+}, 2^{\lambda j-2k}\} \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

For $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(2)}(t, \xi)$, without loss of generality, assume $|(\xi-\eta-\zeta)_l| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} |(\xi-\eta-\zeta)_i|$ and we have $\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k_3} S^0()$, which is elliptic. Here $\Omega_{k, k_2, k_3, k_4}^{(3)}$ is defined as

$$\{(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^9 | 2^{-k} |\xi|, 2^{-k_2} |\eta|, 2^{-k_3} |\xi-\eta-\zeta|, 2^{-k_4} |\zeta| \in (1/2, 2), 2^{-k_2+10} |\xi-\eta| \leq 2\}.$$

By integration by parts in η , we can write $\psi_k(\xi)\hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(2)}(t, \xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) & \sum_{\substack{|k_2-k|\leq 10 \\ |k_3-k_4|\leq 10 \\ k_3\geq k+10}} \left[-i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \frac{a}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ & \left. - i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \frac{a}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by $(2, \infty, \infty)$ and $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimates, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(2)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

For $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(3)}(t)$, the phase $\Phi \sim |\xi|^\alpha + |\eta|^\alpha \sim 2^{\alpha k}$. Then we can use integration by parts in s to write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(3)}(t, \xi) & = \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{|k_2-k|\leq 10 \\ |k_3-k_4|\leq 10 \\ k_3\leq k-10}} \left[-i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_s \left(s^2 \frac{a}{\phi \Phi} \varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(s, \eta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ & \left. + i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi} t^2 \frac{a}{\phi \Phi} \varphi_{k_2-10}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(t, \xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(t, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_2}(t, \eta) d\eta d\zeta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by $(2, \infty, \infty)$ and $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimates, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(3)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{k_3\leq k-10} 2^{-2k} \min\{2^{\lambda k-10k_+}, 2^{\lambda k_3}\} \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

For $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(4)}(t)$, if $|\xi-\eta| \leq 2^{k-100}$, we have $\Phi \sim 2^{\alpha k}$ and we can apply integration by parts in s together with $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimates. If $|\xi-\eta| \sim 2^k$, then $\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k, k_2, k_3, k_4}^{(3)})$ and is elliptic. We can use integration by parts together with $(2, \infty, \infty)$ or $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimates. These tell us that

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(4)}(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,6}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

Then by (5.12), we have

$$\|\psi_k \nabla^2 \hat{Q}_4(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{4,\ell}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

□

We can decompose $|u|^2 = (u\bar{u})_{HH} + (u\bar{u})_{HL} + (u\bar{u})_{LH}$ and define

$$\hat{Q}_5(t, \xi) = \int_0^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, |u|^2)^\wedge(s, \xi) ds =: \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \hat{Q}_{5,\ell}(t, \xi), \quad (5.13)$$

where $\{\hat{Q}_{5,\ell}(t, \xi)\}_{\ell=1}^3$ are HH, HL and LH parts respectively.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\lambda \in (\frac{\alpha-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then we have*

$$\|Q_5(t)\|_W \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}),$$

where $P(s) = s^3 + s^4$.

Proof. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}) = 1$. By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_5(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \phi^{-1} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-is|\eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds, \\ \psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{Q}_5(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3} \left(i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} s \partial_{\xi_j} \phi \phi^{-1} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) e^{-is|\eta|^\alpha} (|u|^2)_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\phi} \partial_{\xi_j} (\phi^{-1} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta)) (|u|^2)_{k_2}^\wedge(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \in (0, 1)$. Then by Lemma 5.3 and $(\infty, 2)$ estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_5(t)\|_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t 2^{(\lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2})k} \{s^{-1} 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}, (1+s)^{-1-\delta} 2^{-10k_+}\} ds \lesssim 2^{\lambda k - 2k_+}, \\ \|\psi_k \nabla \hat{Q}_5(t)\|_2 &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda-1)k - 2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider $\psi_k \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_5(t)$.

Case 1: HH parts. By integration by parts and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\xi) \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \hat{Q}_{5,1}(t, \xi) \\ &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^1}} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \partial_{\zeta_i} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i_1, j_1) = (i, j), (j, i)} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \Phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta)) d\eta d\zeta ds \right) \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\xi_i, \xi_j}^2 \Phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + i \sum_{(i_1, j_1) = (i, j), (j, i)} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \Phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \Phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta)) d\eta d\zeta ds \right) \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 (\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi) \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right] \\ &=: \sum_{\ell=1}^{10} \psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(\ell)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi := |\xi|^\alpha - |\eta|^\alpha + |\zeta|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha$, $\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi, \partial_{\eta_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k_3} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)})$ and $\partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-2)k_3+k} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)})$ for all i and

$$\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)} = \{(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^9 | 2^{-k}|\xi|, 2^{-k_1}|\eta|, 2^{-k_2}|\xi - \eta|, 2^{-k_3}|\zeta|, 2^{-k_4}|\xi - \eta - \zeta| \in (1/2, 2)\}. \quad (5.14)$$

Note $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(1)}(t)$ can be proven similar as $\psi_k \hat{Q}_5(t)$ and by Bernstein's inequalities and $(\infty, 2, 2)$ estimates, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^6 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{k_3 \geq k-10} \int_0^t 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha)k} (1+s)^{-1-\delta} 2^{(2\lambda-1)k_3-4k_3,+} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+},$$

where we use $\lambda - \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \in (0, 1)$. By $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ and $(\infty, 2, 2)$ estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=7}^8 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim \sum_{k_3 \geq k-10} \int_0^t 2^{-\alpha k} 2^{(\alpha-1)k_3} (1+s)^{-\delta} \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k}, s^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}(\alpha-1)k_3}\} 2^{(2\lambda-1)k_3-4k_3,+} ds \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_3 \geq k-10} \int_0^t 2^{-\alpha k} (1+s)^{-\delta} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} s^{-1} \min\{1, (2^k s 2^{(\alpha-1)k_3})^\varepsilon\} 2^{(2\lambda-1)k_3-4k_3,+} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Without loss of generality, assume $|(\xi - \eta)_l| \sim |\xi - \eta|$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $\partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi$ is elliptic. By (2.3) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(9)}(t, \xi) \\ &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^1}} \left[-i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \Phi \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta)) \right. \\ &\quad \times B(u, \bar{u})_{k_4}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta)) \partial_{\zeta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \Phi}{\partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \right) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} (\phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta)) \frac{\partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \Phi}{\partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \partial_{\zeta_l} \left(\hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is similar as $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(3)}(t)$. The second and third terms are similar as $\psi_k \hat{Q}_5(t)$. Then we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(9)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

By (2.5), we can write $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10)}(t, \xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^1}} \left(- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 a_{k_2} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 a_{k_2} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) e^{-is|\zeta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 a_{k_2} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) e^{is|\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_4}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 a_{k_2} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) e^{-is|\zeta|^\alpha} (\overline{B(u, \bar{u})})_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) e^{is|\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_4}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$=: \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,\ell)}(t, \xi),$$

where $a_{k_2} := (\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi) \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta)$. By integration by parts in ζ twice, we can write $\psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,1)}(t, \xi)$ as

$$\psi_k(\xi) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \phi^{-1} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \partial_{\zeta_i} \left(\frac{1}{\partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi} \partial_{\zeta_i} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi} \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \right) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds.$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,1)}(t)\|_2 &\lesssim \sum_{k_3 \geq k-10} \int_0^t 2^{-2k} 2^{-\alpha k} \sum_{k_1 \leq k+10} \|g_{k_1}\|_\infty \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k} 2^{2\lambda k_3 - 4k_3, +}, s^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2})k_3 - 4k_3, +}\} ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1-\varepsilon} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, assume $|(\xi - \eta - \zeta)_{l_1}| \sim |\xi - \eta - \zeta|$ and then $\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi$ is elliptic.

For $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,2)}(t)$ and $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,3)}(t)$ we can apply integration by parts in η to get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,2)}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^1}} \left[-i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\eta_1} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi \phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times e^{-is|\zeta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi \phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) e^{-is|\zeta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \\ &\quad \left. \times \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,3)}(t, \xi) &= \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k^3 \\ (k_3, k_4) \in \chi_{k_2}^1}} \left[-i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\eta_1} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi \phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \right) \hat{f}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \right. \\ &\quad \times e^{is|\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_4}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\ &\quad + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi \phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) e^{-is|\zeta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_3}^\wedge(s, \zeta) \\ &\quad \left. \times \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \left(e^{is|\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha} \overline{B(u, \bar{u})}_{k_4}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimates and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

These tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10)}(t)\|_2 &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(10,\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}, \\ \|\psi_k \nabla^2 \hat{Q}_{5,1}(t)\|_2 &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{10} \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,1}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: HL part. Note we can write $\psi_k(\xi)\partial_{\xi_i,\xi_j}^2\hat{Q}_{5,2}(t,\xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1,k_2)\in\chi_k^3 \\ (k_3,k_4)\in\chi_{k_2}^2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\xi_i,\xi_j}^2 (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right) \\
& + \sum_{(i_1,j_1)=(i,j),(j,i)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\eta_i} (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\eta_i} \Phi \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) (\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi \partial_{\xi_i}) (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \partial_{\xi_i,\xi_j}^2 \Phi \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 \partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \Big) \\
& =: \sum_{\ell=1}^7 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,2}^{(\ell)}(t,\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi := |\xi|^\alpha - |\eta|^\alpha + |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha - |\zeta|^\alpha$, $\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi, \partial_{\eta_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(5)})$ for all i and

$$\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(5)} := \{(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^9 | 2^{-k}|\xi|, 2^{-k_1}|\eta|, 2^{-k_2}|\xi-\eta|, 2^{-k_3}|\xi-\eta-\zeta|, 2^{-k_4}|\zeta| \in (1/2, 2)\}.$$

We can use $(\infty, 2, \infty)$ estimates and Lemma 5.3 to get

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^6 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

For $\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,2}^{(7)}(t)$, we have $|\Phi| \sim 2^{\alpha k}$ and we can apply integration by parts in s to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,2}^{(7)}(t,\xi) \\
& = \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1,k_2)\in\chi_k^3 \\ (k_3,k_4)\in\chi_{k_2}^2}} \left[i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi} t^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \Phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(t,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(t,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(t,\zeta) d\eta d\zeta \right. \\
& \quad \left. - i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_s \left(s^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \Phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\zeta) \right) d\eta d\zeta ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemmas 5.3, 5.6 and $(\infty, 2, \infty)$ estimates, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,2}^{(7)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

Then we have

$$\|\psi_k \nabla^2 \hat{Q}_{5,2}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^7 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,2}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

Case 3: LH parts. By integration by parts, we can write $\psi_k(\xi)\partial_{\xi_i,\xi_j}^2\hat{Q}_{5,3}(t,\xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1,k_2)\in\chi_k^3 \\ (k_3,k_4)\in\chi_{k_2}^3}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\xi_i,\xi_j}^2 (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{(i_1,j_1)=(i,j),(j,i)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \partial_{\xi_{j_1}} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \partial_{\eta_i} (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta)) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \partial_{\eta_i} \Phi \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) (\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi \partial_{\xi_i}) (\phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta)) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \partial_{\xi_i,\xi_j}^2 \Phi \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 (\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi) \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) B(u,\bar{u})_{k_4}^\wedge(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s^2 (\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi) \phi^{-1}\psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \Big) \\
& =: \sum_{\ell=1}^8 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(\ell)}(t,\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi := |\xi|^\alpha - |\eta|^\alpha + |\zeta|^\alpha - |\xi - \eta - \zeta|^\alpha$. Without loss of generality, assume $|\xi_l| \sim |\xi|$ for some l . We have $\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-2)k} \max\{2^{k_1}, 2^{k_3}\} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)})$, $\partial_{\eta_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)})$, $\partial_{\zeta_i} \Phi \in 2^{(\alpha-1)k} S^0(\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)})$ for all i and $\partial_{\eta_l} \Phi$, $\partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi$ are elliptic. Here $\Omega_{k,k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}^{(4)}$ is defined as in (5.14). By Lemmas 5.3, 5.4 and $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimates, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^7 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8)}(t,\xi) \\
& = \psi_k(\xi) \sum_{\substack{(k_1,k_2)\in\chi_k^3 \\ (k_3,k_4)\in\chi_{k_2}^3}} \left[i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} s \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \partial_{\xi_l} \hat{f}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \right. \\
& + i \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \frac{1}{\partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \right) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \partial_{\xi_l} \hat{f}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \partial_{\zeta_l} \left(\frac{1}{\partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \right) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \right) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \partial_{\zeta_l} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi \partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \right) \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& + \chi_{[k_1,\infty)}(k_3) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi-\eta) \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi \partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s,\eta) \partial_{\zeta_l} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s,\zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s,\xi-\eta-\zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \chi_{[k_3, \infty)}(k_1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi} \psi_{k_2}(\xi - \eta) \frac{\partial_{\xi_i} \Phi \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi}{\phi \partial_{\eta_l} \Phi \partial_{\zeta_l} \Phi} \partial_{\eta_l} \hat{g}_{k_1}(s, \eta) \partial_{\zeta_l} \hat{g}_{k_3}(s, \zeta) \hat{f}_{k_4}(s, \xi - \eta - \zeta) d\eta d\zeta ds \\
& =: \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \psi_k(\xi) \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,\ell)}(t, \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 5.3 and $(\infty, \infty, 2)$ estimate, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^4 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k-2k_+}.$$

By Lemma 5.3 and $(\infty, 2, \infty)$ estimate, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,5)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{-3k} \sum_{k_3 \leq k+10} 2^{(\lambda+1)k_3-2k_3,+} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1-\delta} ds \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k},$$

while for $k \geq 0$, by $(\infty, 2, \infty)$ estimate and Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,5)}(t)\|_2 & \lesssim 2^{-3k} \sum_{k_3 \leq k+10} 2^{(\lambda+1)k_3-2k_3,+} \int_0^t \min\{2^{\frac{3}{2}k-10k_+}, s^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2})k-2k_+}\} ds \\
& \lesssim 2^{-6k} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1-\varepsilon} ds \lesssim 2^{-6k},
\end{aligned}$$

which means for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,5)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k} 2^{-2k_+}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,6)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k} 2^{-2k_+}.$$

These tell us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8)}(t)\|_2 & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(8,\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k} 2^{-2k_+}, \\
\|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}(t)\|_2 & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^8 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,3}^{(\ell)}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k} 2^{-2k_+}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the results for HH, HL and LH, we have

$$\|\psi_k \nabla^2 \hat{Q}_5(t)\|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\psi_k \hat{Q}_{5,\ell}(t)\|_2 \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-2)k} 2^{-2k_+}.$$

□

Lemma 5.11. *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$\|w(t)\|_{W_3} \leq \|w_0\|_W + C \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}),$$

where $P(t) := t^2 + t^3 + t^4$.

Proof. Recall $f(t) = e^{itD^\alpha} w(t)$ and $\|w(t)\|_{W_3} = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|f(s)\|_W$. By (2.6) and Duhamel's formula, we have

$$\hat{f}(t, \xi) = \hat{w}(0, \xi) + \sum_{k_2 - k_1 \leq 10} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} \hat{w}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{w}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} \hat{w}_{k_1}(s, \xi - \eta) \overline{(\hat{B}(u, \bar{u}))_{k_2}^\wedge}(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, \bar{u})_{k_1}^\wedge(s, \xi - \eta) \hat{u}_{k_2}(s, \eta) d\eta ds + \int_0^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(|u|^2, \bar{u})^\wedge(s, \xi) ds \\
& + \int_0^t e^{is|\xi|^\alpha} B(u, |u|^2)^\wedge(s, \xi) ds \Big) =: \hat{w}_0(\xi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^5 \hat{Q}_\ell(t, \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 4.1, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9 and 5.10, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^5 \|Q_\ell(t)\|_W \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}). \quad (5.15)$$

□

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Recall the definition of (4.1). It suffices to show for all $t > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i} \leq 2C\varepsilon_0,$$

for some $C > 0$ that will be chosen later. By iteration and fixed point theorem, there exists a $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(\tau), u(\tau))\|_{W_i \times U_i} \leq 2C_1\varepsilon_0.$$

We take $C \geq C_1$. It suffices to show for all $t > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i} \leq 4C\varepsilon_0$$

implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i} \leq 2C\varepsilon_0.$$

By (2.5) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{W_1} \leq \|u(t)\|_{W_1} + \|B(u, \bar{u})\|_{W_1} = \|u(t)\|_{U_1} + \|B(u, \bar{u})\|_{U_1} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{U_1} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i}^2.$$

By Lemmas 4.4 and 5.11, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^3 \|w(t)\|_{W_i} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{W_3} \lesssim \|w_0\|_W + \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}).$$

Then by (2.5), Lemmas 4.4 and 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i} & \leq \sum_{i=2}^3 \|w(t)\|_{U_i} + \|B(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_{U_i} \leq \sum_{i=2}^3 \|w(t)\|_{W_i} + \|B(u, \bar{u})(t)\|_{U_i} \\
& \lesssim \|w_0\|_W + \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} + 4CC_2\varepsilon_0 \int_0^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{H^{10}}}{(1+s)^{1+\delta}} ds.$$

Then by Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{U_1} = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_{H^{10}} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^{10}} e^{4CC_2\varepsilon_0 \int_0^\infty (1+s)^{-1-\delta} ds} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{H^{10}},$$

if $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough, which means

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i} &\leq C_3 \|w_0\|_W + C_3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u(t)\|_{U_i} + C_3 \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}) \\ &\leq C_3 C_4 (\|w_0\|_W + \|u_0\|_{H^{10}}) + C_3 C_4 \sum_{i=1}^3 P(\|(w(t), u(t))\|_{W_i \times U_i}) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_0 + 3CP(4C\varepsilon_0) \leq 2C\varepsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $C := C_1 + C_3 C_4$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough. Here $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^4$ depend only on α, λ , which means C and ε_0 depend only on α, λ . \square

7. FINAL DATA PROBLEM

Consider final data problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)u = \lambda u\bar{u}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha} u(t) = f_\infty, \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

where $u(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the unknown function, f_∞ is a given data, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and plays no role in this paper. We may assume $\lambda = 1$.

Theorem 7.1. *Assume f_∞ is sufficiently small in suitable space, then there exists a unique global solution u to (7.1). Moreover, $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim (1+t)^{-1-}$.*

By Lemma 2.1, Theorem 7.1 is a consequence of Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 7.2. *Assume $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\lambda \in (\frac{\alpha-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be sufficiently small (that depends only on α, λ). Assume that the final data satisfies the following assumption*

$$\|f_\infty\|_{H^{10}} + \|f_\infty\|_W \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

Then there exists a unique global solution (w, u) to (2.6) in $C(\mathbb{R}; H^{10})^2$ and the solution posses scattering property. Moreover, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t>0} \left(\|w(t)\|_{H^{10}} + \|e^{itD^\alpha} w(t)\|_W + (1+t)^{1+\delta} \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &+ \sup_{t>0} \left(\|u(t)\|_{H^{10}} + \|e^{itD^\alpha} u(t)\|_U + (1+t)^{1+\delta} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq C_{\alpha, \lambda} \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}{\alpha}, \frac{3}{2}\} - 1$. We can take $\delta = \min\{\frac{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}}{\alpha}, \frac{3}{2}\} - 1$ if $\lambda + \frac{3}{2} \neq \frac{3}{2}\alpha$.

For convenience we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{W_1^\infty} &:= \sup_{s>0} \|w(s)\|_{H^{10}}, \\ \|w\|_{W_2^\infty} &:= \sup_{s>0} (1+s)^{1+\delta} \|w(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|w\|_{W_3^\infty} &:= \sup_{s>0} \|e^{isD^\alpha} w(s)\|_W. \end{aligned}$$

The norm of u has three components. For convenience we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{U_1^\infty} &:= \sup_{s>0} \|u(s)\|_{H^{10}}, \\ \|u\|_{U_2^\infty} &:= \sup_{s>0} (1+s)^{1+\delta} \|u(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|u\|_{U_3^\infty} &:= \sup_{s>0} \|e^{isD^\alpha} u(s)\|_U. \end{aligned}$$

Define $(w^{(0)}(t), u^{(0)}(t)) := (0, 0)$ for all t . For $n \geq 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)w^{(n+1)} &= Q(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)}), \\ u^{(n+1)} &= w^{(n+1)} - iB(u^{(n)}, \overline{u^{(n)}}), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha} u^{n+1}(t) &= f_\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

where $Q(w, u) := (w\bar{w})_{HH+HL} + i[w\overline{B(u, \bar{u})}]_{HH+HL} - i[B(u, \bar{u})\bar{u}]_{HH+HL} + iB(|u|^2, \bar{u}) + iB(u, |u|^2)$.

Lemma 7.3. *Under the assumption of Theorem 7.2, for all $n \geq 1$, $(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)}) \in C([0, \infty); H^{10})^2$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_0.$$

Proof. By linear equations theory, we have $(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)}) \in C([0, \infty); H^{10})^2$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $(e^{itD^\alpha} w^{(1)}(t), e^{itD^\alpha} u^{(1)}(t)) = (f_\infty, f_\infty)$, by Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w^{(1)}, u^{(1)})\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} \leq C_1(\|f_\infty\|_{H^{10}} + \|f_\infty\|_W) \leq C_1\varepsilon_0.$$

Take $\varepsilon_0 < C_1^{-1}$. Suppose for some $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} \leq C_1\varepsilon_0.$$

By (7.3) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\|w^{n+1}\|_{W_1^\infty} \leq \|u^{n+1}\|_{U_1^\infty} + C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u^{(n)}\|_{U_i^\infty}^2.$$

By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$e^{itD^\alpha} u^{(n+1)}(t) = f_\infty - \int_t^\infty e^{isD^\alpha} u^{(n)}(s) \overline{u^{(n)}}(s) ds,$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{U_1^\infty} &\leq \|f_\infty\|_{H^{10}} + C \int_0^\infty \|u^{(n)}(s)\|_{H^{10}} \|u^{(n)}(s)\|_\infty ds \\ &\leq \|f_\infty\|_{H^{10}} + CC_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

By an argument similar to that in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(\partial_t + iD^\alpha)u^{(n+1)} = u^{(n)} \overline{u^{(n)}}.$$

By Lemma 4.2, there exists a $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{itD^\alpha} B(u^{(n)}, \overline{u^{(n)}})(t)\|_{H^{10}} \lesssim t^{-\delta'} C_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2, \tag{7.4}$$

which means $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha} w^{(n+1)}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha} u^{(n+1)}(t) = f_\infty$ in H^{10} sense. By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$e^{itD^\alpha} w^{(n+1)}(t) = f_\infty - \int_t^\infty e^{isD^\alpha} Q(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})(s) ds.$$

By Lemma 4.4 and an argument similar to that in Lemma 5.11, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^3 \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{W_i^\infty} \leq \|f_\infty\|_W + CC_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2.$$

Note when we estimate trilinear terms and use integration by parts in time, the boundary term at ∞ is zero since we always have extra decay of t . These tell us that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{W_i^\infty} \leq \|f_\infty\|_{H^{10}} + \|f_\infty\|_W + CC_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2.$$

By (7.3) and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^3 \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{U_i^\infty} \leq \sum_{i=2}^3 \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{W_i^\infty} + CC_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2.$$

By the above discussion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w^{(n+1)}, u^{(n+1)})\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} &\leq 3\|f_\infty\|_{H^{10}} + 3\|f_\infty\|_W + CC_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{2} \varepsilon_0 + CC_1^2 \varepsilon_0^2 \leq C_1 \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $C_1 \geq 6$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough. This closes the induction. \square

Theorem 7.2 is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *Under the assumption of Theorem 7.2, we have that $\{(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. As a result, the limit $(w, u) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})$ is a solution of (7.1) and satisfy*

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w, u)\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} \leq C \varepsilon_0. \quad (7.5)$$

Moreover, such (w, u) is unique in the sense that if (\tilde{w}, \tilde{u}) satisfies (7.1) and (7.5), then $(\tilde{w}, \tilde{u}) = (w, u)$.

Proof. For $n \geq 1$, by (7.3) and (7.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)(w^{(n+1)} - w^{(n)}) &= Q(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)}) - Q(w^{(n-1)}, u^{(n-1)}), \\ u^{(n+1)} - u^{(n)} &= w^{(n+1)} - w^{(n)} - iB(u^{(n)}, \overline{u^{(n)}}) + iB(u^{(n-1)}, \overline{u^{(n-1)}}). \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha} (w^{n+1}(t) - w^n(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha} (u^{n+1}(t) - u^n(t)) = 0$. By Lemma 7.3 and an argument similar to that in Lemma 7.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w^{(n+1)} - w^{(n)}, u^{(n+1)} - u^{(n)})\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_0 \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(w^{(n)} - w^{(n-1)}, u^{(n)} - u^{(n-1)})\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $\varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2C}$, we have shown $\{(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})\}_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy sequence in $W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since $\{(w^{(n)}, u^{(n)})\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy (7.3), (w, u) satisfies (7.1) by a limit argument. By Lemma 7.3 and a limit argument, (7.5) follows. Next we prove uniqueness. Suppose (\tilde{w}, \tilde{u}) satisfies (7.1) and (7.5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + iD^\alpha)(\tilde{w} - w) &= Q(\tilde{w}, \tilde{u}) - Q(w, u), \\ \tilde{u} - u &= \tilde{w} - w - iB(\tilde{u}, \overline{\tilde{u}}) + iB(u, \overline{u}). \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha}(\tilde{w}(t) - w(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itD^\alpha}(\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)) = 0$. By (7.5) and an argument similar to that in Lemma 7.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(\tilde{w} - w, \tilde{u} - u)\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(\tilde{w} - w, \tilde{u} - u)\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which means $\sum_{i=1}^3 \|(\tilde{w} - w, \tilde{u} - u)\|_{W_i^\infty \times U_i^\infty} = 0$ and thus $(\tilde{w}, \tilde{u}) = (w, u)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi and J. Shatah, Global solutions for 3D quadratic Schrödinger equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **3** (2009), 414–432.
- [2] J. Ginibre and N. Hayashi, Almost global existence of small solutions to quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equations in three space dimensions, *Math. Z.* **219** (1995), 119–140.
- [3] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier analysis, Third edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **249**. Springer, New York, 2014.
- [4] Z. Guo and K. Nakanishi, Small energy scattering for the Zakharov system with radial symmetry, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **37** (2014), 2327–2342.
- [5] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi and T.-P. Tsai, Global dispersive solutions for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation in two and three Dimensions. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **8**, 1303–1331 (2007).
- [6] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi and T.-P. Tsai, Scattering theory for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation in three dimensions, *Comm. Contem. Math.* **4** (2009), 657–707.
- [7] N. Hayashi and P. Naumkin, On the quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three space dimensions, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2000** (2000), 115–132.
- [8] M. Ikeda and T. Inui, Small data blow-up of L^2 or H^1 -solution for the semilinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance, *J. Evol. Equ.* **15** (2015), 571–581.
- [9] Y. Kawahara, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations in 3D, *Differential Integral Equations* **18** (2005), 169–194.
- [10] K. Nakanishi and T. Yamamoto, Randomized final-data problem for systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and the Gross–Pitaevskii equation, *Math. Res. Lett.* **26** (2019), 253–279.
- [11] J. Shatah, Normal forms and quadratic nonlinear Klein–Gordon equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (1985), 685–696.
- [12] E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [13] B. Su, Global Solutions For Systems of Quadratic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations in 3D. Online at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.07802>, 2023.
- [14] T. Tao, Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 functions and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 839–908.
- [15] T. Tao, Scattering for the quartic generalised Korteweg–de Vries equation, *J. Differential Equations* **232** (2007), 623–651.
- [16] X. Wang, Global solution for the 3D quadratic Schrödinger equation of $Q(u, \bar{u})$ type, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **37** (2017), 5037–5048.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, MONASH UNIVERSITY, VIC 3800, AUSTRALIA
Email address: zihua.guo@monash.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY GUANGZHOU, 510275, CHINA
Email address: liunj@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY GUANGZHOU, 510275, CHINA
Email address: songl@mail.sysu.edu.cn