

BOUNDARY DETERMINATION OF THE RIEMANNIAN METRIC FROM CAUCHY DATA FOR THE STOKES EQUATIONS

XIAOMING TAN

ABSTRACT. For a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n with smooth boundary, $n \geq 2$, we prove that the Cauchy data (or the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map) for the Stokes equations uniquely determines the partial derivatives of all orders of the metric on the boundary of the manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Stokes equations on the manifold. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n with smooth boundary ∂M , $n \geq 2$. In this paper, we assume that M is filled with an incompressible fluid. In the local coordinates $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n$, we denote by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{dx_j\}_{j=1}^n$, respectively, the natural basis for the tangent space $T_x M$ and the cotangent space $T_x^* M$ at the point $x \in M$. In what follows, we will use the Einstein summation convention. The Greek indices run from 1 to $n-1$, whereas the Roman indices run from 1 to n , unless otherwise specified. Then, the Riemannian metric g is given by $g = g_{jk} dx_j \otimes dx_k$. Let $\nabla_j = \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ be the covariant derivative with respect to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ and $\nabla^j = g^{jk} \nabla_k$, where $[g^{jk}] = [g_{jk}]^{-1}$.

Let the smooth vector field $\mathbf{u} = u^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ be the velocity of the fluid. The strain tensor S is defined by (see [17, p. 562])

$$(S\mathbf{u})_k^j := \nabla^j u_k + \nabla_k u^j, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u_k = g_{kl} u^l$, or equivalently, $(S\mathbf{u})^{jk} := \nabla^j u^k + \nabla^k u^j$. The stress tensor σ is given by

$$\sigma(\mathbf{u}, p) := \mu S\mathbf{u} - pg, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mu, p \in C^\infty(M)$ denote the viscosity and the pressure, respectively. Physically, the case of $\mu = 0$ is observed only in superfluids that have the ability to self-propel and travel in a way that defies the forces of gravity and surface tension. Otherwise the viscosities of all fluids are positive. Thus, we can assume that $\mu > 0$ in M . A fluid with

Date: August 20, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C21, 35R30, 58J32, 58J40, 76D07.

Key words and phrases. Boundary determination; Riemannian metric; Stokes equations; Dirichlet-to-Neumann map; Cauchy data.

Email address: tanxm@pku.edu.cn

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

nonconstant viscosity is called a non-Newtonian fluid, and these are relatively common, including things such as blood, shampoo and custard (see [5]). The famous stationary Stokes equations on the manifold read

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \sigma(\mathbf{u}, p) = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } M, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where div denotes the divergence operator on the manifold.

1.2. Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Let $\mathbf{h} \in [H^{3/2}(\partial M)]^n$ satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\int_{\partial M} g(\mathbf{h}, \nu) dS = 0,$$

where ν is the unit outer normal to ∂M . This condition leads to the uniqueness of (1.3) (see [2, 5]), that is, there exists a unique solution $(\mathbf{u}, p) \in [H^2(M)]^n \times H^1(M)$ (p is unique up to a constant) of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \sigma(\mathbf{u}, p) = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h} & \text{on } \partial M. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

We could define the Cauchy data for the Stokes equations by

$$C_g := \{(\mathbf{u}, \sigma(\mathbf{u}, p)\nu)|_{\partial M} : (\mathbf{u}, p) \text{ satisfies (1.4)}\}. \quad (1.5)$$

The physical sense of $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, p)\nu|_{\partial M}$ is the stress acting on ∂M and is called the Cauchy force (see [2, 5]). We also call $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, p)\nu|_{\partial M}$ the Neumann boundary condition for (1.4). Thus, we can define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_g : [H^{3/2}(\partial M)]^n \rightarrow [H^{1/2}(\partial M)]^n$ associated with (1.4) by

$$\Lambda_g(\mathbf{h}) := \sigma(\mathbf{u}, p)\nu \quad \text{on } \partial M. \quad (1.6)$$

It is clear that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map Λ_g is an elliptic, self-adjoint pseudodifferential operator of order one defined on the boundary ∂M . An interesting question is whether the Cauchy data C_g (or the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map Λ_g) for the Stokes equations uniquely determines the geometry of the boundary of the manifold.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n with smooth boundary ∂M , $n \geq 2$. Then, the Cauchy data C_g (or the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map Λ_g) for the Stokes equations uniquely determines the partial derivatives of all orders of the metric $\frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{J}|} g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^{\mathbf{J}}}$ on ∂M for all multi-indices \mathbf{J} .*

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps have been studied for decades. In [8], the authors proved that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map uniquely determines the real-analytic Riemannian metric. In [7], the authors studied the inverse problem of determining a Riemannian manifold from the boundary data of harmonic functions, this extend the results in [8]. Moreover, [6] considered the case of complete Riemannian manifold.

In [10, 11], the author proved that the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with constant coefficients and the electromagnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map can uniquely determine the real-analytic Riemannian metric and parameters. In [12], the authors computed the full symbol of the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and calculated all the coefficients of the heat trace asymptotic expansion associated with the magnetic Steklov problem. In [15], the authors gave an explicit expression for the full symbol of the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with variable coefficients and proved that the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map uniquely determines the Lamé coefficients. In [14], the author proved that the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map uniquely determines partial derivatives of all orders of thermoelastic coefficients on the boundary of the manifold. We refer the reader to [19, 20] and the references therein for more topics about the Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive a new system associated with the Stokes equations. In Section 3, we give the symbols of some pseudodifferential operators. In Section 4, we prove the main result by the full symbol of the new Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

2. A NEW SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THE STOKES EQUATIONS

In this section we will derive a new system associated with the Stokes equations (1.3). Inspired by [2, 9], we set

$$\mathbf{u} = \mu^{-1/2}\mathbf{w} + \mu^{-1}\nabla f - f\nabla\mu^{-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = \mu^{-1/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} + g(\nabla\mu^{-1/2}, \mathbf{w}) + \mu^{-1}\Delta_g f - f\Delta_g\mu^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

The j th component of $\operatorname{div}(\mu S\mathbf{u})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\mu S\mathbf{u})^j &= \nabla^k(\mu(S\mathbf{u})_k^j) \\ &= \nabla^k[-(\nabla^j\mu^{1/2})w_k - (\nabla_k\mu^{1/2})w^j + \mu^{1/2}(\nabla^j w_k + \nabla_k w^j) + 2\nabla^j\nabla_k f \\ &\quad - 2\mu(\nabla^j\nabla_k\mu^{-1})f] \\ &= -(\nabla^k\nabla^j\mu^{1/2})w_k - (\nabla^j\mu^{1/2})\nabla^k w_k - (\nabla^k\nabla_k\mu^{1/2})w^j - (\nabla_k\mu^{1/2})\nabla^k w^j \\ &\quad + (\nabla^k\mu^{1/2})(\nabla^j w_k + \nabla_k w^j) + \mu^{1/2}(\nabla^k\nabla^j w_k + \nabla^k\nabla_k w^j) + 2\nabla^k\nabla^j\nabla_k f \\ &\quad - 2\nabla^k(\mu\nabla^j\nabla_k\mu^{-1})f - 2\mu(\nabla^j\nabla_k\mu^{-1})\nabla^k f. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^k\nabla^j w_k &= g^{jl}\nabla_k\nabla_l w^k \\ &= g^{jl}(\nabla_l\nabla_k w^k + R_{klm}^k w^m) \\ &= g^{jl}(\nabla_l\nabla_k w^k + R_{lm} w^m) \\ &= \nabla^j \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} + \operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{w})^j. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{Ric}(\mathbf{w})^j = g^{jk} R_{kl} w^l$, where R_{kl} are the components of Ricci tensor of the manifold, in local coordinates,

$$R_{kl} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{kl}^j}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jl}^k}{\partial x_k} + \Gamma_{jm}^j \Gamma_{kl}^m - \Gamma_{km}^j \Gamma_{jl}^m, \quad (2.3)$$

where the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{kl}^j = \frac{1}{2} g^{jm} \left(\frac{\partial g_{km}}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial g_{lm}}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x_m} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla^k \nabla^j \nabla_k f = \nabla^j \Delta_g f + \text{Ric}(\nabla f)^j.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(\mu S \mathbf{u})^j &= \nabla^j ((\nabla^k \mu^{1/2}) w_k) - 2(\nabla^k \nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) w_k + \nabla^j (\mu^{1/2} \text{div } \mathbf{w}) - 2(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) \text{div } \mathbf{w} \\ &\quad - (\Delta_g \mu^{1/2}) w^j + \mu^{1/2} ((\Delta_B \mathbf{w})^j + \text{Ric}(\mathbf{w})^j) + 2(\nabla^j \Delta_g f + \text{Ric}(\nabla f)^j) \\ &\quad - 2\nabla^k (\mu \nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) f - 2\mu (\nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) \nabla^k f, \end{aligned}$$

where the Bochner Laplacian is given by $(\Delta_B \mathbf{w})^j := \nabla^k \nabla_k w^j$. Let

$$p = \text{div}(\mu^{1/2} \mathbf{w}) + 2\Delta_g f.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(\mu S \mathbf{u})^j &= \mu^{1/2} ((\Delta_B \mathbf{w})^j + \text{Ric}(\mathbf{w})^j) + \nabla^j p - 2(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) \text{div } \mathbf{w} - 2\nabla^k (\mu \nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) f \\ &\quad - 2\mu (\nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) \nabla^k f - 2(\nabla^k \nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) w_k - (\Delta_g \mu^{1/2}) w^j + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla f)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{div } \sigma)^j &= \text{div}(\mu S \mathbf{u})^j - \nabla^j p \\ &= \mu^{1/2} ((\Delta_B \mathbf{w})^j + \text{Ric}(\mathbf{w})^j) - 2(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) \text{div } \mathbf{w} - 2\nabla^k (\mu \nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) f \\ &\quad - 2\mu (\nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) \nabla^k f - 2(\nabla^k \nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) w_k - (\Delta_g \mu^{1/2}) w^j + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla f)^j. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [15] that the Bochner Laplacian can be written as

$$(\Delta_B \mathbf{w})^j = \Delta_g w^j - \text{Ric}(\mathbf{w})^j + g^{kl} \left(2\Gamma_{mk}^j \frac{\partial w^m}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{kl}^j}{\partial x_m} w^m \right),$$

and the divergence operator has the local expression

$$\text{div } \mathbf{w} = \frac{\partial w^k}{\partial x_k} + \Gamma_{kl}^k w^l.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{div } \sigma)^j &= \mu^{1/2} \left(\Delta_g w^j + g^{kl} \left(2\Gamma_{mk}^j \frac{\partial w^m}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{kl}^j}{\partial x_m} w^m \right) \right) \\ &\quad - 2(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) \left(\frac{\partial w^k}{\partial x_k} + \Gamma_{kl}^k w^l \right) - 2\nabla^k (\mu \nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) f \\ &\quad - 2\mu (\nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1}) g^{kl} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l} - 2(\nabla^k \nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) w_k - (\Delta_g \mu^{1/2}) w^j + 2R^{jk} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}. \quad (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

In boundary normal coordinates, the metric has the form (see [8, 12, 14, 15])

$$g = g_{\alpha\beta} dx_\alpha dx_\beta + dx_n^2.$$

Note that in this coordinates, in a neighborhood of the origin, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha n} &= g^{\alpha n} = 0, \\ \Gamma_{nk}^n &= \Gamma_{nn}^k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, in boundary normal coordinates, we write the Laplace–Beltrami operator as

$$\Delta_g = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha n}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} + \left(g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\gamma + \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}. \quad (2.5)$$

In what follows, for the sake of simplicity, we denote by $R^{jk} = g^{jl} g^{km} R_{lm}$, I_n the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} [a_k^j] & [b^j] \\ [c_k] & d \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} a_1^1 & \dots & a_n^1 & \vdots & b^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^n & \dots & a_n^n & \vdots & b^n \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ c_1 & \dots & c_n & \vdots & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{w}, f)^T$. Combining (1.3), (2.2), (2.4), and (2.5), we obtain, in boundary normal coordinates,

$$L_g \mathbf{U} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Here the operator L_g is given by the following equality

$$A^{-1} L_g = I_{n+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + C, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{1/2} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$B = \Gamma_{\alpha n}^\alpha I_{n+1} + \begin{bmatrix} 2[\Gamma_{kn}^j] - 2\mu^{-1/2}[(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) \delta_{nk}] & 2\mu^{-1/2}[R^{jn} - \mu \nabla^j \nabla^n \mu^{-1}] \\ \mu^{1/2}[\delta_{nk}] & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = C_2 + C_1 + C_0,$$

$$C_2 = \left(g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \right) I_{n+1},$$

$$C_1 = \left(\left(g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\gamma + \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \right) I_{n+1}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \left[g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{k\alpha}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \right] & 2\mu^{-1/2} \left[(R^{j\alpha} - \mu \nabla^j \nabla^\alpha \mu^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \right] \\ \mu^{1/2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - \delta_{nk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right] & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu^{-1/2} [(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - \delta_{nk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
C_0 = & \begin{bmatrix} (-\mu^{-1/2} \Delta_g \mu^{1/2}) I_n + \left[g^{ml} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ml}^j}{\partial x_k} \right] & -2\mu^{-1/2} [\nabla^k (\mu \nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{-1})] \\ \mu^{1/2} [\Gamma_{lk}^l] + \mu [\nabla_k \mu^{-1/2}] & -\mu \Delta_g \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\
& + \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu^{-1/2} [(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2}) \Gamma_{lk}^l] - 2\mu^{-1/2} [\nabla^j \nabla_k \mu^{1/2}] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\tilde{\Lambda}_g : [H^{3/2}(\partial M)]^{n+1} \rightarrow [H^{1/2}(\partial M)]^{n+1}$ associated with the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} L_g \mathbf{U} = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V} & \text{on } \partial M \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

by

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_g(\mathbf{V}) := \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{on } \partial M. \quad (2.10)$$

The corresponding Cauchy data is given by

$$\tilde{C}_g := \left\{ \left(\mathbf{U}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial M} : \mathbf{U} \text{ satisfies (2.9)} \right\}. \quad (2.11)$$

It is clear that the Cauchy data \tilde{C}_g corresponding to the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\tilde{\Lambda}_g$ is equivalent to the Cauchy data C_g corresponding to the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map Λ_g .

3. SYMBOLS OF THE PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

We denote by $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\xi' = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$, $\xi^\alpha = g^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta$, $|\xi'| = \sqrt{\xi^\alpha \xi_\alpha}$. Let $b(x, \xi')$ and

$$c(x, \xi') = c_2(x, \xi') + c_1(x, \xi') + c_0(x, \xi')$$

be the full symbols of B and C , respectively, where $c_j(x, \xi')$ are homogeneous of degree j in ξ' . Thus, we obtain

$$b(x, \xi') = B, \quad (3.1)$$

$$c_2(x, \xi') = -|\xi'|^2 I_{n+1}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1(x, \xi') = & i \left(\xi^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\beta + \frac{\partial \xi^\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha} \right) I_{n+1} \\
& + i \begin{bmatrix} 2[g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{k\alpha}^j \xi_\beta] & 2\mu^{-1/2} [(R^{j\alpha} - \mu \nabla^j \nabla^\alpha \mu^{-1}) \xi_\alpha] \\ \mu^{1/2} [\xi_k - \delta_{nk} \xi_n] & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ i \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu^{-1/2} [(\nabla^j \mu^{1/2})(\xi_k - \delta_{nk}\xi_n)] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$c_0(x, \xi') = C_0. \quad (3.4)$$

For the convenience of stating the following proposition, we define

$$E_1 := i \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x_{\alpha}} + b q_1 + \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x_n} - c_1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$E_0 := i \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial x_{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial^2 q_1}{\partial \xi_{\alpha} \partial \xi_{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2 q_1}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} - q_0^2 + b q_0 + \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial x_n} - c_0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$E_{-m} := b q_{-m} + \frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_n} - \sum_{\substack{-m \leq j, k \leq 1 \\ |j|=j+k+m}} \frac{(-i)^{|j|}}{j!} \partial_{\xi'}^j q_j \partial_{x'}^j q_k, \quad m \geq 1, \quad (3.7)$$

where $q_j = q_j(x, \xi')$, $b = b(x, \xi')$, and $c_j = c_j(x, \xi')$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $Q(x, \partial_{x'})$ be a pseudodifferential operator of order one in x' depending smoothly on x_n such that*

$$A^{-1}L_g = \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + B - Q \right) \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + Q \right)$$

modulo a smoothing operator. Let $q(x, \xi') \sim \sum_{j \leq 1} q_j(x, \xi')$ be the full symbol of Q , where $q_j(x, \xi')$ are homogeneous of degree j in ξ' . Then, in boundary normal coordinates,

$$q_1(x, \xi') = |\xi'| I_{n+1}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$q_{-m-1}(x, \xi') = \frac{1}{2|\xi'|} E_{-m}, \quad m \geq -1, \quad (3.9)$$

where E_{-m} ($m \geq -1$) are given by (3.5)–(3.7).

Proof. It follows from (2.7) that

$$I_{n+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + C = \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + B - Q \right) \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + Q \right)$$

modulo a smoothing operator. Equivalently,

$$Q^2 - BQ - \left[I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, Q \right] + C = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

modulo a smoothing operator, where the commutator $\left[I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, Q \right]$ is defined by, for any $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, Q \right] v &:= I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} (Qv) - Q \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) v \\ &= \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_n} v. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that if G_1 and G_2 are two pseudodifferential operators with full symbols $g_1 = g_1(x, \xi)$ and $g_2 = g_2(x, \xi)$, respectively, then the full symbol $\sigma(G_1 G_2)$ of the operator $G_1 G_2$ is given by (see [16, p. 11], [4, p. 71], and also [1, 18])

$$\sigma(G_1 G_2) \sim \sum_J \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_\xi^J g_1 \partial_x^J g_2,$$

where the sum is over all multi-indices J . Let $q = q(x, \xi')$ be the full symbol of the operator $Q(x, \partial_{x'})$, we write $q(x, \xi') \sim \sum_{j \leq 1} q_j(x, \xi')$ with $q_j(x, \xi')$ homogeneous of degree j in ξ' . Hence, we get the following full symbol equation of (3.10)

$$\sum_J \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\xi'}^J q \partial_{x'}^J q - \sum_J \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\xi'}^J b \partial_{x'}^J q - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_n} + c = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

where the sum is over all multi-indices J .

We shall determine $q_j = q_j(x, \xi')$ ($j \leq 1$) so that (3.11) holds modulo $S^{-\infty}$. Grouping the homogeneous terms of degree two in (3.11), we have

$$q_1^2 + c_2 = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Since we have chosen the unit outer normal vector ν on the boundary, by combining the above equation and (3.2), we take

$$q_1 = |\xi'| I_{n+1}, \quad (3.13)$$

which implies that q_1 is positive definite.

Grouping the homogeneous terms of degree $-m$ ($m \geq -1$) in (3.11), we get

$$q_1 q_{-m-1} + q_{-m-1} q_1 = E_{-m}, \quad (3.14)$$

where E_{-m} ($m \geq -1$) are given by (3.5)–(3.7). By (3.13) and (3.14) we immediately get

$$q_{-m-1}(x, \xi') = \frac{1}{2|\xi'|} E_{-m}.$$

□

In boundary normal coordinates, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\tilde{\Lambda}_g$ can be represented as the pseudodifferential operator Q modulo a smoothing operator (see the following Proposition 3.2).

Proposition 3.2. *In boundary normal coordinates, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\tilde{\Lambda}_g$ can be represented as*

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_g \mathbf{U} = Q\mathbf{U}|_{\partial M} \quad (3.15)$$

modulo a smoothing operator.

Proof. We use the boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) with $x_n \in [0, T]$. Since the principal symbol of the operator L_g is negative definite, the hyperplane $x_n = 0$ is non-characteristic. Hence, L_g is partially hypoelliptic with respect to this boundary (see [3, p. 107]). Therefore, the solution to the equation $L_g \mathbf{U} = 0$ is smooth in normal variable, that is, $\mathbf{U} \in [C^\infty([0, T]; \mathfrak{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))]^{n+1}$ locally. From Proposition 3.1, we

see that (2.9) is locally equivalent to the following system of equations for $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W} \in [C^\infty([0, T]; \mathfrak{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))]^{n+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + Q \right) \mathbf{U} &= \mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{U}|_{x_n=0} = \mathbf{V}, \\ \left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + B - Q \right) \mathbf{W} &= \mathbf{Y} \in [C^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Inspired by [8, 10, 14, 15], if we substitute $t = T - x_n$ into the second equation above, then we get a backwards generalized heat equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial t} - (B - Q) \mathbf{W} = -\mathbf{Y}.$$

Since \mathbf{U} is smooth in the interior of the manifold M by interior regularity for elliptic operator L_g , it follows that \mathbf{W} is also smooth in the interior of M , and so $\mathbf{W}|_{x_n=T}$ is smooth. In view of that q_1 (the principal symbol of Q) is positive definite (see (3.8)), we get that the solution operator for this heat equation is smooth for $t > 0$ (see [18, p. 134]). Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial x_n} + Q \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{W} \in [C^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})]^{n+1}$$

locally. If we set $\mathcal{R}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W}|_{\partial M}$, this shows that \mathcal{R} is a smoothing operator and

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial x_n} \right|_{\partial M} = -Q \mathbf{U}|_{\partial M} + \mathcal{R}\mathbf{V}. \quad (3.16)$$

□

4. DETERMINING THE METRIC ON THE BOUNDARY

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the Cauchy data \tilde{C}_g is equivalent to the Cauchy data C_g , it suffices to show that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\tilde{\Lambda}_g$ (or the pseudodifferential operator Q) uniquely determines the on the metric boundary by Proposition 3.2.

It follows from (3.8) that

$$q_1(x, \xi') = |\xi'| I_{n+1} = \sqrt{g^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta} I_{n+1}.$$

This shows that q_1 uniquely determines $g^{\alpha\beta}|_{\partial M}$ for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$. Clearly, the tangential derivatives $\left. \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_\gamma} \right|_{\partial M}$ can also be uniquely determined by q_1 for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n-1$.

For $k \geq 0$, we denote by T_{-k} the terms that only involve the boundary values of $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $g^{\alpha\beta}$, and their normal derivatives of order at most k . Note that T_{-k} may be different in different expressions. From (3.1), (3.3), (3.5), and (3.8), we know that

$$E_1 = b q_1 + \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x_n} + T_0,$$

$$\operatorname{tr} E_1 = (n+3)\Gamma_{\alpha n}^\alpha |\xi'| + (n+1) \frac{\partial |\xi'|}{\partial x_n} + T_0.$$

By (3.9), we get

$$\operatorname{tr} q_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left((n+3)\Gamma_{\alpha n}^\alpha + (n+1) \frac{1}{|\xi'|} \frac{\partial |\xi'|}{\partial x_n} \right) + T_0. \quad (4.1)$$

In boundary normal coordinates, we have

$$\Gamma_{n\alpha}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n}.$$

Substituting this into (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} q_0 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left((n+3)g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n} - (n+1) \frac{1}{|\xi'|^2} \frac{\partial |\xi'|^2}{\partial x_n} \right) + T_0 \\ &= -\frac{1}{4|\xi'|^2} k_1^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + T_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$k_1^{\alpha\beta} = (n+3)h_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - (n+1) \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$h_1 = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n}. \quad (4.4)$$

Evaluating $\operatorname{tr} q_0$ on all unit vectors ξ^t shows that q_0 and $g^{\alpha\beta}|_{\partial M}$ completely determine $k_1^{\alpha\beta}$. By (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$k_1^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = (n^2 + n - 4)h_1.$$

For $n \geq 2$, we have $n^2 + n - 4 > 0$. Hence,

$$h_1 = \frac{k_1^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}}{n^2 + n - 4}.$$

By (4.3), we get that

$$\frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n} = \frac{(n+3)h_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - k_1^{\alpha\beta}}{n+1},$$

which implies that q_0 uniquely determines $\frac{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n}|_{\partial M}$.

It follows from (3.6) that

$$E_0 = \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial x_n} - c_0 + T_{-1}.$$

By (4.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr} E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left((n+3) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\alpha n}^\alpha}{\partial x_n} + (n+1) \frac{1}{|\xi'|} \frac{\partial^2 |\xi'|}{\partial x_n^2} \right) - g^{ml} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ml}^j}{\partial x_j} + T_{-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{|\xi'|} \frac{\partial^2 |\xi'|}{\partial x_n^2} = \frac{1}{2|\xi'|^2} \frac{\partial^2 |\xi'|^2}{\partial x_n^2} + T_{-1}. \quad (4.6)$$

In view of that

$$\frac{\partial^2 (g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta})}{\partial x_n^2} = \frac{\partial^2 (n-1)}{\partial x_n^2} = 0,$$

we get

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} = -g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} + T_{-1}.$$

Then, in boundary normal coordinates, we compute that

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_{n\alpha}^\alpha}{\partial x_n} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} + T_{-1}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$g^{ml} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ml}^j}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} + T_{-1}. \quad (4.8)$$

Combining (3.9), (4.5), (4.7), and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} q_{-1} &= -\frac{n+5}{8|\xi'|} g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} + \frac{n+1}{8|\xi'|^3} \frac{\partial^2 |\xi'|^2}{\partial x_n^2} + T_{-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8|\xi'|^3} k_2^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + T_{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$k_2^{\alpha\beta} = (n+5)h_2 g^{\alpha\beta} - (n+1) \frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$h_2 = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2}. \quad (4.11)$$

By the same argument, it follows from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$k_2^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = (n^2 + 3n - 6)h_2.$$

For $n \geq 2$, we have $n^2 + 3n - 6 > 0$. Hence,

$$h_2 = \frac{k_2^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}}{n^2 + 3n - 6}.$$

By (4.10), we get that

$$\frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} = \frac{(n+5)h_2 g^{\alpha\beta} - k_2^{\alpha\beta}}{n+1},$$

which implies that q_{-1} uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^2 g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^2} \Big|_{\partial M}$.

Now we consider q_{-m-1} for $m \geq 1$. From (3.7), we see that

$$E_{-m} = \frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_n} + T_{-m-1}. \quad (4.12)$$

We end this proof by induction. Suppose we have shown that

$$\operatorname{tr} E_{-j} = -\frac{1}{(2|\xi'|)^{j+2}} k_{j+2}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + T_{-j-1} \quad (4.13)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where

$$k_{j+2}^{\alpha\beta} = (n+5)h_{j+2}g^{\alpha\beta} - (n+1)\frac{\partial^{j+2}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^{j+2}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$h_{j+2} = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^{j+2}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^{j+2}}. \quad (4.15)$$

This means that q_{-j-1} uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{j+2}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^{j+2}}|_{\partial M}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Since we have $q_{-(m+1)-1}$ uniquely determines $E_{-(m+1)}$. From (4.12), we have

$$E_{-(m+1)} = \frac{\partial q_{-(m+1)}}{\partial x_n} + T_{-(m+1)-1}.$$

By the above equality and the fact that $q_{-(m+1)}$ uniquely determines E_{-m} , we have $E_{-(m+1)}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial E_{-m}}{\partial x_n}$. By the assumption (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} E_{-m-1} &= \frac{1}{2|\xi'|} \frac{\partial(\operatorname{tr} E_{-m})}{\partial x_n} + T_{-m-2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(2|\xi'|)^{m+3}} k_{m+3}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + T_{-m-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m+3}^{\alpha\beta} &= (n+5)h_{m+3}g^{\alpha\beta} - (n+1)\frac{\partial^{m+3}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^{m+3}}, \\ h_{m+3} &= g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^{m+3}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^{m+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument, we see that $q_{-(m+1)-1}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{m+3}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_n^{m+3}}|_{\partial M}$. Therefore, we conclude that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\tilde{\Lambda}_g$ uniquely determines the partial derivatives of all orders of the Riemannian metric $\frac{\partial^{|J|}g^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^J}$ on the boundary ∂M for all multi-indices J . □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2020YFA0712800.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Grubb, *Functional Calculus of Pseudo-Differential Boundary Problems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986.
- [2] H. Heck, X. Li, and J.-N. Wang, *Identification of viscosity in an incompressible fluid*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, No.5, 56(2007), 2489–2510.
- [3] L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Berlin: Springer, 1964.
- [4] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Partial Differential Operators III*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [5] R.-Y. Lai, G. Uhlmann, and J.-N. Wang, *Inverse Boundary Value Problem for the Stokes and the Navier–Stokes Equations in the Plane*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 215 (2015), 811–829.
- [6] M. Lassas, M. Taylor, and G. Uhlmann, *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for complete Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 11 (2003), no.2, 207–221.
- [7] M. Lassas and G. Uhlmann, *On determining a Riemannian manifold from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)34 (2001), no.5, 771–787.
- [8] J. Lee and G. Uhlmann, *Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 42(8) (1989), 1097–1112.
- [9] G. Liu, *Determining the viscosity from the boundary information for incompressible fluid*, [arXiv:2006.04310](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.04310).
- [10] G. Liu, *Determination of isometric real-analytic metric and spectral invariants for Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on Riemannian manifolds*, [arXiv:1908.05096v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.05096v3).
- [11] G. Liu, *Determining anisotropic real-analytic metric from boundary electromagnetic information*, [arXiv:1909.12803v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12803v2).
- [12] G. Liu and X. Tan, *Spectral Invariants of the Magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann Map on Riemannian Manifolds*, J. Math. Phys. 64 (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 041501.
- [13] G. Nakamura and G. Uhlmann, *Global uniqueness for an inverse boundary problem arising in elasticity*, Invent. Math. 118 (1994), 457–474.
- [14] X. Tan, *Determining the Coefficients of the Thermoelastic System from Boundary Information*, Mathematics 11(9) (2023), 2147.
- [15] X. Tan and G. Liu, *Determining Lamé coefficients by the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on a Riemannian manifold*, Inverse Problems 39 (2023), 095004.
- [16] M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations II*, Second Edition, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [17] M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III*, Second Edition, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [18] F. Trèves, *Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operator. Volume 1: Pseudodifferential Operators*, Springer, New York, 1980.
- [19] G. Uhlmann, *Inverse problems: seeing the unseen*, Bull. Math. Sci. 4(2) (2014), 209–279.
- [20] G. Uhlmann, *Electrical impedance tomography and Calderón’s problem*, Inverse Problems 25 (2009), no. 12, 123011.

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

Email address: tanxm@pku.edu.cn