Estimation of Cardiac and Non-cardiac Diagnosis from Electrocardiogram Features

Juan Miguel Lopez Alcaraz¹, Nils Strodthoff¹

¹ Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, Oldenburg, Germany.

Abstract

Introduction: Ensuring timely and accurate diagnosis of medical conditions is paramount for effective patient care. Electrocardiogram (ECG) signals are fundamental for evaluating a patient's cardiac health and are readily available. Despite this, little attention has been given to the remarkable potential of ECG data in detecting noncardiac conditions. Methods: In our study, we used publicly available datasets (MIMIC-IV-ECG-ICD and ECG-VIEW II) to investigate the feasibility of inferring general diagnostic conditions from ECG features. To this end, we trained a tree-based model (XGBoost) based on ECG features and basic demographic features to estimate a wide range of diagnoses, encompassing both cardiac and noncardiac conditions. Results: Our results demonstrate the reliability of estimating 23 cardiac as well as 21 noncardiac conditions above 0.7 AUROC in a statistically significant manner across a wide range of physiological categories. Our findings underscore the predictive potential of ECG data in identifying well-known cardiac conditions. However, even more striking, this research represents a pioneering effort in systematically expanding the scope of ECG-based diagnosis to conditions not traditionally associated with the cardiac system.

1. Introduction

Fast diagnoses in medical settings are vital for prompt and effective treatment, significantly impacting patient outcomes. In emergencies, rapid identification of conditions such as myocardial infarctions, strokes, and infections enables healthcare providers to initiate immediate interventions, reducing morbidity and mortality rates [\[1\]](#page-2-0). Similarly, in non-emergency but crucial scenarios, early detection of diseases allows for timely management and intervention, preventing severe complications and improving long-term health outcomes [\[2\]](#page-2-1).

The electrocardiogram (ECG) is a crucial tool for evaluating a patient's heart health. Currently, ECGs are primarily interpreted manually, with minimal help from rulebased devices that have notable limitations [\[3\]](#page-2-2). The advent of machine learning has generated excitement for AIenhanced ECG interpretation, transforming diagnostic approaches. Many studies highlight the accuracy of machine learning in detecting various cardiac conditions and some non-cardiac conditions. However, these studies often rely on closed-source datasets and lack external validation, limiting their generalizability [\[4,](#page-2-3) [5\]](#page-2-4).

In this work, we present a comprehensive diagnostic analysis of both traditional and non-traditional cardiac conditions, along with a variety of non-cardiac conditions, using ECG features combined with basic patient demographics like age and gender.

2. Background

Cardiac conditions from ECG Although significant, the estimation of cardiac conditions has been the most conventional machine learning application with the use of ECG data, while most of these works use ECG raw waveforms [\[6](#page-2-5)[–10\]](#page-2-6), some other works provide also valuable applications based on ECG features instead of raw waveforms such as [\[11\]](#page-2-7) which uses ECG features instead of waveforms to predict arrhythmia and [\[12\]](#page-3-0) for atrial fibrillation.

Non-cardiac conditions from ECG The estimation of non-cardiac diagnoses from ECG data has been somehow limited, where most of the approaches are too narrowed in scope as they aim to predict single conditions such as [\[4\]](#page-2-3) diabetes and pre-diabetes, [\[5\]](#page-2-4) cirrhosis, and [\[13\]](#page-3-1) pulmonary hypertension. However, recently, two main works present a wide range set of non-cardiac conditions estimation with a focus on the emergency department setting, [\[9\]](#page-2-8) using ECG raw waveforms, while [\[10\]](#page-2-6) also includes also clinical features in a multimodal setting.

Novel applications from ECG While most of the works in the literature focus on the estimation of cardiac conditions with ECG data, recently, new paradigms have emerged such as the estimation of laboratory values abnormalities [\[14\]](#page-3-2), as well as patient deterioration in the emergency department [\[10\]](#page-2-6).

3. Methods

Datasets In this work, we considered two main datasets, the first is the MIMIC-IV-ECG [\[15](#page-3-3)[–17\]](#page-3-4) and the second is ECG-VIEW-II [\[18\]](#page-3-5). To match both datasets in terms of features we engineered MIMIC-IV-ECG features to align with ECG-VIEW ones. The final set of features are sex, age in years, RR interval in milliseconds (ms), PR segment in ms, QRS complex in ms, QT interval in ms, corrected QT (QTc) interval in ms, P wave axis in degrees, QRS axis in degrees, T wave axis in degrees. For this work, we aim to investigate a wide range of diagnoses in an end-to-end manner represented by the International Classification of Diseases Clinical Modification (ICD10-CM) codes. For MIMIC-IV-ECG we follow [\[9\]](#page-2-8) stratified splits based on patient, age, and gender bins with the ratios of 18:1:1 for train, validation, and test sets, whereas for ECG-VIEW-II we applied a similar stratification approach ourselves. We train our models on MIMIC-IV-ECG while we use ECG-VIEW-II to externally validate our approach.

Models and performance evaluation We train individual extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) tree models for each ICD10-CM code in a binary classification context. The performance is assessed individually using the area under the receiver operating curves (AUROC). To evaluate the statistical uncertainty due to the finite size and specific composition of the test set, we use empirical bootstrap with 1000 iterations on the test set and report 95% confidence intervals.

4. Results

Cardiac conditions Table [1](#page-2-9) presents the predictive performance results for various cardiac conditions. Overall, the model demonstrates strong generalization across a diverse array of cardiac conditions, with internal AUROC values ranging from 0.70 to 0.87.

Non-cardiac conditions Table [2](#page-3-6) presents the predictive performance results for various non-cardiac conditions. Overall, the model demonstrates strong generalization across a diverse array of non-cardiac conditions, with internal AUROC values ranging from 0.70 to 0.95.

5. Discussion

Cardiac conditions

For cardiac conditions, the use of ECG data is naturally aligned with clinical practice, as ECGs are a fundamental tool in diagnosing and managing heart-related disorders. The model's ability to accurately predict conditions like atrial fibrillation, heart failure, and conduction disorders, with AUROC values up to 0.87, underscores its potential to assist clinicians in early detection and personalized treatment planning. Accurate predictions for these conditions can lead to timely interventions, reducing the risk of complications such as stroke, sudden cardiac death, or worsening heart failure. Furthermore, the model's strong performance in identifying more subtle conditions like valvular heart diseases and ischemic cardiomyopathy reflects its capacity to detect complex and multifactorial cardiac conditions that may otherwise be challenging to diagnose solely based on standard ECG interpretation.

Non-cardiac conditions For non-cardiac conditions, the model's predictive power is particularly noteworthy given that these conditions are traditionally not associated with direct ECG interpretation. Yet, the ability to predict conditions such as polycystic ovarian syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, chronic kidney disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with high accuracy (AUROC up to 0.95) suggests that ECG data may capture indirect physiological changes associated with these diseases. For instance, systemic inflammation, electrolyte imbalances, and other metabolic disturbances often manifest subtly in ECG patterns, which the model can discern. This capability could revolutionize the way non-cardiac conditions are screened and monitored, allowing for earlier detection and intervention.

Clinical significance The integration of AI-driven analysis of ECG data in diagnosing both cardiac and non-cardiac conditions offers significant clinical benefits. It enhances diagnostic accuracy, reduces the time needed for diagnosis, and can potentially lower healthcare costs by streamlining the diagnostic process. The model's robust performance across a wide range of conditions highlights its versatility and potential to become an invaluable tool in diverse clinical settings, enabling screening for various conditions through ECG-based AI predictions. This approach extends beyond the cardiovascular system to include areas such as endocrine/metabolic, autoimmune/rheumatologic, musculoskeletal, ophthalmological, gastrointestinal, renal, respiratory, infectious diseases, and neurological conditions.

ECG features vs. raw signals The results also underscore the high information density of a relatively small set of ECG features to characterize the ECG. On the one hand, these results foreshadow additional improvements in predictive performance through the use of deep learning models applied to raw waveform data, as demonstrated in [\[9,](#page-2-8) [10\]](#page-2-6). On the other hand, ECG features, unlike raw time series [\[19\]](#page-3-7), carry an immediate clinical meaning and models building on the former category are more straightforward to analyze for example through post-hoc explainability methods, as exemplified in [\[20\]](#page-3-8).

Data and code availability Code for dataset preprocessing and experimental replications can be found in our dedicated repository [\[21\]](#page-3-9).

Code	Description	Int. AUROC (95% CI)	Ext. AUROC (95% CI)
I481	Persistent atrial fibrillation	0.877(0.874, 0.878)	0.769(0.768, 0.770)
I44	AV and left bundle-branch block	0.873(0.873, 0.874)	0.874(0.874, 0.875)
I50	Heart failure	0.835(0.835, 0.835)	0.828(0.828, 0.828)
I420	Dilated cardiomyopathy	0.822(0.818, 0.819)	0.770(0.768, 0.769)
I495	Sick sinus syndrome	0.810(0.810, 0.811)	0.813(0.810, 0.812)
I350	Nonrheumatic aortic stenosis	0.805(0.804, 0.806)	0.830(0.828, 0.830)
I07	Rheumatic tricuspid valve diseases	0.804(0.803, 0.805)	0.832(0.832, 0.832)
IO8	Multiple valve diseases	0.802(0.800, 0.800)	0.808(0.808, 0.808)
I255	Ischemic cardiomyopathy	0.788(0.787, 0.792)	0.852(0.852, 0.852)
I45	Other conduction disorders	0.784(0.783, 0.785)	0.720(0.717, 0.717)
1850	Esophageal varices	0.774(0.773, 0.774)	0.791(0.787, 0.788)
I210	STEMI myocardial infarction, anterior wall	0.763(0.762, 0.766)	0.763(0.762, 0.768)
I864	Gastric varices	0.757(0.745, 0.749)	0.766(0.762, 0.763)
I110	Hypertensive heart disease with heart failure	0.753(0.752, 0.753)	0.846(0.845, 0.846)
I120	Hypertensive chronic kidney disease (Stage 5)	0.754(0.751, 0.754)	0.768(0.767, 0.769)
I27	Other pulmonary heart diseases	0.737(0.735, 0.736)	0.767(0.765, 0.766)
I714	Abdominal aortic aneurysm, without rupture	0.737(0.734, 0.737)	0.764(0.764, 0.764)
I36	Nonrheumatic tricuspid valve disorders	0.734(0.730, 0.737)	0.733(0.732, 0.737)
I652	Occlusion and stenosis of carotid artery	0.730(0.729, 0.730)	0.790(0.790, 0.791)
I46	Cardiac arrest	0.728(0.726, 0.726)	0.731(0.731, 0.732)
I ₀₅	Rheumatic mitral valve diseases	0.726(0.725, 0.729)	0.743(0.741, 0.742)
I340	Nonrheumatic mitral valve insufficiency	0.721(0.718, 0.719)	0.735(0.733, 0.735)
I24	Other acute ischemic heart diseases	0.710(0.709, 0.710)	0.735(0.733, 0.735)

Table 1. Performance results for cardiac conditions, presented by ICD10-CM code, description, internal and external performance by AUROC with 95% confidence intervals.

References

- [1] Bouzid D, Zanella MC, Kerneis S, Visseaux B, May L, Schrenzel J, Cattoir V. Rapid diagnostic tests for infectious diseases in the emergency department. Clinical microbiology and infection 2021;27(2):182–191.
- [2] Diamantopoulos AP, Haugeberg G, Lindland A, Myklebust G. The fast-track ultrasound clinic for early diagnosis of giant cell arteritis significantly reduces permanent visual impairment: towards a more effective strategy to improve clinical outcome in giant cell arteritis? Rheumatology 08 2015;55(1):66–70. ISSN 1462-0324.
- [3] Schläpfer J, Wellens HJ. Computer-interpreted electrocardiograms: benefits and limitations. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2017;70(9):1183–1192.
- [4] Kulkarni AR, Patel AA, Pipal KV, Jaiswal SG, Jaisinghani MT, Thulkar V, Gajbhiye L, Gondane P, Patel AB, Mamtani M, et al. Machine-learning algorithm to non-invasively detect diabetes and pre-diabetes from electrocardiogram. BMJ Innovations 2023;9(1).
- [5] Ahn JC, Attia ZI, Rattan P, Mullan AF, Buryska S, Allen AM, Kamath PS, Friedman PA, Shah VH, Noseworthy PA, et al. Development of the ai-cirrhosis-ecg score: an electrocardiogram-based deep learning model in cirrhosis. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology ACG 2022;117(3):424–432.
- [6] Ribeiro AH, Ribeiro MH, Paixão GM, Oliveira DM, Gomes PR, Canazart JA, Ferreira MP, Andersson CR, Macfarlane PW, Meira Jr W, et al. Automatic diagnosis of the 12-lead ecg using a deep neural network. Nature communications 2020;11(1):1760.
- [7] Kashou AH, Ko WY, Attia ZI, Cohen MS, Friedman PA, Noseworthy PA. A comprehensive artificial intelligence– enabled electrocardiogram interpretation program. Cardiovascular Digital Health Journal 2020;1(2):62–70.
- [8] Strodthoff N, Wagner P, Schaeffter T, Samek W. Deep learning for ecg analysis: Benchmarks and insights from ptb-xl. IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics 2020;25(5):1519–1528.
- [9] Strodthoff N, Alcaraz JML, Haverkamp W. Prospects for artificial intelligence-enhanced electrocardiogram as a unified screening tool for cardiac and non-cardiac conditions: an explorative study in emergency care. European Heart Journal Digital Health 2024;ztae039.
- [10] Alcaraz JML, Bouma H, Strodthoff N. Mds-ed: Multimodal decision support in the emergency department – a benchmark dataset for diagnoses and deterioration prediction in emergency medicine, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.17856>.
- [11] Rahul J, Sora M, Sharma LD, Bohat VK. An improved cardiac arrhythmia classification using an rr interval-based approach. Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering 2021;

Code	Description	Int. AUROC (95% CI)	Ext. AUROC (95% CI)
E282	Polycystic ovarian syndrome	$\overline{0.952}$ (0.951, 0.952)	$\overline{0.890}$ (0.890, 0.892)
M329	Systemic lupus erythematosus	0.916(0.914, 0.915)	0.711(0.712, 0.712)
S721	Pertrochanteric fracture	0.862(0.858, 0.862)	0.869(0.868, 0.869)
M100	Idiopathic gout	0.846(0.844, 0.849)	0.722(0.721, 0.722)
H353	Macular degeneration	0.839(0.838, 0.841)	0.735(0.736, 0.736)
M97	Periprosthetic joint fracture	0.834(0.848, 0.860)	0.710(0.709, 0.710)
K4090	Inguinal hernia, non-recurrent	0.815(0.814, 0.814)	0.747(0.743, 0.744)
M797	Fibromyalgia	0.793(0.794, 0.795)	0.715(0.711, 0.715)
R ₀₀₀	Tachycardia, unspecified	0.786(0.785, 0.786)	0.725(0.731, 0.731)
R57	Shock	0.775(0.777, 0.778)	0.724(0.720, 0.722)
E1121	Type 2 diabetes with nephropathy	0.750(0.752, 0.752)	0.776(0.771, 0.772)
E1122	Type 2 diabetes with chronic kidney disease	0.747(0.749, 0.751)	0.735(0.738, 0.739)
E29	Testicular dysfunction	0.747(0.754, 0.758)	0.773(0.773, 0.773)
N18	Chronic kidney disease	0.743(0.742, 0.743)	0.740(0.740, 0.740)
J441	COPD with acute exacerbation	0.743(0.746, 0.747)	0.891(0.890, 0.890)
A419	Sepsis, unspecified	0.742(0.741, 0.741)	0.798(0.797, 0.798)
N10	Acute pyelonephritis	0.735(0.737, 0.742)	0.737(0.735, 0.737)
J86	Pyothorax	0.715(0.715, 0.715)	0.736(0.733, 0.733)
J91	Pleural effusion	0.714(0.711, 0.711)	0.761(0.762, 0.762)
R13	Aphagia and dysphagia	0.708(0.708, 0.708)	0.726(0.728, 0.729)
R ₀₀₁	Bradycardia, unspecified	0.708(0.711, 0.711)	0.783(0.786, 0.787)

Table 2. Performance results for selected non-cardiac conditions, presented by ICD10-CM code, description, internal and external performance by AUROC with 95% confidence intervals.

41(2):656–666.

- [12] Sun R, Wang Y. Predicting spontaneous termination of atrial fibrillation based on the rr interval. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part H Journal of Engineering in Medicine 2009;223(6):713–726.
- [13] Kwon Jm, Kim KH, Medina-Inojosa J, Jeon KH, Park J, Oh BH. Artificial intelligence for early prediction of pulmonary hypertension using electrocardiography. The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation 2020;39(8):805– 814.
- [14] Alcaraz JML, Strodthoff N. Cardiolab: Laboratory values estimation from electrocardiogram features–an exploratory study. arXiv preprint arXiv240718629 2024;.
- [15] Gow B, Pollard T, Nathanson LA, Johnson A, Moody B, Fernandes C, Greenbaum N, Waks JW, Eslami P, Carbonati T, Chaudhari A, Herbst E, Moukheiber D, Berkowitz S, Mark R, Horng S. Mimic-iv-ecg: Diagnostic electrocardiogram matched subset, 2023.
- [16] Johnson AE, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, Pollard TJ, Hao S, Moody B, Gow B, et al. Mimiciv, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. Scientific data 2023;10(1):1.
- [17] Goldberger AL, Amaral LA, Glass L, Hausdorff JM, Ivanov PC, Mark RG, Mietus JE, Moody GB, Peng CK, Stanley HE. Physiobank, physiotoolkit, and physionet: components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals. circulation 2000;101(23):e215–e220.
- [18] Kim YG, Shin D, Park MY, Lee S, Jeon MS, Yoon D, Park

RW. Ecg-view ii, a freely accessible electrocardiogram database. PloS one 2017;12(4):e0176222.

- [19] Wagner P, Mehari T, Haverkamp W, Strodthoff N. Explaining deep learning for ecg analysis: Building blocks for auditing and knowledge discovery. Computers in Biology and Medicine 2024;176:108525.
- [20] Ott G, Schaubelt Y, Alcaraz JML, Haverkamp W, Strodthoff N. Using explainable ai to investigate electrocardiogram changes during healthy aging—from expert features to raw signals. Plos one 2024;19(4):e0302024.
- [21] Alcaraz JML, Strodthoff N. AI4HealthUOL/CardioDiag. <https://github.com/AI4HealthUOL/CardioDiag>, 2024. [Accessed 01-10-2024].

Address for correspondence:

Nils Strodthoff

Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg Fakultät VI - Medizin und Gesundheitswissenschaften Department für Versorgungsforschung Abteilung AI4Health Ammerländer Heerstr. 114-118 26129 Oldenburg, Deutschland nils.strodthoff@uol.de