

A Note on an Upper-Bound for the Sum of a Class \mathcal{K} and an Extended Class \mathcal{K}_e Function

Adrian Wiltz, Dimos V. Dimarogonas

In this note, we derive an upper-bound for the sum of two comparison functions, namely for the sum of an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function α_1 and a class \mathcal{K} function α_2 . A class \mathcal{K} function is defined as a continuous, strictly increasing function $\alpha_2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$. If a class \mathcal{K} function is additionally defined on the entire real space \mathbb{R} , then the function is called an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function. In particular, an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function is defined as a continuous, strictly increasing function $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Class \mathcal{K} and extended class \mathcal{K}_e functions are a particular type of the class of comparison functions. Comparison functions play an important role for example in the analysis of nonlinear dynamic systems and in nonlinear controller design [2]. A notable collection of results on comparison functions can be found in [1]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the relations derived in this note have not been previously derived in the literature.

This note is dedicated to the derivation of a super-additivity like upper-bound on the sum of an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function α_1 and a class \mathcal{K} function α_2 . More precisely, we show under which conditions the super-additivity like property

$$\alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) \leq \beta(x_1 + x_2)$$

holds where $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function.

Our results are stated as follows.

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function, and $\alpha_2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a *convex* class \mathcal{K} function such that $\alpha_1(-x) \leq -\alpha_2(x)$ for all $x \in [0, A]$ and some finite $A > 0$. Then, there exists an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function β such that for all $x_1 \in [-A, \infty)$, $x_2 \in [0, A]$ it holds

$$\alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) \leq \beta(x_1 + x_2). \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2. Let $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function, and $\alpha_2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a *concave* class \mathcal{K} function such that $\alpha_1(-x) \leq -\alpha_2(x)$ for all $x \in [0, A]$ and $A > 0$. Then, there exists an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function β such that for all $x_1 \in [-A, \infty)$, $x_2 \in [0, A]$ it holds

$$\alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) \leq \beta(x_1 + x_2). \quad (2)$$

This even holds if $A \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1. In contrast to Lemmas 1 and 2, the compendium of comparison function results [1] only reports results where $\beta(x_1 + x_2)$ constitutes a lower-bound.

In the remainder of this note, we prove these two lemmas.

This work was supported by the ERC Consolidator Grant LEAFHOUND, the Horizon Europe EIC project SymAware (101070802), the Swedish Research Council, and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, and is part of a journal paper that is in preparation.

The authors are with the Division of Decision and Control Systems, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden {wiltz,dimos}@kth.se.

Proof of Lemma 1. Before we start with the actual proof, we recall some important properties of convex functions which the proof is based on. At first, recall that for a convex function α' it holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\alpha'(\sigma x + (1-\sigma)y) \leq \sigma\alpha'(x) + (1-\sigma)\alpha'(y), \quad \sigma \in [0, 1]. \quad (3)$$

For $\sigma = 1$, this implies $\alpha'(\sigma x) \leq \sigma\alpha'(x)$. Moreover, if additionally $\alpha'(0) = 0$ (e.g., if α' is a convex class \mathcal{K} function), then α' is superadditive for positive real numbers; that is, for all $x, y \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\alpha'(x) + \alpha'(y) \leq \alpha'(x + y). \quad (4)$$

This can be shown as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(x) + \alpha'(y) &= \alpha'\left((x+y)\frac{x}{x+y}\right) + \alpha'\left((x+y)\frac{y}{x+y}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{x}{x+y}\alpha'(x+y) + \frac{y}{x+y}\alpha'(x+y) = \alpha'(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality results from the fact that $\alpha'(\sigma x) \leq \sigma\alpha'(x)$ for $\sigma \in [0, 1]$.

Furthermore, we recall that the difference quotient $D_{\alpha'}(x, y) := \frac{\alpha'(y) - \alpha'(x)}{y - x}$ of the convex function α' is monotonously increasing in both of its arguments¹. Thus, we have $\frac{\alpha'(y) - \alpha'(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{\alpha'(y+c) - \alpha'(x+c)}{y - x}$ for all $x < y$, $c \geq 0$, or equivalently,

$$\alpha'(y) - \alpha'(x) \leq \alpha'(y+c) - \alpha'(x+c). \quad (5)$$

At last in addition to its convexity, we assume that α' is an extended class \mathcal{K}_e function. Then, it holds for all $\sigma \in [0, 1/2]$, $x \geq 0$, that $0 \leq \alpha'((1-2\sigma)x) \leq \sigma\alpha'(-x) + (1-\sigma)\alpha'(x)$ where the first inequality follows as α' is class \mathcal{K}_e and thus it is non-negative for non-negative arguments; the second inequality follows from the convexity of α' . By rearranging terms, we obtain $-\alpha'(x) \leq \sigma(\alpha'(-x) - \alpha'(x))$, and thus for $\sigma = 1/2$ that for all $x \geq 0$ it holds

$$-\alpha'(x) \leq \alpha'(-x). \quad (6)$$

Now, we turn towards the actual proof of (1). Therefore, let us define an extended version of α_2 as a convex extended class \mathcal{K}_e function $\alpha'_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that: (1) $\alpha'_2(x)$ is an arbitrary continuous, convex and monotonously increasing continuation of $\alpha_2(x)$ for all $x < 0$; (2) $\alpha'_2(x) = \alpha_2(x)$ for all $x \in [0, A]$; and (3) $\alpha'_2(x) = \alpha_2(A) + \alpha'_1(x - A)$ for all $x \geq A$ where $\alpha'_1(x)$ is some convex class \mathcal{K} function with $\alpha'_1(x) \geq \alpha_1(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Next, we distinguish three cases, namely $x_1 \in [-A, 0]$ with $x_1 + x_2 \leq 0$ (case 1a) and $x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$ (case 1b), and $x_1 \in [0, \infty)$ (case 2). Recall that $x_2 \in [0, A]$.

¹This is a standard result and it can be easily shown as follows. At first, let x be fixed, and choose $y = \sigma y' + (1-\sigma)x$ where $y' > x$; thus, $y \leq y'$. Then, it follows that $D(x, y)$ is monotonously increasing in y as

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= \frac{\alpha'(y) - \alpha'(x)}{y - x} = \frac{\alpha'(\sigma y' + (1-\sigma)x) - \alpha'(x)}{\sigma y' + (1-\sigma)x - x} \\ &= \frac{\alpha'(\sigma y' + (1-\sigma)x) - \alpha'(x)}{\sigma y' - \sigma x} \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \frac{(1-\sigma)\alpha'(x) + \sigma\alpha'(y') - \alpha'(x)}{\sigma y' - \sigma x} \\ &= \frac{\alpha'(y') - \alpha'(x)}{y' - x} = D(x, y'). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows for the first argument analogously.

Case 1a ($x_1 \in [-A, 0]$ and $x_1 + x_2 \leq 0$): At first we note that since α_2 is convex it holds

$$\alpha_2(-(x_1 + x_2)) + \alpha_2(x_2) \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \alpha_2(-x_1) \quad (7)$$

due to the superadditivity of α_2 . Next, we consider the left-hand side of (1). By employing that $\alpha_1(-x) \leq -\alpha_2(x)$ for all $x \in [0, A]$ and that α'_2 is convex, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) &\leq -\alpha_2(-x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) \stackrel{(7)}{\leq} -\alpha_2(-(x_1 + x_2)) \\ &= -\alpha'_2(-(x_1 + x_2)) \stackrel{(6)}{\leq} \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here we employed that $-(x_1 + x_2) \in [0, A]$ in case 1a.

Case 1b ($x_1 \in [-A, 0]$ and $x_1 + x_2 > 0$): Noting that $x_1 + A \geq 0$, we derive by starting again with the left-hand side of (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) &\leq -\alpha_2(-x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) = -\alpha'_2(-x_1) + \alpha'_2(x_2) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{\leq} -\alpha'_2(-x_1 + x_1 + A) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2 + A) \\ &= -\alpha'_2(A) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2 + A). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 ($x_1 \in [0, \infty)$): Recall that $\alpha'_2(x) = \alpha_2(A) + \alpha'_1(x - A)$ for $x \geq A$ with $\alpha'_1(x) \geq \alpha_1(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Thus, we have for $x \geq 0$ that

$$\alpha_1(x) \leq \alpha'_1(x) = \alpha'_2(x + A) - \alpha_2(A). \quad (8)$$

Furthermore by employing that α'_2 is convex, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) &\stackrel{(8)}{\leq} -\alpha_2(A) + \alpha'_2(x + A) + \alpha_2(x_2) \\ &= \alpha'_2(x + A) - \alpha'_2(A) + \alpha'_2(x_2) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{\leq} -\alpha'_2(A) + \alpha'_2(A + x_1 + x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing cases 1a, 1b and 2, we choose β in (1) as

$$\beta(x_1 + x_2) = \begin{cases} \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2) & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 \leq 0, \\ -\alpha'_2(A) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2 + A) & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

As shown, β satisfies (1). Moreover, β is continuous, it holds $\beta(0) = 0$, and β is monotonously increasing as α'_2 is class \mathcal{K}_e ; thus, also β is class \mathcal{K}_e . This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof in the case of a concave function α_2 is more straightforward compared to the convex case. Before we start, we recall that the difference quotient $D_{\alpha'}(x, y) := \frac{\alpha'(y) - \alpha'(x)}{y - x}$ of a concave function α' is monotonously decreasing in both arguments. Thus, (5) still holds, however only for non-positive $c \leq 0$. More precisely, it holds for all $x < y$, $c \leq 0$ that

$$\alpha'(y) - \alpha'(x) \leq \alpha'(y + c) - \alpha'(x + c). \quad (9)$$

Now, we turn towards the actual proof of (2). To this end, we define again an extended version of α_2 , however this time as a concave extended class \mathcal{K}_e function $\alpha'_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such

that $\alpha'_2(x) = \alpha_2(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Next, we distinguish two cases, namely $x_1 \in [-A, 0]$ (case 1) and $x_1 \in [0, \infty)$ (case 2). Recall that $x_2 \in [0, A]$.

Case 1 ($x_1 \in [-A, 0]$): Consider the left-hand side of (2). By employing that $\alpha_1(-x) \leq -\alpha_2(x)$ for all $x \in [0, A]$ and that α'_2 is concave, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) &\leq -\alpha_2(-x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) = -\alpha'_2(-x_1) + \alpha'_2(x_2) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{\leq} -\alpha'_2(-x_1 + x_1) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2) = \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by adding $c = x_1$, which is non-positive by assumption, to the arguments of α'_2 . For the case that $x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$, we note that the right-hand side is upper-bounded by

$$\alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2) \leq \alpha_1(x_1 + x_2) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2).$$

This observation is needed later on for the construction of the extended class \mathcal{K}_e function β .

Case 2 ($x_1 \in [0, \infty)$): In this case, it always holds that $x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$. Thus, we directly obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha_2(x_2) &= \alpha_1(x_1) + \alpha'_2(x_2) \\ &\leq \alpha_1(x_1 + x_2) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing cases 1 and 2, we choose β in (2) as

$$\beta(x_1 + x_2) = \begin{cases} \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2) & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 < 0, \\ \alpha_1(x_1 + x_2) + \alpha'_2(x_1 + x_2) & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

As shown, β satisfies (2). Moreover, β is continuous, it holds $\beta(0) = 0$, and β is monotonously increasing as both α_1 and α'_2 are class \mathcal{K}_e functions; thus, also β is a class \mathcal{K}_e function. This result even holds for $A \rightarrow \infty$, as the construction of β does not rely on A . This concludes the proof. \square

References

- [1] C. M. Kellett, "A compendium of comparison function results," *Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 339–374, 2014.
- [2] H. K. Khalil, *Nonlinear systems*, 3rd ed. Prentice Hall, 2002.