

ON LISSE NON-ADMISSIBLE MINIMAL AND PRINCIPAL W-ALGEBRAS

TOMOYUKI ARAKAWA, THOMAS CREUTZIG, AND KAZUYA KAWASETSU

ABSTRACT. We discuss a possible generalization of a result by the third-named author on the rationality of non-admissible minimal W -algebras. We then apply this generalization to finding rational non-admissible principal W -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra, f a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} , and $k \neq -h^\vee$ a non-critical number, where h^\vee is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ and $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ denote the universal and simple W -algebras, respectively [KRW03]. The *principal W -algebras* $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{prin})$ with principal nilpotent elements $f = f_{prin}$ coincide with the W -algebras defined in [FF90].

It is one of the central problems in the study of W -algebras to classify lisse and rational simple W -algebras $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Frenkel, Kac, and Wakimoto [FKW92] conjectured the existence and construction of *minimal series W -algebras*, that is, the lisse, rational principal W -algebras that generalize the minimal series Virasoro vertex algebras. The conjecture [FKW92] was proved by the first-named author [Ara15a, Ara15b]; The minimal series W -algebras are obtained by non-degenerate admissible affine vertex algebras through quantum Hamiltonian reduction. The conjecture of Frenkel, Kac, and Wakimoto was generalized by Kac and Wakimoto [KW08] to non-principal W -algebras. This was further generalized by the first named author [Ara15a] to a conjecture stating that each admissible affine vertex algebra produces exactly one lisse, rational W -algebra, by performing the quantum Hamiltonian reduction associated with the unique nilpotent orbit that is open in the associated variety [Ara12] of the admissible affine vertex algebra. At this point, it was believed that all lisse, rational W -algebras should be *admissible*, that is, they should come from admissible affine vertex algebras in this manner [KW08].

While the conjecture of Kac, Wakimoto, and the first named author was proved by [AvE23, Fas22, McR], the third-named author [Kaw18] showed that there exist in fact lisse, rational *non-admissible* W -algebras. More precisely, it was shown in [Kaw18] that for each member \mathfrak{g} of *Deligne's exceptional series* [Del96]

$$a_1 \subset a_2 \subset g_2 \subset d_4 \subset f_4 \subset e_6 \subset e_7 \subset e_8,$$

the minimal W -algebra $W_{-h^\vee/6}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is lisse and rational, where h^\vee is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} . For $\mathfrak{g} = d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8$, the level $-h^\vee/6$ is a negative integer, and hence $W_{-h^\vee/6}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is not at an admissible level.

T.A is partially supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grant numbers 21H04993 and 19KK0065.

T.C. is supported by a NSERC Discovery Grant.

K.K. is partially supported by MEXT Japan "Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers (LEADER)", JSPS Kakenhi Grant numbers 19KK0065, 21K13775 and 21H04993.

After the work [Kaw18], it was shown in [AM18] that, for a member \mathfrak{g} of Deligne's exceptional series, the minimal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{k(m)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ at level

$$(1) \quad k(m) = -\frac{h^\vee}{6} + m$$

is lisse for all integer m that is equal to or greater than -1 . Again, for $\mathfrak{g} = d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8$, the lisse W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{k(m)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is not at an admissible level. Since $\mathcal{W}_{k(-1)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) = \mathbb{C}$ [AM18] and $\mathcal{W}_{k(0)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is rational [Kaw18] as explained above, it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8 , and let m be an integer greater than or equal to -1 . Is the lisse minimal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{k(m)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is rational?*

Sun [Sun] recently gave an affirmative answer¹ to Question 1 for $m = 1$ and $\mathfrak{g} = e_6, e_7, e_8$, by making use of Hecke operators.

Conjecture 1. *Let \mathfrak{g}, m be as in Question 1. The simple minimal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{k(m)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is rational if and only if $k(m) < 0$, that is, $m = -1, 0$ for d_4 , $m = -1, 0, 1$ for e_6 , $m = -1, 0, 1, 2$ for e_7 and $m = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ for e_8 .*

In particular, we conjecture that, for $\mathfrak{g} = d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8$, the minimal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is lisse and non-rational for a non-negative integer k , which would provide a new family of lisse *logarithmic* vertex algebras.

Let us mention that Conjecture 1 can be used to find new lisse, rational principal W-algebras as well, due to the following assertion.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}, k(m)$, be as above with $m \geq 0$ such that $k(m) < 0$. Define the number $\ell(m)$ by*

$$\frac{1}{k(m) + h^\vee} + \frac{1}{\ell(m) + h^\vee} = 1.$$

There is an embedding

$$\mathcal{W}_{k(m)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\ell(m)}(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{k(m-1)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$$

of conformal vertex algebras, where $L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is equipped with the Urod conformal vector [ACF22]. Moreover, $\mathcal{W}_{k(m)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\ell(m)}(\mathfrak{g})$ form a dual pair in $\mathcal{W}_{k(m-1)}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$.

Here vertex subalgebras W_1 and W_2 of a vertex algebra V are said to form a dual pair if $\text{Com}(W_1, V) = W_2$ and $\text{Com}(W_2, V) = W_1$, where

$$\text{Com}(W, V) = \{w \in V \mid [v_{(m)}, w_{(n)}] = 0 \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in V\}.$$

The following assertion is widely believed.

Conjecture 2. *Let V be a vertex algebra, W a vertex subalgebra of V . Suppose that V and W are finitely strongly generated, conformal, rational and lisse. Then $\text{Com}(W, V)$ is also rational and lisse.*

Theorem 1.1, Conjecture 2 and Conjecture 1 implies:

¹Sun [Sun] verified the rationality only at the level of characters, but it is possible to prove the rationality rigorously.

Conjecture 3. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8 , and let m be a non-negative integer. The simple principal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\ell(m)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is rational and lisse if $k(m) < 0$.*

Note that all the levels ℓ appearing in Conjecture 3 (2) are not admissible for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, see Table 1.

TABLE 1. The level of principal W-algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\ell(m)}(\mathfrak{g})$ appearing in Conjecture 3

	d_4	e_6	e_7	e_8
$\ell(0) + h^\vee$	5/4	10/9	15/14	25/24
$\ell(1) + h^\vee$		11/10	16/15	26/25
$\ell(2) + h^\vee$			17/16	27/26
$\ell(3) + h^\vee$				28/27
$\ell(4) + h^\vee$				29/28

Theorem 1.2. *Conjecture 3 is true for $m = 0$.*

Theorem 1.2 was conjectured by [LXY23], see the first row of Table 11 in loc.cit and use the Feigin-Frenkel duality.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.

Let $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal affine vertex algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} at level k , $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ the unique simple graded quotient of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$.

The central charge of the principal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$(2) \quad -\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g}) \frac{((h+1)(k+h^\vee) - h^\vee)(r^\vee L h^\vee (k+h^\vee) - (h+1))}{k+h^\vee},$$

where $\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the rank of \mathfrak{g} , h is the Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} , $L\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of the Langlands dual of \mathfrak{g} , and r^\vee is the lacing number of \mathfrak{g} .

We set

$$\mathfrak{g} = d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8, \quad k = k(0) = -h^\vee/6, \quad \ell = \ell(0) = \frac{5h^\vee}{5h^\vee - 6} - h^\vee.$$

Then, $k = -1, -2, -3, -5$ and $\ell + h^\vee = 5/4, 10/9, 15/14, 25/24$, respectively.

Let Vir^c be the universal Virasoro vertex algebra at central charge c , Vir_c the unique simple quotient of Vir^c . It is known [Wan93] that Vir_c is rational and lisse if and only if

$$c_{p,q} = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$$

for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $(p, q) = 1$.

It is clear that the following assertion proves Conjecture 3 for $m = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type d_4, e_6, e_7, e_8 . We have*

$$\mathcal{W}_{\ell(0)}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}.$$

Proof. The central charge of $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ is $-22/5$, which coincides with that of $\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$. Thus we have a conformal vertex algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi : \text{Vir}^{c_{2,5}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We wish to show that $\text{im } \varphi \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$. Since $\text{Vir}^{c_{2,5}}$ has length two, we have either $\text{im } \varphi \cong \text{Vir}^{c_{2,5}}$ or $\text{im } \varphi \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$. So we suppose that $\text{im } \varphi \cong \text{Vir}^{c_{2,5}}$ and obtain a contradiction.

By Theorem 1.1 and the fact that $\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ([AM18]), we have the embedding of vertex algebras

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow L_1(\mathfrak{g}),$$

which is conformal when $L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is equipped with the Urod conformal vector. By [Kaw18], one knows that the simple minimal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is lisse and rational. In particular, it admits an asymptotic datum [AvEM]. Since changing the conformal vector to the Urod conformal vector does not affect the asymptotic behavior of the character, we obtain that

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{g})} \geq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)} + \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}^{c_{2,5}}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)} + 1,$$

where \mathfrak{g}_V denotes the asymptotic growth [AvEM] of V . Here we have used the fact that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}^c} = 1$.

By [Kaw18], one knows that there is an conformal embedding

$$\text{Vir}_{c_{3,5}} \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta),$$

where \mathfrak{s} is the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle e_\theta, \theta, f_\theta \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ associated with the highest root θ of \mathfrak{g} and $L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]} = \{v \in L_1(\mathfrak{g}) \mid xt^n v = 0 \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{s}, n \geq 0\} = \text{Com}(L_1(\mathfrak{s}), L_1(\mathfrak{g}))$. It was shown in [Kaw18] that $L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]}$ is rational and lisse by determining it explicitly. It follows that the vertex algebras $\text{Vir}_{c_{3,5}} \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]} \subset \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ and $L_1(\mathfrak{s}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]} \subset L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ are rational, and satisfy the assumptions in [AvEM, Proposition 2.4]. Therefore, we have

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}_{c_{3,5}}} + \mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)},$$

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{s})} + \mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{s}[t]}} = \mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{g})}.$$

On the other hand, one knows from [BFL16] that $L_1(\mathfrak{s}) = L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with a Urod conformal vector is a conformal extension of $\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}} \otimes \text{Vir}_{c_{3,5}}$. Hence we have

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}_{c_{3,5}}} = \mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{s})}.$$

From (5), (6), and (7), we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{g}_{L_1(\mathfrak{g})} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)} + \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}}.$$

But then (4) implies that $1 \leq \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}} = 1 - \frac{6}{2 \cdot 5}$, which is a contradiction.

We have shown that $\text{im } \varphi \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$, and thus, $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ decomposes into a direct sum of simple $\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$ -modules. However, $\text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$ is the only simple module over itself that has an integral conformal dimension. Therefore, we conclude that $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$ as required. \square

Remark 2.1. Let us consider $\mathfrak{g} = a_1, a_2$ with $\ell + h^\vee = 5h^\vee / (5h^\vee - 6) = 5/2, 5/3$, $\mathfrak{g} = g_2$ with $\ell + 4 = 7/15$ or $5/7$ and $\mathfrak{g} = f_4$ with $\ell + 9 = 13/20$ or $10/13$. Then we can also prove $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$ by using growths of characters since in these cases, $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ are rational minimal models ([Ara15b]) and their characters are already known. We thus observe a uniform phenomenon that $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Vir}_{c_{2,5}}$ for the Deligne exceptional series.

3. LINKAGE OF PRINCIPAL W-ALGEBRAS

For a weight λ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, let $M(\lambda)$ be the Verma module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of highest weight λ , $L(\lambda)$ the unique simple quotient of $M(\lambda)$. Let $[M(\lambda) : L(\mu)]$ be the multiplicity of $L(\lambda)$ in the local composition factor of $M(\lambda)$. By [KK79], $[M(\lambda) : L(\mu)] \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \preceq \lambda$, that is, there exists a sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ of positive roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, a sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers and a sequence of weights $\{\lambda_i\}$ such that $\lambda_0 = \lambda$, $\lambda_r = \mu$, $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i-1} - n_i \beta_i$, $2(\beta_i, \lambda_{i-1} + \rho) = n_i(\beta_i, \beta_i)$.

Let us describe the linkage of principal W-algebras obtained in [Ara07], see also [Dhi21]. Recall that the Zhu algebra $\text{Zhu}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))$ is isomorphic to the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ ([Ara07]). For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$

$$\chi_\lambda : \text{Zhu}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be the central character as in [ACL19, (27)], so that

$$(8) \quad \chi_\lambda = \chi_\mu \iff \mu \in W \circ_k \lambda,$$

where W is a Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} and

$$(9) \quad w \circ_k \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho - (k + h^\vee)\rho^\vee) - (\rho - (k + h^\vee)\rho^\vee)$$

for $w \in W$. Here, $\rho = 1/2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha$, $\rho^\vee = 1/2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha^\vee$, and Δ_+ is the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} .

Let $\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda)$ be the Verma module of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ with highest weight χ_λ , $\mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\lambda)$ the unique simple graded quotient of $\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda)$, see [Ara07] for the definition. We have $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbf{L}_k(\chi_0)$.

Let $k \neq -h^\vee$, so that $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is conformal. Let ω_W be the conformal vector of $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ and set $L^W(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n^W z^{-n-2} = Y(\omega_W, z)$. Let M_d^{gen} and M_d be the generalized L_0^W -eigenspace and the L_0^W -eigenspace of eigenvalue d of a $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M , respectively.

We have $\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{d \in h_\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda)_d$ and

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda)} q^{L_0^W} = \frac{q^{h_\lambda}}{\prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^j)^{\text{rk } \mathfrak{g}}},$$

where

$$(10) \quad h_\lambda = \Delta_\lambda - (\lambda | \rho^\vee), \quad \Delta_\lambda = \frac{(\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho)}{2(k + h^\vee)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))$ be the full subcategory of the category of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules consisting of modules M such that $M = \bigoplus_d M_d^{gen}$, $\dim M_d^{gen} < \infty$ for all d , and there exists finitely many $d_1, \dots, d_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M_d^{gen} = 0$ unless $d \in \bigcup_i d_i + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Both $\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\lambda)$ are objects of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))$, and $\{\mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/W \circ_k\}$ gives a complete representative of simple objects of the abelian category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))$. Let $[\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda) : \mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\mu)]$ be the multiplicity of $\mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\mu)$ in the local composition factor of $\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda)$.

We define a partial ordering \preceq_k on the set $\mathfrak{h}^*/W \circ_k = \text{Specm}(\text{Zhu}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})))$ by setting $\chi_\mu \preceq_k \chi_\lambda$ if and only if there exist $\lambda' \in W \circ_k \lambda$, $\mu' \in W \circ_k \mu$ such that μ' is anti-dominant and

$$\mu' - (k + h^\vee)\rho^\vee + k\Lambda_0 - \Delta_{\mu' - (k + h^\vee)\rho^\vee} \delta \preceq \lambda' - (k + h^\vee)\rho^\vee + k\Lambda_0 - \Delta_{\lambda' - (k + h^\vee)\rho^\vee} \delta.$$

Note that

$$(11) \quad h_\lambda = \Delta_{\lambda - (k+h^\vee)\rho^\vee} + (\rho|\rho^\vee) - \frac{k+h^\vee}{2}|\rho^\vee|^2.$$

The following assertion is a direct consequence of [Ara07, Main Theorem 1] and the linkage principal of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules [KK79].

Theorem 3.1. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $[\mathbf{M}_k(\chi_\lambda) : \mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\mu)] \neq 0$;
- (2) $\chi_\mu \preceq_k \chi_\lambda$.

Define an equivalence relation \sim_k in $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/W_\circ$ by setting $\chi_\lambda \sim \chi_{\lambda'}$ if there exists $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\chi_\lambda, \chi_{\lambda'} \preceq_k \chi_\mu$ or $\chi_\lambda, \chi_{\lambda'} \succeq_k \chi_\mu$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/W_\circ$, let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))^{[\chi_\lambda]}$ be the block corresponding to $[\chi_\lambda]$, that is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))$ consisting of objects M such that $[M : \mathbf{L}_k(\chi_\mu)] = 0$ unless $\chi_\mu \sim \chi_\lambda$. Then we have $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigoplus_{[\chi_\lambda]} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))^{[\chi_\lambda]}$. For $M \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}))$, let $M = \bigoplus M^{[\chi_\lambda]}$ be the corresponding decomposition.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem 4.1. *Let $V = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V_d$ be a non-negatively graded conformal vertex algebra with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$, $\dim V_d < \infty$ for all d , equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\ |)$ in the sense of [FHL93]. Suppose that V is equipped with a compatible $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -structure [FBZ04, 7.1.3] with level $k \notin -h^\vee - \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$, that is, there exists a vertex algebra homomorphism $\varphi : V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow V$ such that*

- (1) $\varphi(x_{(-1)}|0)$ is primary of conformal weight 1 for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$;
- (2) $k + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$.

Then the commutant $\text{Com}(\varphi(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), V)) = V^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is simple.

Proof. Set $U = \text{Com}(\varphi(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), V))$ and $L_n = \omega_{(n+1)}$, where ω is the conformal vector of V . By the assumption (2), $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is conformal with the Sugawara conformal vector $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Set $L_n^{\mathfrak{g}} = \varphi(\omega_{\mathfrak{g}})_{(n+1)}$. By the assumption (1), $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \in V_2$ and $L_1\varphi(\omega_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$. Hence by [LL04, Theorem 3.11.12 and Remark 3.11.13], $L_n = L_n^{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\varphi(V^k(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $L_n = \omega''_{(n+1)}$ on U , where $\omega'' = \omega - \omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the conformal vector of U . It follows that the form $(\ |)$ restricts to a symmetric invariant bilinear form of U .

Now since V is non-negatively graded and each V_d is finite-dimensional, V belongs to KL_k as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. Also, by the assumption (2), the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is projective in KL_k and we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), M) = M_{[0]} = M_{[0]}^{\text{gen}}$$

for $M \in \text{KL}_k$, where $M_{[\lambda]}$ and $M_{[\lambda]}^{\text{gen}}$ are the $L_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -eigenspace and the $L_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -generalized eigenspace of M of eigenvalue λ , respectively, see e.g. [ACL19, Lemma 2.1]. Therefore $U = V^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), V)$ equals to $V_{[0]} \subset V$. Since $(L_0^{\mathfrak{g}}v, w) = (v, L_0^{\mathfrak{g}}w)$, the restriction of the form $(\ |)$ to $U = V_{[0]}$ is non-degenerate. This implies that U is simple as required. \square

Theorem 4.2 ([ACL19]). *Let \mathfrak{g} be simply-laced. Assume that $k + h^\vee - 1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$, and define ℓ by the formula*

$$\frac{1}{k + h^\vee} + \frac{1}{\ell + h^\vee} = 1.$$

Then we have a vertex algebra isomorphism

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Com}(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) = (V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[t]}}.$$

Hence we have a conformal embedding

$$(13) \quad V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The following assertion gives a generalization of [ACL19, Main Theorem 1].

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathfrak{g} be simply-laced and suppose that $k + h^\vee - 1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong (L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[t]}}.$$

In particular, (13) induces a vertex algebra homomorphism

$$(14) \quad V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Proof. First, $L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is a simple conical conformal vertex algebra with conformal vector $\omega = \omega^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \omega^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since $\omega_{(2)}$ annihilates the weight one space of $L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$, $L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is equipped with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form ([Li94]). Hence we immediately obtain from Theorem 4.1 that the vertex algebra $(L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[t]}} = \text{Com}(\varphi(V^k(\mathfrak{g})), L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))$ is simple, where $\varphi : V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is induced by the diagonal action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$.

On the other hand, we have by Theorem 4.2 that $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong (V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[t]}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))$. The projectivity of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.1) implies that the surjection $V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ gives rise to the surjection $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(V^k(\mathfrak{g}), L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) \cong (L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[t]}}$. It follows that $(L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[t]}}$ is isomorphic to the simple quotient $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

Let $T_{\lambda,0}^\ell = H_{DS,f_{\text{prin}}}^0(V^k(\lambda))$, where $V^k(\lambda)$ is the Weyl module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight $\lambda + k\Lambda_0$ and $H_{DS,f}^\bullet(?)$ is the quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction associated with f . We have $T_{\lambda,0}^\ell = \bigoplus_{d \in h_\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (T_{\lambda,0}^\ell)_{[d]w}$, and $[T_{\lambda,0}^\ell : \mathbf{L}(\chi_\mu)] \neq 0$ only if $[\mathbf{M}(\chi_\lambda) : \mathbf{L}(\chi_\mu)] \neq 0$, or equivalently, $\chi_\mu \preceq_\ell \chi_\lambda$, see [AF19].

By [ACL19, Main Theorem 3] and its proof, we have the following assertion.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $k + h^\vee - 1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$. We have*

$$[V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})] = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q} [V^k(\lambda) \otimes T_{\lambda,0}^\ell]$$

in the Grothendieck group $K_0(V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod})$ of the category $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ of $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})\text{-modules}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{g} be simply-laced, $k + h^\vee - 1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$. Suppose that if $\chi_\lambda \sim_\ell \chi_0$ for $\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q$ then $h_\lambda \geq h_0 = 0$ and the equality holds if and only if $\lambda = 0$. Then the vertex algebra homomorphism (14) factors through the embedding*

$$(15) \quad L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Moreover, $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ form a dual pair in $L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. Set $V = V^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$, $L = L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$. The action of the Sugawara conformal vector of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ gives the decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{C}} V_{[d]}$, $L = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{C}} L_{[d]}$, where $M_{[d]}$ is the generalized $L_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -eigenspace with eigenvalue d . Each $V_{[d]}$ and $L_{[d]}$

are $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodules, which belongs to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}))$ by Proposition 4.1. Hence the multiplicities $[V_{[d]} : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_\mu)]$ and $[L_{[d]} : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_\mu)]$ are well-defined.

Consider the multiplicity of $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)$ in V_d . By the assumption, for $\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q$, $[T_{\lambda,0}^\ell : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)] \neq 0$ if only if $\lambda = 0$. Since $[T_{0,0}^\ell : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)] = 1$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that $[V_{[d]} : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)] \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^k(\mathfrak{g})_{[d]}$. On the other hand, the injectivity of the map (13) implies that $[V_{[d]} : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)] \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^k(\mathfrak{g})_{[d]}$. We conclude that

$$(16) \quad [V_{[d]} : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)] = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^k(\mathfrak{g})_{[d]}$$

for all d .

Consider the image $V_{[d]}^{[x_0]}$ of the projection of $V_{[d]}$ to the block $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}))^{[x_0]}$, $V^{[x_0]} = \bigoplus_d V_{[d]}^{[x_0]}$. By the assumption, we have $\dim(V_{[d]}^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W}^{gen} = [V_{[d]} : \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_0)]$. Since L_0^W acts semisimply on the image of (13), we obtain from (16) that

$$(V^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W}^{gen} = (V^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W} \cong V^k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

It follows that

$$(L^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W}^{gen} = (L^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W},$$

where $L^{[x_0]} = \bigoplus_d L_{[d]}^{[x_0]}$.

Clearly, the restriction of the non-degenerate invariant form of L to the subspace $(L^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W}^{gen}$ is non-degenerate. Since it is a quotient of $V^k(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, we obtain that

$$(L^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W}^{gen} \cong L_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In other words, the image of the homomorphism $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow L$ induced by the diagonal action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is simple. We have shown that the vertex algebra homomorphism (14) factors through the embedding $L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow L$.

Finally, we have

$$\text{Com}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}), L) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}), L) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}), L^{[x_0]}) \cong (L^{[x_0]})_{[0]_W},$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the assumption. Therefore we obtain that $\text{Com}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}), L) \cong L_k(\mathfrak{g})$, and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5 ([ACF22]). *Let f be a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} and n a non-negative integer. For any quotient vertex algebra V of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$, we have a vertex algebra isomorphism*

$$H_{DS,f}^0(V \otimes L_n(\mathfrak{g})) \cong H_{DS,f}^0(V) \otimes L_n(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Moreover, for any V -module M and $L_n(\mathfrak{g})$ -module N , there is an isomorphism

$$H_{DS,f}^i(M \otimes N) \cong H_{DS,f}^i(M) \otimes N$$

of $H_{DS,f}^0(V) \otimes L_n(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathfrak{g} be simply-laced. Suppose*

- (1) $k \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $k + h^\vee - 1 \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$;
- (2) if $\chi_\lambda \sim_\ell \chi_0$ for $\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q$ then $h_\lambda \geq h_0 = 0$ and the equality holds if and only if $\lambda = 0$;
- (3) $2\rho - (k + h^\vee)\theta \in \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha$.

Then the map (14) induces an embedding

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$$

of vertex algebras. Moreover, $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ and $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ form a dual pair in $\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. By [Ara05], the functor $H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(?)$ is exact and $H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ provided that $k \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore by applying $H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(?)$ to (14) with respect to the level k action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we obtain an embedding

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(L_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Theorem 4.5. Also, the proof of Theorem 4.4 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Com}(\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) &\cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) \\ &\cong (\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{\mathcal{W}}}^{[\chi_0]} \cong H_{DS, f_\theta}^0((\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{\mathcal{W}}}^{[\chi_0]}) \\ &\cong H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$(19) \quad \text{Com}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta), \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that $\text{Com}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta), \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)}(\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta), \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Similarly as in (18), the map (13) induces an embedding

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$$

and hence we can regard $\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ as a $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ -module. Clearly, each eigenspace $(\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[d]_{min}}$ is a $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule.

Let ω_{min} be the conformal vector of the minimal W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$, $L^{min}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n^{min} z^{-n-2} = Y(\omega_{min}, z)$. Let $M_{[d]_{min}}^{gen}$ and $M_{[d]_{min}}$ be the generalized L_0^{min} -eigenspace and the L_0^{min} -eigenspace of eigenvalue d of a $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ -module M .

We claim that

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[d]_{min}}^{gen},$$

$$(22) \quad (\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen} = (\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}} \cong \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}).$$

To see this, note that by Proposition 4.1

$$[\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})] = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q} [H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(V^k(\lambda)) \otimes T_{\lambda, 0}^\ell]$$

in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod})$ of the category $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. We have

$$H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(V^k(\lambda)) = \bigoplus_{d \in h_\lambda^{min} + 1/2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(V^k(\lambda))_{[d]_{min}},$$

where

$$h_\lambda^{min} = \frac{(\lambda|\lambda + 2\rho)}{2(k + h^\vee)} - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda|\theta) = \frac{1}{2(k + h^\vee)}(|\lambda|^2 + (\lambda|2\rho - (k + h^\vee)\theta)).$$

Thus the assumption (3) implies that, for $\lambda \in P_+$, we have $h_\lambda \geq 0$ and the quality holds if and only if $\lambda = 0$. This shows (21). Moreover, since $H_{DS, f_\theta}^0(V^k(0))_{[0]_{min}} = \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)_{[0]_{min}} = \mathbb{C}$, it follows that (20) restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \cong (\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen}$ and that $(\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen} = (\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}$.

Since $\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{W}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$, we have by (21) and (22) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}) &= \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[d]_{min}}^{gen}, \\ (\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen} &= (\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}, \end{aligned}$$

and that $(\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$. This gives that

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta), \mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})) \cong (\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen}.$$

On the other hand, the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is equipped with a non-degenerate invariant form ([AvE23]). Since the restriction of the non-degenerate invariant form on $\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ to the subspace $(\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen}$ is non-degenerate, we obtain that $(\mathcal{W}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))_{[0]_{min}}^{gen} \cong \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$. This completes the proof. \square

It is clear that Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 4.6 and the following assertion.

Lemma 4.1. *The three conditions in Theorem 4.6 are satisfied for k as in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. The condition (1) is clearly satisfied and it is straight forward to check that the condition (3) is satisfied (see Tables 2-5). Let us show that the condition (2) is satisfied. Set $\Lambda = \lambda - (\ell + h^\vee)\rho^\vee + \ell\Lambda_0$. Then

$$\Lambda + \hat{\rho} = -\frac{1}{p-1}\rho + \frac{p}{p-1}\Lambda_0,$$

where $\hat{\rho} = \rho + h^\vee\Lambda_0$. Let $\hat{\Delta}(\Lambda) = \{\alpha \in \hat{\Delta}^{re} \mid \langle \Lambda + \hat{\rho}, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, the set of integral roots of Λ , where $\hat{\Delta}^{re}$ is the set of real roots of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. One finds that a base $\hat{\Pi}(\Lambda)$ of $\hat{\Delta}(\Lambda)$ is given by

$$\hat{\Pi}(\Lambda) = y(S_{(p-1)}),$$

where y is an element of the extended Weyl group of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ given in Tables 2-5 and

$$S_{(q)} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\text{rk } \mathfrak{g}}, -\theta + q\delta\}.$$

Here we have adopted the standard Bourbaki numbering for the simple roots, θ is the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , s_α is the reflection corresponding to α , and $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$. By definition $\chi_\mu \sim_\ell \chi_0$ if and only if $\mu - (\ell + h^\vee)\rho^\vee + \ell\Lambda_0 \in \widehat{W}(\Lambda) \circ \Lambda$, where $\widehat{W}(\Lambda) = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \hat{\Delta}(\Lambda) \rangle$ is integral Weyl group of Λ .

Let β be the element of $\hat{\Pi}(\Lambda)$ given in Tables 2-5. Then

$$(23) \quad \langle \Lambda + \hat{\rho}, \beta^\vee \rangle = -1 \text{ and } \langle \Lambda + \hat{\rho}, \gamma^\vee \rangle \geq 1 \text{ for } \gamma \in \hat{\Pi}(\Lambda) \setminus \beta,$$

$$(24) \quad \langle \beta, D \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle \gamma, D \rangle > 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in \hat{\Pi}(\Lambda) \setminus \beta,$$

It follows (23) that

$$\Lambda_+ := s_\beta \circ \Lambda \equiv \beta + \Lambda \pmod{\delta}$$

is the unique dominant weight in the orbit $\widehat{W}(\Lambda) \circ \Lambda$, and that $\chi_{\beta+(\ell+h^\vee)\rho^\vee}$ is the only central character χ_λ such that $\chi_\lambda \succeq_\ell \chi_0$, $\chi_\lambda \neq \chi_0$. It follows from (11) and (24) that $h_\lambda \geq 0$ for all χ_λ such that $\chi_\lambda \sim_\ell \chi_0$, and the equality holds if and only if $\chi_\lambda = \chi_0, \chi_{\beta+(\ell+h^\vee)\rho^\vee}$. Since $\beta + (\ell + h^\vee)\rho^\vee \notin W \circ P_+$, we conclude that the condition (2) is satisfied as required. \square

TABLE 2. d_4

m	p	y	β
0	5	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+4\delta}s_2$	$y(\alpha_2) = \theta - \alpha_2$

$2\rho = 6\alpha_1 + 10\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4, \quad \theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4.$

TABLE 3. e_6

m	p	y	β
0	10	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+9\delta}s_2s_4$	$y(\alpha_4) = \theta - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4$
1	11	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+10\delta}s_2$	$y(\alpha_2) = \theta - \alpha_2$

$2\rho = 16\alpha_1 + 22\alpha_2 + 30\alpha_3 + 42\alpha_4 + 30\alpha_5 + 16\alpha_6,$
 $\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6.$

TABLE 4. e_7

m	p	y	β
0	15	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+14\delta}s_1s_3s_4$	$y(\alpha_4) = \theta - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$
1	16	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+15\delta}s_1s_3$	$y(\alpha_3) = \theta - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$
2	17	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+16\delta}s_1$	$y(\alpha_1) = \theta - \alpha_1$

$2\rho = 34\alpha_1 + 49\alpha_2 + 66\alpha_3 + 96\alpha_4 + 75\alpha_5 + 52\alpha_6 + 27\alpha_7,$
 $\theta = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7.$

TABLE 5. e_8

m	p	y	β
0	25	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+24\delta}s_8s_7s_6s_5s_4$	$y(\alpha_4) = \theta - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6 - \alpha_7 - \alpha_8$
1	26	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+25\delta}s_8s_7s_6s_5$	$y(\alpha_5) = \theta - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6 - \alpha_7 - \alpha_8$
2	27	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+26\delta}s_8s_7s_6$	$y(\alpha_6) = \theta - \alpha_6 - \alpha_7 - \alpha_8$
3	28	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+27\delta}s_8s_7$	$y(\alpha_7) = \theta - \alpha_7 - \alpha_8$
4	29	$t_{-\rho}s_{-\theta+28\delta}s_8$	$y(\alpha_8) = \theta - \alpha_8$

$2\rho = 92\alpha_1 + 136\alpha_2 + 182\alpha_3 + 270\alpha_4 + 220\alpha_5 + 168\alpha_6 + 114\alpha_7 + 58\alpha_8,$
 $\theta = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4 + 5\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6 + 3\alpha_7 + 2\alpha_8.$

REFERENCES

- [ACF22] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Boris Feigin. Urod algebras and translation of W -algebras. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 10:Paper No. e33, 31, 2022.
- [ACL19] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Andrew R. Linshaw. W -algebras as coset vertex algebras. *Invent. math.*, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-019-00884-3>.
- [AF19] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Edward Frenkel. Quantum Langlands duality of representations of W -algebras. *Compos. Math.*, 155(12):2235–2262, 2019.
- [AM18] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Anne Moreau. Joseph ideals and Lisse minimal W -algebras. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 17(2):397–417, 2018.
- [Ara05] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Representation theory of superconformal algebras and the Kac-Roan-Wakimoto conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 130(3):435–478, 2005.
- [Ara07] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Representation theory of W -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 169(2):219–320, 2007.
- [Ara12] Tomoyuki Arakawa. A remark on the C_2 cofiniteness condition on vertex algebras. *Math. Z.*, 270(1-2):559–575, 2012.
- [Ara15a] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Associated varieties of modules over Kac-Moody algebras and C_2 -cofiniteness of W -algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2015:11605–11666, 2015.
- [Ara15b] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Rationality of W -algebras: principal nilpotent cases. *Ann. Math.*, 182(2):565–694, 2015.
- [AvE23] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Jethro van Ekeren. Rationality and fusion rules of exceptional W -algebras. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 25(7):2763–2813, 2023.
- [AvEM] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Jethro van Ekeren, and Anne Moreau. Singularities of nilpotent slodowy slices and collapsing levels of W -algebras. *arXiv.2102.13462[math.RT]*, to appear in *Forum Math. Sigma*.
- [BFL16] Mikhail Bershtein, Boris Feigin, and Alexei Litvinov. Coupling of two conformal field theories and Nakajima-Yoshioka blow-up equations. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 106(1):29–56, 2016.
- [Del96] Pierre Deligne. La série exceptionnelle de groupes de Lie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 322(4):321–326, 1996.
- [Dhi21] Gurbir Dhillon. Semi-infinite cohomology and the linkage principle for W -algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 381:Paper No. 107625, 62, 2021.
- [Fas22] Justine Fasquel. Rationality of the exceptional W -algebras $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_4, f_{\text{subreg}})$ associated with subregular nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{sp}_4 . *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 390(1):33–65, 2022.
- [FBZ04] Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, volume 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2004.
- [FF90] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel. Quantization of the Drinfel’d-Sokolov reduction. *Phys. Lett. B*, 246(1-2):75–81, 1990.
- [FHL93] Igor B. Frenkel, Yi-Zhi Huang, and James Lepowsky. On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(494):viii+64, 1993.
- [FKW92] Edward Frenkel, Victor Kac, and Minoru Wakimoto. Characters and fusion rules for W -algebras via quantized Drinfel’d-Sokolov reduction. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147(2):295–328, 1992.
- [Kaw18] Kazuya Kawasetsu. W -algebras with non-admissible levels and the Deligne exceptional series. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (3):641–676, 2018.
- [KK79] Victor G. Kac and David A. Kazhdan. Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. *Adv. in Math.*, 34(1):97–108, 1979.
- [KRW03] Victor Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto. Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 241(2-3):307–342, 2003.
- [KW08] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. On rationality of W -algebras. *Transform. Groups*, 13(3-4):671–713, 2008.
- [Li94] Hai Sheng Li. Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 96(3):279–297, 1994.
- [LL04] James Lepowsky and Haisheng Li. *Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations*, volume 227 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

- [LXY23] Bohan Li, Dan Xie, and Wenbin Yan. On low rank 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2023(5):132, 2023.
- [McR] Robert McRae. On rationality for C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras. *arXiv:2108.01898[math.QA]*.
- [Sun] Kaiwen Sun. Minimal W -algebras with non-admissible levels and intermediate Lie algebras. *arXiv:2406.04182 [math-ph]*.
- [Wan93] Weiqiang Wang. Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (7):197–211, 1993.

(Tomoyuki Arakawa) RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, JAPAN, 606-8502.

Email address: `arakawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

(Thomas Creutzig) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA, AB T6G 2G1.

Email address: `creutzig@ualberta.ca`

(Kazuya Kawasetsu) PRIORITY ORGANIZATION FOR INNOVATION AND EXCELLENCE, KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY, KUMAMOTO, JAPAN, 860-8555.

Email address: `kawasetsu@kumamoto-u.ac.jp`