arXiv:2409.02236v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 3 Sep 2024

First-order transition into a charge-density wave phase

A.V. $Rozhkov^1$

¹Institute for Theoretical and Applied Electrodynamics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 125412 Moscow, Russia (Dated: September 5, 2024)

The transition into a charge-density wave phase is analyzed theoretically. We argue that, for commensurate or nearly-commensurate charge-density wave, the transition may become first order. Such a remarkable departure from the more common second-order transition scenario is associated with additional 'umklapp' terms one can include in the Landau free energy expansion in the commensurate or nearly-commensurate cases. The proposed mechanisms may be relevant for certain alloys demonstrating first-order transition into charge-density wave state. It may be generalized for spin-density wave phases as well.

I. INTRODUCTION

Canonical theory of the charge-density wave (CDW) thermodynamic phase (e.g., Ref. 1) concludes that the transition from a disordered phase into a CDW state is continuous (second-order). This basic theoretical expectation is indeed confirmed by numerous experiments. For example, Fig. 5 in review paper 2 demonstrates continuous decay of the CDW order parameter to zero as a function of increasing temperature, for three different CDWhosting alloys [NbSe₃, (TaSe₄)₂I, and $K_{0,3}MoO_3$]. As a more recent demonstration of the same behavior, we can mention Fig. 3 in Ref. 3, where order-parameter-versustemperature data for $TiSe_2$ are presented. Reference 4 examined the transition type for TbTe₃. Continuous decay of the order parameter, absence of hysteresis, and critical fluctuations all point to the second-order transition in the latter compound.

Yet for many crystals a CDW phase is separated from a disordered state by a discontinuous (first-order) transition. Namely, in IrTe₂ the formation of a commensurate CDW (CCDW) is accompanied by pronounced hysteresis inside heating-cooling cycle^{5–9}, the signature of a firstorder transition. Another alloy demonstrating the firstorder transition between a CCDW and a disordered state is Lu₅Ir₄Si₁₀, see Ref. 10. Compound Er₂Ir₃Si₅ presents a similar case but for a nearly-commensurate CDW (NC-CDW) phase¹¹. Other materials for which this phenomenon was reported are Lu₂Ir₃Si₅, see Refs. 12,13; EuTe₄, see Refs. 14,15.

A first-order transition into a CDW state may be explained by incorporating the so-called 'umklapp' contributions into a Landau-type model, as it was done in Ref. 16 in the context of the CDW state in TaSe₂. However, as a CDW-hosting material, TaSe₂ is quite peculiar for it can host three non-identical order parameters connected by C_3 rotations. As one can expect, this symmetry feature is of crucial importance for the structure of the Landau functional. At the same time, it makes the corresponding formalism too specialized to be directly applicable in many relevant situations.

In this paper, we aim to expand the ideas of Ref. 16 to a broader context. Namely, using the Landau free

energy framework, we study phase transitions into commensurate and nearly-commensurate CDW states. We argue that, in various rather general situations, the transition into these states may be discontinuous. Moreover, for some conditions our theory predicts that a material can demonstrate two-transition sequence: a continuous normal-to-CCDW transition is followed by a firstorder CCDW-CCDW transition, the latter connecting the phases that differ only by order parameter magnitudes.

As for NC-CDW, we show that, if we incorporate lattice distortions into the model, suitably constructed umklapp terms become symmetry-allowed. When the lattice distortions fields are eliminated from the free energy, the resultant effective model is equivalent to CCDW Landau free energy, and a first-order transition can be recovered.

Our paper is organized as follows. Section II is dedicated to formulation of a Landau free energy function valid for unidirectional incommensurate CDW. Various models of CCDW are introduced and analyzed in Sec. III. The case of NC-CDW is presented in Sec. IV. Our results are discussed in Sec. V.

II. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is common to describe transition into a CDW state within the framework of the Landau free energy

$$F_0(\rho_{\rm cdw}) = \frac{a}{2} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^2 + \frac{b}{4} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^4, \qquad (1)$$

where the coefficients a and b satisfy the well-known conditions b > 0 and $a(T) = \alpha(T - T_{\text{CDW}})$. Here T is temperature, T_{CDW} is the CDW transition temperature, and coefficient α is positive.

As for the complex order parameter $\rho_{\rm cdw} = |\rho_{\rm cdw}|e^{i\varphi}$, it represents charge density modulation. In many situations it is conveniently approximated by a single harmonic term

$$\rho(\mathbf{R}) \approx \rho_{\rm cdw} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} + {\rm c.c.} = 2|\rho_{\rm cdw}|\cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}+\varphi), \quad (2)$$

where the wave vector \mathbf{k} characterizes CDW spatial periodicity. Following the standard prescription, one mini-

mizes F over $\rho_{\rm cdw}$ to derive

$$|\rho_{\rm cdw}| = \theta (T_{\rm CDW} - T) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha (T_{\rm CDW} - T)}{b}}, \qquad (3)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step-function. This formula explicitly demonstrates that the order parameter strength $|\rho_{cdw}|$ is a continuous function of T, a hallmark of the second-order transition.

Unlike the absolute value $|\rho_{cdw}|$, the order parameter phase φ remains undetermined, which is a manifestation of the U(1)-symmetry of F: the change

$$\varphi \to \varphi + \delta \varphi$$
 (4)

keeps F the same. Physically, this can be viewed as a invariance of the CDW state under arbitrary uniform translation

$$\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{t}, \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$
 (5)

It is easy to check that, for a given \mathbf{t} , the phase change is $\delta \varphi = (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t})$, or, equivalently the order parameter transforms according to

$$\rho_{\rm cdw} \to \rho_{\rm cdw} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t})}$$
(6)

under the translation \mathbf{t} .

III. COMMENSURATE CDW

A. Landau free energy with 'umklapp' contribution

The invariance of the CDW Landau free energy relative to arbitrary translations (5) is, by itself, a very excessive constraint on the model: in any crystal the translation group must be limited to lattice translations only, that is, instead of $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the allowed **t**'s are

$$\mathbf{t} = m_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + m_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + m_3 \mathbf{a}_3,\tag{7}$$

where m_i are integers, and \mathbf{a}_i are elementary lattice vectors.

The reduction of the invariance group implies that additional terms may be introduced into the Landau free energy. Below we explicitly construct these terms for commensurate CDW order.

By definition, a commensurate CDW satisfies the following conditions

$$(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i) = \frac{2\pi p_i}{q_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{8}$$

where integer p_i is co-prime with q_i for all *i*. Formally, of course, any measured **k** can be described in this manner, with arbitrary large q_i 's. However, for practical matters, a wave vector is considered to be commensurate only when all three q_i 's are not too large.

For these three q_i we introduce their least common multiple $n = \operatorname{lcm}(q_1, q_2, q_3)$, referred below to as commensuration degree. Then the monomial ρ_{cdw}^n is invariant under arbitrary lattice translations. To prove this claim, we start with Eq. (6) and write $\rho_{\mathrm{cdw}}^n \to \rho_{\mathrm{cdw}}^n e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{t})n}$, where

$$(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t})n = 2\pi \sum_{i} m_i p_i \frac{n}{q_i}.$$
(9)

Since *n* is a multiple of a q_i for any *i*, one establishes that $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t})n = 2\pi N$, where *N* is an integer. Thus, ρ_{cdw}^n is invariant for any **t** described by Eq. (7)

Note that, while n is introduced as least common multiple of three denominators q_i 's, in many realistic situations, however, no significant number-theoretical calculations are required, as the commensuration degree is quite obvious from the data. For example, if $(p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2, p_3/q_3) = (1/5, 0, 1/5)$, as in Ref. 9, then n = 5.

Since $\rho_{\rm cdw}^n$ is invariant, we conclude that, for any complex number $c_n = |c_n|e^{i\gamma}$, the *n*th degree 'umklapp' contribution

$$F_{\rm u}^{(n)} = -\frac{c_n}{2n}\rho_{\rm cdw}^n + {\rm c.c.} = -\frac{|c_n|}{n}|\rho_{\rm cdw}|^n\cos(n\varphi + \gamma)(10)$$

is explicitly real and invariant under lattice translations. Consequently, the free energy

$$F^{(n)} = F_0 + F_{\rm u}^{(n)}.$$
 (11)

can be used as a model for a commensurate CDW state.

Inclusion of $F_{u}^{(n)}$ into the free energy shrinks the symmetry group of the Landau energy from U(1) to Z_n : function $F^{(n)}$ is no longer invariant under an arbitrary phase shift, only discrete shifts

$$\varphi \to \varphi + \frac{2\pi m}{n}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$
 (12)

do not change the free energy.

Let us now search for minima of $F^{(n)}$. Minimization with respect to φ is very simple. As this variable enters $F_{u}^{(n)}$ term only, it is easy to demonstrate that the Landau energy is the lowest when $\varphi = \varphi_{m}^{*}$, where

$$\varphi_m^* = \frac{2\pi m}{n} - \frac{\gamma}{n}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$
 (13)

We see n minima evenly distributed over a unit circle. This arrangement resembles a clock dial, thus a common name for a model of this kind is the *n*-state clock model. Another frequently used designation is the Z_n model, a reference to the invariance group of $F^{(n)}$.

Every φ_m^* in Eq. (13) represents a particular localization of CDW distortions relative to the underlying lattice, see Fig. 1. There are *n* such localizations, all of them are degenerate. Speaking heuristically, one can say that a CDW with *n*th degree commensuration is always pinned by the lattice to one of *n* possible minima, as illustrated



FIG. 1: Non-equivalent lattice configurations for a commensurate CDW for n = 3. Five panels schematically represent the same lattice with and without CCDW distortions. Primitive vector \mathbf{a}_1 of the unperturbed lattice (panel 0) is drawn as a (blue) arrow. The directions orthogonal to \mathbf{a}_1 are not depicted. Panels from 1 to 4 show the lattice distorted by the CDW. The CDW unit cell (dotted-line rectangle) grows threefold relative to the unit cell of the pristine lattice. Vertical (red) dashed lines mark undistorted atoms positions. Starting from the CDW configuration in panel 1, one can generate two more structures (panels 2 and 3) by executing two consecutive translations on \mathbf{a}_1 . The third translation does not produce a new structure, instead the initial distortion (panel 1) is recovered, as indeed panel 4 demonstrates. Each configuration in panels 1, 2, and 3 represents one of three minima of the Z_3 model.

by Fig. 1. This pinning decreases the symmetry of the Landau free energy from U(1) to Z_n .

At any of these minima the cosine in Eq. (10) is equal to unity. Thus, the Landau free energy can be re-written as the following function of a single non-negative variable $|\rho_{cdw}|$

$$\tilde{F}^{(n)} = \frac{a}{2} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^2 + \frac{b}{4} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^4 - \frac{|c_n|}{n} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^n + \dots, \quad (14)$$

where ellipses stand for higher-order terms that might be necessary to include in order to maintain stability of the free energy, and the tilde over F implies that this free energy does not depend on φ .

For n = 2 the contribution proportional to $|c_2|$ acts to renormalize a, effectively increasing the transition temperature. The transition remains continuous for all $|c_2|$. If n > 2, the contribution coming from the "umklapp" term $F_{\rm u}^{(n)}$ may qualitatively alter the behavior of the system near the transition point, as discussed below.

B. Z_3 model of CDW

The value n = 3 represents the CCDW phase whose unit cell is three times larger than the unit cell of the underlying lattice. (This type of order is schematically



FIG. 2: Landau free energy $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ as a function of the order parameter $|\rho_{cdw}|$, for various temperatures (various values of a, see legend). The graphs here are plotted for b = 1 and $|c_3| = 1$, all units are arbitrary. The solid (magenta) curve with a single minimum at $|\rho_{cdw}| = 0$ represents the system in the high-temperature disordered phase. The dashed (blue) curve with a non-trivial minimum (marked by the cross) shows the formation of the metastable CDW state at lower temperature (lower a). The dotted (black) curve separates the curves with and without a metastable minimum. This separatrix is realized when $a(T) = |c_3|^2/(4b)$. If the trivial and non-trivial minima have identical free energies, which is the case of the dash-dotted (green) curve, the first-order phase transition occurs. Discontinuity of the order parameter at the transition is marked by (green) triangle. Solid (red) curve correspond to ordered phase, with the circle marking the stable value of $|\rho_{cdw}|$. The disordered phase $|\rho_{cdw}| = 0$ is a metastable minimum on this curve.

shown in Fig. 1.) Specializing Eq. (14) for n = 3, one can express the free energy $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ as

$$\tilde{F}^{(3)}(|\rho_{\rm cdw}|) = \frac{a}{2}|\rho_{\rm cdw}|^2 - \frac{|c_3|}{3}|\rho_{\rm cdw}|^3 + \frac{b}{4}|\rho_{\rm cdw}|^4.$$
(15)

We see that this free energy is stable in the sense that, for large $|\rho_{\rm cdw}|$, function $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ grows, which guarantees that an equilibrium value of the order parameter is bounded.

For a > 0 this free energy has a $|\rho_{\rm cdw}| = 0$ minimum that represents (meta)stable disordered state. Additionally, for $a < |c_3|^2/(4b)$ there is a minimum of $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ at

$$|\rho_{\rm cdw}| = \frac{1}{2b} \left(|c_3| + \sqrt{|c_3|^2 - 4ab} \right), \tag{16}$$

see Fig. 2. It is easy to check that Eq. (16) describes the global minimum of $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ when $a < 2|c_3|^2/(9b)$.

By exploiting the commonly assumed linearization

$$a = a(T) \approx \alpha (T - T_*), \quad \alpha > 0, \tag{17}$$

where T_* is the temperature for which a(T) passes through zero, the CDW transition temperature can be expressed as

$$T_{\rm CDW} = T_* + \frac{2|c_3|^2}{9\alpha ab} > T_*.$$
 (18)

We see that T_* by itself does not have any special meaning. However, in the limit $|c_3| \rightarrow 0$ the transition temperature T_{CDW} approaches T_* .

At the transition, the coefficient a is not zero, but rather $a = 2|c_3|^2/(9b)$. Substituting this value in Eq. (16), one finds that $|\rho_{cdw}|$ jumps from 0 to $2|c_3|/(3b)$, see also Fig. 2. Thus, we conclude that, at finite $|c_3|$, the transition is discontinuous. On the other hand, one must remember that at small $|c_3|$ the transition is formally indeed first-order, yet, in this regime, the discontinuity of order parameter becomes weak, and difficult to detect. This observation remains relevant for other signatures of first-order transition.

C. Z_4 model of CDW

The n = 4 phase diagram differs qualitatively from the n = 3 situation. The n = 4 Landau free energy reads

$$\tilde{F}^{(4)} = \frac{a}{2} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^2 + \frac{b}{4} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^4 + \frac{d}{6} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^6, \qquad (19)$$

where $\tilde{b} = b - |c_4|$. In other words, $|c_4|$ effectively renormalizes b. Since \tilde{b} can be either positive, or negative, depending on the relation between b and $|c_4|$, we retained here the sixth-order term to prevent uncontrollable growth of the order parameter at $\tilde{b} < 0$.

When $|c_4| < b$, the free energy $\tilde{F}^{(4)}$ describes secondorder transition that occurs at a = 0. If linearization (17) is assumed, then the transition temperature coincides with T_* .

At negative \tilde{b} , the transition into the CDW phase becomes first-order. (Qualitatively, the behavior of $\tilde{F}^{(4)}$ in this regime is very similar to the graphs of $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ in Fig. 2.) For $0 < 4ad < \tilde{b}^2$ the free energy has three extrema: one at zero, and two more at

$$|\rho_{\rm cdw}| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2d} \left(|\tilde{b}| \pm \sqrt{\tilde{b}^2 - 4ad} \right)}.$$
 (20)

The minimum (maximum) corresponds to the plus (minus) sign in this formula. The transition into the ordered state takes place when the free energy at the non-trivial minimum becomes equal to zero, which is the free energy at the trivial minimum $|\rho_{\rm cdw}| = 0$. This occurs at $a = 3\tilde{b}^2/(16d)$ if $\tilde{b} < 0$.

At arbitrary sign of \hat{b} the transition temperature can be compactly expressed as

$$T_{\rm CDW} = T_* + \frac{3(b - |c_4|)^2}{16\alpha d} \theta(|c_4| - b).$$
(21)

This shows that, unlike the n = 3 case, arbitrary weak n = 4 "umklapp" term cannot change the continuous

type of the transition. Only when $|c_4|$ exceeds b, the transition becomes discontinuous. The point a = 0, $|c_4| = b$ is a tricritical point on the phase diagram.

D. Z_5 and Z_6 models

For n = 5 and n = 6, the phase diagram acquires additional complexity. We start our analysis by writing the n = 5 Landau free energy as

$$\tilde{F}^{(5)} = \frac{a}{2} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^2 + \frac{b}{4} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^4 - \frac{|c_5|}{5} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^5 + \frac{d}{6} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^6, \quad (22)$$

where, as before, we included the $O(|\rho_{\rm cdw}|^6)$ term to provide proper growth of $\tilde{F}^{(5)}$ at $|\rho_{\rm cdw}| \to +\infty$. Due to relative complexity of the n = 5 and n = 6 cases, it is convenient to introduce normalized quantities. Namely, the dimensionless form of $\tilde{F}^{(5)}$ reads

$$\frac{F^{(5)}}{\mathcal{F}_0} = \frac{A}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^4 - \frac{C}{5}y^5 + \frac{1}{6}y^6.$$
 (23)

The coefficient A in this formula is

$$A = \frac{ad}{b^2} = \frac{\alpha d}{b^2} (T - T_*).$$
 (24)

Other quantities are

~ . .

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \frac{b^3}{d^2}, \quad y = \sqrt{\frac{d}{b}} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|, \quad C = \frac{|c_5|}{\sqrt{bd}}.$$
 (25)

Here energy \mathcal{F}_0 sets the overall scale for $\tilde{F}^{(5)}$, and y is the dimensionless order parameter.

For A > 0, the disordered state y = 0 is absolutely unstable. It is at least metastable when A < 0. As for ordered states (stable, metastable, or unstable), they are represented by roots of the equation

$$\mathcal{P}_C^{(5)}(y) = -A,\tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{(5)}(y)$ is a family of polynomials of variable y

$$\mathcal{P}_C^{(5)}(y) = y^2 (1 - Cy + y^2), \tag{27}$$

parameterized by C > 0.

For small C and positive y, the polynomials are positive increasing functions, see Fig. 3. Thus, Eq. (26) has one solution for negative A. No solution exists when A > 0. If C is fixed, this describes an order-disorder continuous phase transition at A = 0, or, equivalently, at $T = T_*$.

This simple picture is not applicable for $C > C_{\rm hi} = 4\sqrt{2}/3$. Indeed, at $C = C_{\rm hi}$ a horizontal inflection at $y = 1/\sqrt{2}$ is formed (hence, the subscript 'hi'). For $C > C_{\rm hi}$ the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_C^{(5)}(y)$ is no longer monotonic as a function of y, and more than one solution become possible for appropriate (negative) values of A.



FIG. 3: Family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{(5)}(y)$, for various C, see legend. When $C < C_{\text{hi}} = 4\sqrt{2}/3$, the function increases monotonically for growing y (solid magenta curve), consequently, Eq. (26) has one non-zero root if A < 0, and no root otherwise. Exactly at $C = C_{\text{hi}}$ the polynomial graph (black dotted line) possesses a horizontal inflection point at $y = 1/\sqrt{2}$, which is marked by a cross. Below C_{hi} the function is no longer monotonic (dashed and dash-dotted curves). In this regime, for suitable A, multiple (two or three) roots of Eq. (26) exist. For sufficiently large values of C part of the curve lies below horizontal axis, as the (blue) dashed curve demonstrates. In this case, Eq. (26) has non-trivial roots even for positive A.

Since $\mathcal{P}_{C_{\text{hi}}}(1/\sqrt{2}) = 1/12$, these roots are realized for A > -1/12. Multiple non-trivial roots of Eq. (26) implies that first order transitions between CDW states emerges. Note that the states separated by this transition have identical symmetries. The only difference is the magnitude of $|\rho_{\text{cdw}}|$.

As one can see from Fig. 3, for sufficiently large C there are finite intervals of y in which the value $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{(5)}(y)$ is negative. For such C, Eq. (26) has two roots even for positive A > 0. When A grows, the roots approach each other, merge, and ultimately disappear, signaling a first-order transition into the disordered phase.

The resultant phase diagram is shown in Fig. 4 (left). It features a second-order transition line reaching the first-order transition curve. The latter terminates at a critical point inside the CDW phase. This point corresponds to the horizontal inflection point for $\mathcal{P}_C^{(5)}$. The tricritical point 'T', where two transition lines meet, can be found by solving Eq. (26) simultaneously with $\tilde{F}^{(5)}(y) = 0$, both in the limit A = 0. These two equations can be satisfied when $C = 5/\sqrt{6}$, which is the horizontal coordinate of 'T'.

Depending on the value of C, the behavior $\rho_{\rm cdw} = \rho_{\rm cdw}(T)$ may vary significantly, see Fig. 5. If $C > 5/\sqrt{6}$, which corresponds to the area to the right of the 'T' on the phase diagram, the order-disorder transition is discontinuous. To the left of point 'Cp' ($C < 4\sqrt{2}/3$), the transition is continuous, at A = 0. In the interval $4\sqrt{2}/3 < C < 5/\sqrt{6}$ the model exhibits a cascade of two

transitions (a first-order CDW-CDW transition followed by a second-order CDW-disorder transition). When coefficient C is fine-tuned to be $C = 4\sqrt{2}/3$, the order parameter discontinuity shrinks to zero and becomes a continuous singularity, as shown in Fig. 5.

For n = 6 commensuration, the Landau free energy can be expressed as

$$\tilde{F}^{(6)} = \frac{a}{2} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^2 + \frac{b}{4} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^4 - \frac{d}{6} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^6 + \frac{e}{8} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^8, \quad (28)$$

where $d = |c_6| - d$, and d, e > 0. Normalized form of this free energy is easy to establish

$$\frac{\tilde{F}^{(6)}}{\mathcal{F}_0} = \frac{A}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^4 - \frac{D}{6}y^6 + \frac{1}{8}y^8.$$
 (29)

Here, under assumption b > 0, we introduced the following set of parameters

$$y = \left(\frac{e}{b}\right)^{1/4} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|, \quad \mathcal{F}_0 = \frac{b^2}{e}, \tag{30}$$

$$A = a\sqrt{\frac{e}{b^3}}, \quad D = \frac{d}{\sqrt{be}}.$$
 (31)

Similar to Eq. (26), ordered phases of the n = 6 model are represented by roots of equation

$$\mathcal{P}_D^{(6)}(y) = -A$$
, where $\mathcal{P}_D^{(6)} = y^2(1 - Dy^2 + y^4).$ (32)

Analysis of Eq. (26) can be adopted for the latter equation, and an n = 6 phase diagram can be constructed, see Fig. 4 (right). It is clear that both diagrams in Fig. 4 are qualitatively similar.

IV. NEAR-COMMENSURATE CDW

We demonstrated in the previous section that symmetry-allowed "umklapp" terms enhance complexity of the model's phase diagram. In particular, a first-order transition line emerges. Let us now generalize our approach to the case of NC-CDW.

For an NC-CDW, vector $n\mathbf{k}$ does not belong to the reciprocal lattice of a host crystal for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, however, one can find a (small) integer m and a reciprocal lattice vector $\mathbf{b} \neq 0$ such that a vector

$$\delta \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{b} - m\mathbf{k} \tag{33}$$

is small in the sense that $|(\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i)| \ll 1$ for all i = 1, 2, 3.

Since **k** is not commensurate, ρ_{cdw}^n is not compatible with the lattice translation group for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Yet, an umklapp contribution associated with the NC-CDW order can emerge through the following mechanism. Note that a monomial ρ_{cdw}^m , where *m* is defined in Eq. (33), transforms according to the rule $\rho_{cdw}^m \rightarrow e^{-i(\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i)} \rho_{cdw}^m$ upon a translation on the elementary lattice vector \mathbf{a}_i . Although "the elementary defects" $e^{-i(\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i)}$ are close to



FIG. 4: Grayscale phase diagrams for n = 5 (left) and n = 6 (right). The diagrams are results of numerical minimization of the dimensionless Landau free energies $\tilde{F}^{(5,6)}/\mathcal{F}_0$, see Eqs. (23) and (29), over order parameter y. Black area represents the disordered phase, various shades of gray express the CDW order parameter strength (for references, see a colorbar to the right of a respective phase diagram). The disordered phase is bound on the right by a first-order transition line visible as a sharp contrast edge. This first-order line intrudes into the CDW phase terminating in a critical point (green dot marked by 'Cp'). Inside the ordered phase this line separates the CDW states with unequal value of y (crossing this line from left to right we see discontinuous growth of y). The critical point 'Cp' corresponds to polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{C,D}^{(5,6)}$ with the horizontal inflection point [for n = 5 (n = 6) this inflection occurs at $C = 4\sqrt{2}/3 \approx 1.89$ (at $D = \sqrt{3} \approx 1.73$)]. The second-order transition line at A = 0 limits the disordered phase from below. For n = 5 this line reaches the first-order transition curve at $C = 5/\sqrt{6} \approx 2.04$ and terminates there (this location is marked by a red dot and 'T'). When n = 6, point 'T' is located at $D = 3/\sqrt{2} \approx 2.12$. Qualitative structures of the two phase diagrams are identical.

unity, the exponent oscillates for longer translations, indicating that the contribution $\propto \rho_{\rm cdw}^m$ averages to zero upon summation over the whole sample.

Fortunately, since $|\delta \mathbf{q}|$ is small, the lattice can adjust its structure to allow the umklapp term. Imagine that the lattice, in response to the CDW presence, experiences an additional periodic distortion with the wave vector $\delta \mathbf{q}$. Representing such a distortion by a complex quantity u, we can devise a contribution $u\rho_{cdw}^n$ that is invariant under the lattice translations. (Conceptualizing u, one can think of it as a "frozen", or "condensed" phonon mode, whose wave vector is $\delta \mathbf{q}$.)

To check the invariance, observe that a translation on \mathbf{a}_i transforms u according to the rule $u \to e^{i(\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i)} u$. This makes the products $u \rho_{\text{cdw}}^m$ and $(u \rho_{\text{cdw}}^m)^*$ translation-invariant, and permissible to enter the Landau free energy.

With this in mind, we write the following Landau-type model

$$F_{\rm NC}(\rho_{\rm cdw}, u) = F_0(\rho_{\rm cdw})$$

$$+ \varepsilon_{\delta \mathbf{q}} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4m}} \left(g_{\rm nc} u \rho_{\rm cdw}^m + \text{c.c.} \right).$$
(34)

Here $\varepsilon_{\delta \mathbf{q}} |u|^2 \geq 0$ is the elastic energy associated with the distortion u, complex coefficient g_{nc} is a coupling constant, and factor $(4m)^{-1/2}$ is introduced into this formula to make expression below consistent with previous definitions.

Minimizing this energy over u, we obtain

$$u = -\frac{g_{\rm nc}^*}{\sqrt{4m\varepsilon_{\delta \mathbf{g}}}} (\rho_{\rm cdw}^*)^m. \tag{35}$$

This relation demonstrates that in the NC-CDW phase the distortion u is always present. This relation can be checked in experiment.

Substituting the equality for u into Eq. (34) one derives the reduced free energy that depends on $|\rho_{cdw}|$ only

$$\tilde{F}_{\rm NC} = F_0 - \frac{|c_{2m}|}{4m} |\rho_{\rm cdw}|^{2m}, \text{ where } |c_{2m}| = \frac{|g_{\rm nc}|^2}{\varepsilon_{\delta \mathbf{q}}}.$$
 (36)

Note that this reduced Landau free energy is identical to $\tilde{F}^{(n)}(|\rho_{\rm cdw}|)$ for n = 2m. As a result, it can describe a first-order transition between the disordered and ordered phases, as we have seen above for Z_4 and Z_6 models.

V. DISCUSSION

We argued above that the transition into a commensurate or nearly-commensurate CDW phase can be discontinuous. The mechanism that turns an anticipated second-order transition into a first-order one relies on the umklapp contributions to the CDW Landau free energy. The proposed model may be viewed as an expansion of the ideas previously formulated for TaSe₂ in Ref. 16



FIG. 5: Transition types in the Z_5 model, for various values of C, see legend. For larger C, the transition is first-order, as the (green) dash-dotted curve shows: when temperature grows (A increases) the order parameter $|\rho_{cdw}|$ smoothly decreases until the transition point is reached, where $|\rho_{cdw}|$ drops to zero discontinuously. When $C < 4\sqrt{2}/3$, the transition is second-order, as the solid (red) curve plotted for C = 1.8demonstrates. When $4\sqrt{2}/3 < C < 5/\sqrt{6}$, the model exhibits a cascade of two transitions, see (blue) dashed curve. There is a continuous order-disorder transition at A = 0, and a discontinuous transition within the same CDW phase at a lower temperature. Finally, the (black) dotted curve corresponds to $C = 4\sqrt{2}/3$ (requires fine-tuning). As the system passes through 'Cp' point in Fig. 4 (left), a singularity $\sim \pm |1/12 + A|^{1/3}$ emerges. The influence of this singularity on the solid (red) curve is also visible.

to CDW phases with different types of order parameter symmetries.

The effects of the umklapp contribution on the model phase diagram depend on the commensuration degree n: the larger n the richer the model's phase diagram. Indeed, for n = 2 the umklapp contribution does nothing but corrects the transition point, when n is as large as 5 or 6, the phase diagram displays such elements as tricritical point, critical point, continuous and discontinuous transitions lines, see Fig. 4.

Moreover, the $Z_{5,6}$ models allow for a possibility that the destruction of the order may occur through a twostep process: lower-temperature CDW-CDW discontinuous transition followed by higher-temperature CDWdisorder continuous transition, as Fig. 5 illustrates for Z_5 model. Superficially, one may argue that such a cascade was already discussed quite some time ago (see, for instance, Fig. 1 in Ref. 17, or Fig. 2 in Ref. 18). However, there is an important difference. Indeed, in our case, the first-order CDW-CDW transition occurs within the same commensurate phase. This is very much unlike commensurate-incommensurate CDW lock-in transitions of Refs. 16,17, as well as other¹⁸ first-order transitions associated with discontinuous change of CDW wave vector. We did not extend our analysis beyond n = 6 power. Unfortunately, we were unable to identify any general principle restricting structure of the phase diagram of large-*n* models. In such a situation any investigation of large-*n* model becomes problematic due to ever increasing number of parameters one must keep in the Landau free energy expansion to guarantee model stability. Yet, apart these purely technical issues, the formulated analytical framework is perfectly applicable for n > 6 models.

Our argumentation can be extended to NC-CDW order parameters as well. This is not ultimately that surprising: in a situation of small deviation from commensurability $|\delta \mathbf{q}|$ at not-too-large *n* a sufficiently soft hosting crystal lattice reorganizes itself to lock-in with the CDW. This is the heuristic understanding behind Eq. (36). Such a model offers an alternative to a well-known lock-in scenario¹⁶ in which the CDW adjusts its wave vector while the (infinitely rigid) lattice does not participate at all.

At the same time, our approach to a NC-CDW phase bears clear similarity with that of Ref. 18. To satisfy the commensurability condition (33), we postulated that the lattice generates a deformation with small $|\delta \mathbf{q}|$, while in Ref. 18 a commensurability condition is fulfilled by introducing additional smooth modulations of the order parameter.

In our discussion we assumed that the system always chooses the global minimum of the Landau free energy. Yet our models for n > 2 allows for metastable states. For example, metastable minima, both ordered and disordered, are clearly visible in Fig. 2, which is plotted for n = 3. Switching between two minima reveals itself as hysteresis, a common fixture of experimental presentation of a first-order transition. Using the above phase diagrams for experimental data analysis one must remember that our calculations do not take hysteresis into account. In principle, hysteresis can be captured in the framework of the Landau theory of phase transitions. However, the description of this kind oversimplifies the physics significantly as it ignores various non-universal mechanisms affecting hysteretic behavior in real materials.

Additionally, we can adapt the discussed ideas to the spin-density wave (SDW) case. The SDW order parameter is a complex vector \mathbf{S} , and $(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S})$ is a true complex scalar. Thus, for even n = 2k one can construct an umk-lapp term of the form $c_{2k}(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S})^k + \text{c.c.}$ that is consistent with the discrete translations, parity, and time inversion symmetries.

Finally, let us make the following observation. A number of alloys demonstrate the first-order transition between disordered and CDW phases. Several papers reporting this also commented^{10,12,13} that such an unusual transition type must be a consequence of "strong coupling". Within the context of the described formalism the expectation of strong coupling regime is quite natural: the umklapp coefficients $|c_n|$ are likely to be small for larger n unless the displacements associated with the order parameter are significant.

To conclude, in this paper, within the Landau free energy framework, we explored effects of the order parameter commensuration on the CDW transition properties. We demonstrated that in the case of commensurate and nearly-commensurate CDW the anticipated second-order transition may be replaced by the first-order transition, as indeed observed experimentally. Under certain circumstances our model predicts a cascade of two transitions (low-temperature CDW-CDW first-order transition is followed by higher-temperature order-disorder second-

- ¹ G. Grüner, *Density Waves in Solids* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1994).
- ² G. Grüner, "The dynamics of charge-density waves," Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 1129 (1988).
- ³ A. Kogar, G. A. de la Pena, S. Lee, Y. Fang, S. X.-L. Sun, D. B. Lioi, G. Karapetrov, K. D. Finkelstein, J. P. C. Ruff, P. Abbamonte, et al., "Observation of a Charge Density Wave Incommensuration Near the Superconducting Dome in Cu_xTiSe₂," Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 027002 (2017).
- ⁴ N. Ru, C. L. Condron, G. Y. Margulis, K. Y. Shin, J. Laverock, S. B. Dugdale, M. F. Toney, and I. R. Fisher, "Effect of chemical pressure on the charge density wave transition in rare-earth tritellurides *R*Te₃," Phys. Rev. B **77**, 035114 (2008).
- ⁵ S. Pyon, K. Kudo, and M. Nohara, "Superconductivity Induced by Bond Breaking in the Triangular Lattice of IrTe2," J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 053701 (2012).
- ⁶ M. J. Eom, K. Kim, Y. J. Jo, J. J. Yang, E. S. Choi, B. I. Min, J.-H. Park, S.-W. Cheong, and J. S. Kim, "Dimerization-Induced Fermi-Surface Reconstruction in IrTe₂," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 266406 (2014).
- ⁷ K.-T. Ko, H.-H. Lee, D.-H. Kim, J.-J. Yang, S.-W. Cheong, M. J. Eom, J. S. Kim, R. Gammag, K.-S. Kim, H.-S. Kim, et al., "Charge-ordering cascade with spin-orbit Mott dimer states in metallic iridium ditelluride," Nat. Commun. 6, 7342 (2015).
- ⁸ K. Kim, S. Kim, K.-T. Ko, H. Lee, J.-H. Park, J. J. Yang, S.-W. Cheong, and B. I. Min, "Origin of First-Order-Type Electronic and Structural Transitions in IrTe₂," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 136401 (2015).
- ⁹ O. Ivashko, L. Yang, D. Destraz, E. Martino, Y. Chen, C. Y. Guo, H. Q. Yuan, A. Pisoni, P. Matus, S. Pyon, et al., "Charge-Stripe Order and Superconductivity in Ir_{1-x}Pt_xTe₂," Sci. Rep. **7**, 17157 (2017).
- ¹⁰ B. Becker, N. G. Patil, S. Ramakrishnan, A. A. Menovsky, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, J. A. Mydosh, M. Kohgi, and K. Iwasa,

order transition). These ideas may be applicable to SDW phases as well.

Acknowledgments

Author is thankful to B.V. Fine, B.Q. Lv, and Alfred Zong for illuminating discussions.

"Strongly coupled charge-density wave transition in singlecrystal $Lu_5Ir_4Si_{10}$," Phys. Rev. B **59**, 7266 (1999).

- ¹¹ S. Ramakrishnan, A. Schönleber, T. Rekis, N. van Well, L. Noohinejad, S. van Smaalen, M. Tolkiehn, C. Paulmann, B. Bag, A. Thamizhavel, et al., "Unusual charge density wave transition and absence of magnetic ordering in Er₂Ir₃Si₅," Phys. Rev. B **101**, 060101 (2020).
- ¹² N. S. Sangeetha, A. Thamizhavel, C. V. Tomy, S. Basu, A. M. Awasthi, P. Rajak, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Ramakrishnan, and D. Pal, "Multiple charge-density-wave transitions in single-crystalline Lu₂Ir₃Si₅," Phys. Rev. B **91**, 205131 (2015).
- ¹³ Y. K. Kuo, K. M. Sivakumar, T. H. Su, and C. S. Lue, "Phase transitions in Lu₂Ir₃Si₅: An experimental investigation by transport measurements," Phys. Rev. B **74**, 045115 (2006).
- ¹⁴ B. Q. Lv, A. Zong, D. Wu, A. V. Rozhkov, B. V. Fine, S.-D. Chen, M. Hashimoto, D.-H. Lu, M. Li, Y.-B. Huang, et al., "Unconventional Hysteretic Transition in a Charge Density Wave," Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 036401 (2022).
- ¹⁵ D. Wu, Q. M. Liu, S. L. Chen, G. Y. Zhong, J. Su, L. Y. Shi, L. Tong, G. Xu, P. Gao, and N. L. Wang, "Layered semiconductor EuTe₄ with charge density wave order in square tellurium sheets," Phys. Rev. Mater. **3**, 024002 (2019).
- ¹⁶ W. L. McMillan, "Landau theory of charge-density waves in transition-metal dichalcogenides," Phys. Rev. B 12, 1187 (1975).
- ¹⁷ W. L. McMillan, "Microscopic model of charge-density waves in 2*H* – TaSe₂," Phys. Rev. B **16**, 643 (1977).
- ¹⁸ K. Nakanishi and H. Shiba, "Domain-like incommensurate charge-density-wave states and the first-order incommensurate-commensurate transitions in layered tantalum dichalcogenides. I. 1T-polytype," J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 43, 1839 (1977).