

## HERMITIAN PLURIHARMONIC MAPS BETWEEN ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

GUANGWEN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In the case where both the domain and target manifolds are almost Hermitian, we introduce the concept of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps. We prove that any holomorphic or anti-holomorphic map between almost Hermitian manifolds is Hermitian pluriharmonic. We also establish some monotonicity formulae for the partial energies of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps into Kähler manifolds. As an application, under appropriate assumptions on the growth of the partial energies, some holomorphicity results are proven.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Basic concepts	2
2.1. Hermitian pluriharmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds	3
2.2. The stress-energy tensors	4
3. Hermitian pluriharmonicity of holomorphic maps	5
4. Monotonicity formulae of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps	7
5. Holomorphicity and constancy of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps	16
References	18

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Harmonic maps from Kähler manifolds are a powerful tool for studying the rigidity of Kähler manifolds, with their holomorphicity being crucial. In [17, 18], Siu studied the holomorphicity of harmonic maps from compact Kähler manifolds into compact Kähler manifolds with strongly negative curvature or compact quotients of irreducible symmetric bounded domains. He used his  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Bochner formula and integration by parts to prove a vanishing theorem, which implies that, in this case, harmonic maps are actually pluriharmonic and some curvature terms of the pull-back complexified tangent bundles vanish. This forces the map to be either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic, provided the map has sufficiently high rank. Later, Sampson [16] extended Siu's work by considering target manifolds as Riemannian manifolds with nonpositive Hermitian curvature, proving that in this case, harmonic maps are also pluriharmonic.

When the domain is a complete non-compact Kähler manifold, the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Bochner technique combined with integration by parts becomes ineffective for studying the holomorphicity of harmonic maps. In this case, the stress-energy tensor related to partial energy density becomes a powerful tool. Dong [5] used this tool to establish monotonicity formulae for (pluri)harmonic maps originating from complete Kähler manifolds equipped with a special exhaustion

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C43, 32Q60.

*Key words and phrases.* Hermitian pluriharmonic map, holomorphic map, almost Hermitian manifold.

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12001410).

function, particularly under certain radial curvature conditions. This led to results on holomorphicity under suitable growth conditions for partial energy. Later, Li [11] improved Dong's results under one of the radial curvature conditions mentioned above.

Similarly, to study the rigidity of the geometric structure of Hermitian manifolds, one needs to consider the case where the domain manifold is Hermitian. To use harmonic maps more effectively in the study of Hermitian manifolds, as in the Kähler case, it is necessary for the harmonic maps to be compatible with the complex structure of the domain manifold. When the domain manifold is a non-Kähler Hermitian manifold, ordinary harmonic maps typically do not possess this property. To address this, Jost and Yau [10] introduced Hermitian harmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds into Riemannian manifolds. These maps are compatible with the complex structure of the domain manifold, meaning that when the target manifold is a Kähler manifold, a holomorphic map is necessarily Hermitian harmonic. Therefore, compared with ordinary harmonic maps, Hermitian harmonic maps are more suitable for studying Hermitian manifolds. Later, Hermitian harmonic maps were studied by some geometers, such as Chen [2], Ni [15], Grunau et al. [9] and Li and Zhang [13]. Continuing along Dong's work, Yang et al. [22] studied the monotonicity formulae and holomorphicity of Hermitian harmonic maps from complete Hermitian manifolds into Kähler manifolds. In addition, Liu and Yang [14] studied several different types of harmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds and provided some existence and holomorphicity results.

The above mainly introduces the geometry and analysis of (generalized) harmonic maps from Kähler or Hermitian manifolds. A natural question arises: *when both the domain and target manifolds are almost Hermitian, how should one define harmonic maps that are compatible with the almost complex structures of both?* Using the second canonical connection, Zhang [23] defined Hermitian harmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds and proved that any (anti-)holomorphic map between almost Hermitian manifolds must be Hermitian harmonic. When the domain manifold is almost Kähler and the target manifold is Kähler, the Hermitian harmonic map degenerates into a harmonic map. In this case, Li and Zhang [12] proved a holomorphicity result. They also derived a strong rigidity result for compact almost Kähler manifolds using a generalized Bochner formula.

Guided by Zhang [23] and inspired by the research of some previously mentioned works, this paper defines Hermitian pluriharmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds using the second canonical connection (see Section 2). We prove that any (anti-)holomorphic map between almost Hermitian manifolds must be Hermitian pluriharmonic (see Section 3). Additionally, following the work of [5] and [22], we extend and partially refine their results under the same radial curvature conditions by selecting more suitable gradient vector fields  $X$  in integral formula (2.7). We establish monotonicity formula for the partial energies of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps from complete almost Hermitian manifolds into Kähler manifolds (see Section 4). Furthermore, under appropriate growth assumptions of the partial energies, we obtain holomorphicity results (see Section 5).

## 2. BASIC CONCEPTS

Let  $(M^{2d}, J)$  be an almost complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2d$ . The Nijenhuis tensor  $\mathcal{N}_J$  of  $(M, J)$  (torsion of  $J$ ) is a tensor field of type  $(1, 2)$  given by

$$4\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[X, JY] + J[JX, Y] - [JX, JY], \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M, J)$  is a  $J$ -invariant Riemannian metric, i.e.,

$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . An almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, g)$  is an almost complex manifold  $(M, J)$  with a Hermitian metric  $g$ . For any  $p \in M$ , denote by  $T_p M^{\mathbb{C}} = T_p M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  the complexified tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$ , and then one has the complexified tangent bundle  $TM^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We can extend the almost complex structure  $J$  and the Hermitian metric  $g$  to  $TM^{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity. The complexified tangent space  $T_p M^{\mathbb{C}}$  can be decomposed into a direct

sum of the eigenspace of  $J$ :

$$T_p M^{\mathbb{C}} = T_p^{1,0} M \oplus T_p^{0,1} M,$$

where  $T_p^{1,0} M = \{X - \sqrt{-1}JX : X \in T_p M\}$  and  $T_p^{0,1} M = \overline{T_p^{1,0} M}$ . We also have the corresponding bundles  $T^{1,0} M$  and  $T^{0,1} M$ .

Let  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$  be the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of the almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, g)$ . Refer to [8], an almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  is called

**K:** Kähler if  $\nabla J = 0$ ;

**AK:** almost Kähler if  $d\omega = 0$ ;

**NK:** nearly Kähler if  $(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_Y J)X = 0$  for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ ;

**QK:** quasi-Kähler if  $(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_{JX} J)JY = 0$  for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ ;

**SK:** semi-Kähler if  $\delta J = 0$ ;

**H:** Hermitian if  $\mathcal{N}_J = 0$ .

It is also pointed out in [8] that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{AK} \subset \mathbf{QK} \subset \mathbf{SK}, \quad \mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{AK} \subset \mathbf{QK} \subset \mathbf{SK}, \quad \mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H} \cap \mathbf{QK} = \mathbf{AK} \cap \mathbf{NK}.$$

**2.1. Hermitian pluriharmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds.** The second canonical connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on an almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, g, \omega)$  (cf. [7]) is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle J(\nabla_X J)Y, Z \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \{ \langle X, (\nabla_{JY} J)Z + J(\nabla_Y J)Z \rangle - \langle X, (\nabla_{JZ} J)Y + J(\nabla_Z J)Y \rangle \}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ . The second canonical connection is the unique connection satisfying the following three condition

$$\tilde{\nabla} g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} J = 0, \quad (\tau^{\tilde{\nabla}})^{1,1} = 0,$$

where  $(\tau^{\tilde{\nabla}})^{1,1}$  is the  $J$ -invariant part of the torsion of  $\tilde{\nabla}$ .

Using the second canonical connections, Zhang [23] defined the following Hermitian harmonic map.

**Definition 2.1** (cf. [23]). A smooth map  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  is called a Hermitian harmonic map between almost Hermitian manifolds, if it satisfies

$$\tilde{\tau}(u) = 0,$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}(u) = \text{tr}_g \tilde{\nabla} du$  is the Hermitian tension field, and  $\tilde{\nabla} du(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_{du(X)}^N (du(Y)) - du(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $\{e_A\}_{A=1}^{2m} = \{e_\alpha; J e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^m$  is the local orthonormal frame field on the domain manifold  $(M^{2m}, g, J)$ . Set

$$E_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_\alpha - \sqrt{-1}J e_\alpha), \quad \bar{E}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}J e_\alpha),$$

then  $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^m$  is the local unitary frame field on  $M$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{\tau}(u) = \text{tr}_g \tilde{\nabla} du = \sum_{A=1}^{2m} \tilde{\nabla} du(e_A, e_A) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left[ \tilde{\nabla} du(E_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) + \tilde{\nabla} du(\bar{E}_\alpha, E_\alpha) \right].$$

Inspired by [23], we introduce the concept of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds by means of the second canonical connections.

**Definition 2.2.** A smooth map  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  is said to be Hermitian pluriharmonic if  $(\tilde{\nabla} du)^{1,1} = 0$ , that is,

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\nabla} du(Z, \bar{W}) = 0$$

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(T^{1,0} M)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** (i) It follows from Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 that any Hermitian pluriharmonic map is Hermitian harmonic. In fact, it is easy to see that equation (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\nabla} du(Z, \bar{W}) + \tilde{\nabla} du(\bar{Z}, W) = 0$$

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M)$ .

(ii) By setting  $Z = X - \sqrt{-1}JX$ ,  $W = Y - \sqrt{-1}JY$ , it is easy to know that a smooth map  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  is Hermitian pluriharmonic if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{\nabla} du(X, Y) + \tilde{\nabla} du(JX, JY) = 0$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

**2.2. The stress-energy tensors.** This part can be found in [5, 17, 20]. Let  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  be a smooth map between almost Hermitian manifolds. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial u &: T^{1,0}M \rightarrow T^{1,0}N, & \bar{\partial} u &: T^{0,1}M \rightarrow T^{1,0}N, \\ \partial \bar{u} &: T^{1,0}M \rightarrow T^{0,1}N, & \bar{\partial} \bar{u} &: T^{0,1}M \rightarrow T^{0,1}N \end{aligned}$$

by

$$du|_{T^{1,0}M} = \partial u + \partial \bar{u}, \quad du|_{T^{0,1}M} = \bar{\partial} u + \bar{\partial} \bar{u}.$$

Indeed, there has an orthogonal decomposition

$$(2.6) \quad du = \frac{1}{2}(du + J^N \circ du \circ J) + \frac{1}{2}(du - J^N \circ du \circ J).$$

It is easy to see that  $\bar{\partial} \bar{u} = \overline{\partial u}$  and  $\partial \bar{u} = \overline{\bar{\partial} u}$ . The map  $u$  is said to be holomorphic<sup>1</sup> (resp. anti-holomorphic) if

$$du \circ J = J^N \circ du \quad (\text{resp. } du \circ J = -J^N \circ du).$$

It follows from the previous decomposition that  $u$  is holomorphic (resp. anti-holomorphic) if and only if  $\bar{\partial} u = 0$  (resp.  $\partial u = 0$ ).

For a smooth map  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$ , from the decomposition (2.6), we introduce two 1-forms  $\sigma, \sigma' \in A^1(u^{-1}TN)$  as follows:

$$\sigma(X) = \frac{du(X) + J^N du(JX)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'(X) = \frac{du(X) - J^N du(JX)}{2}$$

for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . As in [5], one has

$$\sigma(JX) = -J^N \sigma(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'(JX) = J^N \sigma'(X).$$

Thus, both  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are  $J$ -invariant:

$$\langle \sigma(JX), \sigma(JY) \rangle = \langle \sigma(X), \sigma(Y) \rangle, \quad \langle \sigma'(JX), \sigma'(JY) \rangle = \langle \sigma'(X), \sigma'(Y) \rangle.$$

In addition, it is easy to calculate  $|\sigma|^2 = 2|\bar{\partial} u|^2$ ,  $|\sigma'|^2 = 2|\partial u|^2$  and  $|du|^2 = |\sigma|^2 + |\sigma'|^2$ . Hence, the energy density  $e(u) = \frac{1}{2}|du|^2 = |\bar{\partial} u|^2 + |\partial u|^2$ , and then the energy

$$E(u) = \int_M e(u) = \int_M |\bar{\partial} u|^2 + \int_M |\partial u|^2.$$

We call  $E''(u) = \int_M |\bar{\partial} u|^2$  and  $E'(u) = \int_M |\partial u|^2$  are the partial energies of  $u$ , respectively.

---

<sup>1</sup>Since there are no complex coordinates in this case, some authors prefer to use the terms ‘‘almost holomorphic’’ or ‘‘pseudo-holomorphic’’. However, for convenience, we still use the term ‘‘holomorphic’’ in this paper, which can also be found in [6, (9.5)].

Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold and let  $A^p(E) = \Gamma(\Lambda^p T^*M \otimes E)$  be the space of smooth  $p$ -form on  $M$  with values in the Riemannian vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ . For  $\omega \in A^p(E)$ , define a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field  $\omega \odot \omega$  by

$$\omega \odot \omega(X, Y) = \langle \iota_X \omega, \iota_Y \omega \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where  $\iota_X$  is the interior product by  $X$ . The stress-energy tensor of  $\omega$  is given as follows:

$$S_\omega = \frac{|\omega|^2}{2}g - \omega \odot \omega.$$

Recall that for a  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field  $T \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ , its divergence  $\operatorname{div} T \in \Gamma(T^*M)$  is given by

$$(\operatorname{div} T)(X) = \sum_A (\nabla_{e_A} T)(e_A, X), \quad X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

We have the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. [5, 20, 22]).  $(\operatorname{div} S_\omega)(X) = \langle \delta\omega, \iota_X \omega \rangle + \langle \iota_X d\omega, \omega \rangle$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Let  $D$  be any bounded domain of  $M$  with  $C^1$  boundary. We have the following integral formula (cf. [5, 19])

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\partial D} S_\omega(X, \nu) dv = \int_D \left\{ \langle S_\omega, \nabla X^\flat \rangle + (\operatorname{div} S_\omega)(X) \right\} dv,$$

where  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal vector field along  $\partial D$  in  $D$ , and  $X^\flat$  is the dual 1-form of  $X$  and  $\nabla X^\flat$  is given by  $(\nabla X^\flat)(Y, Z) = \langle \nabla_Y X, Z \rangle$  for  $Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

**Note.** For ease of exposition, this paper focuses only on topics such as the Hermitian pluriharmonicity of holomorphic maps, the monotonicity formula of the partial energy  $E''$ , and the holomorphicity of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps. Similarly, for topics related to the Hermitian pluriharmonicity of anti-holomorphic maps, the monotonicity formula of the partial energy  $E'$ , and the anti-holomorphicity of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps, it is simply required to replace the almost complex structure  $J^N$  of the target manifold  $N$  with  $-J^N$ .

Throughout this paper, the Levi-Civita connections on  $M$  and  $N$  are denoted by  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^N$ , respectively, and  $\nabla^u$  the induced connection on  $T^*M \otimes u^{-1}TN$ . Similarly, the second canonical connections on  $M$ , and  $N$  are denoted as  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}^N$ , respectively. We consistently use  $\{e_A\}_{A=1}^{2m} = \{e_\alpha; J e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^m$  to represent a local orthonormal frame field on the domain manifold  $(M, J, g)$ . Additionally, for convenience, we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the inner products on all bundles.

### 3. HERMITIAN PLURIHARMONICITY OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPS

In [23], Zhang proved that any holomorphic map between almost Hermitian manifolds must be Hermitian harmonic. Furthermore, we can also prove the Hermitian pluriharmonicity of holomorphic maps. Precisely, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *Any holomorphic map between almost Hermitian manifolds must be Hermitian pluriharmonic.*

To prove this theorem, we first give a simple lemma whose proof is a straightforward calculation.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be an almost Hermitian manifold and  $\nabla$  its Levi-Civita connection. Then*

$$J(\nabla_X J)Y - (\nabla_{JX} J)Y - J(\nabla_Y J)X + (\nabla_{JY} J)X = 4\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y).$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We only consider the holomorphic case, and the anti-holomorphic case is similar. Let  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  be a holomorphic map between almost Hermitian manifolds. For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla} du(X, Y) + \tilde{\nabla} du(JX, JY) &= \tilde{\nabla}_{du(X)}^N (du(Y)) + \tilde{\nabla}_{du(JX)}^N (du(JY)) - du \left( \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \tilde{\nabla}_{JX} (JY) \right) \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_{du(X)}^N (du(Y)) + \tilde{\nabla}_{J^N du(X)}^N (J^N du(Y)) - du \left( \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \tilde{\nabla}_{JX} (JY) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), a simple calculation yields

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \tilde{\nabla}_{JX}(JY) = \nabla_X Y + \nabla_{JX}(JY) - \frac{1}{2}J(\nabla_X J)Y - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{JX}J)Y - \frac{1}{2}J(\nabla_Y J)X - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{JY}J)X.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + \tilde{\nabla}_{J^N du(X)}^N(J^N du(Y)) &= \nabla_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N(J^N du(Y)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}J^N \left( \nabla_{du(X)}^N J^N \right) du(Y) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) du(X) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_{J^N du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N(J^N du(Y)) &= \left( \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) + J^N (\nabla_{J^N du(X)}^u du)(Y) + J^N du(\nabla_{JX} Y) \\ &= \left( \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) + J^N (\nabla_Y^u du)(JX) - J^N du(\nabla_{JX}(J^2 Y)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J^N (\nabla_Y^u du)JX &= J^N \nabla_{du(Y)}^N(du(JX)) - J^N du((\nabla_Y J)X) - J^N duJ(\nabla_Y X) \\ &= J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) - (\nabla_Y^u du)(X) - du(\nabla_Y X) - J^N du((\nabla_Y J)X) + du(\nabla_Y X) \\ &= J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) - (\nabla_X^u du)(Y) - J^N du((\nabla_Y J)X) \\ &= J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) - \nabla_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + du(\nabla_X Y) - du(J(\nabla_Y J)X) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J^N du(\nabla_{JX}(J^2 Y)) = J^N du((\nabla_{JX}J)JY) + J^N du(J(\nabla_{JX}(JY))) = du(J(\nabla_{JX}J)JY) - du(\nabla_{JX}(JY)).$$

Hence (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N(J^N du(Y)) &= \left( \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N J^N \right) (J^N du(Y)) + J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) + du(\nabla_X Y) \\ &\quad - du(J(\nabla_Y J)X) - du(J(\nabla_{JX}J)Y) + du(\nabla_{JX}(JY)). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\tilde{\nabla}_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + \tilde{\nabla}_{J^N du(X)}^N(J^N du(Y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) - \frac{1}{2} J^N \left( \nabla_{du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_{J^N du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) \\ &\quad + du(\nabla_X Y) - du(J(\nabla_Y J)X) - du(J(\nabla_{JX}J)Y) + du(\nabla_{JX}(JY)). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.2) and (3.6) into (3.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\nabla} du(X, Y) + \tilde{\nabla} du(JX, JY) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} du \{ J(\nabla_X J)Y - (\nabla_{JX}J)Y - J(\nabla_Y J)X + (\nabla_{JY}J)X \} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ J^N \left( \nabla_{du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) - \left( \nabla_{J^N du(X)}^N J^N \right) (du(Y)) - J^N \left( \nabla_{du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) + \left( \nabla_{J^N du(Y)}^N J^N \right) (du(X)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.2, this equation reduces to

$$\tilde{\nabla}du(X, Y) + \tilde{\nabla}du(JX, JY) = 2 \{du(\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y)) - \mathcal{N}_{J^N}(du(X), du(Y))\}.$$

However, it is obvious that  $du(\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y)) = \mathcal{N}_{J^N}(du(X), du(Y))$  since  $u$  is holomorphic. Hence the conclusion that  $u$  is Hermitian pluriharmonic follows from Remark 2.2, (ii).  $\square$

#### 4. MONOTONICITY FORMULAE OF HERMITIAN PLURIHARMONIC MAPS

In this section, we use integral formula (2.7), where  $\omega = \sigma$ , to derive the monotonicity formula for the partial energy  $E''$  of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps into a Kähler manifold. To this end, we need some preliminary work to estimate each integrand in (2.7).

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  be a smooth map from an almost Hermitian manifold to a Kähler manifold. Then  $u$  is a Hermitian pluriharmonic map if and only if it satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla du(X, Y) + \nabla du(JX, JY) + du(\alpha(X, Y)) = 0,$$

where  $\nabla du$  is the second fundamental form in the Levi-Civita connections of  $M$  and  $N$ , and

$$\alpha(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}J \{(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_{JX} J)JY + (\nabla_Y J)X + (\nabla_{JY} J)JX\}.$$

*Proof.* It is evident that the Kähler condition ( $\nabla^N J^N = 0$ ) implies that the second canonical connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^N$  is consistent with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^N$ . For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}du(X, Y) = \nabla_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) - du(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y).$$

Therefore,

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}du(X, Y) + \tilde{\nabla}du(JX, JY) = \nabla_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + \nabla_{du(JX)}^N(du(JY)) - du(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \tilde{\nabla}_{JX}(JY)).$$

By  $\langle, \rangle$  is  $J$ -invariant, that is,  $J$  is skew self-adjoint, and it is easy to know that  $\nabla_* J$  is skew self-adjoint. In addition, we also have  $J(\nabla_* J) = -(\nabla_* J)J$ . Hence, a simple calculation yields

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \tilde{\nabla}_{JX}(JY) = \nabla_X Y + \nabla_{JX}(JY) - \alpha(X, Y).$$

Substituting (4.3) into (4.2), we derive that

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{\nabla}du(X, Y) + \tilde{\nabla}du(JX, JY) = \nabla du(X, Y) + \nabla du(JX, JY) + du(\alpha(X, Y)).$$

Combining with Remark 2.2, (ii), we complete the proof.  $\square$

By taking  $X = Y = e_\alpha$  in equation (4.4) and summing it over  $i$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{\tau}(u) = \tau(u) + du\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \alpha(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)\right) = \tau(u) + du(-J\delta J),$$

where  $\delta$  is the co-differential operator, which is the adjoint of  $d$  with respect to  $\int_M \langle, \rangle dv_g$ . Hence we have

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  be a smooth map from an almost Hermitian manifold to a Kähler manifold. Then  $u$  is a Hermitian harmonic map if and only if it satisfies*

$$(4.5) \quad \tau(u) + du(V) = 0,$$

where  $V = -J\delta J$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $V$  be a smooth vector field on a Riemannian manifold  $M$ . A smooth map  $u : M \rightarrow N$  between Riemannian manifolds is said to be  $V$ -harmonic, if it satisfies equation (4.5) (cf. [3, 4]). Therefore, any Hermitian harmonic map from an almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, g)$  to a Kähler manifold is  $V$ -harmonic with  $V = -J\delta J$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  be a Hermitian pluriharmonic map from an almost Hermitian manifold to a Kähler manifold, then  $\delta\sigma = \sigma(V)$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\sigma &= - \sum_A (\nabla_{e_A}^u \sigma)(e_A) \\
&= - \sum_A \nabla_{du(e_A)}^N (\sigma(e_A)) + \sum_A \sigma(\nabla_{e_A} e_A) \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \nabla_{du(e_A)}^N (du(e_A)) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \nabla_{du(e_A)}^N (J^N du J(e_A)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} du \left( \sum_A \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A} e_A \right) + \frac{1}{2} J^N du J \left( \sum_A \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A} e_A \right) + \sigma \left( \sum_A (\nabla_{e_A} e_A - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A} e_A) \right) \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \tilde{\nabla} du(e_A, e_A) - \frac{1}{2} J^N \sum_A \tilde{\nabla} du(e_A, J e_A) + \sigma \left( \sum_A (\nabla_{e_A} e_A - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A} e_A) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used  $\tilde{\nabla} J = \nabla^N J^N = 0$ . However, the assumption that  $u$  is a Hermitian pluriharmonic map (and then a Hermitian harmonic map) implies that  $\sum_A \tilde{\nabla} du(e_A, J e_A) = \sum_i \left\{ \tilde{\nabla} du(e_\alpha, J e_\alpha) + \tilde{\nabla} du(J e_\alpha, J^2 e_\alpha) \right\} = 0$  and  $\sum_A \tilde{\nabla} du(e_A, e_A) = 0$ . On the other hand, by (2.2), for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \sum_A (\nabla_{e_A} e_A - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A} e_A), Z \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \langle J(\nabla_{e_A} J)e_A, Z \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_A \langle e_A, (\nabla_{J e_A} J)Z + J(\nabla_{e_A} J)Z \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_A \langle e_A, (\nabla_{JZ} J)e_A + J(\nabla_Z J)e_A \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By repeatedly using the property of the skew self-adjoint of  $J$  and  $\nabla_\star J$ , and noting that  $J(\nabla_\star J) = -(\nabla_\star J)J$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e_A, (\nabla_{J e_A} J)Z \rangle &= -\langle J(\nabla_{J e_A} J)J e_A, Z \rangle, \\
\langle e_A, J(\nabla_{e_A} J)Z \rangle &= -\langle J(\nabla_{e_A} J)e_A, Z \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle e_A, (\nabla_{JZ} J)e_A \rangle = \langle e_A, J(\nabla_Z J)e_A \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_A (\nabla_{e_A} e_A - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A} e_A) = \sum_A J(\nabla_{e_A} J)e_A = -J\delta J = V.$$

So in summary, we derive that  $\delta\sigma = \sigma(V)$ . □

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  be a Hermitian pluriharmonic map from an almost Hermitian manifold to a Kähler manifold, then  $d\sigma = J^N \circ du \circ \mathcal{N}_J$ .*



*Proof.* For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma(X, Y) &= \nabla_{du(X)}^N(\sigma(Y)) - \nabla_{du(Y)}^N(\sigma(X)) - \sigma([X, Y]) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{du(X)}^N(du(Y)) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{du(X)}^N(J^N duJ(Y)) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{du(Y)}^N(du(X)) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{du(Y)}^N(J^N duJ(X)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}du(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) - \frac{1}{2}J^N duJ(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{du(X)}^N(J^N duJ(Y)) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{du(Y)}^N(J^N duJ(X)) - \frac{1}{2}J^N duJ(\nabla_X Y) + \frac{1}{2}J^N duJ(\nabla_Y X) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}J^N \nabla du(X, JY) - \frac{1}{2}J^N \nabla du(JX, Y) + \frac{1}{2}J^N du(\nabla_X J)Y - \frac{1}{2}J^N du(\nabla_Y J)X.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla du(X, JY) - \nabla du(JX, Y) &= -du(\alpha(X, JY)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}duJ\{(\nabla_X J)JY - (\nabla_{JX} J)Y + (\nabla_{JY} J)X - (\nabla_Y J)JX\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}du\{(\nabla_X J)Y - J(\nabla_{JX} J)Y + J(\nabla_{JY} J)X - (\nabla_Y J)X\}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma(X, Y) &= -\frac{1}{4}J^N du\{(\nabla_X J)Y - J(\nabla_{JX} J)Y + J(\nabla_{JY} J)X - (\nabla_Y J)X\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}J^N du(\nabla_X J)Y - \frac{1}{2}J^N du(\nabla_Y J)X \\
&= \frac{1}{4}J^N du\{(\nabla_X J)Y + J(\nabla_{JX} J)Y - (\nabla_Y J)X - J(\nabla_{JY} J)X\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $d\sigma(X, Y) = J^N du(\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y))$ . By the arbitrary of  $X$  and  $Y$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

On a complete Riemannian manifold  $M$  with a pole  $x_0$ , a radial plane is a plane in  $T_x M$  ( $x \in M - \{x_0\}$ ) that contains  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ . By the radial curvature, it means that the restriction of the sectional curvature function to all the radial planes.

**Lemma 4.5** (cf. [5, Lemma 4.5]). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Denote by  $K_r$  the radial curvature of  $M$ .*

(i) *If  $K_r \leq 0$ , then*

$$\text{Hess } r \geq \frac{1}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr).$$

(ii) *If  $K_r \leq b^2/(1+r^2)$  with  $b^2 \in [0, 1/4]$ , then*

$$\text{Hess } r \geq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4b^2}}{2r}(g - dr \otimes dr).$$

(iii) *If  $K_r \leq B/(1+r^2)^{1+\varepsilon}$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq B < 2\varepsilon$ , then*

$$\text{Hess } r \geq \frac{1 - \frac{B}{2\varepsilon}}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr).$$

(iv) *If  $K_r \leq -\beta^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\text{Hess } r \geq \beta \coth(\beta r)(g - dr \otimes dr).$$

(v) If  $K_r \leq -a^2/(1+r^2)$  with  $a > 0$ , then

$$\text{Hess } r \geq \max \left\{ \frac{A}{1+r}, \frac{1}{r} \right\} (g - dr \otimes dr), \quad \text{where } A := \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4a^2}}{2}.$$

Let  $u : (M, J, g) \rightarrow (N, J^N, h)$  is a smooth map between almost Hermitian manifolds. Recall the definition of the stress-energy tensor  $S_\omega$  in Section 2.2 and replace  $\omega$  with  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(du + J^N du J)$  to get  $S_\sigma = \frac{1}{2}|\sigma|^2 g - \sigma \odot \sigma$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a complete  $2m$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ , and let  $H$  be a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor on  $M$ . Denote by  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{2m}$  the eigenvalues of  $H$ . Then*

$$(4.6) \quad \langle S_\sigma, H \rangle \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\lambda_i + \lambda_{m+i}) |\bar{\partial}u|^2.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $S_\sigma$ , we have

$$\langle S_\sigma, H \rangle = \frac{1}{2}|\sigma|^2 \text{tr}_g H - \langle \sigma \odot \sigma, H \rangle.$$

We choose a orthonormal basis  $\{e_A\}_{A=1}^{2m} = \{e_1, \dots, e_m, Je_1, \dots, Je_m\}$  at a point such that

$$H(e_A, e_B) = \lambda_A \delta_{AB}.$$

Then

$$\langle \sigma \odot \sigma, H \rangle = \sum_{A=1}^{2m} \langle \sigma(e_A), \sigma(e_A) \rangle H(e_A, e_A) = \sum_{A=1}^{2m} \lambda_A |\sigma(e_A)|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_i |\sigma(e_\alpha)|^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_{m+i} |\sigma(Je_\alpha)|^2.$$

Noting that  $\sigma$  is  $J$ -invariant, we have  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\sigma(e_\alpha)| = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\sigma(Je_\alpha)| = \frac{|\sigma|^2}{2}$ . Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\sigma, H \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}|\sigma|^2 \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_{m+i} \right) - \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_i |\sigma(e_\alpha)|^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_{m+i} |\sigma(Je_\alpha)|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|\sigma|^2 \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \lambda_{m+i} \right) - \left( \lambda_m \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\sigma(e_\alpha)|^2 + \lambda_{2m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\sigma(Je_\alpha)|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|\sigma|^2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} (\lambda_i + \lambda_{m+i}). \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\sigma$  is  $J$ -invariant. The conclusion follows from  $|\sigma|^2 = 2|\bar{\partial}u|^2$ .  $\square$

For two symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  on a manifold, we write  $H_1 \geq H_2$ , meaning that  $H_1 - H_2$  is nonnegative definite on the whole manifold.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a complete  $2m$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Suppose  $f(r)$  be a non-decreasing convex  $C^2$  function on  $(0, +\infty)$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{2m}$  are the eigenvalues of  $\text{Hess } f(r)$ . If there exists a positive function  $h(r)$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  such that*

$$\text{Hess } r \geq h(r)(g - dr \otimes dr)$$

on  $M - \{x_0\}$ , then

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\lambda_i + \lambda_{m+i}) \geq \begin{cases} f''(r) + (2m-3)f'(r)h(r) & \text{if } f'(r)h(r) \geq f''(r), \\ 2(m-1)f'(r)h(r) & \text{if } f'(r)h(r) < f''(r). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By the assumptions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess } f(r) &= f''(r)dr \otimes dr + f'(r)h(r)\text{Hess } r \\ &\geq f''(r)dr \otimes dr + f'(r)h(r)(g - dr \otimes dr) \\ &= f'(r)h(r)g + [f''(r) - f'(r)h(r)]dr \otimes dr. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the eigenvalues of  $f'(r)h(r)g + [f''(r) - f'(r)h(r)]dr \otimes dr$  are  $f'(r)h(r)$  with multiplicities  $2m - 1$  and  $f''(r)$  with multiplicity 1. So the conclusion is obvious.  $\square$

For the case where the radial curvature satisfies one of (i),(ii) and (iii) in Lemma 4.5, we take  $f(r) = \frac{r^2}{2}$  in Lemma 4.7 and get

**Lemma 4.8** (cf. [5]). *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$ . Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies one of (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 4.5, where  $r$  is the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Denote by  $\mu_1 \leq \dots \leq \mu_{2m}$  the eigenvalues of  $\text{Hess } \frac{r^2}{2}$ . Then*

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\mu_i + \mu_{m+i}) \geq \begin{cases} 2(m-1) & \text{if } K_r \text{ satisfies (i)} \\ (m-1)(1 + \sqrt{1-4b^2}) & \text{if } K_r \text{ satisfies (ii)} \\ 2(m-1)\left(1 - \frac{B}{2\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } K_r \text{ satisfies (iii)}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)' = r$ ,  $\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)'' = 1$  and  $r \cdot \frac{\delta}{r} \leq 1$  when  $\delta = 1, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4b^2}}{2}$  or  $1 - \frac{B}{2\varepsilon}$ , the conclusion follows directly from Lemma 4.7.  $\square$

When the radial curvature satisfies one of (iv) and (v) in Lemma 4.5, we take  $f(r)$  such that it satisfies  $f'(r)h(r) \geq f''(r)$ . Precisely, we have the following two lemma.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$ . Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies condition (iv) in Lemma 4.5:  $K_r \leq -\beta^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ , where  $r$  is the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Denote by  $\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{2m}$  the eigenvalues of  $\text{Hess } \cosh(\beta r)$ . Then*

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\xi_i + \xi_{m+i}) \geq 2(m-1)\beta^2 \cosh(\beta r).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5, (iv),  $h(r) = \beta \coth(\beta r)$ . Hence we have

$$(\cosh(\beta r))' h(r) = \beta \sinh(\beta r) \cdot \beta \coth(\beta r) = \beta^2 \cosh(\beta r) = (\cosh(\beta r))'',$$

and the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$ . Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies condition (v) in Lemma 4.5:  $K_r \leq -a^2/(1+r^2)$  with  $a > 0$ , where  $r$  is the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Denote by  $\chi_1 \leq \dots \leq \chi_{2m}$  the eigenvalues of  $\text{Hess } \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1}$ . Then*

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\chi_i + \chi_{m+i}) \geq \max \left\{ 2(m-1)A(1+r)^{A-1}, A(1+r)^{A-1} + (2m-3)\frac{(1+r)^A}{r} \right\}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5, (iv),  $h(r) = \max \left\{ \frac{A}{1+r}, \frac{1}{r} \right\}$ . (1) If  $\frac{A}{1+r} \geq \frac{1}{r}$ , then  $h(r) = \frac{A}{1+r}$ , and then

$$\left( \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1} \right)' h(r) = A(1+r)^{A-1} = \left( \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1} \right)''.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.7 that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\chi_i + \chi_{m+i}) \geq 2(m-1)A(1+r)^{A-1}.$$

(2) If  $\frac{A}{1+r} < \frac{1}{r}$ , then  $h(r) = \frac{1}{r}$ , and then

$$\left( \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1} \right)' h(r) = (1+r)^A \cdot \frac{1}{r} = (1+r)^{A-1} \cdot \frac{1+r}{r} > A(1+r)^{A-1} = \left( \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1} \right)''$$

It follows from Lemma 4.7 that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\chi_i + \chi_{m+i}) \geq A(1+r)^{A-1} + (2m-3) \frac{(1+r)^A}{r}.$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

Next we prove monotonicity formulae for Hermitian pluriharmonic map into Kähler manifolds. When the domain manifold is Hermitian or Kähler, the following first theorem is part of [22, Theorem 3.3] and [5, Theorem 4.7], respectively, and we write it down for completeness as well. For the rest of this section and the next, we assume that the real dimension of  $M$  is at least 4, i.e.,  $m \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies one of (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 4.5. Suppose  $u : M \rightarrow N$  is a Hermitian pluriharmonic map into a Kähler manifold  $N$  and it satisfies  $\text{Im } \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker } du$ . If  $|V| \leq \frac{C_1}{r}$ , where  $C_1 < D/2$  and*

$$D = \begin{cases} 2(m-1) & \text{if } K_r \text{ satisfies (i),} \\ (m-1)(1 - \sqrt{1-4b^2}) & \text{if } K_r \text{ satisfies (ii),} \\ 2(m-1) \left(1 - \frac{B}{2\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } K_r \text{ satisfies (iii),} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{r_1^\lambda} \int_{B_{r_1}(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{r_2^\lambda} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

for any  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ , where  $\lambda = D - 2C_1 > 0$ .

*Proof.* If choose  $X = \text{grad } \frac{r^2}{2} = r \text{grad } r$ , then  $X^\flat = d\frac{r^2}{2} = r dr$  and  $\nabla X^\flat = \text{Hess } \frac{r^2}{2}$ . We evaluate each integrand in the integral formula (2.7). We have the following inequality on  $\partial B_r(x_0)$ ,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} S_\sigma(X, \nu) &= \frac{1}{2} |\sigma|^2 \left\langle r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right\rangle - \left\langle \sigma \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right), \sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\leq r |\bar{\partial}u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.6, in which  $H = \nabla X^\flat = \text{Hess } \frac{r^2}{2}$ , that

$$(4.12) \quad \langle S_\sigma, \nabla X^\flat \rangle \geq D |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

in  $B_r(x_0)$ . By Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and Lemma 2.3 with  $\omega = \sigma$ , combined with conditions  $\text{Im } \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker } du$  and  $|V| = |V| \leq \frac{C_1}{r}$ , we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{div } S_\sigma)(X) &= \langle \sigma(V), \sigma(X) \rangle \\ &\geq -|V||X||\sigma|^2 \\ &\geq -2C_1 |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

in  $B_r(x_0)$ . By substituting (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) into (2.7) yields

$$r \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \geq \lambda \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2.$$

It is obvious from this inequality that

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2}{\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2} \geq \frac{\lambda}{r}$$

for any  $r > 0$ . By integration over  $[r_1, r_2]$ , we obtain (4.10).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Suppose  $u : M \rightarrow N$  is a Hermitian pluriharmonic map into a Kähler manifold  $N$  and it satisfies  $\text{Im } \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker } du$ .*

(1) *Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies  $K_r \leq -\beta^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ . If  $|V| \leq \coth(\beta r)C_2$ , where  $C_2 < (m-1)\beta$ , then*

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{\sinh^{2(m-1)-2C_2/\beta}(\beta r_1)} \int_{B_{r_1}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sinh^{2(m-1)-2C_2/\beta}(\beta r_2)} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

for any  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ .

(2) *Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies  $K_r \leq -a^2/(1+r^2)$  with  $a > 0$ . If  $|V| \leq \frac{C_3}{r+1}$ , where  $C_3 < (m-1)A$ , then*

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{1}{(1+r_1)^{2(m-1)A-2C_3}} \int_{B_{r_1}(x_0)} (1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1+r_2)^{2(m-1)A-2C_3}} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} (1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

for any  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ .

*Proof.* (1) If choose  $X = \text{grad } \cosh(\beta r) = \beta \sinh(\beta r) \text{grad } r$ , then  $X^\flat = d \cosh(\beta r) = \beta \cosh(\beta r) dr$  and  $\nabla X^\flat = \text{Hess } \cosh(\beta r)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma(X, \nu) &= \frac{1}{2} |\sigma|^2 \left\langle \beta \sinh(\beta r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right\rangle - \left\langle \sigma \left( \beta \sinh(\beta r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right), \sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \beta \sinh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

on  $\partial B_r(x_0)$ . It follows from Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.6, in which  $H = \nabla X^\flat = \text{Hess } \cosh(\beta r)$ , that

$$\langle S_\sigma, \nabla X^\flat \rangle \geq 2(m-1)\beta^2 \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

in  $B_r(x_0)$ . By Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and Lemma 2.3 with  $\omega = \sigma$ , combined with conditions  $\text{Im } \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker } du$  and  $|V| \leq \coth(\beta r)C_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{div } S_\sigma)(X) &= \langle \sigma(V), \sigma(X) \rangle \\ &\geq -|V| |X| |\sigma|^2 \\ &\geq -2 \coth(\beta r) C_2 \beta \sinh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \\ &= -2C_2 \beta \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

in  $B_r(x_0)$ . Substitute these inequalities into (2.7), we get

$$\sinh(\beta r) \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \geq (2(m-1)\beta - 2C_2) \int_{B_r(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{d}{dr} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2}{\int_{B_r(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2} &\geq \frac{(2(m-1)\beta - 2C_2) \cosh(\beta r)}{\sinh(\beta r)} \\ &= (2(m-1) - 2C_2/\beta) \frac{\frac{d}{dr} \sinh(\beta r)}{\sinh(\beta r)} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $r > 0$ . By integration over  $[r_1, r_2]$ , we obtain (4.14).

(2) If choose  $X = \text{grad} \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1} = (1+r)^A \text{grad} r$ , then  $X^\flat = d \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1} = (1+r)^A dr$  and  $\nabla X^\flat = \text{Hess} \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1}$ . We have

$$S_\sigma(X, \nu) \leq (1+r)^A |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

on  $\partial B_r(x_0)$ . By Lemmas 4.10 and 4.6 with  $H = \nabla X^\flat = \text{Hess} \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1}$ , we have

$$\langle S_\sigma, \nabla X^\flat \rangle \geq 2(m-1)A(1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

in  $B_r(x_0)$ . By the assumptions we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{div} S_\sigma)(X) &= \langle \sigma(V), \sigma(X) \rangle \\ &\geq -|V||X||\sigma|^2 \\ &\geq -2C_3(1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

in  $B_r(x_0)$ . Substituting these inequalities into (2.7), we get

$$(1+r)^A \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \geq (2(m-1)A - 2C_3) \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1+r(x))^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dr} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (1+r(x))^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2}{\int_{B_r(x_0)} (1+r(x))^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2} \geq \frac{2(m-1)A - 2C_3}{1+r}$$

for any  $r > 0$ . By integration over  $[r_1, r_2]$ , we obtain (4.15).  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Theorems 4.11 and 4.12 extend [5, Theorem 4.7] and [22, Theorem 3.3] to the case of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps originating from almost Hermitian manifolds. Specially, when the domain manifold is Hermitian or Kähler, Theorem 4.12 improves upon their results under curvature condition (iv) and (v) in Lemma 4.5. additionally, note that as  $r \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\coth(\beta r)$  and  $1/r$  are of the same order, and  $\coth(\beta r) > 1$  on  $(0, +\infty)$ . Thus, compared to [22, Theorem 3.3], the assumption on the length of  $V$  is more relaxed under curvature condition (iv). In addition, we note that when the domain manifold degenerates into a Kähler manifold and its radial curvature satisfies  $K_r \leq -\beta^2 < 0$ , the corresponding monotonicity formula has already been obtained by Li [11].

**Remark 4.3.** Since our ultimate goal is to obtain the holomorphicity of the Hermitian pluriharmonic maps, and for holomorphic maps, we have  $du(\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y)) = \mathcal{N}_{JN}(du(X), du(Y))$  for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, when the target manifold is a Kähler manifold, it naturally follows that  $du(\mathcal{N}_J(X, Y)) = 0$ , giving us reason to assume  $\text{Im} \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker} du$ . Of course, if more specifically  $\mathcal{N}_J = 0$ , then our theorems concern Hermitian pluriharmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds into Kähler manifolds.

Now we present an example where  $|V| = O(1)$  near infinity. For  $|V| = O(1/r)$  near infinity, see [22, Example 3.8], which provides an example on a conformal Kähler manifold. In fact, this also applies to conformal semi-Kähler manifolds, so it will not be repeated here. From (2.1), it is evident that conformal semi-Kähler manifolds constitute a larger class of almost Hermitian manifolds than conformal Kähler manifolds.

**Example 4.13.** Let  $(M^{2m}, J, g)$  be a complete semi-Kähler manifold with a pole  $x_0$ . Denote by  $\nabla^g$  and  $r(x)$  the  $g$ -Levi-Civita connection and the  $g$ -distance function, respectively. Assume  $\varphi = \varphi(t)$  is a smooth positive function on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and consider the conformal metric  $\tilde{g} = \varphi^2(r)g$ . We know that for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$\nabla_X^{\tilde{g}} Y = \nabla_X^g Y + X(\ln \varphi)Y + Y(\ln \varphi)X - g(X, Y)\text{grad}_g \ln \varphi,$$

where  $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}$  is the  $\tilde{g}$ -Levi-Civita connection. From this relation, it is easy to compute that

$$V = -J\delta_{\tilde{g}}J = \frac{2(1-m)\varphi'(r)}{\varphi^3(r)}\text{grad } r.$$

Thus, if  $\varphi'(r) < 0$ , we obtain a complete almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, \tilde{g})$  on which

$$|V|_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{2(1-m)\varphi'(r)}{\varphi^4(r)}.$$

We let

$$\frac{2(1-m)\varphi'(r)}{\varphi^4(r)} = C$$

and integrate this over  $[1, r]$  to obtain

$$\varphi(r) = \left( \varphi^{-3}(1) + \frac{3C}{2(m-1)}(r-1) \right)^{-1/3}.$$

We redefine it as follows (still denoted as  $\varphi$ ):

$$\varphi(r) = \begin{cases} \varphi(1), & r < 1, \\ \left( \varphi^{-3}(1) + \frac{3C}{2(m-1)}(r-1) \right)^{-1/3}, & r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We smooth  $\varphi(r)$  near  $r = 1$  to obtain a function  $\tilde{\varphi}(r)$  such that

$$\frac{2(1-m)\tilde{\varphi}'(r)}{\tilde{\varphi}^4(r)} \leq C.$$

It is clear that the almost Hermitian manifold  $(M^{2m}, J, \tilde{g} = \tilde{\varphi}^2(r)g)$  satisfies  $|V|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$ .

Next, we hope to establish monotonicity formulae outside a compact subset of a complete almost Hermitian manifold.

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Suppose  $u : M \rightarrow N$  is a Hermitian pluriharmonic map into a Kähler manifold  $N$  and it satisfies  $\text{Im } \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker } du$ .*

(a) *Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies  $K_r \leq -\beta^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ . If  $|V| \leq \coth(\beta r)C'_2$  on  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)$ , where  $R_0 > 0$  and  $C'_2 < (m-1)\beta$ , then*

(4.16)

$$\frac{1}{\sinh^{2(m-1)-2C'_2/\beta}(\beta r_1)} \int_{B_{r_1}(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sinh^{2(m-1)-2C'_2/\beta}(\beta r_2)} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

for any  $R_0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ .

(b) *Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies  $K_r \leq -a^2/(1+r^2)$  with  $a > 0$ . If  $|V| \leq \frac{C'_3}{r+1}$  on  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)$ , where  $R_0 > 0$ ,  $C'_3 < C(R_0)/2$  and*

$$C(R_0) = \begin{cases} 2(m-1)A & \text{if } \frac{AR_0}{1+R_0} \geq 1, \\ A + (2m-3) \left(1 + \frac{1}{R_0}\right) & \text{if } \frac{AR_0}{1+R_0} < 1, \end{cases}$$

then

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{1}{(1+r_1)^{C(R_0)-2C'_3}} \int_{B_{r_1}(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} (1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1+r_2)^{C(R_0)-2C'_3}} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} (1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2$$

for any  $R_0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ .

*Proof.* (a) Take  $X = \text{grad} \cosh(\beta r) = \beta \sinh(\beta r) \text{grad} r$ . For any  $r > R_0$ , we let  $D = B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)$  and apply (2.7) on  $D$ . First, using Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D} S_\sigma(X, \nu) &= \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} S_\sigma \left( X, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \int_{\partial B_{R_0}(x_0)} S_\sigma \left( X, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \\ &= \beta \sinh(\beta r) \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} S_\sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \beta \sinh(\beta R_0) \int_{\partial B_{R_0}(x_0)} S_\sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \\ &\leq \beta \sinh(\beta r) \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} S_\sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \\ &\leq \beta \sinh(\beta r) \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

since  $S_\sigma(\nu, \nu) = \frac{|\sigma|^2}{2} - \langle \sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right), \sigma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \rangle \geq 0$ . On the other hand, by (2.7) and the proof of Theorem 4.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D} S_\sigma(X, \nu) &= \int_{B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \left\{ \langle S_\sigma, \nabla X^b \rangle + (\text{div} S_\sigma)(X) \right\} \\ &\geq (2(m-1)\beta^2 - 2C'_2\beta) \int_{B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By combining these two inequalities, we obtain

$$\sinh(\beta r) \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \geq (2(m-1)\beta - 2C'_2) \int_{B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{d}{dr} \int_{B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2}{\int_{B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r(x)) |\bar{\partial}u|^2} &\geq \frac{(2(m-1)\beta - 2C'_2) \cosh(\beta r)}{\sinh(\beta r)} \\ &= (2(m-1) - 2C'_2/\beta) \frac{\frac{d}{dr} \sinh(\beta r)}{\sinh(\beta r)} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $r > R$ . By integration over  $[r_1, r_2]$ , we obtain (4.16).

(b) Take  $X = \text{grad} \frac{(1+r)^{A+1}}{A+1}$ , apply (2.7) on  $D = B_r(x_0) \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)$ . The remaining steps of the proof are similar to the proof of (a), where the value of  $C(R_0)$  only requires attention to Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

## 5. HOLOMORPHICITY AND CONSTANCY OF HERMITIAN PLURIHARMONIC MAPS

In this section, we use the monotonicity formula, under certain growth assumptions on the partial energy  $E''$ , to obtain the holomorphicity of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 4.11, furthermore, if the partial energy of  $u$  satisfies*

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 = o(r^\lambda) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $u$  is holomorphic.



*Proof.* From Theorem (4.11) and condition (5.1), in monotonicity formula (4.10), letting  $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , we immediately obtain that  $u$  is holomorphic.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a  $2m$ -dimensional complete almost Hermitian manifold with a pole  $x_0$  and  $r$  the distance function relative to  $x_0$ . Suppose  $u : M \rightarrow N$  is a Hermitian pluriharmonic map into a Kähler manifold  $N$  and it satisfies  $\text{Im } \mathcal{N}_J \subset \text{Ker } du$ .*

(1) *Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies  $K_r \leq -\beta^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ . If  $|V| \leq \coth(\beta r)C_2$ , where  $C_2 < (m-1)\beta$ , and*

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 = o\left(e^{[(2m-3)\beta-2C_2]r}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then  $u$  is holomorphic.*

(2) *Suppose the radial curvature  $K_r$  of  $M$  satisfies  $K_r \leq -a^2/(1+r^2)$  with  $a > 0$ . If  $|V| \leq \frac{C_3}{r+1}$ , where  $C_3 < (m-1)A$ , and*

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 = o\left((1+r)^{(2m-3)A+1-2C_3}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then  $u$  is holomorphic.*

*Proof.* (1) Noting that the right-hand side of monotonicity formula (4.14) can be enlarged to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sinh^{2(m-1)-2C_2/\beta}(\beta r_2)} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} \cosh(\beta r) |\bar{\partial}u|^2 &\leq \frac{\cosh(\beta r_2)}{\sinh^{2(m-1)-2C_2/\beta}(\beta r_2)} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \\ &= \coth(\beta r_2) \left( \frac{e^{\beta r_2}}{\sinh(\beta r_2)} \right)^{e^{2m-3-2C_2/\beta}} \frac{\int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2}{e^{[(2m-3)\beta-2C_2]r}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then letting  $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , combined with condition (5.2), we thus prove that  $u$  is holomorphic.

(2) Noting that the right-hand side of monotonicity formula (4.15) can be enlarged to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1+r_2)^{2(m-1)A-2C_3}} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} (1+r)^{A-1} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 &\leq \frac{(1+r_2)^{A-1}}{(1+r_2)^{2(m-1)A-2C_3}} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r_2)^{(2m-3)A+1-2C_3}} \int_{B_{r_2}(x_0)} |\bar{\partial}u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and then letting  $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , combined with condition (5.3), we thus prove that  $u$  is holomorphic.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** When the domain manifold is Kähler or Hermitian, Theorem 5.2 improves the corresponding results in [5] and [22] under conditions  $K_r \leq -\beta^2 < 0$  and  $K_r \leq -\frac{a^2}{1+r^2} < 0$ . For instance, in the Kähler case (one has  $C_3 = 0$ ), as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , [5] requires polynomial growth of the partial energy under the former condition, and  $o(r^{\Lambda_0})$  growth under the latter condition, where  $\Lambda_0$  is any positive number less than  $1 + (2m-3)A$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Starting from the monotonicity formula that holds outside a compact subset, corresponding to the cases of (a) and (b) in Theorem 4.14, under conditions (5.2) and (5.3) respectively, it can be proven that  $u$  is holomorphic. In fact, similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2, we can derive that  $u$  is holomorphic in the open set  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)$ , which is equivalent to  $\sigma = 0$  in  $M \setminus B_{R_0}(x_0)$ . Furthermore, by Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we obtain that

$$|d\sigma|^2 + |\delta\sigma|^2 = |\sigma(V)|^2 \leq |V|_K^2 |\sigma|^2$$

holds on any compact subset of  $M$ . Thus, according to the unique continuation property in [1],  $\sigma \equiv 0$  on  $M$ , meaning that  $u$  is holomorphic on the whole  $M$ .

**Remark 5.3.** In the two theorems above, if those growth conditions are applied separately to the partial energy  $E'$ , the corresponding anti-holomorphicity can be obtained. As a corollary, if those growth conditions are applied separately to the energy  $E$ , the corresponding constancy can be obtained.

**Remark 5.4.** Noticing that Hermitian pluriharmonic maps are  $V$ -harmonic (Remark 4.1), using the method from [21], under the appropriate length condition for  $V$  and some radial curvature pinching conditions, one can also derive some monotonicity formulae for Hermitian harmonic maps into a Kähler manifold. Furthermore, under suitable energy growth assumptions, constancy results can be obtained.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn, A. Krzywicki, and J. Szarski. A unique continuation theorem for exterior differential forms on Riemannian manifolds. *Ark. Mat.*, 4:417–453 (1962), 1962. 17
- [2] J. Chen. A boundary value problem for Hermitian harmonic maps and applications. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(9):2853–2862, 1996. 2
- [3] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and H. Qiu. Existence and Liouville theorems for  $V$ -harmonic maps from complete manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 42(4):565–584, 2012. 8
- [4] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and G. Wang. A maximum principle for generalizations of harmonic maps in Hermitian, affine, Weyl, and Finsler geometry. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 25(4):2407–2426, 2015. 8
- [5] Y. Dong. Monotonicity formulae and holomorphicity of harmonic maps between Kähler manifolds. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 107(6):1221–1260, 2013. 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17
- [6] J. Eells and L. Lemaire. A report on harmonic maps. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 10(1):1–68, 1978. 4
- [7] P. Gauduchon. Hermitian connections and Dirac operators. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)*, 11(2):257–288, 1997. 3
- [8] A. Gray. Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds. *Michigan Math. J.*, 12:273–287, 1965. 3
- [9] H.-C. Grunau and M. Kühnel. On the existence of Hermitian-harmonic maps from complete Hermitian to complete Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Z.*, 249(2):297–327, 2005. 2
- [10] J. Jost and S.-T. Yau. A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry. *Acta Math.*, 170(2):221–254, 1993. 2
- [11] J. Li. Monotonicity formulae and vanishing theorems. *Pacific J. Math.*, 281(1):125–136, 2016. 2, 14
- [12] J. Li and X. Zhang. The strong rigidity of compact almost-Kähler manifolds (in chinese). *Sci Sin Math*, 48(10):1355–1370, 2018. 2
- [13] Z. Li and X. Zhang. Hermitian harmonic maps into convex balls. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(1):113–122, 2007. 2
- [14] K. Liu and X. Yang. Hermitian harmonic maps and non-degenerate curvatures. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 21(4):831–862, 2014. 2
- [15] L. Ni. Hermitian harmonic maps from complete Hermitian manifolds to complete Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Z.*, 232(2):331–355, 1999. 2
- [16] J. H. Sampson. Applications of harmonic maps to Kähler geometry. In *Complex differential geometry and nonlinear differential equations (Brunswick, Maine, 1984)*, volume 49 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 125–134. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986. 1
- [17] Y. T. Siu. The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 112(1):73–111, 1980. 1, 4
- [18] Y. T. Siu. Complex-analyticity of harmonic maps, vanishing and Lefschetz theorems. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(1):55–138, 1982. 1
- [19] Y. Xin. *Geometry of harmonic maps*, volume 23 of *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. 5
- [20] Y. L. Xin. Differential forms, conservation law and monotonicity formula. *Sci. Sinica Ser. A*, 29(1):40–50, 1986. 4, 5
- [21] Y. L. Xin. Liouville type theorems and regularity of harmonic maps. In *Differential geometry and differential equations (Shanghai, 1985)*, volume 1255 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 198–208. Springer, Berlin, 1987. 18
- [22] G. Yang, Y. Han, and Y. Dong. Partial energies monotonicity and holomorphicity of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps. *Sci. China Math.*, 56(5):1019–1032, 2013. 2, 5, 12, 14, 17
- [23] X. Zhang. Hermitian harmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds. In *Recent developments in geometry and analysis*, volume 23 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 485–493. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012. 2, 3, 5

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WUHAN 430070, CHINA  
 Email address: gwzhao@whut.edu.cn