

MagicID: Flexible ID Fidelity Generation System

Zhaoli Deng, Wen Liu*, Fanyi Wang[†], Junkang Zhang, Fan Chen, Meng Zhang, Wendong Zhang, Zhenpeng Mi[‡]

Honor Device Co., Ltd
wangfanyi, mizhenpeng@honor.com



Figure 1: Portrait generation results of **MagicID** with different resolution faces, and face restoration capabilities of our **DIIR**.

Abstract

Portrait Fidelity Generation is a prominent research area in generative models, with a primary focus on enhancing both controllability and fidelity. Current methods face challenges in generating high-fidelity portrait results when faces occupy a small portion of the image with a low resolution, especially in multi-person group photo settings. To tackle these issues, we propose a systematic solution called MagicID, based on a self-constructed million-level multi-modal dataset named ID-Zoom. MagicID consists of Multi-Mode Fusion training strategy (MMF) and DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration inference framework (DIIR). During training, MMF iteratively uses the skeleton and landmark modalities from IDZoom as conditional guidance. By introducing the Clone Face Tuning in training stage and Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention (MGMICA) in inference stage, explicit constraints on face positional features are achieved for multi-ID group photo generation. The DIIR aims to address the issue of face artifacts. The DDIM Inversion is used in conjunction with face landmarks, global and local face features to achieve face restoration while keeping the background unchanged. Additionally, DIIR is plug-and-play and can be applied to any diffusion-based portrait generation method. To validate the effectiveness of MagicID, we conducted extensive comparative and ablation experiments. The experimental results demonstrate that MagicID has significant advantages in both subjective and objective metrics, and achieves controllable generation in multi-person scenarios.

Introduction

ID preserved image generation based on text-to-image diffusion models [2] holds significant commercial value and becomes a hot topic in recent years. Early works usually required multiple images of the same identity from different angles. By fine-tuning the diffusion model [1] or regularization modules [3], these methods ultimately achieved the capability of creating a customized model for each ID. Although these methods successfully inserted the ID information into the customized model, the need for multiple inputs and the requirement to fine-tune the model still limited the applications of these methods. The introduction of IP-Adapter [4] partially addressed these issues by extracting image embeddings from a single reference image and incorporating them into the denoising process through weighted cross-attention [5], which reduced the demand for multiple inputs. To further improve the ID fidelity in portrait image synthesis, the authors of IP-Adapter replaced the original general image vision encoder with a specific image encoder pretrained on the face classification task, resulting in the IP-Adapter-Face. Following this line, many excellent works have emerged to generate highly faithful and flexible ID preserved images from a single portrait image, such as PhotoMake [6], InstantID [7], ConsistentID [8], and InstantFamily [9]. Although these works have achieved impressive results for ID preserved portrait generation, generating high-fidelity multi-ID images with specified positions

still remains a challenging problem. On one hand, methods like FasterComposer [15] can generate multi-ID group photos but fail to specify the positions. To perform position-specified generation, existing methods [7, 6] achieve this goal by using position masks during the inference stage, which may result in imposing certain limitations on the generation quality. However, current portrait ID fidelity methods still fail to generate fine-grained facial details in scenarios where the face occupies a small proportion of the image as shown in Fig. 1. The obvious artifacts significantly limit the practical applications of these methods.

To address these two issues, we propose a novel Flexible ID Fidelity Generation System, named MagicID, which combines the Multi-Mode Fusion Training Strategy (MMF) and the DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration inference framework (DIIR). Our contributions are following:

- To address the issue of multi-ID position-specified generation, we propose the Multi-Mode Fusion training strategy (MMF), and introduce Clone Face Tuning and Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention (MGMICA), achieving explicit positional constraints at the feature level during training and inference stages separately.
- To tackle the problem of artifacts in scenarios where the face occupies a small proportion of the generated image, we propose the DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration inference framework (DIIR), which ensures ID detail restoration while maintaining background consistency. Notably, DIIR is plug-and-play and can be applied to any diffusion-based ID fidelity generation method.
- We constructed a data engine named IDZoom, containing millions of samples with six modalities: caption, body, skeleton, face, landmark, and face region mask.

Related Work

The following sections primarily introduce works related to Single-ID personalization and Multi-ID personalization.

Single-ID Personalization

Initially, diffusion models required fine-tuning of pre-trained models, such as LoRA [3], and Dreambooth [1], to generate personalized characters, with limited training concepts. Therefore, works like [15, 30, 31] used facial models to extract facial features and introduced them into diffusion models through cross-attention, retraining the SD U-Net on facial data to generate target characters based on a single image without further fine-tuning. Subsequently, IP-Adapter [4] decoupled text conditions and image conditions, only training the adapter module while freezing the pre-trained model. This approach allowed the IP-Adapter to adapt to different SD base models and ControlNet, achieving richer and more controllable personalized generation. Nowadays, an increasing amount of research is emerging. The first category focuses on controlling facial shapes and enhancing facial similarity by introducing more spatial information [7, 32]. The second category aims to restore facial details and improve the model’s identity retention capability by introducing stronger encoders [14], more reference facial images [6], and finer-grained facial descriptions [8]. Other

works concentrates on balancing personalized editing and ID retention, such as [11], which introduces more training losses to decouple text and identity features, and [10], which optimizes inference schemes to achieve more stylized generation. However, existing works focus on generating portrait close-ups, neglecting the issue of facial artifacts when generating full-body images.

Multi-ID Personalization

Unlike single-ID generation, multi-person generation requires generating different characters within the same image and specifying their respective positions. Many single-ID generation methods use segmentation regions during the inference phase to generate multi-ID images. However, this approach can lead to identity confusion or loss. FastComposer [15] incorporates location information into the training process, but it cannot specify the positions of the generated characters. On the other hand, InstantFamily [9] introduces spatial information to guide the generation, allowing for the specification of character positions. However, multi-person datasets are difficult to collect, and the small proportion of facial regions makes it challenging to train the model’s identity retention capability.

Methods

Our proposed **MagicID** aims to achieve the ability to synthesize high-fidelity full-body shots and group photos of specified individuals. It includes two key innovations. We will introduce the Multi-Mode Fusion Training Strategy in sec.3.1. We jointly trained multi-modal conditions such as face ID, human skeleton, face landmark, and text prompt for photo synthesis. Then we introduce our proposed DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration inference framework in sec.3.2. It is designed to fix artifacts in low-resolution faces, thereby improving the quality of generated photos.

Multi-Mode Fusion Training Strategy

Multi-Mode Fusion training strategy (MMF) utilizes multi-modal data to train diffusion models, enabling the model to synthesize realistic human portraits conditioned on given text prompts, pose conditions and identities.

Data Engine IDZoom We collected open source portrait datasets CeleB-A [16], FFHQ [17], LAION-Face [18], and images from internet, about 25 million portrait images in total. Then, images with low resolution and clarity, multi-faces, repeatability are filtered out. We use the Openpose [19] to detect the body skeleton, apply the Insightface [20, 21] to detect the face, and PIPNet [22] to detect the face landmarks. Considering the cleaning efficiency, we selectively performed cleaning based only on the skeleton and landmark. The cleaning process took approximately 10 person-days in total, resulting in a high-quality dataset named IDZoom, which contains around 1 million data pairs with six modalities: caption, human image, skeleton, face image, face landmark, and face region mask.

Model Architecture We initialize ControlNet with the parameters of the pretrained stable diffusion U-Net model and




Figure 2: Flowchart of Multi-Mode Fusion training strategy(MMF), and the Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention(MGMICA) in inference process. Enhance the ability of specifying the generate location of the portrait.

freeze the U-Net during training, the flowchart is shown in fig. 2. ControlNet encodes pose image condition (skeletons, landmarks) by adding residuals to U-Net Block and then embeds it into the diffusion model, which is capable of adding spatial control to a pretrained diffusion model. For identity preserving generation, we modify the cross attention module of ControlNet. Referring to the design of IP-Adapter [4] and InstantID [7], we utilize global(Clip Vision) and local(ArcFace) encoders to extract fine-grained face features. Then resample these features into face embedding F_{id} with the dimension of 4×768 . F_{id} are injected into ControlNet by cross attention, the specific operation is as show in Eq. 1,

$$CA = \text{CrossAttn}(Q, F_{text}) + \text{CrossAttn}(Q, F_{id}), \quad (1)$$

where CA is the cross attention feature in ControlNet. Q is the attention query of ControlNet, with skeleton or landmark as the input pose image, F_{text} is the text embedding.

Clone Face Tuning Clone Face Tuning is designed to enhance the model’s ability to generate group photo without requiring multi-person data. We copy the training image and paste to its left, perform the same operation on the pose image, but keep the identity embedding unchanged. We aim to obtain similar face attention maps in both face regions. Therefore, we propose clone face attention loss L_{cfa} to supervise the learning process. As shown in Eq. 2,

$$L_{cfa} = \|\text{Crop}(CA, M_1) \odot G - \text{Crop}(CA, M_2) \odot G\|_2^2. \quad (2)$$

We extract face region masks, M_1 and M_2 , which are of the same size and shape. Subsequently, we crop the facial features from attention maps with a resolution greater than

32×32 , using these masks. G represents a Gaussian kernel with the same size as M_1 . To mitigate the potential degradation in image quality due to this duplication, we apply Clone Face Tuning at a frequency of once per 10 iterations. The final training objective is as follows:

$$L_{cldm} = \mathbb{E}_{Z_0, \epsilon, t} \left[\|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(Z_t, t, C, F_{id}, I)\|_2^2 \right], \quad (3)$$

$$L_{total} = \begin{cases} L_{cldm} + \lambda * L_{cfa}, & \text{mod}(\text{iter}, 10) = 0 \\ L_{cldm}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

In Eq.3, ϵ_θ represents our target model, C is the text prompt, I denotes pose image. In Eq.4, λ sets to 0.2 during training.

Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention When synthesizing group photos, the input pose image includes multiple body skeletons, from which different facial region masks are extracted. In the ControlNet cross-attention module, different identities are explicitly activated in different facial regions, As shown in Alg. 1, The F_{text} is the text embedding obtained by encoding the caption using the CLIP text encoder. The M_{id}^N represents the mask region of N_{th} IDs’ face, and F_{id}^N represents the fine-grained visual features of N_{th} ID’s face. N is the total number of IDs, and CA_{text} is the cross-attention map of F_{text} . During multi-ID inference, the attention maps of each F_{id}^i are stored separately as CA_{id}^i . Finally, the sum of all CA_{id}^i along the feature dimension is added to CA_{text} along the same dimension to obtain CA . Experiments have shown that in the inference process with multiple face inputs, **MagicID** can achieve the activation of ID embeddings F_{id} in the corresponding face regions, as demonstrated by the visualized results in fig. 5.




Figure 3: Flowchart of DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration inference framework(DIIR). DIIR achieves crash face repairment.

Algorithm 1: Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention

Input: Query map of cross-attention Q ,
Text embedding F_{text} ,
Face region masks $M = \{M_{id}^1, M_{id}^2, \dots, M_{id}^N\}$,
Face identity embeddings $F_{id} = \{F_{id}^1, F_{id}^2, \dots, F_{id}^N\}$,
Parameter: Number of ID N , CA_{id}^i is the cross-attention result of i_{th} ID
Output: Cross-attention map CA

- 1: $CA_{text} \leftarrow CrossAttn(Q, F_{text})$
- 2: **for** $i = 1$ to N **do**
- 3: $CA_{id}^i \leftarrow CrossAttn(x, F_{id}^i)$
- 4: $CA_{id}^i \leftarrow CA_{id}^i \odot M_{id}^i$
- 5: **end for**
- 6: $CA = CA_{text} + \sum_{i=1}^N CA_{id}^i$
- 7: **return** CA

DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration Inference Framework

When synthesizing full-body photos and group photos, latent diffusion model often produces unpredictable artifacts due to the low resolution of faces. In this section, we introduce our DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration inference framework (DIIR) as illustrated in fig. 3, which is able to restore unreal faces while maintaining background contextual consistency, accurate face poses, and harmonious colors.

Face Gesture and Identity Preservation As mentioned above, we use the trained resampler to extract face ID embeddings F_{id} for preserving identity. We use unreal face landmark as condition for ControlNet to ensure that the re-

stored face maintains the original pose. Experimentally, existing methods [22] can effectively extract face landmark, even the images contain artifacts.

Low-level Feature Extraction We utilize the color and background feature of unreal face for restoration. Recent works [23, 24, 25] have demonstrated that the color and background information are presented in the self-attention feature maps of the diffusion model. Hence, we extract these information from U-Net by DDIM inversion [33]. We encode detected unreal face into the latent space using the VAE encoder to obtain \hat{Z}_0 , and then gradually add noise through DDIM inversion to obtain \hat{Z}_T . During the inversion process, we cache the self-attention map of each layer of the diffusion model U-Net, denoted as $SA^C = [SA_1^C, SA_2^C, \dots, SA_T^C]$, and inversion latent code $\hat{Z} = [\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2, \dots, \hat{Z}_T]$. The subscript in the notation represents different time steps. These cached features will be introduced into DDIM sample by Mask Guided Face Fusion.

Mask Guided Face Fusion As illustrated in fig. 3, the proposed Mask Guided Face Fusion (MGFF) is capable of integrating extracted color and background information into face restoration. During the face denoising process, V_c represents the features stored at the current time step, which could be either \hat{Z}_t or SA_t^C , while V_r denotes Z_t or SA_t^R . SA_t^R represents the self-attention map of each layer of the diffusion model U-Net. We employ AdaIN [26] to modulate the fusion features with spatial constraints. Following Eq.5, we denormalize V_r to V_r' using the mean and variance of V_c at each time step, *MaskedAdaIN* utilizes the mean and variance from the masked face M_p in the AdaIN calculation.

Algorithm 2: DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration

Input: Unrealistic face I_{unreal} , face landmark I_{ldm} , face parsing mask M_p , face identity embedding F_{id}

Parameter: \hat{Z}_0 is the latent code of I_{unreal} , and \hat{Z} is the inversion latent code, SA^C and SA^R are cashed and restored self-attention features separately, ε_θ is DDIM Sampling process.

Output: Restored face image I_{real}

```
1:  $\hat{Z}_0 \leftarrow VAEEncoder(I_{unreal})$ 
2:  $\hat{Z} = \{\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_T\}$ ,  $SA^C = \{SA_1^C, \dots, SA_T^C\}$ 
3:  $Z_T = \hat{Z}_T$ 
4: for  $t = T$  to 1 do
5:    $SA_t^R \leftarrow MGFF(SA_t^R, SA_t^C, M_p)$ 
6:    $Z_{t-1} \leftarrow \varepsilon_\theta(Z_t, t, C, F_{id}, I_{ldm}, SA_t^R, M_p)$ 
7:    $Z_{t-1} \leftarrow MGFF(Z_{t-1}, \hat{Z}_{t-1}, M_p)$ 
8: end for
9:  $I_{real} \leftarrow VAEDecoder(Z_0)$ 
10: return  $I_{real}$ 
```

We extract M_p by method [13] and smooth its boundary with a Gaussian kernel.

$$V_r' = \text{MaskedAdaIN}(V_r, V_c, M_p) \quad (5)$$

As shown in Eq.6, then we have the blended feature representations for V_R . It represents Z_t or SA_t^R .

$$V_R = V_r' \odot M_p + V_c \odot (1 - M_p) \quad (6)$$

Alg.2 provides the pseudo code of our full DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration algorithm.

Experiments

Implement Details

MagicID employs RealisticVisionV4(SD1.5) as the base model. The training begins with a phase where only facial landmark data is used for 50,000 iterations. Subsequently, skeleton data is utilized for an additional 100,000 iterations. The final phase involves training on all data types for 1,000,000 iterations, with clone image training conducted every 10 iterations. The training utilizes the Adam optimizer with an initial learning rate of $1e-4$, a batch size of 128, and is accelerated by 8 NVIDIA A800 GPUs, taking a total of 2 weeks to complete. We set a 10% chance of replacing the text embedding with a null-text embedding. During inference, we conduct DIIR operation when the face resolution of the generated image is less than 100×100 pixels. We employ 30 steps of DDIM sampler, and the scale of classifier-free guidance is set to 5.

Evaluation Dataset For the generation of single-person half-body portraits, we selected Unsplash-50 [27], which consists of 50 portrait images uploaded to the Unsplash website between February and March 2024. To evaluate the capability of different methods in generating full-body images, we collected 30 full-body photographs from the internet (with the face resolution less than 5% of the total image), and

Method	Arch.	M-ID	ClipI \uparrow	ClipT \uparrow	FaceSim \uparrow	DINO \uparrow	FID \downarrow
IP-Adapter	SD1.5	\checkmark	89.4	19.9	41.1	82.4	213.8
InstantID	SDXL	\checkmark	88.7	19.5	57.7	79.7	220.3
PhotomakeV2	SDXL	\times	88.6	20.9	50.5	81.4	216.1
PuLID	SDXL	\times	86.9	19.4	47.0	82.4	229.9
MagicID(Ours)	SD1.5	\checkmark	91.1	21.1	66.5	87.3	207.9

Table 1: Single-ID half body quantitative comparison. The best average performance is in bold. \uparrow indicates higher metric value and represents better performance and vice versa. M-ID means Multi-ID.

Method	ClipI \uparrow	ClipT \uparrow	FaceSIM \uparrow	DINO \uparrow	FID \downarrow
IP-Adapter	86.8	23.3	33.1	92.8	288.5
IP-Adapter*	86.9	23.1	54.9(+21.8)	93.4	286.1
InstantID	85.8	23.3	17.7	84.6	267.8
InstantID*	87.5	23.2	65.8(+48.1)	87.1	268.5
PhotomakeV2	87.5	23.2	22.5	87.6	263.1
PhotomakeV2*	87.5	22.9	69.8(+47.3)	90.9	264.4
PuLID	85.7	24.2	14.2	86.5	273.5
PuLID*	86.1	23.6	68.8(+54.6)	89.7	273.5
MagicID(Ours)	86.9	23.8	30.5	94.2	269.5
MagicID*(Ours)	86.9	23.5	74.2(+43.7)	95.5	270.5

Table 2: Single-ID full-body comparison, * is using DIIR.

used llava1.5 [12] to generate text descriptions for them. Finally, we chose 40 athlete group photos from the 2024 Paris Olympics, featuring over 100 unique IDs (including various genders, nationalities, and ages), and utilized llava1.5 to generate descriptions for these images. These images are used to test the model’s ability to generate group photo.

Evaluation metrics To quantitatively compare the methods, we use the DINO and CLIP-I metrics to measure image fidelity, the CLIP-T metric to assess prompt fidelity, and the FID metric to evaluate the quality of the generated images. Finally, a facial recognition model [20] [21] is employed to measure facial similarity(FaceSim). When generating images with multiple people, it is crucial to place the specified ID at the correct position. Therefore, when calculating facial similarity, the generated face must have an Intersection over Union (IoU) with the designated area greater than 0.5, otherwise, the similarity score is set to 0.

Comparison Experiments

Single-ID Generation We benchmarked against sota open-source solutions, including InstantID, PuLID, and PhotoMakerV2. To ensure a fair comparison, we adhered to their respective official configurations by incorporating default prompts to enhance generation quality and utilized the Openpose ControlNet model to constrain poses during the generation process. On the unsplash test set, as listed in table. 1, our method achieved the best performance across all metrics, with a significant lead in the FaceSim metric. This demonstrates that our MMF effectively integrates identity constraints with various spatial constraints in joint training, which enhances both the quality of generation and the




Figure 4: Visualization comparison with SOTA methods on full body generation ability. MagicID* shows fantastic performance on low resolution face generation, * means using DIIR. More visualization results are presented in supplementary materials.

Method	ClipI ↑	ClipT ↑	FaceSim ↑	DINO ↑	FID ↓
IP-Adapter	85.1	26.8	25.4	89.7	140.4
InstantID	84.9	25.9	30.6	89.5	138.4
MagicID(Ours)	84.5	26.5	50.1	92.2	118.7
MagicID*(Ours)	84.6	26.5	59.1(+9.0)	92.2	118.2

Table 3: Multi-ID comparison, * means using DIIR.

Method	MagicID	+CodeFormer	+DIIR	+DIIR and CodeFormer
FaceSim ↑	30.5	28.0	74.2	66.7
FID ↓	173.5	132.1	153.1	126.9

Table 4: Single-ID full-body comparison with CodeFormer. FID score is calculated only for the facial region.

preservation of IDs. When comparing the results of full-body photo synthesizing, the faces produced by all methods became unrealistic due to the reduced facial resolution. As shown in table. 2, the similarity of the generated faces has dropped to below 30%, with test examples illustrated in fig. 4. After restoring these faces with DIIR, both the facial similarity and the DINO metrics were significantly improved, with only a minor impact on other metrics. This proves that our method can effectively repair the unreal faces generated by different models without damaging the background or color information.

Multi-ID Generation The difficulty in generating group photos with multiple individuals lies in placing different IDs in specified, distinct positions to avoid ID confusion. We configured Ip-Adapter and InstantID with proposed Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention to achieve multi-person



Figure 5: Ablation study of Clone Face Tuning and DIIR, visualization of cross-attention maps and multi-ID full-body (challenging task) generation result. * means using DIIR.

generation. **MagicID*** indicates that we use DIIR to repair face with resolution less than 100×100 . The final results in table. 3 show that our method leads in most metrics. Worth noting is the third row in table. 3, where even without using DIIR, our facial similarity and generation quality still surpass other methods, demonstrating the effectiveness of our proposed MMF. The combination of mixed-modal data and Clone Face Tuning effectively enhance the model’s capability for generating multi-person images.

Ablation Study

Clone Face Tuning We design Clone Face Tuning during training process to enhance the model’s capability for generating images of multiple people. As indicated in table. 3, our method demonstrates a strong capability in multi-person generation. Moreover, by visualizing the Attention Map of the first layer in the trainable ControlNet input block, as shown in fig. 5, we can see that inputting a single ID can simultaneously activate different facial areas. Consequently, we utilize mask guidance to generate different individuals at designated positions within the same image.

DDIM Inversion based ID Restoration The quantitative results presented in table 2 has already demonstrated the effectiveness of DIIR in repairing unrealistic faces. Now, we



Figure 6: Ablation study of DIIR. More face restoration results of DIIR are presented in supplementary materials.

will visually prove the effectiveness of each module within DIIR. As shown in fig. 6, we generated a full-body comic image of Musk, where the face part exists artifacts. Directly using inpainting to generate face would result in an asymmetrical pose compared to the original image, whereas using landmark guidance produces a result with the correct facial pose, proving the effectiveness and necessity of training with face and landmark data. However, the restored face still doesn’t match the original comic style. Our proposed MGFF approach can effectively extract the style and color information from the unreal face to guide face restoration, ultimately generating a face with the correct pose, style, and color that is consistent with the original image. In table. 4, we compare our model with sota face restoration method CodeFormer [28]. While CodeFormer can enhance the quality of low-resolution faces generated by MagicID, it is unable to recover damaged identity information. Our DIIR, however, is capable of simultaneously repairing identity information and improving face quality. By employing both methods for face restoration, we can further enhance face quality while also recovering identity information.

Analysis and Conclusions


We introduce the Multi-Mode Fusion Training Strategy and Mask Guided Multi-ID Cross Attention, achieving explicit positional constraints. As validated by the metrics in Table 1, 3, MagicID demonstrates superior performance in the tasks of single-ID half body and Multi-ID photo generation. We propose the DIIR, which performs fine-grained restoration exclusively on the artifact-affected facial regions. As evidenced in Table 2, DIIR significantly enhances the facial similarity metrics in full-body image generation results. The task of portrait fidelity research is highly challenging, and we hope our work can accelerate the progress in this field.

References

- [1] N. Ruiz, Y. Li, V. Jampani, Y. Pritch, M. Rubinstein, and K. Aberman, “Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2023, pp. 22 500–22 510.

- [2] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, “High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 10 684–10 695.
- [3] E. J. Hu, Y. Shen, P. Wallis, Z. Allen-Zhu, Y. Li, S. Wang, L. Wang, and W. Chen, “Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- [4] H. Ye, J. Zhang, S. Liu, X. Han, and W. Yang, “Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721*, 2023.
- [5] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [6] Z. Li, M. Cao, X. Wang, Z. Qi, M.-M. Cheng, and Y. Shan, “Photomaker: Customizing realistic human photos via stacked id embedding,” in *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024.
- [7] Q. Wang, X. Bai, H. Wang, Z. Qin, and A. Chen, “Instantid: Zero-shot identity-preserving generation in seconds,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07519*, 2024.
- [8] J. Huang, X. Dong, W. Song, H. Li, J. Zhou, Y. Cheng, S. Liao, L. Chen, Y. Yan, S. Liao *et al.*, “Consistentid: Portrait generation with multimodal fine-grained identity preserving,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16771*, 2024.
- [9] J. L. Chanran Kim, B. K. Shichang Joung, and Y.-M. Baek, “Instantfamily: Masked attention for zero-shot multi-id image generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.19427*, 2024.
- [10] Y. Wu, Z. Li, H. Zheng, C. Wang, and B. Li, “Infinite-id: Identity-preserved personalization via id-semantics decoupling paradigm,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11781*, 2024.
- [11] Z. Guo, Y. Wu, Z. Chen, L. Chen, and Q. He, “Pulid: Pure and lightning id customization via contrastive alignment,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16022*, 2024.
- [12] H. Liu, C. Li, Y. Li, and Y. J. Lee, “Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning,” 2023.
- [13] C. Yu, J. Wang, C. Peng, C. Gao, G. Yu, and N. Sang, “Bisenet: Bilateral segmentation network for real-time semantic segmentation,” in *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 325–341.
- [14] S. Zhang, L. Huang, X. Chen, Y. Zhang, Z.-F. Wu, Y. Feng, W. Wang, Y. Shen, Y. Liu, and P. Luo, “Flashface: Human image personalization with high-fidelity identity preservation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17008*, 2024.
- [15] G. Xiao, T. Yin, W. T. Freeman, F. Durand, and S. Han, “Fastcomposer: Tuning-free multi-subject image generation with localized attention,” *arXiv*, 2023.
- [16] Z. Liu, P. Luo, X. Wang, and X. Tang, “Deep learning face attributes in the wild,” in *Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, December 2015.
- [17] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila, “A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 4401–4410.
- [18] Y. Zheng, H. Yang, T. Zhang, J. Bao, D. Chen, Y. Huang, L. Yuan, D. Chen, M. Zeng, and F. Wen, “General facial representation learning in a visual-linguistic manner,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022, pp. 18 697–18 709.
- [19] Z. Cao, G. Hidalgo Martinez, T. Simon, S. Wei, and Y. A. Sheikh, “Openpose: Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2019.
- [20] J. Deng, J. Guo, T. Liu, M. Gong, and S. Zafeiriou, “Sub-center arcface: Boosting face recognition by large-scale noisy web faces,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2020.
- [21] J. Deng, J. Guo, X. Niannan, and S. Zafeiriou, “Arcface: Additive angular margin loss for deep face recognition,” in *CVPR*, 2019.
- [22] H. Jin, S. Liao, and L. Shao, “Pixel-in-pixel net: Towards efficient facial landmark detection in the wild,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, Sep 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11263-021-01521-4>
- [23] J. Gu, Y. Wang, N. Zhao, W. Xiong, Q. Liu, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, H. Jung, and X. E. Wang, “Swapanything: Enabling arbitrary object swapping in personalized visual editing,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.05717*, 2024.
- [24] J. Gu, Y. Wang, N. Zhao, T.-J. Fu, W. Xiong, Q. Liu, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, H. Jung *et al.*, “Photoswap: Personalized subject swapping in images,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [25] J. Chung, S. Hyun, and J.-P. Heo, “Style injection in diffusion: A training-free approach for adapting large-scale diffusion models for style transfer,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2024, pp. 8795–8805.
- [26] X. Huang and S. Belongie, “Arbitrary style transfer in real-time with adaptive instance normalization,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2017, pp. 1501–1510.
- [27] R. Gal, O. Lichte, E. Richardson, O. Patashnik, A. H. Bermanto, G. Chechik, and D. Cohen-Or, “Lcm-lookahead for encoder-based text-to-image personalization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03620*, 2024.


- [28] S. Zhou, K. Chan, C. Li, and C. C. Loy, “Towards robust blind face restoration with codebook lookup transformer,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 30 599–30 611, 2022.
- [29] R. Gal, Y. Alaluf, Y. Atzmon, O. Patashnik, A. H. Bermano, G. Chechik, and D. Cohen-Or, “An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01618*, 2022.
- [30] X. Jia, Y. Zhao, K. C. K. Chan, Y. Li, H. Zhang, B. Gong, T. Hou, H. Wang, and Y.-C. Su, “Taming encoder for zero fine-tuning image customization with text-to-image diffusion models,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.02642>
- [31] D. Valevski, D. Wasserman, Y. Matias, and Y. Leviathan, “Face0: Instantaneously conditioning a text-to-image model on a face,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.06638>
- [32] Y. Han, J. Zhu, K. He, X. Chen, Y. Ge, W. Li, X. Li, J. Zhang, C. Wang, and Y. Liu, “Face adapter for pre-trained diffusion models with fine-grained id and attribute control,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.12970*, 2024.
- [33] J. Song, C. Meng, and S. Ermon, “Denoising diffusion implicit models,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2010.02502, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.02502>



A baby sitting in a stroller holding a cell phone



A man in a tan shirt posing for a picture



A woman standing on a beach next to the ocean

Figure 7: Visualization of Single-ID half-body generation results.



Figure 8: Visualization of Single-ID half-body generation results.

Original



MagicID (Ours)



InstantID



Ip-Adapter



Figure 9: Visualization of Multi-ID half-body generation results.

Original



MagicID (Ours)



InstantID



Ip-Adapter



Figure 10: Visualization of Multi-ID half-body generation results

Original



InstantID



MagicID (Ours)




Ip-Adapter



Figure 11: Visualization of Multi-ID half-body generation results.



Figure 12: DIIR can be easily combined with CoderFomer, to further enhance the quality of generated faces.



"a group of person wearing suit standing in studio take a photo"



Unreal Face



CodeFormer




DIIR




DIIR+CodeFormer



Figure 13: Visualization of Multi-ID full-body generation results(most challenging task). DIIR combines CoderFomer can greatly boost the ability of this issue.



a group of astronauts wearing spacesuit standing on mars take a photo, realistic



Zuckerberg

HuaTeng Ma

Lisa Su

Altman

Musk

Jensen Huang

Unreal Face



CodeFormer



DIIR



DIIR+CodeFormer



Figure 14: Visualization of Multi-ID full-body generation results(most challenging task). DIIR combines CoderFomer can greatly boost the ability of this issue.



Figure 15: DIIR can combined with stylization methods, and have the ability of swapping stylized face.