EIGENVALUE INEQUALITIES FOR FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS VIA THE ALEXANDROFF-BEKELMAN-PUCCI METHOD

DIMITRIOS GAZOULIS

ABSTRACT. In this work we establish eigenvalue inequalities for elliptic differential operators either for Dirichlet or for Robin eigenvalue problems, by using the technique introduced by Alexandroff, Bekelman and Pucci. These inequalities can be extended for fully nonlinear elliptic equations, such as for the Monge-Ampère equation and for Pucci's equations. As an application we establish a lower bound for the L^p -norm of the Laplacian and this bound is sharp, in the sense that, when equality is achieved then a symmetry property is obtained. In addition, we obtain an L^∞ bound for the gradient of solutions to fully nonlinear elliptic equations and as a result, a C^3 estimate.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we present a bound for subsolutions of elliptic differential equations by using the technique introduced by Alexandroff, Bekelman and Pucci to establish their ABP estimate. This bound is in terms of the normal derivative in the boundary of a given domain. As a result we derive eigenvalue inequalities for elliptic differential operators either for Dirichlet or for Robin eigenvalue problems. These bounds can be extended to fully nonlinear equations, such as for the Monge-Ampère equation and Pucci's equation.

The Alexandroff, Bekelman and Pucci estimate (or ABP estimate) is an L^{∞} bound for subsolutions of the elliptic problem

(1.1) where
$$Lu := \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i x_j} u + \sum_i b_i(x) \partial_{x_i} u + c(x) u$$
,

with a_{ij}, b_i bounded and measurable functions in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \leq 0$. Then

(1.2)
$$\sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial \Omega} u + C \operatorname{diam}(\Omega) ||Lu||_{L^{n}(\Omega)},$$

for every function $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$. The constant C depends only on the coefficients of L and $diam(\Omega)$ denotes the diameter of Ω (see section 2.5 in [13] for further details).

The ABP estimate has several extensions to more general problems, such as for viscosity solutions to fully nonlinear elliptic equations. It is also a basic tool in the

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P15, 35A25, 35J15, 35J96.

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalue Inequalities, Alexandroff-Bekelman-Pucci Method, Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, L^{∞} gradient bounds.

regularity theory for fully nonlinear elliptic equations of the form

$$F(\nabla^2 u) = 0 ,$$

see for instance [8].

In this work, motivated by the classical techniques for proving the ABP estimate, together with the techniques in [2] and [5], we establish Theorem 2.3, that is an estimate of the form

(1.3)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C \left\| \frac{f^-}{D^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Omega)},$$

for every function $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ that satisfies (1.1). The constant C depends only on n, $diam(\Omega)$ and the coefficients of L and $D^* = (det(A))^{1/n}$.

Furthermore, X. Cabré in [2] and [5] gave an elegant proof of the isoperimetric inequality using the ABP technique. The isoperimetric inequality says that among all domains of given volume the ball has the smallest perimeter. Utilizing similar arguments we obtain a lower bound for the L^n -norm of the Laplacian in terms of the normal derivative on the boundary of a given domain, that is, Theorem 2.5, which states that

$$(1.4) ||\Delta u||_{L^n(\Omega)} \ge n\alpha |B_1|^{1/n} ,$$

whenever $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \ge \alpha > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. In particular, if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \alpha > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, we have

$$(1.5) ||\Delta u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \ge \alpha \left(\frac{n^{n(p-1)}|B_1|^{p-1}}{|\partial\Omega|^{p-n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(n-1)}} , \text{ for any } p \ge n,$$

(see Theorem 2.5) and by taking the limit as $p \to +\infty$, this bound becomes

(1.6)
$$||\Delta u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \ge \alpha \left(\frac{n^n |B_1|}{|\partial \Omega|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

A direct corollary of inequalities (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) is a symmetry property when the equality in these estimates is achieved, in the spirit of the work of Serrin in [19].

There are additional applications of the estimate in (1.4), for instance, when it is applied to semilinear equations of the form

$$(1.7) \Delta u = f(u) ,$$

we obtain an L^{∞} bound for the gradient of the solutions, see Theorem 2.8. We present these results in section 2, where we also obtain a C^3 estimate as application of this L^{∞} bound.

The estimate (1.3) can be established for the Monge-Ampère equation and we similarly derive eigenvalue inequalities. In particular, in section 3 we address the eigenvalue problem

(1.8)
$$\begin{cases} \det(\nabla^2 u) = \lambda |u|^n & , \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & , \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

We note that also in [4], eigenvalue inequalities have been proved via the ABP method for the first eigenvalue of an elliptic operator.

Finally, in section 4, we extend some of these results to viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. Particularly, Theorem 4.4 is an estimate of the form (1.3), from which we establish the analogous eigenvalue inequalities for both

Dirichlet and Robin eigenvalue problems and in Theorem 4.7, an L^{∞} bound for the gradient of smooth solutions is derived. This L^{∞} bound gives a C^3 estimate for the solutions as in the case of the Laplacian in section 2.

2. A BOUND FOR THE NORMAL DERIVATIVE OF SUBSOLUTIONS TO LINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

Suppose Ω is an open, bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and denote as ν the unit normal pointing outwards. Throughout this section will denote as L a linear elliptic differential operator of the form

(2.1)
$$Lu := \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i x_j} u + \sum_i b_i(x) \partial_{x_i} u + c(x) u ,$$

where a_{ij} , b_i and c are continuous in Ω , $c \leq 0$ and L is uniformly elliptic in Ω in the following sense:

for some positive constants $\theta \leq \Theta$. Consider also $D^* = (det(A))^{1/n}$ where $A = (a_{ij})$ is positive definite in Ω and $0 < \theta \leq D^* \leq \Theta$.

We also introduce the concept of contact sets. For $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ we define

(2.3)
$$\Gamma_u := \{ x \in \Omega : u(y) \ge u(x) + \nabla u(x) \cdot (y - x) , \forall y \in \overline{\Omega} \}.$$

The set Γ_u is called the *lower contact* set of u. It is the set of points x such as the tangent hyperplane to the graph u at x lies below u in all of $\overline{\Omega}$.

Similarly we define the upper contact set of u,

(2.4)
$$\Gamma^u := \{ x \in \Omega : u(y) \le u(x) + \nabla u(x) \cdot (y - x) , \forall y \in \overline{\Omega} \} ,$$

 Γ^u is the set of points x such as the tangent hyperplane to the graph u at x lies above u in all of $\overline{\Omega}$. We also denote as $B_r(x_0)$ (or B_r), the ball of radius r centered at some point x_0 .

To begin with, we state the following property of C^1 functions. We rely on the arguments in the proof of the isoperimetric inequality in [5].

Lemma 2.1. Let $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

(2.5)
$$m := \inf_{\partial \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0.$$

Then either

(2.6)
$$B_m(0) \subset \nabla u(\Gamma_u) , \text{ if } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \big|_{\partial \Omega} > 0 ,$$

or

(2.7)
$$B_m(0) \subset \nabla u(\Gamma^u) , \text{ if } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \big|_{\partial \Omega} < 0 .$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Let
$$z \in B_m(0)$$
, where $m = \inf_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$

Consider the function

$$H(x) = u(x) - z \cdot x .$$

So, there exists $y \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$(2.8) \hspace{3.1em} H(y) = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} H(x) \; .$$

This is, up to a sign, the Legendre transform of u. Now, we claim that $y \in \Omega$. Indeed, if $y \in \partial \Omega$, then

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \nu}(y) \le 0 \;,$$

that is,

(2.10)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(y) \le z \cdot \nu \le |z| < m \;,$$

which contradicts the definition of m.

Therefore $y \in \Omega$, which means that H attains its minimum at an interior point of Ω .

Thus

(2.11)
$$\nabla u(y) = z \text{ and } y \in \Gamma_u.$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is nonnegative. Then for any $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $m := \inf_{\partial \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$, there holds either

$$(2.12) \qquad \qquad \int_{B_m(0)} g \leq \int_{\Gamma_u} g(\nabla u) |\det(\nabla^2 u)| \ , \ \ if \ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \bigm|_{\partial \Omega} > 0 \ ,$$

or

$$(2.13) \qquad \qquad \int_{B_m(0)} g \leq \int_{\Gamma^u} g(\nabla u) |\det(\nabla^2 u)| \ , \ \ if \ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \bigm|_{\partial \Omega} < 0 \ .$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.24 in [13] by considering

$$\tilde{M} = m = \inf_{\partial \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|$$

and utilizing Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies $Lu \geq f$ in Ω with the following conditions: $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$ and $\frac{|b|}{D^*}$, $\frac{f}{D^*} \in L^n(\Omega)$.

Then there holds that

(2.14)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C \left\| \frac{f^-}{D^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Omega)},$$

and C is a constant depending only on n, diam(Ω) and $\left\| \frac{b}{D^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Omega)}$.

Proof. We sketch the proof in the case where f=c=0, since it is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.21 in [13]. In our case, we set $\tilde{M}=\inf_{\partial\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right|$.

The elliptic inequality $Lu \geq f$ gives that

$$\left(-\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}\partial_{x_ix_j}u\right)^n\leq |b|^n|\nabla u|^n.$$

For any positive definite matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ it holds

$$(2.15) \qquad \det(\nabla^2 u) \leq \frac{1}{D} \left(\frac{-\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} u}{n} \right)^n \quad \text{on } \Gamma^u \ , \text{ where } D = \det(A).$$

So, we consider $g(z)=(|z|^n+\delta^n)^{-n}$, $\delta>0$ and then we apply Lemma 2.2. By letting $\delta\to 0^+$ we conclude.

Remark 2.4. (1) There are various problems with Neumann boundary conditions of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g(x)$$
, on $\partial \Omega$,

with $|g(x)| \ge c_0 > 0$ in which the assumption $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$ is satisfied.

(2) In particular, it holds that either

(2.16)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C \left\| \frac{f^-}{D^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Gamma^u)} , \text{ if } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \mid_{\partial\Omega} < 0 ,$$

or

$$\left|\inf_{\partial\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right| \leq C\left\|\frac{f^-}{D^*}\right\|_{L^n(\Gamma_u)} \ , \ \text{if} \ \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\mid_{\partial\Omega}>0 \ .$$

2.1. A Lower bound for the norm of the Laplacian. We will prove that the L^n -norm of the Laplacian of a smooth function u is bounded from below by the normal derivative of u on $\partial\Omega$. This estimate can be extended to an L^p and L^∞ lower bound of the norm when the normal derivative of the function is constant on the boundary of the domain. Again, the proof relies on the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [5].

Theorem 2.5. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \ge \alpha > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then

(2.18)
$$||\Delta u||_{L^{n}(\Omega)} \ge n\alpha |B_{1}|^{1/n} .$$

In addition, if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \alpha > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\Delta u \geq 0$, we have

(2.19)
$$||\Delta u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \ge \alpha \left(\frac{n^{n(p-1)}|B_{1}|^{p-1}}{|\partial \Omega|^{p-n}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(n-1)}},$$

for any $p \geq n$. In particular,

$$(2.20) ||\Delta u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \ge \alpha \left(\frac{n^n |B_1|}{|\partial \Omega|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$(2.21) B_{\alpha}(0) \subset \nabla u(\Gamma_u) .$$

We now apply Lemma 2.2 with $g \equiv 1$, so

$$(2.22) |B_1| \alpha^n \le \int_{\Gamma_u} \det(\nabla^2 u) dx .$$

Thus by the classical arithmetic geometric mean inequality applied to the non negative eigenvalues $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ of $\nabla^2 u$, $x \in \Gamma_u$, we have

(2.23)
$$det(\nabla^2 u) \le \left(\frac{tr(\nabla^2 u)}{n}\right)^n = \left(\frac{\Delta u}{n}\right)^n , x \in \Gamma_u .$$

Therefore

$$(2.24) |B_1| \alpha^n \le \frac{1}{n^n} \int_{\Gamma} (\Delta u)^n dx \le \frac{1}{n^n} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^n dx$$

and we conclude

(2.25)
$$n\alpha |B_1|^{1/n} \le ||\Delta u||_{L^n(\Omega)}.$$

Next, for proving (2.19), assume that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \alpha > 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega$$

and by Hölder's inequality on $||\Delta u||_{L^n(\Omega)}^n$,

$$(2.27) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^n dx = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^s |\Delta u|^{n-s} dx \le \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u| dx \right)^s \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{\frac{n-s}{1-s}} dx \right)^{1-s} ,$$

for any $s \in (0,1)$, which gives

(2.28)
$$n^{n} \alpha^{n} |B_{1}| \leq \alpha^{s} |\partial \Omega|^{s} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{\frac{n-s}{1-s}} dx \right)^{1-s},$$

by (2.18).

So, we set $p:=\frac{n-s}{1-s},\,p\in(n,+\infty)$, s is written as $s=\frac{p-n}{p-1}$ and we get

(2.29)
$$||\Delta u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p(n-1)}{p-1}} \ge \frac{n^n \alpha^{\frac{p(n-1)}{p-1}} |B_1|}{|\partial \Omega|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}} ,$$

which gives (2.19).

For (2.20), we take the limit $p \to +\infty$ in (2.19) and we conclude.

Corollary 2.6. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial \Omega} \geq \alpha > 0$ and $\Delta u \geq 0$. Then

$$(2.30) ||\Delta u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \ge \left(\frac{(n\alpha)^{n(p-1)}|B_1|^{p-1}}{(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dS)^{p-n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(n-1)}},$$

for any $p \geq n$. In addition,

(2.31)
$$||\Delta u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \ge \left(\frac{(n\alpha)^n |B_1|}{\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dS}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

A direct consequence of the Theorem 2.5 is a symmetry property for functions that satisfy the equality in (2.18). This result is in the spirit of Serrin's in [19].

Corollary 2.7. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \geq \alpha > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and assume that the equality holds in (2.18).

Then

(2.32)
$$u(x) = c_0|x - x_0|^2$$
, and Ω is a ball centered at x_0 .

Proof. When the equality in (2.18) holds, we have equality in (2.23). This means that all the eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 u$ are equal and thus $\nabla^2 u = kI_n$, where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. So we conclude that $u(x) = c_0|x - x_0|^2$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now we have that $\Gamma_u = \Omega$ and $B_{\alpha}(0) \subset \nabla u(\Omega) = c_0\Omega - c_0x_0$. Thus

$$(2.33) B_{\frac{\alpha}{c_0}}(x_0) \subset \Omega \text{ and } |B_{\frac{\alpha}{c_0}}(x_0)| = |\Omega|$$

If there exists $y_0 \in \Omega \setminus \overline{B}_{\frac{\alpha}{c_0}}(x_0)$, we would have $B_{\delta}(y_0) \subset \Omega \setminus \overline{B}_{\frac{\alpha}{c_0}}(x_0)$ and contradicts the equality of the measures in (2.33).

So,
$$\overline{\Omega} = \overline{B}_{\frac{\alpha}{c_0}}(x_0)$$
 and since Ω is open, then Ω is a ball centered at x_0 .

Note that the same symmetry property is obtained when the equality in (2.19)or (2.20) holds. So, one question could be, if one of the inequalities (2.18) and (2.19) is "close" of being equality, whether u is "close" of being of the form (2.32).

Moreover, another consequence of Theorem 2.5, together with the Krylov-Safonov Harnack inequality (see [14], [15]), is an L^{∞} bound for the gradient of solutions to semilinear elliptic equations.

Theorem 2.8. Let u be a smooth solution of

$$\Delta u = f(u) \quad , \quad x \in B_{2R}$$

such that u = 0 on ∂B_R , where $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and we extend u to be zero outside B_R .

$$(2.35) \qquad \sup_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \le C \left(\frac{1}{n^2 |B_1|^{2/n}} ||f(u)||_{L^n(B_R)}^2 + R|| |\nabla^2 u|^2 ||_{L^n(B_R)} \right)$$

the constant C depends only on n and $R^2||f'||_{L^{\infty}(B_R)}$.

Proof. Let $P = |\nabla u|^2$, we calculate

(2.36)
$$\Delta P = 2|\nabla^2 u|^2 + 2f'(u)|\nabla u|^2$$

so P satisfies the elliptic equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta P - f'(u)P = |\nabla^2 u|^2,$$

where $|\nabla^2 u|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} u_{x_i x_j}^2$. Consider \tilde{b} such that $|f'| \leq \tilde{b}$ for all $x \in B_{2R}$ (for example, if f is globally Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant \tilde{M} , we can take $\tilde{b} = \tilde{M}$).

We then apply Krylov-Safonov Harnack inequality (see for example Theorem 4.1 in [7]) and we get

(2.37)
$$\sup_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \le C \left(\inf_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 + R|| |\nabla^2 u|^2 ||_{L^n(B_R)} \right) ,$$

where C depends only on n and $R^2||f'||_{L^{\infty}(B_R)}$.

Now w.l.o.g. assume that $\inf_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 > 0$, otherwise (2.35) holds. Since u = 0on ∂B_R , we have that $\nabla u \perp \partial B_R$, which means that ∇u and the unit normal of ∂B_R are parallel. Thus, $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| = |\nabla u|$ on ∂B_R and we get

$$(2.38) \qquad \inf_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \le \inf_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 = \left(\inf_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \right)^2 \le \left(\frac{1}{n|B_1|^{1/n}} ||f(u)||_{L^n(B_R)} \right)^2$$

where for the last inequality we utilized Theorem 2.5 and (2.34).

As an application of Theorem (2.35), we have the following C^3 estimate

Corollary 2.9. Under the assumptions of Theorem (2.35), it holds

$$(2.39) \qquad \sup_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^n |\Delta u_{x_i}|^2 \le C \left(\frac{1}{n^2 |B_1|^{2/n}} ||f(u)||_{L^n(B_R)}^2 + R|||\nabla^2 u|^2||_{L^n(B_R)} \right)$$

the constant C depends only on n, and $R^2||f'||_{L^{\infty}(B_R)}$.

Proof. By differentiating (2.34) we have

$$(2.40) |\Delta u_{x_i}| \le ||f'||_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} |u_{x_i}|$$

and utilizing (2.35) we conclude.

At this point, we could ask whether the estimate in (2.35) holds without assuming that u vanishes on the boundary.

Another application is the following.

Corollary 2.10. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of

$$(2.41) \Delta u = f(u)$$

such that f is locally Lipschitz and $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$, then

$$(2.42) ||f(u)||_{L^{n}(\Omega)} \ge n |B_{1}|^{1/n} \inf_{\partial \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|$$

If in addition $\Omega = B_1$ and $u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, it holds

$$(2.43) ||f(u)||_{L^n(B_1)} \ge n |B_1|^{1/n} |u'(1)|$$

where u = u(r) is radially symmetric.

Proof. The inequality (2.42) is a direct consequence of Theorem (2.5).

Next, since
$$\Omega$$
 is a unit ball we have that u is radially symmetric (see [12] or [13]), that is, $u = u(r)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = u'(1) < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Remark 2.11. (1) The symmetry property in Corollary 2.7 can be alternatively considered as uniqueness of the minimization problem

(2.44)
$$\mathscr{J}(u) = \int_{B_1} |\Delta u|^n dx$$

subject to the constraint $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\Big|_{\partial B_1} \geq \alpha > 0$, or even for the functionals

$$\mathscr{J}_p(u) = \int_{B_1} |\Delta u|^p dx \text{ for } p > n , \ \mathscr{J}_{\infty}(u) = ||\Delta u||_{L^{\infty}(B_1)},$$

when
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial u}\Big|_{\partial B_1} = \alpha > 0$$
.

(2) In particular, if $f(u) = c_0 |u|^{p/n}$ in Corollary 2.10 for some p > 0 and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial u} \ge$

 $\alpha > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then

$$(2.45) ||u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \ge \left\lceil \frac{(n\alpha)^n |B_1|}{c_0} \right\rceil^{1/p}$$

Similarly, we can obtain other bounds by considering various examples.

2.2. Applications: Lower bounds for eigenvalue problems.

2.2.1. A Lower bound for eigenvalue problems of the Laplacian. In this subsection we derive eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian for both the Dirichlet and the Robin eigenvalue problems. These inequalities are consequences of the Theorem 2.5. We begin with the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem.

Corollary 2.12. Let $\phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be the eigenfunction of the problem

(2.46)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi = \lambda \phi &, \text{ in } \Omega \\ \phi = 0 &, \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then

(2.47)
$$\lambda \ge n|B_1|^{1/n} \frac{\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|}{||\phi||_{L^n(\Omega)}}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 we have

(2.48)
$$||\Delta\phi||_{L^{n}(\Omega)} \geq n|B_{1}|^{1/n} \inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda| \geq n|B_{1}|^{1/n} \frac{\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu} \right|}{||\phi||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}}$$

Since u is zero on $\partial\Omega$, it holds that $\nabla u//\nu$, so

(2.49)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = |\nabla u \cdot \nu| = |\nabla u| \quad , \text{ on } \partial \Omega$$

and we conclude.

Note: If $\Omega = B_1$, ϕ is radially symmetric given explicitly by a Bessel function and $\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \phi| = |\phi'(1)|$. If in addition n = 3, we can write

$$\phi_1(x) = \mu \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \lambda_1}} \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_1}|x|)}{|x|} \ , \ \text{for some positive constant} \ \mu,$$

here we denote as ϕ_1 the principal eigenfuction of the Laplacian and λ_1 the respective principal eigenvalue. Then we can calculate

(2.51)
$$||\phi_1||_{L^3(\Omega)} \le \mu \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} |B_1|^{1/3}$$

and inequality (2.47) can be written as

(2.52)
$$\lambda_1^{3/2} \ge 3|\sqrt{\lambda_1}cos(\sqrt{\lambda_1}) - sin(\sqrt{\lambda_1})|$$

By considering the function $f(t) = \frac{sint}{t^3} - \frac{cost}{t^2}$, t > 0 we can calculate the values $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ where $f(t) = \frac{1}{3}$ and observe that $\lambda_1 \ge t_3^2$. This bound, of course, is known since λ_1 is the square of the first root of the Bessel function in (2.50). However, the lower bound in (2.47) can be generalized to fully nonlinear equations for both Dirichlet and Robin eigenvalue problems.

Remark 2.13. Let $L_0 u = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i x_j} u + \sum_i b_i(x) \partial_{x_i} u$ be an elliptic operator and consider the eigenvalue problem

(2.53)
$$\begin{cases} -L_0 u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1 , \text{ in } \Omega \\ u_1 = 0 , \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

In [1], Berestycki, Nirenberg and Varadhan proved the existence of a unique eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(L_0, \Omega) > 0$ (i.e. the principal eigenvalue) having a smooth and positive eigenfunction u_1 that satisfies (2.53). Also, in Theorem 2.5 in [1] they established the lower bound

$$\lambda_1 > C|\Omega|^{-2/n}$$

for some positive constant C depending only on n, the ellipticity constants of L_0 and an upper bound on $|\Omega|^{1/n}||b||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. In [4], X. Cabré gave a simpler proof of this lower bound using the ABP method.

Next, we derive a similar bound for the Robin eigenvalue problem.

Corollary 2.14. Let $\phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be the eigenfunction of the problem

(2.54)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi = \lambda \phi &, \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} + \alpha \phi = 0 &, \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$.
Then

$$(2.55) |\lambda| \ge n|B_1|^{1/n}|\alpha| \frac{\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\phi|}{||\phi||_{L^n(\Omega)}}$$

Proof. We note that $\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}| = |\alpha| \inf_{\partial\Omega} |\phi|$ and we conclude as in Corollary 2.12.

Remark 2.15. By Theorem 2.5, utilizing (2.15), the results in Corollaries 2.12 and 2.14 can be extended for Quasi-linear operators of the form

(2.56)
$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x, u, \nabla u) u_{x_i x_j} = \lambda u &, \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 &, \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

where a_{ij} satisfy the ellipticity condition

(2.57)
$$\tilde{\theta}|\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x, u, \nabla u)\xi_i \xi_j \le \tilde{\Theta}|\xi|^2$$

for some constants $0 < \tilde{\theta} \leq \tilde{\Theta}$.

3. Eigenvalue inequalities for the Monge-Ampère equation

We will now consider solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation

(3.1)
$$det(\nabla^2 u) = f(x, u, \nabla u) \quad , \quad u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$

The first estimate is similar to that of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of (3.1) such that $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} > 0$.

Then

(3.2)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} \le \left(\frac{||f||_{L^1(\Gamma_u)}}{|B_1|}\right)^{1/n}$$

Proof. With similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 and applying Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, we obtain

(3.3)
$$\left(\inf_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^n |B_1| \le \int_{\Gamma_n} |\det(\nabla^2 u)| dx$$

and by (3.1) we conclude.

Note: In the case where $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} < 0$, it holds

(3.4)
$$\sup_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \ge -\left(\frac{||f||_{L^1(\Gamma^u)}}{|B_1|}\right)^{1/n}$$

We now introduce the eigenvalue problem for the Monge-Ampère operator $det(\nabla^2 u)$ on an open, smooth, bounded and uniformly convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. This problem was first studied by Lions in [17] where he showed that there exist a unique constant $\lambda = \lambda(\Omega) > 0$ and a unique (up to positive multiplicative constant) nonzero convex function $u \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ solving the eigenvalue problem

(3.5)
$$\begin{cases} \det(\nabla^2 u) = \lambda |u|^n & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & , \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution to the eigenvalue problem (3.5).

Then

(3.6)
$$\lambda \ge |B_1| \left(\frac{\inf_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|}{||u||_{L^n(\Omega)}} \right)^n$$

In addition, we have the following estimate

(3.7)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u| \le \frac{C(n)}{|\Omega|^{2/n}} ||u||_{L^n(\Omega)}$$

for some constant C(n) depending only on the dimension n.

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $\inf_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}>0$ (in the case where $\inf_{\partial\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right|=0$, there is nothing to prove).

We apply Theorem 3.1 and obtain

(3.8)
$$\lambda^{1/n}||u||_{L^n(\Omega)} \ge |B_1|^{1/n}\inf_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}$$

Now since u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$, it holds that $\nabla u // \nu$, so

(3.9)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = |\nabla u \cdot \nu| = |\nabla u|$$

Thus, by (3.8) and (3.9) we get (3.6).

Finally, for the estimate (3.7), we utilize the estimate for the eigenvalue problem in [16] (see Theorem 1.1), which states that

$$\lambda(\Omega) \le \frac{C(n)}{|\Omega|^2}$$

and we conclude.

Remark 3.3. As in the previous section, we can consider the Robin eigenvalue problem

(3.10)
$$\begin{cases} \det(\nabla^2 u) = \lambda |u|^n & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \alpha u = 0 & , \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

and obtain

(3.11)
$$\lambda \ge |B_1| |\alpha| \left(\frac{\inf_{\partial \Omega} |u|}{||u||_{L^n(\Omega)}} \right)^n$$

4. The case of Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations

For the convenience of the reader we introduce Pucci's extremal operators and the respective spaces of viscosity subsolutions and supersolutions. We follow chapter 3 in [8], which the ABP estimate is proved for viscosity solutions of fully non-linear elliptic equations. These results are originated in [9], [10].

First we give the definition of viscosity solutions for fully nonlinear equations.

Definition 4.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A continuous function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a viscosity subsolution (respectively supersolution) of the equation

(4.1)
$$F(\nabla^2 u(x), x) = f(x) , x \in \Omega$$

when the following condition holds:

If $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $u - \phi$ has a local maximum at x_0 then

(4.2)
$$F(\nabla^2 \phi(x_0), x_0) \ge f(x_0)$$

(respectively if $u - \phi$ has a local minimum at x_0 then $F(\nabla^2 \phi(x_0), x_0) \leq f(x_0)$).

If u is both subsolution and supersolution we say that u is a viscosity solution of (4.1).

We use the notation $F(\nabla^2 u, x) = f(x)$ in the viscosity sense in Ω whenever u is a viscosity solution (or \geq , \leq for subsolution, supersolution respectively).

Let now $0 < \vartheta \leq \Theta$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is the space of real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices. We define

(4.3)
$$\mathcal{M}^{-}(A, \vartheta, \Theta) = \mathcal{M}^{-}(A) = \vartheta \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i + \Theta \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i$$

(4.4)
$$\mathcal{M}^{+}(A, \vartheta, \Theta) = \mathcal{M}^{+}(A) = \Theta \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i + \vartheta \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i$$

where $e_i = e_i(A)$ are the eigenvalues of A and \mathcal{M}^- , \mathcal{M}^+ are the Pucci's extremal operators.

It holds that \mathcal{M}^- and \mathcal{M}^+ are uniformly elliptic (see section 2 in [8]).

Definition 4.2. Let f be a continuous function in Ω and $0 < \vartheta \le \Theta$.

(1) We denote as $\mathcal{M}^+(\vartheta, \Theta, f)$ the space of continuous functions u in Ω such that

$$\mathcal{M}^+(\nabla^2 u, \vartheta, \Theta) > f(x)$$

in the viscosity sense.

(2) Similarly, we denote as $\mathcal{M}^-(\vartheta, \Theta, f)$ the space of continuous functions u in Ω such that

$$\mathcal{M}^-(\nabla^2 u, \vartheta, \Theta) \le f(x)$$

in the viscosity sense.

(3) We set
$$\mathcal{M}(\vartheta, \Theta, f) = \mathcal{M}^+(\vartheta, \Theta, f) \cap \mathcal{M}^-(\vartheta, \Theta, f)$$
.

We will now prove the analog of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 4.3. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^-(\vartheta, \Theta, f) \cap C^1(\overline{B}_r)$ in B_r , where $B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open ball of radius r and f is a continuous bounded function. Assume that $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$.

Then

(4.5)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C \left(\int_{B_r \cap \Gamma_u} (f^+)^n \right)^{1/n}$$

where C depends only on n, ϑ , Θ .

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [8] by utilizing Lemma 2.1.

In this case, the radius of the ball B_r do not appear in the inequality (4.6), since the term $\frac{1}{r}\sup_{B_r}u^-$ is replaced by the term $\inf_{\partial\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right|$. So the equation (3.7) in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [8] becomes

$$C(n) \left(\inf_{\partial \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \right)^n \le |\nabla u(\Gamma_u)| = |\nabla \tilde{\Gamma} u(\Gamma_u)|,$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}u$ is the convex envelope of u (see Definition 3.1 in [8]). We also used the fact that since $u \in C^1$, the convex envelope of u equals u in the set Γ_u (Γ_u is defined in (2.3)).

Theorem 4.4. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^-(\vartheta, \Theta, f) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ where Ω is a bounded open domain of \mathbb{R}^n and f is a continuous bounded function. Assume that $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$.

Then

(4.6)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C \left(\int_{\Omega \cap \Gamma_n} (f^+)^n \right)^{1/n}$$

where C depends only on n, ϑ , Θ .

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [8]. \Box

Now, observe that Proposition 2.13 in [8] allow us to generalize the above estimate to any fully nonlinear elliptic equation.

Corollary 4.5. Let $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a viscosity supersolution of

$$(4.7) F(\nabla^2 u) = f(x)$$

where F is elliptic with constants ϑ , Θ , F(0) = 0 and f is a continuous bounded function. Assume that $\inf_{\partial\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right| > 0$.

Then

(4.8)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C \left(\int_{\Omega \cap \Gamma_n} (f^+)^n \right)^{1/n}$$

where C depends only on n, ϑ , Θ .

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 2.13 in [8].

4.1. An L^{∞} bound for the gradient.

Theorem 4.6. Let u be a smooth solution of

(4.9)
$$\begin{cases} F(\nabla^2 u) = f(u) \text{ in } B_R \\ u = 0, \text{ on } \partial B_R \end{cases}$$

where F is elliptic with constants ϑ , Θ , F(0) = 0, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

(4.10)

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^{2\varepsilon} dx\right)^{\varepsilon} \le C \left[\left(\int_{B_R \cap \Gamma_u} (f^+(u))^n dx \right)^{1/n} + 2\Theta R || |\nabla^2 u|||_{L^n(B_{2R})}^2 \right]$$

where $C = C(n, \vartheta, \Theta, \tilde{b}R^2)$, \tilde{b} is such that $|f'| \leq \frac{\tilde{b}}{2}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 in [11], we have that

$$(4.11) \sum_{i,j} d_{ij} P_{x_i x_j} - 2f'(u) P \le 2\Theta |Hesu|^2$$

where
$$P = |\nabla u|^2$$
 and $d_{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{ij}}$.

Then by the weak Harnack inequality (see Theorem 4.3 in [7])

$$(4.12) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{|B_R|}\int_{B_R}|\nabla u|^{2\varepsilon}dx\right)^{\varepsilon} \leq C\left[\inf_{B_R}|\nabla u|^2 + 2\Theta R||\,|\nabla^2 u|\,||^2_{L^n(B_{2R})}\right]$$

Now, by Corollary 4.5 it holds,

(4.13)
$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| \le C_1 \left(\int_{B_R \cap \Gamma_n} (f^+)^n dx \right)^{1/n}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(n, \vartheta, \Theta)$.

Finally, since, u vanish on ∂B_R , $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| = |\nabla u|$ on ∂B_R and we conclude (4.10). \square

Theorem 4.7. Let u be a smooth function that satisfies (4.9) and F , f as in Theorem 4.6 and assume that $f' \geq 0$.

Then

$$(4.14) \qquad \sup_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \le C \left\{ \left(\int_{B_R \cap \Gamma_u} (f^+)^n dx \right)^{1/n} + 2\Theta R || |\nabla^2 u| ||_{L^n(B_{2R})}^2 \right\}$$

where $C = C(n, \vartheta, \Theta, \tilde{b}R^2)$ and \tilde{b} is such that $|f'| \leq \frac{\tilde{b}}{2}$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.3 in [11] we have

(4.15)
$$\sup_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \le \frac{C}{|B_{2R}|^{1/p}} ||\nabla u||_{L^{2p}(B_R)}^2$$

for p > 0 small.

Therefore we take $p = \varepsilon$ in Theorem 4.6 and we conclude.

So, as in section 2, we have the following C^3 estimate as an application of Theorem 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.7, if in addition f', $|\nabla u|$ do not vanish in \overline{B}_R and $|\Delta u_{x_k}| \leq C_0$, k = 1, ..., n, then

$$(4.16) \quad \sup_{B_R} \sum_k |\Delta u_{x_k}|^2 \le C \left\{ \left(\int_{B_R \cap \Gamma_u} (f^+)^n dx \right)^{1/n} + 2\Theta R || |\nabla^2 u| ||_{L^n(B_{2R})}^2 \right\}$$

where $C = C(n, \vartheta, \Theta, \tilde{b}R^2)$ and \tilde{b} is such that $|f'| \leq \frac{\tilde{b}}{2}$.

Proof. Differentiating (4.9), we have

(4.17)
$$\sum_{i,j} F_{a_{ij}}(\nabla^2 u) u_{x_i x_j x_k} = f'(u) u_{x_k} , k = 1, ..., n.$$

Suppose for contradiction that for any number $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $x_0 \in B_R$ such that

$$(4.18) |\sum_{i,j} F_{a_{ij}}(\nabla^2 u(x_0)) u_{x_i x_j x_k}(x_0)| < \delta |\Delta u_{x_k}(x_0)|$$

then we can deduce a sequence of numbers $\delta_m \to 0$ and a sequence of $x_m \in B_R$ such that

$$(4.19) |\sum_{i,j} F_{a_{ij}}(\nabla^2 u(x_m)) u_{x_i x_j x_k}(x_m)| < \delta_m |\Delta u_{x_k}(x_m)| \le \delta_m C_0 \to 0$$

and by (4.17),

$$(4.20) |f'(u(x_m))u_{x_k}(x_m)| \to 0$$

but $x_m \to x_0 \in \overline{B}_R$ up to subsequence, thus

(4.21)
$$f'(u(x_m)) \to f'(u(x_0)) \text{ and } u_{x_k}(x_m) \to u_{x_k}(x_0)$$
 up to subsequence.

so, $f'(u(x_0)) = 0$ or $u_{x_k}(x_0) = 0$ which contradicts the assumption on f', $|\nabla u|$. Therefore there exist $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(4.22) |\sum_{i,j} F_{a_{ij}}(\nabla^2 u) u_{x_i x_j x_k}| \ge \delta |\Delta u_{x_k}| , \text{ uniformly in } B_R$$

and utilizing (4.17) we get

(4.23)
$$\delta^2 \sup_{B_R} \sum_{k} |\Delta u_{x_k}|^2 \le \frac{b}{2} \sup_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2$$

and we conclude by Theorem 4.7.

Note that in Corollary 4.8, the assumption that f', $|\nabla u|$ do not vanish in \overline{B}_R , it suffices to be satisfied in the closure of the set of points where (4.18) is satisfied. So in the case where $F(\nabla^2 u) = \Delta u$, this set is empty, and also Δu_{x_k} is bounded. In this view, Corollary 4.8 is the generalization of Corollary 2.9 for fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

As in the case of Theorem 2.8 we could ask whether the estimates in Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 hold without a priori assume that u vanish on ∂B_R .

4.2. Applications to Eigenvalue problems. In this last subsection we consider the Dirichlet and the Robin eigenvalue problems for fully nonlinear equations and apply Theorem 4.3 to establish eigenvalue inequalities similar to the ones in the previous sections.

Let Ω be a bounded open domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $p \geq 1$ and consider the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem

(4.24)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}^{-}(\nabla^{2}u, \vartheta, \Theta) = \lambda |u|^{p} , \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 , \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

and the Robin eigenvalue problem

(4.25)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}^{-}(\nabla^{2}u, \vartheta, \Theta) = \lambda |u|^{p} , \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \alpha u = 0 , \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

respectively.

We could also replace $\lambda |u|^p$ by λu , so that in the case where $\vartheta = \Theta = 1$ the operator \mathcal{M}^- becomes the Laplace operator and we have the eigenvalue problem of the Laplace operator as a special case.

The Corollaries below give some bounds for the problems (4.24) and (4.24) respectively.

Corollary 4.9. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^-(\vartheta, \Theta, \lambda | u|^p) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a viscosity supersolution of (4.24) and assume that $\inf_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| > 0$.

Then

(4.26)
$$\lambda \ge \frac{\inf_{\partial \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|}{C||u^p||_{L^n(\Omega \cap \Gamma_u)}}$$

Corollary 4.10. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^-(\vartheta, \Theta, \lambda |u|^p) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a viscosity supersolution of (4.25) and assume that $\inf_{\partial\Omega} |u| > 0$.

(4.27)
$$\lambda \ge \frac{|\alpha| \inf_{\partial \Omega} |u|}{C||u^p||_{L^n(\Omega \cap \Gamma_u)}}$$

The proof of the above Corollaries are direct consequences of Theorem 4.4.

 $Remark\ 4.11.$ Note that Corollaries 4.9 and 4.10 can be generalized to the eigenvalue problems

$$\begin{cases} F(\nabla^2 u) = \lambda |u|^p \ , \ \text{in} \ \Omega \\ u = 0 \ , \ \text{on} \ \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

and the Robin eigenvalue problem

(4.29)
$$\begin{cases} F(\nabla^2 u) = \lambda |u|^p , \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \alpha u = 0 , \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

respectively, via Corollary 4.5. Here F is elliptic with ellipticity constants ϑ , Θ and F(0) = 0. Additionally, as noted previously, the right hand side for the eigenvalue problems (4.28) and (4.29) could be slightly modified and obtain similar bounds.

Acknowledgments: I wish to thank professor N. Alikakos for suggesting me to read the elegant proof of isoperimetric inequality proved by X. Cabré, which motivated a part of this work. Also, I would like to thank professor X. Cabré and professor N. Le for their comments in a previous version of this work that led to various improvements. Finally, the author acknowledges the "Basic research Financing" under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan "Greece 2.0" funded by the European Union-NextGeneration EU (H.F.R.I. Project Number: 016097).

References

- Berestycki, H., Nirenberg, L., Varadhan, S. R. S. The principal eigenvalue and maximum principle for second-order elliptic operators in general domains, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 47, 1994, 47-92.
- [2] Cabré, X. Partial differential equations, geometry and stochastic control, in Catalan. Butl. Soc. Catalana Mat. 15 (2000), 7-27.
- [3] Cabré, X. On the Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate and the reversed Hölder inequality for solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Volume 48, Issue 5, 1995, Pages 539-570.
- [4] Cabré, X. Isoperimetric, Sobolev, and eigenvalue inequalities via the Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci method: A survey. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 38, 201–214 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11401-016-1067-0
- [5] Cabré, X., Elliptic PDEs in probability and geometry, symmetry and regularity of solutions, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 20, 2008, 425–457.
- [6] Cabré, X., On the Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate and the reversed Hölder inequality for solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 48, 1995, 539–570
- [7] Cabré, X., Topics in regularity and qualitative properties of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 2002, Volume 8, Issue 2: 331-359.
- [8] Caffarelli, L. A. and Cabré, X., Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, Colloquium Publications, 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [9] Caffarelli, L. A., Interior a priori estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear equations, Annals of Mathematics 130 (1989), 189-213.

- [10] Caffarelli, L. A., Elliptic second order equations, Rendiconti del Seminario Mathematico e Fisico di Milano, Vol LVIII (1988), 253-284.
- [11] Gazoulis, D. Applications of P-functions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations: Gradient Estimates and Rigidity Results, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.06497
- [12] B.Gidas, W.M.Ni and L.Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. Comm. Math. Phys. 68.3 (1979), pp.209–243.
- [13] Han,Q., F.Lin, Elliptic partial differential equations. 2nd ed. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.1. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, American Mathematical Society.
- [14] Krylov, N. V., Safonov, M. V., An estimate of the probability that a diffusion process hits a set of positive measure, Soviet Math. Dokl. 20, 1979, 253-255.
- [15] Krylov, N. V., Safonov, M. V., Certain properties of solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients, Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR 44, 1980, 161-175.
- [16] N. Q. Le. The eigenvalue problem for the Monge-Ampère operator on general bounded convex domains. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di scienze, 18(4): 1519–1559, 2018.
- [17] P. L. Lions, Two remarks on Monge-Ampère equation, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 142 (1985), 263-275.
- [18] L. Ryzhik, The Technion school lecture notes: the moving plane method, lecture notes, September 2014.
- [19] Serrin, J. A symmetry problem in potential theory. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 43, 304–318 (1971).

Department of Mathematics, University of Athens (EKPA), Panepistemiopolis, 15784 Athens, Greece

Email address: dgazoulis@math.uoa.gr