

The Cauchy problem for the Degasperis-Procesi Equation: Painlevé Asymptotics in Transition Zones

Zhaoyu Wang^a, Xuan Zhou^b, Engui Fan^{*,a}

^a *School of Mathematical Sciences and Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, P. R. China*

^b *College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266590, P. R. China*

* *Corresponding author and e-mail address: faneg@fudan.edu.cn*

Abstract

The Degasperis-Procesi (DP) equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 3\kappa u_x + 4uu_x = 3u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx},$$

serving as an asymptotic approximation for the unidirectional propagation of shallow water waves, is an integrable model of the Camassa-Holm type and admits a 3×3 matrix Lax pair. In our previous work, we obtained the long-time asymptotics of the solution $u(x, t)$ to the Cauchy problem for the DP equation in the solitonic region $\{(x, t) : \xi > 3\} \cup \{(x, t) : \xi < -\frac{3}{8}\}$ and the solitonless region $\{(x, t) : -\frac{3}{8} < \xi < 0\} \cup \{(x, t) : 0 \leq \xi < 3\}$ where $\xi := \frac{x}{t}$. In this paper, we derive the leading order approximation to the solution $u(x, t)$ in terms of the solution for the Painlevé II equation in two transition zones $|\xi + \frac{3}{8}|t^{2/3} < C$ and $|\xi - 3|t^{2/3} < C$ with $C > 0$ lying between the solitonic region and solitonless region. Our results are established by performing the $\bar{\partial}$ -generalization of the Deift-Zhou nonlinear steepest descent method and applying a double scaling limit technique to an associated vector Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Keywords: Degasperis-Procesi equation, Riemann-Hilbert problem, $\bar{\partial}$ -steepest descent analysis, Painlevé transcendents

AMS subject classification: 35Q53; 35Q15; 35B40; 37K15; 33E17; 34M55.

Contents

1	Introduction and Main Results	2
2	An RH Characterization of the DP Equation	6
2.1	A basic RH problem	6
2.2	A regular RH problem	11
3	Painlevé Asymptotics in Transition Zone \mathcal{T}_1	14
3.1	Hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem	15
3.2	Asymptotic analysis on a pure RH problem	21

3.2.1	Local models	21
3.2.2	Small-norm RH problem	26
3.3	Asymptotic analysis on a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem	28
3.4	Proof of Theorem 1.1 for \mathcal{T}_1	30
4	Painlevé Asymptotics in Transition Zone \mathcal{T}_2	31
4.1	Hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem	32
4.2	Asymptotic analysis on a pure RH problem	34
4.2.1	Local models	35
4.2.2	Small-norm RH problem	37
4.3	Asymptotic analysis on a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem	39
4.4	Proof of Theorem 1.1 for \mathcal{T}_2	39
Appendix A	Proof of the Non-transition Zone \mathcal{T}_3	40
Appendix B	Modified Painlevé II RH Model	42

1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we investigate the Painlevé asymptotics for the solution to the Cauchy problem of the Degasperis-Procesi (DP) equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 3\kappa u_x + 4uu_x = 3u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

where κ is a positive constant characterizing the effect of the linear dispersion. The DP equation (1.1) refers back to the work of Degasperis and Procesi, who searched for asymptotically integrable partial differential equations [1, 2]. Subsequently, it was found that the DP equation arises in the propagation of shallow water waves over a flat bed in the moderate amplitude regime [3, 4, 5, 6].

Owing to its integrable structure and elegant mathematical properties, the DP equation (1.1) has attracted great research interest, and significant progress has been achieved in the past few years. The DP equation admits not only peakon solitons but also shock peakons [7]. The global existence and blow-up phenomena were analyzed in [8]. The orbital stability problem of the peaked solitons to the DP equation on the line was proved by constructing a Lyapunov function [9]. Moreover, the $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ orbital stability of the sum of smooth solitons in the DP equation was established in [10]. Furthermore, multi-soliton solutions of the DP equation were constructed using a reduction procedure for multi-soliton solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy [11]. Algebro-geometric solutions for the whole DP hierarchy were constructed in [12]. Additionally, the KAM theory near an elliptic fixed point for quasi-linear Hamiltonian perturbations of the DP equation on the circle was presented in [13].

The DP and Camassa-Holm (CH) equations are only two integrable numbers corresponding to $b = 3$ and $b = 2$, respectively, of the following b-family equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + b\kappa u_x + (b+1)uu_x = bu_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad (1.3)$$

where b is a constant. Despite there being many similarities between DP and CH equations [14], the spectral analysis of the corresponding Lax pairs greatly differ due to the fact that the CH equation admits a 2×2 -matrix spectral problem [15], whereas the DP equation admits a 3×3 -matrix one [2]. This difference will lead to some new difficulties and challenges on the inverse scattering transform (IST) and asymptotic analysis of integrable systems with 3×3 -matrix spectral problem, such as the Novikov equation [16, 17], the Boussinesq equation [18, 19, 20, 21, 22], and the Sasa-Satsuma equation [23, 24, 25]. Thus, although the application of the IST to the CH equation has been studied extensively [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31], the implementation of the IST to DP equation has proved to be more intricate.

An inverse spectral approach for computing the n -peakon solutions of the DP equation (1.1) was presented in [32], and then IST was subsequently developed for the Cauchy problem of the DP equation [33]. The soliton solutions of the DP equations were constructed using the dressing method [34]. Additionally, an alternative Riemann-Hilbert (RH) approach was proposed to express the solution of the DP equation in terms of the solution of the RH problem evaluated at a distinguished point in the spectral parameter plane. This approach can be effectively utilized in studying the long-time behavior of the solution [35]. Further, the initial-boundary value problem for the DP equation (1.1) on the half-line was considered in [36] and an explicit formula for the leading order asymptotics of the solution in the similarity region was obtained in [37].

Based on the work [35], we considered the Schwarz initial data $u_0(x)$ that supports presence of solitons. With three critical lines $\xi := \frac{x}{t} = -\frac{3}{8}$, $\xi = 0$, $\xi = 3$, we divide the upper half (x, t) -plane into the following two classes of distinct space-time regions denoted by (see Figure 1)

- Solitonic regions $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{(x, t) : \xi > 3\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_2 = \{(x, t) : \xi < -\frac{3}{8}\};$$
- Solitonless regions $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2$, where

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 := \{(x, t) : -\frac{3}{8} < \xi < 0\}$$
 with 24 phase points without a soliton;

$$\mathcal{Z}_2 := \{(x, t) : 0 \leq \xi < 3\}$$
 with 12 phase points without a soliton.

Furthermore, the long-time asymptotics and soliton resolution for the DP equation (1.1) in two classes of regions \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{Z} were obtained [38]. The remaining question is how to describe the asymptotics of the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) in the following zones near the three critical lines (see Figure 1)

- The transition zone $\mathcal{T}_1 := |\xi + \frac{3}{8}|t^{2/3} < C$ (between \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{Z}_1);
- The transition zone $\mathcal{T}_2 := |\xi - 3|t^{2/3} < C$ (between \mathcal{S}_2 and \mathcal{Z}_2);
- The zone $\mathcal{T}_3 := |\xi|t^{2/3} < C$ with $\xi < 0$ (between \mathcal{Z}_1 and \mathcal{Z}_2), which is not a transition zone in the sense of leading-order approximation. The detailed proof will be given in [Appendix A](#).

It is the aim of the present work to fulfill the asymptotic picture of the DP equation (1.1) by focusing on the asymptotics in two transition zones \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We find

that the leading order approximation to the solution $u(x, t)$ in the transition zones \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 can be described in terms of the solution of the Painlevé equation. Our main results are stated as follows.




Figure 1: The distinct space-time asymptotic regions in (x, t) -plane: Solitonic regions: $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$; Solitonless regions $\mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2$; Transition zones $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$; Non-transition zone \mathcal{T}_3 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be a function in the Schwartz class, $r(k)$ and $\{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^{6N}$ be the associated reflection coefficient and discrete spectrum, respectively. Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the solution $u(x, t)$ of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies the following asymptotic approximation formula.*

- In the first transition zone \mathcal{T}_1 ,

$$u(x, t) = t^{-1/3} f_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; x, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_1}), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\frac{1}{27} < \delta_1 < \frac{1}{12}$ and

$$f_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; y, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \left(E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; s)_{j2} - E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; s)_{j1} \right) \right)$$

with

$$s = \frac{2^{2/3}(-7 + \sqrt{21})}{3^{2/3}(98 - 21\sqrt{21})^{1/3}} \left(\frac{x}{t} + \frac{3}{8} \right) t^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (1.5)$$

Here, $E_1(k; s)$ is given by (3.70) which is expressed in terms of the unique solution $v(s)$ of the Painlevé II equation

$$v_{ss} = 2v^3 + sv, \quad (1.6)$$

characterized by

$$v(s) \sim \left| r \left(\frac{\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right| \text{Ai}(s), \quad s \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, $E_1(k; s)_{ji}$ represents the element in the j -th row and i -th column of the matrix $E_1(k; s)$.

- In the second transition zone \mathcal{T}_2 ,

$$u(x, t) = t^{-1/3} f_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}; x, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_2}), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\frac{1}{27} < \delta_2 < \frac{1}{12}$ and

$$f_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}; x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \left(E_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}; s)_{j2} - E_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}; s)_{j1} \right) \right)$$

with

$$s = 3^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{x}{t} - 3 \right) t^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Here, $E_2(k; s)$ is determined by (4.33) which is expressed in terms of the unique solution $v(s)$ of the Painlevé II equation (1.6) with

$$v(s) \sim -|r(1)| \text{Ai}(s), \quad s \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.10)$$

Moreover, $E_2(k; s)_{ji}$ represents the element in the j -th row and i -th column of the matrix $E_2(k; s)$.

Let us mention some previous works on the asymptotics of other integrable systems in transition zones. It is worthwhile to see that the Painlevé transcendents plays an important role in asymptotic studies of integrable systems. The appearance of Painlevé transcendents in transition zones, as well as the connection between the different zones, was first understood in the case of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation [39] and the modified KdV (mKdV) equation [40]. Moreover, this phenomenon also appeared in the study of higher-order Painlevé asymptotics for the mKdV equation [41] and its hierarchy [42]. Later, Painlevé transcendents were discovered in the other integrable systems, like the CH equation [43], Sasa-Satsuma equation [25], focusing and defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonzero backgrounds [44, 45], and the modified CH equation [46]. In addition, the Painlevé transcendents also appeared in research on the small dispersion limit [47, 48, 49, 50, 51].

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we focus on the IST to establish a basic matrix RH problem for $M(k)$ and a vector RH problem for $m(k)$ associated with the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2). After two preliminary transformations, the vector RH problem for $m(k)$ is found to be asymptotically equivalent to a regular RH problem for $m^{(2)}(k)$, which can be deformed into a solvable model RH problem by performing the nonlinear $\bar{\partial}$ -steepest descent approach. In Sections 3 and 4, we investigate Painlevé asymptotics of the DP equation (1.1) in transition zones \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 , respectively. We open $\bar{\partial}$ -lenses to construct a hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem, which can be decomposed into a pure RH problem and a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. The modified RH problem for Painlevé II equation and the corresponding model RH problem for transition zones are introduced in Appendix B, and they play an important role in asymptotically matching the pure RH problem with the local parametrix under the double scaling limit technique. Finally, proofs for two cases to Theorem 1.1 are provided at the end of Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In \mathcal{T}_3 in Appendix A, we show that the leading order asymptotics in \mathcal{Z}_1 matches that in \mathcal{Z}_2 , and thus there is no transition region between them.

2. An RH Characterization of the DP Equation

The RH problem associated to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) for the DP equation can be constructed using direct and inverse scattering transform. Here, we provide a brief overview of the corresponding RH problem. For a detailed construction of this RH problem, please refer to [35].

2.1. A basic RH problem

It is worth noting that without loss of generality, one can select $\kappa = 1$ in the DP equation (1.1). Then the DP equation (1.1) admits the Lax pair [35]

$$\Phi_x = U\Phi, \quad \Phi_t = V\Phi, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ z^3 q^3 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} u_x - \frac{2}{3}z^{-3} & -u & z^{-3} \\ u + 1 & z^{-3} & -u \\ u_x - z^3 u q^3 & 1 & -u_x + z^{-3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

and $q = (1 + u - u_{xx})^{1/3}$.

Let $\lambda_j(z)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy the algebraic equation

$$\lambda^3 - \lambda = z^3, \quad (2.3)$$

so that $\lambda_j(z) \sim \omega^j z$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ with $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}i}$. Denoting

$$D(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad P(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_1(z) & \lambda_2(z) & \lambda_3(z) \\ \lambda_1^2(z) & \lambda_2^2(z) & \lambda_3^2(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

and making a transformation

$$\hat{\Phi}(z) = P^{-1}(z)D^{-1}(x, t)\Phi(z), \quad (2.4)$$

we obtain a new Lax pair

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_x - q\Lambda(z)\hat{\Phi} &= \hat{U}\hat{\Phi}, \\ \hat{\Phi}_t + (uq\Lambda(z) - H(z))\hat{\Phi} &= \hat{V}\hat{\Phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(z) &= \text{diag} \{ \lambda_1(z), \lambda_2(z), \lambda_3(z) \}, \quad H(z) = \frac{1}{3z^3}I + \Lambda^{-1}(z), \\ \hat{U}(z; x, t) &= P^{-1}(z) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q_x}{q} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{q} - q & -\frac{q_x}{q} \end{pmatrix} P(z), \\ \hat{V}(z; x, t) &= P^{-1}(z) \left(\begin{pmatrix} -u\frac{q_x}{q} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u+1}{q} - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{u_x}{q^2} & \frac{1}{q} - 1 + uq & u\frac{q_x}{q} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{q^2 - 1}{z^3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) P(z). \end{aligned}$$

Introducing

$$Q = y(x, t)\Lambda(z) + tH(z) \quad (2.6)$$

with $y(x, t) = x - \int_x^\infty (q(\varsigma, t) - 1)d\varsigma$, then the matrix-valued function

$$M(z) = \hat{\Phi}(z)e^{-Q} \quad (2.7)$$

satisfies the system

$$\begin{aligned} M_x - [Q_x, M] &= \hat{U}M, \\ M_t - [Q_t, M] &= \hat{V}M, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

which leads to the Volterra integral equation

$$M(z) = I + \int_{\pm\infty}^x e^{Q(x,z)-Q(\varsigma,z)} [\hat{U}M(\varsigma, z)] e^{-Q(x,z)+Q(\varsigma,z)} d\varsigma. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $q > 0$, the boundedness and decay of the exponential factor $e^{Q(x,z)-Q(\varsigma,z)}$ is determined by the signs of $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i(z) - \lambda_j(z))$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$.

For convenience, we introduce a new spectral parameter k such that

$$z(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}k \left(1 + \frac{1}{k^6}\right)^{1/3}, \quad (2.10)$$

then $z(k) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}k$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\lambda_j(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\omega^j k + \frac{1}{\omega^j k} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

The contour $\Sigma = \{k \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(k) = \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j(k) \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$ consists of six rays

$$l_j = \mathbb{R}_+ e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}(j-1)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 6, \quad (2.12)$$

which divides the k -plane into six sectors

$$D_j = \left\{ k \mid \frac{\pi}{3}(j-1) < \arg k < \frac{\pi}{3}j \right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 6. \quad (2.13)$$




Figure 2: The critical rays l_j , $j = 1, \dots, 6$, analytical domains D_j , $j = 1, \dots, 6$, poles $\omega^l \zeta_n, \omega^l \bar{\zeta}_n$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, and singularity points \varkappa_j , $j = 1, \dots, 6$, in the k -plane.

In order to obtain an analytic matrix-valued solution from (2.9) in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, the initial points of integration ∞_{il} are specified for each matrix entry (i, j) , $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ as follows

$$\infty_{ij} = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(k) \geq \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j(k), \\ -\infty, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(k) < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j(k). \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

We consider the system of Fredholm integral equations, for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$,

$$M_{ij}(k; x, t) = I_{ij} + \int_{\infty_{ij}}^x e^{-\lambda_i(k) \int_x^\zeta q(\zeta, t) d\zeta} \left[(\hat{U}M)_{ij}(\zeta, t, k) \right] e^{\lambda_j(k) \int_x^\zeta q(\zeta, t) d\zeta} d\zeta. \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover, it can be shown that $M(k) := (M_{ij}(k; x, t))_{3 \times 3}$ satisfies the following symmetry relations [35].

Proposition 2.1.

$$M(k) = \Gamma_1 \overline{M(\bar{k})} \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \overline{M(\omega^2 \bar{k})} \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 \overline{M(\omega \bar{k})} \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_4 M(\omega k) \Gamma_4^{-1} = \overline{M(\bar{k}^{-1})}, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

The limiting values of $M(k)$ satisfies the jump relation

$$M_+(k) = M_-(k) e^Q V_0(k) e^{-Q}, \quad k \in \Sigma, \quad (2.18)$$

where $V_0(k)$ is determined by the initial value u_0 . Take $k \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$ as an example, $V_0(k)$ has a special matrix structure

$$V_0(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{r}_\pm(k) & 0 \\ -r_\pm(k) & 1 - |r_\pm(k)|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$r_\pm(k)$ are scalar functions with $r_\pm(k) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which together with the symmetry $r_\pm(k) = \overline{r_\pm(\bar{k}^{-1})}$ leads to $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} r_\pm(k) = 0$. Naturally, we define the reflection coefficient as

$$r(k) = \begin{cases} r_\pm(k), & k \in \mathbb{R}^\pm, \\ 0, & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, a standard approach [39] gives that as the initial data $u_0(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $r(k) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. According to [35], $M(k)$ has at most a finite number of simple poles lying in $\{k \in \mathbb{C} : |k| = 1\}$. Note that there are two types of poles in $D_1 \cap \{k \in \mathbb{C} : |k| = 1\}$, we denote them as k_j ($j = 1, \dots, N_1$) and k_l^A ($l = 1, \dots, N_1^A$) respectively. Let $N = N_1 + N_1^A$ and define indicator sets as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{1, \dots, N_1\}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^A = \{N_1 + 1, \dots, N\}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^A. \quad (2.19)$$

Furthermore, denote

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_j = k_j, & j \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \\ \zeta_{l+N_1} = k_l^A, & l \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^A, \end{cases}$$

then for ζ_n , $n \in \mathcal{N}$, it is easy to know that $\omega\bar{\zeta}_n$, $\omega\zeta_n$, $\omega^2\bar{\zeta}_n$, $\omega^2\zeta_n$, $\bar{\zeta}_n$ are also poles of $M(k)$ according to the symmetries (2.16). Correspondingly, denote

$$\zeta_{n+N} = \omega\bar{\zeta}_n, \quad \zeta_{n+2N} = \omega\zeta_n, \quad \zeta_{n+3N} = \omega^2\bar{\zeta}_n, \quad \zeta_{n+4N} = \omega^2\zeta_n, \quad \zeta_{n+5N} = \bar{\zeta}_n.$$

To sum up, the discrete spectrum can be defined as

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^{6N}, \quad (2.20)$$

whose distribution on the k -plane is shown in Figure 2.

Now, to establish the associated RH problem, we consider the jump relation (2.18). For $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$\theta_{ij}(k) := \theta_{ij}(k; \hat{\xi}) = -i \left[\hat{\xi} (\lambda_i(k) - \lambda_j(k)) + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i(k)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_j(k)} \right) \right], \quad (2.21)$$

where $\hat{\xi} := \frac{y}{t}$ and in Subsection 3.4 we will prove

$$\hat{\xi} \sim \frac{x}{t} := \xi, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Specifically,

$$\theta_{12}(k) = \left(k - \frac{1}{k} \right) \left[\hat{\xi} - \frac{3}{k^2 - 1 + k^{-2}} \right], \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\theta_{13}(k) = -\theta_{12}(\omega^2 k), \quad \theta_{23}(k) = \theta_{12}(\omega k). \quad (2.23)$$

Therefore, we have the following RH problem.

RH problem 2.1. Find a 3×3 matrix-valued function $M(k) := M(k; y, t)$ such that

- *Analyticity:* $M(k)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, where $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \cup \omega\mathbb{R} \cup \omega^2\mathbb{R}$.
- *Jump relation:* $M_+(k) = M_-(k)V(k)$, $k \in \Sigma$, where

$$V(k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{r}(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ -r(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 - |r(k)|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} := V_0(k), & k \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \Gamma_4^2 V_0(\omega^2 k) \Gamma_4^{-2}, & k \in \omega\mathbb{R}, \\ \Gamma_4 V_0(\omega k) \Gamma_4^{-1}, & k \in \omega^2\mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

- *Asymptotic behaviors:*

$$M(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}), \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.25)$$

- *Singularities:* $M(k)$ has singularity at \varkappa_ν , $\nu = 1, \dots, 6$ with

$$M(k) = \begin{cases} M_{\pm 1}(k) + \mathcal{O}(1), & k \rightarrow \pm 1, \\ \Gamma_4^2 M_{\pm 1}(\omega^2 k) \Gamma_4^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(1), & k \rightarrow \pm \omega, \\ \Gamma_4 M_{\pm 1}(\omega k) \Gamma_4^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1), & k \rightarrow \pm \omega^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$M_{\pm 1}(k) = \frac{1}{k \mp 1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\pm} & \alpha_{\pm} & \beta_{\pm} \\ -\alpha_{\pm} & -\alpha_{\pm} & -\beta_{\pm} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\alpha_{\pm} = -\bar{\alpha}_{\pm}$, $\beta_{\pm} = -\bar{\beta}_{\pm}$.

- *Residue conditions:* For $\zeta_n \in D_1 \cap \mathcal{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{k=\zeta_n} M(k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \zeta_n} M(k) B_n, \\ \operatorname{Res}_{k=\omega \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) \Gamma_3(\omega \bar{B}_n) \Gamma_3 := \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) B_{n+N}, \\ \operatorname{Res}_{k=\omega \zeta_n} M(k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega \zeta_n} M(k) \Gamma_4^2(\omega B_n) \Gamma_4^{-2} := \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega \zeta_n} M(k) B_{n+2N}, \\ \operatorname{Res}_{k=\omega^2 \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega^2 \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) \Gamma_2(\omega^2 \bar{B}_n) \Gamma_2 := \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega^2 \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) B_{n+3N}, \\ \operatorname{Res}_{k=\omega^2 \zeta_n} M(k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega^2 \zeta_n} M(k) \Gamma_4(\omega^2 B_n) \Gamma_4^{-1} := \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega^2 \zeta_n} M(k) B_{n+4N}, \\ \operatorname{Res}_{k=\bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) \Gamma_1 \bar{B}_n \Gamma_1 := \lim_{k \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_n} M(k) B_{n+5N}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$B_n = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_n e^{it\theta_{12}(\zeta_n)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & n = 1, \dots, N_1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c_n e^{it\theta_{23}(\zeta_n)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & n = N_1 + 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

Particularly, introduce a vector-valued function

$$m(k) := (m_1(k) \ m_2(k) \ m_3(k)) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) M(k), \quad (2.29)$$

which can be viewed as a transformation from the 3×3 matrix RH problem to the 1×3 vector RH problem, which suppresses the singularities at \varkappa_j , $j = 1, \dots, 6$, and leads to the following vector-valued RH problem.

RH problem 2.2. Find a row vector-valued function $m(k) := m(k; y, t)$ such that

- $m(k)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$.
- For $k \in \Sigma$, we have $m_+(k) = m_-(k)V(k)$, where $V(k)$ is given by (2.24).
- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, $m(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.

- $m(k)$ has the same form of residue conditions as $M(k)$ in RH problem 2.1.

It follows that the solution for the DP equation (1.1) can be expressed in the following parametric form:

$$\begin{aligned} u(y, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \frac{m_2}{m_1}(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}; y, t), \\ x(y, t) &= y + \log \frac{m_2}{m_1}(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}; y, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

2.2. A regular RH problem

In order to perform the long-time analysis via the $\bar{\partial}$ -steepest descent method, we need to construct a regular RH problem by the following two essential operations:

- (i) Decompose the jump matrix $V(k)$ into appropriate upper/lower triangular factorizations so that the oscillating factor $e^{\pm 2i\theta_{12}(k)}$ are decaying in the corresponding sectors respectively;
- (ii) Interpolate the poles by trading them for jumps along small closed loops enclosing each pole [52].

The operation (i) is aided by two well known factorizations of the jump matrix

$$V(k) = b(k)^{-\dagger} b(k) = B(k) T_0(k) B(k)^{-\dagger}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b(k)^{-\dagger} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -r(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{r}(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B(k)^{-\dagger} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{r(k)}{1-|r(k)|^2}e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\bar{r}(k)}{1-|r(k)|^2}e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ T_0(k) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-|r(k)|^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-|r(k)|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

To remove the diagonal matrix in the middle of the second factorization, on \mathbb{R} , we define

$$I(\hat{\xi}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & \hat{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}_1, \\ \emptyset, & \hat{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.32)$$

then on $\omega\mathbb{R}$, $\omega^2\mathbb{R}$,

$$\omega I(\hat{\xi}) = \{\omega k : k \in I(\hat{\xi})\}, \quad \omega^2 I(\hat{\xi}) = \{\omega^2 k : k \in I(\hat{\xi})\}. \quad (2.33)$$

Further, we introduce a scalar RH problem, which satisfies

RH problem 2.3. Find a function $\delta(k) := \delta(k; \hat{\xi})$ satisfying the following properties:

- $\delta(k)$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.
- For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_+(k) &= \delta_-(k)(1 - |r(k)|^2), \quad k \in I(\hat{\xi}), \\ \delta_+(k) &= \delta_-(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I(\hat{\xi}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.34}$$

- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $\delta(k) \rightarrow 1$.

Utilizing the Plemelj's formula, RH problem 2.3 admits a unique solution

$$\delta(k) = \exp\left(-i \int_{I(\hat{\xi})} \frac{\nu(s)}{s-k} ds\right),\tag{2.35}$$

with $\nu(k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(1 - |r(k)|^2)$.

Now, we focus on operation (ii), our method for dealing with the poles in the RH problem follows the ideas in [52]. We observe that on the unit circle the phase function appearing in the residue conditions (2.27) satisfies

$$\text{Im } \theta_{12}(\zeta_n) = 2 \sin \phi_n \left(\hat{\xi} - \frac{3}{4 \cos^2 \phi_n - 3} \right)\tag{2.36}$$

with $\zeta_n = e^{i\phi_n}$.

Denote the critical line

$$\text{Re } k = L(\hat{\xi}) := \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{1 + 1/\hat{\xi}},$$

then define

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \left\{ j \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}} : \text{Re } \zeta_j < L(\hat{\xi}) \right\}, \quad \Delta_2 = \left\{ l \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^A : \text{Re } \zeta_l < L(\hat{\xi}) \right\}, \quad \Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2, \\ \nabla_1 &= \left\{ j \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}} : \text{Re } \zeta_j > L(\hat{\xi}) \right\}, \quad \nabla_2 = \left\{ l \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^A : \text{Re } \zeta_l > L(\hat{\xi}) \right\}, \quad \nabla = \nabla_1 \cup \nabla_2.\end{aligned}$$

To carry out operation (ii), define

$$T_1(k) = \frac{H(\omega^2 k)}{H(k)}, \quad T_2(k) = \frac{H(k)}{H(\omega k)}, \quad T_3(k) = \frac{H(\omega k)}{H(\omega^2 k)},\tag{2.37}$$

where

$$H(k) = \prod_{j \in \Delta_1} \frac{k - \zeta_j}{k - \bar{\zeta}_j} \prod_{l \in \Delta_2} \frac{k - \omega \zeta_l}{k - \omega^2 \bar{\zeta}_l} \delta(k; \hat{\xi})^{-1},\tag{2.38}$$

and

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \min_{n \in \mathcal{N}} |\text{Im } \zeta_n|, \min_{n \in \mathcal{N}, \arg k = \frac{\pi}{3}} |\zeta_n - k|, \min_{n \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \Lambda, \text{Im } \theta_{12}(k) = 0} |\zeta_n - k|, \min_{n \in \mathcal{N}} |\zeta_n - e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}|, \min_{n \neq m \in \mathcal{N}} |\zeta_n - \zeta_m| \right\}.\tag{2.39}$$

Then the small disks $\mathbb{D}_n := \mathbb{D}(\zeta_n, \varrho)$ are pairwise disjoint, also disjoint with critical lines and the contours. Moreover, $e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i} \notin \mathbb{D}_n$.

Let

$$T(k) = \text{diag}\{T_1(k), T_2(k), T_3(k)\}, \quad (2.40)$$

and for $n = 1, \dots, 6N$, define

$$G(k) = \begin{cases} I - \frac{B_n}{k - \zeta_n}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n - k_0 N \in \nabla, k_0 \in \{0, \dots, 5\}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k - \zeta_n}{C_n e^{it\theta_{12}(\zeta_n)}} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } n - 2N \in \Delta_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{k - \zeta_n}{C_n e^{it\theta_{13}(\zeta_n)}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n - N \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } n - 5N \in \Delta_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{k - \zeta_n}{C_n e^{-it\theta_{13}(\zeta_n)}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n - 2N \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } n - 4N \in \Delta_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{k - \zeta_n}{C_n e^{-it\theta_{23}(\zeta_n)}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n - 3N \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } n - N \in \Delta_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{k - \zeta_n}{C_n e^{it\theta_{23}(\zeta_n)}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n - 4N \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } n \in \Delta_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{k - \zeta_n}{C_n e^{-it\theta_{12}(\zeta_n)}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \mathbb{D}_n, n - 5N \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } n - 3N \in \Delta_2, \\ I, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (2.41)$$

Denote

$$\Sigma^{(1)} = \Sigma \cup \Sigma^C, \quad \Sigma^C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{6N} \partial \mathbb{D}_n. \quad (2.42)$$

Here, \mathbb{R} is oriented left-to-right and the directions on $\omega\mathbb{R}$ and $\omega^2\mathbb{R}$ are determined by rotations of \mathbb{R} . Moreover, the disk boundaries are oriented counterclockwise in $D_{2\nu-1}$ and clockwise in $D_{2\nu}$, $\nu = 1, 2, 3$.

We make the transformation

$$m^{(1)}(k) = m(k)G(k)T(k), \quad (2.43)$$

which satisfies the following RH problem.

RH problem 2.4. Find a 1×3 vector-valued function $m^{(1)}(k) := m^{(1)}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $m^{(1)}(k)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(1)}$.

- For $k \in \Sigma^{(1)}$, we have

$$m_+^{(1)}(k) = m_-^{(1)}(k)V^{(1)}(k), \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$V^{(1)}(k) = \begin{cases} T^{-1}(k)\Gamma_4^j b^{-\dagger}(\omega^j k)b(\omega^j k)\Gamma_4^{-j}T(k), & k \in \omega^j \mathbb{R} \setminus \omega^j I(\hat{\xi}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \\ T_-^{-1}(k)\Gamma_4^j B(\omega^j k)T_0(\omega^j k)B^{-\dagger}(\omega^j k)\Gamma_4^{-j}T_+(k), & k \in \omega^j I(\hat{\xi}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \\ T^{-1}(k)G(k)T(k), & k \in \partial\mathbb{D}_n \cap \left(\bigcup_{\nu=1,2,3} \mathbb{D}_{2\nu-1} \right), \\ T^{-1}(k)G^{-1}(k)T(k), & k \in \partial\mathbb{D}_n \cap \left(\bigcup_{\nu=1,2,3} \mathbb{D}_{2\nu} \right). \end{cases} \quad (2.45)$$

- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(1)}$, $m^{(1)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.

By (2.45), it is readily seen that $V^{(1)}(z) \rightarrow I$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ exponentially fast. Thus, RH problem 2.4 is asymptotically equivalent to the following RH problem.

RH problem 2.5. Find a 1×3 vector-valued function $m^{(2)}(k) := m^{(2)}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $m^{(2)}(k)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$.
- For $k \in \Sigma$, we have

$$m_+^{(2)}(k) = m_-^{(2)}(k)V^{(2)}(k), \quad (2.46)$$

where for $j = 0, 1, 2$,

$$V^{(2)}(k) = \begin{cases} T^{-1}(k)\Gamma_4^j b^{-\dagger}(\omega^j k)b(\omega^j k)\Gamma_4^{-j}T(k), & k \in \omega^j \mathbb{R} \setminus \omega^j I(\hat{\xi}), \\ T_-^{-1}(k)\Gamma_4^j B(\omega^j k)T_0(\omega^j k)B^{-\dagger}(\omega^j k)\Gamma_4^{-j}T_+(k), & k \in \omega^j I(\hat{\xi}). \end{cases} \quad (2.47)$$

- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, $m^{(2)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.

It can be shown that

$$m^{(1)}(k) = m^{(2)}(k)(I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-ct})), \quad (2.48)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. Next, we will perform the asymptotic analysis in different transition zones based on RH Problem 2.5 and finally complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Painlevé Asymptotics in Transition Zone \mathcal{T}_1

In this section, we study the Painlevé asymptotics in the transition zone \mathcal{T}_1 between \mathcal{S}_1 (See Figure 3(a)) and \mathcal{Z}_1 (See Figure 3(b)), whose critical case corresponds to Figure 3(c). We only provide a detailed analysis in $\mathcal{T}_1^R = \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \{(y, t) : \hat{\xi} \geq -\frac{3}{8}\}$ in this section, as the discussion for the other half zone is similar.

In the region \mathcal{T}_1^R , there are 24 saddle points on three contours $\omega^l \mathbb{R}$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, among them 8 saddle points are on \mathbb{R} , which are

$$k_1 = -k_8 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (\sqrt{s_1 + s_2} + \sqrt{s_1 + 8 + s_2}), \quad (3.1)$$




Figure 3: Signature table of $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k)$ with different $\hat{\xi}$: **(a)** $\hat{\xi} < -\frac{3}{8}$, **(b)** $\hat{\xi} = -\frac{3}{8}$, **(c)** $-\frac{3}{8} < \hat{\xi} < 0$. The blue and white sectors are $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) < 0$ and $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) > 0$, respectively. Moreover, the purple dotted line stands for the unit circle.

$$k_2 = -k_7 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (\sqrt{s_1 - s_2} + \sqrt{s_1 + 8 - s_2}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$k_3 = -k_6 = \frac{1}{k_2}, \quad k_4 = -k_5 = \frac{1}{k_1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$s_1 = -\frac{3}{\hat{\xi}} - 2, \quad s_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{3+8\hat{\xi}}}{\hat{\xi}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, in \mathcal{T}_1^R , as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\hat{\xi} \rightarrow -\frac{3}{8}$, $s_1 \rightarrow 6$, $s_2 \rightarrow 0$, and then

$$k_1, k_2 \rightarrow k_a = \frac{\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad k_3, k_4 \rightarrow k_b = \frac{\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$k_5, k_6 \rightarrow k_c = -\frac{\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad k_7, k_8 \rightarrow k_d = -\frac{\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad (3.6)$$

from which we obtain the corresponding limit points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = a, b, c, d$, $l = 1, 2$ on other two contours $\omega^l \mathbb{R}$, $l = 1, 2$.

By (2.47), the jump matrix $V^{(2)}(k)$ reads

$$V^{(2)}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{d}(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ d(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$d(k) := -\frac{r(k)}{1 - |r(k)|^2} \left(\frac{T_1}{T_2} \right)_+ (k). \quad (3.8)$$

The factorization of $V^{(2)}(k)$ on $\omega \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega^2 \mathbb{R}$ can be given by the symmetries.

3.1. Hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem

Define some intervals

$$I_1 = (k_1, \infty), \quad I_2 = ((k_2 + k_3)/2, k_2), \quad I_3 = (k_3, (k_2 + k_3)/2), \quad I_4 = (k_0, k_4),$$

$$I_5 = (k_5, k_0), I_6 = ((k_6 + k_7)/2, k_6), I_7 = (k_7, (k_6 + k_7)/2), I_8 = (-\infty, k_8),$$

where $k_0 := 0$ and $\omega I_j = \{k \in I_j : \omega k\}$, $\omega^2 I_j = \{k \in I_j : \omega^2 k\}$, $j = 1, \dots, 8$. Further denote

$$I = \bigcup_{j=1}^8 I_j, \quad \omega I = \{\omega k : k \in I\}, \quad \omega^2 I = \{\omega^2 k : k \in I\}.$$

The signature table of $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k)$ illustrated in Figure 3(c), implies opening $\bar{\partial}$ -lenses around the intervals $\omega^l I$, $l = 0, 1, 2$ as follows.

First, we open the interval I as depicted in Figure 4 with a sufficiently small fixed angle $0 < \varphi < \pi/4$ such that the following conditions hold

- all opened sectors $\Omega_j \cup \Omega_j^*$ and their boundaries $\Sigma_j \cup \Sigma_j^*$ fall within their decaying regions;
- each $\Omega_j \cup \Omega_j^*$ does't intersect any critical line $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) = 0$;
- each $\Omega_j \cup \Omega_j^*$ does't intersect any small disk \mathbb{D}_n and \mathbb{D}_n^* , $n = 1, \dots, N$.

Also, let Σ_{ij} be the boundary between Ω_i and Ω_j , and denote

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{j=0}^8 \Omega_j, \quad \Sigma = \bigcup_{j=0}^8 \Sigma_j, \quad \tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma_{23} \cup \Sigma_{04} \cup \Sigma_{05} \cup \Sigma_{67}.$$




Figure 4: The contour obtained after opening a part contour I of \mathbb{R} .

Then, we open other intervals ωI and $\omega^2 I$ by rotating I according to the symmetries, corresponding opened sectors $\omega^l(\Omega_j \cup \Omega_j^*)$, $l = 1, 2$, boundaries $\omega^l(\Sigma_j \cup \Sigma_j^*)$, $l = 1, 2$ and $\omega^l(\tilde{\Sigma}_j \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_j^*)$, $l = 1, 2$. Thus, the whole contour $\Sigma^{(3)}$ is given by

$$\Sigma^{(3)} = \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \left((\mathbb{R} \setminus I) \cup (\Sigma \cup \Sigma^*) \cup (\tilde{\Sigma} \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^*) \right).$$

See Figure 5.

The continuous extension functions $R_j(k)$, $j = 0, \dots, 8$ on Ω are defined as follows. Others on Ω^* and $\omega^l(\Omega_j \cup \Omega_j^*)$, $l = 1, 2$ can be obtained by symmetries.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist functions $R_j(k) : \bar{\Omega}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $j = 0, \dots, 8$, continuous on $\bar{\Omega}_j$, with continuous first partial derivative on Ω_j , and boundary values*

$$R_j(k) = \begin{cases} d(k), & k \in I_j, \\ d(k_j), & k \in \Sigma_j, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$




Figure 5: The whole jump contour $\Sigma^{(3)}$ for the RH problem 3.1, which is obtained by opening three contours $\omega^l I$, $l = 0, 1, 2$.

and for $k \in \Omega_j$, $j = 0, \dots, 8$, admit the estimates

$$|\bar{\partial} R_j(k)| \leq c_1 + c_2 |\operatorname{Re} k - k_j|^{-1/2}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$|\bar{\partial} R_j(k)| \leq c_3 |\operatorname{Re} k - k_j|^{1/2}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$|\bar{\partial} R_j(k)| \leq c_4. \quad (3.12)$$

Setting $R : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $R(k)|_{k \in \Omega_j} = R_j(k)$ and $R(k)|_{k \in \Omega_j^*} = R_j^*(k)$, the extension can preserve the symmetry $R(k) = \overline{R(\bar{k}^{-1})}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we give the brief proof for $R_1(k)$ and other cases for $R_j(k)$, $j = 0, 2, \dots, 8$, can be given similarly.

We define the function

$$R_1(k) := (d(\operatorname{Re} k) - d(k_1)) \cos \left(\frac{\pi \arg(k - k_1) \chi(\arg(k - k_1))}{2\varphi} \right) + d(k_1), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a cut-off function satisfying

$$\chi(k) := \begin{cases} 0, & k < \frac{\varphi}{3}, \\ 1, & k > \frac{2\varphi}{3}. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Then $R_1(k)$ satisfies the boundary condition (3.9) for $j = 1$. Next, we show that $R_1(k)$ admits the estimates (3.10)-(3.12).

Note that for $k = k_1 + le^{i\varphi_0} = u + iv \in \Omega_1$, $\varphi_0 < \varphi$, we have

$$\bar{\partial} = \frac{e^{i\varphi_0}}{2}(\partial_l + il^{-1}\partial_{\varphi_0}),$$

which acts on $R_1(k)$ in (3.13) yields

$$\bar{\partial}R_1(k) = \frac{1}{2}d'(u) \cos\left(\frac{\pi\varphi_0\chi(\varphi_0)}{2\varphi}\right) - \frac{ie^{i\varphi_0}}{2l}(d(u) - d(k_1))\frac{\pi\chi'(\varphi_0)}{2\varphi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi\varphi_0\chi(\varphi_0)}{2\varphi}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$|d(u) - d(k_1)| = \left| \int_{k_1}^u d'(s)ds \right| \leq \|d'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}|u - k_1|^{1/2}, \quad (3.16)$$

which together with (3.15) leads to (3.10).

Noting $d'(k_1) = 0$, and using (3.16) along with Hölder's inequality once again, we obtain

$$|d(u) - d(k_1)| \leq \|d''\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}|u - k_1|^{3/2}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$|d'(u)| = \left| \int_{k_1}^u d''(s)ds \right| \leq \|d''\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}|u - k_1|^{1/2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Substituting this into (3.15) gives (3.11).

Moreover, direct calculations show that

$$|d(u) - d(k_1)| = \left| \int_{k_1}^u d'(s)ds \right| \leq \|d'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}|u - k_1|, \quad (3.19)$$

which together with (3.15) gives (3.12). □

Using $R_j(k)$, $j = 0, \dots, 8$, we define a matrix function

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -R_j(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 - R_j^*(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -R_j(\omega k)e^{it\theta_{13}(k)} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -R_j^*(\omega k)e^{-it\theta_{13}(k)} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -R_j(\omega^2 k)e^{-it\theta_{23}(k)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -R_j^*(\omega^2 k)e^{it\theta_{23}(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j^*, \\ I, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

Then, we make a transformation

$$m^{(3)}(k) = m^{(2)}(k)\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k), \quad (3.21)$$

which satisfies the following RH problem.

RH problem 3.1. Find a row vector-valued function $m^{(3)}(k) := m^{(3)}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $m^{(3)}(k)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(3)}$.
- $m^{(3)}(k)$ has continuous boundary values $m_{\pm}^{(3)}(k)$ on $\Sigma^{(3)}$ and

$$m_+^{(3)}(k) = m_-^{(3)}(k)V^{(3)}(k), \quad k \in \Sigma^{(3)}, \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$V^{(3)}(k) = \begin{cases} V^{(2)}(k), & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l(\mathbb{R} \setminus I), \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{il}}^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{jl}}, & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \tilde{\Sigma}, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{jl}}^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{il}}, & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \tilde{\Sigma}^*, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k')^{-1}, & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \Sigma, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k'), & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \Sigma^*. \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

- $m^{(3)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\bar{\partial}m^{(3)}(k) = m^{(3)}(k)\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k), \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{\partial}R_j(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{\partial}R_j^*(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\bar{\partial}R_j(\omega k)e^{it\theta_{13}(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{\partial}R_j^*(\omega k)e^{-it\theta_{13}(k)} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\partial}R_j(\omega^2 k)e^{-it\theta_{23}(k)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\partial}R_j^*(\omega^2 k)e^{it\theta_{23}(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j^*, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

We decompose the hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 3.1 as follows:

$$m^{(3)}(k) = m^{(4)}(k)M^{rhp}(k), \quad (3.26)$$

where $m^{(4)}(k)$ is the solution of a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem that will be solved in Subsection 3.3, and $M^{rhp}(k)$ satisfies the following pure RH problem.

RH problem 3.2. Find a matrix-valued function $M^{rhp}(k) := M^{rhp}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $M^{rhp}(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(3)}$.
- $M^{rhp}(k)$ has continuous boundary values $M_{\pm}^{rhp}(k)$ on $\Sigma^{(3)}$ and

$$M_+^{rhp}(k) = M_-^{rhp}(k)V^{(3)}(k), \quad k \in \Sigma^{(3)}, \quad (3.27)$$

where $V^{(3)}(k)$ is defined by (3.23).

- $M^{rhp}(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2. Asymptotic analysis on a pure RH problem

Define small disks

$$U_{jl} := \{k \in \mathbb{C} : |k - \omega^l k_j| \leq c_0\}, \quad j \in \{a, b, c, d\}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2$$

around critical points $\omega^l k_j$, where

$$c_0 := \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{3}}{4}, 2|k_1 - k_a|t^{\delta_1}, 2|k_3 - k_c|t^{\delta_1} \right\}, \quad (3.28)$$

with $\frac{1}{27} < \delta_1 < \frac{1}{12}$.

In the transition zone \mathcal{T}_1^R , it follows from (3.5)-(3.6) that, for t large enough, the saddle points $w^l k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 8$ fall in $U := \bigcup_{j \in \{a, b, c, d\}} (U_{j0} \cup U_{j1} \cup U_{j2})$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |k_1 - k_a|, |k_2 - k_a|, |k_3 - k_b|, |k_4 - k_b| &\leq \sqrt{2C}t^{-1/3}, \\ |k_5 - k_c|, |k_6 - k_c|, |k_7 - k_d|, |k_8 - k_d| &\leq \sqrt{2C}t^{-1/3}, \end{aligned}$$

which reveals that

$$c_0 \lesssim t^{\delta_1 - 1/3} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.29)$$

Now we construct the solution $M^{rhp}(k)$ as follows:

$$M^{rhp}(k) = \begin{cases} E(k), & k \notin U, \\ E(k)M^{loc}(k), & k \in U, \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

where $M^{loc}(k)$ is the solution of a local model, and the error function $E(k)$ is the solution of a small-norm RH problem. Using (3.23) and (3.28), also recalling the definition (2.22) of $\theta_{12}(k)$, we have

$$\|V^{(3)}(k) - I\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(3)} \setminus U)} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-ct}), \quad (3.31)$$

where c is a positive constant. This estimate implies the necessity of constructing a local model within U .

3.2.1. Local models

Denote the local jump contour $\Sigma^{loc} := \Sigma^{(3)} \cap U$. Then the solution $M^{loc}(k)$ is approximated by the sum of the separate local models in the neighborhood of U_{jl} , $j \in \{a, b, c, d\}, l = 0, 1, 2$. On each contour $\Sigma_{jl} := \Sigma^{(3)} \cap U_{jl}$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, we define the local models $M_{jl}(k)$, $j \in \{a, b, c, d\}, l = 0, 1, 2$. Taking RH problem for $M_{j0}(k)$, $j = a, b, c, d$, as an example, the other cases can be given similarly.

RH problem 3.3. Find a 3×3 matrix-valued function $M_{j0}(k) := M_{j0}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $M_{j0}(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{j0}$.
- For $k \in \Sigma_{j0}$, $M_{j0,+}(k) = M_{j0,-}(k)V_{j0}(k)$ where $V_{j0}(k) = V^{(3)}(k)|_{k \in \Sigma_j}$.
- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_j$, $M_{j0}(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.

Next, we show that each local model $M_{j_0}(k)$ can asymptotically match the model RH problem for $M^L(\hat{k})$ in [Appendix B](#), which is equivalent to the Painlevé model. For this purpose, we introduce the following localized scaling variables.

- For k close to k_a ,

$$t\theta_{12}(k) = t\theta_{12}(k_a) - \frac{8}{3}\hat{k}^3 - 2s\hat{k} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^4 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$t\theta_{12}(k_a) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(4y - 3t), \quad \hat{k} = c_a t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_a), \quad (3.33)$$

$$s = \frac{2^{2/3}(-7 + \sqrt{21})}{3^{2/3}(98 - 21\sqrt{21})^{1/3}} \left(\hat{\xi} + \frac{3}{8} \right) t^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (3.34)$$

with

$$c_a = \frac{3^{2/3}}{28^{1/3}}(98 - 21\sqrt{21})^{1/3}. \quad (3.35)$$

- For k close to k_b ,

$$t\theta_{12}(k) = t\theta_{12}(k_b) - \frac{8}{3}\check{k}^3 - 2s\check{k} + \mathcal{O}(\check{k}^4 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$t\theta(k_b) = -t\theta(k_a), \quad \check{k} = c_b t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_b), \quad (3.37)$$

and s is defined as [\(3.33\)](#) with

$$c_b = \frac{3^{2/3}}{28^{1/3}}(98 + 21\sqrt{21})^{1/3}. \quad (3.38)$$

- For k close to k_c , following the symmetry $k_c = -k_b$,

$$t\theta_{12}(k) = t\theta_{12}(k_c) - \frac{8}{3}\tilde{k}^3 - 2s\tilde{k} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{k}^4 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (3.39)$$

where s is defined as [\(3.33\)](#) and

$$\tilde{k} = c_c t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_c), \quad \theta_{12}(k_c) = -\theta_{12}(k_b), \quad c_c = c_b. \quad (3.40)$$

- For k close to k_d , following the symmetry $k_d = -k_a$,

$$t\theta_{12}(k) = t\theta_{12}(k_d) - \frac{8}{3}\breve{k}^3 - 2s\breve{k} + \mathcal{O}(\breve{k}^4 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (3.41)$$

where s is defined as [\(3.33\)](#) and

$$\breve{k} = c_d t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_d), \quad \theta_{12}(k_d) = -\theta_{12}(k_a), \quad c_d = c_a. \quad (3.42)$$

As an illustrative example, we use the local model RH problem [3.3](#) for $M_{a_0}(k)$ to match the model RH problem in [Appendix B](#). Other local models can be constructed in a similar manner.

Step I: Scaling. Under a new scaled variable $\hat{k} = c_a t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_a)$, the contour Σ_{a0} is changed into a contour $\hat{\Sigma}_a$ in the \hat{k} -plane

$$\hat{\Sigma}_a := \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^2 (\hat{\Sigma}_j \cup \hat{\Sigma}_j^*) \right) \cup (\hat{k}_1, \hat{k}_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_j &= c_a t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k_j - k_a), \quad j = 1, 2; \quad \hat{\Sigma}_1 = \{\hat{k} : \hat{k} - \hat{k}_1 = l e^{i\varphi}, 0 \leq l \leq c_0 c_a t^{\frac{1}{3}}\}, \\ \hat{\Sigma}_2 &= \{\hat{k} : \hat{k} - \hat{k}_2 = l e^{i(\pi - \varphi)}, 0 \leq l \leq c_0 c_a t^{\frac{1}{3}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, RH problem 3.3 becomes the following RH problem in the \hat{k} -plane.

RH problem 3.4. Find a 3×3 matrix-valued function $M_{a0}(\hat{k}) := M_{a0}(\hat{k}; y, t)$ such that

- $M_{a0}(\hat{k})$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Sigma}_a$.
- For $\hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_a$, $M_{a0,+}(\hat{k}) = M_{a0,-}(\hat{k})V_a(\hat{k})$, where

$$V_a(\hat{k}) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ d(k_j)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k_a)}e^{-it\theta_{12}(c_a^{-1}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k}+k_a)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_j, j = 1, 2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{d}(k_j)e^{it\theta_{12}(k_a)}e^{it\theta_{12}(c_a^{-1}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k}+k_a)} & 0 \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_j^*, j = 1, 2, \\ V^{(3)}(c_a^{-1}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k} + k_a), & \hat{k} \in (\hat{k}_1, \hat{k}_2). \end{cases} \quad (3.43)$$

- $M_{a0}(\hat{k}) = I + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-1})$, $\hat{k} \rightarrow \infty$.

Step II: Matching the model RH problem. According to (3.32), we show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_{a0}(\hat{k}) = \mathcal{A}M^L(\hat{k})\mathcal{A}^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.44)$$

where $M^L(\hat{k})$ is the solution of RH problem Appendix B.2 with $c_1 = i|d(k_a)|$, and

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\frac{\varphi_a}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i(\frac{\varphi_a}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.45)$$

with $\varphi_a = \arg d(k_a) - t\theta_{12}(k_a)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{M}(\hat{k}) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}M_{a0}(\hat{k})\mathcal{A}$, which satisfies the jump relation

$$\hat{M}_+(\hat{k}) = \hat{M}_-(\hat{k})\hat{V}(\hat{k}),$$

where the jump matrix is $\hat{V}(\hat{k}) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}V_a(\hat{k})\mathcal{A}$. Next, we show that $\hat{M}(\hat{k})$ can be approximated by $M^L(\hat{k})$ of the model RH problem [Appendix B.2](#). It is enough to estimate $\hat{V}(\hat{k}) - V^L(\hat{k})$.

For $\hat{k} \in [\hat{k}_2, \hat{k}_1]$, noticing that $|e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})}| = |e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)}| = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \hat{V}(\hat{k}) - V^L(\hat{k}) \right| &\leq \left| \hat{d}(\hat{k})e^{-it\theta_{12}} - d(k_a)e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} \right| \lesssim \left| \hat{d}(\hat{k}) - d(k_a) \right| \\ &\leq \|d'(k_a)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_5^L)} \left| c_a^{-1}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k} \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Further by [\(3.29\)](#) and [\(3.33\)](#), we have

$$|\hat{k}| = |c_a t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_a)| \lesssim t^{\delta_1}, \quad (3.47)$$

which together with [\(3.46\)](#) gives the estimate

$$\left| \hat{V}(\hat{k}) - V^L(\hat{k}) \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3} + \delta_1}. \quad (3.48)$$

For $\hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_1$, since $\text{Re}\left(i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})\right) < 0$, by using [\(3.47\)](#), we have

$$\left| e^{-it\theta_{12}} - e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} \right| \leq \left| e^{\mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^4 t^{-1/3})} - 1 \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3} + 4\delta_1},$$

by which we obtain that

$$\left| \hat{V}(\hat{k}) - V^L(\hat{k}) \right| \leq \left| |d(k_1)|e^{-it\theta_{12}} - |d(k_a)|e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3} + 4\delta_1}. \quad (3.49)$$

In a similar way, we have the estimate

$$\left| \hat{V}(\hat{k}) - V^L(\hat{k}) \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3} + 4\delta_1}, \quad \hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_2 \cup \hat{\Sigma}_1^* \cup \hat{\Sigma}_2^*,$$

which together with [\(3.48\)](#) and [\(3.49\)](#) gives

$$\left| \hat{V}(\hat{k}) - V^L(\hat{k}) \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3} + 4\delta_1}, \quad \hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_a.$$

By using the small-norm theorem, we obtain the relation [\(3.44\)](#). □

As a corollary of [Proposition 3.2](#), we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *As $\hat{k} \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$M_{a0}(\hat{k}) = I + \frac{M_{a0}^{(1)}(s)}{\hat{k}} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-2}), \quad (3.50)$$

where

$$M_{a0}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & v(s)e^{-i\varphi_a} & 0 \\ -v(s)e^{i\varphi_a} & \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.51)$$

with $v(s)$ be the unique solution of Painlevé II equation (B.1), fixed by the boundary condition

$$v(s) \sim -|r(k_a)|\text{Ai}(s), \quad s \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.52)$$

In a similar way to $M_{a0}(\hat{k})$, with the help of (3.36)-(3.38), we obtain

$$M_{b0}(\check{k}) = I + \frac{M_{b0}^{(1)}(s)}{\check{k}} + \mathcal{O}(\check{k}^{-2}), \quad \check{k} \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.53)$$

where

$$M_{b0}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & v(s)e^{-i\varphi_b} & 0 \\ -v(s)e^{i\varphi_b} & \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.54)$$

with the argument $\varphi_b = \arg d(k_b) - t\theta(k_b)$. With the help of (3.39)-(3.40), we have

$$M_{c0}(\tilde{k}) = I + \frac{M_{c0}^{(1)}(s)}{\tilde{k}} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{k}^{-2}), \quad \tilde{k} \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.55)$$

where

$$M_{c0}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & v(s)e^{-i\varphi_c} & 0 \\ -v(s)e^{i\varphi_c} & \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.56)$$

with the argument $\varphi_c = \arg d(k_c) - t\theta(k_c)$. With the help of (3.41)-(3.42), we have

$$M_{d0}(\check{k}) = I + \frac{M_{d0}^{(1)}(s)}{\check{k}} + \mathcal{O}(\check{k}^{-2}), \quad \check{k} \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.57)$$

where

$$M_{d0}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & v(s)e^{-i\varphi_d} & 0 \\ -v(s)e^{i\varphi_d} & \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.58)$$

with the argument $\varphi_d = \arg d(k_d) - t\theta(k_d)$.

Following the above steps, we also can obtain other solutions $M_{jl}(k)$, $j \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, $l = 1, 2$. Finally, the solution $M^{loc}(k)$ for the local model is reconstructed as follows.

Proposition 3.4. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$M^{loc}(k) = I + t^{-1/3}M_1^{loc}(k, s) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.59)$$

where

$$M_1^{loc}(k, s) = \sum_{j=a,b,c,d} \left(\frac{M_j^{(1)}(s)}{c_j(k-k_j)} + \frac{\overline{\omega\Gamma_3 M_j^{(1)}(s)\Gamma_3}}{c_j(k-\omega k_j)} + \frac{\overline{\omega^2\Gamma_2 M_j^{(1)}(s)\Gamma_2}}{c_j(k-\omega^2 k_j)} \right), \quad (3.60)$$

in which c_j are given by (3.35) and (3.38) respectively, while for $j = a, b, c, d$,

$$M_j^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & v(s)e^{-i\varphi_j} & 0 \\ -v(s)e^{i\varphi_j} & \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.61)$$

3.2.2. Small-norm RH problem

Denote the contour

$$\Sigma^E := \left(\Sigma^{(3)} \setminus \mathbb{U} \right) \cup \partial\mathbb{U}. \quad (3.62)$$

See Figure 6. Then, the error function $E(k)$ defined by (3.30) satisfies the following RH problem.




Figure 6: The jump contour Σ^E of the RH problem 3.5 for the error function $E(k)$.

RH problem 3.5. Find a matrix-valued function $E(k) := E(k; y, t)$ such that

- $E(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^E$.
- $E_+(k) = E_-(k)V^E(k)$ with

$$V^E(k) = \begin{cases} V^{(3)}(k), & k \in \Sigma^{(3)} \setminus \mathbb{U}, \\ M^{loc}(k), & k \in \partial\mathbb{U}. \end{cases} \quad (3.63)$$

- $E(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.

It is readily seen that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|V^E(k) - I\|_{L^p(\Sigma^E)} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(e^{-ct^{3\delta_1}}), & k \in \Sigma^E \setminus \mathbb{U}, \\ \mathcal{O}(t^{-\kappa_p}), & k \in \partial\mathbb{U}, \end{cases} \quad (3.64)$$

where c is a positive constant, and $\kappa_p = \frac{p-1}{p}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{3p}$.

It follows from the small-norm RH problems arguments [53] that there exists a unique solution to RH problem 3.5 for large t . In fact, according to Beals-Coifman's theorem [54], the solution of the RH problem 3.5 can be expressed as

$$E(k) = I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^E} \frac{(I + \varpi(\varsigma))(V^E(\varsigma) - I)}{\varsigma - k} d\varsigma, \quad (3.65)$$

where $\varpi \in L^2(\Sigma^E)$ is the unique solution of the following equation

$$(1 - C_E)\varpi = C_E I, \quad (3.66)$$

with C_E being the Cauchy projection operator on Σ^E . Further, we have the following estimate

$$\|C_E\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \leq \|C_-\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \|V^E - I\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\delta_1}.$$

Therefore, the equation (3.66) admits a unique solution

$$\varpi = (1 - C_E)^{-1}(C_E I), \quad (3.67)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\varpi = \sum_{j=1}^4 C_E^j I + (1 - C_E)^{-1}(C_E^5 I),$$

where for $j = 1, \dots, 4$, we have

$$\|C_E^j I\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{6} - j\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1}, \quad \|\varpi - \sum_{j=1}^4 C_E^j I\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{6} - \frac{9}{2}\delta_1}. \quad (3.68)$$

For later use, we evaluate the value of $E(k)$ at $k = e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}$.

Proposition 3.5. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$E(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) = I + t^{-1/3} E_1(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.69)$$

in which $E_1(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i})$ is the value of the following function at $k = e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}$,

$$E_1(k) = \sum_{j=a,b,c,d} \left(\frac{M_j^{(1)}(s)}{c_j(k - k_j)} + \frac{\overline{\omega \Gamma_3 M_j^{(1)}(s) \Gamma_3}}{c_j(k - \omega k_j)} + \frac{\overline{\omega^2 \Gamma_2 M_j^{(1)}(s) \Gamma_2}}{c_j(k - \omega^2 k_j)} \right) \quad (3.70)$$

with c_j and $M_j^{(1)}(s)$, $j = a, b, c, d$ being given by (3.35), (3.38) and (3.61) respectively.

Proof. From (3.65) and Proposition 3.4, we have

$$E(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) = I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial U} \frac{M^{loc}(\varsigma) - I}{\varsigma - e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}} d\varsigma + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/3-5\delta_1}),$$

which together with (3.59) gives (3.69). \square

3.3. Asymptotic analysis on a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

Based on the results of the pure RH problem 3.2, we consider a transformation

$$m^{(4)}(k) = m^{(3)}(k)M^{rhp}(k)^{-1}, \quad (3.71)$$

which satisfies the following pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem.

$\bar{\partial}$ -Problem 3.1. Find a row vector-valued function $m^{(4)}(k) := m^{(4)}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $m^{(4)}(k)$ is continuous in \mathbb{C} .
- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathbb{C} , $m^{(4)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.
- $m^{(4)}(k)$ satisfies the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

$$\bar{\partial}m^{(4)}(k) = m^{(4)}(k)W^{(4)}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \quad (3.72)$$

with

$$W^{(4)}(k) = M^{rhp}(k)\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)M^{rhp}(k)^{-1}, \quad (3.73)$$

where $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)$ is defined in (3.25).

The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem 3.1 is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$m^{(4)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{m^{(4)}(\varsigma)W^{(4)}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma - k} dA(\varsigma), \quad (3.74)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(I - S)m^{(4)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1), \quad (3.75)$$

where S is left Cauchy-Green integral operator defined by

$$S[f](k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(\varsigma)W^{(4)}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma - k} dA(\varsigma). \quad (3.76)$$

In order to prove the existence of the operator $(I - S)^{-1}$, we need the following estimates on $\text{Im } \theta_{12}(k)$.

Lemma 3.6. In \mathcal{T}_1 , denote $k = |k|e^{i\phi_0}$. Then the following estimates hold:

- Near the phase points k_j , $j = 1, 8$:

$$\text{Im } \theta_{12}(k) \leq \begin{cases} -c_j |\text{Re } k - k_j|^2 |\text{Im } k|, & k \in \Omega_j \cap \{|k| \leq 2\}, \\ -c_j |\text{Im } k|, & k \in \Omega_j \cap \{|k| > 2\}, \end{cases} \quad (3.77)$$

$$\text{Im } \theta_{12}(k) \geq \begin{cases} c_j |\text{Re } k - k_j|^2 |\text{Im } k|, & k \in \Omega_j^* \cap \{|k| \leq 2\}, \\ c_j |\text{Im } k|, & k \in \Omega_j^* \cap \{|k| > 2\}, \end{cases} \quad (3.78)$$

where $c_j = c_j(k_j, \phi_0, \xi)$ is a constant.

- Near the phase points k_j , $j = 2, \dots, 7$:

$$\operatorname{Im} \theta_{12}(k) \leq -c_j |\operatorname{Re} k - k_j|^2 |\operatorname{Im} k|, \quad k \in \Omega_j, \quad (3.79)$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \theta_{12}(k) \geq c_j |\operatorname{Re} k - k_j|^2 |\operatorname{Im} k|, \quad k \in \Omega_j^*, \quad (3.80)$$

where $c_j = c_j(k_j, \phi_0, \xi)$ is a constant.

Proof. The proof is similar with Lemma 3.6 in [45]. Here we omit it. \square

Further with above Lemma 3.6, we obtain the following estimate.

Lemma 3.7. *The norm of the operator S satisfies*

$$\|S\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/3}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.81)$$

which implies that $(I - S)^{-1}$ exists for large t .

Proof. We only estimate the operator S defined by (3.76) on Ω_1 . Setting

$$\varsigma = u + k_1 + vi = |\varsigma| e^{i\omega}, \quad k = x + yi, \quad u, v, x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

further using (3.10)-(3.12), (3.73) and (3.76), it is readily seen that

$$\|S\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \leq c(I_1 + I_2 + I_3),$$

where

$$I_1 = \iint_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|k| \leq 2\}} \frac{e^{-c_1 t u^2 v}}{|\varsigma - k|} dA(\varsigma), \quad I_2 = \iint_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|k| > 2\}} \frac{e^{-c_1 t v}}{|\varsigma - k|} dA(\varsigma),$$

$$I_3 = \iint_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|k| > 2\}} \frac{|u|^{-1/2} e^{-c_1 t v}}{|\varsigma - k|} dA(\varsigma).$$

Next, we estimate the integrals I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. Through calculations, we have the following basic inequalities

$$\||\varsigma - k|^{-1}\|_{L_u^q(v, \infty)} \lesssim |v - y|^{-1+1/q}, \quad \|e^{-c_1 t u^2 v}\|_{L_u^p(v, \infty)} \lesssim (tv)^{-1/(2p)}, \quad p, q > 1.$$

Further, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$I_1 = \int_0^{2 \sin w} \int_v^{2 \cos w - k_1} \frac{e^{-c_1 t u^2 v}}{|\varsigma - k|} du dv \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^{2 \sin w} |v - y|^{-1/2} v^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-c_1 t v^3} dv \lesssim t^{-1/3},$$

$$I_2 = \int_{2 \sin w}^\infty \int_{2 \cos w - k_1}^\infty \frac{e^{-c_1 t v}}{|\varsigma - k|} du dv \lesssim \int_{2 \sin w}^\infty |v - y|^{-1/2} e^{-c_1 t v} dv \lesssim t^{-1/2},$$

$$I_3 \lesssim \int_{2 \sin w}^\infty v^{1/p-1/2} |v - y|^{1/q-1} e^{-c_1 t v} dv \lesssim t^{-1/2},$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. The estimate of the operator S over other sectors can be estimated in a similar way. Finally, we obtain (3.81). \square

This lemma implies that the pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem 3.1 admits a unique solution for large t . For later use, we calculate the value of $m^{(4)}(k)$ at $k = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$.

Proposition 3.8. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following estimate*

$$|m^{(4)}(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}) - (1 \ 1 \ 1)| \lesssim t^{-2/3}. \quad (3.82)$$

Proof. In a similar way to Lemma 3.7, we only estimate the following integral over Ω_1 . Let $\zeta = u + k_1 + vi = |\zeta|e^{i\omega}$ with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\iint_{\Omega_1} \frac{|W^{(4)}(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}|} dA(\zeta) \lesssim I_4 + I_5 + I_6,$$

where

$$I_4 = \iint_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|k| \leq 2\}} \frac{e^{-c_1 tu^2 v}}{|\zeta - e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}|} dA(\zeta), \quad I_5 = \iint_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|k| > 2\}} \frac{e^{-c_1 tv}}{|\zeta - e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}|} dA(\zeta),$$

$$I_6 = \iint_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|k| > 2\}} \frac{|u|^{-1/2} e^{-c_1 tv}}{|\zeta - e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}|} dA(\zeta).$$

Noticing $|\zeta - e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}|$ is bounded for $\zeta \in \Omega_1 \cap \{|k| \leq 2\}$, direct calculation yields

$$I_4 \leq \int_0^{2 \sin w} \int_v^{2 \cos w - k_1} e^{-c_1 tu^2 v} dudv \lesssim t^{-2/3}. \quad (3.83)$$

Moreover, it is readily seen that

$$I_5 \lesssim t^{-1}, \quad I_6 \lesssim t^{-1},$$

which together with (3.83) gives the estimate (3.82). \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for \mathcal{T}_1

Inverting the sequence of transformations (2.43), (2.48), (3.21), (3.30), and (3.71), the solution of RH problem 2.2 is given by

$$m(k) = m^{(4)}(k)E(k)\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)^{-1}T(k)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{U}. \quad (3.84)$$

Taking $k = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$, and using (3.69) and (3.82), we have

$$m(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) \left(I + t^{-1/3} E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}) \right) T(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_1}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.85)$$

where $E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})$ is given by (3.70).

Then, the solution of the DP equation (1.1) can be recovered from the reconstruction formula (2.30) as follows

$$u(y, t) = t^{-1/3} f_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; y, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_1}), \quad (3.86)$$

$$x(y, t) = y + \log T_2(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}) - \log T_3(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}) + t^{-1/3} f_2(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; y, t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_1}),$$

where

$$f_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; y, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_2(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; y, t), \quad f_2(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}; y, t) = \sum_{j=1}^3 E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})_{j2} - E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})_{j1}, \quad (3.87)$$

and $E_1(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})_{ji}$ represents the element in the j -th row and i -th column of the matrix E_1 . Taking into account the boundedness of $\log T_2(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}) - \log T_3(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})$, it is thereby inferred that $x/t - y/t = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$. Replacing y/t by x/t in (3.86) yields (1.4).

4. Painlevé Asymptotics in Transition Zone \mathcal{T}_2




Figure 7: Signature table of $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k)$ with different $\hat{\xi}$: **(a)** $0 \leq \hat{\xi} < 3$, **(b)** $\hat{\xi} = 3$, **(c)** $\hat{\xi} > 3$. The sectors where $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) < 0$ and $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) > 0$ are blue and white, respectively. Moreover, the purple dotted line stands for the unit circle.

In this section, we study the Painlevé asymptotics in the transition zone \mathcal{T}_2 which is between \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{S}_2 , see Figures 7(a)-7(c). Without loss of generality, we show that the leading asymptotics is described by the Painlevé equation in the left-half zone

$$\mathcal{T}_2^L = \mathcal{T}_2 \cap \{(y, t) : \hat{\xi} < 3\} = \{(y, t) : -C < (\hat{\xi} - 3)t^{2/3} < 0\}.$$

In this case, there are 12 saddle points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, on three contours $\omega^l \mathbb{R}$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, among them 4 saddle points are on \mathbb{R}

$$k_1 = -k_4 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (\sqrt{s_1 + s_2} + \sqrt{s_1 + 8 + s_2}), \quad k_2 = -k_3 = \frac{1}{k_1}, \quad (4.1)$$

with s_1 and s_2 be given by (3.4). As $\hat{\xi} \rightarrow 3^-$, we have $s_1 \rightarrow -3$ and $s_2 \rightarrow 3$, then

$$k_1, k_2 \rightarrow 1 := k_a, \quad k_3, k_4 \rightarrow -1 := k_b,$$

from which we can have the corresponding critical points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, $l = 1, 2$.

The signature table in Figure 7(b) inspires us to use the triangular factorization of the jump matrix $V^{(2)}(k)$ associated with RH problem $m^{(2)}(k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ in the following form

$$V^{(2)}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{d}(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$d(k) := \bar{r}(k) \frac{T_2(k)}{T_1(k)}. \quad (4.3)$$

The factorization of $V^{(2)}(k)$ on $\omega \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega^2 \mathbb{R}$ can be given by the symmetries. Next, we open the $\bar{\partial}$ lenses using the factorization (4.2) to obtain a hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem.

4.1. Hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem

Define

$$I_1 = (k_1, \infty), I_2 = (k_0, k_2), I_3 = (k_3, k_0), I_4 = (-\infty, k_4),$$

$$I = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 I_i, \omega I = \{\omega k : k \in I\}, \omega^2 I = \{\omega^2 k : k \in I\}.$$

where we denote $k_0 := 0$. We open the contour I on \mathbb{R} in a way like Subsection 3.1, denote all opened sectors Ω_j and boundaries Σ_j with $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ depicted in Figure 8. Further, denote $\Sigma_{0j}, j = 2, 3$ as the boundaries between Ω_0 and $\Omega_j, j = 2, 3$, respectively. The contours ωI and $\omega^2 I$ can be opened by the symmetries, and the whole contour $\Sigma^{(3)}$ is given in Figure 9.




Figure 8: The contour obtained after opening a part contour I in \mathcal{T}_2




Figure 9: The whole jump contour $\Sigma^{(3)}$ for $m^{(3)}(k)$, obtained by opening three contours $\omega^l I, l = 0, 1, 2$.

It can be shown that there exist matrix continuous extension functions $R_j(k) : \bar{\Omega}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, j = 0, \dots, 4$, continuous on $\bar{\Omega}_j$, with continuous first partial derivative on Ω_j , and boundary values

$$R_j(k) = \begin{cases} -d(k), & k \in I_j, \\ -d(k_j), & k \in \Sigma_j, \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

which have the similar estimates with (3.10)-(3.12).

Using $R_j(k)$, $j = 0, \dots, 4$, we introduce

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & R_i(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ R_i^*(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_i(\omega^2 k)e^{-it\theta_{13}(k)} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & R_i^*(\omega^2 k)e^{it\theta_{13}(k)} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & R_i(\omega k)e^{it\theta_{23}(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_i^*(\omega k)e^{-it\theta_{23}(k)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j^*, \\ I, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

and make a transformation

$$m^{(3)}(k) = m^{(2)}(k)\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k). \quad (4.6)$$

Then we obtain a hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem for $m^{(3)}(k)$ which satisfies the jump condition

$$m_+^{(3)}(k) = m_-^{(3)}(k)V^{(3)}(k), \quad k \in \Sigma^{(3)},$$

where

$$\Sigma^{(3)} = \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \left((\mathbb{R} \setminus I) \cup (\Sigma \cup \Sigma^*) \cup (\tilde{\Sigma} \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^*) \right),$$

with $\Sigma = \bigcup_{j=0}^4 \Sigma_j$, $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma_{02} \cup \Sigma_{03}$, and

$$V^{(3)}(k) = \begin{cases} V^{(2)}(k), & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l (\mathbb{R} \setminus I), \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{il}}^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{jl}}, & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \tilde{\Sigma}, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{jl}}^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)|_{k \in \Omega_{il}}, & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \tilde{\Sigma}^*, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k')^{-1}, & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \Sigma, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k'), & k \in \bigcup_{l=0}^2 \omega^l \Sigma^*. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover, for $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\bar{\partial}m^{(3)}(k) = m^{(3)}(k)\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k),$$

where

$$\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\partial}R_j(k)e^{it\theta_{12}(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\partial}R_j^*(k)e^{-it\theta_{12}(k)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\partial}R_j(\omega^2k)e^{-it\theta_{13}(k)} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \bar{\partial}R_j^*(\omega^2k)e^{it\theta_{13}(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega\Omega_j^*, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\partial}R_j(\omega k)e^{it\theta_{23}(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}R_j^*(\omega k)e^{-it\theta_{23}(k)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in \omega^2\Omega_j^*, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

The above hybrid $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem can again be decomposed into a pure RH problem and a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. The next two subsections are then devoted to the asymptotic analysis of these two problems separately.

4.2. Asymptotic analysis on a pure RH problem

By omitting the $\bar{\partial}$ -derivative part of the $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem for $m^{(3)}(k)$, we obtain the following pure RH problem.

RH problem 4.1. *Find a matrix-valued function $M^{rhp}(k) := M^{rhp}(k; y, t)$ such that*

- $M^{rhp}(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(3)}$.
- $M^{rhp}(k)$ has continuous boundary values $M_{\pm}^{rhp}(k)$ on $\Sigma^{(3)}$ and

$$M_+^{rhp}(k) = M_-^{rhp}(k)V^{(3)}(k), \quad k \in \Sigma^{(3)}, \quad (4.9)$$

where $V^{(3)}(k)$ is defined by (4.7).

- $M^{rhp}(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Define small disks around critical points $\omega^l k_j$

$$U_{jl} := \{k \in \mathbb{C} : |k - \omega^l k_j| \leq c_0\}, \quad j \in \{a, b\}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2,$$

where the radius c_0 defined by

$$c_0 := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 2(k_1 - k_a)t^{\delta_2} \right\}, \quad (4.10)$$

where δ_2 is a constant satisfying $\frac{1}{27} < \delta_2 < \frac{1}{12}$. Then, there exists a time T such that the saddle points are in $U := \bigcup_{j \in \{a, b\}} (U_j \cup U_{j1} \cup U_{j2})$ when $t > T$. Indeed, for $\hat{\xi} \in \mathcal{T}_2^L$, we have

$$|k_j - k_a| \leq \sqrt{3^{-1}Ct^{-1/3}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad |k_j - k_b| \leq \sqrt{3^{-1}Ct^{-1/3}}, \quad j = 3, 4,$$

which reveals that $c_0 \lesssim t^{\delta_2 - 1/3}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we construct the solution $M^{rhp}(k)$ as follows:

$$M^{rhp}(k) = \begin{cases} E(k), & k \notin U, \\ E(k)M^{loc}(k), & k \in U, \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

where $M^{loc}(k)$ is the solution of a local model, and the error function $E(k)$ is the solution of a small-norm RH problem. Next, we construct the solution $M^{loc}(k)$.

4.2.1. Local models

Similar to Subsection 3.2.1, we can construct six local models $M_{jl}(k)$, $j \in \{a, b\}$, $l = 0, 1, 2$ with the corresponding contours $\Sigma_{jl} := \Sigma^{(3)} \cap U_{jl}$. Using the construction of $M_{j0}(k)$, $j = a, b$, as an example, the other cases can be given similarly.

RH problem 4.2. Find a 3×3 matrix-valued function $M_{j0}(k) := M_{j0}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $M_{j0}(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{j0}$.
- For $k \in \Sigma_{j0}$, $M_{j0,+}(k) = M_{j0,-}(k)V_{j0}(k)$, where $V_{j0}(k) = V^{(3)}(k)|_{k \in \Sigma_{j0}}$.
- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_j$, $M_{j0}(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.

In order to match RH problems 4.2 with the model RH problem in Appendix B, the phase function $t\theta_{12}(k)$ is approximated with scaled variables as follows.

- For k close to k_a ,

$$t\theta_{12}(k) = \frac{8}{3}\hat{k}^3 + 2s\hat{k} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^4 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\hat{k} = 3^{\frac{2}{3}}t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_a), \quad s = 3^{-\frac{2}{3}}t^{\frac{2}{3}}(\hat{\xi} - 3). \quad (4.13)$$

- For k close to k_b ,

$$t\theta_{12}(k) = \frac{8}{3}\check{k}^3 + 2s\check{k} + \mathcal{O}(\check{k}^4 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (4.14)$$

where s is defined by (4.13) and

$$\check{k} = 3^{\frac{2}{3}}t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k - k_b). \quad (4.15)$$

Now we take the local model for $M_{a0}(k)$ in U_{a0} as an example to match the Painlevé model, and other local models can be constructed similarly.

Step I: Scaling. Define the contour $\hat{\Sigma}_a$ in the \hat{k} -plane

$$\hat{\Sigma}_a := \bigcup_{j=1}^2 (\hat{\Sigma}_j \cup \hat{\Sigma}_j^*) \cup (\hat{k}_1, \hat{k}_2),$$

corresponding to the contour Σ_a after scaling k to the new variable \hat{k} , where

$$\hat{\Sigma}_1 = \{\hat{k} : \hat{k} - \hat{k}_1 = le^{i(\pi-\varphi)}, 0 \leq l \leq c_0 3^{\frac{2}{3}} t^{\frac{1}{3}}\}, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_2 = \{\hat{k} : \hat{k} - \hat{k}_2 = le^{i\varphi}, 0 \leq l \leq c_0 3^{\frac{2}{3}} t^{\frac{1}{3}}\},$$

with $\hat{k}_j = 3^{\frac{2}{3}} t^{\frac{1}{3}}(k_j - k_a), j = 1, 2$. After scaling, we obtain the following RH problem in the \hat{k} -plane.

RH problem 4.3. Find a 3×3 matrix-valued function $M_{a0}(\hat{k}) := M_{a0}(\hat{k}; y, t)$ such that

- $M_{a0}(\hat{k})$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Sigma}_a$.
- For $\hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_a$, we have $M_{a0,+}(\hat{k}) = M_{a0,-}(\hat{k})\hat{V}_a(\hat{k})$, where

$$\hat{V}_a(\hat{k}) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d(k_j)e^{it\theta_{12}(3^{-\frac{2}{3}}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k}+k_a)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_j, j = 1, 2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ -d^*(k_j)e^{-it\theta_{12}(3^{-\frac{2}{3}}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k}+k_a)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \hat{\Sigma}_j^*, j = 1, 2, \\ V^{(3)}(3^{-\frac{2}{3}}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\hat{k} + k_a), & \hat{k} \in (\hat{k}_1, \hat{k}_2). \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

- As $\hat{k} \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Sigma}_a$, $M_{a0}(\hat{k}) = I + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-1})$.

Step II: Matching with the model RH problem. To proceed, in a similar way to Proposition 3.2, it can be shown that

Proposition 4.1. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_{a0}(\hat{k}) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}\Gamma_1 M^L(\hat{k})\Gamma_1 \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_2}), \quad (4.17)$$

where $M^L(\hat{k})$ is the solution of RH problem Appendix B.2 with $c_1 = i|d(k_a)|$, and

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\varphi_a}{2})} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\varphi_a}{2})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_a = \arg \bar{r}(k_a) - i \log T_{21}(k_a). \quad (4.18)$$

From Proposition 4.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.2. As $\hat{k} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_{a0}(\hat{k}) = I + \frac{M_{a1}^{(1)}(s)}{\hat{k}} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-2}), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$M_{a1}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \int_s^\infty v^2(\varsigma) d\varsigma & -v(s)e^{i\varphi_a} & 0 \\ v(s)e^{-i\varphi_a} & -\int_s^\infty v^2(\varsigma) d\varsigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_2}). \quad (4.20)$$

Using a similar method, we can construct each local model $M_b(k)$ with scaled variable (4.15) which have the following properties: As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_{b0}(\check{k}) = \mathcal{B}^{-1} \Gamma_1 M^L(\check{k}) \Gamma_1 \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_2}), \quad (4.21)$$

where $M^L(\check{k})$ is the solution of RH problem Appendix B.2 with $c_1 = i|d(k_b)|$, and

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\varphi_b}{2})} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\varphi_b}{2})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_b = \arg \bar{r}(k_b) - i \log T_{21}(k_b). \quad (4.22)$$

Then, as $\check{k} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_{b0}(\check{k}) = I + \frac{M_{b0}^{(1)}(s)}{\check{k}} + \mathcal{O}(\check{k}^{-2}), \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$M_{b0}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & -v(s)e^{i\varphi_b} & 0 \\ v(s)e^{-i\varphi_b} & -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{3}+4\delta_2}). \quad (4.24)$$

Other local models $M_{jl}(k), j \in \{a, b\}, l = 1, 2$ can be constructed by using the symmetries. Then $M^{loc}(k)$ can be constructed as follows.

Proposition 4.3. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$M^{loc}(k) = I + t^{-1/3} M_1^{loc}(k, s) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_2}), \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$M_1^{loc}(k, s) = -3^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{j=a,b} \left(\frac{M_j^{(1)}(s)}{k - k_j} + \frac{\overline{\omega \Gamma_3 M_j^{(1)}(s) \Gamma_3}}{k - \omega k_j} + \frac{\overline{\omega^2 \Gamma_2 M_j^{(1)}(s) \Gamma_2}}{k - \omega^2 k_j} \right), \quad (4.26)$$

with

$$M_j^{(1)}(s) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & -v(s)e^{i\varphi_j} & 0 \\ v(s)e^{-i\varphi_j} & -\int_s^\infty v^2(\zeta) d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.2.2. Small-norm RH problem

From the decomposition (4.11), we obtain the following RH problem.

RH problem 4.4. *Find a 3×3 matrix-valued function $E(k) := E(k; y, t)$ such that*

- $E(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^E$, where $\Sigma^E := (\Sigma^{(3)} \setminus \mathbb{U}) \cup \partial \mathbb{U}$. See Figure 10.
- For $k \in \Sigma^E$, $E_+(k) = E_-(k) V^E(k)$ with the jump matrix

$$V^E(k) = \begin{cases} V^{(3)}(k), & k \in \Sigma^E \setminus \mathbb{U}, \\ M^{loc}(k), & k \in \partial \mathbb{U}. \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$




Figure 10: The jump contour Σ^E of the RH problem 4.4 for the error function $E(k)$.

- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^E$, $E(k) = I + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.

A simple calculation shows that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|V^E - I\|_{L^p(\Sigma^E)} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(e^{-ct^{3\delta_2}}), & k \in \Sigma^E \setminus U, \\ \mathcal{O}(t^{-\kappa_p}), & k \in \partial U, \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

where c is a positive constant, and $\kappa_p = \frac{p-1}{p}\delta_2 + \frac{1}{3p}$. According to [54] again, we have

$$E(k) = I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^E} \frac{(I + \varpi(\zeta))(V^E(\zeta) - I)}{\zeta - k} d\zeta, \quad (4.29)$$

where $\varpi \in L^2(\Sigma^E)$ is the unique solution of (3.66). Moreover,

$$\|C_E\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\delta_2}, \quad (4.30)$$

which implies ϖ exists uniquely with

$$\|C_E^j I\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{6} - j\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2}, \quad \|\varpi - \sum_{j=1}^4 C_E^j I\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{6} - \frac{9}{2}\delta_2}. \quad (4.31)$$

Then we can evaluate the value $E(k)$ at $k = e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}$.

Proposition 4.4. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$E(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) = I + t^{-1/3} E_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-(2/3+4\delta_2)}), \quad (4.32)$$

where $E_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i})$ is the value of the function

$$E_2(k) = 3^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{j=a,b} \left(\frac{M_j^{(1)}(s)}{k - k_j} + \frac{\overline{\omega \Gamma_3 M_j^{(1)}(s) \Gamma_3}}{k - \omega k_j} + \frac{\overline{\omega^2 \Gamma_2 M_j^{(1)}(s) \Gamma_2}}{k - \omega^2 k_j} \right). \quad (4.33)$$

Proof. Using (4.25), (4.27), and (4.31), it follows that

$$E(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) = I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial U} \frac{M^{loc}(\zeta) - I}{\zeta - e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}} d\zeta + \mathcal{O}(t^{-(1/3+5\delta_2)}),$$

which together with (4.25) yields (4.32). □

4.3. Asymptotic analysis on a pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

Define

$$m^{(4)}(k) := m^{(3)}(k)M^{rhp}(k)^{-1}, \quad (4.34)$$

which satisfies the following pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem.

$\bar{\partial}$ -Problem 4.1. Find a row vector-valued function $m^{(4)}(k) := m^{(4)}(k; y, t)$ such that

- $m^{(4)}(k)$ is continuous in \mathbb{C} .
- As $k \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathbb{C} , $m^{(4)}(k) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$.
- $m^{(4)}(k)$ satisfies the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

$$\bar{\partial}m^{(4)}(k) = m^{(4)}(k)W^{(4)}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \quad (4.35)$$

with

$$W^{(4)}(k) = M^{rhp}(k)\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)M^{rhp}(k)^{-1}, \quad (4.36)$$

where $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)$ is defined by (4.8).

In a similar way to Subsection 3.3, we can obtain the following estimate.

Proposition 4.5. There exists a large time $T > 0$ such that when $t > T$, the pure $\bar{\partial}$ -problem 4.1 has a unique solution $m^{(4)}(k)$ with the following estimate

$$\left| m^{(4)}(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) - (1 \ 1 \ 1) \right| \lesssim t^{-2/3}. \quad (4.37)$$

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for \mathcal{T}_2

Inverting the sequence of transformations (2.43), (2.48), (4.6), (4.11), and (4.34), the solution of RH problem 2.2 is given by

$$m(k) = m^{(4)}(k)E(k)\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(k)^{-1}T(k)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U. \quad (4.38)$$

Taking $k = e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}$ in (4.38), and using (4.32) and (4.37), we have

$$m(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) = (1 \ 1 \ 1) \left(I + t^{-1/3}E_2(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i}) \right) T(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i})^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2/3+4\delta_2}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this way the solution (1.8) of the DP equation (1.1) can be recovered by the reconstruction formula (2.30). Using $y/t - x/t = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$, we obtain the asymptotic behavior in the second transition zone. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.1 for \mathcal{T}_2 is completed.

Appendix A. Proof of the Non-transition Zone \mathcal{T}_3

In this appendix, we show that there is no transition zone near the critical line $\hat{\xi} = 0$ between \mathcal{Z}_1 and \mathcal{Z}_2 (See Figure 11(a)-11(c)). That is, we prove that the asymptotics in \mathcal{Z}_1 match that in \mathcal{Z}_2 as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We recall the following asymptotics for the solution $u(x, t)$ of the DP equation in two regions \mathcal{Z}_1 and \mathcal{Z}_2 obtained in [38]

$$u(x, t) = t^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{n=1}^3 \left(H(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i})_{n2} - H(e^{\frac{\pi}{6}i})_{n1} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

in which the function $H(k)$ is defined by

$$H(k) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p(\hat{\xi})} F_j(k). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In (A.2), the symbol $p(\hat{\xi})$ denotes the number of the saddle points on \mathbb{R} , and $p(\hat{\xi}) = 8$ corresponds to \mathcal{Z}_1 and $p(\hat{\xi}) = 4$ to \mathcal{Z}_2 . Moreover, $F_j(k)$ is given in term of the solution of a parabolic cylinder model

$$F_j(k) = \frac{A_j(\hat{\xi})}{\sqrt{|\theta''_{12}(k_j)|(k - k_j)}} + \frac{\overline{\omega \Gamma_3 A_j(\hat{\xi}) \Gamma_3}}{\sqrt{|\theta''_{12}(\omega k_j)|(k - \omega k_j)}} + \frac{\overline{\omega^2 \Gamma_2 A_j(\hat{\xi}) \Gamma_2}}{\sqrt{|\theta''_{12}(\omega^2 k_j)|(k - \omega^2 k_j)}}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$A_j(\hat{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)} & 0 \\ \tilde{\beta}_{21}^{(j)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\nu(k_j)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}i}}{\bar{r}_{k_j} \Gamma(i\nu k_j)}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)} \tilde{\beta}_{21}^{(j)} = -\nu(k_j), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$r_{k_j} = r(k_j) T_{12}^{(j)}(\hat{\xi})^2 e^{-2it\theta(k_j)} \zeta^{-2i\eta(k_j)\nu(k_j)} e^{-i\eta(k_j)\nu(k_j) \log(4t\theta''(k_j)) \bar{\eta}(k_j)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For more details, see Section 3.2 and Section 6.1 in [38]. Further, we show that the




Figure A.11: Signature table of $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k)$ with different $\hat{\xi}$: (a) $-\frac{3}{8} < \hat{\xi} < 0$, (b) $\hat{\xi} = 0$, (c) $0 \leq \hat{\xi} < 3$. The sectors where $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) < 0$ and $\text{Im}\theta_{12}(k) > 0$ are blue and white, respectively. Moreover, the purple dotted line stands for the unit circle.

asymptotic result in \mathcal{Z}_1 with $p(\hat{\xi}) = 24$ approaches that in \mathcal{Z}_2 with $p(\hat{\xi}) = 12$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, we readily find that the leading order contribution of $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 1, 4, 5, 8$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, is $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$ which can be absorbed into the error term $\mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4})$.

In \mathcal{Z}_1 , there are 24 saddle points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 8$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, on three contours ($\omega^l \mathbb{R}$, $l = 0, 1, 2$); of these, 8 saddle points k_j , $j = 1, \dots, 8$ are on \mathbb{R} . In \mathcal{T}_3 , as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\hat{\xi} \rightarrow 0^-$, and further

$$k_1 = \sqrt{3}|\hat{\xi}|^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|^{1/2}) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad k_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\hat{\xi}|^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|^{3/2}) \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$k_5 = -\sqrt{3}|\hat{\xi}|^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|^{3/2}) \rightarrow 0^-, \quad k_8 = -\sqrt{3}|\hat{\xi}|^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|^{1/2}) \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The corresponding limits points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 1, 4, 5, 8$, $l = 1, 2$ exhibit similar properties.

$$k_2 = \hat{k}_1 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|) \rightarrow \hat{k}_1, \quad k_3 = \hat{k}_2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|) \rightarrow \hat{k}_2, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$k_6 = \hat{k}_3 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|) \rightarrow \hat{k}_3, \quad k_7 = \hat{k}_4 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\xi}|) \rightarrow \hat{k}_4, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where $\hat{k}_1 = -\hat{k}_4 = \frac{\sqrt{5+1}}{2}$, $\hat{k}_2 = -\hat{k}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{5-1}}{2}$ are four phase points as $\hat{\xi} = 0$ in the case \mathcal{Z}_2 . The corresponding limits points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 2, 3, 6, 7$, $l = 1, 2$ exhibit similar properties.

Next, we demonstrate that the contributions to the solution $u(x, t)$ from $F_j(k)$ near saddle points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 1, 4, 5, 8$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, are $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$.

Using (2.22) and (A.4), we have as $\omega^l k_4, \omega^l k_5 \rightarrow 0, \omega^l k_1, \omega^l k_8 \rightarrow \infty$, for $l = 0, 1, 2$,

$$|\theta''_{12}(\omega^l k_j)| \sim |k_j|^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)}, \tilde{\beta}_{21}^{(j)} \sim k_j, \quad j = 4, 5, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$|\theta''_{12}(\omega^l k_j)| \sim |k_j|, \quad \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)}, \tilde{\beta}_{21}^{(j)} \sim k_j^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 8, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which together with (A.6) and (A.7) yields

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\theta''_{12}(\omega^l k_j)|}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)} & 0 \\ \tilde{\beta}_{21}^{(j)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{O}(k_j^{3/2}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2}), \quad j = 4, 5,$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\theta''_{12}(\omega^l k_j)|}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\beta}_{12}^{(j)} & 0 \\ \tilde{\beta}_{21}^{(j)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{O}(k_j^{-3/2}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2}), \quad j = 1, 8.$$

Meanwhile, saddle points $\omega^l k_j$, $j = 2, 3, 6, 7$, $l = 0, 1, 2$ approach to saddle points $\omega^l \hat{k}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, $l = 0, 1, 2$ in the case \mathcal{Z}_2 . Therefore, using (A.3), the formula (A.2) reduces to

$$H(k) = \sum_{j=1,2,3,4} \hat{F}_j(k) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2}), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where $\hat{F}_j(k)$ is obtained from (A.3) by replacing k_j with \hat{k}_j .

Finally, substituting (A.12) into (A.1) gives the asymptotic result in \mathcal{Z}_2

$$u(x, t) = t^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{n=1}^3 \left(H(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})_{n2} - H(e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}})_{n1} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/4}), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

with

$$H(k) = \sum_{j=1,2,3,4} \hat{F}_j(k). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Two results (A.1)-(A.2) and (A.13)-(A.14) imply that the asymptotics in \mathcal{Z}_1 goes to that in \mathcal{Z}_2 near $\hat{\xi} = 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, \mathcal{T}_3 is not a transition zone.




Figure B.12: The jump contour Σ^P .

Appendix B. Modified Painlevé II RH Model

The Painlevé II equation takes the form

$$v_{ss} = 2v^3 + sv, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

which is generally related to a 2×2 matrix-valued RH problem [40, 41, 55, 56]. Here we give a modified 3×3 matrix-valued RH problem associated with the Painlevé II equation (B.1) as follows.

Denote $\Sigma^P = \bigcup_{n=1}^6 \left\{ \Sigma_n^P = e^{i(\frac{\pi}{6} + (n-1)\frac{\pi}{3})} \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}$, see Figure B.12. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ be a set of complex constants such that

$$c_1 - c_2 + c_3 + c_1 c_2 c_3 = 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and define the matrices $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^6$ by

$$H_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_n e^{i(\frac{8}{3}\hat{k}^3 + 2s\hat{k})} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \text{ odd}; \quad H_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_n e^{-i(\frac{8}{3}\hat{k}^3 + 2s\hat{k})} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \text{ even},$$

where $c_{n+3} = -c_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3$. Then there exists a countable set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}} = \{s_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $s_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, such that the following RH problem

RH problem Appendix B.1. Find $M^P(\hat{k}) = M^P(\hat{k}, s)$ with properties

- *Analyticity:* $M^P(\hat{k})$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^P$.
- *Jump condition:*

$$M_+^P(\hat{k}) = M_-^P(\hat{k}) H_n, \quad \hat{k} \in \Sigma_n^P, \quad n = 1, \dots, 6.$$

- *Asymptotic behavior:*

$$M^P(\hat{k}) = I + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-1}), \quad \hat{k} \rightarrow \infty.$$

has a unique solution $M^P(\hat{k})$ for each $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_C$. For each n , the restriction of $M^P(\hat{k})$ to $\arg \hat{k} \in \left(\frac{\pi(2n-3)}{6}, \frac{\pi(2n-1)}{6}\right)$ admits an analytic continuation to $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_C) \times \mathbb{C}$ and there are smooth function $\{M_j^P(s)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_C$ such that, for each integer $N \geq 0$,

$$M^P(\hat{k}) = I + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{M_j^P(s)}{\hat{k}^j} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-N-1}), \quad \hat{k} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

uniformly for s in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_C$ and for $\arg \hat{k} \in [0, 2\pi]$. Moreover,

$$v(s) = 2 (M_1^P(s))_{12} = 2 (M_1^P(s))_{21} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

solves the Painlevé II equation (B.1). Further, if $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, 0, -c_1)$ where $c_1 \in i\mathbb{R}$ with $|c_1| < 1$, then the leading coefficient $M_1^P(s)$ is given by

$$M_1^P(s) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i \int_s^\infty v(\zeta)^2 d\zeta & v(s) & 0 \\ v(s) & i \int_s^\infty v(\zeta)^2 d\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

and for each $c_1 > 0$,

$$\sup_{\hat{k} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^P} \sup_{s \geq -c_1} |M^P(\hat{k})| < \infty. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The solution of the Painlevé II equation (B.1) is specified by

$$v(s) \sim -\text{Im } c_1 \text{Ai}(s) \sim -\frac{\text{Im } c_1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad s \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $\text{Ai}(s)$ denotes the classical Airy function.

Let $\Sigma^L = \Sigma^L(\hat{k}_0)$ denote the contour $\Sigma^L = \cup_{j=1}^5 \Sigma_j^L$, as depicted in Figure B.13, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^L &= \{\hat{k} | \hat{k} = \hat{k}_0 + r e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}, 0 \leq r < \infty\}, & \Sigma_2^L &= \{\hat{k} | \hat{k} = -\hat{k}_0 + r e^{\frac{5\pi i}{6}}, 0 \leq r < \infty\}, \\ \Sigma_3^L &= \{\hat{k} | \hat{k} \in \overline{\Sigma_2^L}\}, & \Sigma_4^L &= \{\hat{k} | \hat{k} \in \overline{\Sigma_1^L}\}, & \Sigma_5^L &= \{\hat{k} | -\hat{k}_0 \leq \hat{k} \leq \hat{k}_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, let $v(s; c_1, 0, -c_1)$ denote the smooth real-valued solution of (B.1) corresponding to $(c_1, 0, -c_1)$ and $M^P(\hat{k}) = M^P(\hat{k}, s; c_1, 0, -c_1)$ be the corresponding solution of RH problem Appendix B.1.

Denote the open subsets $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^4$, as shown in Figure B.13 and define

$$M^L(\hat{k}) = M^P(\hat{k}) \times \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in V_1 \cup V_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{c}_1 e^{-i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in V_3 \cup V_4, \end{cases}$$

which satisfies the following model RH problem.




Figure B.13: The open subsets $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^4$.

RH problem Appendix B.2. Find $M^L(\hat{k}) = M^L(\hat{k}, s)$ with properties

- *Analyticity:* $M^L(\hat{k})$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^L$.
- *Jump condition:*

$$M_+^L(\hat{k}) = M_-^L(\hat{k})V^L(\hat{k}), \quad \hat{k} \in \Sigma^L,$$

where

$$V^L(\hat{k}) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \Sigma_1^L \cup \Sigma_2^L, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \bar{c}_1 e^{-i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \Sigma_3^L \cup \Sigma_4^L, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |c_1|^2 & -\bar{c}_1 e^{-i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} & 0 \\ c_1 e^{i(\frac{8\hat{k}^3}{3} + 2s\hat{k})} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \hat{k} \in \Sigma_5^L, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

with coefficients $c_1 \in \{ir \mid -1 < r < 1\}$.

- *Asymptotic behavior:* $M^L(\hat{k}) = I + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^{-1})$, $\hat{k} \rightarrow \infty$.

This model RH problem for $M^L(\hat{k})$ is used to match the local RH problem for $M^{loc}(k)$ in transition zones \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 .

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271104, 12347141) and the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (Grant No. GZB20230167, 2023M740717).

Data Availability Statements

The data which supports the findings of this study is available within the article.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

References

- [1] A. Degasperis, M. Procesi, Asymptotic integrability, *Symmetry and Perturbation Theory*, Ed. A. Degasperis and G. Gaeta, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999, 23-37.
- [2] A. Degasperis, D. D. Holm, A. N. W. Hone, A new integral equation with peakon solutions, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 133 (2002), 1463-1474.
- [3] R. S. Johnson, Camassa-Holm, Korteweg-de Vries and related models for water waves, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 455 (2002), 63-82
- [4] R. I. Ivanov, Water waves and integrability, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 365 (2007), 2267-2280.
- [5] A. Constantin, D. Lannes, The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 192 (2009), 165-186.
- [6] B. Alvarez-Samaniego, D. Lannes, Large time existence for 3D water-waves and asymptotics, *Invent. Math.*, 171 (2008), 485-541.
- [7] H. Lundmark, Formation and dynamics of shock waves in the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *J. Nonl. Sci.*, 17 (3) (2007), 169-198.
- [8] Y. Liu, Z. Y. Yin, Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 267 (2006), 801-820.
- [9] Z. W. Lin, Y. Liu, Stability of peakons for the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53 (2009), 0125-0146.
- [10] J. Li, Y. Liu, Q. L. Wu, Orbital stability of the sum of smooth solitons in the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *J. Math. Pure Appl.*, 163 (2022), 204-230.
- [11] Y. Matsuno, Multisoliton solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation and their peakon limit, *Inverse Problems*, 21 (2005), 1553-1570.
- [12] Y. Hou, P. Zhao, E. G. Fan, Z. J. Qiao, Algebro-geometric solutions for Degasperis-Procesi hierarchy, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 45 (2013), 1216-1266.
- [13] R. Feola, F. Giuliani, M. Procesi, Reducible KAM tori for the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 377 (2020), 1681-1759.
- [14] R. I. Ivanov, On the integrability of a class of nonlinear dispersive wave equations, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.*, 12 (2005), 462-468.
- [15] R. Camassa, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71 (1993), 1661-1664.
- [16] A. Boutet de Monvel, D. Shepelsky, L. Zielinski, A Riemann-Hilbert approach for the Novikov equation, *SIGMA*, 12 (095) (2016), 22 pp.
- [17] Y. L. Yang, E. G. Fan, Soliton resolution and large time behavior of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Novikov equation with a nonzero background, *Adv. Math.*, 426 (2023), 109088.

- [18] C. Charlier, J. Lenells, On Boussinesq’s equation for water waves, [arXiv:2204.02365](#).
- [19] C. Charlier, J. Lenells, Boussinesq’s equation for water waves: Asymptotics in Sector I, *Adv. Nonl. Anal.*, 13 (2024), 20240022.
- [20] C. Charlier, J. Lenells, Boussinesq’s equation for water waves: asymptotics in Sector V, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 56 (2024), 4104-4142.
- [21] C. Charlier, J. Lenells, Direct and inverse scattering for the Boussinesq equation with solitons, [arXiv:2302.14593](#).
- [22] C. Charlier, J. Lenells, The soliton resolution conjecture for the Boussinesq equation, [arXiv:2303.10485](#).
- [23] H. Liu, X. Geng, B. Xue, The Deift–Zhou steepest descent method to long-time asymptotics for the Sasa–Satsuma equation, *J. Differential Equations*, 265 (2018), 5984–6008.
- [24] N. Liu, B. Guo, Long-time asymptotics for the Sasa–Satsuma equation via nonlinear steepest descent method, *J. Math. Phys.*, 60 (2019), 011504.
- [25] L. Huang, J. Lenells, Asymptotics for the Sasa–Satsuma equation in terms of a modified Painlevé II transcendent, *J. Differential Equations*, 268 (2020), 7480-7504.
- [26] A. Constantin, H. McKean, A shallow water equation on the circle, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52 (1999), 949–982.
- [27] R. Beals, D. H. Sattinger, J. Szmigielski, Acoustic scattering and the extended Korteweg–de Vries hierarchy, *Adv. Math.*, 40 (1998), 190–206.
- [28] A. Boutet de Monvel, D. Shepelsky, Riemann–Hilbert problem in the inverse scattering for the Camassa–Holm equation on the line, in: Probability, Geometry and Integrable Systems, in: Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 55, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 53–75.
- [29] A. Constantin, On the scattering problem for the Camassa–Holm equation, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 457 (2001), 953–970.
- [30] A. Constantin, J. Lenells, On the inverse scattering approach to the Camassa–Holm equation, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.*, 10 (2003), 252–255.
- [31] A. Boutet de Monvel, D. Shepelsky, The Camassa–Holm equation on the half-line: a Riemann–Hilbert approach, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 18 (2008), 285–323.
- [32] H. Lundmark, J. Szmigielski, Multi-peakon solutions of the Degasperis–Procesi equation, *Inverse Problems*, 19 (2003), 1241–1245.
- [33] A. Constantin, R. I. Ivanov, J. Lenells, Inverse scattering transform for the Degasperis–Procesi equation, *Nonlinearity*, 23 (2010), 2559-2575.
- [34] A. Constantin, R. I. Ivanov, Dressing method for the Degasperis–Procesi equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 138 (2016), 205-226.

- [35] A. Boutet de Monvel, D. Shepelsky, A Riemann-Hilbert approach for the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *Nonlinearity*, 26 (2013), 2081-2107.
- [36] J. Lenells, The Degasperis-Procesi equation on the half-line, *Nonl. Anal.*, 76(2013), 122-139.
- [37] A. Boutet de Monvel, J. Lenells, D. Shepelsky, Long-time asymptotics for the Degasperis-Procesi equation on the half-line, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 69 (2019), 171-230.
- [38] X. Zhou, Z. Y. Wang, E. G. Fan, Soliton resolution and asymptotic stability of N -solitons to the Degasperis-Procesi equation on the line, *arXiv:2212.01765*.
- [39] H. Segur, M. J. Ablowitz, Asymptotic solutions of nonlinear evolution equations and a Painlevé transcendent, *Phys. D*, 3 (1981), 165-184.
- [40] P. Deift, X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation, *Ann. Math.*, 137 (1993), 295-368.
- [41] C. Charlier, J. Lenells, Airy and Painlevé asymptotics for the mKdV equation, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 101 (2020), 194-225.
- [42] L. Huang, L. Zhang, Higher order Airy and Painlevé asymptotics for the mKdV hierarchy, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 54 (2022), 5291-5334.
- [43] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. Its, D. Shepelsky, Painlevé-type asymptotics for the Camassa-Holm equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 42 (2010), 1854-1873.
- [44] D. Bilman, L. M. Ling, P. D. Miller, Extreme superposition: rogue waves of infinite order and the Painlevé-III hierarchy, *Duke Math. J.*, 169 (2020), 671-760.
- [45] Z. Y. Wang, E. G. Fan, The defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a nonzero background: Painlevé asymptotics in two transition regions, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 402 (2023), 2879-2930.
- [46] T. Y. Xu, Y. L. Yang, L. Zhang, Transient asymptotics of the modified Camassa-Holm equation, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 110 (2024), e12967.
- [47] M. Bertola, A. Tovbis, Universality in the profile of the semiclassical limit solutions to the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the first breaking curve, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2010 (2010), 2119-2167.
- [48] M. Bertola, A. Tovbis, Universality for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the gradient catastrophe point: rational breathers and poles of the tritronquée solution to Painlevé I, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 66 (2013), 678-752.
- [49] T. Claeys, T. Grava, Universality of the break-up profile for the KdV equation in the small dispersion limit using the Riemann-Hilbert approach, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 286 (2009), 979-1009.

- [50] T. Claeys, T. Grava, Painlevé II asymptotics near the leading edge of the oscillatory zone for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in the small dispersion limit, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 63 (2010), 203-232.
- [51] B. Lu, P. Miller, Universality near the gradient catastrophe point in the semiclassical sine-Gordon equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 75 (2022), 1517-1641.
- [52] S. Cuccagna, R. Jenkins, On asymptotic stability N -solitons of the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 343 (2016), 921-969.
- [53] P. Deift, X. Zhou, Long-time asymptotics for solutions of the NLS equation with initial data in a weighted Sobolev space, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56 (2003), 1029-1077.
- [54] R. Beals, R. R. Coifman, Scattering and inverse scattering for first-order systems. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 37 (1984), 39-90.
- [55] P. A. Deift, X. Zhou, Asymptotics for the Painlevé II equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 48 (1995), 277-337.
- [56] A. S. Fokas, M. J. Ablowitz, On the initial value problem of the second Painlevé transcendent, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 91 (1983), 381-403.