

# Inverse coefficient problems for one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equations

<sup>1</sup> Oleg Imanuvilov, <sup>2</sup> Kazufumi Ito and <sup>3,4</sup> Masahiro Yamamoto

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, 101 Weber Building, Fort Collins CO 80523-1874, USA e-mail: oleg@math.colostate.edu <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, 27695, USA e-mail:kito@ncsu.edu <sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan <sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zonguldak Bülent Ecevit University, Zonguldak 67100, Türkiye, e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

## Abstract

We prove the uniqueness in determining a spatially varying zeroth-order coefficient of a one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equation by initial value and Cauchy data at one end point of the spatial interval.

## 1 Introduction and main results

Let  $\ell, T > 0$ . By  $L^2(0, \ell)$ ,  $H^2(0, \ell)$ ,  $W^{1,1}(0, T)$ ,  $W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell))$ ,  $L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$ , etc., we denote the Lebesgue space and usual Sobolev spaces. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we define the Caputo derivative:  $d_t^\alpha v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{dv}{ds}(s) ds$  for  $v \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function (e.g., Podlubny [14]). Let  $u = u(x, t) \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$  satisfy

$$d_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \partial_x^2 u(x, t) - p(x)u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = a(x) \in C^2[0, \ell], \quad 0 < x < \ell, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

This is a model system for example for anomalous diffusion in heterogeneous media (e.g., [14]). This article is concerned with

**Inverse coefficient problem:** *Let  $T > 0$  be arbitrarily fixed. Then determine  $p(x)$ ,  $0 < x < \ell$  by data  $u(x, 0)$  for  $0 < x < \ell$  and  $u(0, t)$ ,  $\partial_x u(0, t)$  for  $0 < t < T$ .*

We state our first main result on the uniqueness.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $p, q \in C^1[0, T]$ ,  $a \in C^2[0, T]$  and  $u, \tilde{u} \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$  satisfy*

$$d_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \partial_x^2 u(x, t) - p(x)u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = a(x), \quad \partial_x u(0, t) = 0, \quad x \in \ell, \quad 0 < t < T \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$d_t^\alpha \tilde{u}(x, t) = \partial_x^2 \tilde{u}(x, t) - q(x) \tilde{u}(x, t), \quad \tilde{u}(x, 0) = a(x), \quad \partial_x u(0, t) = 0, \quad x \in \ell, 0 < t < T. \quad (1.2)$$

We assume that

$$|a(x)| \neq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \ell. \quad (1.3)$$

Then,  $u(0, t) = \tilde{u}(0, t)$  for  $0 < t < T$ , implies  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \ell$ .

Theorem 1 is the uniqueness without any data at  $x = \ell$ , for given initial value. The condition  $|a| \neq 0$  on  $[0, \ell]$  is essential.

The case  $\alpha = 1$  corresponds to an inverse problem for parabolic equations, and we refer to Bukhgeim and Klibanov [1], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [3], Yamamoto [17] for example in general spatial dimensions. As for the one-dimensional case with  $\alpha = 1$ , see Murayama [12], Pierce [13], Suzuki [15], Suzuki and Murayama [16], where the boundary condition at  $x = \ell$  is essentially required. The article Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [4] proves the uniqueness in the case  $\alpha = 1$  without data at  $x = \ell$ . As for inverse coefficient problems for one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equations with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , see Cheng, Nakagawa, Yamamoto and Yamazaki [2] as a pioneering work, and we can refer for example to Jin [5], Jing and Yamamoto [6], Li, Liu and Yamamoto [8], Liu, Li and Yamamoto [11], Li and Yamamoto [10] and the references in [5], and we are here limited to a few references. These articles require the boundary condition not only at  $x = 0$  but also  $x = \ell$ , which is the same as [12], [15], [16]. In many applications, it is practical to localize data in time only at one end point  $x = 0$ , which is our inverse problem.

The key of the proof is the transformation operator (e.g., Levitan [7]). The works [15], [16] are based on such an operator but the use of the transformation operator in the current article is essentially different from them.

In Theorem 1, the assumption of the zero Neumann boundary condition at  $x = 0$  for solutions  $\tilde{u}, u$  is restrictive. We can drop it instead by knowing the values of coefficients  $p, q$  near  $x = 0$ .

**Theorem 2** *We assume that  $p, q \in C^1[0, \ell], a \in C^2[0, \ell]$  and satisfy (1.3). Let  $u, \tilde{u} \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$  satisfy  $u(x, 0) = \tilde{u}(x, 0) =: a(x)$  for  $0 < x < \ell$  and*

$$d_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \partial_x^2 u(x, t) - p(x)u(x, t), \quad d_t^\alpha \tilde{u}(x, t) = \partial_x \tilde{u}(x, t) - q(x)\tilde{u}(x, t) \quad \text{in } (0, \ell) \times (0, T). \quad (1.4)$$

*We assume that there exists  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \ell)$  such that  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon_0$ . Then,  $u(0, t) = \tilde{u}(0, t)$  and  $\partial_x u(0, t) = \partial_x \tilde{u}(0, t)$  for  $0 < t < T$ , imply  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \ell$ .*

## 2 Key lemmata

**Lemma 1** (i) Let  $p, q \in C^1[0, \ell]$ . There exists a unique solution  $K = K(x, y) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x^2 K(x, y) - \partial_y^2 K(x, y) = q(x)K(x, y) - p(y)K(x, y), & (x, y) \in \Omega = \{(x, y); 0 < y < x < \ell\}, \\ (\partial_y K)(x, 0) = 0, \quad 2\frac{d}{dx}K(x, x) = q(x) - p(x), & 0 < x < \ell, \quad K(0, 0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) (**transformation operator**) Let  $p, q \in C^1[0, \ell]$ ,  $u \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$  satisfy  $d_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \partial_x^2 u(x, t) - p(x)u(x, t)$  in  $(0, \ell) \times (0, T)$ . Then the function  $\tilde{v}$  given by

$$\tilde{v}(t, x) := u(t, x) + \int_0^x K(x, y)u(t, y)dy, \quad 0 < x < \ell, \quad 0 < t < T \quad (2.2)$$

belongs to  $W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$  and satisfies

$$d_t^\alpha \tilde{v}(x, t) - \partial_x^2 \tilde{v}(x, t) + q(x)\tilde{v}(x, t) = -K(x, 0)\partial_x u(0, t), \quad \partial_x \tilde{v}(0, t) = \partial_x \tilde{u}(0, t), \quad \tilde{v}(0, t) = u(0, t). \quad (2.3)$$

Part (i) is concerned with a Goursat problem and the proof is standard by means of the characteristics (e.g., Suzuki [15]). The part (ii) of Lemma 1 is the same as Lemma 2 in [4].

## 3 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

First we show

**Lemma 2** (extension of uniqueness intervals) Let functions  $u, \tilde{u} \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, \ell)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, \ell))$  satisfy (1.4). We assume (1.3),  $p, q \in C^1[0, T]$ ,  $a \in C^2[0, T]$  and

$$u(0, t) = \tilde{u}(0, t), \quad \partial_x u(0, t) = \partial_x \tilde{u}(0, t) \quad \text{for } 0 < t < T. \quad (3.1)$$

(i) We assume that we can find  $\delta_0 \in (0, \ell)$  such that

$$K(x, 0)\partial_x u(0, t) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for  $0 < x < \delta_0$  and  $0 < t < T$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in (0, \ell)$  such that

$$p(x) = q(x), \quad 0 < x < x_0. \quad (3.3)$$

(ii) Let (3.3) holds true with some  $x_0 \in (0, \ell)$ . Moreover we assume that we can find  $\delta_1 > x_0$  such that (3.2) holds for  $0 < x < \delta_1$  and  $0 < t < T$ . Then, there exists a small constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $x_0 < x < x_0 + \varepsilon$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2.** We define the function  $\tilde{v}$  by (2.2). Then, in terms of (3.2) for  $0 < x < \delta_0$ , we can apply Lemma 1 (ii) to obtain

$$d_t^\alpha \tilde{v}(x, t) = \partial_x^2 \tilde{v}(x, t) - q(x)\tilde{v}(x, t) \quad \text{in } (0, \delta_0) \times (0, T), \quad \tilde{v}(0, t) = u(0, t), \quad \partial_x \tilde{v}(0, t) = \partial_x u(0, t). \quad (3.4)$$

By (1.4), (3.1) and (3.4), the function  $w := \tilde{u} - \tilde{v}$  satisfies  $d_t^\alpha w(x, t) = \partial_x^2 w(x, t) - q(x)w(x, t)$  in  $(0, \delta_0) \times (0, T)$  and  $w(0, t) = \partial_x w(0, t) = 0$  for  $0 < t < T$ .

By the unique continuation for the time-fractional diffusion equation (Li, Liu and Yamamoto [9]), we see that  $\tilde{v} = \tilde{u}$  in  $(0, \delta_0) \times (0, T)$ . Therefore,

$$\int_0^x K(x, y)a(y)dy = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \delta_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Twice differentiating (3.5) with respect to  $x$ , similarly to Lemma 3 in [4], dividing the corresponding equality by  $a$  and using (1.3) we obtain

$$(p(x) - q(x)) = \int_0^x (q(x)K(x, y) - p(y)K(x, y))a(y)dy a^{-1}(x) + \int_0^x K(x, y)\partial_y^2 a(y)dy a^{-1}(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Let us prove a statement (i). Let  $\Omega_x := \{(\xi, \eta); 0 < \eta < \xi < x\}$ . By the estimate of the solution  $K$  to the Goursat problem (2.1) for any  $x \in [0, \ell]$ , we have

$$\|K\|_{C(\overline{\Omega_x})} \leq C\|p - q\|_{C[0, x]}. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6), (3.7) we have

$$\|p - q\|_{C[0, x]} \leq Cx\|p - q\|_{C[0, x]}.$$

Taking  $x$  sufficiently small, we obtain (3.3).

Next we prove (ii) provided that (3.3) holds with  $x_0 \in (0, \delta_0)$ . Since (3.3) implies  $K(x, x) = 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ , the uniqueness of solution to (2.1) yields

$$K(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq x \leq x_0. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, we can follow the proof of Lemma 3 in [4] and we provide the proof for completeness.

We divide the two integral terms on the right-hand side of (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^x (q(x)K(x, y) - p(y)K(x, y))a(y)dy a^{-1}(x) + \int_0^x K(x, y)\partial_y^2 a(y)dy a^{-1}(x) = \\ & \left( \int_{x_0}^x + \int_0^{x_0} \right) \{(q(x)K(x, y) - p(y)K(x, y))a(y) + K(x, y)\partial_y^2 a(y)\}dy a^{-1}(x) =: I_1(x) + I_2(x), \quad x_0 \leq x \leq \delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$|I_1(x)| \leq C|x - x_0| \sup_{(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_x} |K(\xi, \eta)| \quad \text{for } x_0 \leq x \leq \delta_0. \quad (3.9)$$

Here and henceforth  $C > 0$  denotes generic constants depending on  $a, p, q$  but not on  $x, x_0$ .

By (3.9) and (3.7), we obtain

$$|I_1(x)| \leq C|x - x_0|\|p - q\|_{C[0, x]} \quad \text{for } x_0 \leq x \leq \delta_0. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover by (3.3), we can represent as follows:

$$I_2(x) = \int_0^{x_0} (K(x, y) - K(x_0, y))\partial_y^2 a(y)dy a^{-1}(x)$$

for all  $x \in (x_0, \delta_0)$ . Then, the mean value theorem yields

$$|I_2(x)| \leq Cx_0 \sup_{y \in [0, x_0]} |K(x, y) - K(x_0, y)| \leq C \sup_{(z, y) \in [0, x] \times [0, x_0]} |\partial_z K(z, y)| |x - x_0|. \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.6), (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain  $|(p - q)(x)| \leq C|x - x_0| \|p - q\|_{C[0, x]}$ , that is,  $\|p - q\|_{C[0, x]} \leq C|x - x_0| \|p - q\|_{C[0, x]}$  for  $x_0 \leq x \leq \delta_0$ . Taking sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain  $\|p - q\|_{C[0, x_0 + \varepsilon]} = 0$ .

Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is complete. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $x_0 \in (0, \ell)$  be the maximum value satisfying  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ . By the assumption  $\partial_x u(0, t) = 0$  for  $0 < t < T$ , condition (3.2) is satisfied for  $0 < x < \ell$ . In view of Lemma 2 (i), such an  $x_0 > 0$  exists. If  $x_0 = \ell$ , then the proof is already finished. Therefore, we can assume that  $0 < x_0 < \ell$ . Then, the statement (ii) of the Lemma 2 implies the existence of  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $p = q$  in  $(0, x_0 + \varepsilon)$ . This contradicts the definition of  $x_0$ . Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. ■

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $x_0 \in (0, \ell)$  be the maximum value satisfying  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ . Theorem 2 implies  $x_0 \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$ . Then equality (3.8) holds true. The uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the hyperbolic equation implies that  $K(x, 0) = 0$  on  $\delta_0 = \min\{2\varepsilon_0, \ell\}$ . Then (3.2) holds true. Lemma 1 (ii) yields  $p(x) = q(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \varepsilon_0$ . Since  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , we reach a contradiction. ■

## 4 Concluding remarks

We proved the uniqueness for the inverse coefficient problems without full boundary conditions for spatially one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equations. Our method is based on the transformation operator, and widely applicable if we have the unique continuation property for the partial differential equations under consideration. Our approach requires that the spatial dimension is one.

**Acknowledgments.** The work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 20H00117 and Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Research (Pioneering) 21K18142 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## References

- [1] A.L. Bukhgeim and M.V. Klibanov, *Global uniqueness of a class of multidimensional inverse problems*, Sov. Math.-Dokl. **24** (1981) 244-247.
- [2] J. Cheng, J. Nakagawa, M. Yamamoto and T. Yamazaki, *Uniqueness in an inverse problem for a one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation*, Inverse Problems **25** (2009) 115002.
- [3] O.Y. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Lipschitz stability in inverse parabolic problems by the Carleman estimate*, Inverse Problems **14** (1998) 1229-1245.

- [4] O.Y. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *One-dimensional coefficient inverse problems by transformation operators*, preprint, arXiv:2407.12205
- [5] B. Jin, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Cham, Switzerland, 2021.
- [6] X. Jing and M. Yamamoto, *Simultaneous uniqueness for multiple parameters identification in a fractional diffusion-wave equation*, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* **16** (2022) no. 5 1199-1217.
- [7] B.M. Levitan, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems*, VNU, Utrecht, 1987.
- [8] Z. Li, Y. Liu, and M. Yamamoto, *Inverse problems of determining parameters of the fractional partial differential equations*, *Handbook of fractional calculus with applications*. Vol. 2, pp. 431-442, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [9] Z. Li, Y. Liu, and M. Yamamoto, *Inverse source problem for a one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equation and unique continuation for weak solutions*, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* **17** (2023) no. 1, 1-22.
- [10] Z. Li and M. Yamamoto, *Inverse problems of determining coefficients of the fractional partial differential equations*, *Handbook of fractional calculus with applications*. Vol. 2, pp.443-464, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [11] Y. Liu, Z. Li, and M. Yamamoto, *Inverse problems of determining sources of the fractional partial differential equations*, *Handbook of fractional calculus with applications*. Vol. 2, pp. 411-429, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [12] R. Murayama, *The Gel'fand-Levitan theory and certain inverse problems for the parabolic equation*, *J. Fac. Sci. The Univ. Tokyo Section IA, Math.* **28** (1981) 317-330.
- [13] A. Pierce, *Unique identification of eigenvalues and coefficients in a parabolic problem*, *SIAM J. Control and Optim.* **17** (1979) 494-499.
- [14] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [15] T. Suzuki, *Gel'fand-Levitan theory, deformation formulas and inverse problems*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo IA* **32** (1985) 223-271.
- [16] T. Suzuki and R. Murayama, *A uniqueness theorem in an identification problem for coefficients of parabolic equations*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **56** (1980) 259-263.
- [17] M. Yamamoto, *Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications*, *Inverse Problems* **25** (2009) 123013.