

# Squeezed Light via Exciton-Phonon Cavity QED

Xuan Zuo,<sup>1</sup> Zi-Xu Lu,<sup>1</sup> Zhi-Yuan Fan,<sup>1</sup> and Jie Li<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Micro-Nano Quantum Chips and Quantum Control, School of Physics, and State Key Laboratory for Extreme Photonics and Instrumentation, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

Squeezed light is a particularly useful quantum resource, which finds broad applications in quantum information processing, quantum metrology and sensing, and biological measurements. It has been successfully generated in various physical systems. Here we introduce a new mechanism and system to produce squeezed light using an exciton-phonon cavity-QED system. Specifically, we adopt a semiconductor microcavity embedded with a quantum well, which supports both linear and nonlinear interactions among excitons, phonons, and cavity photons. We show that the strong exciton-phonon nonlinear interaction can induce a quadrature-squeezed cavity output field, and reveal an important role of the exciton-photon coupling in engineering the squeezing spectrum and improving the robustness of the squeezing against thermal noise. Our results indicate that room-temperature squeezing of light is possible for materials with high exciton binding energy.

*Introduction.*—Squeezed states of light are a type of optical nonclassical states that have less uncertainty in one quadrature than a coherent state [1]. The generation of squeezed light typically requires certain optical nonlinear interaction [2]. It was first generated by exploiting the process of four-wave-mixing in an atomic vapor of sodium atoms [3]. After that, many groups successfully prepared squeezed light using a variety of different systems, such as an optical fiber [4], a nonlinear crystal [5], a semiconductor laser [6], a single atom in an optical cavity [7], a single semiconductor quantum dot [8], an optomechanical system [9–11], among others. Squeezed light is a vital quantum resource and finds very broad applications in quantum information science, and quantum metrology and sensing. For example, it can be used to improve the sensitivity in the gravitational wave detection [12, 13] and biological measurements [14, 15], and to produce Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen entangled states for realizing various quantum protocols, such as quantum teleportation [16, 17].

Here, we provide a new approach for producing squeezed light using an exciton-phonon (EPn) cavity-QED system. Specifically, we consider a semiconductor microcavity sandwiched between distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs), which can simultaneously confine light and sound waves. The microcavity is also embedded with a quantum well (QW), forming an exciton-phonon-photon tripartite system. One of the distinct advantages of this hybrid system is that it exhibits rich nonlinear interactions with adjustable strength, which can be exploited to generate novel quantum states. Specifically, we show in this work how the nonlinear EPn interaction can be used to reduce the quantum noise of light, and how the exciton-photon (EPt) coupling can provide a new degree of freedom to engineer the squeezing spectrum of the cavity output field and improve the robustness of the squeezing against environmental temperature. We further analyze the effect of other residual nonlinearity in the system, e.g., the optomechanical interaction, on the EPn-induced squeezing.

*The model.*—We consider a semiconductor microcavity formed by DBRs, capable of confining both light and sound waves [18] (Fig. 1(a)). The microcavity is embedded with a QW, and thereby the system becomes an exciton-phonon-photon hybrid system [19, 20], which can be, e.g., a pla-

nar GaAs/AlAs microcavity grown by molecular beam epitaxy [21, 22]. In this tripartite system, any two parties can interact, either linearly or nonlinearly, and their coupling can be strong [23]. Specifically, the electron and hole in an exciton form a dipole that can couple with microcavity photons, and the phonon-induced geometric deformation can directly change the cavity resonance frequency, or modify it via altering the refractive index of the material (i.e., the photoelastic effect), establishing an optomechanical coupling [18, 24]. Besides, the mechanical strain can also couple to excitons via the deformation potential (DP) interaction, which modifies the semiconductor band structure leading to an excitonic frequency shift [20, 25]. Recent studies indicate that the vacuum EPn coupling strength can be as high as in the megahertz level [20, 26]. It is worth emphasizing that the EPn (EPT) coupling strength depends on the position of the QW in the strain (cavity) field. Usually, the intensity of the cavity and strain fields do not overlap [18, 26], implying that the maximum EPn and EPT coupling strength cannot be achieved simultaneously. These two couplings can be adjusted by placing the QW at different positions. In the studies of exciton polaritons [27], the QW is placed at the maximum cavity field to increase the EPT coupling strength [28]. Besides, the overlap between the optical intensity and the square displacement determines the photon-phonon coupling strength [18]. Therefore, different choices of the configuration of the QW and microcavity layers provide an effective means to regulate the coupling strength among the three modes. The great adjustability of multiple couplings is a notable advantage of this hybrid system.

The general Hamiltonian of the system, with a laser driving the microcavity, is given by

$$H/\hbar = \sum_{j=a,b,d} \omega_j j^\dagger j + g_{ad} (a^\dagger d + ad^\dagger) - g_{ab} a^\dagger a (b + b^\dagger) - g_{db} d^\dagger d (b + b^\dagger) + i\Omega (a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_0 t} - a e^{i\omega_0 t}), \quad (1)$$

where  $j = a, d, b$  denote the bosonic annihilation operators of the cavity, exciton and phonon modes, respectively, satisfying the canonical commutation relation  $[j, j^\dagger] = 1$ , and  $\omega_j$  are their corresponding resonance frequencies;  $g_{ad}$  is the EPT coupling strength, which can exceed both the cavity and exciton dissi-




FIG. 1: (a) Schematic diagram of the hybrid system. A semiconductor microcavity embedded with a QW supports an optical cavity mode, a phonon mode, and an exciton mode. (b) Interactions of the exciton-phonon-photon system used to generate squeezed light. The phonon mode  $b$  couples to the exciton mode  $d$  via the DP interaction and to the optical cavity  $a$  via the optomechanical interaction, and the exciton and cavity modes are coupled through the dipole interaction. The microcavity is driven by a monochromatic laser to enhance the exciton-phonon dispersive interaction, which induces the squeezing of the exciton mode. It further leads to the squeezing of the cavity field via the exciton-photon state swapping, and consequently a squeezed cavity output field.

pation rates  $\kappa_a$  and  $\kappa_d$ , leading to exciton polaritons [28];  $g_{ab}$  denotes the bare optomechanical coupling strength due to the radiation-pressure or photoelastic interaction [18, 24];  $g_{db}$  represents the bare EPn coupling strength arising from the DP interaction [20, 25]; and the last term describes the microcavity driven by a monochromatic laser field. The coupling strength between the drive field and the cavity is  $\Omega = \sqrt{2P\kappa_1}/\hbar\omega_0$ , where  $P(\omega_0)$  is the power (frequency) of the laser, and  $\kappa_1$  is the cavity external decay rate, whereas  $\kappa_2 \equiv \kappa_a - \kappa_1$  is the total decay rate accounting for all other decay channels, such as absorption and scattering losses within the cavity.

We adopt the quantum Langevin equations (QLEs) to account for the coupling of the system to the external environment, which include the dissipation and input noise of each mode. In the frame rotating at the laser frequency, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a} &= -\left(\frac{\kappa_a}{2} + i\Delta_a\right)a - ig_{ad}d + ig_{ab}a(b + b^\dagger) + \Omega + \sum_{l=1,2} \sqrt{\kappa_l}a_l^{in}, \\ \dot{d} &= -\left(\frac{\kappa_d}{2} + i\Delta_d\right)d - ig_{ad}a + ig_{db}d(b + b^\dagger) + \sqrt{\kappa_d}d^{in}, \\ \dot{b} &= -\left(\frac{\kappa_b}{2} + i\omega_b\right)b + ig_{ab}a^\dagger a + ig_{db}d^\dagger d + \sqrt{\kappa_b}b^{in}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta_{a(d)} = \omega_{a(d)} - \omega_0$ ,  $\kappa_b$  is the mechanical damping rate, and  $\mathcal{R}^{in}$  ( $\mathcal{R} = a_l, d, b$ ) denote the input noise operators, which are zero-mean and characterized by the correlation functions [29]:  $\langle \mathcal{R}^{in}(t)\mathcal{R}^{in\dagger}(t') \rangle = (N_j + 1)\delta(t - t')$ ,  $\langle \mathcal{R}^{in\dagger}(t)\mathcal{R}^{in}(t') \rangle = N_j\delta(t - t')$ , with  $N_j = [\exp(\hbar\omega_j/k_B T) - 1]^{-1}$  being the equilibrium mean thermal excitation number of the mode  $j$ ,  $k_B$  being the Boltzmann constant, and  $T$  as the bath temperature.

A strong laser driving field gives rise to large amplitudes of the cavity and exciton modes in the steady state, i.e.,  $|\langle a \rangle|, |\langle d \rangle| \gg 1$ , where the steady-state averages are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \rangle &= \frac{\left(\frac{\kappa_d}{2} + i\tilde{\Delta}_d\right)\Omega}{g_{ad}^2 + \left(\frac{\kappa_a}{2} + i\tilde{\Delta}_a\right)\left(\frac{\kappa_d}{2} + i\tilde{\Delta}_d\right)}, \\ \langle d \rangle &= \frac{-ig_{ad}\langle a \rangle}{\frac{\kappa_d}{2} + i\tilde{\Delta}_d}, \quad \langle b \rangle = \frac{ig_{ab}|\langle a \rangle|^2 + ig_{db}|\langle d \rangle|^2}{\frac{\kappa_b}{2} + i\omega_b}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with  $\tilde{\Delta}_d = \Delta_d + 2g_{db}\text{Re}[\langle b \rangle]$  ( $\tilde{\Delta}_a = \Delta_a + 2g_{ab}\text{Re}[\langle b \rangle]$ ) being the effective exciton (cavity)-drive detuning by including the frequency shift induced by the DP (optomechanical) interaction. This allows us to linearize the dynamics of the quantum fluctuations  $\delta j = j - \langle j \rangle$  ( $j = a, d, b$ ) around the large steady-state averages by neglecting small second-order fluctuation terms. The linearized QLEs for the quantum fluctuations are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\dot{a} &= -\left(\frac{\kappa_a}{2} + i\tilde{\Delta}_a\right)\delta a - ig_{ad}\delta d + iG_{ab}(\delta b + \delta b^\dagger) + \sum_{l=1,2} \sqrt{\kappa_l}a_l^{in}, \\ \delta\dot{d} &= -\left(\frac{\kappa_d}{2} + i\tilde{\Delta}_d\right)\delta d - ig_{ad}\delta a + iG_{db}(\delta b + \delta b^\dagger) + \sqrt{\kappa_d}d^{in}, \\ \delta\dot{b} &= -\left(\frac{\kappa_b}{2} + i\omega_b\right)\delta b + i(G_{ab}^*\delta a + \text{H.c.}) + i(G_{db}^*\delta d + \text{H.c.}) + \sqrt{\kappa_b}b^{in}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $G_{ab} = g_{ab}\langle a \rangle$  and  $G_{db} = g_{db}\langle d \rangle$  are the effective photon-phonon and EPn coupling strength, which are significantly enhanced due to a large number of intracavity photons and excitons.

The QLEs (4) can be conveniently solved in the frequency domain by taking the Fourier transform of each equation using

$$\delta j(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta j(t)e^{i\omega t} dt, \quad \delta j^\dagger(-\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta j^\dagger(t)e^{i\omega t} dt, \quad (5)$$

and  $-i\omega\delta j(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \dot{\delta j}(t)e^{i\omega t} dt$ . The solution of the cavity field fluctuation  $\delta a(\omega)$  can then be achieved, which is a function of the input noise terms but is too lengthy to be reported.

We aim to achieve stationary squeezing of the cavity output field. Therefore, we obtain the fluctuation of the cavity output field using the input-output relation,  $\delta a^{\text{out}}(\omega) = \sqrt{\kappa_1}\delta a(\omega) - a_1^{\text{in}}(\omega)$ , and define a general quadrature of the output field

$$\delta X_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \delta a^{\text{out}}(\omega)e^{-i\phi} + \delta a^{\text{out}\dagger}(-\omega)e^{i\phi} \right], \quad (6)$$

with  $\phi$  being the phase angle, which is related to the phase of the local oscillator in the Homodyne detection. The noise spectral density (NSD) of the output field quadrature can then be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' e^{-i(\omega+\omega')t} \\ &\times \left\langle \delta X_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega)\delta X_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega') + \delta X_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega')\delta X_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega) \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In our definitions, the vacuum fluctuation corresponds to  $S_{\text{vac}}(\omega) = 0.5$ , and thus  $S_\phi^{\text{out}}(\omega) < 0.5$  indicates that the fluctuation of the output field quadrature at the phase angle  $\phi$




FIG. 2: (a) Noise spectral density  $S_{\phi}^{\text{out}}(\omega)$  of the output field quadrature versus frequency  $\omega$  at various phase angles:  $\phi = 0.9\pi$ ,  $0.75\pi$ , and  $0.6\pi$ . Degree of squeezing (dB) of the output field quadrature versus (b)  $\omega$  and  $\phi$ ; (c)  $\omega$  and  $\Delta_a$ ; (d)  $\omega$  and  $\Delta_d$ . The blank areas in the density plots (b)-(d) indicate  $S_{\phi}^{\text{out}}(\omega) > 0.5$ , i.e., above the vacuum fluctuations, and the grey area in (d) corresponds to the unstable regime. We take  $\Delta_a = 0.1\omega_b$  in (a), (b) and (d),  $\Delta_d = 0.3\omega_b$  in (a)-(c), and  $\phi = 0.75\pi$  in (c) and (d). See text for the other parameters.

is below the vacuum level, i.e., the output field is squeezed. The degree of squeezing can be quantified in the dB unit via  $S = -10 \log_{10}[S_{\phi}^{\text{out}}(\omega)/S_{\text{vac}}(\omega)]$ .

*Stationary squeezing of light.*—A recent experiment has achieved a remarkably strong EPn bare coupling  $g_{\text{db}}/2\pi \approx 20$  MHz [26], implying that a strong effective coupling  $G_{\text{db}}$  can be achieved by increasing the drive power (before the system enters the unstable regime [30]). The potentially strong EPn nonlinear interaction is a salient feature of this hybrid system, which we show below can be used to reduce the quantum noise of light. To distinguish it from the optomechanical ponderomotive squeezing [9–11, 31, 32], we consider a configuration in which the optomechanical coupling is designed to be negligibly small,  $|G_{\text{ab}}| \ll |G_{\text{db}}|$ . We first show the results of squeezing caused solely by the EPn interaction (via setting  $G_{\text{ab}} = 0$ ), and then analyze the effect of the residual optomechanical coupling ( $G_{\text{ab}} \neq 0$ ) on the squeezing, due to the non-perfect elimination of the coupling in the experiment.

The EPn interaction describes the coupling between the mechanical displacement and the exciton number. Such an intensity-dependent displacement will cause a frequency shift, and thus a phase shift, of the exciton mode. Consequently, the amplitude and phase quadratures of the exciton mode get correlated via the mediation of phonons, leading to the quadrature squeezing of the exciton mode. This excitonic squeezing, being experimentally inaccessible, can however transfer to the intracavity field through the EPt beamsplitter (state-swap) interaction, leading to a squeezed cavity output field, which can be verified by measuring the NSD of the output field. We note

that the linear EPt coupling itself does not generate squeezing, so the squeezing in the output field can only result from the nonlinear EPn interaction.

In Fig. 2(a), we plot the NSD of the output field quadrature, which exhibits a pronounced squeezing around the mechanical frequency  $\omega \approx \omega_b$ , with a maximum of  $\sim 7$  dB below the vacuum fluctuations at an optimal  $\phi$ . For a wide range of  $\phi$ , the output quadrature is squeezed, as manifested in Fig. 2(b). In getting Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), we used a slightly red-detuned laser field with respect to the cavity and exciton modes,  $0 < \Delta_a, \Delta_d \ll \omega_b$ , which helps to stabilize the system by realizing an effective cooling of the phonon mode, because this corresponds to the EPn anti-Stokes scattering outperforming the Stokes one. Nevertheless, a much larger detuning close to the mechanical frequency, though optimal for realizing mechanical cooling, reduces the degree of squeezing, as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). A too small exciton-drive detuning, e.g.,  $\Delta_d \leq 0.05\omega_b$  in Fig. 2(d), should also be avoided as it increases the strength of the Stokes scattering, which makes the system tend towards instability. The cavity and exciton modes should be close in frequency: As analyzed above, the output squeezing is transferred from the exciton mode, and the optimal state transfer requires the two modes to be nearly resonant [33, 34]. In getting Fig. 2, we have employed the following experimentally accessible parameters [18, 20, 26]:  $\omega_b/2\pi = 20$  GHz,  $\omega_d/2\pi = 360$  THz,  $\kappa_a/2\pi = 20$  GHz




FIG. 3: (a) Maximal degree of squeezing in the output spectrum versus exciton-phonon cooperativity  $C$ . The cooperativity is changed by varying the exciton-phonon bare coupling  $g_{\text{db}}$  from 0 to 20 MHz for a fixed drive power. (b) Steady-state intracavity photon number (dotted line) and exciton number (dashed line) and exciton-phonon cooperativity  $C$  (solid line) versus exciton-photon coupling  $g_{\text{ad}}$  under a fixed drive power. (c) Squeezing spectrum (dB) of the output field quadrature for different values of the exciton-photon coupling:  $g_{\text{ad}}/2\pi = 20, 15, 10, 8,$  and  $6$  GHz. The phase angle  $\phi$  is optimized for squeezing for each cooperativity in (a) and each exciton-photon coupling in (c). We take  $\Delta_a = 0.1\omega_b$ ,  $\Delta_d = 0.3\omega_b$ , and the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2(a).

( $\kappa_1 = 9\kappa_2 = 0.9\kappa_a$ ),  $\kappa_b/2\pi = 1$  MHz,  $\kappa_d/2\pi = 2$  GHz,  $g_{ad}/2\pi = 20$  GHz,  $g_{db}/2\pi = 20$  MHz, and  $T = 4$  K. We take  $|G_{db}|/2\pi = 4$  GHz, which corresponds to  $\Omega/2\pi = 4$  THz and a laser power  $P \approx 0.67$  mW.

The desired squeezed light requires a high degree of squeezing in a broad bandwidth. The degree of squeezing can be improved by increasing the EPn cooperativity  $C = \frac{4|G_{ab}|^2}{\kappa_d\kappa_b}$  (Fig. 3(a)). Although Fig. 2 shows a strong squeezing  $\sim 7$  dB, the bandwidth is relatively narrow for the degree of squeezing larger than, e.g., 3 dB. Nevertheless, the bandwidth can be greatly increased by optimizing the EPt coupling strength  $g_{ad}$  to yield a significantly improved EPn cooperativity  $C$ . Equation (3) indicates that the steady-state exciton number  $\langle |d| \rangle^2$  and thus the cooperativity  $C \equiv \frac{4g_{ad}^2}{\kappa_d\kappa_b} \langle |d| \rangle^2$  are determined by the coupling  $g_{ad}$ , and their dependencies are shown in Fig. 3(b). Clearly, for a given drive power there is an optimal EPt coupling strength corresponding to a maximal exciton number and EPn cooperativity. Compared to the squeezing spectrum of Fig. 2 with a large but non-optimal coupling  $g_{ad}/2\pi = 20$  GHz (i.e., the blue curve of Fig. 3(c)), the significantly improved cooperativity at an optimal coupling  $g_{ad}/2\pi \approx 8$  GHz yields an increased bandwidth within which the squeezing remains strong (the red curve of Fig. 3(c)). Differently, the maximum squeezing is centered at the zero frequency  $\omega = 0$ , rather than at the mechanical frequency  $\omega \approx \pm\omega_b$  as in the ponderomotive squeezing [9–11, 31, 32]. Figure 3(c) indicates that the EPt coupling plays an essential role in shaping the profile of the squeezing spectrum, and therefore offers a new degree of freedom to engineer the squeezing spectrum on demand, which represents a major advantage of our tripartite system over the bipartite optomechanical system.

In Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), we investigate the impact of the exciton and cavity dissipation rates on the degree of squeezing of the output field. In general, the smaller the exciton dissipation rate, the better the squeezing performance, because this increases the EPn cooperativity and hence the degree of squeezing (Fig. 3(a)). For the state-of-art of the exciton decay rate  $\kappa_d/2\pi \sim 10^2$  MHz [26, 35], a substantial squeezing close to 10 dB is potentially achievable (Fig. 4(a)). There are, however, two effects related to the cavity decay rate  $\kappa_a$ : On the one hand,  $\kappa_a$  should be small as a larger  $\kappa_a$  induces a larger effective exciton decay rate (due to the cavity-exciton coupling) and thus reduces the EPn cooperativity; on the other hand,  $\kappa_a$ , essentially  $\kappa_1$ , should be sufficiently large as it determines the pump efficiency and the output of the cavity field. The trade-off between these two effects leads to an optimal  $\kappa_a$  for the squeezing, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

We now analyze the effect of the residual optomechanical coupling  $G_{ab}$  on the EPn-induced squeezing. In practice, semiconductor microcavities inevitably exhibit some degree of the optomechanical coupling. Nevertheless, the optomechanical coupling can be designed to be negligibly small with a bare coupling  $g_{ab} \ll g_{db}$  [26]. Figure 4(c) shows the results by including the optomechanical interaction in the model ( $g_{ab} \neq 0$ ). The squeezing is slightly reduced due to an interfer-




FIG. 4: Squeezing spectrum (dB) of the output field quadrature for different values of (a) the exciton dissipation rate  $\kappa_d$ ; (b) the external cavity decay rate  $\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_a - \kappa_2$  ( $\kappa_2/2\pi = 2$  GHz); (c) the effective optomechanical coupling strength  $|G_{ab}|$  via changing  $g_{ab}$ :  $|G_{ab}|/2\pi = 0, 120, 240$  MHz correspond to  $g_{ab} = 0, 0.1g_{db}, 0.2g_{db}$ , respectively; (d) the bath temperature  $T$ . In (a)-(c), the phase angle  $\phi = 0.75\pi$  and the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2(a). The parameters of (d) are those as in Fig. 3(c) with  $g_{ad}/2\pi = 20$  GHz (8 GHz) for the main panel (the inset).

ence effect between the EPn and optomechanical interactions, which are both of the dispersive type. Nonetheless, our results indicate that significant squeezing can still be achieved even in the presence of some moderate optomechanical coupling. Figure 4(d) manifests the robustness of the squeezing against the bath temperature, and moderate squeezing could be achieved even at room temperature. Moreover, it reveals another superiority of the EPt coupling: By optimizing  $g_{ad}$ , not only the squeezing bandwidth is greatly increased, but also the robustness of the squeezing to thermal noise is significantly improved, as is shown in the inset of Fig. 4(d) that the squeezing only experiences a slight reduction when the temperature rises by two orders of magnitude. This could be understood that the maximum squeezing closer to the mechanical sideband is more affected by thermal noise as the phonon mode has the lowest frequency in the system and thus most thermal noise. We remark that although high temperatures may overcome the binding energy of excitons and destabilize them (e.g., in the GaAs materials with the binding energy of  $\sim 10$  meV, excitons will get ionized for the temperature higher than  $\sim 116$  K), room-temperature squeezing of light remains possible if using a material with high exciton binding energy [36–39].

*Conclusion.*—We have presented a mechanism to generate squeezed light by exploiting the nonlinear exciton-phonon interaction in a semiconductor microcavity configuration. Significant squeezing of the cavity output field can be achieved for a sufficiently large exciton-phonon cooperativity. The

exciton-photon coupling provides an effective means to engineer the squeezing spectrum, and can greatly increase the squeezing bandwidth and improve the robustness of the squeezing to thermal noise. The protocol is within reach of current technology and may become a promising new approach for producing squeezed light, which would enable many quantum information and quantum-enhanced sensing protocols that require the injection of squeezed light.

*Acknowledgments.*—This work has been supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant no. 2022YFA1405200) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 92265202).

---

\* jieli007@zju.edu.cn

- [1] D. F. Walls, Squeezed states of light, *Nature* **306**, 141 (1983).
- [2] U. L. Andersen, T. Gehring, C. Marquardt, and G. Leuchs, 30 years of squeezed light generation, *Phys. Scr.* **91**, 053001 (2016).
- [3] R. E. Slusher, L. W. Hollberg, B. Yurke, J. C. Mertz, and J. F. Valley, Observation of squeezed states generated by four-wave mixing in an optical cavity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2409 (1985).
- [4] R. M. Shelby, M. D. Levenson, S. H. Perlmuter, R. G. DeVoe, and D. F. Walls, Broad-band parametric deamplification of quantum noise in an optical fiber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 691 (1986).
- [5] L.-A. Wu, H. J. Kimble, J. L. Hall, and H. Wu, Generation of squeezed states by parametric down conversion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2520 (1986).
- [6] S. Machida, Y. Yamamoto, and Y. Itaya, Observation of amplitude squeezing in a constant-current-driven semiconductor laser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1000 (1987).
- [7] A. Ourjoumteva, A. Kubanek, M. Koch, C. Sames, P. W. H. Pinkse, G. Rempe, and K. Murr, Observation of squeezed light from one atom excited with two photons, *Nature* **474**, 623 (2011).
- [8] C. H. H. Schulte, J. Hansom, A. E. Jones, C. Matthiesen, C. L. Gall, and M. Atatüre, Quadrature squeezed photons from a two-level system, *Nature* **525**, 222 (2015).
- [9] D. W. C. Brooks, T. Botter, S. Schreppler, T. P. Purdy, N. Brahms, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Non-classical light generated by quantum-noise-driven cavity optomechanics, *Nature* **488**, 476 (2012).
- [10] A. H. Safavi-Naeini, S. Gröblacher, J. T. Hill, J. Chan, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, Squeezed light from a silicon micromechanical resonator, *Nature* **500**, 185 (2013).
- [11] T. P. Purdy, P.-L. Yu, R. W. Peterson, N. S. Kampel, and C. A. Regal, Strong optomechanical squeezing of light, *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 031012 (2013).
- [12] J. Aasi *et al.* (the LIGO collaboration), Enhanced sensitivity of the LIGO gravitational wave detector by using squeezed states of light, *Nat. Photonics* **7**, 613 (2013).
- [13] R. Schnabel, Squeezed states of light and their applications in laser interferometers, *Phys. Rep.* **684**, 1 (2017).
- [14] M. A. Taylor, J. Janousek, V. Daria, J. Knittel, B. Hage, H.-A. Bachor, and W. P. Bowen, Biological measurement beyond the quantum limit, *Nat. Photonics*, **7**, 229 (2013).
- [15] T. Li, V. Cheburkanov, V. V. Yakovlev, G. S. Agarwal, and M. O. Scully, Harnessing quantum light for microscopic biomechanical imaging of cells and tissues, arXiv:2407.08160.
- [16] D. Bouwmeester, J.-W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, Experimental quantum teleportation, *Nature* **390**, 575 (1997).
- [17] A. Furusawa, J. L. Sørensen, S. L. Braunstein, C. A. Fuchs, H. J. Kimble, and E. S. Polzik, Unconditional quantum teleportation, *Science* **282**, 706 (1998).
- [18] A. Fainstein, N. D. Lanzillotti-Kimura, B. Jusserand, and B. Perrin, Strong optical-mechanical coupling in a vertical GaAs/AlAs microcavity for subterahertz phonons and near-infrared light, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 037403 (2013).
- [19] O. Kyriienko, T. C. H. Liew, and I. A. Shelykh, Optomechanics with cavity polaritons: Dissipative coupling and unconventional bistability, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 076402 (2014).
- [20] N. Carlon Zambon, Z. Denis, R. De Oliveira, S. Ravets, C. Ciuti, I. Favero, and J. Bloch, Enhanced cavity optomechanics with quantum-well exciton polaritons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 093603 (2022).
- [21] G. Rozas, A. E. Bruchhausen, A. Fainstein, B. Jusserand, and A. Lemaître, Polariton path to fully resonant dispersive coupling in optomechanical resonators, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 201302(R) (2014).
- [22] B. Jusserand, A. N. Poddubny, A. V. Poshakinskiy, A. Fainstein, and A. Lemaître, Polariton resonances for ultrastrong coupling cavity optomechanics in GaAs/AlAs multiple quantum wells, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 267402 (2015).
- [23] P. V. Santos, and A. Fainstein, Polaromechanics: polaritonics meets optomechanics, *Opt. Mater. Express* **13**, 1974 (2023).
- [24] C. Baker, W. Hease, D. Nguyen, A. Andronico, S. Ducci, G. Leo, and I. Favero, Photoelastic coupling in gallium arsenide optomechanical disk resonators, *Opt. Express* **22**, 14072 (2014).
- [25] G. L. Bir and G. E. Pikus, *Symmetry and Strain-Induced Effects in Semiconductors* (Wiley, New York, 1974).
- [26] P. Sesin, A. S. Kuznetsov, G. Rozas, S. Anguiano, A. E. Bruchhausen, A. Lemaître, K. Biermann, P. V. Santos, and A. Fainstein, Giant optomechanical coupling and dephasing protection with cavity exciton-polaritons, *Phys. Rev. Research* **5**, L042035 (2023).
- [27] J. J. Hopfield, Theory of the contribution of excitons to the complex dielectric constant of crystals, *Phys. Rev.* **112**, 1555 (1958).
- [28] C. Weisbuch, M. Nishioka, A. Ishikawa, and Y. Arakawa, Observation of the coupled exciton-photon mode splitting in a semiconductor quantum microcavity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3314 (1992).
- [29] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise* (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [30] E. X. DeJesus and C. Kaufman, Routh-Hurwitz criterion in the examination of eigenvalues of a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 5288 (1987).
- [31] C. Fabre, M. Pinard, S. Bourzeix, A. Heidmann, E. Giacobino, and S. Reynaud, Quantum-noise reduction using a cavity with a movable mirror, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 1337 (1994).
- [32] S. Mancini and P. Tombesi, Quantum noise reduction by radiation pressure, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 4055 (1994).
- [33] J. Li, S.-Y. Zhu, and G. S. Agarwal, Squeezed states of magnons and phonons in cavity magnomechanics, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 021801(R) (2019).
- [34] M. Yu, S.-Y. Zhu, and J. Li, Macroscopic entanglement of two magnon modes via quantum correlated microwave fields, *J. Phys. B* **53**, 065402 (2020).
- [35] H. Deng, H. Haug, and Y. Yamamoto, Exciton-polariton Bose-Einstein condensation, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1489 (2010).
- [36] D. G. Lidzey, D. D. C. Bradley, M. S. Skolnick, T. Virgili,

- S. Walker, and D. M. Whittaker, Strong exciton-photon coupling in an organic semiconductor microcavity, *Nature* **395**, 53 (1998).
- [37] L. Sun, Z. Chen, Q. Ren, K. Yu, L. Bai, W. Zhou, H. Xiong, Z. Q. Zhu, and X. Shen, Direct observation of whispering gallery mode polaritons and their dispersion in a ZnO tapered microcavity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 156403 (2008).
- [38] S. Kéna-Cohen, M. Davanço, and S. R. Forrest, Strong exciton-photon coupling in an organic single crystal microcavity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 116401 (2008).
- [39] R. Su, A. Fieramosca, Q. Zhang, H. S. Nguyen, E. Deleporte, Z. Chen, D. Sanvitto, T. C. H. Liew, and Q. Xiong, Perovskite semiconductors for room-temperature exciton-polaritonics, *Nat. Mater.* **20**, 1315 (2021).