

On the completeness of the space \mathcal{O}_C

Michael Kunzinger*, Norbert Ortner†

Abstract

We give a new proof of the completeness of the space \mathcal{O}_C by applying a criterion of compact regularity for the inductive limit space $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k})$. Along the way we show that the strong dual of any quasinormable Fréchet space is a compactly regular \mathcal{LB} -space. Finally, we prove that $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_i F) = (\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k) \widehat{\otimes}_i F$ if the inductive limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_i F)$ is compactly regular.

Keywords: Function spaces, distribution spaces, sequence spaces, Fréchet and Montel spaces, inductive limits, regularity, compact regularity, (completed) topological tensor products

MSC2020: 46A04, 46A08, 46A13, 46F05, 46F10

1 Introduction

1.1 Historical development. Properties of the spaces $\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_M, \mathcal{O}'_C, \mathcal{O}'_M$

The spaces $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of rapidly decreasing C^∞ -functions and of temperate distributions, respectively, play a central role in L. Schwartz' theory of the Fourier transform. Temperate distributions on \mathbb{R}^n can be continued to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , which supplies the reason for calling them “spherical distributions”. L. Schwartz also introduced the spaces \mathcal{O}'_C and \mathcal{O}'_M of convolutors and multipliers, respectively, for the spaces \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' . For the notations used here and below, cf. Subsection 1.2.

The space \mathcal{O}'_C of rapidly decreasing distributions is defined as (cf. [15], p. 244)

$$\mathcal{O}'_C = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty})_k = \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty})_k.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{O}'_C = \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{B}')_k = \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^p})_k \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty). \quad (1)$$

To see this, note first that the continuous inclusions

$$\lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^p})_k \hookrightarrow \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{B}')_k \hookrightarrow \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty})_k$$

*University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics, michael.kunzinger@univie.ac.at

†University of Innsbruck, mathematik1@uibk.ac.at

follow immediately from $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p} \hookrightarrow \dot{\mathcal{B}}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}$.

Conversely, let $S \in \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty})_k$, so that $(1 + |x|^2)^k S \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}$ for all k . Then we also have, for all k, l that

$$(1 + |x|^2)^k S \in (1 + |x|^2)^{-l} \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}.$$

Choosing now l in such a way that $(1 + |x|^2)^{-l} \in \mathcal{D}_{L^p}$ (i.e., $l > \frac{n}{2p}$), then [15, Th. XXVI, p. 203] shows that, for each k , $(1 + |x|^2)^k S \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$, i.e., $S \in \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^p})_k$. Finally, continuity of $\lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty})_k \hookrightarrow \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^p})_k$ follows by transposing the continuous (by the closed graph theorem) embedding

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow} (\mathcal{D}_{L^q})_{-k} \hookrightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow} (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})_{-k}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Applying [14, Ch. IV, 4.4, p. 139] to (1) we infer that the strong dual $(\mathcal{O}'_C)'_b$ of \mathcal{O}'_C is the space of uniformly slowly increasing C^∞ -functions, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}_C := (\mathcal{O}'_C)'_b = \lim_{k \rightarrow} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_{-k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow} (\mathcal{D}_{L^p})_{-k}. \quad (2)$$

This uses $(\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b \cong \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, which in turn follows, e.g., from the fact that $\dot{\mathcal{B}}' \cong c_0 \widehat{\otimes} s'$ implies

$$(\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b \cong \ell^1 \widehat{\otimes} s \cong \mathcal{D}_{L^1}.$$

The term ‘‘uniform’’ refers to the fact that for a function in \mathcal{O}_C all derivatives have the same polynomial growth at infinity. Note that the space \mathcal{O}_C is *ultrabornological*, being an inductive limit of Fréchet spaces. Furthermore, \mathcal{O}_C is the non-strict inductive limit of the Fréchet spaces $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{-k}$ (cf. [9, p. 173]). The space \mathcal{O}_M consists of all slowly increasing C^∞ -functions, i.e. ([15, p. 243]),

$$\mathcal{O}_M = \lim_{m \leftarrow} (\dot{\mathcal{B}}^m)_{-\infty} = \lim_{m \leftarrow} (W^{m,p})_{-\infty} \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

Due to the characterization of functions $f \in \mathcal{O}_M$ by

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S} : \varphi \partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{C}_0,$$

we see that \mathcal{O}_M is a closed subspace of the (uncountable) projective limit of the Banach spaces $(\partial^\alpha)^{-1}(\frac{1}{\varphi})\mathcal{C}_0$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, hence is complete. Note that, e.g., $e^{ix^2} \in \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{O}_C(\mathbb{R})$.

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{O}'_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$ and its transpose $\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{O}'_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_C$ are isomorphisms ([15, Th. XV, p. 268]). The strong dual \mathcal{O}'_M of \mathcal{O}_M is the space of very rapidly decreasing distributions: If $S \in \mathcal{O}'_M = \mathcal{F}'^{-1}(\mathcal{O}'_C)$ then $\mathcal{F}'S \in \mathcal{O}'_C = \lim_{k \rightarrow} (\mathcal{D}_{L^2})_{-k}$ and $S \in \lim_{k \rightarrow} (1 - \Delta_n)^k (\mathcal{L}^2)_\infty$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}'_M = \lim_{k \rightarrow} (1 - \Delta_n)^k (\mathcal{L}^2)_\infty \cong \lim_{m \rightarrow} (W^{-2m,2})_\infty.$$

\mathcal{O}'_M is ultrabornological and \mathcal{O}'_C is complete. This leaves the question of whether the strong dual $(\mathcal{O}'_C)'_b$ of the space \mathcal{O}'_C is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}'_C , i.e., $(\mathcal{O}'_C)'_b \cong \mathcal{O}'_C$, which amounts to proving the reflexivity of \mathcal{O}'_C .

More generally, L. Schwartz posed the question whether the spaces \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}'_C have Properties of topological vector spaces analogous to those of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' ([15, p. 245]). By this, he means: Are the spaces $\mathcal{O}'_C, \mathcal{O}_M, \mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}'_M$ ultrabornological complete Montel spaces? A.

Grothendieck answered Schwartz' question in [8, II, Th. 16, p. 131], supplemented by the property of nuclearity of the four spaces mentioned above.

The most difficult question here seems to be that of the completeness of $\mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}'_M$: "Il n'est pas trivial, par contre que \mathcal{O}'_M soit complet, ce que nous allons pourtant montrer" ([8, II, p. 130]).

A. Grothendieck's proof of the ultrabornologicity of the space \mathcal{O}_M (and thereby the completeness of its dual \mathcal{O}'_M , isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_C) consists of two steps:

(i) \mathcal{O}_M is isomorphic to a direct factor of

$$s\widehat{\otimes}s' \cong \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{S}' \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S});$$

(ii) $s\widehat{\otimes}s'$ is ultrabornological.

The first assertion (i) led M. Valdivia to proving the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_M \cong s\widehat{\otimes}s' \tag{3}$$

([17, Th. 3, p. 478]). Later on, Ch. Bargetz showed that $\mathcal{O}_C \cong s\widehat{\otimes}_l s'$ by using the isomorphism (3) and the ultrabornologicity of \mathcal{O}_M ([1, Prop. 1, p. 318]). This isomorphism immediately implies the completeness of the space \mathcal{O}_C . We will give new simple proofs of the above sequence space representations in Section 4. The term 'simple' refers to the use of the explicit sequence space representation

$$(\mathcal{D}_{L^2})_{-k} \cong s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^2)_{-k}$$

derived in Section 2 as well as to the proof of the completeness of the inductive limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^2)_{-k})$ in Section 3. In Section 5 the ultrabornologicity of the spaces \mathcal{O}'_C and \mathcal{O}_M is shown, while in Section 6 we prove that the strong dual of a quasinormable Fréchet space is a compactly regular \mathcal{LB} -space. This is illustrated by several examples. Finally, the completeness result from Section 3, i.e.,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^\infty)_{-k}) = s\widehat{\otimes}(\lim_{k \rightarrow} (\ell^\infty)_{-k}) = s\widehat{\otimes}_l s'$$

is slightly generalized. We also use the general results of Grothendieck on the strong duals of tensor products, as well as those on the permanence of properties in building completed tensor products. Finally, one of the highlights of Schwartz' theorems is applied: The strong dual of a complete Schwartz space is ultrabornological ([16, I, p. 43]).

1.2 Notations and conventions

Our notations mostly follow [15], but for the reader's convenience we collect here all the basic definitions. We denote by $\Delta_n := \partial_1^2 + \dots + \partial_n^2$ the Laplacean in n variables, and by Y the Heaviside function ([15, p. 36]). \mathcal{C}_0 is the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity, while \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D}_{L^p} , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are the complex-valued C^∞ -functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n all of whose derivatives belong to \mathcal{C}_0 and L^p , respectively ([15, p. 199]). Subscripts k refer to weights of the form $(1 + |x|^2)^k$, e.g., $(L^p)_{-k} = (1 + |x|^2)^k L^p$, $(\mathcal{D}_{L^p})_{-k} = (1 + |x|^2)^k \mathcal{D}_{L^p}$, or

$$(\ell^p)_{-k} = j^k \ell^p = \{(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{-kp} |x_j|^p < \infty\},$$

with the obvious modifications if $p = \infty$ or the index set is \mathbb{Z} instead of \mathbb{N} .

The distribution spaces $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p} = (\mathcal{D}_{L^q})'_b$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1} = (\dot{B})'_b$ are defined in [15, p. 200]. The dual of the Sobolev space $W^{2m,2}$ is the space $W^{-2m,2} := (1 - \Delta_n)^m L^2$. The closure of the space \mathcal{E}' of compactly supported distributions in \mathcal{D}'_{L^∞} is \dot{B}' (in particular, the latter is *not* the dual space of \dot{B}), see [15, p. 200].

If E is a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space and if E' is its dual, then E'_b denotes E' equipped with the strong topology, i.e., the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of E . By s and s' we denote the spaces of rapidly decreasing and slowly increasing sequences, respectively. \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are the spaces of rapidly decreasing C^∞ -functions and of temperate distributions, respectively, as defined in [15, p. 233–238]. The Fourier transformation $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is uniformly denoted by \mathcal{F} .

A locally convex vector space E is *quasinormable* if the trace of the strong topology of E'_b on each equicontinuous subset of E' coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on a suitable neighborhood of 0 of E ([5, 4. Def., p. 98], [7, Prop. 17, p. 116, Def. 5, p. 117]). A *Schwartz space* is a quasinormable space whose bounded sets are precompact ([7, Prop. 17, p. 116, Def. 5, p. 117]). An inductive limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ is called *regular* if each bounded subset B of $\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ is contained in a step E_k and is bounded there ([5, p. 46]). $\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ is called *compactly regular* if each compact subset K of $\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ is contained in a step E_k and is compact there ([5, p. 99/100]). Let E and F be locally convex spaces. The *inductive topology* on $E \otimes F$, denoted by $E \otimes_\iota F$, is the finest locally convex topology on $E \otimes F$ such that the canonical map $E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes F$ is partially continuous ([16, II, p. 13], [8, I, Def. 3, p. 74]). $E \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F$ denotes the completion of $E \otimes_\iota F$. If one of the spaces E, F is nuclear, then $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ denotes the completed tensor product of $E \otimes F$. For Fréchet and \mathcal{DF} -spaces E, F we have $E \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F = E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$ (the latter denoting the projective tensor product). The β -topology on $E \otimes F$ is the finest locally convex topology on $E \otimes F$ such that the canonical map $E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes F$ is hypocontinuous (with respect to all bounded subsets of E and F), cf. [16, II, p. 12]. $E \widehat{\otimes}_\beta F$ denotes the completion of $E \otimes_\beta F$.

2 Sequence space representations of the spaces $(\mathcal{D}_{L^2})_{-k}$, $(\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty})_{-k}$, and \mathcal{O}_C

In what follows, the function spaces $(\mathcal{D}_{L^2})_{-k}$, $(\dot{B})_{-k}$ and \mathcal{O}_C are defined on \mathbb{R} , and the sequence spaces $(\ell^2)_{-k}$, $(\ell^\infty)_{-k}$, $s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^2)_{-k}$, $s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k}$ on \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *The Fréchet spaces $(\mathcal{D}_{L^2})_{-k}$ and $(\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty})_{-k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, have the sequence space representations*

$$(\mathcal{D}_{L^2})_{-k} \cong s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^2)_{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty})_{-k} \cong s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k},$$

respectively.

Proof. Theorem 1 in [18, p. 766] states that the spaces \mathcal{D}_{L^p} and $s \widehat{\otimes} \ell^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, are isomorphic. An explicit isomorphism ϕ between \mathcal{D}_{L^p} and $s \widehat{\otimes} \ell^p$ is constructed in [13, Lem. 1, p. 3] for $1 < p < \infty$. The proof now consists in the composition of an isomorphism

$\phi : \mathcal{D}_{L^p} \rightarrow s\widehat{\otimes}\ell^p$ and the isomorphisms

$$(\mathcal{D}_{L^p})_{-k} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{L^p}, \quad f \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^{-k} f,$$

and

$$\ell^p \rightarrow (\ell^p)_{-k}, \quad (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto ((1 + j^2)^k x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *The space \mathcal{O}_C of uniformly slowly increasing C^∞ -functions has the sequence space representation*

$$\mathcal{O}_C \cong \lim_{k \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^2)_{-k}) \cong \lim_{k \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^\infty)_{-k}).$$

Proof. Immediate from (2) and Lemma 2.1. □

3 Compact regularity and completeness of the \mathcal{LF} -spaces

$$\lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^\infty)_{-\mathbf{k}}) \cong \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^{\mathbf{p}})_{-\mathbf{k}}), \quad \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \infty$$

The compact regularities in question will be shown through an application of Theorem 2.7, (4)⇔(6) of [19, p. 252] via proving the equivalent property (Q) as defined in [19, Prop. 2.3, p. 250]:

Definition 3.1. *Let $E = \lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ be an \mathcal{LF} -space (in particular, $E_k \hookrightarrow E_l \hookrightarrow E_m$ continuously whenever $k < l < m$). Then E is said to have property (Q) if, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an absolutely convex 0-neighborhood U_k in E_k and an $l > k$ such that E_l and E_m induce the same topology on U_k for all $m > l$.*

Proposition 3.2. *The inductive limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^\infty)_{-k})$ of the Fréchet spaces $s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^\infty)_{-k}$ is compactly regular and complete.*

Proof. Set $E_k := s\widehat{\otimes}(\ell^\infty)_{-k}$, so that

$$E_k = \{(x_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} : \forall r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \sup_{i,j} i^r j^{-k} |x_{ij}| < \infty\}$$

and the topology of E_k is induced by the seminorms

$$p_{kr}((x_{ij})_{ij}) = \|(i^r j^{-k} x_{ij})_{i,j}\|_\infty.$$

Condition (Q) for the three (continuously embedded) spaces $E_k \hookrightarrow E_l \hookrightarrow E_m$, $k < l < m$ means that there exists an absolutely convex neighborhood U_k of 0 in E_k on which, for any such choice of l, m , E_l and E_m induce the same topology on U_k . To see this it suffices to show that a sequence (x_{ij}^ν) in U_k converging to 0 in E_m as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ also does so in E_l .

The topologies of E_l and E_m are defined by the seminorms $p_{l,s}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p_{m,t}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, respectively. Note that $p_{k,r}$ is not defined on E_l , and $p_{l,s}$ is not defined on E_m .

Let $U_k := \{(x_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid p_{kr}((x_{ij})_{i,j}) < 1\}$ for an arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f_\nu = (x_{ij}^\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in U_k that converges to 0 in E_m . Then we have:

$$\forall \nu, i, j \in \mathbb{N} \quad i^r j^{-k} |x_{ij}^\nu| < 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \sup_{i,j} i^t j^{-m} |x_{ij}^\nu| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\nu \rightarrow \infty) \quad (5)$$

Given any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s > r$, we need to conclude from (4), (5) that $p_{ls}(f_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ (the requirement $s > r$ is no restriction since the seminorms in E_l are increasing in s). We will do this via interpolation. Let

$$\theta := \frac{m-l}{m-k} \in (0, 1), \quad t := \frac{s-\theta r}{1-\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then $-l = \theta(-k) + (1-\theta)(-m)$ and $s = \theta r + (1-\theta)t$, so we obtain for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} i^s j^{-l} |x_{ij}^\nu| &= i^{\theta r + (1-\theta)t} j^{\theta(-k) + (1-\theta)(-m)} |x_{ij}^\nu| \\ &= (i^r j^{-k} |x_{ij}^\nu|)^\theta (i^t j^{-m} |x_{ij}^\nu|)^{1-\theta} \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} (\sup_{i,j} i^t j^{-m} |x_{ij}^\nu|)^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (5) this implies that $p_{ls}(f_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, establishing property (Q) and thereby compact regularity of $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k})$ (using [19, Th. 2.7, p. 252]).

Finally, completeness of $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k})$ follows from [19, Cor. 2.8, p. 252]. \square

4 Completeness of the space \mathcal{O}_C . Sequence space representation and nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_C and \mathcal{O}_M

The representation $\mathcal{O}_C = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty})_{-k}$ from (2), the sequence space representation $(\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty})_{-k} \cong s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k}$ from Lemma 2.1, and the completeness of the \mathcal{LF} -space $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k})$ established in Proposition 3.2 imply:

Proposition 4.1. ([8, II, Th. 16, p. 131]) *The space \mathcal{O}_C of uniformly slowly increasing functions is complete.*

Proposition 4.2. ([1, Prop. 1, p. 318]) *The space \mathcal{O}_C has the sequence space representation*

$$\mathcal{O}_C \cong s \widehat{\otimes}_l s'.$$

Proof. This result is a consequence of interchanging the limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k}) = s \widehat{\otimes}_l (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\ell^\infty)_{-k}) = s \widehat{\otimes}_l s',$$

which holds since the first limit is complete (by Proposition 3.2). This in turn follows from [2, Rem. 22, p. 76]. \square

Proposition 4.3. ([17, Th. 3, p. 478]) *The space \mathcal{O}_M has the sequence space representation*

$$\mathcal{O}_M \cong s' \widehat{\otimes} s.$$

Proof. Due to the (compact) regularity of the inductive limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^\infty)_{-k})$ established in Proposition 3.2 we obtain by means of 1. Proposition in [5, p. 57]:

$$(\mathcal{O}_C)'_b \cong \lim_{k \leftarrow} (s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^2)_{-k})'_b = \lim_{k \leftarrow} (\mathcal{D}'_{L^2})_k \cong \mathcal{O}'_C.$$

Grothendieck's duality theorem [8, II, Th. 12, p. 76] then yields

$$(s \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^2)_{-k})'_b \cong s' \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^2)_k.$$

Altogether, this shows $\mathcal{O}'_C \cong \lim_{k \leftarrow} (s' \widehat{\otimes} (\ell^2)_k)$.

Finally, applying [10, 2. Th., p. 332], we conclude that

$$\mathcal{O}'_C \cong s' \widehat{\otimes} s \cong \mathcal{O}_M.$$

□

Proposition 4.4. ([8, Th. 16, p. 131]) *The spaces \mathcal{O}_C and \mathcal{O}_M are nuclear Montel spaces.*

Proof. The nuclearity of both \mathcal{O}_C and \mathcal{O}_M follows from permanence results of Grothendieck: $\mathcal{O}_C \cong s \widehat{\otimes}_i s'$ is nuclear by [8, II, Th. 9.4, p. 47], while $\mathcal{O}_M \cong s \widehat{\otimes} s'$ is nuclear according to [8, Prop. 13, p. 76]. Nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_C in particular implies that it is a semi-Montel space, hence, as it is also barrelled, a Montel space. Consequently also $\mathcal{O}_M \cong \mathcal{O}'_C = (\mathcal{O}_C)'_b$ is Montel. □

5 Ultrabornologicity of the space \mathcal{O}_M

The following Proposition provides a concise proof of the ultrabornologicity of the space \mathcal{O}_M . Its proof is surprisingly simple provided one utilizes the fact that $\mathcal{O}_M \cong \mathcal{O}'_C = (\mathcal{O}_C)'_b$, completeness of \mathcal{O}_C , and the Schwartz property of \mathcal{O}_C .

Proposition 5.1. ([8, II, Th. 16, p. 131], [11, Prop. 2], [6, Ex. 6.7, p. 857]) *The space \mathcal{O}_M of slowly increasing functions is ultrabornological.*

Proof. The space \mathcal{O}_C is complete and nuclear, hence a complete Schwartz space ([8, II, Cor. 1, p. 38]). Therefore its dual \mathcal{O}'_C , which is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_M , is ultrabornological due to [16, I, p. 43] or [9, Ex. 9 c), p. 287]. □

The observations in the proofs of Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 5.1 lead to the following generalization of Proposition 5.1 and, in particular, to a representation of the strong dual of the ι -tensor product of an \mathcal{LF} -space with an \mathcal{F} -space.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $E = \lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ be a countable \mathcal{LF} -space, E_k and F Fréchet spaces. If $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)$ is complete, then we obtain*

(i)

$$E \widehat{\otimes}_\beta F = E \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F = \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k \right) \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F = \lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F).$$

(ii) If, furthermore, the spaces E_k or the space F are nuclear, then

$$(E \widehat{\otimes}_l F)'_b = E'_b \widehat{\otimes} F'_b.$$

(iii) Finally, if also E and F are nuclear then $E'_b \widehat{\otimes} F'_b$ is ultrabornological.

Proof. (i) is a consequence of [2, Rem. 22, p. 76].

(ii) By 1. Proposition in [5, p. 57] and Grothendieck's duality theorem [8, II, Th. 12, p. 76] we obtain that

$$(E \widehat{\otimes}_l F)'_b = (\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes} F))'_b = \lim_{k \leftarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes} F)'_b = \lim_{k \leftarrow} ((E_k)'_b \widehat{\otimes} F'_b) = E'_b \widehat{\otimes} F'_b.$$

(iii) $E \widehat{\otimes}_l F$ is nuclear by [8, II, Th. 9.4, p. 47] and hence is a complete Schwartz space. Its dual $E'_b \widehat{\otimes} F'_b$ is then ultrabornological due to [16, I, p. 43]. \square

Proposition 5.1 then corresponds to the special case $E_k = (\ell^2)_{-k}$, $F = s$, $E = s'$ in Proposition 5.2.

6 Strong duals of quasinormable Fréchet spaces

In [3, Prop. 2.2, p. 1696] the compact regularity of the space $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p} = \lim_{m \rightarrow} (1 - \Delta_n)^m L^p$ was proved by direct methods. A second proof relying on the sequence space representation $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p} \cong s' \widehat{\otimes} \ell^p$ was given in [3, Prop. 4.3(b), p. 1700].

The following result provides a simple criterion for establishing the compact regularity of \mathcal{LB} -spaces generated by duals of Fréchet spaces.

Proposition 6.1. *The strong dual of a quasinormable Fréchet space is a complete and compactly regular \mathcal{LB} -space.*

Proof. Let $\{U_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a basis of closed, absolutely convex neighborhoods of 0 with $U_k \supset U_{k+1}$ of the Fréchet space F . Quasinormability of F implies ([5, p. 99]) that the strong dual F'_b of F coincides with the \mathcal{LB} -space $\lim_{k \rightarrow} F'_k$, where $F'_k := \langle U_k^\circ \rangle$ is the Banach space generated by the polar U_k° of U_k .

Denote by q_k the Minkowski functional of U_k° . Then the quasinormability of F implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $l > k$ such that for each $m > l$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that the following (Q)-inequality is valid ([12, 26.14 Lem., p. 298]):

$$q_l(f') \leq \varepsilon q_k(f') + C(\varepsilon) q_m(f'), \quad f' \in F'_k \hookrightarrow F'_l \hookrightarrow F'_m \quad (6)$$

This inequality immediately implies that $F'_b = \lim_{k \rightarrow} F'_k$ satisfies property (Q) (see Definition 3.1), hence [19, Th. 2.7, p. 252] implies its compact regularity and [19, Cor. 2.8, p. 252] its completeness. \square

Examples 6.2. The \mathcal{LB} -spaces

$$s' = \lim_{k \rightarrow} (\ell^2)_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{S}' = \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \\ m \rightarrow}} (1 - \Delta_n)^m (\mathcal{C}_0)_{-k}, \quad \mathcal{E}'(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} = \lim_{m \rightarrow} (1 - \Delta_n)^m L^p$$

(Ω open in \mathbb{R}^n , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) are compactly regular on account of the quasinormability of the spaces s , \mathcal{S} , $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{D}_{L^q} \cong s \widehat{\otimes} \ell^q$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $\dot{\mathcal{B}} \cong s \widehat{\otimes} c_0$ (use Grothendieck's permanence Proposition 13 in [8, II, p. 76]).

If $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of holomorphic functions on Ω , then its strong dual $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)'_b$ is a compactly regular \mathcal{LB} -space due to the nuclearity and, hence, the quasinormability of the Fréchet space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. More generally, if $P(\partial)$ is a hypoelliptic differential operator with constant coefficients then $\ker P(\partial)$ is nuclear, hence quasinormable. Consequently, $(\ker P(\partial))'_b$ is compactly regular. $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)'_b$ then corresponds to the special case $P(\partial) = \partial_1 + i\partial_2$, $\ker P(\partial) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$.

Finally, we give a criterion for the validity of interchanging limits in completed tensor products:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k$ be a countable inductive limit of Fréchet spaces (i.e., an \mathcal{LF} -space) and let F be a Fréchet space. If the inductive limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k \widehat{\otimes}_l F$ is compactly regular, then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_l F) = (\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k) \widehat{\otimes}_l F.$$

Proof. [2, Rem. 22, p. 76] yields for barrelled Hausdorff locally convex spaces E_k and F :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_l F) = (\lim_{k \rightarrow} E_k) \widehat{\otimes}_l F$$

if the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_l F)$ is complete. For Fréchet spaces E_k and F the compact regularity of $\lim_{k \rightarrow} (E_k \widehat{\otimes}_l F)$ implies its completeness by [19, Cor. 2.8, p. 252]. \square

Remark 6.4. In order to prove Proposition 4.2 one may apply Proposition 6.3 to $E = (\ell^\infty)_{-k}$ and $F = s$.

Examples 6.5.

(a) *The \mathcal{LB} -space $\lim_{k \rightarrow} ((\ell^\infty)_{-k} \widehat{\otimes} c_0)$ is compactly regular due to the (Q)-inequality*

$$j^{-l} |x_{ij}| \leq \varepsilon j^{-k} |x_{ij}| + C(\varepsilon) j^{-m} |x_{ij}|, \quad k < l < m, \quad (7)$$

(for any $\varepsilon > 0$) if $(x_{ij})_{i,j} \in (\ell^\infty)_{-k} \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0 \hookrightarrow (\ell)_{-l} \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0 \hookrightarrow (\ell)_{-m} \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0$, and due to [20, 6.4 Th., p. 112]. To see (7), it suffices to note that for any $R > 0$ and $k < l < m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} j^{-l} &= Y(R-j)j^{-l} + Y(j-R)j^{-l} = Y(R-j)j^{-m}j^{m-l} + Y(j-R)j^{-k}j^{k-l} \\ &\leq R^{m-l}j^{-m} + R^{k-l}j^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Proposition 6.3 the space

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow} ((\ell^\infty)_{-k} \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow} ((\ell^\infty)_{-k}) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi c_0 = s' \widehat{\otimes} c_0 \cong \dot{\mathcal{B}}'$$

is compactly regular.

Note that the space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$ is also a \mathcal{DF} -space, being an inductive limit of Banach spaces ([7, p. 64]).

- (b) Similarly, the compact regularity of the \mathcal{LB} -spaces $(L^p)_{-\infty}, (\mathcal{M}^1)_{-\infty}$ ([15, p. 241]), $\mathcal{O}_C^\circ, \mathcal{O}_C^m$ ([9, p. 173]) is an easy consequence of a simple (Q)-inequality, compare [4, Prop. 5.2, p. 932], with respect to a projective limit description of these spaces.

References

- [1] Bargetz, Ch., A sequence space representation of L. Schwartz' space \mathcal{O}_C . Arch. Math. (Basel) 98 (2012), no.4, 317–326.
- [2] Bargetz, Ch., Topological tensor products and the convolution of vector-valued distributions. Thesis, Univ. Innsbruck, 2012.
- [3] Bargetz, C., Nigsch, E.A., Ortner, N., A simpler description of the κ -topologies on the spaces $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}, L^p, \mathcal{M}^1$. Math. Nachr. 293(2020), no.9, 1691–1706.
- [4] Bargetz, C., Nigsch, E.A., Ortner, N., Projective descriptions of spaces of functions and distributions. Math. Nachr. 296 (2023), no.3, 915–937.
- [5] Bierstedt, K.D., An introduction to locally convex inductive limits. Functional analysis and its applications, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 35–133 (1988).
- [6] Debrouwere, A., Vindas, J., Topological properties of convolutor spaces via the short-time Fourier transform. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 374(2021), no.2, 829–861.
- [7] Grothendieck, A., Sur les espaces (F) et (DF) . Summa Brasil. Math. 3, 57–121 (1954).
- [8] Grothendieck, A., Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. Chap. I, II, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1955.
- [9] Horváth, J., Topological vector spaces and distributions. Vol. I. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1966.
- [10] Jarchow, H., Locally convex spaces. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [11] Larcher, J., Wengenroth, J., A new proof for the bornologicity of the space of slowly increasing functions. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 21(2014), no.5, 887–894.
- [12] Meise, R., Vogt, D., Einführung in die Funktionalanalysis. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1992.
- [13] Ortner, N., Wagner, P., Explicit representations of L. Schwartz' spaces \mathcal{D}_{L^p} and \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} by the sequence spaces $s \widehat{\otimes} \ell^p$ and $s' \widehat{\otimes} \ell^p$, respectively, for $1 < p < \infty$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 404(2013), no.1, 1–10.

- [14] Schaefer, H., Topological vector spaces, 3rd printing, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1971.
- [15] Schwartz, L., Théorie des distributions. Nouvelle éd., Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [16] Schwartz, L., Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles. I, II. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 7 (1957), 1–141, 8 (1959), 1–209.
- [17] Valdivia, M., A representation of the space \mathcal{O}_M . Math. Z. 177(1981), no.4, 463–478.
- [18] Valdivia, M., On the space \mathcal{D}_{L^p} , Mathematical analysis and applications, Part B, pp. 759–767 Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud., 7b Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1981.
- [19] Wengenroth, J., Acyclic inductive spectra of Fréchet spaces. Studia Math. 120(1996), no.3, 247–258.
- [20] Wengenroth, J., Derived functors in functional analysis. Lecture Notes in Math., 1810 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.