

BOUNDEDNESS AND FINITE-TIME BLOW-UP IN A REPULSION-CONSUMPTION SYSTEM WITH NONLINEAR CHEMOTACTIC SENSITIVITY

ZIYUE ZENG AND LI YUXIANG*

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates the repulsion-consumption system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (S(u)\nabla v), \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - uv, \end{cases} \quad (\star)$$

under no-flux/Dirichlet conditions for u and v in a ball $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. When $\tau = \{0, 1\}$ and $0 < S(u) \leq K(1+u)^\beta$ for $u \geq 0$ with some $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $K > 0$, we show that for any given radially symmetric initial data, the problem (\star) possesses a global bounded classical solution. Conversely, when $\tau = 0$, $n = 2$ and $S(u) \geq ku^\beta$ for $u \geq 0$ with some $\beta > 1$ and $k > 0$, for any given initial data u_0 , there exists a constant $M^* = M^*(u_0) > 0$ with the property that whenever the boundary signal level $M \geq M^*$, the corresponding radially symmetric solution blows up in finite time.

Our results can be compared with that of the papers [J. Ahn and M. Winkler, *Calc. Var.* **64** (2023).] and [Y. Wang and M. Winkler, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **153** (2023).], in which the authors studied the system (\star) with the first equation replaced respectively by $u_t = \nabla \cdot ((1+u)^{-\alpha}\nabla u) + \nabla \cdot (u\nabla v)$ and $u_t = \nabla \cdot ((1+u)^{-\alpha}\nabla u) + \nabla \cdot (\frac{u}{v}\nabla v)$. Among other things, they obtained that, under some conditions on $u_0(x)$ and the boundary signal level, there exists a classical solution blowing up in finite time whenever $\alpha > 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following repulsion-consumption system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (S(u)\nabla v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - uv, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ (\nabla u + S(u)\nabla v) \cdot \nu = 0, \quad v = M, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau v(x, 0) = \tau v_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a ball, $M > 0$ is a given parameter and $\tau = \{0, 1\}$. The scalar functions u and v denote the cell density and the chemical concentration consumed by cells, respectively. $S(u)$ represents the chemotactic sensitivity of cells, which generalizes the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K55, 35K51, 35B44, 92C17.

Key words and phrases. Repulsion-consumption system, global boundedness, finite time blow up.

*Corresponding author.

Supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12271092, No. 11671079) and the Jiangsu Provincial Scientific Research Center of Applied Mathematics (No. BK20233002).

prototypical choice in $S(u) = u^\beta$ for $u \geq 0$ with some $\beta > 0$. The main purpose of this paper is to determine the explosion-critical parameter associated with the chemotactic sensitivity function $S(u)$ in system (1.1).

The system (1.1) originates from the chemotaxis-consumption system, which describes the intricate patterns formed by the colonies of *Bacillus subtilis* as they seek oxygen [4, 12, 19],

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u)\nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (uS(u, v)\nabla v), \\ v_t = \Delta v - uv, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $D(u)$ and $S(u, v)$ denote the diffusivity and the chemotactic sensitivity of cells, respectively. The system (1.2) with $D(u) = 1$ and $S(u, v) = \chi$, subjected to homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, has been investigated extensively. If $n \geq 2$, Tao [14] obtained a global bounded classical solution for system (1.2) under the condition that $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ is sufficiently small. Zhang and Li [31] demonstrated that if either $n \leq 2$ or $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \chi \leq \frac{1}{6(n+1)\|v(x,0)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}$, the global classical solution (u, v) converges to $(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_0, 0)$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For arbitrary large initial data, Tao and Winkler [16] proved that when $n = 2$, the global classical solution of (1.2) is bounded, satisfying $(u, v) \rightarrow (\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_0, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$; when $n = 3$, the problem admits global weak solutions, which eventually become bounded and smooth. Moreover, such solutions also approach the spatially constant equilibria $(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_0, 0)$ in the large time limit. When $n \geq 4$, Wang and Li [22] showed that this model possesses at least one global renormalized solution. For more details about the modeling of chemotaxis-consumption models, we refer to the survey [9].

When $D(u)$ extends the prototypical choice in $D(u) = c_D u^{m-1}$ for $u > 0$, there are also some results for system (1.2) subjected to homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. Among the results obtained by Wang et al. [27], if $m > 2 - \frac{2}{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, the system (1.2) with a sufficiently smooth $S(v)$ possesses a unique global bounded classical solution in a convex smooth bounded domain. In the case that $S(u, v) = 1$ and $n \geq 3$, some authors conducted further researches. Relaxing the assumption that $m > 2 - \frac{2}{n}$ in [27] to $m > 2 - \frac{6}{n+4}$, in a convex domain, Wang et al. [26] obtained global classical solutions for system (1.2) in the case of non-degenerate diffusion, and global weak solutions for system (1.2) in the degenerate case. Subsequently, for the degenerate diffusion, Wang and Xiang [29] removed the convexity assumption on the domain in [26, 27] and established global bounded weak solutions under the condition that $m > 2 - \frac{n+2}{2n}$. In general domain, the range of m was relaxed from $m > 2 - \frac{2}{n}$ in [27] to $m > \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$ by Fan and Jin [6], who obtained global bounded classical solutions for system (1.2) with non-degenerate diffusion, and global weak solutions for system (1.2) with degenerate diffusion. Moreover, the obtained solutions converge to $(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_0, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

When $n \geq 2$, $D(u) \geq c_D(1+u)^{m-1}$ and $S(u) \leq c_S(1+u)^{q-1}$, where $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, Wang et al. [24] demonstrated that if $q < m + \frac{n+2}{2n}$, the classical solution of system (1.2) with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions is globally bounded; if $q < \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n+2}{2n}$,

the solutions of system (1.2) exist globally. There are also some results about the global existence of solutions for system (1.2) with logistic source under homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions [7, 11, 23, 25].

For the radially symmetric no-flux/Dirichlet problem of system (1.2) with $D(u) = S(u, v) = 1$, Lankeit and Winkler [8] found that there are globally bounded classical solutions when $n = 2$. For $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, they further constructed global weak solutions. Yang and Ahn [30] considered the parabolic-elliptic system (1.5) with $D(u) = 1$ and $S(u, v) = S(v)$, where $S(v)$ may allow singularities at $v = 0$, and established the global existence and boundedness of radial large data solutions when $n \geq 2$.

Recently, the following repulsion-consumption system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u)\nabla u) + \nabla \cdot (uS(u, v)\nabla v), \\ 0 = \Delta v - uv, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

along with the no-flux/Dirichlet boundary conditions, has been studied by some authors, where Ω is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n and $D(u)$ extends the prototypical choice in $D(u) = (1 + u)^{-\alpha}$. For system (1.3) with $S(u, v) = \frac{1}{v}$ and $n \geq 2$, Wang and Winkler [28] found that, for initial data in a significantly large set of radial functions on Ω , the corresponding problem possesses a finite-time blow-up solution when $\alpha > 0$. For $n = 2$ and $S(u, v) = 1$, the explosion critical parameter for system (1.3) was discovered by Ahn and Winkler [1]. They proved that when $\alpha > 0$, for each initial data u_0 , it is possible to identify $M_*(u_0) > 0$ such that there exists a classical solution blowing up in finite time whenever the boundary signal level $M > M_*(u_0)$; conversely, when $\alpha \leq 0$, for each initial data and $M > 0$, there exists a global bounded classical solution. For $n = 2$ and S being a rotation matrix with some $\theta \in (0, 2\pi]$, Dong et al. [3] proved that the corresponding system (1.3) with $\alpha > 0$ admits a finite-time blow-up solution.

When the chemotactic sensitivity is linear, the results from [1, 28] indicate that an inhibitory effect of the diffusion is necessary in system (1.3) for the occurrence of blow-up phenomenon. Inspired by this, we consider system (1.1) with linear diffusion to determine an explosion critical parameter related to the nonlinear chemotactic sensitivity function.

Main results. Suppose that the chemotactic sensitivity S and initial data u_0 respectively satisfy

$$S \in C^2([0, \infty)) \text{ is such that } S(\xi) \geq 0 \text{ for } \xi \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ is radially symmetric and nonnegative with } u_0 \not\equiv 0. \quad (1.5)$$

For the parabolic-parabolic problem, we also assume that,

$$\tau = 1 \text{ and } v_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ is positive in } \bar{\Omega} \text{ and radially symmetric with } v_0 = M \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.6)$$

We give two preliminary propositions addressing the local existence, uniqueness and extensibility of the classical solution to (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ or $\tau = 1$, which can be proved through a direct adaptation of the existence theory from [18].

Proposition 1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$, $R > 0$ and $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $S(\xi)$ and u_0 satisfy (1.4) and (1.5) respectively. Then there exist $T_{\max} \in (0, +\infty]$ and a unique radially symmetric pair (u, v) which solves (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ in the classical sense in $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} u \in \bigcup_{q>n} C^0([0, T_{\max}); W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})) & \text{and} \\ v \in C^{2,0}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})). \end{cases}$$

In addition, $u > 0$, $v > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})$ and

$$\text{if } T_{\max} < \infty, \text{ then } \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

Moreover we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$v(x, t) \leq M, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (1.8)$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $n \geq 1$, $R > 0$ and $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4). Suppose that u_0 and v_0 satisfy (1.5) and (1.6) respectively. Then there exist $T_{\max} \in (0, +\infty]$ and a unique radially symmetric pair (u, v) which solves (1.1) with $\tau = 1$ in the classical sense in $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})) & \text{and} \\ v \in \bigcap_{q>n} C^0([0, T_{\max}); W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})). \end{cases}$$

In addition, $u > 0$, $v > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})$ and

$$\text{if } T_{\max} < \infty, \text{ then } \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

Moreover we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (1.10)$$

Now we state our main results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) with $R > 0$ and $\tau = \{0, 1\}$. Assume that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and*

$$0 \leq S(\xi) \leq K(1 + \xi)^\beta, \quad \xi \geq 0 \quad (1.11)$$

with some $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $K > 0$. Suppose (1.5) and (1.6) are valid. Then the corresponding classical solution (u, v) of (1.1) is globally bounded, i.e.,

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad t > 0$$

with some $C > 0$ depending on K, R and β .

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $R > 0$ and $\tau = 0$. Assume that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and*

$$S(\xi) \geq k\xi^\beta, \quad \xi \geq 0 \quad (1.12)$$

with some $\beta > 1$ and $k > 0$. Given any u_0 satisfying (1.5), there exists a constant $M^ = M^*(u_0) > 0$ such that if $M \geq M^*$, the corresponding classical solution (u, v) of (1.1) blows up in finite time, i.e., $T_{\max} < \infty$, and*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

Remark 1.1. Due to the limitation of the method, the finite-time blow-up in the parameter region $\beta > \frac{n+2}{2n}$ for $n \geq 3$ is left open.

The challenge of detecting blow-up. Applying the method of [1, 28], we transform the system (1.1) into a single parabolic equation of the mass distribution function. We introduce a moment-type function ϕ (defined by (3.11)) and our aim is to establish a superlinear differential inequality for ϕ to detect finite-time blow-up. Due to the nonlinear chemotactic sensitivity, dealing with $w w_s^\beta$ is the main issue in this problem. Since the signal is degraded rather than produced, we cannot easily prove $w_{ss} \leq 0$ as done in [21, Lemma 2.2] to deal with this issue. To overcome this difficulty, we define an auxiliary function $\psi(t)$ in (3.12), which is different from that in [1, 28] in establishing the differential inequality, and build up a relationship between w and ψ in Lemma 3.5.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The purpose of Section 2 is to obtain the global bounded classical solutions for system (1.1) with $\tau = \{0, 1\}$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ under radial assumptions. In Section 3, if $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\tau = 0$, we demonstrate the finite-time blow-up phenomenon under the conditions that $\beta > 1$ and M sufficiently large, which implies that $\beta = 1$ is optimal when $\tau = 0$ and $n = 2$.

2. BOUNDEDNESS WHEN $\tau = \{0, 1\}$ AND $0 < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.3. We first recall a Gronwall's lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, T \in (t_0, \infty]$, $h > 0$ and $b > 0$. Suppose that the nonnegative function $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} g(s) ds \leq b, \quad t \in (t_0, T).$$

Then for any $a > 0$ we have

$$\int_{t_0}^t e^{-a(t-s)} g(s) ds \leq \frac{bh}{1 - e^{-ah}}, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

Consequently, if $y \in C([t_0, T]) \cap C^1((t_0, T))$ satisfies

$$y'(t) + ay(t) \leq g(t), \quad t \in (t_0, T),$$

then

$$y(t) \leq y(t_0) + \frac{bh}{1 - e^{-ah}}, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

Proof. Its detailed proof can be found in [20, Lemma 3.4]. □

The following two lemmas give some basic observations on v .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 0$. Suppose that S and u_0 satisfy (1.4) and (1.5) respectively, then there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 = C_2(R) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_1, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|v_r(r, t)| \leq C_2, \quad (r, t) \in \left(\frac{4R}{5}, R\right) \times (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Multiplying the second equation in (1.1) by $(M - v)$ and integrating by parts, using (1.7) and (1.8), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} uv(M - v) dx \leq M^2 \int_{\Omega} u dx = M^2 \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which implies (2.1). It follows from the second equation in (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} v_r &= r^{1-n} \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} u v d\rho \leq r^{1-n} M \int_0^R \rho^{n-1} u d\rho \\ &= r^{1-n} M \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx \leq \left(\frac{4R}{5}\right)^{1-n} M \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx, \quad (r, t) \in \left(\frac{4R}{5}, R\right) \times (0, T_{\max}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.2). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$, then, for each $\sigma \in [1, \frac{n}{n-1})$, $\alpha \in (1, +\infty)$ and $r_0 \in (0, R)$, one can find constants $C_3 = C_3(\sigma) > 0$ and $C_4 = C_4(\alpha, r_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \leq C_3, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|v_r\|_{L^\alpha((r_0, R))} \leq C_4, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. For fixed $1 \leq p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$, according to well-known smoothing estimates for the Dirichlet heat semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ on Ω ([5], [13, Section 48.2]), there exist positive constants λ , C_5 and C_6 such that

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta} \varphi\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} \leq C_5 \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}, \quad \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta} \varphi\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} \leq C_6 \cdot \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1})}\right) e^{-\lambda t} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p_0}(\Omega)}, \quad \varphi \in C_0(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (2.6)$$

For fixed $\sigma \in [1, \frac{n}{n-1})$, thanks to (1.9), (2.5) and (2.6), we apply a variation-of-constants representation to v to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} &= \|\nabla (v(\cdot, t) - M)\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\| \nabla e^{t\Delta} (v_0 - M) - \int_0^t \nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} (u(\cdot, s)v(\cdot, s)) ds \right\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_5 \|v_0 - M\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C_6 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2\sigma}}\right) e^{-\lambda t} dt, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.3).

Choosing $\chi(r) \in C^\infty([0, R])$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi \equiv 0$ in $[0, \frac{r_0}{2}]$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ in $[r_0, R]$, and using the second equation in (1.1), we have

$$(\chi(r)(v - M))_t = (\chi(r)(v - M))_{rr} + b(r, t), \quad (r, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, T_{\max}), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b(r, t) &= \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \chi(r) - 2\chi_r(r) \right) v_r(r, t) - \chi_{rr}(r) (v(r, t) - M) \\ &\quad - \chi(r) u(r, t) v(r, t), \quad (r, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, T_{\max}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Due to Proposition 1.2 and (2.3), we can deduce that $b(r, t) \in L^\infty((0, T_{\max}); L^1(\frac{r_0}{2}, R))$. According to the known regularity of the Dirichlet heat semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ in one dimension, using (2.7) and $\chi \cdot (v - M) = 0$ on $\{\frac{r_0}{2}, R\} \times (0, T_{\max})$, we can find $C_7 = C_7 > 0$ such that

whenever $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_r\|_{L^\alpha((r_0, R))} &\leq \left\| \partial_r (\chi \cdot (v(\cdot, t) - M)) \right\|_{L^\alpha((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} \\
&= \left\| \partial_r e^{t\Delta} (\chi \cdot (v_0 - M)) + \int_0^t \partial_r e^{(t-s)\Delta} b(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{L^\alpha((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} \\
&\leq C_5 \|\chi \cdot (v_0 - M)\|_{W^{1, \infty}((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} \\
&\quad + C_6 \int_0^t \left(1 + (t-s)^{-1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}}\right) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|b(\cdot, s)\|_{L^1((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} ds \\
&\leq C_7, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

We complete our proof. \square

The following lemma provides an estimate for an integral of $u(R, t)$ with respect to t .

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = \{0, 1\}$. Assume that (1.5) and (1.6) are valid. Suppose that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.11) with $0 < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ and $K > 0$, then there exists a constant $C_8 = C_8(\beta, R, K)$ such that*

$$\int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) ds \leq C_8, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max} - h), \tag{2.10}$$

where $h = \min \{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$.

Proof. We fix $\zeta \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, $\zeta \equiv 0$ in $\bar{B}_{\frac{R}{4}}(0)$ and $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \bar{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)$. Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by $\zeta^2(1+u)^{a-1}$ ($0 < a < 1$) and integrating by parts, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^a dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a-1} (\Delta u + \nabla \cdot (S(u) \nabla v)) dx \\
&= (1-a) \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (1-a) \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a-2} S(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta (1+u)^{a-1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta (1+u)^{a-1} S(u) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \zeta dx, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

For the three terms on the right side of (2.11), we apply Young's inequality and (1.11) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| (1-a) \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a-2} S(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{(1-a)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (1-a) K^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a+2\beta-2} |\nabla v|^2 dx \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta(1+u)^{a-1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1-a}{4} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^{a-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{4}{1-a} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^a |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta(1+u)^{a-1} S(u) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \right| \\ & \leq K^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^{a+2\beta-2} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^a |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

For one of the same terms on the right side of (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we use Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^a |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} 1+u dx \right)^a \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^{\frac{2}{1-a}} dx \right)^{1-a}. \quad (2.15)$$

In the following, we divide the regions of the parameters β and a into three cases to derive the lemma.

Case 1: $0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < a < 1$. Thus, we have $a + 2\beta - 2 < 0$. Due to (2.1) and (2.4) with $r_0 = \frac{R}{4}$ and $\alpha = 2 > 1$, along with $u > 0$, we infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^{a+2\beta-2} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \max\{C_1^2, \omega_n R^{n-1} C_3^2\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Summing up (2.11)-(2.16), there exists a constant $C_9 = C_9(a, \beta, R, K) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-a}{2} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^{a-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx & \leq \frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^a dx + \left(\frac{4}{1-a} + 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^a |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \\ & \quad + (2-a) K^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^{a+2\beta-2} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2(1+u)^a dx + C_9, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this differential equation on $(t, t+h)$ for all $t \in (0, T_{\max}-h)$ with $h = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$, and using (1.7) and (1.9), we derive that there exist positive constants $C_{10} = C_{10}(R)$ and

$C_{11} = C_{11}(a, \beta, R, K)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R \left| \left((1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}} \right)_r \right|^2 dr ds \\
& \leq C_{10} \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{a-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{2C_{10}}{a(1-a)} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u(\cdot, t+h))^a dx - \frac{2C_{10}}{a(1-a)} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u(\cdot, t))^a dx + \frac{2C_9}{1-a} h \\
& \leq \frac{2C_{10} |\Omega|^{1-a}}{a(1-a)} \left(\int_{\Omega} 1+u(\cdot, t+h) dx \right)^a + \frac{2C_9}{1-a} \\
& \leq C_{11}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

It follows from (2.17) with $a = \frac{2}{3}$ and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality to find a positive constant $C_{12} = C_{12}(R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) ds & \leq \int_t^{t+h} (1+u(R, s))^{\frac{5}{3}} ds \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+h} \|(1+u)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^5 ds \\
& \leq C_{12} \int_t^{t+h} \left\| \left((1+u)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)_r(\cdot, s) \right\|_{L^2(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^2 \|(1+u)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^3(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^3 ds \\
& \quad + C_{12} \int_t^{t+h} \|(1+u)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^3(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^5 ds \\
& = C_{12} (|\Omega| + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) C_{11} + C_{12} (|\Omega| + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)})^{\frac{5}{3}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max} - h)$ with $h = \min \{1, \frac{1}{2} T_{\max}\}$.

Case 2: $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ and $0 < a \leq 2 - 2\beta$. Thus, we have $a + 2\beta - 2 \leq 0$. Similarly, we also obtain

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R \left| \left((1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}} \right)_r \right|^2 dr ds \leq C_{11}. \tag{2.19}$$

Taking $0 < a = 1 - \beta \leq 2 - 2\beta$ in (2.19), and upon application of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, there exists constant $C_{13} = C_{13}(R) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) ds &\leq \int_t^{t+h} (1 + u(R, s))^{2-\beta} ds \\
 &\leq \int_t^{t+h} \|(1 + u)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{4-2\beta}{1-\beta}} ds \\
 &\leq C_{13} \int_t^{t+h} \|((1 + u)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}})_r(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^2 \|(1 + u)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} ds \\
 &\quad + C_{13} \int_t^{t+h} \|(1 + u)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{4-2\beta}{1-\beta}} ds \\
 &= C_{13}(|\Omega| + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)})C_{11} + C_{13}(|\Omega| + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)})^{2-\beta}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max} - h]$ with $h = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$.

Case 3: $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ and $2 - 2\beta < a < 1$. Thus, we have $0 < a + 2\beta - 2 < 1$. Using Hölder's inequality, (2.2) and (2.4) with $\frac{2}{3-2\beta-a} > 0$ and $r_0 = \frac{R}{4}$, for $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, we can find a positive constant $C_{14} = C_{14}(a, \beta, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1 + u)^{a+2\beta-2} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} 1 + u dx \right)^{a+2\beta-2} \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} \zeta^{\frac{2}{3-2\beta-a}} |\nabla v|^{\frac{2}{3-2\beta-a}} dx \right)^{3-2\beta-a} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} 1 + u dx \right)^{a+2\beta-2} \cdot (\omega_n R^{n-1})^{3-2\beta-a} \left(\int_{\frac{R}{4}}^R |v_r|^{\frac{2}{3-2\beta-a}} dx \right)^{3-2\beta-a} \\
 &\leq C_{14}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By means of this, and similar to the proof of (2.17), we also have

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R |((1 + u)^{\frac{a}{2}})_r|^2 dr ds \leq C_{11}. \tag{2.21}$$

We utilize (2.21) with $2 - 2\beta < a = \frac{3-2\beta}{2} < 1$, along with Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, to find a constant $C_{15} = C_{15}(R) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) ds &\leq \int_t^{t+h} (1 + u(R, s))^{\frac{5}{2}-\beta} ds \\
&\leq \int_t^{t+h} \|(1 + u)^{\frac{3-2\beta}{4}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{10-4\beta}{3-2\beta}} ds \\
&\leq C_{15} \int_t^{t+h} \|((1 + u)^{\frac{3-2\beta}{4}})_r(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^2 \|(1 + u)^{\frac{3-2\beta}{4}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3-2\beta}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))} ds \\
&\quad + C_{15} \int_t^{t+h} \|(1 + u)^{\frac{3-2\beta}{4}}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3-2\beta}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{10-4\beta}{3-2\beta}} ds \\
&= C_{15}(|\Omega| + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)})C_{11} + C_{15}(|\Omega| + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)})^{\frac{5}{2}-\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max} - h]$ with $h = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$.

Therefore, (2.18) and (2.20), together with (2.22), imply (2.10). Moreover, for any $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $a \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R |((1 + u)^{\frac{a}{2}})_r|^2 dr ds \leq C_{11}, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max} - h), \tag{2.23}$$

as a consequence of (2.17), (2.19) and (2.21). \square

The following lemma provides a spatio-temporal uniform bound for v_r near the boundary.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.11) with $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $K > 0$, then for any radially symmetric u_0 and v_0 satisfy (1.5) and (1.6) respectively, there exists a constant $C_{16} = C_{16}(\beta, R, K)$ such that*

$$|v_r(r, t)| \leq C_{16}, \quad (r, t) \in \left(\frac{4R}{5}, R\right) \times (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. We choose $\zeta \in C^\infty([0, R])$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, $\zeta \equiv 0$ in $\bar{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)$ and $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus B_{\frac{3R}{4}}(0)$. Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by $\zeta^2(1 + u)$, integrating by parts, and

then applying Young's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 S(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\
 & \quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta (1+u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta (1+u) S(u) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \zeta dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx \\
 & \leq \frac{3K^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx + 3 \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \\
 & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in (0, 1)$, due to $0 < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$, we have $\frac{2+a}{2\beta} > 1$. This enable us to apply Young's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx & \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |\nabla v|^{\frac{2(2+a)}{2+a-2\beta}} dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a} dx + C_{17}, \tag{2.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

where (2.4) with $r_0 = \frac{R}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{2(2+a)}{2+a-2\beta}$ is used. Again using Young's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |\nabla \zeta|^{\frac{2(2+a)}{a}} dx \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} \zeta^{\frac{2(2+a)}{a}} dx. \tag{2.28}$$

Substituting (2.26)-(2.28) into (2.25) implies the existence of a positive constant $C_{18} = C_{18}(a, \beta, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 (1+u)^2 dx \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{3K^2}{2} + 4 \right) \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a}(x, t) dx + C_{18} \\
 & \triangleq g(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{a}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{2}{a}} &= \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R (1+u(r, t)) dr \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R r^{n-1} (1+u(r, t)) dr \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} (1+u_0) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, there exists a positive constant $C_{19} = C_{19}(a, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a} dx &\leq \omega_n R^{n-1} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R (1+u(r, t))^{2+a} dr \\
&= \omega_n R^{n-1} \|(1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(2+a)}{a}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{2(2+a)}{a}} \\
&\leq C_{19} \|((1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}})_r\|_{L^2((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^2 \|(1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{a}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{4}{a}} \\
&\quad + C_{19} \|(1+u)^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{a}}((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^{\frac{2(2+a)}{a}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.23) and (2.30) guarantees the existence of a positive constant $C_{20} = C_{20}(a, \beta, R, K) > 0$ such that

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} (1+u)^{2+a} dx ds \leq C_{20} \tag{2.31}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max} - h)$ with $h = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$. Combining (2.31) with (2.29), and applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.29), we have

$$\int_{\frac{3R}{4}}^R u^2 dr \leq \left(\frac{4}{3R}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} (1+u_0)^2 dx + \left(\frac{4}{3R}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \frac{2C_{21}}{(1-e^{-2})}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}), \tag{2.32}$$

where

$$C_{21} = C_{21}(a, \beta, R, K) := \sup_{t \in (0, T_{\max} - h)} \int_t^{t+h} g(s) ds$$

due to (2.31) and $g(t)$ is defined in (2.29).

We fix $\chi \in C^\infty([0, R])$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi \equiv 0$ in $[0, \frac{3R}{4}]$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ in $[\frac{4R}{5}, R]$. For $b(x, t)$ defined in (2.8), along with (2.32) and (2.9), we have the estimate

$$\|b(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2((\frac{3R}{4}, R))} \leq C_{22}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \tag{2.33}$$

for some constant $C_{22} = C_{22}(\beta, R, K) > 0$. Thus, by (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_r(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty((\frac{4R}{5}, R))} &\leq \|\partial_r(\chi \cdot (v(\cdot, t) - M))\|_{L^\infty((\frac{3R}{4}, R))} \\ &\leq C_5 \|\chi \cdot (v_0 - M)\|_{W^{1,\infty}((\frac{3R}{4}, R))} + C_6 C_{22} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right) e^{-\lambda t} dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$, which implies (2.24). \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = \{0, 1\}$. Suppose that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.11) with $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $K > 0$. Assume that (1.5) and (1.6) are valid. For $q > \max\{1, \frac{n-2}{2}\}$ and $p \in [2q + 2, \frac{(2n-2)q}{n-2} + 1]$, there exists a constant $C_{23} > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{23} \left\| |\nabla v|^{q-1} |D^2 v| \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{p-2}{pq}} + C_{23}. \quad (2.34)$$

If $n = 2$, for $q \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2q + 2$, we also have

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{23} \left\| |\nabla v|^{q-1} |D^2 v| \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{p-2}{pq}} + C_{23}. \quad (2.35)$$

Proof. Due to (2.2), (2.24), $p > 1$ and the condition $v = M$ on $\partial\Omega$, for all $0 < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ and $t \in (0, T_{\max})$, there exists a constant $C_{24} > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dx \leq |\partial\Omega| M \|v_r\|_{L^\infty((\frac{4R}{5}, R) \times (0, T_{\max}))}^{p-1} \leq C_{24}. \quad (2.36)$$

Utilizing (2.36), (1.8) and (1.10), and following a proof similar to the one used in Lemma 3.3 of [29], we obtain (2.34). Combining (2.36) with (2.3), (2.1), (1.8) and (1.10), and similar to [10, Lemma 2.1], we deduce (2.35). \square

To derive the boundedness of $\int_\Omega u^p dx$, we first establish following differential inequality.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = \{0, 1\}$. Suppose that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.11) with $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $K > 0$. Assume that (1.5) and (1.6) are valid. Then for any*

$$m > \max\left\{1, \frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{2n\beta - n}{2n - 2n\beta + 2}\right\} \quad (2.37)$$

and

$$\max\left\{1, \frac{m}{m+1} + 2 - 2\beta, m + 1 - \frac{2}{n}, \frac{2(n-2)(m+1)(\beta-1)}{2m+2-n}\right\} < p < (m+1)(2-2\beta) + \frac{2m}{n}, \quad (2.38)$$

there exist constants $C_{25} = C_{25}(\beta, R, m, p, K) > 0$ and $C_{26} = C_{26}(\beta, R, m, p, K) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\tau}{2m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \right) + C_{25} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx \\ & + C_{25} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx + C_{26} \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. We first verify (2.38) using (2.37), which justifies our choice of p . Due to $m > \frac{2n\beta-n}{2n-2n\beta+2} > \frac{2n\beta-2-n}{2n-2n\beta+2}$ and $\beta < \frac{n+2}{2n} < \frac{n+1}{n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m}{m+1} + 2 - 2\beta - \left((m+1)(2-2\beta) + \frac{2m}{n} \right) \\ & = \frac{m}{m+1} - m \left(2 - 2\beta + \frac{2}{n} \right) \\ & = \frac{m}{m+1} \left(1 - \left(2 - 2\beta + \frac{2}{n} \right) (m+1) \right) \\ & < \frac{m}{m+1} \left(1 - \left(2 - 2\beta + \frac{2}{n} \right) \left(\frac{2n\beta-2-n}{2n-2n\beta+2} + 1 \right) \right) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2(n-2)(m+1)(\beta-1)}{2m+2-n} - \left((m+1)(2-2\beta) + \frac{2m}{n} \right) \\ & = (m+1) \frac{4m(\beta-1)}{2m+2-n} - \frac{2m}{n} \\ & = 2m \frac{(m(2n\beta-2n-2) - (2+n-2n\beta))}{n(2m+2-n)} \\ & < 2m \frac{\left(\frac{2n\beta-2-n}{2n-2n\beta+2} (2n\beta-2n-2) - (2+n-2n\beta) \right)}{n(2m+2-n)} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Since $\beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (m+1 - \frac{2}{n}) - \left((m+1)(2-2\beta) + \frac{2m}{n} \right) & = (m+1) \left(2\beta - 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) \\ & < (m+1) \left(\frac{n+2}{n} - 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

According to $\beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ and $m > \frac{2n\beta-n}{2n-2n\beta+2}$, we have

$$(m+1)(2-2\beta) + \frac{2m}{n} - 1 = m\left(2-2\beta + \frac{2}{n}\right) + 1 - 2\beta > 0. \quad (2.43)$$

Combining (2.40)-(2.43), (2.38) is justified.

Our choice $p > m+1 - \frac{2}{n} > (1 - \frac{2}{n})m + 1 - \frac{2}{n}$ entails that

$$\left(\frac{p}{2(m+1)} - \frac{p}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}\right) > \frac{(1 - \frac{2}{n})(m+1)}{2(m+1)} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 0. \quad (2.44)$$

Due to $p > 1$, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2} < -\frac{1}{n} < 0. \quad (2.45)$$

According to $p > \frac{m}{m+1} + 2 - 2\beta$, we infer that

$$p - 2 + 2\beta > \frac{m}{m+1} > 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{pm}{2(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)} - \frac{p}{2} &= \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{m - (m+1)(2\beta-2) - p(m+1)}{(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)} \right) \\ &< \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{m - (m+1)(2\beta-2) - (\frac{m}{m+1} + 2 - 2\beta)(m+1)}{(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

Using $p > \frac{2(n-2)(m+1)(\beta-1)}{2m+2-n}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{pm}{2(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)} - \frac{p}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{p(\frac{2}{n}(m+1) - 1) - (m+1)(2\beta-2)(1 - \frac{2}{n})}{2(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by $(1+u)^{p-1}$ ($p > 1$) and integrating by parts, using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-1} (\Delta u + \nabla \cdot (S(u)\nabla v)) dx \\ &= -(p-1) \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (p-1) \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} S(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\leq -\frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} S^2(u) |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\leq -\frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{(p-1)K^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2+2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

For any $m > 1$, it follows from the second equation in (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tau}{2m} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\Delta v - uv) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(uv) dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. For the first term in the right side of (2.49), we using the fact that $\nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla v|^2 - |D^2 v|^2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \Delta |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx \\ &= -\frac{m-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-2)} |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

For the second term in the right side of (2.49), integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(uv) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \Delta v dx - (m-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-2)} uv \nabla |\nabla v|^2 \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

By means of Young's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \Delta v dx &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |\Delta v|^2 dx + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} u^2 v^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} u^2 v^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(m-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-2)} uv \nabla |\nabla v|^2 \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\leq \frac{m-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-2)} |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 dx + (m-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} u^2 v^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

Substituting (2.50)-(2.53) into (2.49) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\tau}{2m} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx + \frac{m-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-2)} |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dx + (m-1 + \frac{n}{2}) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} u^2 v^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Combining this with (2.48), applying the fact $|\nabla|\nabla v|^2|^2 = 4|\nabla v|^2|D^2v|^2$, and using (1.8) and (1.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\tau}{2m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \right) \\
 & + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} |D^2v|^2 dx \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial|\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx \\
 & + \frac{(p-1)K^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2+2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \left(m - 1 + \frac{n}{2}\right) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

By means of Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{m+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{m+1}}. \tag{2.55}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 with $q = m$ and $p = 2m + 2$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} = \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2m+2}(\Omega)}^{2(m-1)} \leq C_{23} \|\nabla v\|^{m-1} \|D^2v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(m-1)}{m+1}} + C_{23}. \tag{2.56}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, there exists a constant $C_{27} = C_{27}(p, m) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{m+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{m+1}} &= \|(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(m+1)}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{4}{p}} \\
 &\leq C_{27} \|\nabla(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{4\theta_1}{p}} \|(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{4(1-\theta_1)}{p}} \\
 &\quad + C_{27} \|(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{4}{p}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

where $\theta_1 = \frac{\frac{p}{2(m+1)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \in (0, 1)$ due to (2.44) and (2.45). Thanks to $p > m + 1 - \frac{2}{n}$ and (2.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\theta_1}{p} + \frac{m-1}{m+1} - 1 &= \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{\frac{p}{2(m+1)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} + \frac{m-1}{m+1} - 1 \\
&= \frac{-\frac{m}{m+1} + \frac{m-1}{m+1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2})}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} - 1 \\
&< \frac{-\frac{m}{m+1} + \frac{m-1}{m+1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - (\frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}))}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} - 1 \\
&= \frac{-\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} - 1 \\
&= \frac{\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{m}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \\
&< 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

Inserting (2.56) and (2.57) into (2.55), due to (2.58), we can apply Young's inequality to deduce that, for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{28} = C_{28}(\beta, p, R, m, \varepsilon_1)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} dx \leq \varepsilon_1 \|\ |\nabla v|^{m-1} |D^2 v|\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla(1+u)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_{28}. \tag{2.59}$$

For the last but one term in (2.54), using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{p-2+2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)}{m}} dx \right)^{\frac{m}{m+1}}. \tag{2.60}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we infer the existence of a constant $C_{29} = C_{29}(p, \beta, m) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)}{m}} dx \right)^{\frac{m}{m+1}} &= \|(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(m+1)(p+2\beta-2)}{pm}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(p+2\beta-2)}{p}} \\
&\leq C_{29} \|\nabla(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2\theta_2(p+2\beta-2)}{p}} \|(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(1-\theta_2)(p+2\beta-2)}{p}} \\
&\quad + C_{29} \|(1+u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(p+2\beta-2)}{p}},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.61}$$

where $\theta_2 = \frac{\frac{pm}{2(m+1)(p-2+2\beta)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \in (0, 1)$ by (2.45), (2.46) and (2.47). Similar to (2.56), we find

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}} = \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2m+2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{23} \|\ |\nabla v|^{m-1} |D^2 v|\|^{\frac{2}{m+1}}_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_{23}. \tag{2.62}$$

Because of $p < (2 - 2\beta)(m + 1) + \frac{2m}{n}$ and (2.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{p - 2 + 2\beta}{p} \theta_2 + \frac{1}{m + 1} - 1 \\
 &= \frac{\frac{m}{2(m+1)} - \frac{p-2+2\beta}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} + \frac{1}{m + 1} - 1 \\
 &= \frac{1 - \beta + \frac{m}{n(m+1)} - \frac{p}{2(m+1)}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \\
 &< 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.63}$$

Inserting (2.61) and (2.62) into (2.60), due to (2.63), we can apply Young's inequality to deduce that, for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{30} = C_{30}(\beta, R, m, p, \varepsilon_2) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^{p-2+2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_2 \|\nabla v\|^{m-1} \|D^2 v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon_2 \|\nabla(1 + u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_{30}. \tag{2.64}$$

Substituting (2.59) and (2.64) into (2.54), and choosing ε_1 and ε_2 sufficiently small, we deduce (2.39). \square

The following lemma gives the L^p estimate for u . Since v satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition, inspired by [8], we use the radial symmetry assumption to handle the boundary integrals.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = \{0, 1\}$. Suppose that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.11) with $\beta \in (0, \frac{n+2}{2n})$ and $K > 0$. Assume that (1.5) and (1.6) are valid. If m and p satisfy (2.37) and (2.38) respectively, then there exists a constant $C_{31} = C_{31}(\beta, R, m, p, K) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \tau \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx \leq C_{31}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \tag{2.65}$$

Proof. For $\tau = 1$, we use (2.3), and apply Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Young's inequality to find a constant $C_{32} = C_{32}(m) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx &= \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2m} \\
 &\leq C_{32} \|\nabla |\nabla v|^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2\theta_4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{\sigma}{m}}(\Omega)}^{2(1-\theta_4)} + C_{32} \|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{\sigma}{m}}(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq C_{32} C_3^{2m(1-\theta_4)} \|\nabla |\nabla v|^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2\theta_4} + C_{32} C_3^{2m} \\
 &\leq m^{2\theta_4} C_{32} C_3^{2m(1-\theta_4)} \|\nabla v\|^{m-1} \|D^2 v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2\theta_4} + C_{32} C_3^{2m},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

where $\theta_4 = \frac{2mn - n\sigma}{2\sigma - n\sigma + 2mn} \in (0, 1)$ by $m > 1$ and $\sigma > 1$. For $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, using Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, there exists a constant $C_{33} = C_{33}(p) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (1 + u)^p dx \leq C_{33} \|\nabla(1 + u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2\theta_3} \|(1 + u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{2(1-\theta_3)} + C_{33} \|u(1 + u)^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^2, \tag{2.67}$$

where $\theta_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \in (0, 1)$ due to (2.45) and $p > 1$.

For $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, using the second equation in (1.1), since $v = M$ on $\partial\Omega$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial \nu} &= 2v_r v_{rr} \\ &= 2v_r \cdot \left\{ v_{rr} + \frac{1}{R} v_r \right\} - \frac{2}{R} v_r^2 \\ &= 2uvv_r - \frac{2}{R} v_r^2 \\ &\leq 2uvv_r. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.10), (2.2), (2.24) and $m > 1$, for $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx ds &\leq 2M \int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) v_r^{2m-1}(R, s) dx ds \\ &\leq 2M \max\{C_2, C_{16}\}^{2m-1} C_8, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max} - h] \end{aligned} \quad (2.68)$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx ds \leq M \max\{C_2, C_{16}\}^{2m-1} C_8, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max} - h]. \quad (2.69)$$

In view of (2.66)-(2.69) and (2.39), along with Young's inequality, for $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\tau}{2m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \right) + \frac{\tau}{2m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx + C_{34} \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. We define

$$y(t) := \frac{\tau}{2m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2m} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx$$

and

$$g(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(m-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx + C_{34}.$$

Since (2.68) and (2.69) ensures that $\int_t^{t+h} g(s) ds \leq C_{35}(\beta, R, K, m, p)$ for all $t \in (0, T_{\max} - h)$ with $h = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$. It follows from (2.70) that

$$y'(t) + y(t) \leq g(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which implies (2.65) by means of Lemma 2.1. \square

Applying the standard Moser-type iterative argument, we finally obtain the L^∞ estimate of u .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $(m+1)(2-2\beta) + \frac{2m}{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that, for any $p > 1$ and $m > 1$, we have

$$\sup_{0 < t < T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \tau \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2m}(\Omega)} \leq C_{31}. \quad (2.71)$$

For the case of $\tau = 0$, by the standard elliptic regularity theory and (2.71) with $p > n$, we have

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{36}. \quad (2.72)$$

For the case of $\tau = 1$, by (2.71) with $p > n$, using the standard Dirichlet heat semigroup estimate, we find a constant $C_{36} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &= \|\nabla(v(\cdot, t) - M)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\| \nabla e^{t\Delta}(v_0 - M) - \int_0^t \nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta}(u(\cdot, s)v(\cdot, s)) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_5 \|v_0 - M\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C_6 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}}\right) e^{-\lambda s} ds \\ &\leq C_{36}. \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.73)$$

Thus, using (2.73) or (2.72), we deduce Theorem 1.3 for the case of $\tau = 0$ or $\tau = 1$ by a Moser-type iterative argument (cf. [15, Lemma A.1]). \square

3. BLOW-UP IN 2-D SYSTEM WHEN $\tau = 0$ AND $\beta > 1$

In this section, we assume that $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\tau = 0$. The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. Now we introduce a mass distribution function, and derive some properties.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that (1.4) and (1.5) hold. We define*

$$w(s, t) := \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \rho u(\rho, t) d\rho, \quad (s, t) \in [0, R^2] \times [0, T_{\max}), \quad (3.1)$$

then $w \in C([0, T_{\max}); C^1([0, R^2]) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^2] \times (0, T_{\max}))$ satisfies the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} w_t(s, t) = 4sw_{ss}(s, t) + \sqrt{s}S(2w_s(s, t))v_r(\sqrt{s}, t), & s \in (0, R^2), t \in (0, T_{\max}), \\ w(0, t) = 0, \quad w(R^2, t) = \frac{m_0}{2\pi}, & t \in [0, T_{\max}), \\ w(s, 0) = w_0(s), & s \in (0, R^2), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $m_0 := \int_\Omega u_0 dx$ and $w_0(s) = \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \rho u_0(\rho) d\rho$.

Moreover, w satisfies

$$w_s(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}u(\sqrt{s}, t), \quad (s, t) \in [0, R^2] \times [0, T_{\max}]. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. (3.2) can be easily verified using the radial symmetric form of (1.1), we omit the details. \square

We give a lower bound for $rv_r(r, t)$ by employing an ODE comparison argument, and its detailed proof can be found in [28, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that (1.4) and (1.5) hold. Then*

$$rv_r(r, t) \geq \frac{U(r, t)v(r, t)}{1 + \int_0^r \frac{U(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho}, \quad (r, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, T_{\max}), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$U(r, t) := w(r^2, t), \quad (r, t) \in [0, R] \times [0, T_{\max}].$$

In view of Lemma 3.2, we can estimate v from below, whose proof is the same as [1, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that (1.4) and (1.5) hold. Then*

$$v(r, t) \geq M \exp \left[- \left(\frac{m_0}{2\pi} \cdot \ln \frac{R}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \quad (r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.5)$$

Applying the above lemmas, a lower bound for w_t can be derived.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that (1.4) and (1.5) hold. Suppose that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.12) with $\beta > 1$ and $k > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{37} = C_{37}(\beta, R) > 0$, such that*

$$w_t(s, t) \geq 4sw_{ss}(s, t) + MkC_{37} \cdot \frac{s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} w(s, t) w_s^\beta(s, t)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho}, \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^2) \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. By Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m_0}{2\pi} \cdot \ln \frac{R}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq (\beta - 1) \ln \frac{R}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{m_0}{8\pi(\beta - 1)} \\ &= \ln \frac{R^{\beta-1}}{s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}} + \frac{m_0}{8\pi(\beta - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Substituting (3.7) into (3.5) yields

$$v(\sqrt{s}, t) \geq MR^{-(\beta-1)} e^{-\frac{m_0}{8\pi(\beta-1)} s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}}, \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^2) \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.8)$$

Recalling the definitions of U and w , we derive that

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{s}} U(\rho, t) \rho^{-1} d\rho = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho. \quad (3.9)$$

According to (3.4), (3.8), (3.9) and the positivity of w , we obtain

$$\sqrt{s}v_r(\sqrt{s}, t) \geq MR^{-(\beta-1)}e^{-\frac{m_0}{8\pi(\beta-1)}} \frac{s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}w(s, t)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho}, \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^2) \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.10)$$

By (1.12), (3.2) and (3.10), there exists a positive constant $C_{37}(\beta, R) = 2^\beta R^{-(\beta-1)}e^{-\frac{m_0}{8\pi(\beta-1)}}$ such that (3.6) holds. \square

The approach to detect blow-up is based on a differential inequality of a moment-type functional $\phi(t)$. For any given $\gamma = \gamma(\beta) \in (0, 1)$, we define such a positive functional

$$\phi(t) := \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w(s, t) ds, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max}), \quad (3.11)$$

which is well-defined and belongs to $C([0, T_{\max})) \cap C^1((0, T_{\max}))$. In order to establish the differential inequality of $\phi(t)$, given $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, we further introduce an auxiliary functional, which belongs to $C([0, T_{\max}))$, defined as follows:

$$\psi(t) := \int_0^{R^2} s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}-\gamma} w(s, t) w_s^\beta(s, t) ds, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that (1.4) and (1.5) hold. Then for any $\beta \in (1, +\infty)$ and $l = l(\beta) \in (1, 2 + \frac{2\gamma-\beta-1}{2\beta})$ with $\gamma = \gamma(\beta) \in (0, 1)$, there exist constants $C_{38} = C_{38}(\beta, R) > 0$, $C_{39} = C_{39}(\beta, R) > 0$ and $C_{40} = C_{40}(\beta, R) > 0$ such that the following three estimates hold*

$$w(s, t) \leq C_{38} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t), \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^2] \times (0, T_{\max}) \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\int_0^{R^2} \rho^{-l} w^2(\rho, t) d\rho \leq C_{39} \psi^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (3.14)$$

as well as

$$\phi(t) \leq C_{40} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Since $\beta > 1$ and $\gamma > 0$, we can choose $l = l(\beta)$ fulfilling

$$1 < l < 2 + \frac{2\gamma - \beta - 1}{2\beta},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{2\gamma - \beta + 1}{2\beta - 2} > \frac{2\beta l - 4\beta + 2}{2\beta - 2} = -1 + \frac{\beta(l - 1)}{\beta - 1} > -1. \quad (3.16)$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, and using (3.12) and $\beta > 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}w^2(\rho, t) &= \int_0^\rho w(s, t)w_s(s, t)ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\rho s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}-\gamma} w(s, t)w_s^\beta(s, t)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \cdot \left(\int_0^\rho s^{\frac{2\gamma-\beta+1}{2\beta-2}} w(s, t)ds \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq \psi^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(t) \left(\int_0^\rho s^{\frac{2\gamma-\beta+1}{2\beta-2}} w(s, t)ds \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Due to (3.16) and $w \leq \frac{m_0}{2\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\rho s^{\frac{2\gamma-\beta+1}{2\beta-2}} w(s, t)ds \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} &\leq \left(\frac{m_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \left(\int_0^\rho s^{\frac{2\gamma-\beta+1}{2\beta-2}} ds \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \\ &= \left(\frac{2\beta-2}{2\gamma+\beta-1} \cdot \frac{m_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \rho^{\frac{2\gamma+\beta-1}{2\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Inserting (3.18) into (3.17), we infer that

$$\frac{1}{2}w^2(\rho, t) = \int_0^\rho w(s, t)w_s(s, t)ds \leq \left(\frac{2\beta-2}{2\gamma+\beta-1} \cdot \frac{m_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \psi^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(t) \rho^{\frac{2\gamma+\beta-1}{2\beta}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Due to $\rho \leq R^2$, there exists a constant $C_{38} = C_{38}(\beta, R) = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{2\beta-2}{2\gamma+\beta-1} \cdot \frac{m_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}} R^{\frac{2\gamma+\beta-1}{2\beta}} > 0$ such that

$$w(\rho, t) \leq C_{38} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t), \quad (\rho, t) \in (0, R^2] \times (0, T_{\max}), \quad (3.20)$$

which is (3.13). Combining (3.19) with the fact that $l < 2 + \frac{2\gamma-\beta-1}{2\beta}$, there exists a positive constant $C_{39} = C_{39}(\beta, R) = 2 \left(\frac{2\beta-2}{2\gamma+\beta-1} \cdot \frac{m_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} R^{2-2l+\frac{2\gamma+\beta-1}{\beta}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{R^2} \rho^{-l} w^2(\rho, t) d\rho &\leq 2 \left(\frac{2\beta-2}{2\gamma+\beta-1} \cdot \frac{m_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \psi^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(t) \cdot \int_0^{R^2} \rho^{-l+\frac{2\gamma+\beta-1}{2\beta}} d\rho \\ &\leq C_{39} \psi^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}), \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.14). Due to $\gamma < 1$ and (3.20), there exists a positive constant $C_{40} = C_{40}(\beta, R) = C_{38} \frac{R^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$ such that

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w(s, t) ds \leq C_{40} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which is (3.15). □

In the following lemma, we establish a basic differential inequality of the moment-type functional $\phi(t)$ by making use of (3.14) and (3.13).

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that $S(\xi)$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.12) with $\beta > 1$ and $k > 0$. Then for any u_0 satisfying (1.5) and some $\gamma = \gamma(\beta) \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $C_{41} = C_{41}(\beta, R) > 0$,*

such that the functionals $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfy

$$\phi'(t) \geq \frac{M}{C_{41}} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t)} - C_{41} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t) - C_{41}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. For any $\beta > 1$, we fix $\gamma = \gamma(\beta) \in (0, 1)$ fulfilling

$$0 < \gamma < \frac{\beta - 1}{4\beta - 2},$$

which implies

$$(2\gamma + 1) - \left(2 + \frac{2\gamma - \beta - 1}{2\beta}\right) = \frac{\gamma(4\beta - 2) - \beta + 1}{2\beta} < 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Thus, we choose $l = l(\beta) > 0$ such that

$$2\gamma + 1 < l < 2 + \frac{2\gamma - \beta - 1}{2\beta}. \quad (3.23)$$

According to (3.6) and the definition of ϕ , it is obvious that

$$\phi'(t) \geq 4 \int_0^{R^2} s^{1-\gamma} w_{ss}(s, t) ds + MkC_{37} \int_0^{R^2} \frac{s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}-\gamma} w(s, t) w_s^\beta(s, t)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho} ds \quad (3.24)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Due to $\gamma < 1$, we obtain

$$s^{1-\gamma} w_s(s, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } s^{-\gamma} w(s, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow 0, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.25)$$

Integrating by parts, and combining (3.25) with $w_s(s, t) \geq 0$ inferred from (3.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \int_0^{R^2} s^{1-\gamma} w_{ss}(s, t) ds &= -4(1-\gamma) \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w_s(s, t) ds + 4R^{2(1-\gamma)} w_s(R^2, t) \\ &\geq -4(1-\gamma) \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w_s(s, t) ds \\ &= -4\gamma(1-\gamma) \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma-1} w(s, t) ds - 4(1-\gamma) \frac{R^{-2\gamma} m_0}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

By means of Hölder's inequality, along with (3.23) and (3.14), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma-1} w(s, t) ds &\leq \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{-l} w^2(s, t) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{l-2\gamma-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_{39}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{l-2\gamma-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t) \\ &= C_{42} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where $C_{42} = C_{42}(\beta, R) = C_{39}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{l-2\gamma-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Inserting (3.27) into (3.26), we obtain

$$4 \int_0^{R^2} s^{1-\gamma} w_{ss}(s, t) ds \geq -4\gamma(1-\gamma) C_{42} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t) - 4(1-\gamma) \frac{R^{-2\gamma} m_0}{2\pi}. \quad (3.28)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, and applying (3.14) and (3.23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \frac{w(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho &\leq \left(\int_0^{R^2} \rho^{-l} w^2(\rho, t) d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_0^{R^2} \rho^{l-2} d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_{39}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{43} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where $C_{43} = C_{43}(R) = \left(\int_0^{R^2} \rho^{l-2} d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Combining (3.29) with the definition of ψ in (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{R^2} \frac{s^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}-\gamma} w(s, t) w_s^\beta(s, t)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho} ds &\geq \frac{2\psi(t)}{2 + C_{39}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{43} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t)} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\max\{2, C_{39}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{43}\}} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore, we choose $C_{41} = C_{41}(\beta, R) > 0$ to be sufficiently large and infer (3.21) from (3.24), (3.28) and (3.30). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We denote

$$\phi_0 = \phi_0(u_0) := \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w_0(s) ds \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$S := \left\{ t \in (0, T_{\max}) \mid \phi(t) > \frac{\phi_0}{2} \text{ on } (0, t) \right\}, \quad (3.32)$$

where $w_0(s)$ is defined in (3.2). Due to the continuity of $\phi(t)$, (3.11) and (3.31), we infer that S is non-empty. Thus, $T := \sup S \in (0, T_{\max}] \subset (0, \infty]$ is well-defined.

Step 1: to prove that $T = T_{\max}$. Suppose that $T < T_{\max}$. According to the continuity of $\phi(t)$ and the definition of T , we have $\phi(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2}$. In the following, we use (3.21) to prove $\phi(T) \geq \phi_0$, which gives a contradiction.

We first prove $\phi'(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in (0, T)$. As a consequence of (3.15) and (3.32), we obtain

$$\psi(t) \geq \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{C_{40}} \right)^{2\beta} \geq \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{2\beta}, \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (3.33)$$

Set

$$f_M(z) := \frac{M}{2C_{41}} \cdot \frac{z}{1 + z^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}} - C_{41} z^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} - C_{41},$$

then we have

$$\inf_{z \geq C_{44}} f_M(z) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $C_{44} := \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{2\beta}$. According to (3.33), there exists a constant $M^*(u_0) > 0$ such that

$$f_M(\psi(t)) \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad M \geq M^*(u_0). \quad (3.34)$$

We choose $M \geq M^*(u_0)$ in the following proof. Since $g(z) := \frac{z^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}}{1+z^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}}$ is nondecreasing on $z \in (0, +\infty)$, and from (3.21), (3.15), (3.33) and (3.34), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(t) &\geq \frac{M}{2C_{41}} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t)} + f_M(\psi(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{M}{2C_{41}} \cdot \frac{\psi^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}(t)} \psi^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} \\ &\geq \frac{M}{2C_{41}} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}}{1 + \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}} \cdot \frac{\phi(t)}{C_{40}} \\ &= C_{45}\phi(t), \quad t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

where $C_{45} = C_{45}(\beta, u_0, M) = \frac{M}{2C_{40}C_{41}} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}}{1 + \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}}}$. By (3.35), we have $\phi'(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in (0, T)$, which, along with the continuity of $\phi(t)$, implies $\phi(T) \geq \phi_0$.

Step 2: to prove that $T_{\max} < \infty$. It follows from (3.35) that

$$\phi(t) \geq \phi_0 e^{C_{45}t}, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Combining this with (3.11), and using $w \leq \frac{m_0}{2\pi}$ and $\gamma < 1$, we infer that

$$\frac{m_0 R^{2(1-\gamma)}}{2\pi(1-\gamma)} \geq \phi(t) \geq \phi_0 e^{C_{45}t}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which implies

$$T_{\max} \leq \frac{1}{C_{45}} \ln \frac{m_0 R^{2(1-\gamma)}}{2\pi(1-\gamma)\phi_0}.$$

In consequence, for any given u_0 , if $M \geq M^*(u_0)$, we infer that T_{\max} must be finite. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. AHN AND M. WINKLER, *A critical exponent for blow-up in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-consumption system*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 62 (2023), Paper No. 180, pp. 25.
- [2] J. AHN, K. KANG AND D. KIM, *Global boundedness and blow-up in a repulsive chemotaxis-consumption system in higher dimensions*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.16225>, (2024).
- [3] Y. DONG, S. ZHANG, AND Y. ZHANG, *Blowup phenomenon for a 2D chemotaxis-consumption model with rotation and signal saturation on the boundary*, Appl. Math. Lett., 149 (2024), Paper No. 108934, pp. 6.
- [4] E.F. KELLER AND L.A. SEGEL, *Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: a theoretical analysis*, J Theor Biol, 30 (1971), pp. 235-248.
- [5] S. D. ÈIDEL'MAN AND S. D. IVASISHEN, *Investigation of the green's matrix of a homogeneous parabolic boundary value problem*, Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva, 23 (1970), pp. 179-234.

- [6] L. FAN AND H.-Y. JIN, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior to a chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant in higher dimensions*, J. Math. Phys., 58 (2017), Paper No. 011503, pp. 22.
- [7] J. LANKEIT AND Y. WANG, *Global existence, boundedness and stabilization in a high-dimensional chemotaxis system with consumption*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 37 (2017), pp. 6099–6121.
- [8] J. LANKEIT AND M. WINKLER, *Radial solutions to a chemotaxis-consumption model involving prescribed signal concentrations on the boundary*, Nonlinearity, 35 (2022), pp. 719–749.
- [9] ———, *Depleting the signal: analysis of chemotaxis-consumption models—a survey*, Stud. Appl. Math., 151 (2023), pp. 1197–1229.
- [10] T. LI, A. SUEN, M. WINKLER, AND C. XUE, *Global small-data solutions of a two-dimensional chemotaxis system with rotational flux terms*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 25 (2015), pp. 721–746.
- [11] X. LI, *Global existence and uniform boundedness of smooth solutions to a parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system with nonlinear diffusion*, Bound. Value Probl., (2015), 2015:107, 17 pp.
- [12] M. MATSUSHITA AND H. FUJIKAWA, *Diffusion-limited growth in bacterial colony formation*, Physica A, 168 (1990), pp. 498–506.
- [13] P. QUITTNER AND P. SOUPLET, *Superlinear parabolic problems*, Springer, 2019.
- [14] Y. TAO, *Boundedness in a chemotaxis model with oxygen consumption by bacteria*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 381 (2011), pp. 521–529.
- [15] Y. TAO AND M. WINKLER, *Boundedness in a quasilinear parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with subcritical sensitivity*, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), pp. 692–715.
- [16] ———, *Eventual smoothness and stabilization of large-data solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), pp. 2520–2543.
- [17] ———, *Energy-type estimates and global solvability in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with remodeling of non-diffusible attractant*, J. Differential Equations, 257 (2014), pp. 784–815.
- [18] ———, *Global smooth solvability of a parabolic-elliptic nutrient taxis system in domains of arbitrary dimension*, J. Differential Equations, 267 (2019), pp. 388–406.
- [19] I. TUVAL, L. CISNEROS, C. DOMBROWSKI, C. WOLGEMUTH, J. KESSLER, AND R. GOLDSTEIN, *Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 102 (2005), pp. 2277–2282.
- [20] M. WINKLER, *A three-dimensional Keller-Segel-Navier-Stokes system with logistic source: global weak solutions and asymptotic stabilization*, J. Funct. Anal., 276 (2019), pp. 1339–1401.
- [21] M. WINKLER, *A critical blow-up exponent in a chemotaxis system with nonlinear signal production*, Nonlinearity, 31 (2018), pp. 2031–2056.
- [22] H. WANG AND Y. LI, *Renormalized solutions to a chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2019), Paper No. 38, pp. 19.
- [23] L. WANG, S. U.-D. KHAN, AND S. U.-D. KHAN, *Boundedness in a chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant and logistic source*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2013), paper No. 209, pp. 9.
- [24] L. WANG, C. MU, AND X. HU, *Global solutions to a chemotaxis model with consumption of chemoattractant*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 67 (2016), Art. 96, pp. 16.
- [25] L. WANG, C. MU, X. HU, AND P. ZHENG, *Boundedness in a quasilinear chemotaxis model with consumption of chemoattractant and logistic source*, Appl. Anal., 97 (2018), pp. 756–774.
- [26] L. WANG, C. MU, K. LIN, AND J. ZHAO, *Global existence to a higher-dimensional quasilinear chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), pp. 1633–1648.

- [27] L. WANG, C. MU, AND S. ZHOU, *Boundedness in a parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system with nonlinear diffusion*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 65 (2014), pp. 1137–1152.
- [28] Y. WANG AND M. WINKLER, *Finite-time blow-up in a repulsive chemotaxis-consumption system*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 153 (2023), pp. 1150–1166.
- [29] Y. WANG AND Z. XIANG, *Global existence and boundedness in a higher-dimensional quasilinear chemotaxis system*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), pp. 3159–3179.
- [30] S.-O. YANG AND J. AHN, *Long time asymptotics of small mass solutions for a chemotaxis-consumption system involving prescribed signal concentrations on the boundary*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 79 (2024), Paper No. 104129, 16 pp.
- [31] Q. ZHANG AND Y. LI, *Stabilization and convergence rate in a chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, J. Math. Phys., 56 (2015), Paper No. 081506, pp. 10.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 211189, P. R. CHINA
Email address: ziyzzy@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 211189, P. R. CHINA
Email address: lieyx@seu.edu.cn