# Geometrical origin for the compaction function for primordial black hole formation

Tomohiro Harada,<sup>1,\*</sup> Hayami Iizuka,<sup>1,†</sup> Yasutaka Koga,<sup>2,‡</sup> and Chul-Moon Yoo<sup>3,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan <sup>2</sup>Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

(Dated: September 10, 2024)

### Abstract

We propose a geometrical origin for the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function, which is known to be a reliable indicator of primordial black hole (PBH) formation, at least during radiation domination. We identify it with a compactness function in the static spacetime obtained by removing the cosmological scale factor from the metric in the long-wavelength limit. Furthermore, we discover that it reaches its maximum value of 1/2 at extremal surfaces on the constant-time spacelike hypersurface, which simultaneously are bifurcating trapping horizons and admit circular photon orbits in the static spacetime. Thus, the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function measures how close the positively curved region is to a type II configuration, with extremal surfaces where the value reaches 1/2.

arXiv:2409.05544v1 [gr-qc] 9 Sep 2024

<sup>\*</sup> harada@rikkyo.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> h.iizuka@rikkyo.ac.jp

 $<sup>^\</sup>ddagger$ yasutaka.koga@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>§</sup> yoo.chulmoon.k6@f.mail.nagoya-u.ac.jp

#### CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	2
II.	Conformal compactness function	4
III.	Geometrical origin for the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function	5
IV.	Circular photon orbits, extremal surfaces and type II	8
V.	Conclusion	11
	Acknowledgments	11
	References	12

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, primordial black holes (PBHs) have been the subject of intensive investigation, driven by observational and theoretical advancements across various related fields of research. This surge in interest was sparked by the discovery of binary black holes with masses of around thirty solar masses via gravitational waves in 2015 [1]. Further studies have indicated that there remains a window at asteroid-scale masses, within which primordial black holes could account for all the dark matter in the Universe (see [2] and references therein). To theoretically predict the abundance and other physical properties of PBHs, it is crucial to develop a detailed understanding of the physics governing their formation processes.

One of the standard formation scenarios is the direct collapse of primordial perturbations generated during inflation. Quantum fluctuations are produced and stretched in the inflationary phase. After inflation and reheating, spacetime with a nonlinearly large amplitude of super-horizon perturbations can be described by long-wavelength solutions in the radiation-dominated era. These long-wavelength solutions with adiabatic perturbations are determined by a single function of the spatial coordinates that specifies the curvature perturbation. If the amplitude of the perturbation is sufficiently large, it collapses into a black hole after the horizon entry. Since the formation process involves highly nonlinear general relativistic hydrodynamics, numerical relativity simulations are typically required, even in spherical symmetry.

Due to the high cost of such computations, simple physical and/or phenomenological thresholds for PBH formation have been sought. In particular, the so-called compaction function has played a central role in this context. The compaction function was originally introduced by Shibata and Sasaki (1999) [3] on the constant mean curvature slice and was empirically shown to give a threshold of PBH formation during radiation domination when its value is approximately 0.4. This function has been reformulated in terms of curvature perturbation in Refs. [4, 5].

We refer to this compaction function as the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function  $C_{SS}$  to distinguish it from the legitimate compaction function  $C_{com} = \delta M/R$  later defined in the comoving slice in [4–7], where  $\delta M$  and R are the mass excess and the areal radius, respectively. They are related to each other through a constant factor for the equation of state  $p = w\rho$  but not for more general cases.

Despite the importance of the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function for PBH formation, we have not yet identified any direct interpretation of this function. On the other hand, threshold studies in many numerical simulations have been discussed in terms of  $C_{\rm com}$  [6–8]. In this paper, we propose a geometrical origin for the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function in terms of the static spacetime obtained through a conformal transformation from the cosmological long-wavelength solutions. It is interesting to note that this interpretation illuminates type II perturbation, which has recently been shown to produce a new type of primordial black holes in radiation domination [9, 10].

This paper is organised as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the conformal transformation and the conformal compactness function in general spherically symmetric spacetimes. In Sec. III, we apply the conformal compactness function to the cosmological long-wavelength solutions and identify it with the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function. In Sec. IV, we demonstrate that the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function identifies circular photon orbits in the unphysical static spacetime and, consequently, type II perturbations. Section V concludes the paper. We use units in which G = c = 1 and the sign convention in Wald [11].

#### **II. CONFORMAL COMPACTNESS FUNCTION**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  be a spacetime in four dimensions. The line element in general spherically symmetric spacetimes can be written in the following form:

$$ds^{2} = g_{AB}(x^{C})dx^{A}dx^{B} + R^{2}(x^{C})d\Omega^{2}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $x^A$   $(A \cdots = 0, 1)$  are coordinates in the 2-dimensional spacetime  $\mathcal{M}^2$  with a metric  $g_{AB}dx^Adx^B$  and  $x^a$   $(a \cdots = 2, 3)$  are coordinates in the unit 2-sphere with the metric  $d\Omega^2 = \sigma_{ab}dx^a dx^b$ , while we use  $x^{\mu}$   $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  for coordinates in the 4-dimensional spacetime. We can define the Misner-Sharp mass or the Kodama mass for this spacetime [12, 13] as

$$M(x^{C}) = \frac{1}{2} R(x^{C}) \left[ 1 - g^{AB} \nabla_{A} R(x^{C}) \nabla_{B} R(x^{C}) \right].$$
(2.2)

Note that this quantity is gauge-independent in the sense that  $M(x^A)$  is a scalar against the coordinate transformation on  $\mathcal{M}^2$  spanned by  $x^A$ . In literature, M/R is often called a compactness, so we define a compactness function  $C(x^A)$  as the ratio of the Misner-Sharp mass  $M(x^A)$  to the areal radius  $R(x^A)$ , i.e.,

$$C(x^{A}) = \frac{M(x^{A})}{R(x^{A})}.$$
(2.3)

This is also gauge-independent in the above sense. Note that the compactness function is closely related to the null expansions  $\theta_+$  and  $\theta_-$  as follows:

$$C(x^{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} R^{2} \theta_{+} \theta_{-} \right], \qquad (2.4)$$

where

$$\theta_{\pm} := l_{\pm}^A \nabla_A \ln R^2 \tag{2.5}$$

are the radial null expansions along with the future-pointing radial null vectors  $l_{\pm}^{A}$  with  $l_{\pm}^{A}l_{-A} = -1$ . Note that the radial null vectors  $l_{\pm}^{A}$  are not generally affinely parametrised tangent vectors of radial null geodesics. Therefore, we have C = 1/2 on the trapping horizon, which is a hypersurface foliated by marginally trapped spheres with  $\theta_{\pm}\theta_{-} = 0$ , while C > (<)1/2 on the (un)trapped spheres with  $\theta_{\pm}\theta_{-} > (<)0$  [14].

Then, let us rewrite the metric as

$$ds^{2} = \Omega^{2}(x^{C}) \left[ \tilde{g}_{AB}(x^{C}) dx^{A} dx^{B} + \tilde{R}^{2}(x^{C}) d\Omega^{2} \right] = \Omega^{2}(x^{C}) d\tilde{s}^{2}, \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$g_{AB} = \Omega^2 \tilde{g}_{AB}, \quad R = \Omega \tilde{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

The spacetime defined by  $(\mathcal{M}, \tilde{g})$  is called an unphysical spacetime [11]. Then, we can define the conformal compactness function with the conformal factor  $\Omega(x^C)$  as

$$\tilde{C}(x^C) = \frac{\tilde{M}(x^C)}{\tilde{R}(x^C)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \tilde{g}^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_A \tilde{R}(x^C) \tilde{\nabla}_B \tilde{R}(x^C) \right].$$
(2.8)

By this definition, we can derive the following relation between C and  $\tilde{C}$ 

$$\tilde{C} = C + \frac{1}{2}g^{AB}\nabla_A(R^2)\nabla_B\ln\Omega - \frac{1}{2}R^2g^{AB}\nabla_A\ln\Omega\nabla_B\ln\Omega$$
(2.9)

or

$$C = \tilde{C} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_A(\tilde{R}^2) \tilde{\nabla}_B \ln \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}^2 \tilde{g}^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_A \ln \Omega \tilde{\nabla}_B \ln \Omega.$$
(2.10)

The conformal compactness function is straightforward to obtain. Given a metric and a conformal factor  $\Omega$ , we can immediately calculate the conformal compactness function. It does not refer to the matter contents, whether they are a single perfect fluid, multiple perfect fluids, scalar fields or any other fields, or on the gravitational theory.

## III. GEOMETRICAL ORIGIN FOR THE SHIBATA-SASAKI COMPACTION FUNCTION

The metric in the cosmological conformal decomposition is written in the following form:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[ -\alpha^{2} d\eta^{2} + \psi^{4} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} (dx^{i} + \beta^{i} d\eta) (dx^{j} + \beta^{j} d\eta) \right], \qquad (3.1)$$

where we fix the normalisation of  $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$  so that  $\det(\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}) = \det(\eta_{ij})$  with  $\eta_{ij}$  being the static metric for the flat 3 space and, for later convenience, we have introduced the conformal time  $\eta$ , which is related to the cosmological time t through  $a(\eta)d\eta = dt$ .  $\zeta = (1/2) \ln \Phi$  is called curvature perturbation in cosmology. Rewriting  $\alpha$  and  $\psi$  as

$$\alpha = 1 + \chi, \psi = \Psi(1 + \xi), \, \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + h_{ij}, \qquad (3.2)$$

and taking appropriate gauge conditions, we can construct the so-called cosmological longwavelength solutions of the Einstein equation, admitting the following power series:

$$\Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) = O(1), \chi(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = O(\epsilon^2), \beta^i(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = O(\epsilon), \xi(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = O(\epsilon^2), h_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = O(\epsilon^2),$$
(3.3)

where  $\epsilon = k/(aH)$  is a small parameter for the gradient expansion <sup>1</sup>. In particular, the zeroth-order quantity  $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$  generates the long-wavelength solutions and is independent of the choice of time slicing as long as it admits the above scheme of long-wavelength solutions, including the comoving slice, the uniform density slice and the constant-mean-curvature slice [4, 15].

In spherically symmetric spacetimes, we can write the line element in the following form

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left\{ -\alpha(\eta, r)^{2} d\eta^{2} + \psi(\eta, r)^{4} \left[ e^{2\lambda(\eta, r)} (dr + \beta^{r}(\eta, r) d\eta)^{2} + e^{-\lambda(\eta, r)} r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right] \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\lambda = O(\epsilon^2)$  is introduced in place of  $h_{ij}$ . Then, using Eq. (2.8) with  $\Omega = a(\eta)$ , we obtain the conformal compactness function as

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \alpha^{-2} r^2 [\partial_{\eta}(\psi^2 e^{-\lambda})]^2 - 2\alpha^{-2} \beta^r r \partial_{\eta}(\psi^2 e^{-\lambda}) \partial_r(\psi^2 e^{-\lambda} r) - [\psi^{-4} e^{-2\lambda} - \alpha^{-2} (\beta^r)^2] [\partial_r(\psi^2 e^{-\lambda} r)]^2 \right\}.$$
(3.5)

Taking the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  in the above, we obtain

$$\tilde{C}(r) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \Psi^{-4} [\partial_r (\Psi^2 r)]^2 \right\},$$
(3.6)

where the weak equality denotes the equality in the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$ . This result does not depend on the slicing condition if it admits the long-wavelength scheme. In fact, the line element in the zeroth order of long-wavelength solutions in spherical symmetry is written in the following form

$$ds^{2} \approx a^{2}(\eta) \left[ -d\eta^{2} + \Psi^{4}(r)(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}) \right].$$
(3.7)

Thus, the physical spacetime is conformal to the static unphysical spacetime with the metric  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  in the zeroth-order long-wavelength solutions. We can recover Eq. (3.6) by calculating the conformal compactness function directly using Eq. (2.8) for the line element (3.7). On the other hand, the relation (2.10) between  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  is not so trivial with the contribution due to the nonvanishing shift vector  $\beta$  [5].

Since the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function  $\mathcal{C}_{SS}(r)$  admits the following expression [4]

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rm SS}(r) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \Psi^{-4} \left[ \partial_r(\Psi^2 r) \right]^2 \right\},\tag{3.8}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the presence of nonperturbative isocurvature perturbation, we cannot generally assume Eq. (3.3) as indicated in Ref. [15]. Here we simply assume that isocurvature perturbation appears only from  $O(\epsilon^2)$  in the metric, if any, in the long wavelength regime.

we can conclude

$$C_{\rm SS}(r) \approx \tilde{C}(r).$$
 (3.9)

That is, in the long-wavelength limit, the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function equals to the compactness function of the unphysical static spacetime. Note that a 2-spheres with  $\tilde{C}(r) = C_{\rm SS}(r) = 1/2$  corresponds to extremal surfaces, where  $\partial_r(\Psi^2 r) = 0$ .

The zeroth-order long wavelength solution (3.7) is given by the spatially conformally flat coordinates. If we transform them to the areal radial coordinates for the unphysical static metric, i.e.  $\bar{r} = \Psi^2(r)r$ , we obtain the following more intuitive form:

$$ds^2 \approx a^2(\eta) \left[ -d\eta^2 + \frac{d\bar{r}^2}{1 - 2\mathcal{C}_{\rm SS}(r)} + \bar{r}^2 d\Omega^2 \right].$$
 (3.10)

This can be also understood as the asymptotic quasi-homogeneous solutions developed in the Misner-Sharp formulation through

$$2\mathcal{C}_{\rm SS}(r) = K(\bar{r})\bar{r}^2,\tag{3.11}$$

where  $K(\bar{r})$  is called the curvature profile in the quasi-homogeneous solution formulation [4, 16]. However, these coordinates cannot cover an extremal surface, which corresponds to coordinate singularity with respect to  $\bar{r}$ . To circumvent this drawback, hereafter, we will use the spatially flat coordinates rather than the areal radial coordinates.

Although the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function is empirically known to be a robust indicator of PBH formation for a perfect fluid with the equation of state  $p = w\rho$ , where p,  $\rho$ and w are the pressure, the energy density and the positive constant, respectively, we do not know how we can extend this function to more general systems such as the coexistence of the radiation fluid and fundamental fields. We propose that the conformal compactness function not only gives a geometrical origin for the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function but also extends to more general systems. The conformal compactness function is straightforward to be defined in the context of the formation of PBHs and other structures. It is conceptually simple and its geometrical meaning is clear as it can describe the trapping of photons in the unphysical static spacetime obtained by removing the cosmological expansion from the physical spacetime.

#### IV. CIRCULAR PHOTON ORBITS, EXTREMAL SURFACES AND TYPE II

We can probe the spacetime geometry with null geodesics. Null geodesic equations are invariant under the conformal transformation, for which the affine parameter,  $\lambda$ , in the physical spacetime is replaced by that in the unphysical spacetime,  $\tilde{\lambda}$ , with

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda} = \frac{c}{\Omega^2},\tag{4.1}$$

where c is a nonzero constant. This implies that null geodesics follow the same trajectories on the physical spacetime and the unphysical spacetime with the different affine parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$ , respectively. On the other hand, since the signs of the radial null expansions are affected by the conformal transformation, trapping horizons and trapped regions are also affected. In fact, we have

$$\tilde{\theta}_{\pm} = \Omega^{-1} [\theta_{\pm} - l_{\pm}^A \nabla_A \ln \Omega^2], \qquad (4.2)$$

where we have defined  $\tilde{\theta}_{\pm}$  in terms of the radial null vectors  $\tilde{l}^A_{\pm} = \Omega^{-1} l^A_{\pm}$  as

$$\tilde{\theta}_{\pm} = \tilde{l}_{\pm}^A \tilde{\nabla}_A \ln \tilde{R}^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Equation (4.2) implies that the increasing conformal factor along the null vector  $l^a_{\pm}$  gives a negative term in the null expansion in the unphysical spacetime in comparison to that in the physical spacetime. Using  $\tilde{\theta}_{\pm}$ , of course, we have

$$\tilde{C}(x^A) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}^2 \tilde{\theta}_+ \tilde{\theta}_- \right].$$
(4.4)

So, the conformal compactness function is useful to know the trapping horizons and trapped regions in the unphysical spacetime.

Now, let us consider null geodesics in the unphysical static spacetime

$$\tilde{ds}^{2} = -d\eta^{2} + \Psi^{4}(r)(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}), \qquad (4.5)$$

which is extracted from the zeroth-order long-wavelength solution. The null geodesic equations can be written in the form

$$\dot{r}^2 + \Psi^{-4} \left( -E^2 + \frac{L^2}{\Psi^4(r)r^2} \right) = 0$$
(4.6)

with the integration constants

$$E = \dot{\eta}, \quad L = \Psi^4 r^2 \dot{\phi}, \tag{4.7}$$

where the dot denotes the ordinary differentiation with respect to  $\lambda$ .

Now the null geodesic is governed by the effective potential

$$V(r) = \Psi^{-4} \left( -E^2 + \frac{L^2}{\Psi^4 r^2} \right).$$
(4.8)

There exists a circular null geodesic in the static metric  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  if and only if there is  $r_c(>0)$ such that  $V(r_c) = V'(r_c) = 0$ , where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to r. Since

$$V'(r) = (\Psi^{-4})' \Psi^4 V(r) - 2\Psi^{-4} L^2 (\Psi^2 r)^{-3} (\Psi^2 r)',$$
(4.9)

this condition is equivalent to the following condition

$$(\Psi^2 r)' = 0, \quad E^2 = \frac{L^2}{\Psi^4 r^2}$$
(4.10)

at  $r = r_c$ . The first equation gives the radius of the circular photon orbit  $r_p$ , while the second gives the impact parameter

$$b = \frac{L}{E} = \Psi^2(r_p)r_p \tag{4.11}$$

of the photon. Thus, we can reformulate the problem as finding the zero  $r_p$  of

$$F(r) := (\Psi^2 r)' \tag{4.12}$$

and then calculating the impact parameter  $b_p$  using Eq. (4.11).

Then, from Eq. (3.8), we can conclude

$$\tilde{C}(r_p) = \mathcal{C}_{\rm SS}(r_p) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(4.13)

As a corollary, the circular orbit at  $r = r_p$  corresponds to a trapping horizon in the unphysical static spacetime. In the static spherically symmetric spacetime, since the null expansions are given by Eq. (4.3) and  $\tilde{l}_+^r \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{l}_-^r \neq 0$ , we can conclude that if there is a trapping horizon  $\tilde{\theta}_+\tilde{\theta}_- = 0$ , it necessarily is a bifurcating trapping horizon [17] on which  $\tilde{\theta}_+ = \tilde{\theta}_- = 0$ and therefore  $\tilde{R}' = 0$ . This implies that if there is a circular photon orbit  $r = r_p$ , we have  $\tilde{R}' = 0$  there corresponding to an extremal surface.

In the trivial case  $\Psi(r) = 1$ , we have F(r) = 1. Assuming  $\Psi(r)$  is regular at r = 0,  $F(r) \rightarrow \Psi_0^2$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  with  $\Psi_0 = \Psi(0) > 0$ . If we further assume  $\Psi(r)$  approaches some finite value  $\Psi_{\infty}$  as  $r \to \infty$ , we have  $F(r) \to \Psi_{\infty}^2$  as  $r \to \infty$ . If F(r) is everywhere positive,  $\tilde{C} = C_{SS} < 1/2$  everywhere and hence the whole static spacetime is untrapped.

If we continuously deform  $\Psi(r)$  from the trivial one keeping the boundary conditions at  $r \to 0$  and  $r \to \infty$ , we will generically first encounter a double zero  $r_c$  of F(r) with  $F'(r_c) = 0$ . This double zero corresponds to a marginally stable circular orbit because

$$V''(r) = [(\Psi^{-4})'\Psi^{4}]'V(r) + (\Psi^{-4})'\Psi^{4}V'(r) + [\Psi^{-4}L^{2}(-2)(\Psi^{2}r)^{-3}]'F(r) + [\Psi^{-4}L^{2}(-2)(\Psi^{2}r)^{-3}]F'(r)$$
(4.14)

implies  $V''(r_c) = 0$ . In this critical case, the area of the sphere of constant r takes an inflection point at  $r = r_c$  with  $\tilde{R}'(r_c) = \tilde{R}''(r_c) = 0$ , while the area is monotonically increasing with r. In this case, the unphysical spacetime is untrapped for  $0 < r < r_c$  and  $r_c < r$ , while there is a bifurcating trapping horizon at  $r = r_c$ .

If we continuously deform  $\Psi(r)$  further, F(r) will generically get to have two zeros  $r_{p\pm}$ with  $r_{p+} > r_{p-}$ , with  $F'(r_{p+}) > 0$  and  $F'(r_{p-}) < 0$ . Since  $V(r_{p\pm}) = V'(r_{p\pm}) = F(r_{p\pm}) = 0$ by construction, we can conclude  $V''(r_{p+}) < 0$  and  $V''(r_{p-}) > 0$ . That is,  $r = r_{p+}$  and  $r = r_{p-}$  correspond to the unstable and stable circular photon orbits, respectively. In this case,  $\tilde{C} = \mathcal{C}_{SS}$  takes two maximum values of 1/2 at  $r = r_{p\pm}$ , while it is smaller than 1/2 for  $0 < r < r_{-}, r_{-} < r < r_{+}$  and  $r_{+} < r$  as seen in Eq. (3.8). The sphere of constant  $(\eta, r)$ is untrapped for  $(0 < r < r_{p-} \text{ and } r_{p-} < r < r_{p+} \text{ and } r_{p+} < r)$  and marginally trapped at  $r = r_{p-}$  and  $r = r_{p+}$ . There are two bifurcating trapping horizons in this case, the one at  $r = r_{p-}$  and the other at  $r = r_{p+}$ . In fact, since  $\tilde{R} = \Psi^2 r$ , we can find that  $r = r_{p-}$ and  $r = r_{p+}$  correspond to maximal and minimal surfaces, respectively, on the hypersurface of constant  $\eta$ . The area of the sphere monotonically increases for  $0 < r < r_{p-}$ , takes a maximum at  $r = r_{p-}$ , monotonically decreases for  $r_{p-} < r < r_{p+}$ , takes a minimum at  $r = r_{p+}$  and monotonically increases again for  $r_{p+} < r$ . The spatial geometry of the physical spacetime on the hypersurface of constant  $\eta$  has the same property because the conformal factor  $\Omega = a(\eta)$  is only a constant. The initial data with this property is called a type II perturbation. The above discussion is consistent with [10]. We can easily extend the discussion to further deformation of F(r), in which F(r) may take more zeros.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proven that in the long-wavelength limit, we can interpret the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function,  $C_{SS}$ , as the compactness function in the unphysical static spacetime with a metric obtained by removing the cosmological scale factor from the physical spacetime metric,  $\tilde{C}$ , which we call the conformal compactness function. Thus, the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function can identify circular photon orbits in the unphysical static spacetime that correspond to extremal surfaces and bifurcating trapping horizons in the same spacetime where  $C_{SS} = 1/2$ , whereas the threshold for PBH formation is given by  $C_{SS} \simeq 0.4$ .

The marginal configuration against the appearance of the circular photon orbit in the unphysical static spacetime is at the threshold between types I and II of perturbation, while for type II, there are two (or more) extremal surfaces and bifurcating trapping horizons. The conformal compactness function is conceptually simple, straightforward to calculate, and applicable to spacetimes with any matter content or in modified theories of gravity. If we can neglect the cosmological expansion, which is the case for subhorizon scales, the conformal compactness function trivially approaches the compactness function, which rigorously defines black hole trapping horizons in the physical spacetimes describing gravitational collapse.

Here, we will discuss the status of the Shibata-Sasaki compaction function,  $C_{SS}$ , in comparison to the legitimate compaction function in the comoving slice,  $C_{com}$ . As we have seen, the former provides a clear threshold for type II configurations in long-wavelength solutions, while the relationship between the two is not straightforward in general cases. This may suggest that  $C_{SS}$  is more fundamental than  $C_{com}$  from a geometrical perspective. Lastly, we should note that the spatial average of the compaction function is far more reliable than its raw value when examining the profile dependence of the threshold for PBH formation, as observed in Ref. [7]. We speculate that this could also be understood from a geometrical viewpoint in the near future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to C. Germani, M. Kimura, H. Maeda, R.V. Sheth and K. Uehara for helpful discussion. TH is grateful to CENTRA, Departamento de F´ísica, In-

stituto Superior Técnico – IST at Universidade de Lisboa, and Niels Bohr International Academy at Niels Bohr Institute for their hospitality during the writing of the manuscript. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP20H05853 (TH, CY), No. JP24K07027 (TH, CY), No. JP20H05850 (CY), No. JP21K20367 (YK), and No. JP23KK0048 (YK).

- B. P. Abbott et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(6):061102, 2016.
- [2] Bernard Carr, Kazunori Kohri, Yuuiti Sendouda, and Jun'ichi Yokoyama. Constraints on primordial black holes. *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, 84(11):116902, 2021.
- [3] Masaru Shibata and Misao Sasaki. Black hole formation in the Friedmann universe: Formulation and computation in numerical relativity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 60:084002, 1999.
- [4] Tomohiro Harada, Chul-Moon Yoo, Tomohiro Nakama, and Yasutaka Koga. Cosmological long-wavelength solutions and primordial black hole formation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 91(8):084057, 2015.
- [5] Tomohiro Harada, Chul-Moon Yoo, and Yasutaka Koga. Revisiting compaction functions for primordial black hole formation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(4):043515, 2023.
- [6] Ilia Musco. Threshold for primordial black holes: Dependence on the shape of the cosmological perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(12):123524, 2019.
- [7] Albert Escrivà, Cristiano Germani, and Ravi K. Sheth. Universal threshold for primordial black hole formation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 101(4):044022, 2020.
- [8] Ilia Musco, Valerio De Luca, Gabriele Franciolini, and Antonio Riotto. Threshold for primordial black holes. II. A simple analytic prescription. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103(6):063538, 2021.
- [9] Michael Kopp, Stefan Hofmann, and Jochen Weller. Separate Universes Do Not Constrain Primordial Black Hole Formation. Phys. Rev. D, 83:124025, 2011.
- [10] Koichiro Uehara, Albert Escrivà, Tomohiro Harada, Daiki Saito, and Chul-Moon Yoo. Numerical simulation of type II primordial black hole formation. 1 2024.
- [11] Robert M. Wald. General Relativity. Chicago Univ. Pr., Chicago, USA, 1984.
- [12] Charles W. Misner and David H. Sharp. Relativistic equations for adiabatic, spherically symmetric gravitational collapse. *Phys. Rev.*, 136:B571–B576, 1964.

- [13] Hideo Kodama. Conserved Energy Flux for the Spherically Symmetric System and the Back Reaction Problem in the Black Hole Evaporation. Prog. Theor. Phys., 63:1217, 1980.
- [14] S. A. Hayward. General laws of black hole dynamics. Phys. Rev. D, 49:6467–6474, 1994.
- [15] David H. Lyth, Karim A. Malik, and Misao Sasaki. A General proof of the conservation of the curvature perturbation. JCAP, 05:004, 2005.
- [16] Alexander G. Polnarev and Ilia Musco. Curvature profiles as initial conditions for primordial black hole formation. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 24:1405–1432, 2007.
- [17] Hideki Maeda, Tomohiro Harada, and B. J. Carr. Cosmological wormholes. Phys. Rev. D, 79:044034, 2009.