DM: Dual-path Magnitude Network for General Speech Restoration

1st Da-Hee Yang¹ 2 nd Dail Kim² 3rd Joon-Hyuk Chang^{1,2,†} 4th Jeonghwan Choi³ 4th Han-gil Moon³

¹*Department of Electronic Engineering*, *Hanyang University*, Seoul, Republic of Korea

²*Department of Artificial Intelligence*, *Hanyang University*, Seoul, Republic of Korea

³*Samsung Electronics*, Suwon, Republic of Korea

{douxi15, roar27, jchang}@hanyang.ac.kr

Abstract—In this paper, we introduce a novel general speech restoration model: the Dual-path Magnitude (DM) network, designed to address multiple distortions including noise, reverberation, and bandwidth degradation effectively. The DM network employs dual parallel magnitude decoders that share parameters: one uses a masking-based algorithm for distortion removal and the other employs a mapping-based approach for speech restoration. A novel aspect of the DM network is the integration of the magnitude spectrogram output from the masking decoder into the mapping decoder through a skip connection, enhancing the overall restoration capability. This integrated approach overcomes the inherent limitations observed in previous models, as detailed in a step-by-step analysis. The experimental results demonstrate that the DM network outperforms other baseline models in the comprehensive aspect of general speech restoration, achieving substantial restoration with fewer parameters.

Index Terms—General speech restoration, speech enhancement

I. INTRODUCTION

In real-life environments, speech signals are often affected by various distortions, thereby necessitating research aimed at mitigating these effects and enhancing speech quality and intelligibility. Considerable research has been conducted to address speech enhancement and generation, with a focus on removing background noise and reverberation and generating missing parts of speech signals. However, most studies have focused on scenarios involving a single type of distortion, such as denoising [\[1\]](#page-4-0)–[\[3\]](#page-4-1), dereverberation [\[4\]](#page-4-2)–[\[6\]](#page-4-3), and bandwidth extension (BWE) [\[7\]](#page-4-4), [\[8\]](#page-4-5). Nevertheless, speech degradation factors often occur simultaneously in practical scenarios, which presents a challenging task that cannot be addressed by networks designed for single-distortion scenarios.

General speech restoration approaches have emerged to address multiple distortions in recent years [\[9\]](#page-4-6)–[\[11\]](#page-4-7). However, although abundant research has been conducted on handling single distortion, systems that can address multiple distortions (common occurrences in real-world scenarios) remain scarce, highlighting a significant gap in the field. The challenge of addressing multiple distortions within a single network arises from differences in the desired learning methods and model architectures. Whereas noise and reverberation target a suppression approach, bandwidth degradation requires a synthesis-focused approach. Distinct treatment strategies for suppression and generation result in inherent limitations that prevent a single network from effectively performing both tasks concurrently. To bridge this gap, we conducted a thorough step-wise analysis to understand the inherent limitations that prevent a single network from performing enhancement and generation tasks simultaneously. This investigation led to the development of an integrated approach for addressing general speech restoration.

In this paper, we propose a novel general speech restoration model that enables the enhancement and generation processes. The novel dual-path magnitude (DM) network, based on the MP-SENet framework [\[12\]](#page-4-8), is specifically designed to address multiple distortions by employing two parallel magnitude decoders for simultaneous removal and synthesis. One decoder focuses on distortion removal using a masking-based algorithm, whereas the other performs the restoration task using a mapping-based algorithm with parameter sharing. In addition, we incorporate the output magnitude spectrogram of the masking-based algorithm into the output of the mappingbased algorithm through a skip connection to capture the characteristics of the restoration module more effectively. The main contribution of this study lies in the introduction of a unified model that is informed by step-by-step procedures and findings, thereby enabling both speech enhancement and generation. Detailed insights into the development of this module are presented in Section II. Our experiments demonstrate significantly improved performance efficiency in general speech distortion environments, with the model achieving enhanced results using significantly fewer parameters.

II. MODELING DESCRIPTION

In this paper, we address three distortions: noise, reverberation, and bandwidth degradation. Denoising and dereverberation algorithms primarily focus on removal, which is more effective than generation [\[13\]](#page-4-9), whereas BWE aims to estimate the missing high-frequency components from a generation perspective. In this section, we describe the unified model for enhancement and generation and explain the process that leads to the architecture of the proposed network.

[†] Corresponding author.

Fig. 1. Step-by-step modeling architecture of single-network (S1 and S2), unified network (U1), and proposed network (DM1 and DM2). DM1 is a model without skip connections, while DM2 incorporates skip connections with α .

A. General speech restoration: DM network

Generating missing high-frequency signals while removing background noise and reverberations for general speech restoration is difficult with a single-distortion network. We introduce the DM network with a modified architecture based on the MP-SENet framework [\[12\]](#page-4-8). Figure 1 (S1) presents a schematic of the MP-SENet architecture. This model includes an encoder, two-stage convolution-augmented Transformers (TS-Conformers), and decoder blocks. MP-SENet processes the magnitude and wrapped phase spectra of the input speech signal using the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) transform. Initially, the encoder encodes the magnitude and phase spectra into a compressed time-frequency domain representation. Subsequently, this representation is processed by four TS-Conformers, which sequentially enhance the time and frequency correlations. Thereafter, parallel decoders for the magnitude mask and phase predict the clean magnitude and phase spectra, respectively. These spectra are used to reconstruct the enhanced waveform through inverse STFT. Further details on the encoder, TS-conformer, masking-based magnitude decoder, phase decoder, and training method are described in [\[12\]](#page-4-8), [\[14\]](#page-4-10).

We employ two parallel magnitude decoders for general speech restoration. Specifically, the DM network comprises three decoders: a masking-based magnitude decoder, a mapping-based magnitude decoder, and a phase decoder, as shown in Figure 1. The magnitude decoders share the same structure and parameters but utilize different activation functions: learnable sigmoid and ReLU, respectively. Furthermore, we propose a novel approach in which the output of the masking-based decoder is used as a skip connection to enhance the output of the mapping-based decoder. The learnable parameter α is applied to determine the ratio of the skip connection. This strategy is designed to mitigate the limitation of not being able to use input features directly in the output through skip connections when the input signal is distorted. The outputs of the two parallel magnitude decoders are combined with a weight value ω . Figure 1 depicts the stepby-step process through which the DM network architecture is constructed.

B. Development process

Generating missing high-frequency components while simultaneously removing background noise and reverberation poses a challenge for single-distortion networks in general speech restoration. Therefore, we first analyze the characteristics of single-distortion networks and design models that provide both enhancement and generation. Subsequently, we apply a new approach to the unified model to address its limitations. The networks outlined in the following are used for general speech restoration.

1) Problem formulation: We address the problem of speech restoration in environments that are compromised by noise, reverberation, and bandwidth degradation. The simulated input speech is $y = h(x * r) + n$, where x represents the original speech signal, n denotes background noise, and r is the room impulse response that simulates reverberation, which is convolved with x through the convolution operation represented by ∗. The function h introduces spectral distortions through low-pass filtering effects. Our objective is to develop a novel network that can effectively transform this complex noisy speech input into a restored output speech signal.

2) Single networks (S1 and S2):

• S1 (masking-based network)

The S1 model consists of a magnitude decoder that estimates noise masks for suppression, along with a phase decoder, as shown in Figure 1 (S1). This structure is directly adapted from the MP-SENet architecture introduced by [\[12\]](#page-4-8) for general speech restoration. We find that this network is effective in noise and reverberation removal; however, it largely fails to reconstruct the bandwidth.

• S2 (mapping-based network)

The S2 model was proposed in [\[14\]](#page-4-10) for the BWE task. Similar to S1, it comprises magnitude and phase decoders. However, unlike S1 which estimates the mask,

S2 uses a mapping-based approach to estimate the output spectrogram directly. A key component of the S2 model is the use of skip connections that add the input spectrogram to the output of each decoder, crucial for bandwidth generation. As the input data of the BWE task consists of a signal with preserved low-frequency bands without any other background noise or reverberation, it helps to generate high frequencies by using it as a skip connection. However, in tasks involving multiple distortions, the input signal contains distortions that make the skip connection structure detrimental to noise removal [\[15\]](#page-4-11).

This is because noise and reverberation removal are achieved more effectively using a masking-based approach [\[13\]](#page-4-9), whereas bandwidth restoration benefits from a mappingbased approach with the aid of skip connections. Therefore, we adopt a parallel method using both masking- and mappingbased approaches to ensure that the enhancement and generation processes are performed effectively.

3) Unified network (U1):

• U1 (masking-based + mapping-based):

The masking- and mapping-based magnitude decoders are integrated into a parallel structure, inspired by HD-DEMUCS [\[11\]](#page-4-7). The outputs of both the masking- and mapping-based magnitude decoders are combined and weighted using a hyperparameter ω . We remove the skip connection in the mapping-based decoder for general speech restoration.

- *4) DM networks (DM1 and DM2):*
- DM1 (w/o skip connection)

In the proposed model, we employ two magnitude decoders that share the same model structure and parameters, except for the final activation function, which differs between the decoders.

• DM2 (w skip connection)

Although the use of skip connections in the input is beneficial for bandwidth generation tasks, they cannot be used in general speech restoration tasks. To overcome this limitation, we propose a new approach that adds a spectrogram enhanced by the masking-based algorithm to the output of the mapping-based algorithm. The enhanced output of the masking decoder is a low-frequency spectrogram in which noise and reverberation are removed. Furthermore, we introduce a learnable parameter α into the skip connection. At this stage, a value of $\omega = 0$ is adopted to generate the final magnitude output.

III. EXPERIMENTS

A. Datasets and experimental setup

We considered noise, reverberation, and bandwidth degradation at a sampling rate of 16 kHz to generate distorted speech. For noise distortion, we mixed the VCTK corpus [\[16\]](#page-4-12) consisting of 28 English speakers with the DEMAND noise dataset in a signal-to-noise ratio range of $0 - 20$ dB. The reverberant signal was generated by convolving the speech signal with a simulated room impulse response using a Pyroomacoustics engine. The dimensions of the reverberant room ranged from 5 to 10 m in length and width and 2 to 6 m in height, with the reverberation times (RT60) ranging from 0.3 to 0.9 seconds. For non-echoic speech, the target dry room had a fixed absorption coefficient of 0.99. The bandwidth degradation signal was generated using various types of lowpass filters (e.g. Butterworth, Bessel, Chebyshev, and elliptic) with randomly selected cut-off frequencies between 2 and 4 kHz. We selected "p258" and "p287" speakers from the training set for the validation set.

We trained all the networks in Figure 1 for 1M steps. The outputs of two parallel magnitude decoders were combined with a $\omega = 0.5$ weight value. In addition, we adopted $\omega =$ 0 for the DM2 model, in which the masking-based decoder output was used through a skip connection. All models in Figure 1 were trained using the AdamW optimizer [\[17\]](#page-4-13) with a learning rate of 0.0005.

B. Evaluation metrics

We evaluated the speech restoration performance using various assessment metrics. For comprehensive assessments of speech performance, we analyzed the speech signal distortion,

Fig. 2. Comparison of spectrograms depicting the baseline, step-wise integration process, and proposed models. In the S1 model, although the noise and reverberation removal performance was excellent, bandwidth generation was not achieved. Generative models such as VoiceFixer and SGMSE+ produced more natural spectrograms, but the overall performance was inferior. The HD-DEMUCS model exhibited less effective noise and reverberation removal in the low-frequency band and generated excessive artifacts in the high-frequency band. Conversely, the proposed DM1 and DM2 models demonstrated satisfactory noise and reverberation removal performance, along with effective bandwidth generation.

background noise, and quality using CSIG, which is the mean opinion score predictor of signal distortion, CBAK, which is the mean opinion score predictor of backgroundnoise intrusiveness, and COVL, which is the mean opinion score predictor of overall signal quality [\[18\]](#page-4-14). In addition, the speech quality and intelligibility were measured using wideband perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) [\[19\]](#page-4-15) and short-time objective intelligibility (STOI) [\[20\]](#page-4-16). To assess the effectiveness of speech dereverberation, we employed the speech-to-reverberation modulation energy ratio (SRMR) metric [\[21\]](#page-4-17). For BWE, we used the log-spectral distance (LSD) to measure the performance. For all metrics except for the LSD, higher values indicate better performance.

C. Comparison with baseline models

Table 1 presents the comparison between our model and other models, including VoiceFixer [\[9\]](#page-4-6), HD-DEMUCS [\[11\]](#page-4-7), and SGMSE+ [\[22\]](#page-4-18). VoiceFixer and HD-DEMUCS are representative models for general speech restoration tasks that achieve good performance. Although SGMSE+ was originally proposed for the single distortion task, we employed this model for general speech restoration because it performs denoising, dereverberation, and BWE within the same network [\[23\]](#page-4-19). We used pretrained parameters from Voice-Fixer and implemented HD-DEMUCS based on an opensource "denoiser" that is available on GitHub at [https://github.](https://github.com/facebookresearch/denoiser.git) [com/facebookresearch/denoiser.git.](https://github.com/facebookresearch/denoiser.git) For SGMSE+, we used the open-source code available at [https://github.com/sp-uhh/](https://github.com/sp-uhh/sgmse.git) [sgmse.git](https://github.com/sp-uhh/sgmse.git) to train the model. We selected baseline models that allowed for comparison through available open-source code, access to pretrained models, or reproducible models based on open-source code. Compared to the baseline models, the DM network significantly restored degraded speech signals from all perspectives, including noise and reverberation suppression, and bandwidth generation. Furthermore, the proposed DM network has significantly fewer parameters than the other baseline models, with only 2.05M parameters.

D. Ablation Study

The single network S1 model exhibited excellent performance in terms of noise removal. Consequently, the PESQ and CBAK scores were high. However, as shown in Figure 2, bandwidth restoration was not achieved in S1. We observed that the mask estimation network excelled in background noise and reverberation removal, but failed in bandwidth generation. This suggests that the high PESQ and CBAK scores reflect the limitations of these metrics in accurately measuring overall speech quality, as they do not account for the failure in bandwidth restoration. S2 was designed for BWE tasks. However, as shown in Table 1, all scores, including the LSD representing the BWE performance, were subpar. This can be attributed to the skip connection architecture, which we found to be ineffective in general speech restoration. Based on the above results, we demonstrated the challenge of performing both enhancement and generation tasks using a single network. Therefore, we adopted a unified network by integrating a masking-based decoder that excels in noise and reverberation removal with a mapping-based decoder that can perform both tasks concurrently.

The unified model U1 achieved satisfactory performance in terms of the PESQ and CBAK scores, representing noise removal. However, bandwidth generation hardly occurred, leading to significantly worse LSD and CSIG scores, indicating the degree of speech distortion. Thus, we discovered that simply connecting two decoders in parallel did not effectively address general speech distortions. This issue arose because the two magnitude decoders were trained separately; therefore, we implemented a strategy in which the magnitude decoders

shared parameters. We demonstrated that sharing parameters between two magnitude decoders significantly improved the noise and reverberation removal as well as bandwidth generation, thereby mitigating the aforementioned issues. Furthermore, we applied the learnable skip connection structure to DM1, resulting in DM2, which exhibited improved bandwidth restoration and enhanced performance in terms of speech quality and intelligibility. During inference, the learnable parameter value was set at 0.3827. From a general speech restoration perspective, the DM network demonstrated generally better performance compared to baseline models, capable of noise and reverberation removal as well as bandwidth generation.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose the DM network, a general speech restoration model that integrates two parallel magnitude decoders: distortion removal and speech restoration. Through a detailed analysis, we identified the limitations of existing models and guided the design of our integrated approach. Despite the simplicity of its concept, the DM network outperforms both the predictive model HD-DEMUCS and the generative model SGMSE+ in handling complex distortions, demonstrating its potential as a benchmark for general speech restoration tasks. The DM network addresses multiple speech distortions simultaneously, offering substantial enhancements over singledistortion networks and previous restoration methods, thereby providing robust solutions in challenging environments. This highlights the practical and experimental significance of our model, especially considering the limited development of models that effectively manage complex distortions in speech.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Xu, J. Du, L.-R. Dai, and C.-H. Lee, "A regression approach to speech enhancement based on deep neural networks," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 7–19, 2014.
- [2] A. Defossez, G. Synnaeve, and Y. Adi, "Real time speech enhancement in the waveform domain," in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2020.
- [3] D.-H. Yang and J.-H. Chang, "Selective film conditioning with ctc-based asr probability for speech enhancement," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [4] O. Ernst, S. E. Chazan, S. Gannot, and J. Goldberger, "Speech dereverberation using fully convolutional networks," in *Proc. IEEE European Signal Processing Conference* (EUSIPCO), 2018, pp. 390–394.
- [5] H. Shi, L. Wang, M. Ge, S. Li, and J. Dang, "Spectrograms fusion with minimum difference masks estimation for monaural speech dereverberation," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), 2020, pp. 7544–7548.
- [6] Y. Zhao, D. Wang, B. Xu, and T. Zhang, "Monaural speech dereverberation using temporal convolutional networks with self attention," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 28, pp. 1598–1607, 2020.
- [7] K. Li and C.-H. Lee, "A deep neural network approach to speech bandwidth expansion," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), 2015, pp. 4395– 4399.
- [8] V.-A. Nguyen, A. H. Nguyen, and A. W. Khong, "TUNet: A blockonline bandwidth extension model based on transformers and selfsupervised pretraining," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), 2022, pp. 161–165.
- [9] H. Liu, X. Liu, Q. Kong, Q. Tian, Y. Zhao, D. Wang, C. Huang, and Y. Wang, "Voicefixer: A unified framework for high-fidelity speech restoration," in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2022.
- [10] J. Byun, Y. Ji, S.-W. Chung, S. Choe, and M.-S. Choi, "An empirical study on speech restoration guided by self-supervised speech representation," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [11] D. Kim, S.-W. Chung, H. Han, Y. Ji, and H.-G. Kang, "HD-DEMUCS: General speech restoration with heterogeneous decoders," in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2023.
- [12] Y.-X. Lu, Y. Ai, and Z.-H. Ling, "MP-SENet: A speech enhancement model with parallel denoising of magnitude and phase spectra," in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2023.
- [13] D. Wang and J. Chen, "Supervised speech separation based on deep learning: An overview," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 1702–1726, 2018.
- [14] Y.-X. Lu, Y. Ai, and Z.-H. Ling, "Explicit estimation of magnitude and phase spectra in parallel for high-quality speech enhancement," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08926*, 2023.
- [15] J. Serrà, S. Pascual, J. Pons, R. O. Araz, and D. Scaini, "Universal speech enhancement with score-based diffusion," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.03065*, 2022.
- [16] C. Valentini-Botinhao et al., "Noisy reverberant speech database for training speech enhancement algorithms and tts models," *University of Edinburgh. School of Informatics. Centre for Speech Technology Research* (CSTR), 2017.
- [17] I. Loshchilov and F. Hutter, "Decoupled weight decay regularization," in *Proc. International Conference on Learning Representations* (ICLR), 2019.
- [18] Y. Hu and P. C. Loizou, "Evaluation of objective quality measures for speech enhancement," *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 229–238, Feb. 2008.
- [19] I.-T. Recommendation, "Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (pesq): An objective method for end-to-end speech quality assessment of narrow-band telephone networks and speech codecs," *Rec. ITU-T P. 862*, 2001.
- [20] C. H. Taal, R. C. Hendriks, R. Heusdens, and J. Jensen, "An algorithm for intelligibility prediction of time-frequency weighted noisy speech," *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 2125–2136, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/TASL.2011.2114881.
- [21] T. H. Falk and W.-Y. Chan, "Temporal dynamics for blind measurement of room acoustical parameters," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 978–989, 2010.
- [22] J. Richter, S. Welker, J.-M. Lemercier, B. Lay, and T. Gerkmann, "Speech enhancement and dereverberation with diffusion-based generative models," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2023.
- [23] J.-M. Lemercier, J. Richter, S. Welker, and T. Gerkmann, "Analysing diffusion-based generative approaches versus discriminative approaches for speech restoration," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), 2023, pp. 1–5.