

Universal non-thermal power-law distribution functions from the self-consistent evolution of collisionless electrostatic plasmas

Uddipan Banik*

Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 112 Nassau Street, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street N., Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada

Amitava Bhattacharjee[†]

Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 112 Nassau Street, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

Wrick Sengupta[‡]

Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 112 Nassau Street, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
(Dated: August 15, 2024)

Distribution functions of collisionless systems are known to show non-thermal power law tails. Interestingly, collisionless plasmas in various physical scenarios, (e.g., the ion population of the solar wind) feature a v^{-5} tail in the velocity (v) distribution, the origin of which has been a long-standing mystery. We show that this power law tail is a natural outcome of the collisionless relaxation of driven electrostatic plasmas when the effect of a self-consistent electric field is properly included in the theory. We perform a quasilinear analysis of the perturbed Vlasov-Poisson equations, and show that the coarse-grained mean distribution function f_0 evolves via a quasilinear diffusion equation with a diffusion coefficient that depends on the particle velocities through the plasma dielectric constant. If the plasma is isotropically forced on scales sufficiently large relative to the Debye length with a white noise-like (small correlation time) electric field, the diffusion coefficient scales as v^4 for $\sigma < v < \omega_p/k$, with σ the thermal velocity, ω_p the plasma frequency and k the maximum wavenumber of the perturbation; the corresponding f_0 , in the quasi-steady state, develops a $v^{-(d+2)}$ tail in d dimensions (v^{-5} tail in 3D), while the energy (E) distribution develops an E^{-2} tail irrespective of the dimensionality of space. Any redness of the noise only alters the scaling in the high v end. Non-resonant particles moving slower than the phase-velocity of the plasma waves (ω_p/k) experience a Debye-screened electric field, and significantly less (power law suppressed) acceleration than the particles near-resonant with the waves. Thus, a Maxwellian distribution function develops a non-thermal power law tail. The Maxwellian core ($v < \sigma$) eventually also heats up, but over a much longer timescale than that over which the tail is generated. This ensures that the non-thermal tail is long-lived. We definitively show that self-consistency (ignored in test-particle treatments) is crucial for the development of the universal v^{-5} tail.

I. INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of universal velocity distribution functions for N-body systems has been a holy grail of kinetic theory since the remarkable results obtained by Ludwig Boltzmann in the late nineteenth century. It is well known that short-range interactions or collisions drive the velocity distribution function (DF) of a system (e.g., a neutral gas or a plasma) towards a Maxwellian. This can be understood in a number of ways: (1) the Maxwellian DF annihilates the collision operator in the Boltzmann (or the Fokker-Planck) equation that describes the collisional relaxation of a system, and is thus a steady-state solution, and (2) it is the DF that maximizes the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy, according to the Boltzmann H-theorem. The collision-driven decorrelation of the momenta of particles, also known as molecular chaos, is at the heart of the Boltzmann H-theorem, and ultimately leads to the irreversible upward march of the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy

towards its maximum and the consequent establishment of the Maxwellian DF in thermal equilibrium. The ubiquity of the Maxwellian DF in collisional systems is a testament to its universal nature.

Almost equally ubiquitous is the presence of power-law tails in non-thermal DFs in collisionless systems that are governed by long-range forces. On timescales over which they are observed, such systems do not equilibrate or relax via collisions, i.e., do not attain the maximum Boltzmann-Shannon entropy state. Yet, non-thermal DFs with power-law tails tend to be long-lived and represent a quasi-steady state. One specific power law, the v^{-5} tail in the three-dimensional (3D) velocity (v) distribution or the E^{-2} tail in the energy (E) distribution, conspicuously appears in collisionless plasmas. For example, it is very commonly observed in the ion distribution of the solar wind [1–4]. The origin of the preponderance and persistence of this power-law tail has been a subject of long-standing interest and controversy.

A collisionless electrostatic plasma is described by the collisionless Boltzmann or the Vlasov equation and the Poisson equation (in the non-relativistic regime). It is well known that the Vlasov equation admits a denumerably infinite set of Casimir invariants (of which the Boltzmann H-function is but one); any positive definite function of the conserved quanti-

* uddipan.banik@princeton.edu, uddipanbanik@ias.edu

† amitava@princeton.edu

‡ wsengupta@princeton.edu

ties is a solution to the Vlasov equation and therefore a valid steady state DF. Why then does a collisionless system tend to relax to a particular quasi-steady state? This is because, while the fine-grained DF obeys the Vlasov equation, in numerical experiments or satellite observations one typically measures the coarse-grained DF, which is some averaged version of the fine-grained DF. This coarse-grained DF does not follow the Vlasov equation but a modified kinetic equation, with an effective collision operator (in a mean-field theory) that represents the effect of instabilities and/or turbulence. The effective collision operator obtained by the coarse-graining of collisionless plasmas is, in general, quite different than the Boltzmann collision operator. Hence, the maximum entropy state (if such a thing even exists in this case) can exhibit significant non-Maxwellian features.

It is argued by some that the effective collision operator is of the Balescu-Lenard form [5]. Interestingly, [6] have shown that maximizing a modified form of the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy, where they treat the DF as a random variable and replace the DF in the entropy expression by the probability that the DF takes a certain value (inspired by [7]), yields the E^{-2} distribution, hinting at the universality of this power law. Others have argued for the ubiquity of kappa distribution functions as a replacement for the Maxwellian from a novel statistical mechanics of collisionless systems (see [8] and other references therein).

The primary goal of this paper is to develop a fully self-consistent evolution equation for the mean, coarse-grained DF, f_0 , of an externally driven collisionless electrostatic plasma. Our main tool is quasilinear theory (QLT) (second order perturbation theory; see [9] for a modern treatment). Of course, QLT comes with its own set of assumptions, some of which are not universally applicable. The fundamental assumption is that the problem of collisionless relaxation admits a separation of timescales, i.e., the mean, coarse-grained DF evolves over a timescale much longer than the plasma oscillation period or the typical timescale associated with linear fluctuations. This is not always true, e.g., in the violent relaxation [7] of collisionless plasmas, where the two timescales are comparable and perhaps even similar to the nonlinear trapping time/libration time of charged particles trapped in coherent structures such as Bernstein-Green-Kruskal [10] (BGK) modes. QLT is assumed to describe the evolution of the mean coarse-grained DF but not that of the fluctuations [see 11, for a (non self-consistent) study of the latter], which can be subject to higher order non-linear effects; this is essentially an assumption of spatial scale separation. Under the aforementioned assumptions of scale separation, QLT yields a Fokker-Planck equation, with a diffusion coefficient that depends on the plasma dielectric constant, as the evolution equation of the mean DF. We demonstrate that if the plasma is driven by a white noise-like stochastic electric field isotropically on scales larger than the Debye length ($k\lambda_D \ll 1$), the diffusion coefficient scales universally as $\sim v^4$ for velocities between σ , the velocity dispersion of the DF, and ω_p/k , the phase-velocity of the plasma waves, with k the maximum wavenumber of the external field and ω_p the plasma frequency (or ion sound frequency). This ultimately establishes a $v^{-(d+2)}$ power law

tail in the quasi-steady state DF, with d the number of dimensions, i.e., a v^{-5} tail in 3D. This corresponds to an E^{-2} tail in the energy distribution, irrespective of the dimensionality of space. We demonstrate that the presence of temporal correlations in the noise (red noise) partially breaks this ‘‘universality’’ and modifies the power law exponent for velocities larger than $1/k\tau_c$, where τ_c is the noise correlation time.

To explain the origin of the v^{-5} tail in the ion population of the solar wind, Fisk & Gloeckler, in a series of papers [3, 4, 12–17], perform a quasilinear treatment of the Parker transport equation for the evolution of the DF in the solar wind frame. They argue that particles from the core of a Maxwellian distribution can be accelerated to high energies, forming a non-thermal v^{-5} tail, by adiabatic compressions and expansions driven by the solar wind, accompanied by diffusion (something they call the ‘pump mechanism’). However, Jokipii & Lee [18] argue that the treatment by Fisk & Gloeckler does not conserve particle number, and that a proper treatment of their proposed mechanism of stochastic acceleration can only yield power law tails shallower than v^{-3} .

It should be noted that neither Fisk & Gloeckler nor Jokipii & Lee are fully self-consistent models (this limitation is explicitly acknowledged in [18]). The ions are treated as test particles, but the self-consistent coupling of the fields to the ion DF through Maxwell’s equations, which would provide a back-reaction on the DF, are not included in their treatments. In contrast, within the range of validity of the electrostatic approximation which includes the self-consistent Poisson equation, we demonstrate that the DF exhibits universal v^{-5} tails. We thus conclude that the requirement of self-consistency imposes powerful constraints on the form of DFs, and when such constraints are included, one can obtain results on the universality of power-law tails. The reason for this conclusion is not hard to see. Charged particles in the plasma do not see the bare electric field, rather they experience the ‘dressed’ field and are themselves dressed due to Debye shielding. This implies that the non-resonant particles in a DF, moving slower than the phase-velocity (ω_p/k) of the plasma waves, are more screened and therefore less accelerated than the near-resonant particles ($v \sim \omega_p/k$), causing the quasilinear diffusion coefficient to develop a v^4 dependence and the quasi-steady state DF to develop a v^{-5} tail for $\sigma < v < \omega_p/k$. Besides the self-consistency requirement, the development of this power law tail requires the following conditions: (1) isotropic electrostatic forcing on scales much larger than the Debye length (e.g., in Langmuir or ion sound turbulence), and (2) white noise-like (small correlation time) forcing. Red noise with correlation time $\tau_c \gtrsim 1/\omega_p$ modifies the power law exponent for high velocity particles with $v > 1/k\tau_c$. Forcing on scales comparable to the Debye length and anisotropic forcing also modify the power law. This explains why, despite the preponderance of v^{-5} tails in the solar wind data, there exist parts of the phase-space that show deviations from it [18].

This paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the perturbative (linear and quasilinear) response theory for the relaxation of driven collisionless plasmas governed by the Vlasov-Poisson equations, and derives the quasilinear Fokker-Planck/diffusion equation for the evolution of the

mean coarse-grained DF. In Section III, we discuss the properties, in particular the velocity scalings, of the quasilinear diffusion coefficient for different noise models. In section IV, we solve the quasilinear diffusion equation and obtain the universal velocity scaling of the mean coarse-grained DF in quasi-steady state. We summarize our findings in section V.

II. PERTURBATIVE RESPONSE THEORY FOR COLLISIONLESS PLASMAS

A plasma is characterized by the DF or phase space (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) density of particles, $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$. In this paper, we shall restrict ourselves to studying the evolution of the DF of a single charged species with other species included as part of a charge-neutralizing background, but the treatment can be extended in a straightforward way to include other species self-consistently. The general equations governing the evolution of a collisionless electrostatic plasma are the Vlasov equation and the self-consistent Poisson equation. The Vlasov equation,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{e}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f \cdot (\mathbf{E}^{(P)} + \mathbf{E}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

is a conservation equation for the DF, f , of the charged species under consideration. Here, e is the electric charge (same as the electron charge for electrons and $-Z$ times the electron charge for ions with atomic number Z), m is the mass of the charged species, \mathbf{E} is the self-generated electric field of the plasma that is sourced by the DF via the Poisson equation,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3v (f - f^{(P)}), \quad (2)$$

where ϵ_0 is the permittivity of vacuum, and $f^{(P)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ is the DF of some other charged species. We assume quasi-neutrality in equilibrium, i.e., the number density, n_e , of the species under consideration is equal to that of the perturbing species, $n_e^{(P)}$. $\mathbf{E}^{(P)}$ is the perturbing electric field that may be sourced by perturbations in $f^{(P)}$.

The dynamics of a collisionless electrostatic plasma is fully described by the above Vlasov-Poisson system of equations. These are difficult to solve in their full generality (due to the non-linearity of the Vlasov equation), and hence, one must resort to perturbation theory to obtain analytical solutions. If the strength of the perturber potential, $\Phi^{(P)} = -\int \mathbf{E}^{(P)} \cdot d\mathbf{x}$, is smaller than σ^2 , where σ is the velocity dispersion of the unperturbed near-equilibrium system, then the perturbation in f can be expanded as a power series in the perturbation parameter, $\epsilon \sim |\Phi^{(P)}|/\sigma^2$, i.e., $f = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \epsilon^2 f_2 + \dots$; \mathbf{E} can also be expanded accordingly.

As shown in Appendix A, one can perform a Fourier transform with respect to \mathbf{x} and Laplace transform with respect to t of f , \mathbf{E}_1 and $\mathbf{E}^{(P)}$, to derive the response of the system order by order. The Fourier-Laplace coefficients of the linear response can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, \omega) &= -\frac{ie}{m} \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\omega)) \cdot \partial f_0 / \partial \mathbf{v}}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)}, \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) &= 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int d^3v \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \partial f_0 / \partial \mathbf{v}}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_p = \sqrt{n_e e^2 / m \epsilon_0}$ is the plasma frequency (or the frequency of ion waves), n_e being the number density of the charged species. The subscript \mathbf{k} stands for the Fourier transform in \mathbf{x} while the tilde represents the Laplace transform in t . The dielectric constant $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ represents the polarization of the medium and the consequent Debye shielding/screening of the electric field.

A. Quasilinear theory

The linear response $f_{1\mathbf{k}}(t)$ (obtained by the inverse Laplace transform of $\tilde{f}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\omega)$ as shown in Appendix A) consists of a continuum response that evolves as $\exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}t]$ and a set of discrete Landau modes that evolve as $\exp[-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}t]$, with the modal frequencies $\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}$ following the Landau dispersion relation, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}) = 0$. As shown by [19], these modes are oscillating but damped. On scales larger than the Debye length, $\lambda_D = \sigma / \omega_p$, Landau damping becomes inefficient and the plasma response consists of waves oscillating at frequencies, $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \omega_p + 3k^2 \lambda_D^2 / 2$. These are known as the Langmuir waves in case of electrons and ion acoustic waves in case of ions. Typically, the linear response evolves over a timescale of the order of the plasma oscillation period, $2\pi / \omega_p$, which is much shorter than the evolution timescale of the mean DF.

The evolution of the mean DF, $\langle f_{2\mathbf{k}=0} \rangle = f_0$, can be studied by computing the second order response, $f_{2\mathbf{k}}$, and then taking the $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit and ensemble averaging the response over the random phases of the linear fluctuations (see Appendix A for details). This yields the following quasilinear equation [see 9, for a comprehensive review]:

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = -\frac{e}{m} \int d^3k \langle \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{1\mathbf{k}} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{E}_{1\mathbf{k}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}$. Here we have used the reality condition that $\mathbf{E}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(P)} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)*}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{1,-\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{E}_{1\mathbf{k}}^*$.

Now, we need to make assumptions about the temporal correlation of the external perturbing electric field, $E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)}(t)$. We assume that $E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)}(t)$ is a generic red noise:

$$\langle E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)*}(t) E_{\mathbf{k}j}^{(P)}(t') \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_t(t - t'), \quad (5)$$

where C_t is the correlation function in time. This implies that $A_{\mathbf{k}i}(\omega^{(P)})$, the Fourier transform of $E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)}(t)$ ¹, follows

¹ Here, taking the Fourier transform in time is not very different from taking

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A_{\mathbf{k}i}^*(\omega^{(P)}) A_{\mathbf{k}j}(\omega'^{(P)}) \rangle \\ & = \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_\omega(\omega^{(P)}) \delta(\omega^{(P)} - \omega'^{(P)}), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where C_ω is the Fourier transform of C_t .

Substituting the expressions for the linear quantities, $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $f_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, t)$, from equations (A12) in the quasilinear equation (4) above, and using the noise spectrum for the perturbing electric field given in equation (5), we obtain the following simplified form for the quasilinear equation (refer to Appendix A for a detailed derivation):

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \left(D_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_j} \right). \quad (7)$$

This is nothing but a Fokker-Planck equation with a diffusion tensor D_{ij} , which at long time after the Landau modes have damped away (assuming that we are always in the stable regime), is given by

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) \approx \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \int d^3k \frac{\mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})}{|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})|^2}, \quad (8)$$

with the dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})$, given by the third of equations (3).

The quasilinear diffusion of f_0 is governed by the fluctuating background as well as the self-consistent electric field generated by the fluctuating particles themselves. In fact, the diffusion is driven by the *polarized* or *dressed* fluctuations. Even though collisionless relaxation is in general a complicated, violent and turbulent process, the long time relaxation of the coarse-grained mean DF is governed by a surprisingly simple Fokker-Planck type diffusion. In the quasi-steady state, f_0 becomes a particular function of velocity or energy. Of course, this depends on the exact functional form of the diffusion tensor. In the next section, we shall show that, under a wide range of circumstances, the diffusion coefficient has a unique v dependence over a large range of v , which ultimately leads to a universal v dependence of f_0 in the quasi-steady state.

III. THE QUASILINEAR DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT

As discussed above, the long time quasilinear evolution of the mean coarse-grained DF of a driven collisionless plasma is governed by a Fokker-Planck equation. The diffusion tensor, given by equation (8), depends on the dielectric constant, which itself depends on the DF through a velocity integral (see the third of equations [3]). Therefore, in general, we would have to solve an integro-differential equation, which needs to

the Laplace transform, since we are interested in the slow, secular evolution of f_0 over a timescale much longer than the damping rate of the Landau modes.




FIG. 1. The quasilinear diffusion coefficient, $D(v)$, normalized by $D_0 = 4\pi^2 e^2 k^2 \mathcal{E}_0 / m^2$, as a function of v/σ for a white noise forcing (with a single wavenumber k such that the power spectrum is $\mathcal{E}(k') = \mathcal{E}_0 \delta(k' - k)$) of a collisionless plasma characterized by f_0 that follows a κ distribution with $\kappa = 1$ and velocity dispersion, σ . Different lines indicate different values of $k\lambda_D$, where $\lambda_D = \sigma/\omega_p$ is the Debye length. Note that $D(v) \sim v^4$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k = \sigma/k\lambda_D$. This range widens as $k\lambda_D$ decreases, i.e., for larger scale forcing. For smaller values of $k\lambda_D$, $D(v)$ spikes at $v = \omega_p/k$ since these particles are resonant with the plasma waves (electron Langmuir waves or ion acoustic waves) and extract the maximum energy from the electric field.




FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but for different values of κ as indicated and $k\lambda_D = 10^{-3}$. Note that $D(v)$ is largely insensitive to κ and scales as v^4 for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k = \sigma/k\lambda_D = 10^3\sigma$ regardless of κ .

be numerically integrated to track the temporal evolution of $f_0(\mathbf{v}, t)$. But since the diffusion coefficient can vary significantly as a function of velocity, as we show below, one is left

with a large dynamic range that makes precise numerical integration difficult. Under certain conditions, however, one can obtain self-similar analytic solutions. Before obtaining these solutions, let us first investigate the nature of the quasilinear diffusion coefficient and look for important scaling properties. To make the problem tractable, we make the following simplifying assumptions:

- Isotropic turbulence of the drive: $\mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{E}(k) \delta_{ij}$
- Isotropic DF: $f_0(\mathbf{v}) = f_0(v)$.

Under these assumptions, the quasilinear Fokker-Planck equation 7 is simplified into the following one dimensional diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{v^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(D(v) v^{d-1} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right), \quad (9)$$

with the diffusion coefficient given by

$$D(v) = \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k) \int d\Omega_d \frac{C_\omega(kv \cos \theta)}{|\varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta)|^2}, \quad (10)$$

where d is the number of dimensions, θ is the angle between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{v} , and $d\Omega_d$ is the differential solid angle in d dimensions (equal to $d \cos \theta$ in 3D). The dielectric constant is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta) &= 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv' \frac{\partial F_0 / \partial v'}{v \cos \theta - v'} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \left[\int dv' \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v'} \text{P} \left(\frac{1}{v \cos \theta - v'} \right) - i\pi \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v} \Big|_{v \cos \theta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with P denoting the principal value, and

$$F_0(v) = \prod_{i=2}^d \int dv_i f_0(\mathbf{v}) \quad (12)$$

the one-dimensional DF. Clearly, the solution depends on the form of $D(v)$, which in turn depends on the dielectric constant, ε_k , and the temporal correlation, C_t , of the external perturbations. In what follows, we compute $D(v)$ for some physically well-motivated models of C_t . We shall hereafter assume $d = 3$ for the analysis of $D(v)$, but we have checked that the velocity scaling of $D(v)$ does not depend on the number of dimensions.

A. White noise

Let the external drive be a white noise, or in other words uncorrelated in time, in which case the correlation function, C_t , is of the following form:

$$C_t(t, t') = \delta(t - t'). \quad (13)$$

Although idealized, this model is valid as long as the correlation time of the noise is shorter than the relevant dynamical of the system, which is the plasma oscillation period, $2\pi/\omega_p$. We discuss the implications of finite correlation time or redness of the noise in section III B by adopting a specific example of C_t .

In the case of the white noise, where C_t is given by equation (13), we have $C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = 1$, and the diffusion coefficient simplifies to the following:

$$D(v) = \frac{2\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k) \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{|\varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta)|^2}. \quad (14)$$

As shown in Appendix B, we can approximate ε_k as $1 - \omega_p^2/k^2 v^2 \cos^2 \theta$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, and as $1 + c_F \omega_p^2/k^2 \sigma^2$ for $v \lesssim \sigma$ to obtain an approximate analytical expression for $D(v)$ (see equation [B4]). Here c_F is an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constant that depends on the high v asymptotic behavior of F_0 . The following asymptotic scalings of $D(v)$ are important:

$$D(v) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^2 \mathcal{E}(k)}{\left(1 + c_F \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2 \sigma^2}\right)^2}, & v \lesssim \sigma, \\ \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{5m^2 \omega_p^4} v^4 \int_0^\infty dk k^6 \mathcal{E}(k), & \sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{\omega_p}{k}, \\ \frac{4\pi^2 e^2 \omega_p}{m^2 k} \frac{1}{v} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k), & \frac{\omega_p}{k} \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{1}{k \lambda_D} \frac{\omega_p}{k}, \\ \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k), & v \gtrsim \frac{1}{k \lambda_D} \frac{\omega_p}{k}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

When $f_0(v)$ is a κ distribution, i.e.,

$$f_0(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)}{\kappa^{3/2}\Gamma(\kappa - 1/2)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{v^2}{2\kappa\sigma^2}\right)^{1+\kappa}}, \quad (16)$$

the constant c_F is equal to $(1 - 1/2\kappa)$.

Assuming a spatially sinusoidal drive, i.e., $\mathcal{E}(k') = \mathcal{E}_0 \delta(k' - k)$, we numerically compute the diffusion coefficient given in equation (14) for a κ distribution with $\kappa = 1$ (as we show below, the result is not sensitive to the value of κ). We plot the $D(v)$ thus obtained, normalized by $D_0 = 4\pi^2 e^2 k^2 \mathcal{E}_0 / m^2$, in Fig. 1 as a function of v for $k\lambda_D = 1, 10^{-1}, 10^{-2}$ and 10^{-3} . On scales comparable to the Debye length, there is no Debye shielding and therefore $|\varepsilon_k| \approx 1$, implying $D(v) \approx D_0$. On larger scales, due to Debye screening of the electric field, $|\varepsilon_k| > 1$ and thus, $D(v) < D_0$ for $v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, the phase-velocity of the plasma waves. In the $v \ll \sigma$ limit, $D(v) \approx D_0(1 - 1/2\kappa)^{-2}(k\lambda_D)^4$, and then increases with v as $\sim v^4$ from $v \sim \sigma$ up to $v \sim \omega_p/k$. It sharply increases as $v \rightarrow \omega_p/k$ due to wave-particle resonance, and decreases thereafter as v^{-1} until it saturates to D_0 at $v \gg \omega_p/k$. The velocity range over which $D(v)$ scales as v^4 increases linearly with $(k\lambda_D)^{-1}$, i.e., widens for larger scale forcing. Particles moving slower than the phase-velocity of the plasma waves experience a large-scale electric field that is Debye screened, while those moving faster experience the small-scale bare field. This is the reason why faster particles get heated/diffuse faster. Those moving with $v \approx \omega_p/k$ resonate with the plasma waves, thereby extracting maximum energy from the electric field. This wave-particle resonance leads to the sharp increase of the diffusion coefficient near $v = \omega_p/k$. The non-resonant particles undergo much less heating, their diffusion being suppressed by a factor of $\approx (kv/\omega_p)^{-4}$ relative to that of the resonant particles; this scaling can be traced fundamentally to the inverse square nature of the Coulomb force. The core of the DF, consisting of particles with $v \lesssim \sigma$, diffuses very little, at a rate suppressed by a factor of $\approx (k\lambda_D)^{-4}$ with respect to the high energy particles. It is the suppression of the diffusion of the non-resonant particles relative to the resonant ones by a factor of $(kv/\omega_p)^{-4}$ that ultimately gives rise to the universal velocity scaling of the quasi-steady state DF, as we shall see in the next section.

The velocity dependence of the diffusion coefficient is quite insensitive to the exact functional form of the DF for $v \gtrsim \sigma$. To demonstrate this, we plot $D(v)/D_0$ in Fig. 2 as a function of v for the κ distribution with different values of κ as indicated. We adopt large-scale forcing, i.e., $k\lambda_D = 10^{-3}$. Note that $D(v)$ scales as $(1 - 1/2\kappa)^{-2}$ for $v \lesssim \sigma$, i.e., it weakens only slightly with increasing κ or steeper large v fall-off of the DF. Leaving aside this slight modification, $D(v)$ is largely insensitive to κ elsewhere, and scales as $\sim v^4$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$. This universal behavior of the quasilinear diffusion coefficient at intermediate velocities appears as long as the external forcing is acting isotropically on scales larger than the Debye length as a white noise in time.




FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 1 but for a red noise drive (of the model given by equation [17]) with different correlation times, τ_c , as indicated. Note how $D(v)$ scales the same way as in the white noise case, i.e., $\sim v^4$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, when $\omega_p\tau_c \lesssim 1$. For larger correlation times such that $\omega_p\tau_c \gtrsim 1 \gtrsim k\sigma\tau_c$, the high velocity end between $v \sim 1/k\tau_c$ and ω_p/k develops a v^2 scaling, while for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$ $D(v)$ still scales as v^4 .

B. Red noise

The universal v^4 scaling of the diffusion coefficient is partially broken if we have red noise, i.e., a finite correlation time for the external electric field. Let us take a specific example of red noise to see this effect. Let the correlation function, C_t , be of the form:

$$C_t(t - t') = \frac{1}{2\tau_c} \exp[-|t - t'|/\tau_c]. \quad (17)$$

In this case,

$$C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{1 + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}\tau_c)^2}, \quad (18)$$

which tends to 1 as $\tau_c \rightarrow 0$, as one would expect since $C_t(t - t') \rightarrow \delta(t - t')$ and the red noise becomes white noise in this limit.

With the above form for the noise, the quasilinear diffusion coefficient becomes

$$D(v) = \frac{2\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k) \times \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{1 + (kv\tau_c \cos \theta)^2} \frac{1}{|\varepsilon_k (kv \cos \theta)|^2}. \quad (19)$$

As shown in Appendix B 2, we can use an approximate expression for ε_k and thus approximately evaluate an analytical expression for $D(v)$ (see equations [B9] and [B10]). For

$\omega_p \tau_c > 1 \gtrsim k \sigma \tau_c$, $D(v)$ has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$D(v) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^2 \mathcal{E}(k)}{\left(1 + c_F \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2 \sigma^2}\right)^2}, & v \lesssim \sigma, \\ \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{5m^2 \omega_p^4} v^4 \int_0^\infty dk k^6 \mathcal{E}(k), & \sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{1}{k\tau_c}, \\ \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{3m^2 \omega_p^4 \tau_c^2} v^2 \int_0^\infty dk k^4 \mathcal{E}(k), & \frac{1}{k\tau_c} \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{\omega_p}{k}, \\ \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m^2 \omega_p \tau_c^2} \frac{1}{v} \int_0^\infty dk k \mathcal{E}(k), & v \gtrsim \frac{\omega_p}{k}. \end{cases}$$




FIG. 4. Same as Fig. 1 but for $\mathcal{E}(k)$ a Schechter function, given by equation (21), with $\alpha_0 = 1$ and different values of the cut-off wavenumber k_c as indicated. $D(v)$ develops a more pronounced v^4 scaling (for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k_c$) as $k_c \lambda_D$ decreases.

For $\omega_p \tau_c > k \sigma \tau_c \gtrsim 1$, $D(v)$ is independent of v for $v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$, scales as v^{-1} for $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \sigma$, v^2 for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$ and v^{-1} beyond. For $\omega_p \tau_c \lesssim 1$, $D(v)$ behaves the same way as in the white noise case for $v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$, but for $v \gtrsim 1/k\tau_c$, becomes

$$D(v) \approx \frac{2\pi^3 e^2}{m^2 \tau_c} \frac{1}{v} \int_0^\infty dk k \mathcal{E}(k). \quad (20)$$

The above scalings are manifest in Fig. 3 that plots $D(v)/D_0$ vs v for different values of $k \sigma \tau_c$ as indicated, adopting $k \lambda_D = 10^{-3}$. Note that in the $\omega_p \tau_c \rightarrow 0$ limit, we recover the same scalings as in the white noise case (notably, $D(v) \sim v^4$ over a large range in v) except for $v > 1/k\tau_c$. Therefore we see that

a non-zero τ_c does not destroy the universal v^4 scaling of the diffusion coefficient if τ_c is shorter than the plasma oscillation period, $1/\omega_p$. Strongly correlated noise (of the form given in equation [17]) with $\tau_c > 1/\omega_p$, on the other hand, can modify the velocity scaling to $\sim v^2$ for $v > 1/k\tau_c$, but keeps the v^4 scaling unchanged for $\sigma < v < 1/k\tau_c$.

C. Spatial power spectrum of the external perturbation

The diffusion coefficient depends on $\mathcal{E}(k)$, the spatial power spectrum of the perturbation. To examine how strong this dependence is, we adopt a Schechter function for the power spectrum,

$$\mathcal{E}(k) = \mathcal{E}_0 \left(\frac{k}{k_c}\right)^{-\alpha_0} \exp[-k/k_c], \quad (21)$$

and substitute it in equation (14) to compute the diffusion coefficient, adopting a κ distribution with $\kappa = 1$ (as we pointed out earlier, the result is insensitive to κ), and a white noise temporal power spectrum for the external perturbation. We plot the resulting $D(v)/D_0$ as a function of v in Fig. 4 for $\alpha_0 = 1$ and three different values of k_c as indicated. Note that $D(v) \sim v^4$ for $\sigma < v \lesssim \omega_p/k_c$. This universal velocity dependence persists for a larger range of v for larger scale forcing, i.e., smaller values of $k_c \lambda_D$. We checked that this behavior is quite insensitive to α_0 , the power law exponent of $\mathcal{E}(k)$.

IV. THE QUASILINEAR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

The quasilinear diffusion coefficient has a non-trivial dependence on v , but, as shown in the previous section, can be written as a combination of different power laws, i.e.,




FIG. 5. Quasilinear evolution of the mean coarse-grained DF, $f_0(v)$, as a function of v , obtained by solving equation (22) in 3D (see section IV for details). The system is driven by a white noise field of a single wavenumber $k = 10^{-4}/\lambda_D$. Different colors denote different times in units of σ^2/\mathcal{D} with $\mathcal{D} = (k\lambda_D)^4 D_0$ and $D_0 = 4\pi^2 e^2 k^2 \mathcal{E}_0/m^2$. Note how a Maxwellian core develops for $v \lesssim \sigma_1$, and a v^{-5} power law tail develops for $\sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, with $\omega_p/k = \sigma/k\lambda_D = 10^4 \sigma$ (marked by the vertical black dashed line), $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma^2 + 2\mathcal{D}t$, and σ the velocity dispersion of the initial DF. The high velocity end ($v \gtrsim \omega_p/k$) forms a plateau, while the Maxwellian core ($v \lesssim \sigma_1$) heats up and eats up the power law tail, but over a much longer timescale $\sim (k\lambda_D)^{-6} \sigma^2/D_0$.

$D(v) \sim v^\alpha$ with a different α in a different range, especially for forcing on scales larger than the Debye length (see equations [15] and [20]). Considering a white noise drive, we have $\alpha = 0$ for $v \lesssim \sigma$ and $v \gtrsim (1/k\lambda_D)(\omega_p/k)$, $\alpha = -1$ for $\omega_p/k \lesssim v \lesssim (1/k\lambda_D)(\omega_p/k)$, and $\alpha = 4$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$. For a red noise drive of the form given in equation (17) with $\omega_p\tau_c > 1 \gtrsim k\sigma\tau_c$, $\alpha = 4$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$ but ≈ 2 for $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$ and -1 beyond. All in all, $\alpha = 4$ over a large range of velocities for a sufficiently large-scale, white noise-like forcing.

Motivated by the above, we now solve the quasilinear diffusion equation (9), assuming the diffusion coefficient to have a power law form in v , with different power law exponents for different velocity intervals. If $D(v) \sim v^\alpha$, the quasilinear equation in d dimensions becomes

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{u^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(u^{\alpha+d-1} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u} \right), \quad (22)$$

with $u = v/\sigma$, $D(v) = \mathcal{D}u^\alpha$, and $\tau = \mathcal{D}t/\sigma^2$, where $\mathcal{D} = D_0(k\lambda_D)^4$, $D_0 = 4\pi^2 e^2 k^2 \mathcal{E}_0/m^2$ and σ is the velocity dispersion of the initial DF. Here we assume the power spectrum of the external drive to be dominated by a single k for simplicity

(for a more complicated $\mathcal{E}(k)$, the results are unchanged if k is replaced by the cut-off wavenumber, k_c). Since the particle number should be approximately conserved in each velocity range that has a single power law for $D(v)$, we make sure that

$$\int du u^{d-1} f_0(u) = \text{constant} \quad (23)$$

in each range. As detailed in Appendix C, we can obtain the following self-similar solution to the diffusion equation (along the lines of [18]):

$$f_0(u, \tau) = \tau^{d/(\alpha-2)} \Psi(u \tau^{1/(\alpha-2)}), \quad (24)$$

with $\Psi(\xi)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\xi) &= c_1 \exp\left[-\xi^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2\right] \\ &\times \int d\xi' \exp\left[\xi'^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2\right] \xi'^{-(\alpha+d-1)} \\ &+ c_2 \exp\left[-\xi^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2\right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$




FIG. 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for a red noise drive of the form given in equation (17) with a correlation time $\tau_c = 10/\omega_p = 10^{-3}/k\sigma$. The vertical dashed lines denote $v = 1/k\tau_c = 10^3\sigma$ and $v = \omega_p/k = 10^4\sigma$. Note that a v^{-5} power law tail still forms but for $\sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$, while a shallower $\sim v^{-3}$ tail forms for $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$. To compensate for the formation of this harder spectrum, the high velocity ($v \gtrsim \omega_p/k$) plateau forms slower than in the white noise case.

where c_1 and c_2 are integration constants. As discussed in Appendix C, we fix c_1 and c_2 by employing appropriate boundary conditions such that $\Psi(\xi)$ and $\int d\xi \xi^{d-1} \Psi(\xi)$ tend towards

zero at $\xi \rightarrow 0$ or ∞ .

We obtain the following scalings for $f_0(v, t)$, assuming a white noise drive (see Appendix C for details):

$$f_0(v, t) \sim \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{-d}(t) \exp[-v^2/2\sigma_1^2(t)], & v \lesssim \sigma_1, \\ \frac{v^{-(d+2)}}{d+2} t^{-1}, & \sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{\omega_p}{k}, \\ \sigma_2^{-2d/3}(t) \exp\left[-\frac{8}{9}(v^2/2\sigma_2^2(t))^{3/2}\right], & \frac{\omega_p}{k} \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \frac{\omega_p}{k}, \\ \sigma_2^{-d}(t) \exp[-v^2/2\sigma_2^2(t)], & v \gtrsim \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \frac{\omega_p}{k}, \end{cases}$$

with σ the velocity dispersion of the initial DF, $\lambda_D = \sigma/\omega_p$ the Debye length, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^2(t) &= \sigma^2 + 2D_0(k\lambda_D)^4 t, \\ \sigma_2^2(t) &\approx (\omega_p/k)^2 + 2D_0 t. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For a red noise drive with $\omega_p\tau_c > 1 \gtrsim k\sigma_1\tau_c$, $f_0(v, t)$ scales as

$v^{-(d+2)}t^{-1}$ for $\sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$ but as $\sim v^{-d}t^{-1}$ for $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$ (since $D(v)$ roughly scales as v^2 in this interval)².

The DF behaves as a Maxwellian for $v \lesssim \sigma_1$ but possesses a $v^{-(d+2)}$ tail at larger velocities before a $\sim v^{-d}$ shallower power law kicks in due to finite correlation time effect, followed by a super-exponential cut-off. The power law tail of the DF arises from the power law scaling of $D(v)$ for $\sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, while the Maxwellian core arises from the velocity independence of $D(v)$ for $v \lesssim \sigma_1$. Note that the Maxwellian core can also arise from weak collisions. Although we consider collisionless relaxation in this paper, no plasma in nature is truly collisionless, rather they harbor weak collisions that tend to Maxwellianize the core of the distribution over a long time [20]. However, if the plasma is subject to external heating, then the temperature of this Maxwellian core gradually increases and a power law tail naturally develops.

We compute $f_0(v, t)$ using equation (24) and plot it as a function of v (assuming $d = 3$) for different times as indicated, in Figs. 5 and 6, which correspond to white and red noise drives respectively. Note that the diffusion is much slower for $v < \omega_p/k$ than for $v \gtrsim \omega_p/k$, where a quasilinear plateau forms quite rapidly due to near-resonant wave-particle interactions. This is because the diffusion coefficient in the low velocity end is suppressed by a factor of $\sim (kv/\omega_p)^{-4}$ relative to the high velocity end ($v \gtrsim \omega_p/k$). The various v scalings given in equation (26) are manifest. The DF is of the Maxwellian form for $v \lesssim \sigma_1$, but exhibits a power law dependence,

$$f_0(v) \sim v^{-5}, \quad (27)$$

for velocities between σ and ω_p/k in the white noise case. In fact, the DF initially looks very much like the κ distribution (equation [16]) with $\kappa = 1.5$ that is super-exponentially cut-off at $v = \omega_p/k$. Gradually, a Maxwellian core becomes manifest in the $v \lesssim \sigma_1$ range, and the v^{-5} tail shows up for $\sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$ in case of a white noise stochastic drive. In the red noise case, the DF develops the same power law tail for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$, since these particles move slower than the rate at which the external field decorrelates and therefore experience this stochastic field as essentially a white noise. For $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, however, the power law tail is modified to a shallower $\sim v^{-3}$ one. These particles experience a similar strength of the field for a longer time since they move faster than the rate of decorrelation of the stochastic field. This implies a weaker velocity dependence of $D(v)$ for $v \gtrsim 1/k\tau_c$ (see Fig. 3) and a more comparable heating of these particles, thereby leading to a harder spectrum. Meanwhile, a plateau forms at $v \gtrsim \omega_p/k$, since the diffusion coefficient is nearly constant and much higher here than elsewhere; these fast moving particles experience minimal Debye screening of

the electric field. At $v \approx \omega_p/k$, $D(v)$ spikes (α becomes very large) due to a resonance between the particles and the plasma waves, and the corresponding quasi-steady state $f_0(v)$ should undergo a steep fall-off and develop a knee before it hits the plateau, something that the solution in this section and Figs. 5 and 6 overlook (as we have ignored this effect in our break-up of $D(v)$ into different power law regimes for simplicity). What is remarkable is that the v^{-5} power law survives for an extremely long time. Eventually, the core of the Maxwellian gets heated and its velocity dispersion increases until it finally catches up with the resonant velocity, ω_p/k , and the power law tail disappears. This, however, happens after a very long period,

$$t_{\text{relax}} \approx \frac{1}{(k\lambda_D)^6} \frac{\sigma^2}{2D_0}, \quad (28)$$

since $k\lambda_D \ll 1$. If the system harbored weak collisions, then we would expect these to contribute towards maintaining the Maxwellian form of the core but not affect the power law tail. Thus, for all practical purposes, the non-thermal power law tail thrives forever in a collisionless (or weakly collisional) plasma, as long as the system continues to undergo stochastic forcing on scales much larger than the Debye length. If this stochastic drive is spatially isotropic and weakly correlated in time, i.e., white noise-like, then the non-thermal tail has a universal v^{-5} scaling.

We would like to draw the attention of the reader to the fact that v^{-5} is the universal scaling of the DF only in 3D. In d dimensions, we have the following scaling:

$$f_0(v) \sim v^{-(d+2)}, \quad (29)$$

i.e., it scales as v^{-3} in 1D and v^{-4} in 2D. Recalling that the density of states, $g(v)$, scales as

$$g(v) \sim v^{d-2}, \quad (30)$$

we have the following scaling for the energy distribution or the number of particles per unit energy:

$$N(E) = g(E)f(E) \sim E^{-2}, \quad (31)$$

where $E = v^2/2$. This scaling is independent of dimensionality and is, therefore, a general result.

V. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In this paper, we study the quasilinear relaxation of a driven collisionless electrostatic plasma, and the evolution of the mean coarse-grained distribution function, f_0 , in the process. Curiously, we discover that the quasi-steady state f_0 self-similarly scales as $v^{-(d+2)}$ (d is the number of dimensions), or equivalently the energy distribution scales as E^{-2} , over a large range in v , irrespective of the initial conditions, as long as the following conditions are met:

² There is a subtle catch here. This is only true in the limiting sense, i.e., $G(v)$ scales as $\sim v^{-(d+\Delta\alpha)}$ for $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, where $\alpha = 2 + \Delta\alpha$ with $\Delta\alpha$ small but positive, so that the particle number does not diverge as $v \rightarrow \infty$. If, on the other hand, α is exactly equal to 2, i.e., $D = D'v^2$, then $G(v)$ scales differently, e.g., as $\sim t^{-1/2} \exp[-9D'/4t] v^{-3/2} \exp[-\ln(v/v_0)^2/4D't]$ in 3D, as shown by [18].

- The system is forced on scales much larger than the Debye length.
- The external forcing is isotropic in k .
- The external forcing is white noise-like (small correlation time).

How universal is this v dependence of f_0 ? Interestingly, apart from the condition of isotropy, f_0 has no dependence on the detailed spatial structure of the external perturbation, i.e., the exact power spectrum of the drive, $\mathcal{E}(k)$. Typically, in a turbulent environment, $\mathcal{E}(k)$ is a self-similar, power law function of k within the inertial range of the turbulent cascade, e.g., $\mathcal{E}(k)$ for Kolmogorov turbulence has a $k^{-11/3}$ dependence. However, the quasilinear diffusion coefficient, $D(v)$, scales as v^4 , irrespective of the power law exponent of the turbulent spectrum, as long as it predominantly acts on scales larger than λ_D . When such large scale forcing occurs in a nearly uncorrelated fashion over time (white noise-like), $D(v)$ naturally develops a v^4 scaling and the corresponding f_0 scales as v^{-5} (in 3D) over the velocity range, $\sigma < v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, k being the cut-off wavenumber of the drive. In this sense of insensitivity to the functional form of $\mathcal{E}(k)$ and the initial condition, the v^{-5} scaling of f_0 is universal.

The universality is partially broken by the violation of any of the aforementioned conditions for external forcing. This happens, for example, when the external drive is a red noise with a correlation time τ_c such that $\omega_p \tau_c \gtrsim 1 \gtrsim k \sigma \tau_c$. In this case, the v^4 scaling of the diffusion coefficient is untouched for all $\sigma < v < 1/k\tau_c$, but significantly modified for $1/k\tau_c < v < \omega_p/k$. If the temporal correlation of the red noise is exponential in time, then the modified scaling of $D(v)$ turns out to be v^2 . This implies that f_0 still scales as v^{-5} in the range, $\sigma < v < 1/k\tau_c$, but as roughly v^{-3} for $1/k\tau_c < v < \omega_p/k$. If, on the other hand, $\omega_p \tau_c \lesssim 1$, then $D(v)$ scales the same way with v as in the white noise case for $v < 1/k\tau_c$ but as v^{-1} for larger velocities. In this case, only the high energy end of the distribution ($v > 1/k\tau_c$) is affected by the correlated nature of the noise. All in all, the v^{-5} scaling of f_0 appears for sufficiently large-scale (isotropic) forcing with a sufficiently small correlation time.

What is the physics behind the v^4 scaling of the quasilinear diffusion coefficient and the consequent v^{-5} scaling of the quasi-steady state DF? Slower particles tend to experience a larger scale perturbation since they take a longer time to traverse one full wavelength of the external field. Hence, they feel a weaker/more strongly screened field. This manifests as the ‘dressing’ of the external field and the corresponding response by the dielectric constant, which scales as $\sim \omega_p^2/k^2 v^2$ for $v \lesssim \omega_p/k$. This scaling ultimately arises from the inverse square law nature of the Coulomb force. Due to the dielectric polarization of the medium, slower particles get accelerated/diffuse slower and faster particles diffuse faster in the velocity space. This naturally pushes the high energy end of an initially Maxwellian DF to even higher energies and introduces a power law tail. As shown in section IV, this scaling turns out to be v^{-5} in the velocity range, $\sigma < v < \omega_p/k$, for a white noise drive, but can deviate from it in the high v end for a

red noise drive with a correlation time longer than the plasma oscillation period. The core of the Maxwellian ($v < \sigma$) is also heated, i.e., σ increases, but over a much longer period that scales as $\sim (k\lambda_D)^{-6}$, since the diffusion coefficient in the low velocity end is suppressed by a factor of $(k\lambda_D)^{-4}$ relative to the near-resonant particles ($v \sim \omega_p/k$). The very high velocity end, $v \gtrsim \omega_p/k$, develops a plateau due to the efficient heating of the near-resonant particles.

A key requirement for the emergence of the v^{-5} tail is the driving of the plasma on scales sufficiently larger than the Debye length. A large class of plasma waves, both electrostatic and electromagnetic, satisfies this basic criterion. Here we have focused only on electrostatic waves. In the solar wind, it is widely known that the typical electric field spectrum is accounted for by the quasi-thermal noise of the electrons and the Doppler-shifted thermal fluctuations of the ions (see, for example, the monograph [21] by Meyer-Vernet for an excellent discussion). That being said, our calculation should be viewed as a prototypical application of QLT, one that can be generalized to cover a broad range of wave-particle interactions, with and without magnetic fields. The crucial point of principle in our calculation is the inclusion of self-consistency whereby the back-reaction of the fields generated by the charged particles on their DF is obtained by coupling to Maxwell’s equations (in the electrostatic case, this simply reduces to the Poisson’s equation.) For the problem of ion acceleration in the solar wind, acceleration mechanisms such as stochastic acceleration have been considered by Jokipii & Lee [18] by using the well-known Parker equation [22], but without the constraints of self-consistency. In a separate publication, we will apply our methodology to the Parker equation [22], including self-consistency. We note that Jokipii and Lee’s primary criticism of Fisk & Gloecker is that the latter’s transport equation does not conserve particle number, which does not apply to our transport equation (7) that explicitly conserves it.

We would like to emphasize here that the presence of large-scale electric fields is not uncommon in collisionless plasmas, e.g., ground-based geomagnetic observations have confirmed that such large-scale fields are indeed generated in the earth’s magnetosphere by the interaction of the solar wind with the outer geomagnetic field and are responsible for the activation of auroral electrojets and current vortices [23]. In the context of the solar wind, our assumption of a large-scale electrostatic drive is more appropriate for the heating of the ions rather than the electrons. The electrons, being much lighter and more energetic than the ions are more susceptible to electromagnetic (rather than electrostatic) perturbations (such as whistler and Alfvén waves), which are not considered in this paper. Moreover, the electrons are typically more susceptible to collisions than the ions, which is why the electron DF tends to Maxwellianize more readily, leading to steeper high v fall-offs [24, 25] than the ion DF. The introduction of the effect of electromagnetic perturbations and collisions in our model can qualitatively change our conclusions. However, in cases where only collisionless electrostatic plasmas are concerned (such as plasmas in which two-stream instabilities are dominant), our model predicts that the electron DF should exhibit the universal power-laws

obtained here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Kavli Institute of Theoretical Physics (KITP), University of California, Santa Barbara, where much of the manuscript was prepared, and to the organizers and attendees of the workshop, "Interconnections between the Physics of Plasmas and Self-gravitating Systems" at KITP, for insightful discussions. The authors are also thankful to Toby Adkins, Robert Ewart, Chris Hamilton, Michael Nastic, Alex Schekochihin, Jonathan Squire, Martin Weinberg, and Vladimir Zhdankin for enlightening discussions and valuable suggestions. This research is supported by the National Science Foundation Award 2209471 and Princeton University.

Appendix A: Perturbative response theory for collisionless systems: detailed calculations

Perturbing the Vlasov-Poisson equations up to linear order, we obtain the evolution equations for the linear order perturbation in the DF, f_1 (which we shall henceforth refer to as the linear response), and that in the electric field, \mathbf{E}_1 . These are given by the following linearized form of the Vlasov-Poisson equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_1 &= -\frac{e}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_0 \cdot (\mathbf{E}^{(P)} + \mathbf{E}_1), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 &= \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3 v f_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

Similarly, the evolution equations for the second order perturbations, f_2 and \mathbf{E}_2 , are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_2 + \frac{e}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_0 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 &= -\frac{e}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_1 \cdot (\mathbf{E}^{(P)} + \mathbf{E}_1), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 &= \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3 v f_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The above equations can be considerably simplified by taking the Fourier transform in \mathbf{x} , i.e., by expanding each quantity as:

$$Q_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) = \int d^3 k \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}] Q_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, t), \quad (\text{A3})$$

where $i = 1, 2$ is the order of the perturbation, and the quantity Q_i is equal to f_i , \mathbf{E}_i or $\mathbf{E}^{(P)}$. The evolution of the first and second order Fourier coefficients is given by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{1\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} f_{1\mathbf{k}} &= -\frac{e}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_0 \cdot (\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)} + \mathbf{E}_{1\mathbf{k}}), \\ i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{1\mathbf{k}} &= \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3 v f_{1\mathbf{k}}, \\ \frac{\partial f_{2\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} f_{2\mathbf{k}} + \frac{e}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_0 \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2\mathbf{k}} &= -\frac{e}{m} \int d^3 k' \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{1\mathbf{k}'} \cdot (\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^{(P)} + \mathbf{E}_{1\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}), \\ i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2\mathbf{k}} &= \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3 v f_{2\mathbf{k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Note that the linear perturbation depends on the equilibrium quantities, while the second order perturbation depends on the linear perturbations. These equations can therefore be solved order by order in perturbation.

1. Linear response theory

The first step towards solving the perturbed Vlasov-Poisson equations is to solve the linear equations given by equations (A1). These are further simplified by taking the Laplace transform in t (not a Fourier transform since we are interested in an initial value problem in the same spirit as [19]), i.e., by expanding each quantity as:

$$Q_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\omega \exp[-i\omega t] \tilde{Q}_{i\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, \omega), \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $i = 1, 2$ is the order of the perturbation, Q_i is equal to f_i , \mathbf{E}_i or $\mathbf{E}^{(P)}$, and the complex contour integral is performed along the Bromwich contour, i.e., along a loop that consists of the real axis and an infinite radius semicircular arc in the lower half of the complex plane, so that the integral converges.

The linear equations can be solved to yield the following expressions for the Fourier-Laplace coefficients of f_1 and \mathbf{E}_1 (with the initial condition that $f_1(t=0) = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, \omega) &= -\frac{ie}{m} \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\omega)) \cdot \partial f_0 / \partial \mathbf{v}}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}}, \\ i\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\omega) &= \frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3v \tilde{f}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, \omega). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Simultaneously solving these equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)}, \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) &= 1 + \frac{\omega_P^2}{k^2} \int d^3v \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \partial f_0 / \partial \mathbf{v}}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\omega_P^2}{k} \int d^3v \frac{\partial F_0 / \partial \mathbf{v}}{\omega - k\mathbf{v}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where $\omega_p = \sqrt{n_e e^2 / m \epsilon_0}$ is the plasma frequency, n_e being the

number density of the charged species, and

$$F_0(\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{i=2}^d \int d\mathbf{v}_i f_0(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\text{A8})$$

is the one-dimensional DF.

The above linear response equation encodes the response of the system to an external drive/perturber. The response-coefficient is the inverse of the dielectric constant, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$, which is a functional of the equilibrium DF, f_0 . The response therefore depends on the spatio-temporal nature of the perturber. Since the response to a sinusoidal perturber is easy to compute, let us, for the sake of simplicity, rewrite the perturber field as a collection of sinusoids:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(t) = \int d\omega^{(P)} \exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t] \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)}), \quad (\text{A9})$$

whose Laplace transform is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)}(\omega) = i \int d\omega^{(P)} \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})}{\omega - \omega^{(P)}}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Substituting this in the first of equations (A7) and taking the inverse Laplace transform yields the following forms for $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $f_{1\mathbf{k}}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) &= \int d\omega^{(P)} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)}) \left[\frac{\exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t]}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})} + \sum_n \frac{\exp[-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}t]}{\epsilon'_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n} - \omega^{(P)})} \right], \\ f_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, t) &= -\frac{ie}{m} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot \int d\omega^{(P)} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)}) \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{(\omega^{(P)} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \left(\frac{\exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t]}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})} - \frac{\exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}t]}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \right) + \sum_n \frac{\exp[-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}t]}{\epsilon'_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n} - \omega^{(P)})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

where $\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are the frequencies of the Landau modes, which are coherent oscillations of the system that follow the Landau dispersion relation, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}) = 0$ [19].

The above linear response consists of three different terms: the free streaming of ‘dressed’ particles that scales as $\sim \exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}t]$, their forced response to the perturber, scaling as $\sim \exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t]$, and the collective excitations or Landau modes, each of which scales as $\sim \exp[-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}t]$. In the stable regime, the Landau modes are all damped ($\text{Im} \omega_{\mathbf{k}n} < 0$), which occurs for $\partial F_0 / \partial \mathbf{v} < 0$. This implies that, while at times

smaller than the damping time of the most weakly damped mode, all three terms contribute to the response, the Landau modes damp away on longer timescales, and the long term response consists of only free streaming and external forcing. In the unstable regime ($\text{Im} \omega_{\mathbf{k}n} > 0$ for at least one mode), which occurs when $\partial F_0 / \partial \mathbf{v} > 0$ for some \mathbf{v} , the unstable mode in the third term of the above response dominates on long timescales.

When the system is in the stable regime, the long term linear response of the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) &\approx \int d\omega^{(P)} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)}) \frac{\exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t]}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})}, \\ f_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, t) &\approx -\frac{ie}{m} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot \int d\omega^{(P)} \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})}{(\omega^{(P)} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \left(\frac{\exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t]}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})} - \frac{\exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}t]}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A12})$$

These are the essential ingredients for the computation of the second order/quasilinear response, which we discuss next.

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = -\frac{e}{m} \int d^3k \langle \mathbf{E}_{-\mathbf{k}}^* \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{1\mathbf{k}} \rangle. \quad (\text{A13})$$

Here we have used the reality condition, $\mathbf{E}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(P)} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(P)*}$.

Now we need to make assumptions about $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})$, i.e., about the external electric field, $E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)}(t)$. For simplicity, we assume that the $E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)}(t)$ is a red noise of the following form:

$$\langle E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)*}(t) E_{\mathbf{k}j}^{(P)}(t') \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_i(t - t'). \quad (\text{A14})$$

This implies that

2. Quasilinear response theory

The evolution of the mean or ensemble averaged $f_{2\mathbf{k}=0} = f_{20}$, i.e., $\langle f_{20} \rangle = f_0$, is given by the following quasilinear equation, which is obtained by taking the $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit of the evolution equation for $f_{2\mathbf{k}}$ [9]:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_{\mathbf{k}i}^*(\omega^{(P)}) A_{\mathbf{k}j}(\omega'^{(P)}) \rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int dt \int dt' \exp[i(\omega^{(P)}t - \omega'^{(P)}t')] \langle E_{\mathbf{k}i}^{(P)*}(t) E_{\mathbf{k}j}^{(P)}(t') \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_{\omega}(\omega^{(P)}) \delta(\omega^{(P)} - \omega'^{(P)}),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A15})$$

where

$$C_{\omega}(\omega^{(P)}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \exp[-i\omega^{(P)}t] C_t(t). \quad (\text{A16})$$

Substituting the expressions for $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $f_{1\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}, t)$ from equations (A11) in the above quasilinear equation (A13) and

using the red noise condition for the perturbing electric field given in equation (A14), we obtain the following equation for the quasilinear relaxation of f_0 :

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \left(D_{ij}(\mathbf{v}, t) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_j} \right), \quad (\text{A17})$$

where D_{ij} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}D_{ij}(\mathbf{v}, t) &= \frac{ie^2}{m^2} \int d^3k \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \left[\int d\omega^{(P)} C_{\omega}(\omega^{(P)}) \left[\frac{1}{(\omega^{(P)} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\omega^{(P)})} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega^{(P)})} - \frac{\exp[i(\omega^{(P)} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})t]}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \right] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{m,n} \frac{\exp[(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}m} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}n})t]}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\omega_{\mathbf{k}n}) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}'(\omega_{\mathbf{k}m}) (\omega^{(P)} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}n}^*) (\omega^{(P)} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}m}) (\omega_{\mathbf{k}m} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \right] \right].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A18})$$

Equation (A17) is nothing but a Fokker-Planck equation with the diffusion tensor given above. The diffusion tensor consists of two terms: the first term stands for the direct inter-

action between the perturber and the dressed particles, while the second term represents wave-wave interactions. In the stable regime, i.e., when all the Landau modes are damped, both

terms contribute to the diffusion coefficient at times smaller than the damping timescale of the least damped Landau mode. At longer times, after the Landau modes have damped away, only the external forcing contributes to diffusion. In the unstable regime, which corresponds to $\partial F_0/\partial v > 0$, the unstable modes of the wave-wave term dominate at long time.

In the stable regime, at times smaller than the Landau damping time, for which we can take the $\gamma_{kn} \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit, D_{ij} becomes

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \int d^3k \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \times \left(\frac{1}{|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})|^2} + \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \eta_{\mathbf{k}})^2 |\varepsilon'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta_{\mathbf{k}})|^2} \right), \quad (\text{A19})$$

where we have used the identity that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp[ixt]/x = 1/x + i\pi\delta(x)$. In the long time limit, the Landau modes damp away, and only the first term survives, which yields

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) \approx \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \int d^3k \frac{\mathcal{E}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})}{|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})|^2}. \quad (\text{A20})$$

Appendix B: Computation of the quasilinear diffusion coefficient

1. White noise

In the case of the white noise, where $C_t(t-t')$ is equal to $\delta(t-t')$, we have $C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = 1$, and the diffusion coefficient simplifies to the following:

$$D(v) = \frac{2\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k) \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{|\varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta)|^2}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Its functional form solely depends on that of the dielectric constant. Therefore, it is instructive to take a look at the velocity dependence of $\varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta)$. For $v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, we can Taylor expand the principal value in $v'/v \cos \theta$ or $v \cos \theta/v'$ (depending on which is smaller than unity) and truncate up to second order to obtain the following approximate expression for ε_k :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta) \approx & 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2 v^2 \cos^2 \theta} \left[1 + \frac{6}{v^2 \cos^2 \theta} \int_0^{v \cos \theta} dv' v'^2 F_0(v) \right] \\ & - \frac{2\omega_p^2}{k^2} \left[\int_{v \cos \theta}^\infty dv' \frac{1}{v'} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v'} + v \cos \theta \int_{v \cos \theta}^\infty dv' \frac{1}{v'^2} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v'} \right] \\ & - i\pi \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=\omega_p/k \cos \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Note that ε_k is approximately equal to $1 - \omega_p^2/(k^2 v^2 \cos^2 \theta) - i\pi(\omega_p^2/k^2) \partial F_0/\partial v|_{v=\omega_p/k \cos \theta}$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, and roughly equal to $1 + c_F \omega_p^2/k^2 \sigma^2$ for $v \lesssim \sigma$, with c_F an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constant that depends on the high v asymptotic behavior of F_0 . The imaginary part of ε_k is almost always small for $k\lambda_D \ll 1$. Therefore, ε_k scales as $\sim 1/v^2$ for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$, and approaches a constant in the $v \ll \sigma$ limit. Moreover, ε_k tends to 1 in the limit of $v \gg \omega_p/k$.

Using the above behavior of ε_k , we can approximately eval-

uate the diffusion coefficient for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$ as follows:

$$D(v) \approx \frac{2\pi^2 e^2 v^4}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^6 \mathcal{E}(k) \times \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \frac{\cos^4 \theta}{(k^2 v^2 \cos^2 \theta - \omega_p^2)^2}, \quad (\text{B3})$$

which can be integrated to yield

$$D(v) \approx \frac{2\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k) \left[1 - \frac{3}{4} \frac{\omega_p}{kv} \ln \left(\left| \frac{\omega_p + kv}{\omega_p - kv} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_p^2 - k^2 v^2} \right]. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Note that this diverges at $v = \omega_p/k$, since we have neglected the imaginary part of ε_k in the denominator of the integrand.

Including this would yield a large but finite answer at $v = \omega_p/k$ (see Fig. 2). By expanding the above in kv/ω_p , we can

see that $D(v)$ scales as v^4 for $\sigma \lesssim v \ll \omega_p/k$. The asymptotic scalings of $D(v)$ are summarized in equation (15).

2. Red noise

Let the correlation function, C_t , be of the form

$$C_t(t-t') = \frac{1}{2\tau_c} \exp[-|t-t'|/\tau_c], \quad (\text{B5})$$

for which

$$C_\omega(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{1 + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} \tau_c)^2}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

This tends to 1 as $\tau_c \rightarrow 0$, since $C_t(t-t') \rightarrow \delta(t-t')$ and the red noise becomes white in this limit.

When the noise is of the above form, the quasilinear diffusion coefficient becomes

$$D(v) = \frac{2\pi^2 e^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \mathcal{E}(k) \times \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{1 + (kv\tau_c \cos \theta)^2} \frac{1}{|\varepsilon_k(kv \cos \theta)|^2}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

Using the approximate form of ε_k for $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$ as discussed in Appendix B 1, this can be written as

$$D(v) \approx \frac{2\pi^2 e^2 v^4}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^6 \mathcal{E}(k) \times \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{1 + (kv\tau_c \cos \theta)^2} \frac{\cos^4 \theta}{(k^2 v^2 \cos^2 \theta - \omega_p^2)^2}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

in the range $\sigma \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_p/k$. The $\cos \theta$ integral can be performed to yield the following form for $D(v)$:

$$D(v) \approx \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m^2 \tau_c v} \int_0^\infty dk k \mathcal{E}(k) \left[\frac{\tan^{-1}(kv\tau_c)}{(1 + \omega_p^2 \tau_c^2)^2} - \frac{\omega_p \tau_c}{4} \frac{3 + \omega_p^2 \tau_c^2}{(1 + \omega_p^2 \tau_c^2)^2} \ln \left(\left| \frac{\omega_p + kv}{\omega_p - kv} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{kv\tau_c}{1 + \omega_p^2 \tau_c^2} \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_p^2 - k^2 v^2} \right], \quad (\text{B9})$$

which, for $\omega_p \tau_c \gtrsim 1$ and $v < \omega_p/k$, reduces to

$$D(v) \approx \frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{m^2 \omega_p^4 \tau_c^5 v} \int_0^\infty dk k \mathcal{E}(k) \times \left[\tan^{-1}(kv\tau_c) - kv\tau_c + \frac{(kv\tau_c)^3}{3} \right]. \quad (\text{B10})$$

The asymptotic scalings of $D(v)$ are summarized in section III B.

Appendix C: Solution of the quasilinear diffusion equation

1. Solution for $d > 1$

The power law form of the diffusion coefficient implies the existence of self-similar solutions to the Fokker-Planck equation given in equation (22). Let us therefore try the following ansatz: $f_0(u, \tau) = \tau^a \Psi(\xi)$ with $\xi = u/\tau^b$. We have to solve for a and b in terms of α and d . This requires us to solve two equations. Besides the diffusion equation, we solve an equation for particle number conservation, i.e., we ensure that the following is approximately true:

$$\int du u^{d-1} f_0(u) = \text{constant} \quad (\text{C1})$$

in each velocity range corresponding to a single power law. Substituting $f_0(u, \tau) = \tau^a \Psi(\xi)$ with $\xi = u/\tau^b$ in equations (22) and (C1), and solving the two resultant equations for a and b in terms of α and d , we obtain

$$a = \frac{d}{\alpha - 2}, \quad b = -\frac{1}{\alpha - 2}. \quad (\text{C2})$$

We also obtain the following second order ODE in ξ :

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^{\alpha+d-1} \frac{d\Psi}{d\xi} \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^d \Psi \right), \quad (\text{C3})$$

which can be integrated once to obtain the following first order ODE

$$\frac{d\Psi}{d\xi} - \frac{\xi^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 2} \Psi = c_1 \xi^{-(\alpha+d-1)}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

with c_1 an integration constant. We can integrate it once more using the method of integrating factor to obtain the following solution for Ψ :

$$\Psi(\xi) = c_1 \exp \left[-\xi^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2 \right] \times \int d\xi' \exp \left[\xi'^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2 \right] \xi'^{-(\alpha+d-1)} + c_2 \exp \left[-\xi^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2 \right]. \quad (\text{C5})$$

Now we employ the boundary conditions that $\Psi \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., $v \rightarrow \infty$) and $\int d\xi \xi^{d-1} \Psi(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., $v \rightarrow 0$). This fixes c_1 and c_2 , allowing the following class of solutions:

$$\Psi(\xi) = \exp\left[-\xi^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2\right] \times \begin{cases} 1, & \xi < \tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}, \\ \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\xi' \exp\left[\xi'^{2-\alpha}/(2-\alpha)^2\right] \xi'^{-(\alpha+d-1)}, & \tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}} < \xi < \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}, \\ 1, & \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}} < \xi < \frac{1}{(k\lambda_D)^2} \tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}, \\ 1, & \xi > \frac{1}{(k\lambda_D)^2} \tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C6})$$

Here, $\alpha = 0$ in the first and fourth intervals, 4 in the second and -1 in the third interval. It is instructive to look at the asymptotic behaviour of $\Psi(\xi)$. Substituting the value of α appropriate for each interval in the above equation, and taking

the asymptotic limits, $\xi \rightarrow 0$ and/or $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ in each case, we obtain the following scalings for $\Psi(\xi)$ in the case of white noise forcing:

$$\Psi(\xi) \approx \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\xi^2/4\right], & \xi \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ 2\xi^{-d}, & \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \xi \lesssim \max\left[1, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\right], \\ \frac{\xi^{-(d+2)}}{d+2}, & \max\left[1, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \lesssim \xi \lesssim \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \tau^{1/2}, \\ \exp\left[-\xi^3/9\right], & \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \tau^{1/2} \lesssim \xi \lesssim \frac{1}{(k\lambda_D)^2} \tau^{1/2}, \\ \exp\left[-\xi^2/4\right], & \xi \gtrsim \frac{1}{(k\lambda_D)^2} \tau^{1/2}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C7})$$

Noting that $f_0 = t^a \Psi(\xi)$ and $a = d/(\alpha - 2)$, we obtain the

following dependencies of f_0 on v and t :

$$f_0(v, t) \sim \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{-d}(t) \exp\left[-v^2/2\sigma_1^2(t)\right] & v \lesssim \sigma_1, \\ \frac{v^{-(d+2)}}{d+2} t^{-1}, & \sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{\omega_P}{k}, \\ \sigma_2^{-2d/3}(t) \exp\left[-\frac{8}{9}(v^2/2\sigma_2^2(t))^{3/2}\right], & \frac{\omega_P}{k} \lesssim v \lesssim \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \frac{\omega_P}{k}, \\ \sigma_2^{-d}(t) \exp\left[-v^2/2\sigma_2^2(t)\right] & v \gtrsim \frac{1}{k\lambda_D} \frac{\omega_P}{k}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{C8})$$

with

2. Solution in 1D

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^2(t) &= \sigma^2 + 2D_0(k\lambda_D)^4 t, \\ \sigma_2^2(t) &\approx (\omega_P/k)^2 + 2D_0 t, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C9})$$

where $D_0 = 4\pi^2 e^2 k^2 \mathcal{E}_0/m^2$. Here we have assumed that $\mathcal{E}(k) = \mathcal{E}_0 \delta(k - k_0)$. For a red noise drive of the form given in equation (17) with $\omega_P \tau_c > 1 \gtrsim k\sigma_1 \tau_c$, f_0 scales as $v^{-(d+2)} t^{-1}$ for $\sigma_1 \lesssim v \lesssim 1/k\tau_c$ but roughly as $v^{-d} t^{-1}$ for $1/k\tau_c \lesssim v \lesssim \omega_P/k$, since α is approximately 2 in this interval.

In 1D, the quasilinear equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(D(v) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right), \quad (\text{C10})$$

with $D(v)$ given by

$$D(v) = \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \int dk \frac{\mathcal{E}(k) C_\omega(kv)}{|\mathcal{E}(kv)|^2}, \quad (\text{C11})$$

and $\mathcal{E}(kv)$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}_k(kv) = 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv' \frac{\partial f_0 / \partial v'}{v - v'}. \quad (\text{C12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\partial f_0 / \partial v \rightarrow 0} D(v) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} &= \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \int dk \mathcal{E}(k) \lim_{\partial f_0 / \partial v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial f_0 / \partial v}{\left(1 - \omega_p^2 / k^2 v^2\right)^2 + \left(\pi \omega_p^2 / k^2\right)^2 (\partial f_0 / \partial v)^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\omega_p} \int dk \mathcal{E}(k) k^2 \delta(kv - \omega_p) \\ &= -\frac{\omega_p}{2v^3} \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\omega_p}{v}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C13})$$

Differentiating the above with respect to v , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\partial f_0 / \partial v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(D(v) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right) \\ = \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \frac{\omega_p}{2v^4} \left[3 \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\omega_p}{v}\right) + \frac{\omega_p}{v} \mathcal{E}'\left(\frac{\omega_p}{v}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C14})$$

If $\mathcal{E}(k) = \mathcal{E}_0 \delta(k - k_0)$, then the above reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\partial f_0 / \partial v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(D(v) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right) \\ = \frac{\pi e^2 \mathcal{E}_0}{2m^2 v^2} \left[\delta\left(v - \frac{\omega_p}{k_0}\right) - v \delta'\left(v - \frac{\omega_p}{k_0}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C15})$$

This serves as an inhomogeneous source term in the quasilinear diffusion equation, which therefore becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(D(v) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right) \Big|_{v \neq \omega_p/k} \\ &+ \frac{\pi e^2}{m^2} \frac{\omega_p}{2v^4} \left[3 \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\omega_p}{v}\right) + \frac{\omega_p}{v} \mathcal{E}'\left(\frac{\omega_p}{v}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C16})$$

Let us study what happens for $k \ll k_D$ and $v \approx \omega_p/k$. At these velocities, $\partial f_0 / \partial v$ is quite small (especially so for a Maxwellian f_0), and we are justified in taking the following limit (assuming white noise, i.e., $C_\omega(kv) = 1$):

The solution consists of a homogeneous part that behaves like a Maxwellian core for $v < \sigma$ and scales as v^{-3} for $\sigma < v < \omega_p/k$, and an inhomogeneous part. For $\mathcal{E}(k) = \mathcal{E}_0 \delta(k - k_0)$, the inhomogeneous part consists of a Dirac delta spike, which renders the DF linearly unstable at $v = \omega_p/k$. Now, another term proportional to $\exp[2\gamma t]$, where γ is the growth rate of the unstable Landau mode, appears in the quasilinear diffusion equation (this arises from the second term in equation [A18]). Ultimately, this term saturates the instability and forms a plateau around $v = \omega_p/k$. This plateau is more pronounced in 1D than in higher dimensions. This is because resonant heating is more pronounced in 1D; in higher dimensions, for isotropic forcing as we assume in this paper, the Dirac delta spike in the diffusion coefficient around $v = \omega_p/k$ is broadened due to marginalization over the solid angle.

-
- [1] G. Gloeckler, Ubiquitous Suprathermal Tails on the Solar Wind and Pickup Ion Distributions, in *Solar Wind Ten*, American Institute of Physics Conference Series, Vol. 679, edited by M. Velli, R. Bruno, F. Malara, and B. Bucci (2003) pp. 583–588.
- [2] K. D. C. Simunac and T. P. Armstrong, Solar cycle variations in solar and interplanetary ions observed with Interplanetary Mon-

- itoring Platform 8, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)* **109**, A10101 (2004).
- [3] L. A. Fisk and G. Gloeckler, Particle Acceleration in the Heliosphere: Implications for Astrophysics, *Space Science Reviews* **173**, 433 (2012).
- [4] L. A. Fisk and G. Gloeckler, The case for a common spectrum of particles accelerated in the helio-

- sphere: Observations and theory, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **119**, 8733 (2014), <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2014JA020426>.
- [5] R. J. Ewart, A. Brown, T. Adkins, and A. A. Schekochihin, Collisionless relaxation of a Lynden-Bell plasma, *Journal of Plasma Physics* **88**, 925880501 (2022), arXiv:2201.03376 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [6] R. J. Ewart, M. L. Nastac, and A. A. Schekochihin, Non-thermal particle acceleration and power-law tails via relaxation to universal Lynden-Bell equilibria, *Journal of Plasma Physics* **89**, 905890516 (2023), arXiv:2304.03715 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [7] D. Lynden-Bell, Statistical mechanics of violent relaxation in stellar systems, *MNRAS* **136**, 101 (1967).
- [8] G. Livadiotis and D. J. McComas, Understanding Kappa Distributions: A Toolbox for Space Science and Astrophysics, *Space Science Reviews* **175**, 183 (2013).
- [9] P. H. Diamond, S.-I. Itoh, and K. Itoh, *Modern Plasma Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [10] I. B. Bernstein, J. M. Greene, and M. D. Kruskal, Exact Non-linear Plasma Oscillations, *Physical Review* **108**, 546 (1957).
- [11] M. L. Nastac, R. J. Ewart, W. Sengupta, A. A. Schekochihin, M. Barnes, and W. D. Dorland, Phase-space entropy cascade and irreversibility of stochastic heating in nearly collisionless plasma turbulence, arXiv e-prints , arXiv:2310.18211 (2023), arXiv:2310.18211 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [12] L. A. Fisk and G. Gloeckler, The common spectrum for accelerated ions in the quiet-time solar wind, *The Astrophysical Journal* **640**, L79 (2006).
- [13] L. A. Fisk and G. Gloeckler, Thermodynamic constraints on stochastic acceleration in compressional turbulence, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 5749 (2007), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.0700881104>.
- [14] L. A. Fisk and G. Gloeckler, Acceleration of suprathermal tails in the solar wind, *The Astrophysical Journal* **686**, 1466 (2008).
- [15] L. Fisk and G. Gloeckler, The acceleration of anomalous cosmic rays by stochastic acceleration in the heliosheath, *Advances in Space Research* **43**, 1471 (2009).
- [16] L. A. Fisk, G. Gloeckler, and N. A. Schwadron, On Theories for Stochastic Acceleration in the Solar Wind, *Astrophys. J.* **720**, 533 (2010).
- [17] G. Gloeckler and L. A. Fisk, Anisotropic beams of energetic particles upstream from the termination shock of the solar wind, *The Astrophysical Journal* **648**, L63 (2006).
- [18] J. R. Jokipii and M. A. Lee, Compression acceleration in astrophysical plasmas and the production of $f(v) \propto v^{-5}$ spectra in the heliosphere, *The Astrophysical Journal* **713**, 475 (2010).
- [19] L. D. Landau, On the vibrations of the electronic plasma, *J. Phys. (USSR)* **10**, 25 (1946).
- [20] U. Banik and A. Bhattacharjee, Relaxation of weakly collisional plasma: continuous spectra, Landau eigenmodes, and transition from the collisionless to the fluid limit, arXiv e-prints , arXiv:2402.07992 (2024), arXiv:2402.07992 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [21] N. Meyer-Vernet, *Basics of the Solar Wind* (2007).
- [22] E. N. Parker, The passage of energetic charged particles through interplanetary space, *Planetary and Space Science* **13**, 9 (1965).
- [23] T. Obayashi and A. Nishida, Large-Scale Electric Field in the Magnetosphere, *Space Science Reviews* **8**, 3 (1968).
- [24] Š. Štverák, M. Maksimovic, P. M. Trávníček, E. Marsch, A. N. Fazakerley, and E. E. Scime, Radial evolution of nonthermal electron populations in the low-latitude solar wind: Helios, cluster, and ulysses observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **114** (2009), <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2008JA013883>.
- [25] M. Maksimovic, A. P. Walsh, V. Pierrard, Š. Štverák, and I. Zouganelis, Electron kappa distributions in the solar wind: Cause of the acceleration or consequence of the expansion?, in *Kappa Distributions: From Observational Evidences via Controversial Predictions to a Consistent Theory of Nonequilibrium Plasmas*, edited by M. Lazar and H. Fichtner (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021) pp. 39–51.