#### POLYNOMIAL SIMILARITY OF PAIRS OF MATRICES

VITALIY BONDARENKO, ANATOLIY PETRAVCHUK, MARYNA STYOPOCHKINA

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field, R = K[x, y] the polynomial ring and  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  the set of all pairs of square matrices of the same size over K. Pairs  $P_1 = (A_1, B_1)$  and  $P_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ from  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  are called similar if  $A_2 = X^{-1}A_1X$  and  $B_2 = X^{-1}B_1X$  for some invertible matrix X over K. Denote by  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  the subset of  $\mathcal{M}(K)$ , consisting of all pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices. A pair P will be called *polynomially equivalent* to a pair  $\overline{P} = (\overline{A}, \overline{B})$  if  $\overline{A} = f(A, B), \overline{B} = g(A, B)$  for some polynomials  $f, g \in K[x, y]$  satisfying the next conditions: f(0, 0) = 0, g(0, 0) = 0 and  $\det J(f, g)(0, 0) \neq 0$ , where J(f, g) is the Jacobi matrix of polynomials f(x, y) and g(x, y). Further, pairs of matrices P(A, B) and  $\widetilde{P}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$  from  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  will be called *polynomially similar* if there exists a pair  $\overline{P}(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$  from  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  such that  $P, \overline{P}$  are polynomially equivalent and  $\overline{P}, \widetilde{P}$  are similar. The main result of the paper: it is proved that the problem of classifying pairs of matrices up to polynomial similarity is wild, i.e. it contains the classical unsolvable problem of classifying pairs of matrices up to similarity.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to study the problem of classifying pairs of matrices over a field up to a generalized similarity.

Recall that a classification problem is called *wild* if it contains the problem of classifying pairs of  $(n \times n)$ -matrices up to simultaneous similarity

$$(A, B) \longmapsto S^{-1}(A, B)S = (S^{-1}AS, S^{-1}BS)$$

with an invertible matrix S. Otherwise, when indecomposale objects are "parameterized" by several discrete and at most one continuous parameters, the problem is called *tame*. These concepts (including the terms themselves) were first introduced by P. Donovan and M. R. Freislich in [5]. Formal definitions of these two classes were proposed by Yu.A.Drozd in [8, 9]; in these papers he also proved his well-known theorems about tame and wild problems. The first results associated with wild problems were obtained in [13, 12, 4, 7, 6, 14, 2, 3], etc.

Let R be an associative (not necessarily commutative) ring with identity, and let  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  be the set of all pairs of square matrices over R of the same size. If a pair (A, B) consists of block matrices it is always assumed that the

Date: August 9, 2024.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A21, 15A99, 16G60.

Key words and phrases. pairs of matrices, wild matrix problem, polynomial equivalence.

partitions of both matrices A and B into blocks are the same and the diagonal blocks are some square matrices. An identity block is denoted by I regardless of its size, but in each specific case it will be easy to find out whether or not different identity blocks are of the same size. Let  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  consisting of all pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices. We study the problem of classifying pairs of matrices from  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  in case when R = K is a field, up to similarity of a special kind.

So, let K be a field and P = (A, B),  $\overline{P} = (\overline{A}, \overline{B})$  be two pairs of matrices from the set  $\mathcal{N}(K)$ . We say that the pair P is *polynomially equivalent* to the pair  $\overline{P}$  and write  $P \sim_{K[x,y]} \overline{P}$  if  $\overline{A} = f(A, B), \overline{B} = g(A, B)$  for some polynomials  $f, g \in K[x, y]$  satisfying the next conditions:

(1) 
$$f(0,0) = 0, g(0,0) = 0,$$

(2) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{df}{dx}(0,0) & \frac{df}{dy}(0,0) \\ \frac{dg}{dx}(0,0) & \frac{dg}{dy}(0,0) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Pairs of matrices P = (A, B) and  $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  from  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  will be called polynomially similar, if there exists a pair  $\overline{P} = (\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in \mathcal{N}(K)$  such that the pairs P and  $\overline{P}$  are polynomially equivalent, and the pairs  $\overline{P}$  and  $\tilde{P}$  are similar. It can be easily checked that the relation  $\sim_{K[x,y]}$  is an equivalence relation on the set  $\mathcal{N}(K)$ . Indeed, let  $(A, B) \sim_{K[x,y]} (\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ . Then the radical of the local subalgebra generated by the matrices A, B and by the identity can be generated by the matrices A, B as well as by the matrices  $\overline{A}, \overline{B}$ . The latter means that the relation  $\sim_{K[x,y]}$  is symmetric. The reflexivity and the transitivity of this binary relation are obvious. Since the usual similarity is also an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  we see that the polynomial similarity is an equivalence relation on the set  $\mathcal{N}(K)$ .

The aim of this paper is to prove that the problem of classifying pairs of matrices from  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  up to polynomial similarity is wild. Note that this result is a far-reaching generalization of the main theorem of [10] which provides in other terms wildness of our problem with linear polynomials f(x, y) and g(x, y).

## 2. Formulation of the main theorem

Let us explain the notion of wildness more detailed (we use here the matrix language). Let  $F_2 = K\langle X, Y \rangle$  be the free associative non-commutative algebra

in two free generators X, Y over K. The problem of classifying pairs from  $\mathcal{N}(K)$  up to polynomial similarity is wild if there exists a pair  $P_0 = P_0(X, Y) \in \mathcal{N}(F_2)$  of matrices  $A_0 = A_0(X, Y), B_0 = B_0(X, Y)$  such that the polynomial similarity of pairs of matrices  $P_0(M_1, N_1)$  and  $P_0(M_2, N_2)$  with

$$(M_1, N_1), (M_2, N_2) \in \mathcal{M}(K)$$

implies the similarity of pairs  $(M_1, N_1)$  and  $(M_2, N_2)$ . This definition (in the specific case and in the matrix language) is consistent with the general idea of wildness of classification problems [8]. We call such a pair  $P_0 = P_0(X, Y) \in \mathcal{N}(F_2)$  of matrices w-defining relatively the polynomial similarity or simply w-defining.

We restrict ourselves to quadratic polynomials f(x, y), g(x, y) in the definition of polynomial similarity and consider the problem of classifying pairs (A, B) of matrices up to polynomial similarity in the case when

$$A^2 = 0, B^3 = 0, AB^2 = 0.$$
 (\*)

Main theorem. The problem of classifying, up to polynomial similarity, pairs of matrices satisfying the equations (\*) is wild.

Note that in the nearest case  $A^2 = 0, B^2 = 0$  the problem is not wild even without the condition of commutativity and under the usual similarity (see the paper [1]).

# 3. Proof of the main theorem.

The subset of pairs of matrices (A, B) from  $\mathcal{N}(K)$ , satisfying (\*), will be denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_{23}(K)$ . It follows from the above considerations that in  $\mathcal{N}_{23}(K)$ a pair of matrices  $\widetilde{P} = (\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$  is polynomially similar to a pair of matrices  $P = (A, B) \neq 0$  if and only if it is similar to a pair of matrices  $P_{f,g} :=$ (f(A, B), g(A, B)) for some polynomials

(3) 
$$f(x,y) = \alpha x + \alpha_1 y^2 + \alpha_2 xy,$$
$$g(x,y) = \gamma x + \beta y + \beta_1 y^2 + \beta_2 xy,$$

where, according to the condition (2),  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ . Note that the constant terms are equal to zero according to the condition (1), and the coefficient at y in f(x, y) is equal to zero according to  $[f(A, B)]^2 = 0$ ; the equalities  $[g(A, B)]^3 =$ 0 and  $f(A, B)[g(A, B)]^2 = 0$  are always met and do not give any restrictions on the coefficients.

Note that in the definition of wildness it is sufficient to require that the pairs  $(M_1, N_1)$  and  $(M_2, N_2)$  run not through the set  $\mathcal{M}(K)$ , but through the

subset  $E_1(K)$  of all pairs of matrices, all eigenvalues of which are equal to the identity element of the field (see, for example [11], Chapter VIII). This follows from the fact that the subset  $E_1(K)$  is wild: the following pair of matrices of  $\mathcal{M}(F_2)$  can be taken in this case as w-defining:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & I & 0 \\ 0 & I & I \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} I & X & 0 \\ 0 & I & Y \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

(this can be verified by simple calculations).

Further, we consider in  $\mathcal{N}(F_2)$  a pair of matrices  $P_0(X,Y) = (A_0, B_0)$  of the form

where

$$T = T(X, Y) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ I & Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad W := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

(here  $X = X \cdot I, Y = Y \cdot I$  for appropriate identity blocks I). Horizontal and vertical lines in the matrices  $A_0, B_0$  (which divide them into large blocks) are performed for formal reasons and, in particular, for a better understanding ideas of the proposed calculations. It is easy to see that the pair  $P_0(X, Y) =$  $(A_0, B_0)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{23}(F_2)$  (for any matrices T and W). We prove that the pair  $P_0(X, Y)$  is a w-defining pair of matrices of  $\mathcal{N}_{23}(F_2)$  with respect to  $E_1(K)$  (see above the definition of wildness).

We denote

$$A_{0f} := f(A_0, B_0), \ B_{0g} := g(A_0, B_0)$$

and  $P_0(X, Y)_{f,g} := (f(A_0, B_0), g(A_0, B_0)).$ 

**Lemma 1.** The pair of matrices  $P_0(X, Y)_{f,g} \in \mathcal{N}_{23}(F_2)$  is similar to a pair of matrices  $\widehat{P}_0(X, Y) = (A_0, \widehat{B}_0) \in \mathcal{N}_{23}(F_2)$ , where  $\widehat{B}_0$  is of the form

$$\widehat{B}_{0} = \widehat{B}_{0}(X, Y) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}I & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta}{\alpha}T & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with some elements \* (the exact form of which will not be used below), T = T(X, Y) and W remain unchanged.

*Proof.* According to the definition of  $A_{0f}$  and  $B_{0g}$  and equalities

$$f(x,y) = \alpha x + \alpha_1 y^2 + \alpha_2 xy, g(x,y) = \gamma x + \beta y + \beta_1 y^2 + \beta_2 xy$$

we have

Let us write  $A_{0f}$  in the form

$$A_{0f} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 & U \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

with square diagonal blocks and

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & \alpha_1 T & \alpha_2 I \\ 0 & \alpha I & \alpha_1 I \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha E \end{pmatrix},$$

and put

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

with such a division into large blocks, as in the matrices  $A_0$  and  $B_0$ . Since the inverse to the matrix U is of the form

$$U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha}I & -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha^2}T & \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\alpha^3}T - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha^2}I \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha}I & -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha^2}I \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha}I \end{pmatrix}$$

we obviously have

$$D^{-1}A_{0f}D = A_0,$$

(the elements denoted by \* are not used below, the block matrices T = T(X, Y) and W remain unchanged).

Now put

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} \beta I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the matrices  $Z^{-1}A_{0f}Z$  and  $Z^{-1}B_{0g}Z$ . The first of them remains to be equal to  $A_0$ , and the second is equal to  $\widehat{B}_0$ , specified in the condition of the lemma, which completes the proof.

6

### The proof of the main theorem.

As it was noted above we may require that the pairs  $(M_1, N_1)$  and  $(M_2, N_2)$ run not through the set  $\mathcal{M}(K)$ , but through its subset  $E_1(K)$  of all pairs of matrices, whose eigenvalues are equal to the identity element of the field (see above). This class of pairs of matrices is wild as noted earlier.

Let  $(M_1, N_1), (M_1, N_2) \in E_1(K)$ , and the pairs of matrices  $P_0(M_1, N_1)$  and  $P_0(M_2, N_2)$  be polynomially similar (the matrices of the forms T and W are denoted for them by  $T_1$ ,  $W_1$  and  $T_2$ ,  $W_2$ , respectively). Then by the definition of polynomial similarity and by Lemma 1 the pair  $P_0(M_1, N_1)$ , which consists of the matrices  $A_0$  and  $B_0 = B_0(M_1, N_1)$ , is similar to the pair  $\hat{P}(M_2, N_2)$ consisting of the matrices  $A_0$  and  $\hat{B} = \hat{B}(M_2, N_2)$ . Thus, there exists an invertible matrix S such that the following equalities are fulfilled :

(5) 
$$\widehat{B}_0 S = S B_0$$

Denote by  $B'_0$  and  $\widehat{B}'_0$  the submatrices the of matrices  $B_0$  and  $\widehat{B}_0$  respectively, formed by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd horizontal and vertical stripes. Then these matrices and the matrix  $A_0$  are, up to permutations of rows and columns, direct sums of  $1 \times 1$  and  $2 \times 2$  Jordan cells with zero eigenvalues. There are well-known formulas for finding solutions of the matrix equation AX = XAwith A chosen in a Jordan normal form, see e.g.[11] (here X is an arbitrary matrix). Using these formulas (or verifying directly) one can show that:

(a) equality (4) is equivalent to the equality

$$S = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} \\ \hline 0 & S_{22} & S_{23} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & S_{11} \end{array}\right)$$

for some matrices  $S_{ij}$ ;

(b) the equality  $\widehat{B}'_0 S_{11} = S_{11} B'_0$  (relative to  $S_{11}$  from (a)), which follows from the equality (5), is equivalent to the equality

$$S_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} \\ 0 & Y_{22} & Y_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some matrices  $Y_{ij}$ .

Thus, the matrix S is of the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} & Y_{14} & Y_{15} & Y_{16} & Y_{17} \\ 0 & Y_{22} & Y_{23} & Y_{24} & Y_{25} & Y_{26} & Y_{27} \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{11} & Y_{34} & Y_{35} & Y_{36} & Y_{37} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_{44} & Y_{45} & Y_{46} & Y_{47} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_{22} & Y_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

We continue to use (5) as the equality of block matrices. By equating the matrix blocks standing in its left and right parts at places (1,4), (2,5), (3,6)and (4,6), we obtain, respectively, the equalities

$$Y_{34} = 0, \ \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} Y_{11} = Y_{22}, \ \frac{\beta}{\alpha} T_2 Y_{22} = Y_{11} T_1 + Y_{34} W_1$$

and  $W_2 Y_{22} = Y_{44} W_1$ , whence

(6) 
$$W_2 Y_{22} = Y_{44} W_1,$$

(7) 
$$\beta^3 T_2 Y_{22} = \alpha^2 Y_{22} T_1.$$

It follows from the equality (6) that in the 2 × 2 block matrix  $Y_{22} := (Z_{ij})$ block  $Z_{21}$  is zero. Then, in expanded form, the equation (7) has the form

$$\beta^3 \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & M_2 \\ E & N_2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ 0 & Z_{22} \end{array}\right) = \alpha^2 \left(\begin{array}{cc} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ 0 & Z_{22} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & M_1 \\ E & N_1 \end{array}\right)$$

or, after matrix multiplication,

$$\beta^3 \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & M_2 Z_{22} \\ Z_{11} & Z_{12} + N_2 Z_{22} \end{array} \right) = \alpha^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} Z_{12} & Z_{11} M_1 + Z_{12} N_1 \\ Z_{22} & Z_{22} N_1 \end{array} \right).$$

So we have the equalities

$$Z_{12} = 0, \beta^3 Z_{11} = \alpha^2 Z_{22},$$
  
$$\beta^3 M_2 Z_{22} = \alpha^2 Z_{11} M_1, \beta^3 N_2 Z_{22} = \alpha^2 Z_{22} N_1,$$

whence  $M_1 = \frac{\beta^6}{\alpha^4} Z_{22}^{-1} M_2 Z_{22}$  and  $N_1 = \frac{\beta^3}{\alpha^2} Z_{22}^{-1} N_2 Z_{22}$ . Since all the eigenvalues of the matrices  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are equal to the identity element of the field K we get  $\frac{\beta^3}{\alpha^2} = 1$  and therefore

$$M_1 = Z_{22}^{-1} M_2 Z_{22}, N_1 = Z_{22}^{-1} N_2 Z_{22}.$$

Thus, the pairs of matrices  $(M_1, N_1)$  and  $(M_2, N_2)$  are similar. The proof is complete.

## References

- V. M. Bondarenko, Representations of dihedral groups over a field of characteristic, 2. Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 96 (138) (1975), 63–74.
- [2] V.M. Bondarenko, The similarity of matrices over rings of residue classes, in: Mathematics collection, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1976, 275–277.
- [3] V. M. Bondarenko, Yu. A. Drozd, The representation type of finite groups, Zap. Naucn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI), 71 (1977), 24–41.
- [4] 3. S. Brenner, Modular representations of p groups. J. Algebra. 15 (1970), 89–102.
- [5] P. Donovan, M. R. Freislich, Some evidence for an extension of the Brauer-Thrall conjecture, Sonderforschungsbereich Theor. Math. 40 (1972), 24–26.
- [6] P. Donovan, M. R. Freislich, The representation theory of finite graphs and associated algebras, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ont., 1973. Carleton Mathematical Lecture Notes, No. 5.
- [7] Yu. A. Drozd, Representations of commutative algebras, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozen, 6(4) (1972), 41-43.
- [8] Yu. A. Drozd, On tame and wild matrix problems, In: Matrix problems, Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Inst. Mat., Kiev, 1977, 104–114.
- [9] Yu.A. Drozd, Tame and wild matrix problems, in: Representations and Quadratic Forms, Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Inst. Mat., Kiev, 1979, 39–74.
- [10] V. Futorny, T. Klymchuk, A. P. Petravchuk, V. V. Sergeichuk, Wildness of the problems of classifying two-dimensional spaces of commuting linear operators and certain Lie algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 536 (2018), 201–209.
- [11] F.R. Gantmacher, The theory of matrices, Vol. 1, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2000.
- [12] M.Gelfand, A.Ponomarev, Remarks on the classification of a pair of commuting linear transformations in a finite dimensional space, Funkcinal. Analiz i Prilozhen, 3 (4), (1969), 81-82.
- [13] S. A. Krugljak, Representations of the (p, p) group over a field of characteristic p. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 153 (1963), 1253–1256.
- [14] L. A. Nazarova, Representations of quivers of infinite type. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 37(1973), 752–791.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, TERESCHENKIVSKA STREET, 3, 01004 KYIV, UKRAINE

Email address: vitalij.bond@gmail.com

FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV, 64, VOLODYMYRSKA STREET, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

Email address: petravchuk@knu.ua, apetrav@gmail.com

POLISSIA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, STARYI BOULEVARD, 7, 10008 ZHYTOMYR, UKRAINE *Email address:* stmar@ukr.net