

A LOCAL UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR THE FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION ON CLOSED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

YI-HSUAN LIN

ABSTRACT. We investigate that a potential V in the fractional Schrödinger equation $((-\Delta_g)^s + V)u = f$ can be recovered locally by using the local source-to-solution map on smooth connected closed Riemannian manifolds. To achieve this goal, we derive a related new Runge approximation property.

Keywords. Fractional Laplacian, nonlocal diffuse optical tomography, Runge approximation, simultaneous determination

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 26A33, 35J10, 35R30.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $\dim M \geq 2$, and $-\Delta_g$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on M . It is known that the domain of $-\Delta_g$ is the standard Sobolev space $H^2(M)$. Let us also denote the eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ on M with increasing order, by $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$, and the first eigenfunction $\phi_0 \equiv 1$ (up to normalization). Consider $(\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be an orthonormal basis for $\ker(-\Delta_g - \lambda_k)$ in $L^2(M)$ (with multiplicity), with respect to λ_k , for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us define the L^2 inner product on M as $(f, g)_{L^2(M)} := \int_M fg \, dV_g$, where dV_g denotes the natural volume element on (M, g) . Given $s \in (0, 1)$, the fractional Laplace-Beltrami on M of order s as an unbounded self-adjoint operator can be defined via the spectral theorem

$$(-\Delta_g)^s u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s (u, \phi_k)_{L^2(M)} \phi_k(x)$$

in the domain

$$\mathcal{D}((-\Delta_g)^s) := \left\{ u \in L^2(M) : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{2s} |(u, \phi_k)_{L^2(M)}|^2 < \infty \right\} = H^{2s}(M).$$

The fractional Laplace-Beltrami operator $(-\Delta_g)^s$ can be viewed as a linear map from $H^a(M) \rightarrow H^{a-2s}(M)$, for any $a \geq 0$.

Let us characterize our mathematical model in this work. Let (M, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold, and $V = V(x) \in C^\infty(M)$ be a nonnegative potential. Consider

$$(1.1) \quad ((-\Delta_g)^s + V)u = f \text{ in } M.$$

Via the eigenvalue condition, it is also known that $((-\Delta_g)u, 1)_{L^2(M)} = 0$. With the above assumptions, by standard regularity theory, it is not hard to see that there exists a unique solution $u_f \in C^\infty(M)$ of the equation (1.1).

Let $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ be a nonempty open set and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. Then one can define the local *source-to-solution map* $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$ of (1.1) via

$$(1.2) \quad L_{V,\mathcal{O}} : C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O}) \ni f \mapsto u_f|_{\mathcal{O}} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}),$$

where $u_f \in C^\infty(M)$ is the solution to (1.1). We want to recover the potential V by using the local measurement $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$. We can prove the next theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Given $s \in (0, 1)$, let (M, g) be smooth closed connected Riemannian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 2$, and $0 \leq V_j \in C^\infty(M)$, for $j = 1, 2$. Let $\mathcal{O} \Subset M$ be an open set. Suppose that either $V_1 = V_2$ in \mathcal{O} or $V_1 = V_2$ in $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$, then*

$$(1.3) \quad L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}}(f) = L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}}(f), \text{ for all } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O}).$$

implies $V_1 = V_2$ in M .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on suitable integral identity and approximation property. Meanwhile, a variant result of Theorem 1.1 has been addressed in [GLX17] by using exterior measurements. Throughout this paper, we always denote (M, g) as a smooth, connected closed Riemannian manifold of $\dim M \geq 2$.

• **Related works.** Inverse problems for fractional/nonlocal equations have been paid attention in recent years. In the pioneering work [GSU20], the authors first proved a global uniqueness result of bounded potentials for the fractional Schrödinger equation by using the exterior Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DN) map. Afterward, there are many related results appeared in this direction, and we refer readers to [CLL19, GLX17, CLL19, CLR20, CRTZ24, FGKU24, FKU24, KLV22, RS20, RZ23, LZ24, GRSU20, KLZ24, KRZ23]). Let us point out that the essential methods in this area are *unique continuation property* and *Runge approximation*. More recently, one could also solve (local) inverse problems by using the nonlocal method (see [LNZ24]).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We first review a simple fact.

Lemma 2.1. *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} ((-\Delta_g)^s u, v)_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)} &= ((-\Delta_g)^{s/2} u, (-\Delta_g)^{s/2} v)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= (u, (-\Delta_g)^s v)_{H^s(M) \times H^{-s}(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in H^s(M)$, where $H^{-s}(M)$ denotes the dual space of $H^s(M)$.

Proof. This is followed directly by straightforward computations with eigenvalue and eigenfunction decomposition. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Well-posedness). *Given $f \in H^{-s}(M)$, let $0 \leq V \in C^\infty(M)$, then there exists a unique solution $u \in H^s(M)$ to the equation (1.1). Moreover, if $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then $u \in C^\infty(M)$.*

Proof. Consider the bilinear form associated with (1.1) to be

$$B_{g,V}(u, v) := ((-\Delta_g)^{s/2} u, (-\Delta_g)^{s/2} v)_{L^2(M)} + (Vu, v)_{L^2(M)},$$

for any $u, v \in H^s(M)$. Using $V \geq 0$ in M , the standard Lax-Milgram theorem and later statement can be seen by using elliptic regularity theory. \square

With the above lemma at hand, one can define the local source-to-solution map $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$ of (1.1) rigorously, which is exactly given by (1.2). We next derive a useful integral identity.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $V, V_j \in C^\infty(M)$, for $j = 1, 2$, and $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ be a nonempty open set, then*

(i) (Self-adjointness). *There holds*

$$(2.1) \quad (f_1, L_{V,\mathcal{O}} f_2)_{L^2(M)} = (L_{V,\mathcal{O}} f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)},$$

(ii) (Integral identity). *Let $V_1, V_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, then there holds that*

$$(2.2) \quad ((L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}} - L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}}) f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} = -((V_1 - V_2) u_1^{f_1}, u_2^{f_2})_{L^2(M)},$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, where $u_j^{f_j}$ are the solutions to

$$(2.3) \quad ((-\Delta_g)^s + V_j) u_j^{f_j} = f_j \text{ in } M, \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

Proof. We first prove the self-adjointness of the local source-to-solution map $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$. For any $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, direct computations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{V,\mathcal{O}} f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} &= (L_{V,\mathcal{O}} f_1, f_2)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} = (u_1^{f_1}, f_2)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= (u_1^{f_1}, ((-\Delta_g)^s + V) u_2^{f_2})_{L^2(M)} \\ &= \underbrace{(((-\Delta_g)^s + V) u_1^{f_1}, u_2^{f_2})_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By Lemma 2.1}} \\ &= (f_1, L_{V,\mathcal{O}} f_2)_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the adjointness (2.1), the integral identity (2.2) can be seen via

$$\begin{aligned} &((L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}} - L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}}) f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= (L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}} f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} - (f_1, L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}} f_2)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= \underbrace{(u_1, ((-\Delta_g)^s + V_2) u_2)_{L^2(M)} - (((-\Delta_g)^s + V_1) u_1, u_2)_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By (2.3)}} \\ &= - \underbrace{((V_1 - V_2) u_1, u_2)_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By Lemma 2.1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion. \square

Let us also recall a well-known unique continuation property (UCP) for the fractional Laplace-Beltrami operator.

Lemma 2.4 (UCP). *Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{O} \subset M$ be an open subset, then $u = (-\Delta_g)^s u = 0$ in \mathcal{O} implies $u \equiv 0$.*

The proof can be found in [GLX17, FGKU24] with different approaches.

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first show a useful approximation result.

Lemma 3.1 (Runge approximation). *Consider the set*

$$\mathcal{R} := \{u_f|_{\mathcal{O}} : \text{for any } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})\},$$

where u_f is the solution to (1.1). Then \mathcal{R} is dense in $H^s(\mathcal{O})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, the solution u_f of (1.1) belongs to $H^s(M)$ for any $f \in H^{-s}(M)$, then we have $\mathcal{R} \subset H^s(\mathcal{O})$. Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, consider $\varphi \in \tilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) = (H^s(\mathcal{O}))^*$ (dual space of $H^s(\mathcal{O})$), which satisfies

$$\varphi(u_f) = 0, \text{ for all } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O}),$$

then we aim to show prove $\varphi \equiv 0$. Let $w \in H^s(M)$ be the solution to

$$(3.1) \quad ((-\Delta_g)^s + V)w = \varphi \text{ in } M,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \varphi, u_f \rangle_{\tilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) \times H^s(\mathcal{O})} = \langle ((-\Delta_g)^s + V)w, u_f \rangle_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)} \\ &= \underbrace{\langle ((-\Delta_g)^s + V)u_f, w \rangle_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By Lemma 2.1}} = \langle f, w \rangle_{L^2(M)} = \langle f, w \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. This implies $w = 0$ in \mathcal{O} .

On the other hand, multiplying (3.1) by the function w , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, w \rangle_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)} &= \int_M w ((-\Delta_g)^s + V)w \, dV_g \\ &= \underbrace{\int_M (|(-\Delta_g)^{s/2} w|^2 + V|w|^2) \, dV_g}_{\text{By using } V \geq 0 \text{ in } M} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\underbrace{\langle \varphi, w \rangle_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)}}_{\text{By using } \varphi \in \tilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } w=0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}} = \langle \varphi, w \rangle_{\tilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) \times H^s(\mathcal{O})} = 0.$$

Hence, we have $0 \leq \int_M (|(-\Delta_g)^s w|^2 + V|w|^2) \, dV_g = 0$, such that w must be zero in M , which yields that $0 = \varphi \in \tilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O})$ by using the adjoint equation (3.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, let u_j be the solution of (2.3), for $j = 1, 2$. Let us first consider $V_1 = V_2$ in $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$, combining with (1.3) and (2.2), then we can obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} (V_1 - V_2) u_1^{f_1} u_2^{f_2} \, dV_g = \int_M (V_1 - V_2) u_1^{f_1} u_2^{f_2} \, dV_g = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, given any $h \in H^s(\mathcal{O})$, one can find sequences of functions $(f_1^{f_1^{(k)}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (f_2^{f_2^{(\ell)}})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the corresponding solutions satisfy $u_1^{(k)} \rightarrow h$

and $u_2^{f_2^{(\ell)}} \rightarrow 1$ in $H^s(\mathcal{O})$, as $k, \ell \rightarrow \infty$. Now, inserting these sequences of solutions into (3.2) and taking limits, there holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} (V_1 - V_2) h \, dV_g = 0.$$

Due to arbitrariness of $h \in H^s(\mathcal{O})$, there holds $V_1 = V_2$ in \mathcal{O} so that $V_1 = V_2$ in M .

On the other hand, if $V_1 = V_2$ in \mathcal{O} , then the condition (1.3) implies that $(-\Delta_g)^s(u_1^f - u_2^f) = u_1^f - u_2^f = 0$ in \mathcal{O} . The UCP implies $u^f := u_1^f = u_2^f$ in M for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. This implies $(V_1 - V_2)u^f = 0$ in $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$. Similar to the arguments as in [GRSU20, LZ24], by the smoothness of u^f and UCP for $(-\Delta_g)^s$, it is not hard to show $V_1 = V_2$ in $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$. This implies $V_1 = V_2$ in M as well. \square

Acknowledgment. Y.-H. Lin is partially supported by the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) Taiwan, under project 113-2628-M-A49-003. Y.-H. Lin is also a Humboldt research fellow.

REFERENCES

- [CGRU23] Giovanni Covi, Tuhin Ghosh, Angkana Rüland, and Gunther Uhlmann. A reduction of the fractional Calderón problem to the local Calderón problem by means of the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04227*, 2023.
- [CLR20] Mihajlo Cekic, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Angkana Rüland. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation with drift. *Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 59(91), 2020.
- [CLL19] Xinlin Cao, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Hongyu Liu. Simultaneously recovering potentials and embedded obstacles for anisotropic fractional Schrödinger operators. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 13(1):197–210, 2019.
- [CRTZ24] Giovanni Covi, Jesse Railo, Teemu Tyni, and Philipp Zimmermann. Stability estimates for the inverse fractional conductivity problem. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 56(2):2456–2487, 2024.
- [FGKU24] Ali Feizmohammadi, Tuhin Ghosh, Katya Krupchyk, and Gunther Uhlmann. Fractional anisotropic Calderón problem on closed Riemannian manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.*, *accepted for publication*, 2024.
- [FKU24] Ali Feizmohammadi, Katya Krupchyk, and Gunther Uhlmann. Calderón problem for fractional Schrödinger operators on closed Riemannian manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.16866*, 2024.
- [GSU20] Tuhin Ghosh, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation. *Anal. PDE*, 13(2):455–475, 2020.
- [GLX17] Tuhin Ghosh, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Jingni Xiao. The Calderón problem for variable coefficients nonlocal elliptic operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 42(12):1923–1961, 2017.
- [GRSU20] Tuhin Ghosh, Angkana Rüland, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Uniqueness and reconstruction for the fractional Calderón problem with a single measurement. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 279(1):108505, 42, 2020.
- [KLW22] Pu-Zhao Kow, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Jenn-Nan Wang. The Calderón problem for the fractional wave equation: uniqueness and optimal stability. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 54(3):3379–3419, 2022.
- [KLZ24] Manas Kar, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Philipp Zimmermann. Determining coefficients for a fractional p -Laplace equation from exterior measurements. *J. Differential Equations*, *accepted for publication*, 2024.
- [KRZ23] Manas Kar, Jesse Railo, and Philipp Zimmermann. The fractional p -biharmonic systems: optimal Poincaré constants, unique continuation and inverse problems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 62(4):Paper No. 130, 36, 2023.

- [LLU23] Ching-Lung Lin, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for nonlocal parabolic operators: A new reduction from the nonlocal to the local. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09654*, 2023.
- [LNZ24] Yi-Hsuan Lin, Gen Nakamura and Philipp Zimmermann. The Calderón problem for the Schrödinger equation in transversally anisotropic geometries with partial data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06226*, 2024.
- [LZ24] Yi-Hsuan Lin and Philipp Zimmermann. Approximation and uniqueness results for the nonlocal diffuse optical tomography problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06226*, 2024.
- [RS20] Angkana Rüland and Mikko Salo. The fractional Calderón problem: low regularity and stability. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 193:111529, 56, 2020.
- [RZ23] Jesse Railo and Philipp Zimmermann. Fractional Calderón problems and Poincaré inequalities on unbounded domains. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 13(1):63–131, 2023.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL YANG MING CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN & FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITY OF DUISBURG-ESSEN, ESSEN, GERMANY

Email address: `yihuanlin3@gmail.com`