

Complexity of Deciding the Equality of Matching Numbers

Guilherme C. M. Gomes¹ Bruno P. Masquio^{2*} Paulo E. D. Pinto²
Dieter Rautenbach⁴ Vinicius F. dos Santos^{1†} Jayme L. Szwarcfiter^{2,3‡}
Florian Werner⁴

¹ Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) – Belo Horizonte, MG – Brazil
{gcm.gomes,viniciussantos}@dcc.ufmg.br

² Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ) – Rio de Janeiro, RJ – Brazil
{brunomasquio,pauloedp}@ime.uerj.br

³ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) – Rio de Janeiro, RJ – Brazil
jayme@nce.ufrj.br

⁴ Institute of Optimization and Operations Research – Ulm University – Ulm – Germany
{dieter.rautenbach,florian.werner}@uni-ulm.de

Abstract

A matching is said to be disconnected if the saturated vertices induce a disconnected subgraph and induced if the saturated vertices induce a 1-regular graph. The disconnected and induced matching numbers are defined as the maximum cardinality of such matchings, respectively, and are known to be NP-hard to compute. In this paper, we study the relationship between these two parameters and the matching number. In particular, we discuss the complexity of two decision problems; first: deciding if the matching number and disconnected matching number are equal; second: deciding if the disconnected matching number and induced matching number are equal. We show that given a bipartite graph with diameter four, deciding if the matching number and disconnected matching number are equal is NP-complete; the same holds for bipartite graphs with maximum degree three. We characterize diameter three graphs with equal matching number and disconnected matching number, which yields a polynomial time recognition algorithm. Afterwards, we show that deciding if the induced and disconnected matching numbers are equal is co-NP-complete for bipartite graphs of diameter 3. When the induced matching number is large enough compared to the maximum degree, we characterize graphs where these parameters are equal, which results in a polynomial time algorithm for bounded degree graphs.

Keywords: Complexity, Matching, Induced Matching, Disconnected Matching

*Partially supported by FAPERJ and CAPES

†Partially supported by FAPERJ and CNPq

‡Partially supported by FAPERJ and CNPq

1 Introduction

A *matching* M of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $M \subseteq E$ of the edges of G such that no two edges in M share a common endpoint. The set $V(M)$ contains all endpoints of edges of M , which are called *saturated* vertices. In an abuse of notation, we write $G[M]$ for the induced subgraph $G[V(M)]$. A matching M is *maximal* if there is no other matching M' such that $M \subsetneq M'$ and *maximum* if there is no other matching M' of G such that $|M'| > |M|$. The number of edges in a maximum matching of a graph G is called the *matching number*, denote by $\nu(G)$. A matching saturating all vertices of G is *perfect*.

Matchings have been the subject of several studies in both structural and algorithmic graph theory. It is a well-known fact that the size of a maximum matching can be computed efficiently [14]. Requiring further properties of a matching leads to restricted matchings: A matching M is said to be a \mathcal{P} -matching if $G[M]$ satisfies property \mathcal{P} . The \mathcal{P} matching number is defined as the size of a maximum \mathcal{P} -matching. The complexity of deciding whether or not a graph admits a \mathcal{P} -matching of given size has been investigated for many different properties \mathcal{P} over the years, including acyclic matchings [19, 29], degenerate matchings (generalization of acyclic matchings) [1], induced matching [6, 26, 28], connected matchings [8], uniquely restricted matchings [20] and disconnected matchings [19, 21]. It appears natural to compare the \mathcal{P} matching number of a given graph for different properties, which results in the following decision problem: Decide if, for two different properties, the corresponding \mathcal{P} matching numbers of a given graph are equal. The following problems are known to be NP-hard: Determining the equality of the induced and uniquely restricted matching numbers on bipartite graphs [16], uniquely restricted and acyclic matching numbers [17], induced and acyclic matching numbers on graphs of maximum degree four [16], and unrestricted matching and uniquely restricted matching numbers [17]. However, regarding comparing the induced matchings and unrestricted matchings, Kobler and Rotics characterized the graphs with identical matching number and induced matching number, yielding a polynomial time algorithm to recognize these graphs. [25]

In this paper, we are particularly interested in (unrestricted) matchings, induced matchings and disconnected matchings.

A matching M is said to be *induced* if $G[M]$ is a 1-regular graph or empty. Deciding if a given graph admits an induced matching of a given size is a well-known NP-complete problem [6]; the size of the largest induced matching in a graph G is the *induced matching number*, denoted by $\nu_s(G)$. The hardness of computing this parameter extends to several graph classes, such as bipartite graphs with degree 4 [31], cubic planar graphs [24], $4k$ -regular graphs for $k \geq 1$ [32], subcubic bipartite graphs [27], planar bipartite graphs where each vertex in one partition set has degree 2 and each vertex in the other partition set has degree 3 [23]. In [25], hardness is also shown for Hamiltonian graphs, claw-free graphs, chair-free graphs, line graphs, and d -regular graphs for $d \geq 5$. NP-completeness is shown for star-convex bipartite graphs and perfect elimination in [30]. Finally, the problem is shown to be APX-Complete in d -regular graphs for each $d \geq 3$ in [13]. On the other hand, efficient algorithms have been shown for several graph classes. Results include a linear-time algorithm for chordal graphs [3] and polynomial time algorithms for weakly chordal graphs [9], permutation and trapezoid graphs [5], circular-convex bipartite graphs and triad-convex bipartite [30], asteroidal-triple-free graphs [7, 11], interval-filament graphs [7] and hexagonal graphs [15].

A matching is said to be *disconnected* if $G[M]$ is disconnected or empty. The size of the largest disconnected matching in a graph G is called the disconnected matching number, denoted by $\nu_d(G)$.

The complexity of finding a disconnected matching of a given size was first asked in [19]. Motivated by this question, the authors of [22] showed that deciding if a disconnected matching of a given size exists is NP-complete. In fact, they proved that finding a matching of size k that induces a graph with at least c connected components (a so called *c-disconnected matching*) is NP-complete for every fixed $c > 1$ even for bipartite graphs of diameter four. For simplicity, we define the empty matching as c -disconnected for every $c \in \mathbb{N}$. The *c-disconnected matching number* is the size of the largest c -disconnected matching, denoted by $\nu_{d,c}(G)$. Note that $\nu_{d,i}(G) \geq \nu_{d,i+1}(G)$ for every $i \geq 1$ and $\nu_{d,c}(G) > 0$ if and only if $c \leq \nu_s(G)$. For every graph G and $\ell = \nu_s(G)$, it holds that:

$$\nu(G) = \nu_{d,1}(G) \geq \nu_{d,2}(G) \geq \dots \geq \nu_{d,\ell-1}(G) \geq \nu_{d,\ell}(G) = \nu_s(G) \quad (1)$$

As mentioned before, deciding if $\nu_s(G) = \nu(G)$ is in P [25]. However, the complexities of deciding the equality between the other (disconnected) matching numbers were unknown. In this paper, we close that gap. Mainly, we consider two decision problems: First, deciding if the matching number and disconnected matching number are equal (decision problem $\nu = \nu_d$). More general, we consider for two fixed parameters i and j the decision problem $\nu_{d,i} = \nu_{d,j}$, i.e. deciding if the i -disconnected and the j -disconnected matching numbers are equal. And second, deciding if the disconnected matching number and induced matching number are equal (decision problem $\nu_d = \nu_s$).

First, we show NP-completeness of the decision problem $\nu = \nu_d$ for two graph classes – bipartite graphs with diameter four and bipartite graphs with maximum degree three. We extend this result with two corollaries: For fixed $i \geq 2$, the decision problem $\nu = \nu_{d,i}$ is NP-complete for bipartite graphs with diameter 4. For fixed i and j with $2 \leq i < j$, the decision problem $\nu_{d,i} = \nu_{d,j}$ is NP-hard for bipartite graphs with diameter 3. For graphs with diameter three, we characterize those with equal matching number and disconnected matching number and conclude that the decision problem $\nu = \nu_d$ is in P for diameter three graphs.

Second, we show that the decision problem $\nu_d = \nu_s$ is co-NP-complete for bipartite graphs of diameter 3. We characterize all graphs G with $\nu_s(G) = \nu_d(G)$ if $\nu_s(G) \geq 2\Delta(G)$, resulting in a polynomial time algorithm for bounded degree graphs. Table 1 gives an overview of our main results.

Table 1: Main results

Problem	Complexity	Graph class	Proof
$\nu = \nu_d$	NP-complete	Bipartite and diameter 4	Theorem 1
$\nu = \nu_d$	NP-complete	Bipartite and $\Delta = 3$	Theorem 6
$\nu = \nu_d$	P	Diameter 3	Theorem 14
$\nu_s = \nu_d$	co-NP-complete	Bipartite and diameter at most 3	Theorem 17
$\nu_s = \nu_d$	P	Bounded degree	Theorem 23

Finally, we show that, for every finite non-increase sequence of natural numbers β_1, \dots, β_k with $\beta_k \geq k$ there exists a graph G with $\nu_{d,i}(G) = \beta_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. This result implies that the differences between adjacent elements of the inequalities presented in Equation 1 are arbitrary.

When there is no ambiguity of which graph we are referring to, we may write ν_s , ν_d , $\nu_{d,i}$ and ν instead of $\nu_s(G)$, $\nu_d(G)$, $\nu_{d,i}(G)$ and $\nu(G)$.

2 Preliminaries

We use standard nomenclatures and basic concepts of graph theory as in [2, 4], complexity theory as in [18], and parameterized complexity as in [12].

For a set C , we say that $A, B \subseteq C$ is a *partition* of C if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = C$; we denote a partition of C into A and B by $A \dot{\cup} B = C$. For an integer k , we define $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$.

In this paper, we only use finite, simple, and undirected graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $W \subseteq V$ a subset of its vertices. Sometimes, we also use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the set of vertices and edges of G . Moreover, when there is no ambiguity, we use $n = |V(G)|$ and $m = |E(G)|$ for a graph G . An *edge* e is a pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$ and u, v are called *endpoints* of e . We can equivalently write this edge as uv or as vu . We say that $G[W]$ is the subgraph of G *induced* by $W \subseteq V$. That is, $G[W] = (W, E_W)$, such that E_W contains an edge $e \in E$ if and only if $|e \cap W| = 2$. Also, the operations $G - uv$ and $G - v$ produce, respectively, the graphs $G' = (V, E \setminus \{uv\})$ and $G[V \setminus \{v\}]$. The *degree* of vertex $v \in V$ is the number of edges of E incident to v and $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum vertex degree among all vertices of G . A graph G is *subcubic* if $\Delta(G) \leq 3$.

Two graphs G and H are *isomorphic* if there is a bijection $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $uv \in E$ if and only if $f(u)f(v) \in E(H)$. In G , a sequence of vertices $v_1 \dots v_k$ is a *path* if $v_j v_{j+1} \in E(G)$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. A *cycle* is a path where $k \geq 3$ and $v_k = v_1$. The *length* of a cycle or a path is defined as the number of edges it contains. A graph is *acyclic* if there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to a cycle. The *distance* between two vertices u, v is the length of the shortest path between u and v . The *diameter* of a connected graph G is the longest distance between any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$. Furthermore, G is *connected* if there is a path between every pair of its vertices, and *disconnected*, otherwise. A (*connected*) *component* of G is a subgraph $G[W]$ for a maximal set $W \subseteq V$ subject to $G[W]$ is connected.

The set W is a *separator* of G if $G - W$ has more connected components than G . Besides, W is *minimal* if there is no other separator $S \subsetneq W$ in G . The *open neighborhood* and *closed neighborhood* of a vertex $u \in V$ are denoted by $N(u)$ and $N[u]$ respectively, where $N(u) = \{w \mid uw \in E\}$ and $N[u] = N(u) \cup \{u\}$. Analogously, we define $N(W) = (\bigcup_{u \in W} N(u)) \setminus W$ and $N[W] = N(W) \cup W$.

A graph G is *complete* if E contains an edge for every pair of vertices of V . In this case, we can write this graph as K_n , $n = |V|$. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *clique* if $G[S]$ is complete. If all vertices of G have degree k , then G is *k-regular*. We denote by P_n and C_n the *path* and *cycle graphs*, that are isomorphic to a path and a cycle with n vertices, respectively. The set W is an *independent set* if $G[W]$ has no edges. A graph G is *bipartite* if its vertices can be partitioned into two independent sets V_1 and V_2 . In this case, we also use the notation $G = (V_1 \dot{\cup} V_2, E)$ and say G is bipartite with partition $V_1 \dot{\cup} V_2$. When E contains all possible edges between elements of V_1 and V_2 , we say that G is a *complete bipartite graph*. We denote by $K_{a,b}$ the complete bipartite graph with a vertices in one set of the partition and b vertices in the other.

3 $\nu_d = \nu$?

Theorem 1. *Given a bipartite graph with diameter 4, deciding if $\nu = \nu_d$ is NP-complete.*

The decision problem $\nu = \nu_d$ is clearly in NP. In order to prove NP-hardness, we describe a reduction from the NP-complete problem EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS [18]. This problem consists in, given two sets $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{3q}\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_{|\mathcal{C}|}\}$, $|\mathcal{C}| \geq q$, such that \mathcal{C} contains 3-element subsets of X , decide if there exists a subset $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that every element of X occurs in exactly one member of \mathcal{C}' . We call a subset $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ an exact cover of X , if X is the disjoint union of the sets in \mathcal{C}' . Given such an instance (\mathcal{C}, X) of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS, we build the graph G as follows:

- (I) Generate a complete bipartite subgraph H isomorphic to $K_{q,|\mathcal{C}|}$. Let V_H be the partition set of size $|\mathcal{C}|$ of H and we label its vertices as $\{h_j : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Let V'_H be the other partition set.
- (II) For each $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$, generate a copy of P_3 whose endpoints are labeled u_j^+ and u_j^- . Connect the other vertex, u_j , to h_j .
- (III) For each $x_i \in X$, generate the subgraph Y_i isomorphic to K_{f_i, f_i-1} , where f_i is the number of triples in \mathcal{C} that contain the element x_i . Moreover, label the vertices of the bipartition of size f_i as $\{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j, C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Add the edges $\{u_i w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j, C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Let W_i^+ and W_i^- be the set of vertices of bipartitions of size f_i and $f_i - 1$, respectively.
- (IV) Add two copies of K_2 , whose vertices are labeled $\{t^+, t^-\}$ and $\{b^+, b^-\}$. Connect b^- to all vertices in $\{u_j^- : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$ and t^- to all vertices in $V_H \cup \{u_j^+ : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

See Figure 1 for an example of the reduction. Note that G is indeed bipartite with partition sets $V_1 = \bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^- \cup \{u_j : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup V'_H \cup \{b^-, t^-\}$ and $V_2 = \bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}} N(u_j) \cup \{t^+, b^+\}$.




Figure 1: Reduction graph for the input $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4\} = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_4\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_4, x_5, x_6\}\}$, including a maximum matching corresponding to the exact cover $\mathcal{C}' = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{x_4, x_5, x_6\}\}$.

Lemma 2. *A maximum matching of G has size $|V_1| = 4|\mathcal{C}| - 2q + 2$ and saturates all vertices of V_1 .*

Proof. Since G is bipartite and $|V_1| = 4|\mathcal{C}| - 2q + 2$, it is enough to define a matching that saturates V_1 . Let M be the union of four sets, $S_1 = \{b^+b^-, t^+t^-\}$, $S_2 = \{u_j u_j^+ : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$, S_3 consisting of q disjoint edges of H , and S_4 containing $f_i - 1$ disjoint edges of Y_i for each $x_i \in X$. The matching M saturates all vertices in V_1 , completing the proof of Lemma 2. \square

Note that for every maximum matching M the graph $G[M]$ has at most two components, since every vertex of G is adjacent to t^- or b^- and $t^-, b^- \in V_1$.

Lemma 3. *The instance (X, \mathcal{C}) of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS is a YES-instance if and only if $\nu(G) = \nu_d(G)$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be a YES-instance of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS and let $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be an exact cover of X . We construct the matching M as follows.

1. Add the edges b^-b^+ and t^-t^+ .
2. Choose q many edges from H that saturate all vertices in $\{h_j : C_j \in \mathcal{C}'\}$.
3. For every $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$, we choose the edge $u_j u_j^+$ if $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $u_j u_j^-$ otherwise.
4. For every $x_i \in X$, choose the edges of a perfect matching of the complete bipartite graph $Y_i - w_{i,j}$, where j is the unique index such that $x_i \in C_j$ and $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$.

Since M saturates all vertices in V_1 , it is a maximum matching. To show that M is disconnected, consider the set $U := \{t^-, t^+\} \cup \bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}'} \{h_j, u_j, u_j^+\} \cup V'_H$, containing only saturated vertices. Since $N_G[U] \setminus U = \{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j \in \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \{u_j^- : C_j \in \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \{h_j : C_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'\}$, the vertices in U have no neighbors outside of U that are saturated. Hence M is indeed disconnected, where one component contains the vertices of U and the other component the remaining saturated vertices.

(\Leftarrow): Let $\nu(G) = \nu_d(G)$. Lemma 2 implies the existence of a disconnected matching M that saturates all vertices of V_1 . The vertices t^- and b^- are contained in V_1 and hence saturated by M . Every edge of G is adjacent to either t^- or b^- , implying $G[M]$ has exactly two connected components, each containing one of those two vertices.

Since $V'_H \subseteq V_1$, M saturates all vertices of V'_H , implying that at least q many vertices of V_H are saturated. Let $J = \{j : C_j \in \mathcal{C}, h_j \in V(M)\}$ be the indices of the saturated vertices in $\{h_1, \dots, h_{|\mathcal{C}|}\} = V_H$, where $|J| \geq q$. Since $u_j \in V_1$ for every $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$, u_j is saturated by M . Hence for all $j \in J$, u_j is in the same component of $G[M]$ as t^- .

For every $j \in J$, none of the vertices in $N(u_j) \cap (\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+)$ can be saturated by M , since otherwise $G[M]$ would contain a path from t^- to b^- . Hence there are at least $3|J|$ many non-saturated vertices in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$.

On the other hand, for every $x_i \in X$, $W_i^- \subseteq V_1$, and since $|W_i^-| = |W_i^+| - 1$, at most one vertex in W_i^+ is not saturated by M . Together, at most $3q$ many vertices in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$ are not saturated.

The previous two arguments imply that exactly $3q$ many vertices in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$ are not saturated – one per W_i^+ – and those vertices are $\bigcup_{j \in J} [N(u_j) \cap (\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+)]$. Hence $\bigcup_{j \in J} C_j = X$ and $|J| = q$, implying $\mathcal{C}' = \{C_j : j \in J\}$ is an exact cover of X . \square

To receive a graph with diameter 4, add a vertex u and connect it to all vertices in V_1 . Lets call this graph G' . Since every vertex is adjacent to u or has a neighbor adjacent to u , G' has diameter 4. Note that $\nu(G') = \nu(G) = |V_1|$ and since the vertex u can't be saturated by a disconnected matching, $\nu_d(G') = \nu_d(G)$. Hence $\nu(G') = \nu_d(G')$ if and only if $\nu(G) = \nu_d(G)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *For fixed $i \geq 2$, deciding if $\nu = \nu_{d,i}$ is NP-complete for bipartite graphs with diameter 4.*

Proof. The decision problem is clearly in NP. To show NP-hardness, we adjust the graph G by adding $i - 2$ copies of K_2 consisting of the vertices $u_1, \dots, u_{i-2}, v_1, \dots, v_{i-2}$ and the edges $u_1v_1, \dots, u_{i-2}v_{i-2}$. Further more, we add a vertex u adjacent to $V_1 \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-2}\}$, resulting in a new graph G_1 . The graph G_1 has bipartition $V_1' \dot{\cup} V_2'$, where $V_1' = V_1 \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-2}\}$ and $V_2' = V_2 \cup \{u, u_1, \dots, u_{i-2}\}$. Since every vertex in $V(G_1) \setminus \{u\}$ is either adjacent to u or has a neighbor adjacent to u , the graph G_1 has diameter 4. Obviously $\nu(G_1) = |V_1'| = \nu(G) + (i - 2)$. Observe that any matching in G_1 that saturates the vertex u is connected. Therefore, for $i \geq 2$, any maximum i -disconnected matching of G_1 consists of a maximum disconnected matching of G and the edges $u_1v_1, \dots, u_{i-2}v_{i-2}$, implying $\nu_{d,i}(G_1) = \nu_d(G_1) + i - 2$. Since $\nu(G_1) = \nu(G) + (i - 2)$ and $\nu_{d,i}(G_1) = \nu_d(G_1) + i - 2$, it holds that $\nu(G_1) = \nu_{d,i}(G_1)$ if and only if $\nu(G) = \nu_d(G)$. Applying Lemma 3 concludes the proof of Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 5. *For fixed i and j with $2 \leq i < j$, deciding if $\nu_{d,i} = \nu_{d,j}$ is NP-hard for bipartite graphs with diameter 3.*

Proof. We adjust the graph G by adding $j - 2$ copies of K_2 consisting of the vertices $u_1, \dots, u_{j-2}, v_1, \dots, v_{j-2}$ and the edges $u_1v_1, \dots, u_{j-2}v_{j-2}$ and by adding another copy of K_2 with vertices u, v . We connect u to every vertex in $V_1' := V_1 \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-2}\}$ and v to every vertex in $V_2' := V_2 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{j-2}\}$, resulting in a new bipartite graph G_2 with the partition classes V_1' and V_2' and diameter 3. Observe that any matching that saturates u or v is connected. Hence $\nu_{d,i}(G_2) = \nu_{d,i}(G_2 - u - v)$ and $\nu_{d,j}(G_2) = \nu_{d,j}(G_2 - u - v)$. The graph $G_2 - u - v$ consists of $j - 1$ components, namely $j - 2$ many K_2 components and G . It follows immediately, that $G_2 - u - v$ has a j -disconnected matching of size $\nu(G_2)$ if and only if $\nu(G) = \nu_d(G)$ and hence $\nu_{d,i}(G_2) = \nu_{d,j}(G_2)$ if and only if $\nu(G) = \nu_d(G)$. \square

4 $\nu_d = \nu$ for $\Delta \leq 3$?

In the previous chapter we described a reduction from EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS to the decision problem $\nu_d(G) = \nu(G)$, where an instance (\mathcal{C}, X) was reduced to a bipartite graph G . Now, we refine our previous reduction such that the graph generated is not only bipartite but also subcubic. This implies the following:

Theorem 6. *Given a subcubic bipartite graph, deciding if $\nu = \nu_d$ is NP-complete.*

Let (\mathcal{C}, X) be an instance of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS. Recall that $|X| = 3q$. Note that we can assume $|\mathcal{C}| \geq q \geq 2$, since otherwise the instance is efficiently solvable. We construct a graph F of maximum degree three such that $\nu_d(F) = \nu(F)$ if and only if (\mathcal{C}, X) is a YES-instance. For better understandability, we first show graphically the transformation from G to F , where G is the graph of the previous chapter according to (I) – (IV) for the instance (\mathcal{C}, X) . Afterwards, we formally define F and validate the reduction.

Initially, we choose F as a copy of G and modify it step-by-step. The degree of the vertices t^- and b^- is of course too large. We are going to replace the edges t^-t^+ and b^-b^+ with subgraphs. For simplicity, this will be the last step. To avoid confusion, label t^-, t^+, b^-, b^+ in F as t^*, t^{**}, b^*, b^{**} , respectively.

4.1 Replace H isomorphic to $K_{q,|\mathcal{C}|}$ with the subgraph $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$

In F , we replace the complete bipartite subgraph H isomorphic to $K_{q,|\mathcal{C}|}$ with a new subgraph $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ (see example in Figure 3).

The graph $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ is constructed as follows:

- (i) For each $k \in [q]$ generate a path Q_k isomorphic to $P_{2|\mathcal{C}|-1}$. Declare one of its end-vertices as the first vertex implying a natural order of the vertices.
- (ii) For each $j \in [|\mathcal{C}|]$ generate a path R_j isomorphic to P_{2q-1} with “first” vertex c_j^* , implying a natural order of the vertices of R_j .
- (iii) For each $j \in [|\mathcal{C}|]$ and $k \in [q]$ add an edge between the $(2j-1)$ th vertex of Q_k and the $(2k-1)$ th vertex of R_j .

Let the set B contain the first, third, fifth, ... vertex of each path Q_1, \dots, Q_q and A all the other vertices of Q_1, \dots, Q_q . Let C contain the first, third, fifth, ... vertex of each path $R_1, \dots, R_{|\mathcal{C}|}$ and D all the other vertices of $R_1, \dots, R_{|\mathcal{C}|}$.

Figure 2 shows a $H(3, 5)$, where A, B, C, D contain the vertices of the first, second, third and fourth row, respectively.

To receive $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ from $K_{q,|\mathcal{C}|}$, graphically and simplified speaking, we replace the vertices of degree q in $K_{q,|\mathcal{C}|}$ with paths of length $2q-1$, we replace the vertices of degree $|\mathcal{C}|$ in $K_{q,|\mathcal{C}|}$ with paths of length $2|\mathcal{C}|-1$ and we distribute the original edges between the first, third, fifth, ... vertices of those paths.




Figure 2: $H(3, 5)$

After replacing H with $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$, we adjust the adjacent edges (see Figure 3). In G each vertex h_j of the partition of size $|\mathcal{C}|$ of H is adjacent to t^- and u_j . In F we connect every vertex in A of $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ to t^* and for each $j \in [|\mathcal{C}|]$ we add an edge between c_j^* and u_j . Note that we modify F step-by-step, the vertex t^* is not in the final graph.

In the following subsections, we use the following graph:

Definition 7. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a label v , the graph $\Pi(k, v)$ has the vertices $v_{\ell,1}, v_{\ell,2}, v_{\ell,3}, v_{\ell,4}$ for every $\ell \in [k]$. Afterwards, we add $2k-1$ many edges such that $v_{1,1}v_{1,2}v_{2,1}v_{2,2} \dots v_{k,1}v_{k,2}$ is a path of length $2k$ and $2k$ many edges such that $v_{\ell,2}v_{\ell,3}v_{\ell,4}$ is a path of length three for every $\ell \in [k]$ (see Figure 4).




Figure 3: Example for $q = 3$ and $|\mathcal{C}| = 5$: $H \cong K_{3,5} \rightarrow H(3, 5)$




Figure 4: $\Pi(v, k)$

4.2 Replace the $P_3 u_j^+ u_j u_j^-$ with the subgraph U_j

In G , for each $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$, the vertex u_j has the neighbors h_j, u_j^+, u_j^- and three neighbors in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$, namely the vertices $w_{i,j}$ for all $x_i \in C_j$. In F , we replace the $P_3 u_j^+ u_j u_j^-$ with the subgraph U_j , which contains a $\Pi(4, u_j^j)$, a $P_3 u_j^+ u_{5,1}^j u_j^-$ and the edge $u_{4,2}^j u_{5,1}^j$ (see Figure 5).

Now we add the edges $u_j^+ t^*$ and $u_j^- b^*$, like in G . We connect $u_{4,3}^j$ to c_j^* and we add three edges such that each vertex in $\{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j\}$ and vice versa.




Figure 5: $u_j^+ u_j u_j^- \rightarrow U_j$

4.3 Replace Y_i isomorphic to K_{f_i, f_i-1} with Y_i' isomorphic to P_{2f_i-1}

For each $x_i \in X$ the graph G contains the subgraph Y_i isomorphic to K_{f_i, f_i-1} , where f_i is the number of triples in \mathcal{C} containing x_i . In F , we replace Y_i with a path Y_i' isomorphic to P_{2f_i-1} (see Figure 6). Like in G , let $W_i^+ \cup W_i^-$ be the bipartition of Y_i' , where $|W_i^+| = f_i$ and $|W_i^-| = f_i - 1$. As in G , we label the vertices of the larger bipartition W_i^+ as $\{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$ (arbitrary but fixed) and keep the edges between $\{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\}$ and $\{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j\}$ as defined in the previous step. In G , all vertices of the partition of size f_i of Y_i are adjacent to b^- . In F , only the end-vertices of the path Y_i'

are adjacent to b^* to receive degree at most three for all vertices of the path Y'_i in F . For $f_i \geq 2$, let w_i^* and w_i^{**} be those end-vertices of the path Y'_i . Note, if $f_i = 1$, the unique vertex of Y'_i , say w_i^* , is adjacent to b^* .




Figure 6: Example for $f_i = 5$: Y_i in $G \rightarrow Y'_i$ in F

4.4 Replace t^*t^{**} and b^*b^{**} with Π_t and Π_b , respectively

Now t^* and b^* are the only vertices of F with degree larger than three. Besides t^{**} , the vertex t^* is adjacent to all vertices in A and u_j^+ for each $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$ in F , implying $Q := d_F(t^*) - 1 = q(|\mathcal{C}| - 1) + |\mathcal{C}|$. In F , we replace the edge t^*t^{**} with the subgraph $\Pi_t = \Pi(Q, t)$ (Definition 7, Figure 7) and add Q many edges such that each vertex in $\{t_{k,3} : k \in [Q]\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $A \cup \{u_j^+ : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and vice versa.

Analogously for b^* . Besides b^{**} , the vertex b^* is adjacent to u_j^- for each $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$ and to the end-vertices w_i^*, w_i^{**} of Y'_i (one end-vertex w_i^* if $f_i = 1$) for each $x_i \in X$ in F , implying $Q' := d_F(b^*) - 1 = |\mathcal{C}| + \sum_{x_i \in X} \min\{f_i, 2\}$. In F , we replace the edge b^*b^{**} with the subgraph $\Pi_b = \Pi(Q', b)$ (Definition 7) and add Q' many edges such that each vertex in $\{w_i^* : x_i \in X\} \cup \{w_i^{**} : x_i \in X, f_i \geq 2\} \cup \{u_j^- : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\{b_{1,3}, \dots, b_{Q',3}\}$ and vice versa.




Figure 7: Replace the edge t^*t^{**} in F with Π_t

Now F has maximum degree three. This concludes the construction of F .

4.5 Compact definition of F

Using the subgraphs defined in the previous four subsections and the corresponding labeling of the vertices, we give a short definition of F , summarizing the previous subsections.

Given the instance (\mathcal{C}, X) of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS with $|\mathcal{C}| \geq q \geq 2$, the graph F is constructed as follows:

- (I) Generate the subgraph $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ (see 4.1).

- (II) For every $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$, generate the subgraph U_j (see 4.2) and add an edge between the vertices $u_{4,3}^j$ and c_j^* .
- (III) For each $x_i \in X$, generate the subgraph Y_i' isomorphic to P_{2f_i-1} , where f_i is the number of triples in \mathcal{C} that contains the element x_i (see 4.3). Add edges between the sets $\{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\}$ and $\{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j\}$ such that each vertex in $\{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j\}$ and vice versa.
- (IV) Add the subgraphs $\Pi_t = \Pi(Q, t)$ and $\Pi_b = \Pi(Q', b)$, where $Q = q(|\mathcal{C}| - 1) + |\mathcal{C}|$ and $Q' = |\mathcal{C}| + \sum_{x_i \in X} \min\{f_i, 2\}$ (see 4.4). Add edges such that each vertex in $A \cup \{u_1^+, \dots, u_{|\mathcal{C}|}^+\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\{t_{1,3}, \dots, t_{Q,3}\}$ and vice versa. Add edges such that each vertex in $\{w_i^* : x_i \in X\} \cup \{w_i^{**} : x_i \in X, f_i \geq 2\} \cup \{u_j^- : C_j \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $\{b_{1,3}, \dots, b_{Q',3}\}$ and vice versa.

Note that there are many different ways to add the edges in (III) and (IV). The specific way is not relevant in the proof and, for simplicity, we do not name the edges specifically.

For the instance (\mathcal{C}, X) with $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_4\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_4, x_5, x_6\}\}$ from the last chapter, one possible graph F can be seen in Figure 8.




Figure 8: The graph F for $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_4\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_4, x_5, x_6\}\}$

4.6 Proof of Theorem 6

The proof consists of three parts. First, we determine the matching number of F and show that $\nu_d(F) = \nu(F)$ if (\mathcal{C}, X) is a YES-instance. Second, we show that, for a disconnected matching M

of size $\nu(F)$, $F[M]$ has exactly two components. Third, we show that (\mathcal{C}, X) is a YES-instance if $\nu_d(F) = \nu(F)$ and conclude the proof of Theorem 6.

By construction, F has maximum degree three. Also, F is indeed bipartite with the bipartition $V_1 \dot{\cup} V_2$ where

$$V_1 = B \cup D \cup \bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}, k \in [5]} \{u_{k,1}^j\} \cup \bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}, k \in [4]} \{u_{k,3}^j\} \cup \bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^- \cup \bigcup_{k \in [Q]} \{t_{k,1}, t_{k,3}\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in [Q']} \{b_{k,1}, b_{k,3}\}$$

and V_2 contains all the remaining vertices.

Lemma 8. *The graph $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ has matching number $\nu(H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)) = |\mathcal{C}|(2q - 1)$ and for any subset S of $\{c_1^*, c_2^*, \dots, c_{|\mathcal{C}|}^*\}$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{C}| - q$, there exists a maximum matching of $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ saturating none of the vertices in S but all other vertices.*

Proof. $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ is bipartite with bipartitions of cardinality $|\mathcal{C}|(2q - 1)$ and $(2|\mathcal{C}| - 1)q$, implying $\nu(H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)) \leq |\mathcal{C}|(2q - 1)$.

Let $S \subseteq \{c_1^*, c_2^*, \dots, c_{|\mathcal{C}|}^*\}$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{C}| - q$. We give a matching of size $|\mathcal{C}|(2q - 1)$ not saturating any vertex in S (implying both statements).

Let $J \subseteq [|\mathcal{C}|]$ such that $S = \{c_j^* : j \in J\}$. By construction, there is an edge between any path $Q_k, k \in [q]$ and $R_j, j \in [|\mathcal{C}|]$. Hence there exist q many edges such that any path $Q_k, k \in [q]$ and any path $R_j, j \in [|\mathcal{C}|] \setminus J$ contains exactly one of the end-vertices of those edges. Those edges define a matching M' . Now we delete all edges between the sets B and C . We delete all $2q$ many vertices, that are saturated by M' and we delete the vertices c_j^* for all $j \in J$, since we are not allowed to saturate those vertices. Note that we removed exactly one vertex from every path Q_k, R_j . The remaining graph with $2[q(|\mathcal{C}| - 1) + (q - 1)|\mathcal{C}|]$ many vertices is the union of odd paths and hence has a perfect matching M'' . The union of M' and M'' yields the desired matching of $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ (see example in Figure 9). \square




Figure 9: Example for $H(3, 5)$ and $S = \{c_1^*, c_3^*\}$

Lemma 9. *The graph F has matching number $\nu(F) = |V_1|$ and if the instance (\mathcal{C}, X) of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS is a YES-instance, then F has a disconnected matching of size $\nu(F) = |V_1|$.*

Proof. Since F has the bipartition $V_1 \dot{\cup} V_2$, it holds that $\nu(F) \leq |V_1|$. Let $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ with $|\mathcal{C}'| = q$.

1. By Lemma 8, $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ has a matching of cardinality $|\mathcal{C}|(2q - 1)$, that does not saturate c_j^* for every $C_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'$ but all other vertices.
2. For every $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$, we choose a perfect matching of $U_j - u_j^-$ if $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$ and of $U_j - u_j^+$ otherwise.
3. For every $x_i \in X$, the subgraph Y_i' isomorphic to P_{2f_i-1} has a matching of size $f_i - 1$ saturating its smaller bipartition W_i^- .

4. Π_t and Π_b have perfect matching of size $2Q$ and $2Q'$, respectively.

The union of the matchings of those subgraphs yields a matching of F that saturates all vertices in V_1 , implying $\nu(F) \geq |V_1|$ and hence $\nu(F) = |V_1|$.

Assume (\mathcal{C}, X) is a YES-instance and let $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ with $|\mathcal{C}'| = q$ be an exact cover of X . We modify the matching defined above by replacing 3 with 3' and call this matching M .

3'. Every $x_i \in X$ is contained in exactly one triple $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$. The subgraph $Y'_i - w_{i,j}$ consists of one or two paths of odd length and hence has a perfect matching of size $f_i - 1$.

The described matching M saturated all vertices of F except

- c_j^* and u_j^+ for all $C_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'$,
- u_j^- for all $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$ and
- $w_{i,j}$ for all $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$ and all $x_i \in C_j$.

The matching M saturates all vertices in V_1 , implying it is a maximum matching. To show that M is disconnected, let U be the set of all saturated vertices of Π_t , $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ and U_j for all $C_j \in \mathcal{C}'$, hence

$$U = V(\Pi_t) \cup V(H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)) \setminus \{c_j^* : C_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}'} V(U_j) \setminus \{u_j^-\}.$$

Clearly $F[U] \neq F[M]$. $N_F[U] \setminus U$ is the union of the following sets:

- $N_F(V(\Pi_t)) \setminus U = \{u_j^+ : C_j \in \mathcal{C}'\}$
- $N_F(V(H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)) \setminus \{c_j^* : C_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'\}) \setminus U = \{c_j^* : C_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'\}$
- $N_F(\bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}'} V(U_j) \setminus \{u_j^-\}) \setminus U = \{w_{i,j} : x_i \in C_j \in \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \bigcup_{C_j \in \mathcal{C}'} u_j^-$

None of those vertices is saturated, implying the matching is disconnected. This concludes the proof of Lemma 9. \square

Let M be a disconnected matching of F of size $\nu(F) = |V_1|$. The vertices $t_{1,1} \in V(\Pi_t)$ and $b_{1,1} \in V(\Pi_b)$ are in V_1 and hence saturated by M .

Definition 10. Let T contain all vertices that are in the same component of $F[M]$ as $t_{1,1}$. Let B contain all vertices that are in the same component of $F[M]$ as $b_{1,1}$.

Since M is disconnected, the following lemma implies that $F[M]$ has exactly the components $F[T]$ and $F[B]$.

Lemma 11.

1. All saturated vertices of Π_t are in T .
2. All saturated vertices of Π_b are in B .
3. All saturated vertices of $H(q, |\mathcal{C}|)$ are in T .

4. All saturated vertices of U_j are in T , if u_j^+ is saturated, else in B for every $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$.
5. All saturated vertices of Y_i' are in B for every $x_i \in X$.

Proof.

1. The vertices $t_{k,1}, t_{k,3}$ are in V_1 for every $k \in [Q]$ and hence saturated by M . Since $t_{1,1}$ has only the neighbor $t_{1,2}$, M contains the edge $t_{1,1}t_{1,2}$. The only edge left to saturate the vertex $t_{2,1}$ is the edge $t_{2,1}t_{2,2}$ and therefore this edge is in M . Iteratively, all edges $t_{k,1}t_{k,2}$ for $k \in [Q]$ are in M (see Figure 10). Hence $t_{1,1} \in T$ implies $t_{k,1}, t_{k,2} \in T$ for every $k \in [Q]$. Since $t_{k,3}$ is saturated by M , $t_{k,2} \in T$ implies $t_{k,3} \in T$. If $t_{k,4}$ is saturated by M , then M contains the edge $t_{k,4}t_{k,3}$ and $t_{k,3} \in T$ implies $t_{k,4} \in T$. Therefore every saturated vertex of Π_t is in T .




Figure 10: Illustration of the proof that all saturated vertices of Π_t belong to T (left) and that b is in T for every $b \in B$ (right).

2. Follows analogously to 1 since $b_{k,1}, b_{k,3} \in V_1$ for every $k \in [Q]$.
3. Since every vertex in A has a neighbor in the set $\{t_{1,3}, \dots, t_{Q,3}\} \subseteq T$, every saturated vertex of A is in T .

Every vertex $b \in B \subseteq V_1$ is saturated by an edge $e \in M$. The vertex b has one neighbor in C and one or two neighbors in A . If $e = ab$ for a vertex $a \in A$, then $a \in T$ implies $b \in T$. Now let $e = bc$ for a vertex $c \in C$ (see Figure 10). (Note since $A \subseteq V_2$, it is not clear whether b has a saturated neighbor in A or not.) The vertex c has a neighbor $d \in D$. Let $c' \in C$ be the other neighbor of the vertex d . Since the vertex $d \in V_1$ must be saturated by M , the edge dc' is in M . Let b' be the neighbor of c' in B ($b \neq b'$). The vertex $b' \in V_1$ must be saturated by M . b' has the neighbor c' and one or two neighbors in A , implying $a'b' \in M$ for a vertex $a' \in A$. Then $a' \in T$ implies $b', c', d, c, b \in T$. Hence $B \subseteq T$.

Since any vertex in C has a neighbor in $B \subseteq T$, any saturated vertex in C is in T . Since $D \subseteq V_1$, any vertex $d \in D$ is saturated by an edge $dc \in M$ for a vertex $c \in C$. Then $c \in T$ implies $d \in T$.

4. Consider U_j for $C_j \in \mathcal{C}$ (see Figure 5). Since $u_{k,1}^j, u_{k,3}^j \in V_1$ for every $k \in [4]$, it follows analogously to part 1. that for every $k \in [4]$, the edge $u_{k,1}^j u_{k,2}^j$ is in M , $u_{k,3}^j$ is saturated by M and if $u_{k,4}^j$ is saturated by M , then $u_{k,4}^j$ is in the same component of $F[M]$ as $u_{k,2}^j$. To saturate $u_{5,1}^j \in V_1$, M contains either the edge $u_j^+ u_{5,1}^j$ or $u_j^- u_{5,1}^j$, implying u_j^+ or u_j^- is saturated by M . Note that the saturated vertices of U_j induce a connected subgraph in $F[M]$ and therefore are in the same

component of $F[M]$. If u_j^+ is saturated by M , then all saturated vertices of U_j are in T . If u_j^- is saturated by M , then all saturated vertices of U_j are in B .

5. Consider Y'_i for $x_i \in X$. First let $f_i \geq 2$. The end-vertices w_i^* and w_i^{**} of the path Y'_i are each adjacent to a vertex in $\{b_{k,3} : k \in [Q']\} \subseteq B$. Since $W_i^- \subseteq V_1$, all vertices of W_i^- are saturated by M . Since $N(W_i^-) \subseteq W_i^+$ and $|W_i^+| = |W_i^-| + 1$, at most one vertex of W_i^+ is not saturated by M , yielding the following cases:

- If all vertices of W_i^+ are saturated by M , then Y'_i is a subgraph of $F[M]$. w_i^* and w_i^{**} are saturated, implying $V(Y'_i) \subseteq B$.
- If an end-vertex of Y'_i is not saturated, w.l.o.g. w_i^* , then all vertices in $W_i^+ \setminus \{w_i^*\}$ are saturated by M and $Y_i - w_i^*$ is a connected subgraph of $F[M]$. w_i^{**} is saturated, implying $V(Y'_i) \setminus \{w_i^*\} \subseteq B$.
- If a vertex $w'_i \in W_i^+ \setminus \{w_i^*, w_i^{**}\}$ is not saturated by M , $F[V(Y'_i) \cap V(M)]$ breaks into two components. Since one component contains w_i^* and the other component contains w_i^{**} , we get $V(Y'_i) \setminus \{w'_i\} \subseteq B$ (see Figure 11).




Figure 11: Illustration of $F[V(Y'_i) \cap V(M)]$ when containing two components.

Now let $f_i = 1$. The unique vertex w_i^* of Y'_i is adjacent to a vertex in $\{b_{k,3} : k \in [Q']\} \subseteq B$. If w_i^* is saturated by M , then $w_i^* \in B$.

□

Lemma 12. *If F has a disconnected matching M of size $\nu(F) = |V_1|$, then the instance (\mathcal{C}, X) of EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS is a YES-instance.*

Proof. Let M be a disconnected matching in F of size $\nu(F) = |V_1|$ and let T and B be defined as above. Therefore $F[M]$ has exactly the components $F[T]$ and $F[B]$.

All vertices in B and D are saturated by M because $B \cup D \subseteq V_1$. Since $N_F(B \cup D) = A \cup C$, at least $|B \cup D| = |\mathcal{C}|(q-1) + |\mathcal{C}|q$ many vertices of $A \cup C$ are saturated by M . Since $|A \cup C| = |\mathcal{C}|q + (|\mathcal{C}|-1)q$, at most $|\mathcal{C}| - q$ many vertices of $A \cup C$ are not saturated by M . Hence at least q many vertices of $\{c_1^*, c_2^*, \dots, c_{|\mathcal{C}|}^*\}$ are saturated by M and those saturated vertices are in T by Lemma 11. Let $J^+ \subseteq [|\mathcal{C}|]$ be the set of indices for which c_j^* is saturated by M .

Let $j \in J^+$. Since $u_{4,3}^j \in V_1$ and hence saturated by any maximum matching, the edge $c_j^* u_{4,3}^j$ together with $c_j^* \in T$ implies $u_{4,3}^j \in T$ and by Lemma 11 all saturated vertices of U_j are in T . Particularly $u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j \in V_1$ are saturated by M and hence $\{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\} \subseteq T$ for all $j \in J^+$. Since $T \cap \bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+ = \emptyset$, it follows that T has at least $3|J^+|$ many pairwise distinct neighbors in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$.

Since $W_i^+ \cap V(M) \subseteq B$ for all $i \in [3q]$ by Lemma 11 and $|W_i^+ \cap V(M)| \geq |W_i^+| - 1$ for all $i \in [3q]$ (see proof of Lemma 11), there are at most $|X| = 3q$ many vertices in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$ that are not in B .

Since $N_F(T) \cap B = \emptyset$, the previous two arguments imply that T and hence $\bigcup_{j \in J^+} \{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\}$ have exactly $3q$ many neighbors in $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$ and exactly those $3q$ vertices of $\bigcup_{x_i \in X} W_i^+$ are not saturated by M . Consequently, $|J^+| = q$. For any $x_i \in X$ at most one vertex of W_i^+ is not saturated by M , implying exactly one vertex of W_i^+ is not saturated by M for every $x_i \in X$. Since those $3q$ vertices are neighbors of $\bigcup_{j \in J^+} \{u_{1,3}^j, u_{2,3}^j, u_{3,3}^j\}$ and $|J^+| = q$, the construction of F implies $\bigcup_{j \in J^+} C_j = X$. Hence every element of X is contained in exactly one set of $\mathcal{C}' = \{C_j \in \mathcal{C} : j \in J^+\}$, implying the instance (\mathcal{C}, X) is a YES-instance. \square

Lemma 9 and Lemma 12 show NP-hardness of deciding $\nu(G') = \nu_d(G')$ for a given bipartite graph G' with maximum degree three. Furthermore, deciding if $\nu(G') = \nu_d(G')$ is clearly in NP, since a disconnected matching of size $\nu(G')$ is a certificate for a YES instance, completing the proof of Theorem 6.

We finish this section with a corollary, which follows immediately from Theorem 6.

Corollary 13. *The disconnected matching number is NP-hard to compute for graphs with maximum degree three. The corresponding decision problem DISCONNECTED MATCHING, i.e. deciding if $\nu_d \geq k$ for some integer k , is NP-complete for graphs with maximum degree three.*

5 $\nu = \nu_d$ for diameter at most 3?

For our last result regarding the equality of the matching number and disconnected matching number, we consider graphs with diameter 3.

Theorem 14. *Given $j \geq 2$, deciding if $\nu_{d,j}(G) = \nu(G)$ is in P for graphs of diameter at most 3.*

Theorem 14 follows immediately from the characterization of diameter 3 graphs with equal matching number and disconnected matching number in the following lemma:

Lemma 15. *Given a graph G with $m(G) \geq 1$ of diameter at most 3 and $j \geq 2$, $\nu(G) = \nu_{d,j}(G)$ if and only if there exists a vertex v such that $G - v$ contains at least j many non-trivial components and $\nu(G) = \nu(G - v)$.*

Proof. The existence of such a vertex v clearly implies $\nu(G) = \nu_{d,j}(G)$. Now we prove the other direction.

Let $\nu(G) = \nu_{d,j}(G)$ and let M be a maximum j -disconnected matching of G , i.e. $|M| = \nu(G)$ and $G[M]$ has at least j many components. Note that $G - G[M]$ contains no edge, since otherwise we could add such an edge to M , implying $\nu(G) > \nu_{d,j}(G)$, contradiction.

Claim 1: If there exist saturated vertices without non-saturated neighbors, then they are in the same component of $G[M]$.

Assume there exist saturated vertices v, v' without non-saturated neighbors in different components of $G[M]$ and let $vu_1u_2 \cdots u_\ell v'$ be a shortest path between v and v' . It follows that u_1, u_ℓ are saturated vertices in the same components as v, v' , respectively. Since the path has to contain a non-saturated vertex as well, it follows that v and v' have distance at least 4, contradiction.

If vertices without non-saturated neighbors exist, let C_0 be the component of $G[M]$ that contains all those vertices and let C_1, \dots, C_k be the remaining components. Hence every vertex in C_i , $i \geq 1$, has a non-saturated neighbor. For every $i \geq 1$, let w_i be a non-saturated vertex adjacent to at least one vertex in the component C_i .

Claim 2: If $vv' \in M \cap E(C_i)$ for $i \geq 1$, then $N(\{v, v'\})$ contains exactly one non-saturated vertex. Note that both v and v' have a non-saturated neighbor. Assume there exists different non-saturated vertices w and w' such that $vw, v'w' \in E(G)$. Then $wwv'w'$ is an augmenting path, implying $\nu(G) > \nu_{d,j}(G)$, contradiction.

Claim 3: For $i \geq 1$, w_i is adjacent to every vertex in C_i .

Assume there exists $i \geq 1$ such that Claim 3 is false. Let U contain all vertices from C_i that are adjacent to w_i . There exists a vertex $v \in U$ with a neighbor $v' \in V(C_i) \setminus U$. Let w' be a non-saturated neighbor of v' . If $vv' \in M$, then $w_i vv' w'$ is an augmenting path, implying $\nu(G) > \nu_d(G)$, contradiction. If $vv' \notin M$, then exist u, u' such that $vu, v'u' \in M$. By Claim 2, $uw_i, u'w' \in E(G)$. Hence $w_i uvv'u'w'$ is an augmenting path, implying $\nu(G) > \nu_{d,j}(G)$, contradiction.

Since for $i \geq 1$ the vertex w_i is adjacent to every vertex in C_i , Claim 2 implies that w_i is the unique non-saturated neighbor for every vertex in C_i .

Claim 4: $w_i = w_k$ for every $i, k \geq 1$.

Assume $w_i \neq w_k$. Let $v_i \in C_i$ and $v_k \in C_k$. Every path between v_i and v_k contains w_i and w_k . Since w_i and w_k are not adjacent, v_i and v_k have distance at least 4, contradiction.

Therefore w_1 is the unique non-saturated vertex adjacent to any and every vertex in C_i for $i \geq 1$. If C_0 exists, every path between a vertex in C_0 and a vertex in C_i for $i \geq 1$ contains the vertex w_1 . Hence the number of non-trivial components of $G - w_1$ is equal to the number of components of $G[M]$, but $\nu(G) = \nu(G - w_1)$ since w_1 is not saturated by M , completing the proof of Lemma 15. \square

If G has diameter 2, then two vertices from different components of $G[M]$ must have a common non-saturated neighbor, implying every saturated vertex has a non-saturated neighbor. Then w_1 is a universal vertex and $G - w_1$ has a perfect matching, implying the following corollary:

Corollary 16. *Given a graph G with diameter 2 and $j \geq 2$, $\nu_{d,j}(G) = \nu(G)$ if and only if there exists a universal vertex v such that $G - v$ has a perfect matching and $G - v$ has at least j many non-trivial components.*

6 $\nu_d = \nu_s$?

We now focus on the disconnected matching number and induced matching number. In this section, we show that deciding equality of the disconnected matching number and induced matching number for bipartite graphs is co-NP-complete. To achieve this result, we use simple bounds on the disconnected matching number and induced matching number, captured in Lemma 18 and Lemma 21.

Theorem 17. *Given a bipartite graph G of diameter at most 3, deciding if $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ is co-NP-complete.*

In order to show Theorem 17, we first proof the following lemma:

Lemma 18. *For any graph G :*

$$\nu_s(G) \leq 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) \leq \nu_d(G)$$

Proof. Since for any edge uv in G a matching of $G - N[\{u, v\}]$ together with the edge uv yields a disconnected matching, it holds that

$$\nu_d(G) \geq 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]).$$

Let M be a maximum induced matching of G and let $e \in M$. Note that $M \setminus \{e\}$ is an induced matching of $G - N[e]$ and obviously $|M \setminus \{e\}| \leq \nu(G - N[e])$. Hence $|M| \leq 1 + \nu(G - N[e]) \leq 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}])$, implying

$$\nu_s(G) \leq 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]).$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 18. □

To show that deciding if $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ is co-NP-hard for bipartite graphs, we give a polynomial-time reduction from the decision problem DISCONNECTED MATCHING to the complement of $\nu_d = \nu_s$.

An instance of DISCONNECTED MATCHING consists of a graph G and an integer k . We have to decide if G has a disconnected matching of size at least k , i.e. if $\nu_d(G) \geq k$. DISCONNECTED MATCHING is NP-complete for bipartite graphs [21]. Note that, by Lemma 18, we can assume that $k > 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}])$, since otherwise we could easily find a disconnected matching of size at least k containing an edge uv that maximizes $\nu(G - N[\{u, v\}])$ as well as a maximum matching of $G - N[u, v]$.

Now we describe our reduction. Let G be a bipartite graph and let k be an integer satisfying $k > 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}])$. Let $A \dot{\cup} B$ be the partition of $V(G)$.

We construct G' by adding $2(k - 1)$ many vertices $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$ and $k - 1$ edges, $e_1 = u_1v_1, \dots, e_{k-1} = u_{k-1}v_{k-1}$, to G . We add all possible edges between $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ and A and between $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$ and B (see Figure 12). The new graph G' is bipartite and has diameter at most 3.

The following lemma implies co-NP-completeness of the decision problem $\nu_d = \nu_s$.

Lemma 19. $\nu_s(G') \neq \nu_d(G')$ if and only if $\nu_d(G) \geq k$.

Proof. By construction of G' , any disconnected (or induced) matching of G' is either a subset of $\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}$ or $E(G)$, implying $\nu_d(G') = \max\{k - 1, \nu_d(G)\}$ and $\nu_s(G') = \max\{k - 1, \nu_s(G)\}$.

(\Rightarrow): Let $\nu_d(G) \geq k$. Since $k > 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}])$, Lemma 18 implies $k > \nu_s(G)$. Hence $\nu_s(G') = \max\{k - 1, \nu_s(G)\} = k - 1$, implying $\nu_d(G') \geq \nu_d(G) \geq k > \nu_s(G')$.

(\Leftarrow): Let $\nu_s(G') \neq \nu_d(G')$. $\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}$ is an induced matching of G' , implying $\nu_s(G') \geq k - 1$. Since $\nu_s(G') \neq \nu_d(G')$, we get $k \leq \nu_d(G') = \max\{k - 1, \nu_d(G)\}$ and hence $\nu_d(G) \geq k$. □




Figure 12: G'

From Lemma 18 we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 20. *For any graph G , if $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ then*

$$\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G) = 1 + \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}])$$

and we can find an induced matching of this size in polynomial time.

If $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ and we choose an edge uv that belongs to a maximum induced matching, the remaining graph $G - N[\{u, v\}]$ has equal matching number and induced matching number and on those graphs a maximum induced matching can be found in polynomial time [10, 25].

It remains to show that deciding if $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ is in co-NP. We use a slightly stronger upper bound for the induced matching number.

Lemma 21. *For any graph G , it holds $\nu_s(G) \leq s(G)$ for*

$$s(G) := \max_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) + \mathbb{1} \left[\nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) = \nu_s(G - N[\{u, v\}]) \right] \right),$$

where $\mathbb{1}[A]$ is a function receiving a statement A and returning 1 if the statement A is true and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Let M be a maximum induced matching of G and $e \in M$. Clearly, $M \setminus \{e\}$ is an induced matching of $G - N[e]$. We consider two cases:

- If $\nu(G - N[e]) = \nu_s(G - N[e])$, then $|M \setminus \{e\}| = \nu(G - N[e])$ and hence $|M| = 1 + \nu(G - N[e])$.
- If $\nu(G - N[e]) \neq \nu_s(G - N[e])$, then $|M \setminus \{e\}| < \nu(G - N[e])$ and hence $|M| \leq \nu(G - N[e])$.

Combining those two cases yields

$$\nu_s(G) = |M| \leq \nu(G - N[e]) + \mathbb{1} \left[\nu(G - N[e]) = \nu_s(G - N[e]) \right] \leq s(G),$$

concluding the proof. □

Note that deciding if the matching number and induced matching number of a given graph are equal can be done in polynomial time [25]. Hence for any graph G the upper bound $s(G)$ in Lemma 21 can be computed in polynomial time.

Now we use $s(G)$ to characterize graphs with equal disconnected matching number and disconnected matching number.

Lemma 22. *For any graph G :*

$$\nu_d(G) \neq \nu_s(G) \text{ if and only if } \nu_d(G) > s(G)$$

Proof.

(\Leftarrow): If $\nu_d(G) > s(G)$, then $\nu_d(G) > s(G) \geq \nu_s(G)$ by Lemma 21.

(\Rightarrow): If $\nu_d(G) \neq \nu_s(G)$, we consider two cases:

1. If $s(G) = \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) + 1$, there exists an edge $u'v'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu(G - N[\{u', v'\}]) + \mathbf{1} \left[\nu(G - N[\{u', v'\}]) = \nu_s(G - N[\{u', v'\}]) \right] \\ &= \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nu(G - N[\{u', v'\}]) = \nu_s(G - N[\{u', v'\}])$. The edge $u'v'$ together with a maximum induced matching of $G - N[\{u', v'\}]$ yields an induced matching of size $s(G)$. Lemma 21 then implies $\nu_s(G) = s(G)$. By assumption $\nu_d(G) \neq \nu_s(G)$ and hence $\nu_d(G) > \nu_s(G) = s(G)$.

2. If $s(G) < \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) + 1$, then Lemma 18 implies:

$$\nu_d(G) \geq \max_{uv \in E(G)} \nu(G - N[\{u, v\}]) + 1 > s(G)$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 22. □

By Lemma 22, verifying that a given graph G is a NO-instance of the decision problem $\nu_d = \nu_s$ can be done efficiently by giving a disconnected matching of size larger than $s(G)$ as a certificate. Hence the decision problem $\nu_d = \nu_s$ is indeed in co-NP.

7 $\nu_d = \nu_s$ for bounded degree?

Both the disconnected matching number (see Corollary 13) and induced matching number are NP-hard to compute on graphs with bounded degree [31]. However, we show that one can decide in polynomial time if those two numbers are equal in graphs with bounded degree, captured in the following theorem.

Theorem 23. *Deciding if the disconnected matching number and induced matching number are equal can be done in polynomial time for graphs with bounded degree.*

To prove Theorem 23, we characterize graphs with equal induced matching number and disconnected matching number when the induced matching number is large enough compared to the maximum degree (see Theorem 25). Our result is similar to the result from Cameron and Walker [10],

where they showed, that a connected graph has identical matching number and induced matching number, if there exists sets A, B, C, D as in Definition 24.

Definition 24. A connected graph G is a Cameron-Walker-graph, if $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of four sets A, B, C, D where

- all vertices in A have degree 1,
- if $G[A \cup B]$ is non-empty, it is 1-regular and bipartite with partition $A \dot{\cup} B$,
- $G[B \cup C]$ is bipartite with partition $B \dot{\cup} C$,
- if $G[D]$ is non-empty, it is 1-regular and for every edge $xy \in G[D]$ exists $z \in C$ s.t. $N_G(x) \setminus \{y\} = N_G(y) \setminus \{x\} = \{z\}$ (see Figure 13).




Figure 13: Cameron-Walker-graph

Cameron-Walker-graphs can be recognized in polynomial time by searching for triangles and vertices of degree 1. If G is disconnected and contains at least two non-trivial components, then $\nu_d(G) = \nu(G)$ and hence $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ if and only if every non-trivial component of G is a Cameron-Walker-graph. Therefore, it remains to show Theorem 23 for connected graphs. We introduce the following characterization.

Theorem 25. If G is a connected graph with $\nu_s(G) \geq 2\Delta(G)$, then $\nu_s(G) = \nu_d(G)$ if and only if G is a Cameron-Walker-graph.

From Theorem 25, we deduce the following polynomial time algorithm.

Proof of Theorem 23. Let G be a graph with bounded maximum degree. We check by brute force, if G has an induced matching of size $2\Delta(G)$. If yes, according to Lemma 25 it is enough to test if G is a Cameron-Walker-graph, which can be done in polynomial time. If no, we calculate the induced matching number and disconnected matching number by brute force and compare them. \square

It remains to prove Theorem 25. We first introduce and show the following two lemmas – Lemma 26 and Lemma 27.

Lemma 26. If G is a graph with $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G) > 2\Delta(G) - 2$ and M is a maximum induced matching in G , then $G - G[M]$ contains no edge.

Proof. Let M be a maximum induced matching. Assume $G - G[M]$ contains an edge uv . Since $|N_G(\{u, v\}) \setminus \{u, v\}| \leq 2\Delta(G) - 2$ and $|M| > 2\Delta(G) - 2$, adding uv to M yields a strictly larger disconnected matching, contradicting $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$. \square

Kobler and Rotics [25] argued, that in a graph with equal matching number and induced matching number, each edge of a maximum induced matching either contains a vertex of degree one or the edge itself is contained in a triangle. The same is true for a maximum induced matching in a graph G with $\nu_s(G) \geq 2\Delta(G)$.

Lemma 27. *Let G be a graph with $\nu_s(G) \geq 2\Delta(G)$ and $\nu_s(G) = \nu_d(G) \geq 2$. Let M be a maximum induced matching in G . For any edge $xy \in M$, there are two possibilities:*

1. xy lies in a triangle and $d_G(x) = d_G(y) = 2$ or
2. $d_G(x) = 1$ or $d_G(y) = 1$

Proof. Let $xy \in M$. We distinguish the cases that xy lies in a triangle or not.

1. Let xy lie in a triangle xyz . Assume that x has degree at least 3 and let $u \in N_G(x) \setminus \{y, z\}$. Note that both u and z are not saturated by M . Hence removing the edge xy from the matching M and adding the edges xu and yz yields a new strictly larger matching, say M' . To receive a contradiction, we show that M' is disconnected. Since M is induced, it is enough to show that not every edge in $M \setminus \{xy\}$ intersects the neighborhood of z or u .

$$\begin{aligned} & |N_G(\{z, u\}) \setminus \{x, y\}| \\ & \leq |N_G(z) \setminus \{x, y\}| + |N_G(u) \setminus \{x\}| \\ & \leq \Delta(G) - 2 + \Delta(G) - 1 \\ & = 2\Delta(G) - 3 \end{aligned}$$

But $|M \setminus \{xy\}| = \nu_s(G) - 1 > 2\Delta(G) - 3$, implying M' is disconnected, contradiction. Therefore x and y have degree 2.

2. Let $xy \in M$ be not contained in a triangle of G . Assume $d_G(x) \geq 2$ and $d_G(y) \geq 2$. Then there exists $z \in N_G(x) \setminus \{y\}$ and $z' \in N_G(y) \setminus \{x\}$. $z \neq z'$, otherwise xyz would be a triangle containing the edge xy . Since M is induced, neither z nor z' is saturated by M . Removing the edge xy and adding the edges xz and yz' yields a strictly larger matching M' . Analogously, $|N_G(\{z, z'\}) \setminus \{x, y\}| \leq 2\Delta(G) - 2$ and $|M \setminus \{xy\}| > 2\Delta(G) - 2$ imply that the matching M' is disconnected, contradiction. Hence $d_G(x) = 1$ or $d_G(y) = 1$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 27. □

Proof of Theorem 25. Let G be a connected graph with $\nu_s(G) \geq 2\Delta(G)$.

(\Rightarrow) Let $\nu_d(G) = \nu_s(G)$ and let M be a maximum induced matching in G . If $n(G) = 1$, the existence of such a decomposition is clear. G can't be isomorphic to a P_2 . So let $\Delta(G) \geq 2$ and hence $\nu_s(G) \geq 4$. Let A be the set of all vertices of degree 1 saturated by M . Let D be all vertices saturated by M contained in a triangle. Choose $B = N_G(A)$ and hence $E_G(A, B) \subseteq M$. B is independent since M is induced. By Lemma 27, $A \cap D = \emptyset$. Let $C = V(G) \setminus (A \cup B \cup D)$ be all remaining vertices. By Lemma 27 any edge in M is either an edge of a triangle or has one vertex of degree 1, implying $M = E_G(A, B) \cup E(G[D])$. Since $G - G[M]$ contains no edge by Lemma 26, C is an independent set. By choice of D , any edge xy in $G[D]$ lies in a triangle xyz . Since z is not saturated by the induced matching M , z lies in C . By Lemma 27 $d_G(x) = d_G(y) = 2$, hence $\{z\} = N_G(x) \setminus \{y\} = N_G(y) \setminus \{x\}$ and $G[D]$ is 1-regular.

(\Leftarrow) Let $V(G)$ be the disjoint union of four sets A, B, C, D with the corresponding properties. $G - D$ is bipartite with partition $(A \cup C) \dot{\cup} B$ and hence $\nu(G - D) \leq |B| = |A|$. Since any matching can only contain one edge of each triangle, it follows that $\nu_s(G) \leq \nu_d(G) \leq \nu(G) \leq \nu(G - D) + \frac{|D|}{2} = |A| + \frac{|D|}{2}$. On the other hand, $E_G(A, B) \cup E(G[D])$ is an induced matching of size $|A| + \frac{|D|}{2}$, implying $\nu_s(G) = \nu_d(G)$. □

8 Sequence of disconnected matching numbers

One question that may arise is if there is any relation between disconnected matching numbers for general graphs. For instance, given a graph G and two of its disconnected matching numbers $\nu_{d,i}$ and $\nu_{d,i+2}$, what do we know about $\nu_{d,i+1}$? More generally, given finitely many disconnected matching numbers $\nu_{d,i}(G)$, $i \in I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, can we approximate the value of $\nu_{d,j}(G)$ for some $j \notin I$? We answer this question negatively. The following theorem implies, that, without further restrictions on the graph, we get only the trivial bounds $\nu_{d,i}(G) \geq \nu_{d,i+1}(G)$ and, if G has a non-empty i -disconnected matching, $\nu_{d,i}(G) \geq i$.

Theorem 28. *Given a finite non-increasing sequence of integers $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_{k-1} \geq \beta_k$ with $\beta_k \geq k$, there exists a graph G with $\nu_{d,i}(G) = \beta_i$ for all $i \in [k]$.*

In order to show Theorem 28, we recursively construct a sequence of graphs $G_k, G_{k-1}, G_{k-2}, \dots, G_1$, where $G = G_1$ is a valid choice for the graph in Theorem 28.

Let G_k contain $k - 1$ many P_2 -components (say E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}) and a clique of size $2(\beta_k - (k - 1))$, yielding a graph with k many components and a perfect matching of size β_k (see Figure 14).

Let $1 \leq i < k$ and G_{i+1} be given.

- We construct G_i by adding a clique of size $2(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1})$ to G_{i+1} and we add all possible edges between the clique and $G_{i+1} - E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{i-1}$ (see Figure 15).

Note that if $\beta_i = \beta_{i+1}$, then $G_{i+1} = G_i$.




Figure 14: G_k




Figure 15: G_i

Inductively follows that G_i contains a perfect matching of size β_i for all $i \in [k]$.

For $1 \leq i < k$, if $\beta_i \neq \beta_{i+1}$, G_i has i many components, namely E_1, \dots, E_{i-1} and $G_i - E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{i-1}$. If $\beta_i = \beta_{i+1}$, G_i has at least $i + 1$ many components and at least i many P_2 -components.

Lemma 29. *Let $i \in [k]$. $\nu_{d,i'}(G_i) = \beta_{i'}$ for all $i' \in \{i, i + 1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. We give a proof by induction.

G_k has k components and a perfect matching of size β_k , implying $\nu_{d,k}(G_k) = \beta_k$. Now let $1 \leq i < k$.

- If $\beta_i = \beta_{i+1}$, then $G_i = G_{i+1}$ and hence $\nu_{d,i'}(G_i) = \nu_{d,i'}(G_{i+1}) = \beta_{i'}$ for $i' \in \{i + 1, \dots, k\}$ by induction hypothesis. G_i has a perfect matching of size β_i with at least $i + 1$ many components, implying $\nu_{d,i}(G_i) = \beta_i$.

- Let $\beta_i > \beta_{i+1}$.

Let M be a matching in G_i saturating at least one vertex v of $G_i - G_{i+1}$. By construction, there are exactly $i - 1$ many edges with no end-vertex in $N[v]$ (namely the edges in E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}) and hence M has at most i many components. This implies that any matching in G_i with at least $i + 1$ many components cannot saturate any vertex in $G_i - G_{i+1}$. Hence $\nu_{d,i'}(G_i) = \nu_{d,i'}(G_{i+1}) = \beta_{i'}$ for $i' \in \{i + 1, i + 2, \dots, k\}$ by induction hypothesis.

By construction, the graph G_i has i many components and a perfect matching of size β_i , implying $\nu_{d,i}(G_i) = \beta_i$. \square

Lemma 29 implies $\nu_{d,i}(G_1) = \beta_i$ for all $i \in [k]$, completing the proof of Theorem 28.

Declarations

Partial financial support was received from research agencies CAPES, CNPq, FAPEMIG, and FAPERJ. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- [1] Julien Baste and Dieter Rautenbach. Degenerate matchings and edge colorings. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 239:38–44, 2018.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory*. Springer, 2008.
- [3] Andreas Brandstädt and Chính T. Hoàng. Maximum induced matchings for chordal graphs in linear time. *Algorithmica*, 52(4):440–447, Dec 2008.
- [4] Andreas Brandstädt, Van Bang Le, and Jeremy P. Spinrad. *Graph Classes: A Survey*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1999.
- [5] Janet Dean Brock. *Maximum Independent Set and Maximum Induced Matching Problems for Competitive Programming*. PhD thesis, Appalachian State University, 2021.
- [6] Kathie Cameron. Induced matchings. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 24(1):97–102, 1989.
- [7] Kathie Cameron. Induced matchings in intersection graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 5:50–52, 2000.
- [8] Kathie Cameron. *Connected Matchings*, page 34–38. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003.
- [9] Kathie Cameron, R Sritharan, and Yingwen Tang. Finding a maximum induced matching in weakly chordal graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 266(1-3):133–142, 2003.
- [10] Kathie Cameron and Tracy Walker. The graphs with maximum induced matching and maximum matching the same size. *Discrete Mathematics*, 299(1):49–55, 2005. Graph Theory of Brian Alspach.
- [11] Jou-Ming Chang. Induced matchings in asteroidal triple-free graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 132(1-3):67–78, 2003.

- [12] Marek Cygan, Fedor V Fomin, Łukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michał Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized algorithms*, volume 3. Springer, 2015.
- [13] William Duckworth, David F. Manlove, and Michele Zito. On the approximability of the maximum induced matching problem. *Journal of Discrete Algorithms*, 3(1):79–91, 2005.
- [14] Jack Edmonds. Paths, trees, and flowers. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 17:449–467, 1965.
- [15] Rija Erveš and Petra Šparl. Maximum induced matching of hexagonal graphs. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 39(1):283–295, 2016.
- [16] M. Fürst. On the hardness of deciding the equality of the induced and the uniquely restricted matching number. *Information Processing Letters*, 147:77–81, 2019.
- [17] M. Fürst and D. Rautenbach. On some hard and some tractable cases of the maximum acyclic matching problem. *Annals of Operations Research*, 279(1):291–300, Aug 2019.
- [18] Michael R. Garey and David S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman & Co., New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [19] Wayne Goddard, Sandra M. Hedetniemi, Stephen T. Hedetniemi, and Renu Laskar. Generalized subgraph-restricted matchings in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 293(1):129–138, 2005.
- [20] M. C. Golumbic, T. Hirst, and M. Lewenstein. Uniquely restricted matchings. *Algorithmica*, 31(2):139–154, Oct 2001.
- [21] Guilherme C. M. Gomes, Bruno P. Masquio, Paulo E. D. Pinto, Vinicius F. dos Santos, and Jayme L. Szwarcfiter. Disconnected matchings. In Chi-Yeh Chen, Wing-Kai Hon, Ling-Ju Hung, and Chia-Wei Lee, editors, *Computing and Combinatorics*, pages 579–590, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing.
- [22] Guilherme C.M. Gomes, Bruno P. Masquio, Paulo E.D. Pinto, Vinicius F. dos Santos, and Jayme L. Szwarcfiter. Disconnected matchings. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 956:113821, 2023.
- [23] Tohru Kikuno, Noriyoshi Yoshida, and Yoshiaki Kakuda. The np-completeness of the dominating set problem in cubic planer graphs. *IEICE TRANSACTIONS (1976-1990)*, 63(6):443–444, 1980.
- [24] CW Ko and FB Shepherd. Adding an identity to a totally unimodular matrix. *Operational Research working papers (LSEOR 94.14)*, 1994.
- [25] Daniel Kobler and Udi Rotics. Finding maximum induced matchings in subclasses of claw-free and P5-free graphs, and in graphs with matching and induced matching of equal maximum size. *Algorithmica*, 37(4):327–346, December 2003.
- [26] V. V. Lozin. On maximum induced matchings in bipartite graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 81(1):7–11, 2002.
- [27] Vadim V Lozin. On maximum induced matchings in bipartite graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 81(1):7–11, 2002.

- [28] Hannes Moser and Somnath Sikdar. The parameterized complexity of the induced matching problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157(4):715–727, 2009.
- [29] B. S. Panda and Juhi Chaudhary. Acyclic matching in some subclasses of graphs. In Leszek Gasieniec, Ralf Klasing, and Tomasz Radzik, editors, *Combinatorial Algorithms*, pages 409–421, Cham, 2020. Springer International Publishing.
- [30] Arti Pandey, B. S. Panda, Piyush Dane, and Manav Kashyap. Induced matching in some subclasses of bipartite graphs. In Daya Gaur and N.S. Narayanaswamy, editors, *Algorithms and Discrete Applied Mathematics*, pages 308–319, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing.
- [31] Larry J. Stockmeyer and Vijay V. Vazirani. Np-completeness of some generalizations of the maximum matching problem. *Information Processing Letters*, 15(1):14–19, 1982.
- [32] Michele Zito. Induced matchings in regular graphs and trees. In *International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, pages 89–101. Springer, 1999.