

Classicality of Stochastic Noise Away From Quasi-de Sitter Inflation

Mahdiyar Noorbala

^aDepartment of Physics, University of Tehran, Iran. P.O. Box 14395-547

E-mail: mnoorbala@ut.ac.ir

Abstract. It is well known that a coarse-grained scalar field living on a de Sitter (dS) background exhibits classical stochastic behavior, driven by a noise whose amplitude is set by the Hubble constant H . The coarse-graining is achieved by discarding wave numbers larger than a cutoff σH and demanding that $\sigma \ll 1$. Similar results hold for quasi-dS space, where the equation of state parameter w is close to -1 . Here we present exact expressions for the noise amplitude of a free massless field on an inflationary background with constant $w < -1/3$. We find that a classical stochastic behavior can emerge for $-5/3 < w < -1/3$. Furthermore, as we move away from $w = -1$ and approach $w = -1/3$, the constraint $\sigma \ll 1$ is relaxed and larger cutoffs ($\sigma \sim 1$) become feasible, too. However, in general the amplitude of the noise depends on σ , except in the quasi-dS regime $w \approx -1$.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Review of Stochastic Inflation and the Criterion of Classicality	2
3	Free Field on dS	5
4	Free Massless Field on Accelerating FLRW	9
5	Summary and Discussion	13

1 Introduction

Inflation is the most popular paradigm for primordial cosmology [1–3]. Vacuum fluctuations of the inflaton field source curvature perturbations that seed the structure in the universe as well as the anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background. The study of long wavelength component of the field is most easily carried out in the stochastic approach [4–10]. This can be done both for the inflaton field itself as well as any spectator (test) field that lives on a fixed inflationary background without affecting the dynamics of the geometry. In this paper we focus on the latter case, but in either case, the stochastic approach is based on separating the UV/IR modes via a cutoff scale $k_\sigma = \sigma H$, where H is the Hubble parameter and σ is a dimensionless number. By integrating out the UV modes $k > k_\sigma$, one obtains the coarse-grained field (a.k.a., the long mode, or the IR mode) and the UV modes, as they leave the horizon as a result of the accelerating expansion, turn out to play the role of a stochastic noise as a source term in a Langevin equation. Thus the stochastic approach is essentially an effective theory for the stochastically evolving classical IR modes. It is shown [7, 11] that in order for this classical picture to hold for a massive field in dS space, one requires σ to be small, more specifically,

$$\exp\left(-\frac{3H^2}{m^2}\right) \ll \sigma^2 \ll \frac{m^2}{3H^2} \ll 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Furthermore, the resulting Langevin equation governing the coarse-grained field has a source term that is a white noise with an amplitude that is independent of the cutoff parameter σ . The Langevin equation, or the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation, can be solved and various properties of the system can be read from the correlation functions and probability distributions [10, 12–40]. There is also a vast literature on the stochastic δN formalism where the statistics of curvature perturbations is related to that of the inflaton field and which is particularly useful in studying the large fluctuations of curvature perturbations [41–67].

The above picture is conventionally derived for a field living in dS or quasi-dS background where the equation of state parameter w is equal or close to -1 , i.e., the first slow-roll parameter $\epsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2$ is assumed to be small. As we show in this paper, the criterion $\sigma \ll 1$ is required for classicality only when ϵ is small. Indeed, we present a situation away from $w = -1$ (i.e., with non-small ϵ) in which fairly large values of the cutoff ($\sigma \sim 1$) are sufficient for classicality.

To be clear, we should mention that this is not the first work to study stochastic inflation in a non-dS background. Indeed, the general form of the noise is known to be proportional

to the power spectrum of field fluctuations, regardless of the background FLRW geometry (see, for example, ref. [46]). However, to our knowledge, when it comes to the question of classicality, either a thorough analysis is absent or it is assumed that the geometry is quasi-dS ($\epsilon \ll 1$). On the other hand, there are also studies of stochastic inflation in the non-slow-roll regime (see, for example, refs. [53, 58–62]). However, these are models of ultra-slow-roll [68] where the first slow-roll parameter ϵ is small and it is the second slow-roll parameter $d \log \epsilon / dN$ that is large.¹

We demonstrate our point in a very simple setup: a free massless field on an FLRW background with arbitrary w that is constant in time but not necessarily close to -1 . We also require inflation to take place, so we impose $w < -1/3$. Then we investigate the condition of classicality and find that, although near $w = -1$ we need $\sigma \ll 1$, as we get close to $w = -1/3$, this constraint is relaxed and σ doesn't have to be small.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: We review the general theory of stochastic inflation for a spectator field on a generic inflationary background in section 2. Then we revisit the conventional case of a free field on dS space in section 3. Section 4 is the main part of the paper, where we present our results about the classicality criterion away from the quasi-dS regime. Finally we summarize and conclude in section 5.

2 Review of Stochastic Inflation and the Criterion of Classicality

Let us review the derivation of the Langevin equation in stochastic inflation and see how the noise term emerges. Along the way, we pay careful attention to the criterion of classicality.

We consider a non-interacting spectator scalar field on a fixed inflationary background $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2 d\mathbf{x}^2 = a^2[-d\tau^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2]$, where τ is the conformal time. In the Heisenberg picture, the field operator $\hat{\chi}(\tau, \mathbf{x})$ satisfies

$$\hat{\chi}'' + 2\mathcal{H}\hat{\chi}' + m^2 a^2 \hat{\chi} + \nabla^2 \hat{\chi} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where the conformal Hubble parameter $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$ is related to the usual Hubble parameter $H = \dot{a}/a$ by $\mathcal{H} = aH$.² It follows that the Fourier mode $\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{\chi}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ is given in terms of creation and annihilation operators by

$$\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = \chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\tau) \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \quad (2.2)$$

where the mode function $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is related to the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable by $u_{\mathbf{k}} = a\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$ which satisfies

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}'' + \left(k^2 + m^2 a^2 - \frac{a''}{a} \right) u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

We employ the standard Bunch-Davies state by imposing the asymptotic condition $u_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow e^{-ik\tau}/\sqrt{2k}$ when the mode is deep inside the horizon ($k \gg \mathcal{H}$).

It should be noted that, although these expressions are written for generic $a(\tau)$, it must in fact be an inflationary background in the past (namely, $\ddot{a} > 0$, or equivalently, $\epsilon < 1$), so

¹As usual, we reserve the term “slow-roll” for the situation where all of the slow-roll parameters are small ($\forall n : \epsilon_n \ll 1$, where $\epsilon_1 = -\dot{H}/H^2$ and $\epsilon_{n+1} = d \log \epsilon_n / dN$). We use the term “quasi-dS” when the first slow-roll parameter ϵ_1 is small, regardless of the smallness or largeness of the higher slow-roll parameters. The term “non-slow-roll” usually means a quasi-dS regime where slow-roll is violated, but to avoid confusion, we don't use it hereafter. We do not work with higher slow-roll parameters either, and so we drop the index: everywhere that ϵ appears it refers to $-\dot{H}/H^2$.

²We denote time derivative with respect to t by a dot, and derivative with respect to τ by a prime.

that the modes *exit* the horizon rather than *enter* the horizon. Otherwise the asymptotic boundary condition cannot be imposed in the far past.

The key idea of stochastic formalism is the short/long (UV/IR) mode decomposition with respect to a momentum cutoff $k_\sigma(\tau) = \sigma\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ that corresponds to a wavelength larger than horizon by the factor σ^{-1} , i.e.,

$$\hat{\chi}_s(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \theta(k - k_\sigma(\tau)), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\hat{\chi}_l(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \theta(k_\sigma(\tau) - k), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\hat{\chi}_l$ is the long mode component of $\hat{\chi}$, $\hat{\chi}_s$ is its short mode component, and θ is the Heaviside step function that is employed as our window function. Similar to the field $\hat{\chi}$, the field velocity (with respect to the e -folding time N) $\hat{v} = d\hat{\chi}/dN$ can be split into the long mode \hat{v}_l and the short mode \hat{v}_s as follows

$$\hat{v}_s(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{d\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}}{dN} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \theta(k - k_\sigma(\tau)), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\hat{v}_l(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{d\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}}{dN} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \theta(k_\sigma(\tau) - k). \quad (2.7)$$

These coarse-grained fields are related by the equation of motion

$$\frac{d\hat{\chi}_l}{dN} = \hat{v}_l + \hat{\xi}_\chi, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{v}_l}{dN} = -(3 - \epsilon)\hat{v}_l - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2}(m^2 a^2 + \nabla^2)\hat{\chi}_l + \hat{\xi}_v, \quad (2.9)$$

where use has been made of eq. (2.1) in the second line, and the noise operators $\hat{\xi}_\chi$ and $\hat{\xi}_v$ that appear above are given by

$$\hat{\xi}_\chi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = [1 - \epsilon(\tau)] \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \delta\left(\frac{k}{k_\sigma(\tau)} - 1\right), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\hat{\xi}_v(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = [1 - \epsilon(\tau)] \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{d\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}}{dN} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \delta\left(\frac{k}{k_\sigma(\tau)} - 1\right). \quad (2.11)$$

In the following we will be interested in a single patch and ignore the spatial variation of the fields, hence dropping the \mathbf{x} -dependence. Then only the time label of the fields remains, for which we switch to the e -folding time $N = \log a$. The commutators of the noise operators are then given by

$$[\hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_2)] = 0, \quad [\hat{\xi}_v(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2)] = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$[\hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2)] = 2i\sigma^3(1 - \epsilon) \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (2.13)$$

where ϵ , H and k_σ are evaluated at $N = N_1$. The anti-commutators, evaluated in the vacuum state, are also found to be:

$$\langle 0 | \{\hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_2)\} | 0 \rangle = 2(1 - \epsilon) \mathcal{P}_\chi \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\langle 0 | \{\hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2)\} | 0 \rangle = 2(1 - \epsilon) \mathcal{P}_{\chi,v} \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\langle 0 | \{ \hat{\xi}_v(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2) \} | 0 \rangle = 2(1 - \epsilon) \mathcal{P}_v \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_f(k, N) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |f_k(N)|^2 \quad (2.17)$$

is the dimensionless power spectrum of $f = \chi$ or $f = v = d\chi/dN$, and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\chi, v}(k, N) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \text{Re} [\chi_k(N) v_k^*(N)], \quad (2.18)$$

all of which are evaluated at $k = k_\sigma(N_1)$ and $N = N_1$.

A necessary condition for having a classical picture is that the correlation functions be real and also insensitive to the order of observables, so we demand that the commutators be much smaller than the anti-commutators. Since the two commutators in eq. (2.12) already vanish, we only need to ensure that the classicality factor

$$C = \left| \frac{\langle 0 | \{ \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2) \} | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | [\hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2)] | 0 \rangle} \right| \quad (2.19)$$

be much larger than unity.³ Using eqs. (2.13) and (2.15), $C \gg 1$ reads

$$\mathcal{P}_{\chi, v}(k_\sigma(N), N) \gg \sigma^3 \left(\frac{H(N)}{2\pi} \right)^2. \quad (2.20)$$

This statement is equivalent to asserting that the absolute value of the symmetric combination $\chi_k \chi_k'^* + \chi_k^* \chi_k'$ is much larger than that of the antisymmetric combination $\chi_k \chi_k'^* - \chi_k^* \chi_k'$ ($= i/a^2$, by the Wronskian identity).⁴ In other words, $\chi_k \chi_k'^*$ is approximately real: We know it has a fixed nonzero imaginary part, but it has a much larger real part. Under these conditions, we drop the hat sign on the field and noise operators ($\hat{\chi}_l$, \hat{v}_l and $\hat{\xi}_{\chi, v}$) and work with the stochastic fields and noises (χ_l , v_l and $\xi_{\chi, v}$), which are now commuting c -numbers.

Another way of testing for classicality is to look at the covariance matrix. Let us elaborate. Suppose x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are a set of real classical random variables, conveniently chosen to have zero mean: $\langle x_i \rangle = 0$ (remember that we have $\langle \hat{\xi} \rangle = 0$ for our noises too). Then the $n \times n$ covariance matrix $\langle x_i x_j \rangle$ must be positive semi-definite.⁵ Indeed, given any positive semi-definite matrix, there exist n Gaussian random variables whose covariance matrix is equal to the given matrix. Now we are given the matrix of vacuum expectation values

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 0 | \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1) \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_2) | 0 \rangle & \langle 0 | \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1) \hat{\xi}_v(N_2) | 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0 | \hat{\xi}_v(N_1) \hat{\xi}_\chi(N_2) | 0 \rangle & \langle 0 | \hat{\xi}_v(N_1) \hat{\xi}_v(N_2) | 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.21)$$

and we wish to convert it to and interpret it as the covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \xi_\chi(N_1) \xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle & \langle \xi_\chi(N_1) \xi_v(N_2) \rangle \\ \langle \xi_v(N_1) \xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle & \langle \xi_v(N_1) \xi_v(N_2) \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.22)$$

³The smallness must be in absolute value, since the anti-commutator is real and the commutator is imaginary.

⁴Also, in terms of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable: $|\text{Re}[u_k u_k'^*] - \mathcal{H}|u_k|^2| \gg |\text{Im}[u_k u_k'^*]| = \frac{1}{2}$.

⁵Proof: Let $A_{ij} = \langle x_i x_j \rangle$ and a be any real-valued vector. Then $a^T A a = \sum_{i, j=1}^n \langle a_i x_i a_j x_j \rangle = (\sum_{i=1}^n \langle a_i x_i \rangle)^2 \geq 0$.

The original matrix is Hermitian, but neither real nor necessarily positive semi-definite. However, it is straightforward to check that taking the real part of the off-diagonal elements makes the matrix not only real and symmetric, but also positive semi-definite. Thus we see that if this process (taking the real part of the off-diagonal elements) introduces little change, i.e., if the off-diagonal elements are approximately real, then we have a fairly accurate classical description. This is clearly equivalent to the classicality criterion (2.20).

It is useful to mention a few remarks about the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix. The entries are given by eqs. (2.14)–(2.16), except that a division by two is necessary to go from anti-commutators to correlators. Setting aside the common factor $(1 - \epsilon) \frac{k_\sigma^3}{2\pi^2} \delta(N_1 - N_2)$, this matrix is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\chi|^2 & \text{Re}(\chi v^*) \\ \text{Re}(\chi v^*) & |v|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.23)$$

As we mentioned above, this is a positive semi-definite matrix. Its eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{T \pm \sqrt{T^2 - 4D}}{2}, \quad (2.24)$$

where $T = |\chi|^2 + |v|^2$ is the trace and $D = [\text{Im}(\chi v^*)]^2$ ($= 1/(2\mathcal{H}a^2)^2$, by the Wronskian identity) is the determinant. As mentioned above, classicality implies that $\text{Im}(\chi v^*) \ll \text{Re}(\chi v^*)$. On the other hand, $|\text{Re}(\chi v^*)| \leq |\chi||v| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\chi|^2 + |v|^2)$. Thus $D \ll T^2/4$, which means that one of the eigenvalues is much smaller than the other:

$$\lambda_+ \approx T \gg \lambda_- \approx \frac{D}{T}. \quad (2.25)$$

In fact, the ratio λ_+/λ_- is controlled by the square of the classicality factor, C^2 . This is an important result, because it means that whenever the system attains classicality, there is practically only one independent noise and the other one has a comparably tiny amplitude.

We have gone through this rather detailed review to emphasize that everything we have said so far applies to any inflationary background, i.e., any scale factor $a(\tau)$ with $\ddot{a} > 0$, and not just the dS or quasi-dS background. We will see below how particular choices of w lead to different consequences. Finally, let us write down the well-known Langevin equations for the long-mode field:

$$\frac{d\chi_l}{dN} = v_l + \xi_\chi, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\frac{dv_l}{dN} = -(3 - \epsilon)v_l - \frac{m^2}{H^2}\chi_l + \xi_v, \quad (2.27)$$

where we have dropped the gradient term as we work in a single patch at fixed \mathbf{x} . To study this system of stochastic differential equations, we need to know the statistical properties of the noise. In the forthcoming sections we investigate classicality in the dS case $w = -1$ and then the more general case of w .

3 Free Field on dS

We first revisit the case of a free field on an exact de Sitter background, before considering the situation away from quasi-de Sitter space in the next section.

In dS space with constant Hubble parameter H , we have $a = -1/H\tau$ and the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation reads

$$u_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\tau^2} \right) u_k = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{m^2}{H^2}} \quad (3.2)$$

is a constant parameter. The solution of this equation after imposing the Bunch-Davies initial condition is

$$u_k = \frac{1}{2} e^{i(2\nu+1)\pi/4} \sqrt{-\pi\tau} H_\nu(-k\tau), \quad (3.3)$$

where H_ν is the Hankel function of the first kind and order ν , and the irrelevant overall phase can be discarded.

Inserting the mode function (3.3) in eqs. (2.14)–(2.16) with $\epsilon = 0$ and dividing by 2, we find the following stochastic correlators:

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1) \xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle = \frac{\sigma^3 H^2}{8\pi} |H_\nu(\sigma)|^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1) \xi_\nu(N_2) \rangle = -\frac{\sigma^3 H^2}{8\pi} \left[\left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) |H_\nu(\sigma)|^2 + \sigma \operatorname{Re}[H_\nu(\sigma) H_{\nu-1}^*(\sigma)] \right] \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\langle \xi_\nu(N_1) \xi_\nu(N_2) \rangle = \frac{\sigma^3 H^2}{8\pi} \left| \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) H_\nu(\sigma) + \sigma H_{\nu-1}(\sigma) \right|^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (3.6)$$

Thus the classicality criterion (2.20) becomes

$$\left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) |H_\nu(\sigma)|^2 + \sigma \operatorname{Re}[H_\nu(\sigma) H_{\nu-1}^*(\sigma)] \gg \frac{2}{\pi}. \quad (3.7)$$

The classicality factor C is equal to the ratio of the two sides (LHS/RHS) of this inequality. It is plotted in fig. 1 and it is clear that $C \gg 1$ requires $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. This confirms the common claim that the wavelength cutoff of stochastic inflation has to be much larger than the horizon size for the entire range $\frac{3}{2} > \nu > 0$.

In the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ limit, and provided that ν is not too close to 0 nor $\frac{3}{2}$, the coefficients of the delta function on the right hand sides of eqs. (3.4)–(3.6) become, respectively,⁶

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^{3-2\nu} \frac{[\Gamma(\nu)H]^2}{\pi^3}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$- \left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^{3-2\nu} \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) [\Gamma(\nu)H]^2}{\pi^3}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^{3-2\nu} \frac{\left[\left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) \Gamma(\nu)H \right]^2}{\pi^3}. \quad (3.10)$$

Assuming the hierarchy mentioned in the Introduction, namely,

$$\exp\left(-\frac{3H^2}{m^2}\right) \ll \sigma^2 \ll \frac{m^2}{3H^2} \ll 1, \quad (3.11)$$

⁶The subleading terms are of order $\sigma^{5-2\nu}$, σ^3 and $\sigma^{3+2\nu}$, which are all negligible as long as ν is not too close to zero.




Figure 1. The classicality factor C , the LHS/RHS of the inequality (3.7), as a function of σ and ν . The clipped region goes up all over to infinity.

the leading terms in the correlators become

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1) \xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1) \xi_v(N_2) \rangle = -\frac{m^2}{3H^2} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\langle \xi_v(N_1) \xi_v(N_2) \rangle = \left(\frac{m^2}{3H^2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (3.14)$$

As a double check, we notice that the commutator is negligible compared to the correlator in eq. (3.13), if $m^2/3H^2 \gg \sigma^3$, which is a consequence of the assumed hierarchy (3.11) and consistent with ref. [46]. But note that the leftmost inequality, $\exp(-3H^2/m^2) \ll \sigma^2$ is not a requirement of classicality. It is there to guarantee the additional nice property that the noise amplitudes are independent of σ . These are all consistent with the well-known results in the literature [11], although it seems that classicality has always been shown in the small mass limit ($\nu \approx \frac{3}{2}$), where the σ -independence property shows up, too.

If we give up the hierarchy (3.11) and the desire to have σ -independent amplitude, then the sheer requirement of classicality yields (still for ν not too close to 0 nor $\frac{3}{2}$, so using eqs. (3.8)–(3.10)):

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \ll \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) \Gamma(\nu)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

This is always satisfiable by a suitable choice of σ . Indeed, since ν is away from 0 and $\frac{3}{2}$, the right hand side is of order one, thus the classicality criterion in this range effectively becomes $\sigma \ll 1$, without necessarily requiring an exponential lower bound like (3.11) on σ .

Calculation of the higher order correlators beyond two-point for this non-interacting field proceeds by application of the Wick theorem and one finds that ξ_χ and ξ_v are Gaussian white noises. Furthermore, by diagonalizing the covariance matrix, it is evident that there is only one non-zero eigenvalue, corresponding to one independent Gaussian white noise with normalized unit amplitude, which we denote by ξ_n , that satisfies

$$\langle \xi_n(N_1)\xi_n(N_2) \rangle = \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (3.16)$$

The field noises are then given by

$$\xi_\chi = \frac{H}{2\pi}\xi_n, \quad \xi_v = -\frac{m^2}{3H^2}\frac{H}{2\pi}\xi_n. \quad (3.17)$$

Now let us consider the case where ν is very close to $\frac{3}{2}$, i.e., the small mass limit. Clearly, the factor $\frac{3}{2} - \nu$ in eqs. (3.9) and (3.10) vanishes and higher order terms in σ must be included. Also, for the massless case ($m = 0$), it is impossible to choose σ to satisfy the inequalities in (3.11). Nevertheless, when $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$, we have the exact result (to all orders in σ) for eqs. (3.4)–(3.6):

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle = (1 + \sigma^2) \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = -\sigma^2 \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.19)$$

$$\langle \xi_v(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = \sigma^4 \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (3.20)$$

Clearly, this is still a classical stochastic situation if $\sigma \ll 1$, as the commutators are much smaller than the anti-commutators, although the ξ_v noise amplitude depends on σ . Furthermore, the absence of interactions still implies Gaussianity. However, there is no longer an exactly vanishing eigenvalue, but to the leading order we can write

$$\xi_\chi = \frac{H}{2\pi}\xi_n, \quad \xi_v = -\sigma^2\frac{H}{2\pi}\xi_n, \quad (3.21)$$

as the effect of a second independent noise starts at the order σ^3 , which is why it is practically irrelevant. Thus, if $m = 0$, we have essentially no noise on v_l in the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ limit, i.e., for every realization of the stochastic field χ_l , the field v_l is deterministically specified by eq. (2.27) without the ξ_v term.

Finally, at $\nu = 0$, corresponding to $m = 3H/2$, the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ limit of the correlators (3.4)–(3.6) becomes:

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle = \frac{\sigma^3(\log \sigma)^2 H^2}{2\pi^3} \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma^3(\log \sigma)^2 H^2}{2\pi^3} \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (3.23)$$

$$\langle \xi_v(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\sigma^3(\log \sigma)^2 H^2}{2\pi^3} \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (3.24)$$

Thus the measure of classicality (smallness of the commutator compared to eq. (3.23)) is equivalent to the largeness of $(\log \sigma)^2$. This means that σ must be exponentially small. For

example, to achieve a level of classicality that is a thousand times larger than the quantumness ($C = 1000$), we need $\sigma_{1000} \approx \exp(-\sqrt{1000\pi/3}) \sim 10^{-14}$. Furthermore, the noises are Gaussian with only one nonzero eigenvalue, so they are both proportional to a single normalized Gaussian noise ξ_n :

$$\xi_\chi = \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} |\log(\sigma^2)| H \xi_n, \quad \xi_v = -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} |\log(\sigma^2)| H \xi_n. \quad (3.25)$$

These amplitudes are σ -dependent but minuscule. So there is practically no noise and we have a deterministic classical system. This observation confirms the prior expectation that heavier fields behave more classical than lighter ones.

4 Free Massless Field on Accelerating FLRW

In the previous section we worked in an exact dS background. Now we consider a massless field on an FLRW background whose matter content is a perfect fluid whose equation of motion parameter w is time-independent. Of course, as we emphasized before, we also require an inflationary FLRW spacetime, so $w < -\frac{1}{3}$. The evolution of the scale factor can be easily found by solving Friedmann equations and we obtain:

$$\frac{a(\tau)}{a_0} = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^p, \quad \text{where} \quad p = \frac{2}{1+3w}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\epsilon = 1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{3}{2}(1+w), \quad (4.2)$$

$$H(\tau) = \frac{p}{\tau a(\tau)} = H_0 \left(\frac{a(\tau)}{a_0}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}(1+w)} = H_0 e^{-\epsilon N}, \quad (4.3)$$

where τ_0 is an arbitrary reference time used as the origin $N = 0$ of the e -folds and at which the scale factor and the Hubble are set by a_0 and H_0 , respectively. Fig. 2 includes a plot of p as a functions of w .

As before, we have the formulas for the noise at our disposal from section 2. The only non-vanishing commutator can be obtained from eq. (2.13) which now yields

$$[\hat{\xi}_\chi(N_1), \hat{\xi}_v(N_2)] = -i\sigma^3(1+3w) \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (4.4)$$

where $H = H_0 \exp(-\epsilon N_1)$ is the time-dependent Hubble parameter.

The correlators are to be read from the anti-commutators given in eqs. (2.14)–(2.16). To obtain the mode function, we notice that the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation is again of the form (3.1), thanks to the fact that $m = 0$ and the observation that

$$\frac{a''}{a} = \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\tau^2}, \quad (4.5)$$

where⁷

$$\nu = \left| \frac{1}{2} - p \right| = \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{w-1}{1+3w} \right|. \quad (4.6)$$

⁷Actually, the absolute values are unnecessary in the range of interest $w < -1/3$.




Figure 2. Plots of p (solid blue) and ν (dashed red) as functions of w from eqs. (4.1) and (4.6). The relevant range is $w < -\frac{1}{3}$ (to the left of the grey vertical line, where both quantities diverge). Notable values of the triplet (w, p, ν) are $(-1, -1, \frac{3}{2})$ and $(-\frac{5}{3}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. As $w \rightarrow -\infty$ (which is, by the way, highly nonphysical), we have $p \rightarrow 0$ and $\nu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$.

Note that $m = 0$ is crucial in deriving $a''/a \propto 1/\tau^2$, and the presence of mass would ruin this property. Also, as a side remark, note that a qualitative difference with the previous section is that $\nu \geq \frac{3}{2}$ here, whereas $\nu \leq \frac{3}{2}$ there. For reference, we have included a plot of ν as a function of w in fig. 2. Since ν is a constant independent of τ , we have the same solution (3.3) as in the previous subsection, except that ν is now given by eq. (4.6) instead of eq. (3.2). Bearing in mind that $k_\sigma = \sigma\mathcal{H} = p\sigma/\tau$, we find

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle = \frac{\sigma^3 H^2}{8\pi} |H_\nu(-p\sigma)|^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = -\frac{\sigma^4 H^2}{8\pi} \text{Re} [H_\nu(-p\sigma)H_{\nu-1}^*(-p\sigma)] \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\langle \xi_v(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = \frac{\sigma^5 H^2}{8\pi} |H_{\nu-1}(-p\sigma)|^2 \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (4.9)$$

These are exact expressions for the noise amplitude that we are going to exploit in the sequel. Before moving on, let us mention a remarkable cancellation here. Instead of the combination

$$\left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu\right) H_\nu(\sigma) + \sigma H_{\nu-1}(\sigma) \quad (4.10)$$

that appears in eqs. (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\left(1 + \frac{2\nu - 1}{2p}\right) H_\nu(-p\sigma) + \sigma H_{\nu-1}(-p\sigma) \quad (4.11)$$

in eqs. (4.8) and (4.9). Since $\nu = \frac{1}{2} - p$, the term involving H_ν disappears altogether. Also note that the factor $1 - \epsilon$ in the noise amplitudes above is canceled out by other factors coming from the mode functions. As a cross check, we notice that setting $w = -1$ in eqs. (4.7)–(4.9), reduces them to eqs. (3.18)–(3.20), which is reassuring since the latter is the case of a massless field on exact dS background.




Figure 3. The classicality factor C , the LHS/RHS of the inequality (4.12), as a function of σ and ν . The clipped region goes up all over to infinity. The upper range of w is chosen to be slightly less than $-\frac{1}{3}$ in this figure, since there is a blow-up right at $w = -\frac{1}{3}$.

With the aid of eq. (4.8), we now check the classicality criterion (2.20), which now reads

$$-p\sigma \operatorname{Re} [H_\nu(-p\sigma)H_{\nu-1}^*(-p\sigma)] \gg \frac{2}{\pi}. \quad (4.12)$$

Again the classicality factor C is the LHS/RHS of this inequality and is plotted in fig. 3. In contrast to the case of dS space (fig. 1), we see that it is now not necessary to have small σ in order to achieve classicality. In particular, C diverges in the vicinity of $w = -\frac{1}{3}$.

To have a more quantitative picture, consider the small σ expansion of C . There are three series of terms, starting with $\sigma^{2-2\nu}$, σ^0 and $\sigma^{2\nu}$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} C = & \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\nu - 1) \left[\left(\nu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\sigma}{2} \right]^{2-2\nu} (1 + O(\sigma^2)) \\ & - \cot(\nu\pi) (1 + O(\sigma^2)) \\ & + \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\nu + 1) \sin^2(\nu\pi)} \left[\left(\nu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\sigma}{2} \right]^{2\nu} (1 + O(\sigma^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

For $\nu > 1$ the first term dominates and we have always the opportunity to achieve $C \gg 11$, which is desired for classicality. It is now clear that near $w = -\frac{1}{3}$, where ν blows up (see fig. 2), it is quite easy to obtain fairly large values of σ (of course, not larger than 1) that make C large and hence the classicality criterion (4.12) satisfied. This is both due to the large exponent $(2 - 2\nu)$ of σ in eq. (4.13), as well as the presence of huge factorials in its coefficient. Let us define σ_{1000} as the cutoff value at which we can achieve a classicality factor of a thousand ($C = 1000$). Then at $w = -0.4$ we have $\sigma_{1000} = 0.55$, which is equivalent to a




Figure 4. The value of the cutoff parameter σ_{1000} at which the classicality factor C is equal to 1,000.

wavelength cutoff $1/\sigma H$ equal to twice the horizon size. Other values of σ_{1000} are depicted in fig. 4. Note that for $w \gtrsim -0.5$, we can achieve high levels of classicality by choosing $\sigma \sim 1$. This supports our claim that classicality does not generically require $\sigma \ll 1$.

As ν decreases and falls below 1 (corresponding to w falling below $-\frac{5}{3}$) the term in the second line of eq. (4.13) dominates. Since this term is constant in σ , it is now impossible to control the magnitude of C by tuning to small values of σ . This is also evident in fig. 3 where the blow-up at $\sigma = 0$ disappears for $w < -\frac{5}{3}$. Of course, $-\cot(\nu\pi)$ is still large around $\nu = 1$, so classicality is not lost suddenly.

The next natural question is whether we can still have σ -independent noise amplitudes. In general, the noise amplitudes in eqs. (4.7)–(4.9) are σ -dependent, even when the classicality criterion is met. There is a special limit in which σ -independence can be achieved, which we now describe. By looking at the ξ_χ noise in eq. (4.7) we find that we need $H_\nu(-p\sigma)$ to scale like $\sigma^{-3/2}$. Using the small argument expansion of Hankel function $H_\nu(-p\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-\nu}$, we find that this is possible around $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$, i.e., in the quasi-dS regime. Just like the conventional case, we also need to choose σ such that $\sigma^{3-2\nu}$ is close to unity, i.e.,

$$\sigma \gg \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|2\nu - 3|}\right). \quad (4.14)$$

This is the same as the classicality condition of ref. [46]. Under these circumstances the correlators (4.7)–(4.9) become

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_\chi(N_2) \rangle = \left[\Gamma(\nu) \left(\frac{-2}{p} \right)^\nu \right]^2 \frac{H^2}{8\pi^3} \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\langle \xi_\chi(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = -\sigma^2 \Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu - 1) \left(\frac{-2}{p} \right)^{2\nu-1} \frac{H^2}{8\pi^3} \delta(N_1 - N_2), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\langle \xi_v(N_1)\xi_v(N_2) \rangle = \sigma^4 \left[\Gamma(\nu - 1) \left(\frac{-2}{p} \right)^{\nu-1} \right]^2 \frac{H^2}{8\pi^3} \delta(N_1 - N_2). \quad (4.17)$$

We observe that the coefficients of the delta functions are equal to those in eqs. (3.18)–(3.20) (the massless field on exact dS) with corrections of order $w + 1$, as they should. Comparing eq. (4.16) with eq. (4.4), the classicality criterion is found to be $\sigma \ll -p \approx 1$. Thus we recover the same results as before in this special case, which is no surprise, as this is essentially the dS limit.

Finally, we express our noises in terms of normalized Gaussian white noises. In general, the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix formed by eqs. (4.7)–(4.9) are given by eq. (2.25). In the σ -independent case of the last paragraph, the answer reduces to:

$$\xi_\chi = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)^\nu \frac{H}{2\pi} \xi_n, \quad \xi_\chi = -\sigma^2 \frac{\Gamma(\nu-1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)^{\nu-1} \frac{H}{2\pi} \xi_n. \quad (4.18)$$

In principle, one could plug this and other noises we found in this paper into the Langevin equations (2.26) and (2.27) and try to solve them directly or by converting to the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation. This is not awkward as the time-dependence of the noise is straightforward ($\propto e^{-2\epsilon N}$), but it is not the stated purpose of this work.

5 Summary and Discussion

We have revisited the question of classicality of the stochastic noise for a free (non-interacting) field on an accelerating cosmological background. For the problem at hand, classicality reduces to the smallness of the commutators compared to the anti-commutators, and this criterion is contained in Eq. (2.20). We also noticed that of the two classical noises, one has negligible amplitude and there is thus effectively only one independent noise.

We reviewed the commonly studied case of a massive field on an exact dS background in section 3 and confirmed that the cutoff σ (used to separate IR and UV modes) must be small. While all previous studies that we know show this for small mass, we did this for the entire range $3H/2 > m > 0$. We found that for most of the range, $\sigma \ll 1$ is sufficient for classicality, but that we need $\sigma \ll (m/H)^{2/3}$ and $(\log \sigma)^2 \gg 1$, near $m = 0$ and $m = 3H/2$, respectively. The noise amplitude depends on σ , except near $m = 0$ and with the extra assumption $\sigma^2 \gg \exp(-3H^2/m^2)$, in harmony with the existing literature. Although we didn't consider the quasi-dS case with time varying $w \approx -1$, similar results hold there too (see, for example, ref. [46]).

In section 4 we studied the massless field on an accelerating background with general $w > -\frac{1}{3}$, not necessarily close to the quasi-dS regime $w \approx -1$. We obtained exact expressions for the noise amplitudes in Eqs. (4.7)–(4.9), which we used to investigate the classicality criterion. Our key observation was that it is not necessary to have $\sigma \ll 1$ in order to achieve classicality, especially as $w = -\frac{1}{3}$ is approached. This is a novel feature compared to the standard lore near $w = -1$. We emphasize that there is nothing intrinsic about $\sigma < 1$ that connects it to classicality. In fact, there is another approach to stochastic inflation that employs fields coarse-grained on a causally connected subhorizon region and it also yields a classical stochastic picture [37]; in terms of Starobinsky's approach adopted here, this amounts to $\sigma > 1$.

We also found that as long as $w > -\frac{5}{3}$, it is always possible to arrange for classicality by a suitable choice of σ . Of course, $w < -1$ is in conflict with energy conditions and is not well-motivated theoretically. But given some observational motivations for w slightly less than -1 , we didn't exert these extra constraints on our analysis to limit its domain.

A nice feature of the conventional analysis in the quasi-dS regime is that the noise amplitudes are independent of the cutoff σ . We recover the cutoff-independence condition of ref. [46] near $w = -1$ in eq. (4.14), but this feature is lost away from $w = -1$. Although cutoff-independence is an attractive property of a physical observable, the dependence of a quantity on its scale of coarse-graining does not by itself make it irrelevant to the calculation of observables.

We should also mention the assumptions under which our analysis is performed. In the course of the derivation of the results of section 2 and then throughout the paper, we have assumed the Bunch-Davies vacuum as the state in which our expectation values are evaluated. We have also used a sharp window function (Heaviside step function) to define the coarse-grained fields, which is the origin of the whiteness of the noise. In addition, our field was free which simplified the complications that would arise from interaction and led to trivial higher moments of noise and its Gaussian statistics. Modification of any of these assumptions can change our analytical results, but the major conclusion cannot be changed as we have already found an example of classicality with $\sigma \sim 1$.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge financial support from the research council of University of Tehran.

References

- [1] A.H. Guth, *The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems*, *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981) 347.
- [2] A.D. Linde, *A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems*, *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (1982) 389.
- [3] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, *Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1220.
- [4] A. Vilenkin, *Quantum Fluctuations in the New Inflationary Universe*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **226** (1983) 527.
- [5] A. Vilenkin, *The Birth of Inflationary Universes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **27** (1983) 2848.
- [6] A.D. Linde, *Eternally Existing Selfreproducing Chaotic Inflationary Universe*, *Phys. Lett. B* **175** (1986) 395.
- [7] A.A. Starobinsky, *STOCHASTIC DE SITTER (INFLATIONARY) STAGE IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **246** (1986) 107.
- [8] S.-J. Rey, *Dynamics of Inflationary Phase Transition*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **284** (1987) 706.
- [9] M. Aryal and A. Vilenkin, *The Fractal Dimension of Inflationary Universe*, *Phys. Lett. B* **199** (1987) 351.
- [10] A.A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, *Equilibrium state of a selfinteracting scalar field in the De Sitter background*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 6357 [[astro-ph/9407016](#)].
- [11] M. Sasaki, Y. Nambu and K.-i. Nakao, *Classical Behavior of a Scalar Field in the Inflationary Universe*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **308** (1988) 868.
- [12] Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, *Stochastic Stage of an Inflationary Universe Model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **205** (1988) 441.

- [13] Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, *Stochastic approach to chaotic inflation and the distribution of universes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **219** (1989) 240.
- [14] H.E. Kandrup, *STOCHASTIC INFLATION AS A TIME DEPENDENT RANDOM WALK*, *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 2245.
- [15] K.-i. Nakao, Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, *Stochastic Dynamics of New Inflation*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **80** (1988) 1041.
- [16] Y. Nambu, *Stochastic Dynamics of an Inflationary Model and Initial Distribution of Universes*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **81** (1989) 1037.
- [17] S. Mollerach, S. Matarrese, A. Ortolan and F. Lucchin, *Stochastic inflation in a simple two field model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (1991) 1670.
- [18] B. Spokoiny, *Stochastic nonde Sitter inflation*, *Vistas Astron.* **37** (1993) 481 [[hep-th/9305159](#)].
- [19] A.D. Linde, D.A. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, *From the Big Bang theory to the theory of a stationary universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 1783 [[gr-qc/9306035](#)].
- [20] A.D. Linde, D.A. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, *Nonperturbative amplifications of inhomogeneities in a selfreproducing universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 2504 [[gr-qc/9601005](#)].
- [21] K.E. Kunze, *Perturbations in stochastic inflation*, *JCAP* **07** (2006) 014 [[astro-ph/0603575](#)].
- [22] T. Prokopec, N.C. Tsamis and R.P. Woodard, *Stochastic Inflationary Scalar Electrodynamics*, *Annals Phys.* **323** (2008) 1324 [[0707.0847](#)].
- [23] T. Prokopec, N.C. Tsamis and R.P. Woodard, *Two loop stress-energy tensor for inflationary scalar electrodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 043523 [[0802.3673](#)].
- [24] N.C. Tsamis and R.P. Woodard, *Stochastic quantum gravitational inflation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **724** (2005) 295 [[gr-qc/0505115](#)].
- [25] K. Enqvist, S. Nurmi, D. Podolsky and G.I. Rigopoulos, *On the divergences of inflationary superhorizon perturbations*, *JCAP* **04** (2008) 025 [[0802.0395](#)].
- [26] F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, A.A. Starobinsky, G.P. Vacca and G. Venturi, *Generation of fluctuations during inflation: Comparison of stochastic and field-theoretic approaches*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 044007 [[0808.1786](#)].
- [27] F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, A.A. Starobinsky, G.P. Vacca and G. Venturi, *Stochastic growth of quantum fluctuations during slow-roll inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 064020 [[1003.1327](#)].
- [28] B. Garbrecht, G. Rigopoulos and Y. Zhu, *Infrared correlations in de Sitter space: Field theoretic versus stochastic approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 063506 [[1310.0367](#)].
- [29] B. Garbrecht, F. Gautier, G. Rigopoulos and Y. Zhu, *Feynman Diagrams for Stochastic Inflation and Quantum Field Theory in de Sitter Space*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 063520 [[1412.4893](#)].
- [30] C.P. Burgess, R. Holman, G. Tasinato and M. Williams, *EFT Beyond the Horizon: Stochastic Inflation and How Primordial Quantum Fluctuations Go Classical*, *JHEP* **03** (2015) 090 [[1408.5002](#)].
- [31] C.P. Burgess, R. Holman and G. Tasinato, *Open EFTs, IR effects & late-time resummations: systematic corrections in stochastic inflation*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 153 [[1512.00169](#)].
- [32] D. Boyanovsky, *Effective field theory during inflation: Reduced density matrix and its quantum master equation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 023527 [[1506.07395](#)].
- [33] D. Boyanovsky, *Effective field theory during inflation. II. Stochastic dynamics and power spectrum suppression*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 043501 [[1511.06649](#)].
- [34] T. Fujita and I. Obata, *Does anisotropic inflation produce a small statistical anisotropy?*, *JCAP* **01** (2018) 049 [[1711.11539](#)].

- [35] V. Gorbenko and L. Senatore, $\lambda\phi^4$ in dS , [1911.00022](#).
- [36] M. Mirbabayi, *Infrared dynamics of a light scalar field in de Sitter*, *JCAP* **12** (2020) 006 [[1911.00564](#)].
- [37] M. Mirbabayi, *Markovian dynamics in de Sitter*, *JCAP* **09** (2021) 038 [[2010.06604](#)].
- [38] T. Cohen, D. Green, A. Premkumar and A. Ridgway, *Stochastic Inflation at NNLO*, *JHEP* **09** (2021) 159 [[2106.09728](#)].
- [39] D. Cruces and C. Germani, *Stochastic inflation at all order in slow-roll parameters: Foundations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 023533 [[2107.12735](#)].
- [40] D. Cruces, *Review on Stochastic Approach to Inflation*, *Universe* **8** (2022) 334 [[2203.13852](#)].
- [41] T. Fujita, M. Kawasaki, Y. Tada and T. Takesako, *A new algorithm for calculating the curvature perturbations in stochastic inflation*, *JCAP* **12** (2013) 036 [[1308.4754](#)].
- [42] T. Fujita, M. Kawasaki and Y. Tada, *Non-perturbative approach for curvature perturbations in stochastic δN formalism*, *JCAP* **10** (2014) 030 [[1405.2187](#)].
- [43] V. Vennin and A.A. Starobinsky, *Correlation Functions in Stochastic Inflation*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 413 [[1506.04732](#)].
- [44] V. Vennin, H. Assadullahi, H. Firouzjahi, M. Noorbala and D. Wands, *Critical Number of Fields in Stochastic Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 031301 [[1604.06017](#)].
- [45] H. Assadullahi, H. Firouzjahi, M. Noorbala, V. Vennin and D. Wands, *Multiple Fields in Stochastic Inflation*, *JCAP* **06** (2016) 043 [[1604.04502](#)].
- [46] J. Grain and V. Vennin, *Stochastic inflation in phase space: Is slow roll a stochastic attractor?*, *JCAP* **05** (2017) 045 [[1703.00447](#)].
- [47] C. Pattison, V. Vennin, H. Assadullahi and D. Wands, *Quantum diffusion during inflation and primordial black holes*, *JCAP* **10** (2017) 046 [[1707.00537](#)].
- [48] C. Pattison, V. Vennin, H. Assadullahi and D. Wands, *The attractive behaviour of ultra-slow-roll inflation*, *JCAP* **08** (2018) 048 [[1806.09553](#)].
- [49] M. Noorbala, V. Vennin, H. Assadullahi, H. Firouzjahi and D. Wands, *Tunneling in Stochastic Inflation*, *JCAP* **09** (2018) 032 [[1806.09634](#)].
- [50] H. Firouzjahi, A. Nassiri-Rad and M. Noorbala, *Stochastic Ultra Slow Roll Inflation*, *JCAP* **01** (2019) 040 [[1811.02175](#)].
- [51] M. Biagetti, G. Franciolini, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, *Primordial Black Holes from Inflation and Quantum Diffusion*, *JCAP* **07** (2018) 032 [[1804.07124](#)].
- [52] M. Noorbala and H. Firouzjahi, *Boundary crossing in stochastic inflation with a critical number of fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 083510 [[1907.13149](#)].
- [53] C. Pattison, V. Vennin, H. Assadullahi and D. Wands, *Stochastic inflation beyond slow roll*, *JCAP* **07** (2019) 031 [[1905.06300](#)].
- [54] A. Talebian, A. Nassiri-Rad and H. Firouzjahi, *Stochastic Effects in Anisotropic Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 023524 [[1909.12773](#)].
- [55] J.M. Ezquiaga, J. García-Bellido and V. Vennin, *The exponential tail of inflationary fluctuations: consequences for primordial black holes*, *JCAP* **03** (2020) 029 [[1912.05399](#)].
- [56] H. Firouzjahi, A. Nassiri-Rad and M. Noorbala, *Stochastic nonattractor inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 123504 [[2009.04680](#)].
- [57] K. Ando and V. Vennin, *Power spectrum in stochastic inflation*, *JCAP* **04** (2021) 057 [[2012.02031](#)].

- [58] G. Ballesteros, J. Rey, M. Taoso and A. Urbano, *Stochastic inflationary dynamics beyond slow-roll and consequences for primordial black hole formation*, *JCAP* **08** (2020) 043 [[2006.14597](#)].
- [59] C. Pattison, V. Vennin, D. Wands and H. Assadullahi, *Ultra-slow-roll inflation with quantum diffusion*, *JCAP* **04** (2021) 080 [[2101.05741](#)].
- [60] D.G. Figueroa, S. Raatikainen, S. Rasanen and E. Tomberg, *Non-Gaussian Tail of the Curvature Perturbation in Stochastic Ultraslow-Roll Inflation: Implications for Primordial Black Hole Production*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 101302 [[2012.06551](#)].
- [61] D.G. Figueroa, S. Raatikainen, S. Rasanen and E. Tomberg, *Implications of stochastic effects for primordial black hole production in ultra-slow-roll inflation*, *JCAP* **05** (2022) 027 [[2111.07437](#)].
- [62] N. Ahmadi, M. Noorbala, N. Feyzabadi, F. Eghbalpoor and Z. Ahmadi, *Quantum diffusion in sharp transition to non-slow-roll phase*, *JCAP* **08** (2022) 078 [[2207.10578](#)].
- [63] C. Animala and V. Vennin, *Primordial black holes from stochastic tunnelling*, *JCAP* **02** (2023) 043 [[2210.03812](#)].
- [64] A. Talebian, A. Nassiri-Rad and H. Firouzjahi, *Stochastic effects in axion inflation and primordial black hole formation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 103516 [[2202.02062](#)].
- [65] A. Nassiri-Rad, K. Asadi and H. Firouzjahi, *Inflation with stochastic boundary*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 123528 [[2208.08229](#)].
- [66] K. Asadi, A. Nassiri-Rad and H. Firouzjahi, *Stochastic multiple fields inflation: Diffusion dominated regime*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 123537 [[2304.00577](#)].
- [67] E. Tomberg, *Stochastic constant-roll inflation and primordial black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 043502 [[2304.10903](#)].
- [68] N.C. Tsamis and R.P. Woodard, *Improved estimates of cosmological perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 084005 [[astro-ph/0307463](#)].