

Distinguishability-induced many-body decoherence

Christoph Dittel^{1,2,3,4,*} and Andreas Buchleitner^{1,2}

¹*Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg,
Hermann-Herder-Straße 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

²*EUCOR Centre for Quantum Science and Quantum Computing,
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Straße 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

³*Freiburg Institute for Advanced Studies, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Albertstraße 19, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

⁴*Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 118, 221 00 Lund, Sweden*
(Dated: September 6, 2024)

We show that many-body interference (MBI) phenomena are exponentially suppressed in the particle number, if only the identical quantum objects brought to interference acquire a finite level of distinguishability through statistical mixing of some internal, unobserved degrees of freedom. We discuss consequences for cold atom and photonic circuitry experiments.

Wave-particle duality, the modulation of the statistics of particle-like detection events by wave-like interference patterns, is the essential feature which distinguishes the quantum from the classical realm. It hinges on a sufficient degree of purity of the interfering quantum object's state [1–14], as the precondition to witness interference in a suitably chosen measurement set-up which probes the quantum state's coherences in the associated basis. On the many-body level, coherent superpositions of many-body states give rise to multi-partite entanglement [15–18], and to many-body interference (MBI) phenomena [19–38] when the involved elementary constituents are identical and at least partially indistinguishable. Entanglement (i.e., non-separability) between those degrees of freedom (dof) which are interrogated by the experimental measurement set-up and other, unobserved (“environmental”, “bath”, “internal” or “ancilla”) dof – which are traced over when sampling the measurement record – reduces the purity of the quantum object's state in its observed dof, and thus its ability to exhibit interference phenomena, by reducing the strength of the associated coherences [14, 39–41]. It is intuitively plausible that the larger the number of involved dof and of constituents, it becomes ever more difficult to warrant separability of observed and unobserved dof (by preventing the former from any type of interactions with the latter). This is the fundamental impediment to push the quantum-classical demarcation line to meso- if not macroscopic scales.

Notwithstanding, stunning progress has been achieved in preparing coherent superposition states of collective degrees of freedom of many-body compounds of ever increasing size, from bucky balls [7, 9, 42] to supercurrents [4, 10], micromechanical oscillators [43, 44], and Bose Einstein Condensates [45, 46]. Furthermore, beyond such experiments, which probe effective single-body coherences, experimental progress in the manipulation of controlled many-body quantum systems on the level of single constituents [24–26, 28, 29, 47–53] now allows to assess bona fide MBI phenomena. While on the single-body level the quantum object's effective size or mass defines the scale on which interference phenomena are to

be observed [10], it is suggestive that the relevant scale is defined by the number N of interfering constituents on the MBI level. Our present purpose is to make this quantitative. We derive a scaling law which shows that distinguishability due to a finite degree of mixedness in the quantum objects' internal, ancilla degrees of freedom – easily brought about by some residual environment coupling – induces the exponential suppression of many-body coherences and hence of MBI phenomena with increasing N . We examine and discuss the consequences of our scaling law for MBI of cold atoms or of photons in optical lattices or in photonic circuits, respectively.

Consider a quantum many-body system composed of N identical bosons or fermions localized in mutually orthogonal external states (e.g., think of atoms in a Mott state [47, 48], or of photons in distinct optical modes [24, 25]). To describe the particles' distribution across their individual external states, we make use of the first quantization formalism and denote the N -particle basis states by $|\vec{E}\rangle = |E_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |E_N\rangle$, where $|E_\alpha\rangle$ is the external state occupied by the α th particle, with $\langle E_\alpha | E'_\alpha \rangle = \delta_{E_\alpha, E'_\alpha}$ and $\langle \vec{E} | \vec{E}' \rangle = \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \delta_{E_\alpha, E'_\alpha}$ [14]. Further suppose that the particles are equipped with internal degrees of freedom (e.g., the arrival time and polarization state of photons, or the atoms' electronic energy levels), prepared in potentially mixed internal many-body states ρ , which are neither acted upon nor measured.

Given N identical bosons (fermions), we must (anti-)symmetrize $|\vec{E}\rangle \langle \vec{E}| \otimes \rho$ with respect to all particle permutations of the symmetric group S_N of N elements. Since MBI is to be observed by interrogation of the external degrees of freedom alone, we subsequently trace over the internal degrees of freedom. This yields the external many-body state $\rho_E = \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_N} [\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}|$,

$$[\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} = (-1)^{\pi \pi'} \frac{1}{N!} \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \Pi_{\pi'}^\dagger \right), \quad (1)$$

which has $N! \times N!$ matrix elements [14, 34, 54]. The many-particle basis states $|\vec{E}_\pi\rangle = |E_{\pi(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |E_{\pi(N)}\rangle$ of ρ_E result from $|\vec{E}\rangle$ by permuting the particles according to $\pi^{-1} \in S_N$, much as the operator Π_π in (1) performs

a particle permutation π^{-1} in the internal degrees of freedom. $(-1)^{\pi\pi'} = 1$ for bosons, with $\pi\pi'$ a composition of π and π' , and $(-1)^{\pi\pi'} = \text{sgn}(\pi\pi')$ for fermions.

Many-body coherences $[\rho_E]_{\pi,\pi'}$, $\pi \neq \pi'$ in (1), thus result from the (anti-) symmetrization and are associated with different orderings of the particles in the tensor product structure. By virtue of the trace in (1), these coherences are governed by the particles' mutual indistinguishability [14, 27, 54] with respect to their internal degrees of freedom. In the limiting case of pure states of separable, perfectly indistinguishable bosons (B) or fermions (F), i.e., $\rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ with $|\phi\rangle = |\varphi\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\varphi\rangle$, the trace in (1) yields unity for all $\pi, \pi' \in S_N$, such that the corresponding reduced external state is fully coherent and described by a pure state, $\rho_E^{\text{B(F)}} = |\psi_{\text{B(F)}}\rangle\langle\psi_{\text{B(F)}}|$, with $|\psi_{\text{B(F)}}\rangle = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} (-1)^{\pi}_{\text{B(F)}} |\vec{E}_\pi\rangle / \sqrt{N!}$ the usual Fock state of indistinguishable particles. On the other hand, pure states of separable, fully distinguishable (D) particles feature internal states with orthogonal support, i.e., $\rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ with $|\phi\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\varphi_N\rangle$ and $\langle\varphi_j|\varphi_k\rangle = \delta_{j,k}$, and thus give rise to a fully incoherent many-body state, $\rho_E^{\text{D}} = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} |\vec{E}_\pi\rangle\langle\vec{E}_\pi|/N!$. The normalized many-body coherence [14, 34] of ρ_E is thus given by

$$\mathcal{W}_C = \frac{1}{N! - 1} \sum_{\substack{\pi, \pi' \in S_N \\ \pi \neq \pi'}} |[\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'}|, \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{W}_C \leq 1, \quad (2)$$

with pure states of separable, fully distinguishable (indistinguishable) particles saturating the lower (upper) bound. Since the modulus in Eq. (2) erases the sign of the coherences (1), the results presented hereafter apply for bosons as well as for fermions.

Another source of distinguishability is due to mixedness of the particles' internal degrees of freedom, which typically arises through decoherence on the single-particle level [6, 8, 12]: Suppose that each particle is well described by the same single-particle state $\rho_{1\text{p}}$ [55, 56], such that $\rho = \rho_{1\text{p}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{1\text{p}}$ in Eq. (1). In Sec. II of [57] we show that in this case the normalized coherence \mathcal{W}_C of many bosons (fermions), $N! \gg 1$, can be identified with the expectation value of the projector $\Pi_{\text{S(A)}}$ onto the N -particle (anti-) symmetric subspace, $\mathcal{W}_C \approx \text{Tr}(\Pi_{\text{S(A)}}\rho_E)$ [58], which, as we further show, is equivalent to the support of the unsymmetrized N -particle internal state ρ on the N -particle symmetric subspace, i.e., $\mathcal{W}_C \approx \text{Tr}(\Pi_{\text{S(A)}}\rho_E) = \text{Tr}(\Pi_{\text{S}}\rho)$. Although the internal states of all particles are described by the same density operator, the particles are indistinguishable if and only if $\rho_{1\text{p}}$ is pure. To make this explicit, suppose that $\rho_{1\text{p}}$ has a discrete spectrum of m eigenvalues $\lambda_j \geq 0$ with corresponding eigenvectors $|j\rangle$, such that its eigen-decomposition reads $\rho_{1\text{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |j\rangle\langle j|$. As we show in Sec. III of [57], the normalized coherence (2) can then be

written, for $N! \gg 1$, as

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \sum_{J_1 + J_2 + \cdots + J_m = N} \lambda_1^{J_1} \lambda_2^{J_2} \cdots \lambda_m^{J_m}, \quad (3)$$

with the sum running over all non-negative integers J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m summing to N . Equation (3) explicitly shows how the spectrum of $\rho_{1\text{p}}$ controls many-body coherence, with $\mathcal{W}_C = 1$ for pure $\rho_{1\text{p}}$, and

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \frac{1}{m^N} \binom{N + m - 1}{m - 1}, \quad (4)$$

for $\rho_{1\text{p}}$ maximally mixed. Since $\mathcal{W}_C \approx \text{Tr}(\Pi_{\text{S}}\rho)$, the finite residual coherence quantified by (4) is given by the relative dimension of the symmetric component $S_N(\mathcal{H}_I)$ with respect to that of the total internal Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_I . In the limit of an infinite number m of single-particle internal states, $S_N(\mathcal{H}_I)$ tends to zero, and so does the residual coherence in (4).

With \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (2) at hand, we have a quantifier of many-body coherence, i.e., a quantifier of the very source of MBI, independently of the exact experimental protocol [14, 34]. Any such experiment, however, can only unfold the complexity seeded by MBI for large system sizes. We therefore focus on the scaling behavior of \mathcal{W}_C in the thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ (at fixed particle density N/L , with L the dimension of the external single-particle Hilbert space, i.e., here, the number of external single-particle modes), and further examine the scaling behavior in the special case of faint particle distinguishability, i.e., for very weakly mixed internal states $\rho_{1\text{p}}$.

Let $\lambda_{\text{max}} = \max_j \lambda_j$ be the maximum eigenvalue of $\rho_{1\text{p}}$, and suppose that λ_{max} is non-degenerate. Unless all particles are perfectly indistinguishable (i.e., $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1$), it then follows from Eq. (3) (see Sec. IV of [57]) that, in the thermodynamic limit,

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \lambda_{\text{max}}^N \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \text{max}}}^m \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{\text{max}}}\right)^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

i.e., \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (3) vanishes exponentially in the number N of constituents [59]. Alternatively, we decompose the internal single-particle state into a dominating pure and a faint mixed component, $\rho_{1\text{p}} = (1 - \epsilon)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi| + \epsilon\tilde{\rho}_{1\text{p}}$, with $\epsilon \ll 1/2$, and $\tilde{\rho}_{1\text{p}}$ a valid density operator. Again (Sec. V in [57]), for $N! \gg 1$, \mathcal{W}_C exhibits exponential scaling:

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - \epsilon)^N. \quad (6)$$

To assess the scaling behavior of the entire hierarchy from two- to N -body coherences, we consider the reduced k -particle state $\rho_E^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{N-k}(\rho_E)$ [36, 54, 60] obtained from ρ_E by tracing out all but k particles. As compared to ρ_E , the reduced k -particle state $\rho_E^{(k)}$ carries no information about collective many-body properties of subsets




FIG. 1. Many-body decoherence induced by the thermal population of energy levels of (ultra-) cold atoms in individual optical lattice sites. (a) N atoms (green filled circles) occupy one lattice site (blue parabolic wells, representing the atoms' external states) each, and exhibit a Boltzmann population distribution (gray blurring) at temperature T over the (internal) states, modeled by four energy levels (with energy difference $\Delta E = E_{j+1} - E_j$). (b) Normalized many-body coherence \mathcal{W}_C vs. temperature $k_B T / \Delta E$, for $N = 2 \dots 100$. Dashed lines represent the infinite temperature limit (4). (c) Admissible thermal excitation $k_B T / \Delta E$ given by (7), as a function of N , at different target coherences \mathcal{W}_C . (d) Zoom into (b), together with a comparison to the approximation $\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - e^{-\beta \Delta E})^N$ (dashed lines), valid in the limit $k_B T / \Delta E \ll 1 / \ln(2) \simeq 1.44$ and $N! \gg 1$, for $N = 2, 10, 50, 100$. (e) \mathcal{W}_C as a function of the particle number N (solid lines), for different temperatures $k_B T / \Delta E$. Black dashed lines indicate the exponential asymptotics (5) (with the eigenvalues of the four dimensional thermal state obtained by diagonalisation) in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $N/L = \text{const}$.

of more than k particles [60]. The normalized coherence $\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)}$ of $\rho_E^{(k)}$ (see Sec. VI of [57]) thus quantifies k -particle coherence of order $k < N$. Since we consider the particles to be localized in distinct external states, with equal, independent internal states ρ_{1p} , it is intuitively clear that, for $k! \gg 1$, $\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)}$ takes a similar form as Eq. (3) (see Sec. VI of [57]): $\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)} \approx \sum_{J_1+J_2+\dots+J_m=k} \lambda_1^{J_1} \lambda_2^{J_2} \dots \lambda_m^{J_m}$. Consequently, Eqs. (5) and (6) also apply to $\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)}$, but with an exponential scaling in k instead of N , such that $k(< N)$ -body coherences fade away slower than those of order N . Note that $\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)}$ is, by the very purpose of its construction, undefined for $k = 1$, and that the constituent particles interference with themselves is controlled by the coherence of the reduced single-particle state, as in standard single-body interference.

We now discuss the consequences of the above for specific experimental scenarios. First consider cold atoms in distinct optical lattice sites (external states) [47, 48, 50, 51] which we model as harmonic potentials each with $m = 4$ equidistant energy levels (internal states) with energy differences $E_{j+1} - E_j = \Delta E$ [see Fig 1(a)]. The atoms' population distribution over the oscillator levels be given by a Boltzmann distribution at equilibrium temperature T [see Fig. 1(a)]. Their single-particle internal states ρ_{1p} are then given as $\rho_{1p} = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\beta E_j} Z(\beta)^{-1} |j\rangle\langle j|$, with $\beta = 1/k_B T$ the inverse temperature, k_B the Boltzmann constant, $Z(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\beta E_j}$ the partition function, and $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=1}^m$ a set of m orthonormal oscillator energy states, with associated eigenvalues $\lambda_j = e^{-\beta E_j} Z(\beta)^{-1}$. For particle numbers up to $N = 100$, Figs. 1(b,d) show a monotonous decrease of \mathcal{W}_C with increasing T , from a fully coherent [$k_B T / \Delta E \lesssim 0.1$, see Fig. 1(c)] to an almost incoherent ($k_B T / \Delta E \gtrsim 1$) external many-body state with finite

residual coherence as described by Eq. (4). The complementary, asymptotically exponential decrease of \mathcal{W}_C as a function of the particle number N , at fixed temperature, is shown in Fig. 1(e) – in perfect agreement with (5) [dashed lines in Fig. 1(e)].

Close to the critical temperature, $0.1 \lesssim k_B T / \Delta E \lesssim 1$, at which external decoherence sets in in Fig. 1(d), we can apply Eq. (6): With $e^{-\beta \Delta E} \ll 1/2$, i.e., $k_B T / \Delta E \ll 1 / \ln(2)$, the internal ground state (i.e., lowest energy level of the local oscillator potential) dominates, leading to (see Sec. VII of [57]) $\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - e^{-\beta \Delta E})^N$ [dashed lines in Fig. 1(d)], such that

$$\frac{k_B T}{\Delta E} \approx \frac{-1}{\ln(1 - \mathcal{W}_C^{1/N})}. \quad (7)$$

If $e^{-\beta \Delta E} \ll 1/N$, i.e., $k_B T / \Delta E \ll 1 / \ln(N)$, further approximation yields $\mathcal{W}_C \approx 1 - N e^{-\beta \Delta E}$ and $k_B T / \Delta E \approx 1 / \ln[N / (1 - \mathcal{W}_C)]$. For our example illustrated in Fig. 1(a), Eq. (7) is plotted in Fig. 1(c), for fixed coherences \mathcal{W}_C , as a function of N . We see that $k_B T / \Delta E$ only gradually decreases for increasing N . This explains why the onset of decoherence, manifest in the drop of \mathcal{W}_C in Figs. 1(b,d), only marginally shifts towards smaller critical temperatures with increasing N . In particular, this observation suggests that MBI remains observable, with high visibilities, in experiments with large particle numbers, at temperatures $k_B T$ not much below ΔE .

As a second example, let us assess the case of N photons propagating along distinct, possibly coupled optical modes (external degrees of freedom) [24–26, 29]. Different injection times (internal degrees of freedom) – a typical error source in photonic experiments – render the photons mutually partially distinguishable [19, 61, 62]. As above, we describe the internal state of every photon by the same mixed single-particle internal state $\rho_{1p} =$




FIG. 2. Many-body decoherence induced by random arrival times of photons in optical modes. (a) N photons (green filled circles) in distinct optical modes (blue arrows, representing the photons' external states) each have a Gaussian frequency spectrum with spectral width Δ . Their random arrival times (green envelope, internal states) have a Gaussian probability distribution $P(t)$ (red envelope), with standard deviation σ . (b) Normalised many-body coherence \mathcal{W}_C (solid lines) vs. $\sigma\Delta$, for different particle numbers $N = 2 \dots 10$. Dashed lines indicate the approximation $\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - \Delta^2 \sigma^2)^N$, valid in the limit $\sigma\Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $N! \gg 1$, for $N = 2, 10, 100$. (c) Acceptable scatter $\sigma\Delta$ of the photons' arrival time given a desired coherence level \mathcal{W}_C , as a function of N , in the limit $\sigma\Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{2} \simeq 0.7$ and $N! \gg 1$ [see Eq. (8)]. For $\sigma\Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{N}$ (black dashed line), $\sigma\Delta \approx \sqrt{(1 - \mathcal{W}_C)/N}$. (d) \mathcal{W}_C as a function of N for various values of $\sigma\Delta$, indicating the convergence into an exponential decay in the limit of large N .

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt P(t) |t\rangle \langle t|$, with arrival time probability distribution $P(t)$, and $|t\rangle = (2\pi\Delta^2)^{-1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{i\omega t} e^{-\frac{(\omega-\Omega)^2}{4\Delta^2}} |\omega\rangle$ a single photon's (internal) state with arrival time t , Gaussian frequency spectrum of spectral width Δ around the central frequency Ω , and $\langle \omega | \omega' \rangle = \delta(\omega - \omega')$. Figure 2 provides an example of normally distributed arrival times, $P(t) = \exp(-(t - t_0)^2 / (2\sigma^2)) / \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}$, with mean $\langle t \rangle = t_0$ and standard deviation $\sigma = (\langle t^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle^2)^{1/2}$, for which we calculate the behavior of \mathcal{W}_C as a function of $\sigma\Delta$ and N via Eqs. (1) and (2) in the following.

The finite width of the photonic arrival time distribution in terms of the temporal width of a photonic wave packet, $\sigma\Delta$, now reduces, similar to the finite temperature distribution over atomic energy bands above, the normalized coherence \mathcal{W}_C of the N -photon state, plotted in Fig. 2(b). We observe a qualitatively similar transition from a fully coherent to a fully incoherent many-body state, as a function of $\sigma\Delta$. However, since the here considered internal degrees of freedom – the arrival times – are continuous, \mathcal{W}_C truly vanishes in the limit of large $\sigma\Delta$, since $m \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (4). While a direct application of Eq. (5) requires the spectral decomposition of ρ_{1P} , we here restrict to a qualitative observation, with Fig. 2(d) indicating a convergence into an exponential decay of \mathcal{W}_C in the limit of large N . For $N! \gg 1$ and small distin-

guishabilities $\sigma\Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{2}$, we show in Sec. VIII of [57] that Eq. (6) can be reformulated as $\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - \sigma^2 \Delta^2)^N$ (dashed lines in Fig. 2(b); compare to the average mutual fidelity in [55]). Accordingly, we have

$$\sigma\Delta \approx \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{W}_C^{1/N}}. \quad (8)$$

For very faint distinguishabilities $\sigma\Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{N}$, this simplifies to $\sigma\Delta \approx \sqrt{(1 - \mathcal{W}_C)/N}$. In Fig. 2(c) we plot Eq. (8) for our example of normally distributed arrival times. In particular, for $\sigma\Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{N}$, it confirms the power law $\sigma\Delta \propto N^{-1/2}$ for a targeted level of coherence \mathcal{W}_C , with $\sigma\Delta$ sharply decreasing with increasing particle number N . Thus, the photons' arrival times must be increasingly well controlled (with respect to their inverse spectral width) in order to harvest the interference of an increasing number of particles.

We have thus quantified the challenge to witness mutual interference of a large number of identical particles, given that, the larger this number, the more difficult to prevent the system constituents from interactions with environmental degrees of freedom. Our present analysis relies on the “static” description of a given many-body state with finite mixedness of its individual constituents, and thereby sets limits to the acceptable level of noise if a certain level of many-body coherence is to be guaranteed. What is not resolved in this analysis is the time dependence of the decoherence process, and a dynamical description of many-body decoherence appears an attractive topic for future theoretical research – much as the development of distillation [56] or error correction protocols to stabilize many-body coherences in actual experiments.

We thank Jonathan Brugger, Eric Brunner, Christian Haen, and Philipp Preiss for fruitful discussions. We are also thankful to Gabriel Dufour for fruitful discussions and comments at an early stage of the manuscript. C.D. acknowledges the Georg H. Endress Foundation for support and the Freiburg Institute for Advanced Studies for a FRIAS Junior Fellowship.

* christoph.dittel@physik.uni-freiburg.de

- [1] G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini, and T. Weber, Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems, *Physical Review D* **34**, 470 (1986).
- [2] M. Brune, S. Haroche, J. M. Raimond, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury, Manipulation of photons in a cavity by dispersive atom-field coupling: Quantum-nondemolition measurements and generation of “Schrödinger cat” states, *Physical Review A* **45**, 5193 (1992).
- [3] M. Brune, E. Hagley, J. Dreyer, X. Maître, A. Maali, C. Wunderlich, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Observing the progressive decoherence of the “meter” in a quantum measurement, *Physical Review Letters* **77**, 4887 (1996).

- [4] Y. Nakamura, Y. A. Pashkin, and J. S. Tsai, Coherent control of macroscopic quantum states in a single-Cooper-pair box, *Nature* **398**, 786 (1999).
- [5] J. R. Friedman, V. Patel, W. Chen, S. K. Tolpygo, and J. E. Lukens, Quantum superposition of distinct macroscopic states, *Nature* **406**, 43 (2000).
- [6] W. H. Zurek, Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **75**, 715 (2003).
- [7] L. Hackermüller, K. Hornberger, B. Brezger, A. Zeilinger, and M. Arndt, Decoherence of matter waves by thermal emission of radiation, *Nature* **427**, 711 (2004).
- [8] M. Schlosshauer, Decoherence, the measurement problem, and interpretations of quantum mechanics, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **76**, 1267 (2005).
- [9] K. Hornberger, S. Gerlich, P. Haslinger, S. Nimmrichter, and M. Arndt, Colloquium: Quantum interference of clusters and molecules, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **84**, 157 (2012).
- [10] S. Nimmrichter and K. Hornberger, Macroscopicity of mechanical quantum superposition states, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 160403 (2013).
- [11] M. Arndt and K. Hornberger, Testing the limits of quantum mechanical superpositions, *Nature Physics* **10**, 271 (2014).
- [12] M. Schlosshauer, Quantum decoherence, *Physics Reports* **831**, 1 (2019).
- [13] U. Delić, M. Reisenbauer, K. Dare, D. Grass, V. Vuletić, N. Kiesel, and M. Aspelmeyer, Cooling of a levitated nanoparticle to the motional quantum ground state, *Science* **367**, 892 (2020).
- [14] C. Dittel, G. Dufour, G. Weihs, and A. Buchleitner, Wave-particle duality of many-body quantum states, *Physical Review X* **11**, 031041 (2021).
- [15] F. Mintert, A. R. Carvalho, M. Kuś, and A. Buchleitner, Measures and dynamics of entangled states, *Physics Reports* **415**, 207 (2005).
- [16] M. Tichy, F. de Melo, M. Kuś, F. Mintert, and A. Buchleitner, Entanglement of identical particles and the detection process, *Fortschritte der Physik* **61**, 225 (2013).
- [17] A. Ketterer, N. Wyderka, and O. Gühne, Characterizing multipartite entanglement with moments of random correlations, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 120505 (2019).
- [18] F. Benatti, R. Floreanini, F. Franchini, and U. Marzolino, Entanglement in indistinguishable particle systems, *Physics Reports* **878**, 1 (2020).
- [19] C. K. Hong, Z. Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by interference, *Physical Review Letters* **59**, 2044 (1987).
- [20] Y. L. Lim and A. Beige, Multiphoton entanglement through a bell-multiport beam splitter, *Physical Review A* **71**, 062311 (2005).
- [21] M. C. Tichy, M. Tiersch, F. de Melo, F. Mintert, and A. Buchleitner, Zero-transmission law for multiport beam splitters, *Physical Review Letters* **104**, 220405 (2010).
- [22] M. C. Tichy, M. Tiersch, F. Mintert, and A. Buchleitner, Many-particle interference beyond many-boson and many-fermion statistics, *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 093015 (2012).
- [23] S. Aaronson and A. Arkhipov, The computational complexity of linear optics, *Theory of Computing* **9**, 143 (2013).
- [24] J. B. Spring, B. J. Metcalf, P. C. Humphreys, W. S. Kolthammer, X.-M. Jin, M. Barbieri, A. Datta, N. Thomas-Peter, N. K. Langford, D. Kundys, J. C. Gates, B. J. Smith, P. G. R. Smith, and I. A. Walmsley, Boson sampling on a photonic chip, *Science* **339**, 798 (2013).
- [25] A. Crespi, R. Osellame, R. Ramponi, D. J. Brod, E. F. Galvão, N. Spagnolo, C. Vitelli, E. Maiorino, P. Mataloni, and F. Sciarrino, Integrated multimode interferometers with arbitrary designs for photonic boson sampling, *Nature Photonics* **7**, 545 (2013).
- [26] M. Tillmann, B. Dakić, R. Heilmann, S. Nolte, A. Szameit, and P. Walther, Experimental boson sampling, *Nature Photonics* **7**, 540 (2013).
- [27] V. S. Shchesnovich, Partial indistinguishability theory for multiphoton experiments in multiport devices, *Physical Review A* **91**, 013844 (2015).
- [28] A. J. Menssen, A. E. Jones, B. J. Metcalf, M. C. Tichy, S. Barz, W. S. Kolthammer, and I. A. Walmsley, Distinguishability and many-particle interference, *Physical Review Letters* **118**, 153603 (2017).
- [29] F. Flamini, N. Spagnolo, and F. Sciarrino, Photonic quantum information processing: a review, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **82**, 016001 (2018).
- [30] M. C. Tichy, *Entanglement and interference of identical particles*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Freiburg, urn:nbn:de:bsz:25-opus-82337 (2011).
- [31] M. Walschaers, *Efficient quantum transport*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Freiburg, urn:nbn:de:bsz:25-freidok-110653 (2016).
- [32] T. Brünner, *Signatures of partial distinguishability in the dynamics of interacting bosons*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Freiburg, urn:nbn:de:bsz:25-freidok-166833 (2018).
- [33] C. Dittel, G. Dufour, M. Walschaers, G. Weihs, A. Buchleitner, and R. Keil, Totally destructive many-particle interference, *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 240404 (2018).
- [34] C. Dittel, *About the interference of many particles*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, urn:nbn:at:at-ubi:1-47210 (2019).
- [35] M. K. Njoya Mforifoum, *Many-body quantum interference of composite particles on a 1D lattice*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Freiburg, urn:nbn:de:bsz:25-freidok-2292974 (2022).
- [36] E. Brunner, *Interference & interactions: robust signatures of coherence & correlations in many-body quantum systems*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Freiburg, urn:nbn:de:bsz:25-freidok-2382447 (2023).
- [37] B. Seron, *Distinguishability in Quantum Multiphoton Interference: From Bunching Phenomena to the Validation of Boson Sampling*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Brussels (2023).
- [38] M. M. Englbrecht, *Entanglement and correlations in multipartite systems*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck (2023).
- [39] K. Mayer, M. C. Tichy, F. Mintert, T. Konrad, and A. Buchleitner, Counting statistics of many-particle quantum walks, *Physical Review A* **83**, 062307 (2011).
- [40] M. Walschaers, J. Kuipers, and A. Buchleitner, From many-particle interference to correlation spectroscopy, *Physical Review A* **94**, 020104 (2016).
- [41] E. Brunner, L. Pausch, E. G. Carnio, G. Dufour, A. Rodríguez, and A. Buchleitner, Many-body interference at the onset of chaos, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 080401 (2023).
- [42] M. Arndt, O. Nairz, J. Vos-Andreae, C. Keller, G. van der Zouw, and A. Zeilinger, Wave-particle duality of C60

- molecules, *Nature* **401**, 680 (1999).
- [43] J. D. Teufel, T. Donner, D. Li, J. W. Harlow, M. S. Allman, K. Cicak, A. J. Sirois, J. D. Whittaker, K. W. Lehnert, and R. W. Simmonds, Sideband cooling of micromechanical motion to the quantum ground state, *Nature* **475**, 359 (2011).
- [44] J. Chan, T. P. M. Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Gröblacher, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, Laser cooling of a nanomechanical oscillator into its quantum ground state, *Nature* **478**, 89 (2011).
- [45] M. R. Andrews, C. G. Townsend, H.-J. Miesner, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Observation of interference between two Bose condensates, *Science* **275**, 637 (1997).
- [46] H. Wallis and H. Steck, Inseparable time evolution of anisotropic Bose-Einstein condensates, *Europhysics Letters* **41**, 477 (1998).
- [47] W. S. Bakr, J. I. Gillen, A. Peng, S. Fölling, and M. Greiner, A quantum gas microscope for detecting single atoms in a Hubbard-regime optical lattice, *Nature* **462**, 74 (2009).
- [48] J. F. Sherson, C. Weitenberg, M. Endres, M. Cheneau, I. Bloch, and S. Kuhr, Single-atom-resolved fluorescence imaging of an atomic mott insulator, *Nature* **467**, 68 (2010).
- [49] L. Bayha, M. Holten, R. Klemt, K. Subramanian, J. Bjerrin, S. M. Reimann, G. M. Bruun, P. M. Preiss, and S. Jochim, Observing the emergence of a quantum phase transition shell by shell, *Nature* **587**, 583 (2020).
- [50] F. Meinert, M. J. Mark, E. Kirilov, K. Lauber, P. Weinmann, M. Gröbner, A. J. Daley, and H.-C. Nägerl, Observation of many-body dynamics in long-range tunneling after a quantum quench, *Science* **344**, 1259 (2014).
- [51] P. M. Preiss, R. Ma, M. E. Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, P. Zupancic, Y. Lahini, R. Islam, and M. Greiner, Strongly correlated quantum walks in optical lattices, *Science* **347**, 1229 (2015).
- [52] C. F. Roos, A. Alberti, D. Meschede, P. Hauke, and H. Häffner, Revealing quantum statistics with a pair of distant atoms, *Physical Review Letters* **119**, 160401 (2017).
- [53] J. Münzberg, C. Dittel, M. Lebugle, A. Buchleitner, A. Szameit, G. Weihs, and R. Keil, Symmetry allows for distinguishability in totally destructive many-particle interference, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 020326 (2021).
- [54] A. M. Minke, A. Buchleitner, and C. Dittel, Characterizing four-body indistinguishability via symmetries, *New Journal of Physics* **23**, 073028 (2021).
- [55] V. S. Shchesnovich, Sufficient condition for the mode mismatch of single photons for scalability of the boson-sampling computer, *Physical Review A* **89**, 022333 (2014).
- [56] J. Marshall, Distillation of indistinguishable photons, *Physical Review Letters* **129**, 213601 (2022).
- [57] Supplemental Material.
- [58] Note that for a bosonic state ρ_E , tight lower bounds of $\text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho_E)$ can be efficiently measured [63].
- [59] d -fold degeneracy of λ_{\max} instead leads to $\mathcal{W}_C \propto (N + 1)^{d-1} \lambda_{\max}^N$ (Sec. IV of [57]).
- [60] E. Brunner, A. Buchleitner, and G. Dufour, Many-body coherence and entanglement probed by randomized correlation measurements, *Physical Review Research* **4**, 043101 (2022).
- [61] Y.-S. Ra, M. C. Tichy, H.-T. Lim, O. Kwon, F. Mintert, A. Buchleitner, and Y.-H. Kim, Nonmonotonic quantum-to-classical transition in multiparticle interference, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 1227 (2013).
- [62] J. Münzberg, C. Dittel, M. Lebugle, A. Buchleitner, A. Szameit, G. Weihs, and R. Keil, Symmetry allows for distinguishability in totally destructive many-particle interference, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 020326 (2021).
- [63] M. Englbrecht, T. Kraft, C. Dittel, A. Buchleitner, G. Giedke, and B. Kraus, Indistinguishability of identical bosons from a quantum information theory perspective, *Physical Review Letters* **132**, 050201 (2024).

Supplemental Material: Distinguishability-induced many-body decoherence

Christoph Dittel^{1,2,3,4,*} and Andreas Buchleitner^{1,2}

¹*Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg,
Hermann-Herder-Straße 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

²*EUCOR Centre for Quantum Science and Quantum Computing,
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Straße 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

³*Freiburg Institute for Advanced Studies, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Albertstraße 19, 79104 Freiburg, Germany*

⁴*Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 118, 221 00 Lund, Sweden*
(Dated: September 6, 2024)

SIMPLIFIED EXPRESSIONS OF \mathcal{W}_C

In the following we provide a simplified expression for \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (2) of the main text, assuming many-body internal states of the form $\rho = \rho_{1p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{1p}$. To this end, we plug the matrix elements from Eq. (1) of the main text into the definition of the many-body coherence from Eq. (2) and use the cyclic property of the trace,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_C &= \frac{1}{N!(N!-1)} \sum_{\substack{\pi, \pi' \in \mathbb{S}_N \\ \pi \neq \pi'}} \left| \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \Pi_{\pi'}^\dagger \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{N!-1} \left(\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_N} \left| \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi^\dagger \Pi_\pi \rho \right) \right| - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.1})$$

Since \mathbb{S}_N forms a group, the summation can be reduced to

$$\mathcal{W}_C = \frac{1}{N!-1} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_N} \left| \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \right) \right| - 1 \right). \quad (\text{S.2})$$

As detailed in the main text, we now assume that all particles are in the same internal single-particle state ρ_{1p} such that $\rho = \rho_{1p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{1p}$. Following our considerations from the main text, we assume that ρ_{1p} has a discrete spectrum with m eigenvalues $\lambda_j \geq 0$ and corresponding eigenvectors $|j\rangle$. Hence, its eigendecomposition reads

$$\rho_{1p} = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |j\rangle \langle j| \quad (\text{S.3})$$

and the many-body internal state ρ becomes

$$\rho = \sum_{\vec{\mathcal{I}} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N} \lambda_{\vec{\mathcal{I}}} |\vec{\mathcal{I}}\rangle \langle \vec{\mathcal{I}}|, \quad (\text{S.4})$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{I}} = (\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_N)$ is the internal assignment list with N elements $\mathcal{I}_\alpha \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $|\vec{\mathcal{I}}\rangle = |\mathcal{I}_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\mathcal{I}_N\rangle$, and $\lambda_{\vec{\mathcal{I}}} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \lambda_{\mathcal{I}_\alpha}$. Using this, the traces in Eq. (S.2) become

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \right) &= \sum_{\vec{\mathcal{I}} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N} \lambda_{\vec{\mathcal{I}}} \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi |\vec{\mathcal{I}}\rangle \langle \vec{\mathcal{I}}| \right) \\ &= \sum_{\vec{\mathcal{I}} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N} \lambda_{\vec{\mathcal{I}}} \langle \vec{\mathcal{I}} | \vec{\mathcal{I}}_\pi \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.5})$$

where $\Pi_\pi |\vec{\mathcal{I}}\rangle = |\vec{\mathcal{I}}_\pi\rangle = |\mathcal{I}_{\pi(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\mathcal{I}_{\pi(N)}\rangle$. Thus, since $\langle \vec{\mathcal{I}} | \vec{\mathcal{I}}_\pi \rangle = \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \delta_{\mathcal{I}_\alpha, \mathcal{I}_{\pi(\alpha)}} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{\vec{\mathcal{I}}} \geq 0$, we have $\text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \right) \geq 0$. Accordingly, we can drop the modulus in (S.2), yielding the simplified expression

$$\mathcal{W}_C = \frac{1}{N!-1} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_N} \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \right) - 1 \right). \quad (\text{S.6})$$

With the help of the projector $\Pi_S = 1/N! \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \Pi_\pi$ onto the symmetric N -particle subspace, this can further be written as

$$\mathcal{W}_C = \frac{N!}{N! - 1} \text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho) - \frac{1}{N! - 1}, \quad (\text{S.7})$$

which, in the limit $N! \gg 1$, simplifies to

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho). \quad (\text{S.8})$$

RELATION BETWEEN \mathcal{W}_C AND THE EXPECTATION VALUES $\text{Tr}(\Pi_{S(A)} \rho_E)$ AND $\text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho)$

To calculate the expectation value of the projector $\Pi_{S(A)} = 1/N! \sum_{\tau \in S_N} (-1)_{B(F)}^\tau \Pi_\tau$ onto the (anti)symmetric N -particle subspace with respect to the particles' external degrees of freedom, we use the matrix elements of ρ_E as provided in Eq. (1) of the main text,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\Pi_{S(A)} \rho_E) &= \frac{1}{N!^2} \sum_{\tau, \pi, \pi' \in S_N} (-1)_{B(F)}^{\tau \pi \pi'} \text{Tr}(\Pi_\pi \rho \Pi_{\pi'}^\dagger) \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'} | \Pi_\tau | \vec{E}_\pi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N!^2} \sum_{\tau, \pi, \pi' \in S_N} (-1)_{B(F)}^{\tau \pi \pi'} \text{Tr}(\Pi_{\pi(\pi')^{-1}} \rho) \langle \vec{E} | \vec{E}_{\pi \tau (\pi')^{-1}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N!^2} \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_N} \text{Tr}(\Pi_{\pi(\pi')^{-1}} \rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \text{Tr}(\Pi_\pi \rho) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.9})$$

That is, we just showed that $\text{Tr}(\Pi_{S(A)} \rho_E) = \text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho)$. Using this in Eq. (S.8) finally yields

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \text{Tr}(\Pi_{S(A)}) = \text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho), \quad (\text{S.10})$$

as stated in the main text.

PROOF OF EQ. (3)

In the following we prove Eq. (3) of the main text. We start with plugging Eq. (S.5) into Eq. (S.6),

$$\mathcal{W}_C = \frac{1}{N! - 1} \left(\sum_{\vec{\mathcal{I}} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N} \lambda_{\vec{\mathcal{I}}} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \langle \vec{\mathcal{I}} | \vec{\mathcal{I}}_\pi \rangle - 1 \right). \quad (\text{S.11})$$

Now, let us introduce the internal occupation list $\vec{J} = (J_1, \dots, J_m)$, with J_j the number of particles in the internal state $|j\rangle$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m J_j = N$. Note that an internal occupation \vec{J} can give rise to several assignment lists $\vec{\mathcal{I}}$ [see below Eq. (S.4)], which differ by permutations of their elements. In particular, for the internal occupation \vec{J} , let \vec{I} be the corresponding assignment list whose elements are listed in ascending order. Using the notation $|\vec{I}_\pi\rangle = |I_{\pi(1)}\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |I_{\pi(N)}\rangle$ for $\pi \in S_N$, we see that $|\vec{I}_\xi\rangle = |\vec{I}\rangle$ if and only if $\xi \in S_{\vec{J}} = S_{J_1} \otimes \dots \otimes S_{J_m}$, which is a Young subgroup of S_N . Hence, for $\pi \in S_N$ all permutations of the right coset $S_{\vec{J}}\pi = \{\xi\pi | \xi \in S_{\vec{J}}\}$ result in the same state, i.e., $|\vec{I}_\pi\rangle = |\vec{I}_{\pi'}\rangle$ for all $\pi' \in S_{\vec{J}}\pi$. Therefore, let us construct the transversal $\Sigma(\vec{J})$ of the set of right cosets of $S_{\vec{J}}$ in S_N containing one permutation of each distinct right coset such that $\langle \vec{I}_\mu | \vec{I}_\nu \rangle = \delta_{\mu, \nu}$ for $\mu, \nu \in \Sigma(\vec{J})$ [34]. Note that $\Sigma(\vec{J})$ has cardinality $J \equiv |\Sigma(\vec{J})| = N! / \prod_{j=1}^m J_j!$. Using this, we can rewrite the sum over all assignment lists $\vec{\mathcal{I}}$ in Eq. (S.11), resulting in

$$\mathcal{W}_C = \frac{1}{N! - 1} \left(\sum_{\vec{J}} \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma(\vec{J})} \lambda_{\vec{I}_\mu} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \langle \vec{I}_\mu | \vec{I}_{\mu\pi} \rangle - 1 \right). \quad (\text{S.12})$$

Using $\sum_{\pi \in S_N} \langle \vec{I}_\mu | \vec{I}_{\mu\pi} \rangle = N!/J$, $\lambda_{\vec{I}_\mu} = \lambda_{\vec{I}} \equiv \lambda_{\vec{J}}$, with $\lambda_{\vec{J}} = \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{J_j}$, this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_C &= \frac{1}{N!-1} \left(\sum_{\vec{J}} \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma(\vec{J})} \frac{N!}{J} \lambda_{\vec{J}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N!-1} \left(N! \sum_{\vec{J}} \lambda_{\vec{J}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{N!}{N!-1} \sum_{\vec{J}} \lambda_{\vec{J}} - \frac{1}{N!-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.13})$$

Accordingly, with the help of Eq. (S.7) we find $\text{Tr}(\Pi_S \rho) = \sum_{\vec{J}} \lambda_{\vec{J}}$ such that for $N! \gg 1$, we get

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \sum_{\vec{J}} \lambda_{\vec{J}}. \quad (\text{S.14})$$

Using $\lambda_{\vec{J}} = \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{J_j}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m J_j = N$, this can also be written as

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \sum_{J_1+J_2+\dots+J_m=N} \lambda_1^{J_1} \lambda_2^{J_2} \dots \lambda_m^{J_m}, \quad (\text{S.15})$$

which coincides with Eq. (3) of the main text.

PROOF OF EQ. (5)

We start our proof of Eq. (5) of the main text by considering \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (3) of the main text [see also Eq. (S.15)]. Without loss of generality we can assume that the eigenvalues of ρ_{1p} satisfy $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$. That is, $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_m$ is the maximal eigenvalue of ρ_{1p} . We further suppose that λ_m is d -fold degenerate, i.e., $\lambda_m = \lambda_{m-1} = \dots = \lambda_{m+1-d}$. With this in mind, let us rewrite \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (S.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_C &\approx \sum_{J_1+J_2+\dots+J_m=N} \lambda_1^{J_1} \lambda_2^{J_2} \dots \lambda_m^{J_m} \\ &= \sum_{J_1=0}^N \lambda_1^{J_1} \sum_{J_2=0}^N \lambda_2^{J_2} \dots \sum_{J_{m-1}=0}^N \lambda_{m-1}^{J_{m-1}} \lambda_m^{N-J_1-J_2-\dots-J_{m-1}} \Theta(N - J_1 - J_2 - \dots - J_{m-1}) \\ &= \lambda_m^N \sum_{J_1=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_1} \sum_{J_2=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_2} \dots \sum_{J_{m-1}=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_{m-1}} \Theta(N - J_1 - J_2 - \dots - J_{m-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.16})$$

where

$$\Theta(N - J_1 - J_2 - \dots - J_{m-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_{m-1} \leq N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S.17})$$

is the Heaviside function. By the degeneracy of the maximum eigenvalue, $\lambda_m = \lambda_{m-1} = \dots = \lambda_{m+1-d}$, this becomes

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \lambda_m^N \sum_{J_1=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_1} \dots \sum_{J_{m-d}=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_{m-d}}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_{m-d}} \sum_{J_{m+1-d}=0}^N \dots \sum_{J_{m-1}=0}^N \Theta(N - J_1 - J_2 - \dots - J_{m-1}). \quad (\text{S.18})$$

Now note that in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the Heaviside function (S.17) can be approximated by unity. Hence, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the many-body coherence is well approximated by

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \lambda_m^N \sum_{J_1=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_1} \dots \sum_{J_{m-d}=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_{m-d}}{\lambda_m} \right)^{J_{m-d}} \sum_{J_{m+1-d}=0}^N \dots \sum_{J_{m-1}=0}^N \quad (\text{S.19})$$

Next, we use the geometric series

$$\sum_{J_j=0}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{J_j} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{N+1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{-1} \quad (\text{S.20})$$

such that \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (S.19) becomes

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx (N+1)^{d-1} \lambda_m^N \prod_{j=1}^{m-d} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{N+1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{-1} \right]. \quad (\text{S.21})$$

After performing the product, we see that there are factors of the form λ_m^N , $\lambda_m^N (\lambda_j/\lambda_m)^{N+1}$, $\lambda_m^N (\lambda_j/\lambda_m)^{N+1} (\lambda_k/\lambda_m)^{N+1}$, etc. However, since $\lambda_j/\lambda_m < 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m-d$, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the dominant factors are those of the form λ_m^N . That is, the approximation of \mathcal{W}_C simplifies to

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx (N+1)^{d-1} \lambda_m^N \prod_{j=1}^{m-d} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{-1}. \quad (\text{S.22})$$

Note that one arrives at the same result faster by setting the upper limit of the first $m-d$ sums in (S.19) to infinity. Equation (S.22) describes the behaviour of \mathcal{W}_C in the thermodynamic limit. In the case of a non-degenerate maximum eigenvalue λ_m , i.e., $d = 1$, the approximation simplifies to

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx \lambda_m^N \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_m}\right)^{-1} \quad (\text{S.23})$$

as stated in Eq. (5) of the main text.

PROOF OF EQ. (6)

In the following we prove Eq. (6) of the main text. As stated in the main text, in the case of small deviations from perfectly indistinguishable particles, ρ_{1P} can be written as $\rho_{1P} = (1-\epsilon)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi| + \epsilon\tilde{\rho}_{1P}$, with $\epsilon \ll 1/2$. Note that $\tilde{\rho}_{1P}$ is Hermitian and has unit trace. Using this decomposition of ρ_{1P} , the many-body internal state ρ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= [(1-\epsilon)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi| + \epsilon\tilde{\rho}_{1P}] \otimes \dots \otimes [(1-\epsilon)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi| + \epsilon\tilde{\rho}_{1P}] \\ &= (1-\epsilon)^N |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \dots \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \\ &\quad + (1-\epsilon)^{N-1} \epsilon (\tilde{\rho}_{1P} \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \dots \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| + \dots + |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \dots \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \tilde{\rho}_{1P}) \\ &\quad + (1-\epsilon)^{N-2} \epsilon^2 (\tilde{\rho}_{1P} \otimes \tilde{\rho}_{1P} \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \dots \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| + \dots + |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \dots \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \tilde{\rho}_{1P} \otimes \tilde{\rho}_{1P}) \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.24})$$

Now note that for $\epsilon \ll 1/2$, we have $(1-\epsilon)^{N-j}\epsilon^j \gg (1-\epsilon)^{N-j-1}\epsilon^{j+1}$. Accordingly, the first term of the sum in (S.24) dominates. Therefore we can approximate \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (S.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_C &\approx \frac{1}{N! - 1} \left((1-\epsilon)^N \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \text{Tr}(\Pi_\pi |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \otimes \dots \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{N!}{N! - 1} (1-\epsilon)^N - \frac{1}{N! - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.25})$$

In the limit $N! \gg 1$ this simplifies to

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1-\epsilon)^N, \quad (\text{S.26})$$

as stated in Eq. (6) in the main text.

LOWER ORDER COHERENCES

Let us consider the reduced external state [see Eq. (1) in the main text]

$$\rho_E = \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_N} [\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}| \quad (\text{S.27})$$

with

$$[\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} = (-1)^{\pi_{B(F)}} \frac{1}{N!} \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_\pi \rho \Pi_{\pi'}^\dagger \right). \quad (\text{S.28})$$

By tracing out a particle, we obtain the reduced external $N - 1$ -particle state

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_E^{(N-1)} &= \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_N} [\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} \langle E_{\pi'(N)} | E_{\pi(N)} \rangle |\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}^{(N-1)}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi, \pi' \in S_N \\ \pi(N) = \pi'(N)}} [\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}^{(N-1)}|, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.29})$$

where $|\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle = |E_{\pi(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |E_{\pi(N-1)}\rangle$. With the help of the Young subgroup $S_{N-1; \alpha} = S_{\{1, \dots, N\} \setminus \{\alpha\}} \otimes S_{\{\alpha\}}$, this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_E^{(N-1)} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_{N-1; \alpha}} [\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}^{(N-1)}| \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_{N-1; \alpha}} [\rho_E^{(N-1)}]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}^{(N-1)}| \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \rho_E^{(N-1; \alpha)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.30})$$

where $[\rho_E^{(N-1)}]_{\pi, \pi'} = N [\rho_E]_{\pi, \pi'}$, and

$$\rho_E^{(N-1; \alpha)} = \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_{N-1; \alpha}} [\rho_E^{(N-1)}]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}^{(N-1)}|. \quad (\text{S.31})$$

We recognize that $\rho_E^{(N-1; \alpha)}$ corresponds to the reduced external state with the α th particle excluded. Since we consider the internal product state $\rho = \rho_{1p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{1p}$ in Eq. (S.28), for different α , the states $\rho_E^{(N-1; \alpha)}$ only differ by the labeling of the external states, and, thus, must have equal many-body coherences. Accordingly, by Eq. (S.30), and the linearity of $\mathcal{W}_C^{(N-1)}$ with respect to $\rho_E^{(N-1)}$, the states $\rho_E^{(N-1)}$ and $\rho_E^{(N-1; N)}$ have equal many-body coherences. Thus, since

$$\rho_E^{(N-1; N)} = \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in S_{N-1}} [\rho_E^{(N-1)}]_{\pi, \pi'} |\vec{E}_\pi^{(N-1)}\rangle \langle \vec{E}_{\pi'}^{(N-1)}| \quad (\text{S.32})$$

coincides with the reduced external state ρ_E from Eq. (S.27) with $N - 1$ instead of N particles, we can conclude that the normalized many-body coherence $\mathcal{W}_C^{(N-1)}$ of the reduced $N - 1$ -particle state $\rho_E^{(N-1)}$ coincides with the normalized many-body coherence \mathcal{W}_C [see Eq. (2) in the main text] in the case of $N - 1$ instead of N particles. Similarly, by tracing out further particles, the same reasoning lets us conclude that the many-body coherence $\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)}$ of the reduced k -particle state $\rho_E^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{N-k}(\rho_E)$ coincides with \mathcal{W}_C in the case of k instead of N particles.

The same conclusion can be drawn faster by considering the expression of \mathcal{W}_C from Eq. (S.7): Since each term of the reduced k -particle state $\rho_E^{(k)}$ is associated with the unsymmetrized internal state $\rho^{(k)} = \rho_{1p}^{\otimes k}$, by Eq. (S.7), we must have

$$\mathcal{W}_C^{(k)} = \frac{k!}{k! - 1} \text{Tr} \left(\Pi_S^{(k)} \rho^{(k)} \right) - \frac{1}{k! - 1}, \quad (\text{S.33})$$

with $\Pi_S^{(k)} = 1/k! \sum_{\pi \in S_k} \Pi_\pi$.

ATOMS IN THE SMALL TEMPERATURE LIMIT

In the small temperature limit $k_B T \ll \Delta E / \ln(2)$ the atoms are with high probability ($e^{-\beta E_1} Z(\beta)^{-1}$) in the internal ground state $|1\rangle$. Hence, by rewriting the single-particle internal state $\rho_{1p} = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\beta E_j} Z(\beta)^{-1} |j\rangle\langle j|$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{1p} &= \frac{e^{-\beta E_1}}{Z(\beta)} |1\rangle\langle 1| + \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{e^{-\beta E_j}}{Z(\beta)} |j\rangle\langle j| \\ &= (1 - \epsilon) |1\rangle\langle 1| + \epsilon \tilde{\rho}_{1p}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.34})$$

we can identify

$$1 - \epsilon = \frac{e^{-\beta E_1}}{Z(\beta)} \approx \frac{e^{-\beta E_1}}{e^{-\beta E_1} + e^{-\beta E_2}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta \Delta E}} \approx 1 - e^{-\beta \Delta E}, \quad (\text{S.35})$$

i.e., we have $\epsilon \approx e^{-\beta \Delta E}$. For particle numbers $N! \gg 1$ we can then apply Eq. (6) [see also Eq. (S.26)], resulting in

$$\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - e^{-\beta \Delta E})^N \quad (\text{S.36})$$

as stated in the main text.

FAINT DISTINGUISHABILITIES OF PHOTONS WITH RANDOM ARRIVAL TIMES

Let us consider the single-particle internal state $\rho_{1p} = \int dt P(t) |t\rangle\langle t|$ for any probability distribution $P(t)$, i.e., $P(t)$ is not necessarily a normal distribution. Without loss of generality we suppose that $\langle t \rangle = \int dt t P(t) = 0$ such that, in the case of faint distinguishabilities $\sigma \Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{2}$, the photons arrive at time $t \approx \langle t \rangle = 0$ with high probability. Hence, we can write the single-particle internal state as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{1p} &= \langle 0 | \rho_{1p} | 0 \rangle |0\rangle\langle 0| + (1 - \langle 0 | \rho_{1p} | 0 \rangle) \tilde{\rho}_{1p} \\ &= (1 - \epsilon) |0\rangle\langle 0| + \epsilon \tilde{\rho}_{1p}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.37})$$

and identify $1 - \epsilon = \langle 0 | \rho_{1p} | 0 \rangle$. Calculating the expectation value yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \rho_{1p} | 0 \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt P(t) |\langle 0 | t \rangle|^2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt P(t) e^{-\Delta^2 t^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.38})$$

where we used $|t\rangle = (2\pi\Delta^2)^{-1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{i\omega t} e^{-\frac{(\omega-\Omega)^2}{4\Delta^2}} |\omega\rangle$ to obtain the overlap $|\langle 0 | t \rangle|^2 = e^{-\Delta^2 t^2}$. Since $P(t)$ in (S.38) must be small for times $|t| > 1/\Delta$ [recall that we consider faint distinguishabilities $\sigma \Delta \ll 1/\sqrt{2}$, i.e., $\sigma \ll 1/\sqrt{2}\Delta$], we can expand the exponential function in (S.38), resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \rho_{1p} | 0 \rangle &\approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt P(t) (1 - \Delta^2 t^2) \\ &= 1 - \Delta^2 \sigma^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.39})$$

where we used $\langle t^2 \rangle = \sigma^2$, since $\langle t \rangle = 0$. Hence, we find $1 - \epsilon \approx 1 - \Delta^2 \sigma^2$ (compare to the average mutual fidelity in [55]). By Eq. (6) [see also Eq. (S.26)], for $N! \gg 1$, we then have $\mathcal{W}_C \approx (1 - \Delta^2 \sigma^2)^N$ as provided in the main text.