# UniLearn: Enhancing Dynamic Facial Expression Recognition through Unified Pre-Training and Fine-Tuning on Images and Videos

Yin Chen<sup>1</sup>, Jia Li<sup>1</sup>, Yu Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Zhenzhen Hu<sup>1</sup>, Shiguang Shan<sup>2</sup>, Meng Wang<sup>1</sup>, Richang Hong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hefei University of Technology

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

 $\label{eq:chenyin} {chenyin, 2024170924} @mail.hfut.edu.cn, jiali@hfut.edu.cn, {huzhen.ice, eric.mengwang, hongrc.hfut} @gmail.com, {huz$ 

sgshan@ict.ac.cn

#### Abstract

Dynamic facial expression recognition (DFER) is essential for understanding human emotions and behavior. However, conventional DFER methods, which primarily use dynamic facial data, often underutilize static expression images and their labels, limiting their performance and robustness. To overcome this, we introduce UniLearn, a novel unified learning paradigm that integrates static facial expression recognition (SFER) data to enhance DFER task. UniLearn employs a dual-modal self-supervised pre-training method, leveraging both facial expression images and videos to enhance a ViT model's spatiotemporal representation capability. Then, the pre-trained model is fine-tuned on both static and dynamic expression datasets using a joint fine-tuning strategy. To prevent negative transfer during joint fine-tuning, we introduce an innovative Mixture of Adapter Experts (MoAE) module that enables task-specific knowledge acquisition and effectively integrates information from both static and dynamic expression data. Extensive experiments demonstrate UniLearn's effectiveness in leveraging complementary information from static and dynamic facial data, leading to more accurate and robust DFER. UniLearn consistently achieves state-of-the-art performance on FERV39K, MAFW, and DFEW benchmarks, with weighted average recall (WAR) of 53.65%, 58.44%, and 76.68%, respectively. The source code and model weights will be publicly available at https://github.com/MSA-LMC/ UniLearn.

# Introduction

Facial expression recognition (FER) is essential in fields such as human-computer interaction (Liu et al. 2017), mental health diagnosis (Bisogni et al. 2022), and driving safety (Wilhelm 2019). Traditional FER methods focus on static facial expression recognition (SFER), which captures single moments of expressions from images. However, static images cannot fully reflect the dynamic changes of emotions over time. In contrast, video-based data provides richer temporal information and offers a more comprehensive view of emotions, prompting a shift towards dynamic facial expression recognition (DFER).

Early DFER methods mainly relied on hand-crafted features such as LBP-TOP (Zhao and Pietikainen 2007), optical flow (Beauchemin and Barron 1995), and HOG (Dalal and Triggs 2005) to capture temporal and spatial changes. Although these approaches provided some capability to detect



Figure 1: Performance comparison between previous SOTA methods and our proposed UniLearn on FERV39K (Wang et al. 2022b), MAFW (Liu et al. 2022a), and DFEW (Jiang et al. 2020) datasets. Unweighted Average Recall (%) and Weighted Average Recall (%) are reported. UniLearn, which incorporates unified dual-modal learning on static image and dynamic video data, consistently outperforms the baseline, VideoMAE (Tong et al. 2022) previously pre-trained on VoxCeleb2, across all these real-world DFER datasets.

dynamic facial movements, their performance was limited in real-world scenarios. With the advent of deep learning, researchers have developed a series of deep learning-based algorithms that significantly enhance DFER performance. For instance, models that combine CNN (Krizhevsky, Sutskever, and Hinton 2012) with RNN (Graves and Graves 2012) or LSTM (Elman 1990), or integrate CNNs with Transformers (Vaswani et al. 2017), have set new benchmarks far surpassing those of traditional hand-crafted feature-based methods. Recently, leveraging prior knowledge has driven significant advancements in this field. For example, methods like CLIPER (Li et al. 2023a) and EmoCLIP (Foteinopoulou and Patras 2024) utilize the powerful capabilities of the CLIP (Radford et al. 2021) model to boost DFER performance. Additionally, MAE-DFER (Sun et al. 2023a), employing self-supervised pre-training on large-scale facial video datasets, has also achieved impressive success. Despite the remarkable progress achieved by these DFER methods, they primarily rely on DFER datasets, overlooking the potential benefits of learning from SFER data.

Inspired by previous action recognition studies (Girdhar et al. 2023; Zhang et al. 2021) that demonstrate incorporating static images can enhance video tasks, we propose leveraging SFER data to improve DFER performance. Intuitively, FER images are beneficial for DFER models in capturing fine-grained features and varied representations, as they typically capture peak emotional states and provide rich facial details and diverse subjects. To fully explore the benefits of SFER data, we introduce UniLearn, a unified dualmodal learning paradigm that combines static images with dynamic video data to enhance DFER performance. Unlike conventional approaches that focus on a single modality, UniLearn employs a Vision Transformer (ViT) (Dosovitskiy et al. 2020) combined with Masked Autoencoders (He et al. 2022) for pre-training on both image and video modalities, thereby capturing rich, powerful spatiotemporal representations. Then, the pre-trained encoder is jointly fine-tuned on FER image and video datasets using a multitask learning setup, leveraging complementary information for better generalization. To prevent potential negative transfer between SFER and DFER tasks, we introduce a Mixture of Adapter Experts (MoAE) module into the ViT layer. The MoAE operates parallel to the original Feed-Forward Network (FFN), allowing the paralleled FFN to focus on taskagnostic knowledge while the MoAE captures task-specific insights, mitigating negative transfer and enhancing mode's adaptability. This unified dual-modal learning approach significantly improves DFER performance and robustness.

We summarize our main contributions as follows:

- UniLearn, a Unified Dual-Modal Learning Paradigm. UniLearn integrates dual-modal pre-training and joint fine-tuning on FER images and videos, yielding rich and powerful spatiotemporal representations and significantly enhancing DFER performance. Incidentally, our final single model can perform both SFER and DFER.
- MoAE, a Mixture of Adapter Experts Module. We introduce the MoAE module into ViT layers, parallel to the FFN. This structure allows FFN to focus on task-agnostic knowledge and MoAE to capture task-specific knowledge, alleviating the negative transfer between SFER and DFER tasks and enabling more discriminative feature learning for DFER.
- State-of-the-Art Performance. Our approach surpasses VideoMAE (Tong et al. 2022), the baseline pre-trained on VoxCeleb2, by large margins of 1.26%, 4.93%, and 2.08% WAR on the FERV39K, MAFW, and DFEW datasets, respectively, achieving a new state of the art.

## **Related Work**

## **Dynamic Facial Expression Recognition**

Early DFER works primarily focused on laboratory environments and manually crafted features. With deep learning advancements, DFER research has now evolved into three main categories. The first category, end-to-end supervised learning, initially employed 3D CNNs like C3D (Tran et al. 2015), R(2+1)D (Tran et al. 2018), and I3D-RGB (Carreira and Zisserman 2017) to learn spatiotemporal features from raw videos. This approach transitioned to a two-stage process using 2D CNNs paired with RNNs (Graves and Graves 2012) or LSTMs (Liu et al. 2022a; Wang et al. 2022c) for distinct spatial and temporal feature extraction. Currently, Transformer encoders (Ma, Sun, and Li 2022; Li et al. 2023b; Zhao and Liu 2021) dominate this category. The second category leverages vision-language models like CLIP (Radford et al. 2021) for DFER tasks, including implementations such as CLIPER (Li et al. 2023a), DFER-CLIP (Zhao and Patras 2023), and A<sup>3</sup>lign-DFER (Tao et al. 2024). The third category focuses on self-supervised pre-training with large-scale unlabeled samples (Sun et al. 2023b, 2024). For example, MAE-DFER (Sun et al. 2023a) employs a local-global interaction Transformer encoder for masked reconstruction, thereby enhancing fine-grained task learning. Although these solutions have made significant strides in DFER, they primarily concentrate on dynamic facial data, often overlooking the rich information present in static facial data. Therefore, we propose UniLearn, a unified dual-modal learning paradigm that leverages SFER data to enhance DFER task.

## **Multi-Modal Learning and Unified Modeling**

Multi-modal learning has significantly advanced computer vision by integrating diverse data forms, such as images, text, video, and audio (Gong et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2020; Morgado, Misra, and Vasconcelos 2021; Morgado, Vasconcelos, and Misra 2021). These techniques have also been utilized in FER tasks (Li et al. 2023a; Tao et al. 2024; Sun et al. 2024). Traditional methods typically train modalities separately before aligning them, potentially missing the benefits of unified multi-modal learning. Recent approaches seek to unify this process (Hu and Singh 2021; Girdhar et al. 2023). For instance, BEVT (Wang et al. 2022a) and OmniMAE (Girdhar et al. 2023) use a single encoder for image and video modalities during pre-training, while CoVER (Zhang et al. 2021) employs a single model for multiple visual datasets and tasks during fine-tuning. Unlike these methods, which lack learning consistency between pre-training and finetuning, UniLearn adopts a unified learning paradigm for both stages on images and videos, ensuring more effective joint multi-modal learning and significantly enhancing DFER performance.

#### Method

#### Overview

Figure 2 depicts the UniLearn framework, which comprises dual-modal pre-training and joint fine-tuning stages. During pre-training, the framework employs standard Vision Transformer (ViT) (Dosovitskiy et al. 2020) to perform Masked Autoencoder (MAE) (He et al. 2022) modeling both on images and videos to learn powerful spatiotemporal representations. Subsequently, the pre-trained encoder is used to initialize the UniLearn encoder for joint fine-tuning on SFER



Figure 2: Overview of our proposed UniLearn framework. We utilize Vision Transformer (ViT) (Dosovitskiy et al. 2020) as the backbone and pre-train it on facial image and video datasets using Masked Autoencoders (Feichtenhofer et al. 2022). The pre-trained ViT encoder is then used to initialize the UniLearn encoder, which is further fine-tuned on static and dynamic FER datasets. The proposed Mixture of Adapter Experts (MoAE) module is integrated into the ViT layers to create MoAE layers during joint fine-tuning.  $MLP_I$  and  $MLP_V$  denote the classification heads for SFER and DFER, while FFN, LayerNorm, and MHSA represent the feed-forward network, layer normalization, and multi-head self-attention mechanisms, respectively.

and DFER datasets using a multi-task learning approach. To mitigate the negative transfer effect during multi-task joint fine-tuning, a Mixture of Adapters Expert (MoAE) module is introduced in the deeper layers of ViT. Final representations from images or videos are fed into their respective classification heads for SFER and DFER tasks, with the network jointly optimized via cross-entropy loss.

### **Dual-Modal Self-Supervised Pre-Training**

During the pre-training phase, we employ the MAE strategy to jointly train a standard ViT model on large-scale facial image and video datasets. MAE is a self-supervised learning approach that randomly masks a portion of the input data and trains the model to reconstruct the original data from the masked input. This encourages the model to learn powerful representations by capturing the underlying structure and semantics of the data.

Following Girdhar et al. (2023), we treat both image and video inputs as 4D tensors  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times H \times W \times C}$ , where T, H, W, and C represent the number of frames, height, width, and channels, respectively. In this context, we consider an image as a special case of a video with a single frame, thus setting T = 1. Given an input tensor X sampled from image or video datasets, we first generate a random binary mask tensor  $M^{T \times H \times W} \in \{0, 1\}$  with a predefined mask ratio to decide where to drop the patches. The masked input tensor  $X_m$  is computed as the element-wise product of X and M:

$$\boldsymbol{X}_m = \boldsymbol{X} \odot \boldsymbol{M}. \tag{1}$$

 $X_m$  is then fed into the ViT encoder  $f_E$  to obtain the latent representation  $Z = f_E(X_m)$ . Subsequently, the decoder  $f_D$  takes Z as input and attempts to reconstruct the masked pixels of X. The objective of the pre-training phase is to minimize the reconstruction loss, which is defined as the mean squared error (MSE) between the reconstructed tensor  $\hat{X} = f_D(Z)$  and the unmasked tensor X:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MASK}} = \frac{1}{\sum M} \sum (1 - M) \odot (\hat{X} - X)^2.$$
 (2)

# **Mixture of Adapter Experts Module**

During the fine-tuning stage, we incorporate static expression data alongside DFER datasets to improve the model performance. However, directly using simple multi-task learning approaches, such as multiple classification heads, may lead to negative transfer, degrading overall performance. To address this issue and fully utilize the correlations between different SFER and DFER tasks, we propose the Mixture of Adapter Experts (MoAE) module.

The design of the MoAE module is inspired by the Mixture of Experts (MoE) (Jacobs et al. 1991), which employs multiple expert networks coordinated by a gating mechanism. In the MoE framework, a gating network G is responsible for assigning weights to n independent experts  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$  based on the input x. The gating network computes a weight distribution by applying a Softmax function to the dot product of the input and a learnable matrix  $W_q$ :

$$G(\boldsymbol{x}) = \text{Softmax}(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_g). \tag{3}$$

To encourage load balance, we adopt Noisy Top-K Gating (Shazeer et al. 2017). This gating mechanism introduces noise to the logits before applying the Top-K operation and Softmax function:

$$H(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_q + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \tag{4}$$

$$G(\boldsymbol{x}) = \text{Softmax}(\text{Top-K}(H(\boldsymbol{x}), k)), \quad (5)$$

where  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$  is Gaussian noise with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and Top-K( $H(\mathbf{x}), k$ ) retains the top k largest values from  $H(\mathbf{x})$  while suppressing the remaining elements to negative infinity. The introduction of noise helps to diversify the expert selection, preventing the gating network from always choosing the same experts. The output of the MoE layer is then computed as a weighted sum of the expert outputs:

$$MoE(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G(\boldsymbol{x})_i \cdot E_i(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(6)

Previous works, such as ViT-MoE (Riquelme et al. 2021), use Feed-Forward Networks (FFNs) as experts in the MoE, significantly increasing the model parameters but potentially compromising structure and performance. To preserve the structure and learn task-specific knowledge with minimal parameter increase, we employ parameter-efficient adapters (Houlsby et al. 2019) as experts in MoAE. These adapters are lightweight modules consisting of two linear layers with a GELU activation function:

$$Adapter(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{W}_2(GELU(\boldsymbol{W}_1\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}_1)) + \boldsymbol{b}_2, \quad (7)$$

where  $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ ,  $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$ ,  $b_1 \in \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are learnable parameters. The input/output dimension d and bottleneck dimension r (set to d/4 in our work) satisfy  $r \ll d$ , allowing the adapter to learn representations with minimal additional parameters. The formulation of the MoAE module can be expressed as:

$$MoAE(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G(\boldsymbol{x})_{i} \cdot Adapter_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (8)$$

where Adapter<sub>i</sub> denotes the *i*-th adapter expert and G(x) is the gating network determining each adapter expert's contribution based on input x.

Unlike ViT-MoE, which replaces the FFN with MoE, we integrate the MoAE module into the ViT layer, allowing it to operate in parallel with the original FFN. This design, as shown in Figure 2, replaces the latter half of the ViT layers with MoAE layers, preserving the original structure while enabling the FFN to focus on task-agnostic knowledge and the MoAE to capture task-specific insights. Additionally, our approach differs from the Mixture of Parameter-Efficient Experts method (Cai et al. 2024) commonly used in large language models by mitigating negative transfer and enhancing adaptability. The computation flow in the MoAE layer is as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{x}' = \boldsymbol{x} + \text{MHSA}(\text{LayerNorm}(\boldsymbol{x})),$$
 (9)

where MHSA is multi-head self-attention, LayerNorm is layer normalization, and x' represent the global relational representation. x' is then processed by FFN and MoAE:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{g} = \text{FFN}(\text{LayerNorm}(\boldsymbol{x}')),$$
 (10)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_s = \text{MoAE}(\text{LayerNorm}(\boldsymbol{x}')),$$
 (11)

where  $x_g$  and  $x_s$  represent generic and task-specific knowledge, respectively. Finally, the output  $x_o$  of MoAE layer is calculated as:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_o = \boldsymbol{x}' + \boldsymbol{x}_g + \boldsymbol{x}_s. \tag{12}$$

#### Joint Fine-Tuning on Static and Dynamic Data

We propose a joint fine-tuning strategy utilizing both SFER and DFER datasets to maintain learning consistency with pre-training and fully utilize knowledge from both domains. This paradigm mines complementary spatial information from SFER and temporal dynamics from DFER, enabling the model to learn more robust, generalizable representations. By integrating these two information sources, the model could acquire a more comprehensive understanding of facial expressions.

As illustrated in Figure 2, the pre-trained ViT encoder,  $f_E$ , is employed to initialize our UniLearn encoder,  $f_U$ . The UniLearn encoder is then jointly fine-tuned on SFER and DFER tasks using the provided inputs  $(X_i, y_i)$ , where  $X_i$  represents the visual inputs and  $y_i$  denotes the corresponding labels. During the fine-tuning process,  $f_U$  generates a unified embedding  $\Phi = f_U(X)$  for both image and video inputs. The final prediction for each task is generated by a separate task-specific Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP<sub>I</sub> for SFER and MLP<sub>V</sub> for DFER) applied to the final representation  $\Phi$ . To optimize the model, we minimize the cross-entropy loss on the training datasets using mini-batch from SFER and DFER datasets independently.

The total loss for joint fine-tuning is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = (1 - \alpha) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFER}} + \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{DFER}}, \qquad (13)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{SFER}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{DFER}$  are the cross-entropy losses for SFER and DFER tasks, respectively. The binary indicator  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$  toggles between SFER ( $\alpha = 0$ ) and DFER ( $\alpha = 1$ ) tasks based on the mini-batch source.

# **Experiments**

#### Datasets

**Pre-Training Datasets:** We utilize the development set of VoxCeleb2 (Chung, Nagrani, and Zisserman 2018), which contains 1,092,009 video clips from 145,569 videos, and the training set of AffectNet (Mollahosseini, Hasani, and Mahoor 2017), which comprises 287,568 manually reviewed samples, for dual-modal pre-training.

**Fine-Tuning Datasets:** We fine-tune on three DFER benchmarks: DFEW (Jiang et al. 2020), FERV39K (Wang et al. 2022b), and MAFW (Liu et al. 2022a), alongside the SFER dataset AffectNet-7 (Mollahosseini, Hasani, and Mahoor 2017). Detailed information about the above datasets is provided in the supplementary material.

We evaluate the performance using unweighted average recall (UAR) and weighted average recall (WAR), consistent with previous studies (Sun et al. 2023a; Chen et al. 2023). For DFEW and MAFW, we employ 5-fold cross-validation, aggregating predictions and labels across all folds for final UAR and WAR computation.

Mathad	Paalthona	DF	DFEW		FERV39k		MAFW	
Method	DackDone	UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR	
EC-STFL (Jiang et al. 2020)	C3D / P3D	45.35	56.51	-	-	-	-	
Former-DFER (Zhao and Liu 2021)	Transformer	53.69	65.70	37.20	46.85	31.16	43.27	
CEFLNet (Liu et al. 2022b)	ResNet-18	51.14	65.35	-	-	-	-	
NR-DFERNet (Li et al. 2022)	CNN-Transformer	54.21	68.19	33.99	45.97	-	-	
STT (Ma, Sun, and Li 2022)	ResNet-18	54.58	66.65	6.65 37.76 48.11 -		-	-	
EST (Liu et al. 2023)	ResNet-18	53.94	65.85	-	-	-	-	
Freq-HD (Tao et al. 2023)	VGG13-LSTM	46.85	55.68	33.07	45.26	-	-	
LOGO-Former (Ma, Sun, and Li 2023)	ResNet-18	54.21	66.98	38.22	48.13	-	-	
IAL (Li et al. 2023b)	ResNet-18	55.71	69.24	35.82	48.54	-	-	
M3DFEL (Wang et al. 2023)	ResNet-18-3D	56.10	69.25	35.94	47.67	-	-	
CLIPER (Li et al. 2023a)	CLIP-ViT-B/16	57.56	70.84	41.23	51.34	-	-	
DFER-CLIP (Zhao and Patras 2023)	CLIP-ViT-B/32	59.61	71.25	41.27	51.65	39.89	52.55	
EmoCLIP (Foteinopoulou and Patras 2024)	CLIP-ViT-B/32	58.04	62.12	31.41	36.18	34.24	41.46	
A <sup>3</sup> lign-DFER (Tao et al. 2024)	CLIP-ViT-L/14	64.09	74.20	41.87	51.77	42.07	53.24	
SVFAP (Sun et al. 2023b)	ViT-B/16	62.83	74.27	42.14	52.29	41.19	54.28	
HiCMAE (Sun et al. 2024)	ViT-B/16	63.76	75.01	-	-	42.65	56.17	
MAE-DFER (Sun et al. 2023a)	ViT-B/16	63.41	74.43	43.12	52.07	41.62	54.31	
S2D (Chen et al. 2023)	ViT-B/16	61.82	76.03	41.28	<u>52.56</u>	41.86	<u>57.37</u>	
VideoMAE (Baeline) (Sun et al. 2023a)	ViT-B/16	63.60	74.60	43.33	52.39	40.87	53.51	
UniLearn (Ours)	ViT-B/16	66.80	76.68	43.41	53.65	43.72	58.44	

Table 1: Comparisons of our UniLearn with the state-of-the-art DFER methods on DFEW, FERV39k, and MAFW. Baseline results are directly extracted from Sun et al. (2023a). The best results are highlighted in bold, and the second-best underlined.

# **Implementation Details**

We adopt ViT-B/16 (2020) as the backbone for UniLearn encoder and VideoMAE (2022; 2023a) as the baseline in this work. During the pre-training phase, we use a resolution of  $160 \times 160$  for both videos and images. We sample 16 frames per clip with a temporal stride of 4 and use a patch size of  $2 \times 16 \times 16$ . The AffectNet images are resized and temporally replicated to match the patch size. We apply random masking at a ratio of 95% for videos and 90% for images, and the model is optimized using AdamW for 100 epochs.

For fine-tuning, we replace the latter half of the ViT layers with MoAE layers to create a UniLearn encoder and attach MLP heads for each task. The parameters for the MoAE module, specifically the top k and the number of experts n, are set to 2 and 8, respectively. Additionally, the proportion of the SFER dataset used is empirically set at 50%. We optimize the model using AdamW for 100 epochs. Complete implementation details are provided in the supplementary material. During inference, following previous works (Sun et al. 2023a; Chen et al. 2023), we uniformly sample two clips per video and average their predictions for DFER tasks. Unless otherwise specified, all results are obtained using the UniLearn (ViT+MoAE) model with the best weights.

#### Comparison with the State of the Art

To comprehensively evaluate the performance of our UniLearn method, we compared it with state-of-the-art methods on three publicly available in-the-wild DFER datasets: DFEW (Jiang et al. 2020), FERV39K (Wang et al. 2022b), and MAFW (Liu et al. 2022a). The results are summarized in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, our UniLearn method achieves the best performance across all three datasets, significantly outperforming previous state-of-the-art methods, including A<sup>3</sup>lign-DFER (Tao et al. 2024), MAE-DFER (Sun et al. 2023a), and S2D (Chen et al. 2023). Specifically, UniLearn surpasses S2D by 0.65% WAR on DFEW, 1.09% WAR on FERV39k, and 1.07% WAR on MAFW. Additionally, UniLearn shows substantial improvements in UAR, outperforming S2D by 4.98% on DFEW, 2.13% on FERV39k, and 1.92% on MAFW. Compared to the baseline method VideoMAE (Sun et al. 2023a), UniLearn achieves gains of +3.20%/+2.08% UAR/WAR on DFEW, +0.08%/+1.26% UAR/WAR on FERV39k, and +2.87%/+4.93% UAR/WAR on MAFW. These results demonstrate UniLearn's effectiveness in learning representations through dual-modal pretraining and joint fine-tuning on both static and dynamic FER data, highlighting its superiority in DFER tasks.

#### **Ablation Studies**

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the key components in our UniLearn framework, we conduct ablation studies on FERV39K (Wang et al. 2022b), which is the largest DFER dataset in the wild.

**Impact of Dual-Modal Pre-training.** Table 2 presents the impact of different pre-training settings on DFER performance. Compared to the no pre-training setting, all pre-training configurations show significant improvements in both UAR and WAR, demonstrating the effectiveness of pre-training in enhancing DFER performance. Single-modal pre-training, indicating that video data provides richer information beneficial for DFER. The best performance is achieved with dual-modal pre-training using both image (AffectNet) and video (VoxCeleb2) modalities (Experiment 4), with a UAR/WAR of 43.41%/53.65%. This suggests that dual-modal pre-training effectively integrates features from both.

#	Pre-Training Setting		FERV39K		
#	(images + videos)	UAR	WAR		
1	Without pre-training	27.67	39.56		
2	Image only (AffectNet)	36.10	47.59		
3	Video only (VoxCeleb2)	42.92	52.58		
4	Dual-modal (AffectNet + VoxCeleb2)	43.41	53.65		
5	Dual-modal (VoxCeleb2 + VoxCeleb2)	42.36	52.94		

Table 2: Comparison of the proposed dual-modal pretraining with other pre-training settings. Dual-modal pretraining on AffectNet and VoxCeleb2 significantly improves DFER performance and outperforms single-modal pre-training settings.

#	Model Setting	Params (M) Test / Train	FERV39K UAR WAR	2
6 7 8	ViT ViT-MTL (w/o MoAE) UniLearn (Ours)	86 / 86 86 / 86 <b>90 / 101</b>	42.68 53.08 42.63 52.96 <b>43.41 53.65</b>	8 6 5
9	ViT-MoE	115 / 285	41.79 52.81	1

Table 3: Performance and parameter efficiency of UniLearn compared to other multi-task learning (MTL) methods. ViT-MTL refers to the direct application of ViT in MTL tasks with multiple heads. ViT-MoE (Riquelme et al. 2021) replaces the FFN in the ViT layer with an MoE and employs FFNs as experts.

Experiment 5, which used homogeneous image and video data from VoxCeleb2 for dual-modal pre-training, showed slightly lower performance than Experiment 4. This indicates that utilizing diverse datasets and modalities for pre-training can provide more varied and beneficial feature representations. Overall, dual-modal pre-training significantly enhances DFER performance, outperforming both single-modal pre-training and the setting without pre-training.

Evaluation of the MoAE Module. To evaluate MoAE's effectiveness in mitigating the negative transfer between SFER and DFER tasks, we conducted ablation studies. As shown in Table 3, applying the vanilla ViT model for DFER yields a UAR/WAR of 42.68%/53.08%. However, when introducing the SFER data and directly employing a multitask learning (MTL) strategy (Experiment 7), the performance slightly decreased by 0.05%/0.12% of UAR/WAR. This indicates that naively combining SFER and DFER tasks may lead to negative transfer, adversely affecting performance. Conversely, UniLearn with MoAE (43.41%/53.65% UAR/WAR) significantly outperformed ViT-MTL, preserving task-specific and generic features. Furthermore, we compared MoAE with a classic MoE architecture, ViT-MoE (Riquelme et al. 2021). UniLearn demonstrated superior performance over ViT-MoE, with improvements of 1.62%/0.84% in UAR/WAR and requiring fewer parameters (90M/101M vs. 115M/285M for testing/training), highlighting MoAE's parameter efficiency and overall superiority. The poor performance of ViT-MoE is likely due to the replacement of the original FFN structure with FFN experts, disrupting the model's structure and hindering effective fea-

Fine-Tuning Setting	FERV	/39K	AffectNet-7		
(data mixture)	UAR	WAR	UAR	WAR	
SFER (Static)	-	-	65.58	65.64	
DFER (Dynamic)	42.45	52.82	-	-	
Joint (50% Static + 100% Dynamic)	43.41	53.65	65.45	65.50	
Joint (50%Static + 100%Dynamic)*	40.52	50.90	65.88	65.94	

Table 4: Ablation studies on the joint fine-tuning strategy. The joint fine-tuning strategy demonstrates a significant enhancement, highlighting the complementary benefits of integrating static and dynamic expression data. \*: Produced by the epoch at 43 for optimal SFER performance.

ture extraction for DFER.

The Effectiveness of Joint Fine-Tuning. We conducted an ablation study to assess the effectiveness of joint finetuning on both SFER and DFER tasks, as presented in Table 4. Our findings reveal that joint fine-tuning markedly surpasses individual fine-tuning, particularly for DFER. On the FERV39K dataset, joint fine-tuning enhances UAR/WAR by 0.96%/0.83% over DFER-only fine-tuning. For SFER on the AffectNet-7 dataset, it increases UAR/WAR by 0.30%/0.30%. These results emphasize the complementary nature of static and dynamic expression knowledge and demonstrate the effectiveness of joint fine-tuning in enhancing expression representation learning. This highlights UniLearn's capability to handle both SFER and DFER tasks simultaneously, effectively leveraging the strengths of each modality. However, achieving optimal results required different training epochs for DFER and SFER, indicating challenges in simultaneous optimization.

**Contribution of Proposed Components.** We conducted ablation studies to assess the impact of each component in our UniLearn framework: dual-modal pre-training (D.P.), MoAE, and joint fine-tuning (J.F.). Results from Table 5 (Left) indicate that D.P. improved UAR/WAR by 0.10%/0.74% over the baseline (our fine-tuned version of VideoMAE on the pre-trained weights from (Sun et al. 2023a)), underscoring its role in enhancing spatiotemporal representations. However, MoAE alone led to a slight performance decrease, suggesting it may require joint fine-tuning to fully leverage its task-specific capabilities. The optimal configuration, integrating all components, achieved a UAR/WAR of 43.41%/53.65%, highlighting the synergistic benefits of our UniLearn framework in refining DFER performance through discriminative feature learning.

Ablation Study on the Position of MoAE. To determine the optimal placement of the MoAE module within the ViT architecture, we conducted ablation studies at various positions. Table 5 (Right) shows that positioning the MoAE in the last six layers (Later) yields the highest UAR/WAR performance at 43.41%/53.65%. In contrast, placing the MoAE in the first six layers (Early) results in a lower UAR/WAR of 43.15%/53.46%, suggesting that early placement may hinder the model's ability to learn generic features. Middle and alternate layer placements produce intermediate results. These findings indicate that the MoAE module is most ef-

D.P.	MoAE	J.F.	UAR	WAR	Position	UAR	WAR
			42.58	52.34	Early	43.15	53.46
$\checkmark$			42.68	53.08	Middle	43.32	53.57
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		42.45	52.82	Later	43.41	53.65
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	43.41	53.65	Alternate	43.27	53.52

Table 5: (Left) Contribution of proposed components. D.P.: Dual-Modal Pre-training, J.F.: Joint Fine-tuning. (Right) Ablation studies on the position of the MoAE module within the ViT architecture.



Figure 3: Analyses of the total number of experts and the proportion of SFER dataset used during joint fine-tuning.

fective in the later layers, where it can enhance task-specific learning by leveraging the learned generic representations.

Ablation on Hyper-Parameters. In this part, we investigate the impact of two crucial hyperparameters: the number of experts n in the MoAE module and the proportion of the SFER dataset used during joint fine-tuning. Our experiments reveal that the model achieves the best UAR/WAR of 43.41%/53.65% when set to 8 experts, as shown in Figure 3a. Any increase or decrease in the number of experts results in a slight performance drop. Similarly, when testing different proportion of SFER dataset, we found that using 50% of the SFER data during fine-tuning yields the best performance, as illustrated in Figure 3b. This indicates that an appropriate amount of SFER data provides complementary information to the DFER task, while increasing the proportion of SFER data beyond 50% does not yield further improvements and may bias the optimization direction of DFER. These findings highlight the importance of carefully tuning these hyperparameters to achieve optimal performance in our unified learning framework.

#### Visualization

**Distribution of the Expert Activation in MoAE Layers.** Figure 4(a) shows the activation patterns of experts in the MoAE layers on FERV39K (DFER) and AffectNet-7 (SFER) datasets. Different experts exhibit varying activation levels across layers, indicating specialization. For example, expert E3 shows the highest activation in layer L4 on FERV39K, suggesting proficiency in dynamic facial expressions at this layer. Similarly, expert E7 is highly activated in layer L5 on AffectNet-7, indicating a specialization in static



Figure 4: (a) The activation distribution of the experts in MoAE layers on FERV39K and AffectNet-7 datasets. (b) Expression semantic relations between DFER (FERV39K) and SFER (AffectNet-7) tasks. NE, HA, SA, AN, SU, DI, and FE denote neutral, happy, sad, anger, surprise, disgust and fear, respectively.

facial expressions. Experts like E1 and E2 display moderate activation across multiple layers in both datasets, capturing common features relevant to both tasks. These patterns reveal that while there are shared features between SFER and DFER, certain experts specialize and contribute differently across layers, highlighting MoAE's adaptability and effectiveness in capturing task-specific knowledge while leveraging shared representations.

Semantic Relations between DFER and SFER Tasks. Figure 4(b) displays the cosine similarity between class representation centers of FERV39K (DFER) and AffectNet-7 (SFER). The matrix exhibits prominently high diagonal values, indicating strong semantic correlations between corresponding expression classes across the two tasks. This finding further supports the rationale behind our joint learning strategy, leveraging SFER to enhance DFER performance.

# Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced UniLearn, a pioneering unified learning paradigm that leverages SFER data to enhance DFER task. By employing dual-modal pre-training and joint fine-tuning on FER image and video datasets, UniLearn has developed powerful spatiotemporal representations, significantly improving DFER performance. The proposed MoAE module, integrated into the latter ViT layers, helps the model leverage learned generic representations to better capture task-specific information. This effectively mitigates negative transfer between SFER and DFER tasks, enabling more discriminative feature learning for DFER. Extensive experiments on FERV39K, MAFW, and DFEW benchmarks demonstrated the effectiveness and superiority of UniLearn, surpassing the baseline by large margins.

However, achieving state-of-the-art performance for DFER requires a careful balance of SFER data during joint fine-tuning, which poses challenges in simultaneously optimizing both DFER and SFER tasks. In the future, we will focus on developing more efficient architectures and optimization strategies to unify the learning of DFER, SFER, and even other visual affective recognition tasks, aiming for comprehensive excellence in all aspects of these tasks.

# References

Beauchemin, S. S.; and Barron, J. L. 1995. The computation of optical flow. *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)*, 27(3): 433–466.

Bisogni, C.; Castiglione, A.; Hossain, S.; Narducci, F.; and Umer, S. 2022. Impact of Deep Learning Approaches on Facial Expression Recognition in Healthcare Industries. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 18: 5619–5627.

Cai, W.; Jiang, J.; Wang, F.; Tang, J.; Kim, S.; and Huang, J. 2024. A survey on mixture of experts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.06204*.

Carreira, J.; and Zisserman, A. 2017. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. In *proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 6299–6308.

Chen, Y.; Li, J.; Shan, S.; Wang, M.; and Hong, R. 2023. From static to dynamic: Adapting landmark-aware image models for facial expression recognition in videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05447*.

Chung, J. S.; Nagrani, A.; and Zisserman, A. 2018. Voxceleb2: Deep speaker recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.05622*.

Dalal, N.; and Triggs, B. 2005. Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection. In 2005 IEEE computer society conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR'05), volume 1, 886–893. Ieee.

Dosovitskiy, A.; Beyer, L.; Kolesnikov, A.; Weissenborn, D.; Zhai, X.; Unterthiner, T.; Dehghani, M.; Minderer, M.; Heigold, G.; Gelly, S.; et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2010.11929.

Elman, J. L. 1990. Finding structure in time. *Cognitive science*, 14(2): 179–211.

Feichtenhofer, C.; Li, Y.; He, K.; et al. 2022. Masked autoencoders as spatiotemporal learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35: 35946–35958.

Foteinopoulou, N. M.; and Patras, I. 2024. EmoCLIP: A Vision-Language Method for Zero-Shot Video Facial Expression Recognition. In *The 18th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*.

Girdhar, R.; El-Nouby, A.; Singh, M.; Alwala, K. V.; Joulin, A.; and Misra, I. 2023. Omnimae: Single model masked pretraining on images and videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 10406–10417.

Gong, Y.; Wang, L.; Hodosh, M.; Hockenmaier, J.; and Lazebnik, S. 2014. Improving image-sentence embeddings using large weakly annotated photo collections. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part IV 13*, 529–545. Springer.

Graves, A.; and Graves, A. 2012. Long short-term memory. *Supervised sequence labelling with recurrent neural networks*, 37–45.

He, K.; Chen, X.; Xie, S.; Li, Y.; Dollár, P.; and Girshick, R. 2022. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 16000–16009.

Houlsby, N.; Giurgiu, A.; Jastrzebski, S.; Morrone, B.; De Laroussilhe, Q.; Gesmundo, A.; Attariyan, M.; and Gelly, S. 2019. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for NLP. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2790–2799. PMLR.

Hu, R.; and Singh, A. 2021. Unit: Multimodal multitask learning with a unified transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 1439–1449.

Jacobs, R. A.; Jordan, M. I.; Nowlan, S. J.; and Hinton, G. E. 1991. Adaptive mixtures of local experts. *Neural computation*, 3(1): 79–87.

Jiang, X.; Zong, Y.; Zheng, W.; Tang, C.; Xia, W.; Lu, C.; and Liu, J. 2020. DFEW: A Large-Scale Database for Recognizing Dynamic Facial Expressions in the Wild. *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia.* 

Krizhevsky, A.; Sutskever, I.; and Hinton, G. E. 2012. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 25.

Li, H.; Niu, H.; Zhu, Z.; and Zhao, F. 2023a. Cliper: A unified vision-language framework for in-the-wild facial expression recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.00193*.

Li, H.; Niu, H.; Zhu, Z.; and Zhao, F. 2023b. Intensity-aware loss for dynamic facial expression recognition in the wild. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 67–75.

Li, H.; Sui, M.; Zhu, Z.; et al. 2022. Nr-dfernet: Noise-robust network for dynamic facial expression recognition. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2206.04975.

Liu, Y.; Dai, W.; Feng, C.; Wang, W.; Yin, G.; Zeng, J.; and Shan, S. 2022a. MAFW: A Large-scale, Multi-modal, Compound Affective Database for Dynamic Facial Expression Recognition in the Wild. *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*.

Liu, Y.; Feng, C.; Yuan, X.; Zhou, L.; Wang, W.; Qin, J.; and Luo, Z. 2022b. Clip-aware expressive feature learning for video-based facial expression recognition. *Information Sciences*, 598: 182–195.

Liu, Y.; Wang, W.; Feng, C.; Zhang, H.; Chen, Z.; and Zhan, Y. 2023. Expression snippet transformer for robust videobased facial expression recognition. *Pattern Recognition*, 138: 109368.

Liu, Z.; Wu, M.; Cao, W.; Chen, L.; Xu, J.-P.; Zhang, R.; Zhou, M.; and Mao, J.-W. 2017. A facial expression emotion recognition based human-robot interaction system. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 4: 668–676.

Lu, J.; Goswami, V.; Rohrbach, M.; Parikh, D.; and Lee, S. 2020. 12-in-1: Multi-task vision and language representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 10437–10446.

Ma, F.; Sun, B.; and Li, S. 2022. Spatio-temporal transformer for dynamic facial expression recognition in the wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04749*.

Ma, F.; Sun, B.; and Li, S. 2023. Logo-former: Localglobal spatio-temporal transformer for dynamic facial expression recognition. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 1–5. IEEE.

Mollahosseini, A.; Hasani, B.; and Mahoor, M. H. 2017. AffectNet: A Database for Facial Expression, Valence, and Arousal Computing in the Wild. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 10: 18–31.

Morgado, P.; Misra, I.; and Vasconcelos, N. 2021. Robust audio-visual instance discrimination. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 12934–12945.

Morgado, P.; Vasconcelos, N.; and Misra, I. 2021. Audiovisual instance discrimination with cross-modal agreement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 12475–12486.

Radford, A.; Kim, J. W.; Hallacy, C.; Ramesh, A.; Goh, G.; Agarwal, S.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; Mishkin, P.; Clark, J.; et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, 8748–8763. PMLR.

Riquelme, C.; Puigcerver, J.; Mustafa, B.; Neumann, M.; Jenatton, R.; Susano Pinto, A.; Keysers, D.; and Houlsby, N. 2021. Scaling Vision with Sparse Mixture of Experts. In Ranzato, M.; Beygelzimer, A.; Dauphin, Y.; Liang, P.; and Vaughan, J. W., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, 8583–8595. Curran Associates, Inc.

Shazeer, N.; Mirhoseini, A.; Maziarz, K.; Davis, A.; Le, Q.; Hinton, G.; and Dean, J. 2017. Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-of-experts layer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.06538*.

Sun, L.; Lian, Z.; Liu, B.; and Tao, J. 2023a. Mae-dfer: Efficient masked autoencoder for self-supervised dynamic facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 6110–6121.

Sun, L.; Lian, Z.; Liu, B.; and Tao, J. 2024. HiCMAE: Hierarchical Contrastive Masked Autoencoder for Self-Supervised Audio-Visual Emotion Recognition. *Information Fusion*, 108: 102382.

Sun, L.; Lian, Z.; Wang, K.; He, Y.; Xu, M.; Sun, H.; Liu, B.; and Tao, J. 2023b. SVFAP: Self-supervised video facial affect perceiver. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00416*.

Tao, Z.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Z.; Wang, B.; Yan, S.; Jiang, K.; Gao, S.; and Zhang, W. 2023. Freq-hd: An interpretable frequency-based high-dynamics affective clip selection method for in-the-wild facial expression recognition in videos. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 843–852.

Tao, Z.; Wang, Y.; Lin, J.; Wang, H.; Mai, X.; Yu, J.; Tong, X.; Zhou, Z.; Yan, S.; Zhao, Q.; Han, L.; and Zhang, W. 2024. A<sup>3</sup>lign-DFER: Pioneering Comprehensive Dynamic

Affective Alignment for Dynamic Facial Expression Recognition with CLIP. arXiv:2403.04294.

Tong, Z.; Song, Y.; Wang, J.; and Wang, L. 2022. Videomae: Masked autoencoders are data-efficient learners for self-supervised video pre-training. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35: 10078–10093.

Tran, D.; Bourdev, L.; Fergus, R.; Torresani, L.; and Paluri, M. 2015. Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 4489–4497.

Tran, D.; Wang, H.; Torresani, L.; Ray, J.; LeCun, Y.; and Paluri, M. 2018. A closer look at spatiotemporal convolutions for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 6450–6459.

Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A. N.; Kaiser, Ł.; and Polosukhin, I. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.

Wang, H.; Li, B.; Wu, S.; Shen, S.; Liu, F.; Ding, S.; and Zhou, A. 2023. Rethinking the learning paradigm for dynamic facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 17958–17968.

Wang, R.; Chen, D.; Wu, Z.; Chen, Y.; Dai, X.; Liu, M.; Jiang, Y.-G.; Zhou, L.; and Yuan, L. 2022a. Bevt: Bert pretraining of video transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 14733–14743.

Wang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Huang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Gao, S.; Zhang, W.; Ge, W.; and Zhang, W. 2022b. FERV39k: A Large-Scale Multi-Scene Dataset for Facial Expression Recognition in Videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 20922–20931.

Wang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Song, W.; Gao, S.; Huang, Y.; Chen, Z.; Ge, W.; and Zhang, W. 2022c. Dpcnet: Dual path multiexcitation collaborative network for facial expression representation learning in videos. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 101–110.

Wilhelm, T. 2019. Towards Facial Expression Analysis in a Driver Assistance System. 2019 14th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2019), 1–4.

Zhang, B.; Yu, J.; Fifty, C.; Han, W.; Dai, A. M.; Pang, R.; and Sha, F. 2021. Co-training transformer with videos and images improves action recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.07175*.

Zhao, G.; and Pietikainen, M. 2007. Dynamic texture recognition using local binary patterns with an application to facial expressions. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 29(6): 915–928.

Zhao, Z.; and Liu, Q. 2021. Former-dfer: Dynamic facial expression recognition transformer. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 1553–1561.

Zhao, Z.; and Patras, I. 2023. Prompting visual-language models for dynamic facial expression recognition. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2308.13382.