

COHEN-MONTGOMERY DUALITY FOR BIMODULES AND SINGULAR EQUIVALENCES OF MORITA TYPE

HIDETO ASASHIBA AND SHENGYONG PAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a group and \mathbb{k} a commutative ring. All categories and functors are assumed to be \mathbb{k} -linear. We define a G -invariant bimodule ${}_S M_R$ over G -categories R, S and a G -graded bimodule ${}_B N_A$ over G -graded categories A, B , and introduce the orbit bimodule M/G and the smash product bimodule $N\#G$. We will show that these constructions are inverses to each other. This will be applied to Morita equivalence, stable equivalences of Morita type, singular equivalences of Morita type, and singular equivalences of Morita type with level.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 2 |
| Acknowledgements | 3 |
| 1. Preliminaries | 3 |
| 1.a. G -categories | 4 |
| 1.b. G -graded categories | 5 |
| 1.c. Orbit categories and smash products | 6 |
| 2. G -invariant bimodules and G -graded bimodules | 7 |
| 3. Orbit bimodules | 9 |
| 4. Smash products | 16 |
| 5. Cohen-Montgomery duality for bimodules | 17 |
| 6. Properties of smash products | 24 |
| 7. Applications | 29 |
| 7.a. Morita equivalences | 29 |
| 7.b. Stable equivalences of Morita type | 31 |
| 7.c. Singular equivalences of Morita type | 33 |
| 7.d. Singular equivalences of Morita type with level | 36 |
| 8. Examples | 37 |
| References | 40 |

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18D05, 16W22, 16W50 .

Key words and phrases. categories with group action, group graded categories, orbit categories, smash product, stable equivalences of Morita type.

HA is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 25287001, 25610003, and 18K03207 from JSPS, and by Osaka Central Advanced Mathematical Institute (MEXT Promotion of Distinctive Joint Research Center Program JPMXP0723833165). SP is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 2024JBMC001 from Beijing Jiaotong university.

INTRODUCTION

We fix a commutative ring \mathbb{k} and a group G . To include infinite coverings of \mathbb{k} -algebras into considerations we usually regard \mathbb{k} -algebras as locally bounded \mathbb{k} -categories with finite objects, and we will work with small \mathbb{k} -categories. For small \mathbb{k} -categories R and S with G -actions (we call them G -categories for short) we introduce the notion of G -invariant S - R -bimodules and their category denoted by $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$, and denote by R/G the orbit category of R by G , which is a small G -graded \mathbb{k} -category. For small G -graded \mathbb{k} -categories A and B we introduce G -graded B - A -bimodules and their category denoted by $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod}_A)$, and denote by $A\#G$ the smash product of A and G , which is a small \mathbb{k} -category with G -action. Then the Cohen-Montgomery duality theorem [7, 2] says that we have equivalences $(R/G)\#G \simeq R$ and $(A\#G)/G \simeq A$, by which we identify these pairs (see also [6]). Here we introduce functors $?/G : G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow G\text{-gr}({}_{S/G}\text{Mod}_{R/G})$ and $?\#G : G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod}_A) \rightarrow G\text{-inv}({}_{(B\#G)}\text{Mod}_{(A\#G)})$, and show that they are equivalences and quasi-inverses to each other (by applying $A := R/G$, $R := A\#G$, etc.), which have good relationships with tensor products and preserve one-sided and two-sided projectivity of bimodules. We apply this to stable equivalences of Morita type (including Morita equivalences) to have the following theorem:

Theorem. (1) *There exists a “ G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type” between R and S if and only if there exists a “ G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type” between R/G and S/G .*

(2) *There exists a “ G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type” between A and B if and only if there exists a “ G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type” between $A\#G$ and $B\#G$. (See Definition 7.1 for definitions of terminologies in “and”).*

We note that a G -invariant (resp. G -graded) stable equivalence of Morita type is defined to be a usual stable equivalence of Morita type with additional properties, and does not mean an equivalence between stable categories of G -invariant (resp. G -graded) modules. We also give the corresponding results for singular equivalences of Morita type, and for singular equivalences of Morita type with level.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 1, we review G -categories (resp. G -graded categories) and its orbit category by G (resp. its smash product with G); and also review our theorem stating that the orbit category construction and the smash product construction are extended to equivalences between the 2-categories of G -categories and of G -graded categories.

In Sect. 2, we introduce G -invariant structure for bimodules over G -categories, and G -gradings for bimodules over G -graded categories. To these two kinds of bimodules, the two constructions in Sect. 1 are exported in sections 3 and 4, respectively.

In Sect. 5, we show that the exported constructions give us an equivalence between the category of G -invariant S - R -bimodules over G -categories R, S (resp.

of G -graded B - A -bimodules over G -graded categories A, B) and the category of G -graded S/G - R/G -bimodules (resp. of G -invariant $B\#G$ - $A\#G$ -bimodules).

In Sect. 6, we investigate further properties of smash products, and in Sect. 7, we apply these tools to show our main theorems on Morita equivalences, stable equivalences of Morita type, singular equivalences of Morita type, and singular equivalences of Morita type with level.

In Sect. 8, we assume that \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field and categories are given by finite bound quivers, and we give examples of a G -invariant bimodule M and a G -graded bimodule M' which corresponds to each other by the orbit construction and the smash product construction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The basic part of this work was done during visits of the first author to Stuttgart in February, 2014 and February, 2015. He would like to thank Professor Steffen König for his kind hospitality and support. The results are announced at several meetings such as China-Japan-Korea international conference on ring theory held in China in 2015, Symposium on ring theory and representation theory held in Japan in 2015, a seminar in Beijing Jiaotong University in 2016, International Conference on Representations of Algebras at Syracuse U.S.A. in 2016, and so on (usually until the results on stable equivalences of Morita type). Precise investigation was done through discussions using Zoom with the second author that started in February, 2024. In Sect. 8, the example of the bimodule ${}_B M'_A$ is a reformulation of one half of an example of a pair of bimodules inducing stable equivalence of Morita type between Brauer tree algebras of Dynkin type A_3 with multiplicity 2 due to N. Kunugi. She kindly gave the first author a copy of the computation in March, 2015, for which the authors are very thankful.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We denote the category of \mathbb{k} -modules by ${}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a small \mathbb{k} -category. Then we denote the class of objects (resp. morphisms) of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}_0 (resp. \mathcal{C}_1). A covariant (resp. contravariant) functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}$ is called a *left* (resp. *right*) \mathcal{C} -*module*, and a natural transformation between such functors is called a *morphism* of between them regarded as modules. The left (resp. right) \mathcal{C} -modules and the morphisms between them form a \mathbb{k} -category, which we denote by ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Mod}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}$). We set ${}_y \mathcal{C}_x := \mathcal{C}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1$, and we regard the category \mathcal{C} as a \mathbb{k} -bilinear functor

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}, (y, x) \mapsto {}_y \mathcal{C}_x.$$

We also set $\mathcal{C}_x := \mathcal{C}(x, -)$ and ${}_x \mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(-, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1$. Then for any morphism $f: x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{C} note that $\mathcal{C}_f: \mathcal{C}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$ (resp. ${}_f \mathcal{C}: {}_x \mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_y \mathcal{C}$) is a morphism in ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Mod}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}$).

Let \mathcal{D} also be a small \mathbb{k} -category. Then a \mathbb{k} -bilinear functor

$$M: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}, (y, x) \mapsto {}_y M_x$$

is called a \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule, and a natural transformation between such functors is called a *morphism* between them regarded as bimodules. The \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodules and the morphisms between them form a \mathbb{k} -category, which we denote by ${}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let M be a \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule. We say that ${}_{\mathcal{D}}M$ is projective (resp. finitely generated projective) if M_x is a projective (resp. finitely generated projective) left \mathcal{D} -module for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_0$; and that $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is projective (resp. finitely generated projective) if ${}_yM$ is a projective (resp. finitely generated projective) right \mathcal{C} -module for all $y \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

1.a. **G -categories.** We first recall definitions of G -categories and their 2-category $G\text{-Cat}$.

Definition 1.1. (1) A \mathbb{k} -category with a G -action, or a G -category for short, is a pair (\mathcal{C}, X) of a \mathbb{k} -category \mathcal{C} and a group homomorphism $X: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$, $a \mapsto X_a$. We often write ax for $X_a(x)$ for all $a \in G$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1$ if there seems to be no confusion.

(2) Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, X)$ and $\mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{C}', X')$ be G -categories. Then a G -equivariant functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}' is a pair (E, ρ) of a \mathbb{k} -functor $E: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ and a family $\rho = (\rho_a)_{a \in G}$ of natural isomorphisms $\rho_a: X'_a E \Rightarrow E X_a$ ($a \in G$) such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_{ba} E = X'_b X'_a E & \xrightarrow{X'_b \rho_a} & X'_b E X_a \\ & \searrow \rho_{ba} & \Downarrow \rho_b X_a \\ & & E X_{ba} = E X_b X_a \end{array}$$

commute for all $a, b \in G$.

(3) A \mathbb{k} -functor $E: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is called a *strictly G -equivariant functor* if $(E, (\mathbb{1}_E)_{a \in G})$ is a G -equivariant functor, i.e., if $X'_a E = E X_a$ for all $a \in G$.

(4) Let $(E, \rho), (E', \rho'): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be G -equivariant functors. Then a *morphism* from (E, ρ) to (E', ρ') is a natural transformation $\eta: E \Rightarrow E'$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_a E & \xrightarrow{\rho_a} & E X_a \\ X'_a \eta \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \eta X_a \\ X'_a E' & \xrightarrow{\rho'_a} & E' X_a \end{array}$$

commute for all $a \in G$.

Definition 1.2. A 2-category $G\text{-Cat}$ of small G -categories is defined as follows.

- The objects are the small G -categories.
- The 1-morphisms are the G -equivariant functors between objects.
- The identity 1-morphism of an object \mathcal{C} is the 1-morphism $(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, (\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}})_{a \in G})$.
- The 2-morphisms are the morphisms of G -equivariant functors.
- The identity 2-morphism of a 1-morphism $(E, \rho): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is the identity natural transformation $\mathbb{1}_E$ of E , which is clearly a 2-morphism.

- The composite $(E', \rho')(E, \rho)$ of 1-morphisms $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(E, \rho)} \mathcal{C}' \xrightarrow{(E', \rho')} \mathcal{C}''$ is defined by

$$(E', \rho')(E, \rho) := (E'E, ((E'\rho_a)(\rho'_a E))_{a \in G}): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}''.$$

- The vertical and the horizontal compositions of 2-morphisms are given by the usual ones of natural transformations.

1.b. **G -graded categories.** Next we recall definitions of G -graded categories and their 2-category $G\text{-GrCat}$.

Definition 1.3. (1) A G -graded \mathbb{k} -category is a category \mathcal{B} together with a family of direct sum decompositions ${}_y\mathcal{B}_x = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y\mathcal{B}_x^a$ ($x, y \in \mathcal{B}_0$) of \mathbb{k} -modules such that ${}_z\mathcal{B}_y^b \cdot {}_y\mathcal{B}_x^a \subseteq {}_z\mathcal{B}_x^{ba}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a, b \in G$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{1}_x \in \mathcal{B}^1(x, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

(2) A *degree-preserving* functor is a pair (H, r) of a \mathbb{k} -functor $H: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of G -graded categories and a map $r: \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow G$ such that

$$H({}_y\mathcal{B}_x^{r_y a}) \subseteq {}_{Hy}\mathcal{A}_{Hx}^{ar_x}$$

(or equivalently $H({}_y\mathcal{B}_x^a) \subseteq {}_{Hy}\mathcal{A}_{Hx}^{r_y^{-1} ar_x}$) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in G$. This r is called a *degree adjuster* of H .

(3) A \mathbb{k} -functor $H: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of G -graded categories is called a *strictly* degree-preserving functor if $(H, 1)$ is a degree-preserving functor, where 1 denotes the constant map $\mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow G$ with value $1 \in G$, i.e., if $H({}_y\mathcal{B}_x^a) \subseteq {}_{Hy}\mathcal{A}_{Hx}^a$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in G$.

(4) Let $(H, r), (I, s): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be degree-preserving functors. Then a natural transformation $\theta: H \Rightarrow I$ is called a *morphism* of degree-preserving functors if $\theta_x \in {}_{Ix}\mathcal{A}_{Hx}^{s_x^{-1} r_x}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 1.4. A 2-category $G\text{-GrCat}$ of small G -graded categories is defined as follows.

- The objects are the small G -graded categories.
- The 1-morphisms are the degree-preserving functors between objects.
- The identity 1-morphism of an object \mathcal{B} is the 1-morphism $(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}}, 1)$.
- The 2-morphisms are the morphisms of degree-preserving functors.
- The identity 2-morphism of a 1-morphism $(H, r): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the identity natural transformation $\mathbb{1}_H$ of H , which is a 2-morphism (because $(\mathbb{1}_H)x = \mathbb{1}_{Hx} \in {}_{Hx}\mathcal{A}_{Hx}^1 = {}_{Hx}\mathcal{A}_{Hx}^{r_x^{-1} r_x}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$).
- The composite $(H', r')(H, r)$ of 1-morphisms $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{(H, r)} \mathcal{B}' \xrightarrow{(H', r')} \mathcal{B}''$ is defined by

$$(H', r')(H, r) := (H'H, (r_x r'_{Hx})_{x \in \mathcal{B}}): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}''.$$

- The vertical and the horizontal compositions of 2-morphisms are given by the usual ones of natural transformations.

1.c. **Orbit categories and smash products.** Finally we recall definitions of orbit categories and smash products, and their relationships.

Definition 1.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a G -category. Then the *orbit category* \mathcal{C}/G of \mathcal{C} by G is a category defined as follows.

- $(\mathcal{C}/G)_0 := \mathcal{C}_0$;
- For any $x, y \in G$, ${}_y(\mathcal{C}/G)_x := \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y\mathcal{C}_{ax}$; and
- For any $x \xrightarrow{f} y \xrightarrow{g} z$ in \mathcal{C}/G , we set

$$gf := \left(\sum_{\substack{a, b \in G \\ ba=c}} g_b \cdot b(f_a) \right)_{c \in G}.$$

- For each $x \in (\mathcal{C}/G)_0$ its identity $\mathbb{1}_x := \mathbb{1}_x^{\mathcal{C}/G}$ in \mathcal{C}/G is given by $\mathbb{1}_x = (\delta_{a,1} \mathbb{1}_x^{\mathcal{C}})_{a \in G}$, where $\mathbb{1}_x^{\mathcal{C}}$ is the identity of x in \mathcal{C} .

By setting ${}_y(\mathcal{C}/G)_x^a := {}_y\mathcal{C}_{ax}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $a \in G$, the decompositions

$${}_y(\mathcal{C}/G)_x = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y(\mathcal{C}/G)_x^a$$

makes \mathcal{C}/G a G -graded category.

Definition 1.6. Let \mathcal{B} be a G -graded category. Then the *smash product* $\mathcal{B}\#G$ is a category defined as follows.

- $(\mathcal{B}\#G)_0 := \mathcal{B}_0 \times G$, we set $x^{(a)} := (x, a)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in G$.
- ${}_{y^{(b)}}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x^{(a)}} := \{ {}^{(b)}f^{(a)} := (b, f, a) \mid f \in {}_y\mathcal{B}_x^{b^{-1}a} \} = \{b\} \times {}_y\mathcal{B}_x^{b^{-1}a} \times \{a\}$ for all $x^{(a)}, y^{(b)} \in \mathcal{B}\#G$. This definition make the union

$$\bigcup_{x^{(a)}, y^{(b)} \in (\mathcal{B}\#G)_0} {}_{y^{(b)}}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x^{(a)}}$$

disjoint. This is sometimes identified with ${}_y\mathcal{B}_x^{b^{-1}a}$ by the correspondence $f \leftrightarrow {}^{(b)}f^{(a)}$ if there seems to be no confusion.

- For any $x^{(a)}, y^{(b)}, z^{(c)} \in \mathcal{B}\#G$ the composition is given by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{z^{(c)}}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{y^{(b)}} \times {}_{y^{(b)}}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x^{(a)}} & \longrightarrow & {}_{z^{(c)}}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x^{(a)}} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ {}_z\mathcal{B}_y^{c^{-1}b} \times {}_y\mathcal{B}_x^{b^{-1}a} & \longrightarrow & {}_z\mathcal{B}_x^{c^{-1}a}, \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal homomorphism is given by the composition of \mathcal{B} .

- For each $x^{(a)} \in (\mathcal{B}\#G)_0$ its identity $\mathbb{1}_{x^{(a)}}$ in $\mathcal{B}\#G$ is given by $\mathbb{1}_x \in {}_x\mathcal{B}_x^1$. $\mathcal{B}\#G$ has a free G -action defined as follows: For each $c \in G$ and $x^{(a)} \in \mathcal{B}\#G$, $cx^{(a)} := x^{(ca)}$; and for each ${}^{(b)}f^{(a)} \in {}_{y^{(b)}}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x^{(a)}}$ with $f \in {}_y\mathcal{B}_x^{b^{-1}a}$, noting that

${}_y(b)(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x(a)} = {}_{y(cb)}(\mathcal{B}\#G)_{x(ca)}$, we set $c({}^{(b)}f^{(a)}) := ({}^{cb})f^{(ca)}$. By the shorter notation, it becomes $cf = f$.

The following two propositions were proved in [1].

Proposition 1.7 ([1, Proposition 5.6]). *Let \mathcal{B} be a G -graded category. Then there is a strictly degree-preserving equivalence $\omega_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}\#G)/G$ of G -graded categories.*

Proposition 1.8 ([1, Theorem 5.10]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a G -action. Then there is a G -equivariant equivalence $\zeta_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}/G)\#G$.*

Note that we changed the notation $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ used in [1] to $\zeta_{\mathcal{C}}$ as used in [5].

In fact, the orbit category construction and the smash product construction can be extended to 2-functors $?/G: G\text{-Cat} \rightarrow G\text{-GrCat}$ and $? \# G: G\text{-GrCat} \rightarrow G\text{-Cat}$, respectively, and they are inverses to each other as stated in the following theorem, where $\omega := (\omega_{\mathcal{B}})_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\zeta := (\zeta_{\mathcal{C}})_{\mathcal{C}}$ are 2-natural isomorphisms.

Theorem 1.9 ([2, Theorem 7.5]). *$?/G$ is strictly left 2-adjoint to $? \# G$ and they are mutual 2-quasi-inverses.*

Remark 1.10. $\omega_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}\#G)/G$ above is an equivalence in the 2-category $G\text{-GrCat}$ and $\zeta_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}/G)\#G$ above is an equivalence in the 2-category $G\text{-Cat}$. By these equivalences we identify $(\mathcal{B}\#G)/G$ with \mathcal{B} , and $(\mathcal{C}/G)\#G$ with \mathcal{C} in the following sections. Here we note that the quasi-inverse $\omega'_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\omega_{\mathcal{B}}$ given in [2] is not strictly degree preserving, which forced us to define degree adjusters.

2. G -INVARIANT BIMODULES AND G -GRADED BIMODULES

Definition 2.1. Let $R = (R, X)$ and $S = (S, Y)$ be small G -categories.

(1) A G -invariant S - R -bimodule is a pair (M, ϕ) of an S - R -bimodule M and a family $\phi := (\phi_a)_{a \in G}$ of natural isomorphisms $\phi_a: M \rightarrow {}_{Y_a}M_{X_a}$, where $\phi_a = ({}_y(\phi_a)_x)_{(y,x) \in S_0 \times R_0}$, and ${}_y(\phi_a)_x: {}_yM_x \rightarrow {}_{ay}M_{ax}$ is in ${}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}$, such that the following diagram commutes for all $a, b \in G$ and all $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_yM_x & \xrightarrow{{}_y(\phi_a)_x} & {}_{ay}M_{ax} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow {}_{ay}(\phi_b)_{ax} \\ & & {}_{bay}M_{bax} \end{array}$$

(2) Let (M, ϕ) and (N, ψ) be G -invariant S - R -bimodules. A *morphism*

$$(M, \phi) \rightarrow (N, \psi)$$

is an S - R -bimodule morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $a \in G$ and all $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_yM_x & \xrightarrow{y(\phi_a)_x} & {}_{ay}M_{ax} \\ {}_y f_x \downarrow & & \downarrow {}_{ay} f_{ax} \\ {}_yN_x & \xrightarrow{y(\psi_a)_x} & {}_{ay}N_{ax}. \end{array}$$

(3) Let (L, θ) , (M, ϕ) and (N, ψ) be G -invariant S - R -bimodules, and

$$f: (L, \theta) \rightarrow (M, \phi), g: (M, \phi) \rightarrow (N, \psi)$$

morphisms of G -invariant S - R -bimodules. Then as is easily seen, the composite $gf: L \rightarrow N$ in ${}_S\text{Mod}_R$ turns out to be a morphism in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$, which is defined to be the composite $gf: (L, \theta) \rightarrow (N, \psi)$ in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$.

(4) Let (M, ϕ) be a G -invariant S - R -bimodule. Then $\mathbb{1}_M$ of the S - R -bimodule M turns out to be the identity with respect to the composition defined above.

(5) The class of all G -invariant S - R -bimodules together with all morphisms between them forms a \mathbb{k} -category, which we denote by $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$.

Remark 2.2. The commutativity of the diagram in (1) above for $a = b = 1$ shows that $\phi_1 = \mathbb{1}_M$, which also shows that $(y(\phi_a)_x)^{-1} = {}_{ay}(\phi_{a^{-1}})_{ax}$ for all $a \in G$ and all $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$.

Example 2.3. (1) $(R, (X_a)_{a \in G})$ is a G -invariant R - R -bimodule.

(2) Let $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$. Then $\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R$ has the *canonical* G -invariant structure $\phi = (\phi_b)_{b \in G}$ defined by the composite

$${}_v(\phi_b)_u: \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_vS_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R_u \xrightarrow{Y_b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X_b} \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_{bv}S_{bay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{bax}R_{bu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_{bv}S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R_{bu} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $b \in G$ and $(v, u) \in B_0 \times A_0$, thus, ${}_v(\phi_b)_u(s_a \otimes r_a)_{a \in G} := (bs_{b^{-1}a} \otimes br_{b^{-1}a})_{a \in G}$ for all $s_a \in {}_vS_{ay}$, $r_a \in {}_{ax}R_u$.

Definition 2.4. Let A and B be G -graded small \mathbb{k} -categories.

(1) A G -graded B - A -bimodule is a B - A -bimodule M together with decompositions ${}_yM_x = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_yM_x^a$ in $\mathbb{k}\text{Mod}$ for all $(y, x) \in B_0 \times A_0$ such that

$${}_{y'}B_y^c \cdot {}_yM_x^a \cdot {}_xA_{x'}^b \subseteq {}_{y'}M_{x'}^{cab}$$

for all $a, b, c \in G$ and all $x, x' \in A_0, y, y' \in B_0$.

(2) Let M and N be G -graded B - A -bimodules. Then a *morphism* $M \rightarrow N$ is a B - A -bimodule morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that $f({}_yM_x^a) \subseteq {}_yN_x^a$ for all $a \in G$ and all $(y, x) \in B_0 \times A_0$. Hence a morphism f induces morphisms ${}_y f_x^a: {}_yM_x^a \rightarrow {}_yN_x^a$, and we may write ${}_x f_y = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y f_x^a: {}_yM_x \rightarrow {}_yN_x$ for all $(y, x) \in B_0 \times A_0$.

(3) Let L, M and N be G -graded B - A -bimodules, and $f: L \rightarrow M, g: M \rightarrow N$ morphisms of G -graded B - A -bimodules. Then as is easily seen, the composite $gf: L \rightarrow N$ in ${}_B\text{Mod}_A$ turns out to be a morphism of G -graded B - A -bimodules, which is defined to be the composite gf of f and g as a morphism of G -graded B - A -bimodules.

(4) Let M be a G -graded B - A -bimodule. Then the identity $\mathbb{1}_M$ of M as a morphism of B - A -bimodules turns out to be the identity of M as a morphism of G -graded B - A -bimodules.

(5) The class of all G -graded B - A -bimodules together with all morphisms between them forms a \mathbb{k} -category, which we denote by $G\text{-gr}(B\text{Mod}_A)$.

Example 2.5. Let $(y, x) \in B_0 \times A_0$. Then $B_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} x A$ has the *canonical* G -grading defined by

$$B_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} x A = \bigoplus_{b \in G} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} B_y^a \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} x A^{a^{-1}b} \right) = \bigoplus_{c \in G} \left(\bigoplus_{ba=c} B_y^b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} x A^a \right). \quad (2.2)$$

3. ORBIT BIMODULES

Throughout this section $R = (R, X)$ and $S = (S, Y)$ are small \mathbb{k} -categories with G -actions, and $E: R \rightarrow R/G$ and $F: S \rightarrow S/G$ are the canonical G -coverings.

Definition 3.1. (1) Let $M = (M, \phi)$ be a G -invariant S - R -bimodule. Then we form a G -graded S/G - R/G -bimodule M/G as follows, which we call the *orbit bimodule* of M by G :

- For each $(y, x) \in (S/G)_0 \times (R/G)_0 = S_0 \times R_0$ we set

$${}_y(M/G)_x := \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y M_{ax}. \quad (3.3)$$

- For each $(y, x), (y', x') \in (S/G)_0 \times (R/G)_0 = S_0 \times R_0$ and each $(s, r) \in {}_{y'}(S/G)_y \times {}_x(R/G)_{x'}$ we define a morphism

$${}_s(M/G)_r: {}_y(M/G)_x \rightarrow {}_{y'}(M/G)_{x'}$$

in $\mathbb{k}\text{Mod}$ by

$${}_s(M/G)_r(m) := s \cdot m \cdot r := \left(\sum_{cba=d} s_c \cdot \phi_c(m_b) \cdot cbr_a \right)_{d \in G} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $r = (r_a)_{a \in G} \in \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_x R_{ax'}$, $m = (m_b)_{b \in G} \in \bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_y M_{ax}$, and $s = (s_c)_{c \in G} \in \bigoplus_{c \in G} {}_{y'} S_{cy}$. By the naturality of ϕ_a ($a \in G$) we easily see that (3.4) defines an (S/G) - (R/G) -bimodule structure on M/G .

- We set ${}_y(M/G)_x^a := {}_y M_{ax}$ for all $a \in G$ and all $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$. We easily see that this defines a G -grading on M/G by (3.3) and (3.4).

- (2) Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be in $G\text{-inv}(S\text{Mod}_R)$. For each $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$ we set

$${}_y(f/G)_x := \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y f_{ax}.$$

Then as is easily seen $f/G := ({}_y(f/G)_x)_{(y,x) \in S_0 \times R_0}$ turns out to be a morphism $M/G \rightarrow N/G$ in $G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})$.

Proposition 3.2. *The correspondences in Definition 3.1 define a \mathbb{k} -functor*

$$?/G: G\text{-inv}(S\text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G}).$$

Proof. The \mathbb{k} -linearity of $?/G$ is clear. Let $(M, \phi) \in G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)_0$. Then $\mathbb{1}_M/G = (\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y(\mathbb{1}_M)_{ax})_{(y,x) \in S_0 \times R_0}$, each (y, x) -entry of which is the identity of ${}_y(M/G)_x = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_yM_{ax}$. Hence $\mathbb{1}_M/G$ is the identity of the G -graded S/G - R/G -bimodule M/G .

Let $(L, \theta) \xrightarrow{f} (M, \phi) \xrightarrow{g} (N, \psi)$ be morphisms in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$. We have to show that $(gf)/G = (g/G)(f/G)$. It is enough to show that for any $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$, we have ${}_y((gf)/G)_x = {}_y(g/G)_x \cdot {}_y(f/G)_x$, which is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y g_{ax} \right) \cdot \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y f_{ax} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{a \in G} ({}_y g_{ax} \cdot {}_y f_{ax}) = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y (gf)_{ax} = \text{LHS}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Throughout the rest of this section, to regard R/G (reap. S/G) as an R - R -bimodule (respect. S - S -bimodule) we use the canonical G -covering functor $E: R \rightarrow R/G$ (resp. $F: S \rightarrow S/G$), which is shown as follows:

Lemma 3.3. *We have isomorphisms*

$$R/G \otimes_R R/G \cong R/G \otimes_{R/G} R/G \cong R/G$$

of (R/G) - (R/G) -bimodules.

Proof. Let $y, x \in R_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_y(R/G \otimes_R R/G)_x &= {}_y(R/G)_E \otimes_R E(R/G)_x \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{z \in R_0} {}_y R/G_{Ez} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} E_z R/G_x \right) / {}_y I_x, \text{ and} \\ {}_y(R/G \otimes_{R/G} R/G)_x &= {}_y R/G \otimes_{R/G} R/G_x \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{z \in R_0} {}_y R/G_{Ez} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} E_z R/G_x \right) / {}_y I'_x, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_y I_x &:= \langle h \otimes E(r)f - hE(r) \otimes f \mid (h, r, f) \in {}_y R/G_{z'} \times {}_{z'} R_z \times {}_z R/G_x, z, z' \in R_0 \rangle, \text{ and} \\ {}_y I'_x &:= \langle h \otimes gf - hg \otimes f \mid (h, g, f) \in {}_y R/G_{z'} \times {}_{z'} R/G_z \times {}_z R/G_x, z, z' \in R_0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is enough to show that ${}_y I_x = {}_y I'_x$. Since $E(r) \in {}_{z'} R/G_z$ for all $r \in {}_{z'} R_z$, it is obvious that ${}_y I_x \subseteq {}_y I'_x$. To show the converse inclusion, it suffices to show that $h \otimes gf - hg \otimes f \in {}_y I_x$ for all $(h, g, f) \in {}_y R/G_{z'} \times {}_{z'} R/G_z \times {}_z R/G_x$ and all $z, z' \in R_0$. Write $f := (f_a)_{a \in G} \in \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_z R_{ax}$, $g := (g_b)_{b \in G} \in \bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_{z'} R_{bz}$ and $h = (h_c)_{c \in G} \in \bigoplus_{c \in G} {}_y R_{cz'}$. Then since

$${}_{z'} E_{bz} : {}_{z'} R_{bz} \rightarrow {}_{z'} (R/G)_{bz} = \bigoplus_{d \in G} {}_{z'} R_{dbz} = \bigoplus_{d \in G} {}_{z'} R_{dz} = {}_{z'} (R/G)_z$$

is defined by ${}_{z'}E_{bz}(g_b) := (\delta_{d,b}g_b)_{d \in G}$, we have

$$g = (g_b)_{b \in G} = \sum_{b \in G} (\delta_{d,b}g_b)_{d \in G} = \sum_{b \in G} {}_{z'}E_{dz}(g_b).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \otimes gf - hg \otimes f &= h \otimes \left(\sum_{b \in G} {}_{z'}E_{dz}(g_b) \right) f - h \left(\sum_{b \in G} {}_{z'}E_{dz}(g_b) \right) \otimes f \\ &= \sum_{b \in G} (h \otimes {}_{z'}E_{dz}(g_b)f - h {}_{z'}E_{dz}(g_b) \otimes f) \in {}_yI_x. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *Let M be a G -invariant S - R -bimodule. Then*

- (1) $M \otimes_R (R/G) \cong {}_F M/G$ as S - (R/G) -bimodules; and
- (2) $(S/G) \otimes_S M \cong M/G_E$ as (S/G) - R -bimodules.

Hence in particular, we have

- (3) $M \otimes_R (R/G)_E \cong {}_F M/G_E \cong {}_F (S/G) \otimes_S M$ as S - R -bimodules, and
- (4) $(S/G) \otimes_S M \otimes_R (R/G) \cong M/G$ as G -graded (S/G) - (R/G) -bimodules.

Proof. Let $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$.

- (1) We have the following isomorphisms natural in x, y :

$$\begin{aligned} {}_y(M \otimes_R (R/G))_x &= {}_yM \otimes_R {}_E(R/G)_x = {}_yM \otimes_R \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} R_{ax} \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_yM \otimes_R R_{ax} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_yM_{ax} \\ &= {}_F y(M/G)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M \otimes_R (R/G) \cong {}_F(M/G)$ as S - (R/G) -bimodules.

- (2) Similarly we have the following isomorphisms natural in x, y :

$$\begin{aligned} {}_y(S/G \otimes_S M)_x &= {}_y(S/G)_F \otimes_S M_x = \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_yS_{Y_a} \right) \otimes_S M_x \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_{a^{-1}y}S \right) \otimes_S M_x \cong \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_{a^{-1}y}M_x \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_yM_{ax} = {}_y(M/G)_{Ex}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(S/G) \otimes_S M \cong M/G_E$ as (S/G) - R -bimodules.

- (3) This follows from (1) and (2).

(4) We have the following isomorphisms of S - R -bimodules:

$$\begin{aligned}
(S/G) \otimes_S M \otimes_R (R/G) &\cong M/G \otimes_R (R/G) \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\
&\cong M \otimes_R (R/G) \otimes_R (R/G) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\
&\cong M \otimes_R (R/G) \otimes_{R/G} (R/G) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \\
&\cong M \otimes_R (R/G) \\
&\cong M/G \quad (\text{by (1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $(S/G) \otimes_S M \otimes_R (R/G) \cong M/G$ also as S/G - R/G -bimodules. \square

Lemma 3.5. *For each $M \in G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)_0$, there exists a small set I and a family $(x_i, y_i)_{i \in I} \in (R_0 \times S_0)^I$ such that there exists an epimorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \right) \rightarrow M$$

in the category $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$.

Proof. Since M can be regarded as a left $S \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} R^{\text{op}}$ -module, there exists a small set I and a family $(x_i, y_i)_{i \in I} \in (R_0 \times S_0)^I$ such that there exists an epimorphism

$$f: \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i}R \rightarrow M$$

in the category ${}_S\text{Mod}_R$. Thus for each pair $(x, y) \in R_0 \times S_0$, the linear map

$${}_y f_x = ({}_y f_x^i)_{i \in I}: \bigoplus_{i \in I} {}_y S_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i}R_x \rightarrow {}_y M_x$$

is an epimorphism. For each $a \in G$, we define a linear map

$${}_y f_x^{i,a}: {}_y S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R_x \rightarrow {}_y M_x$$

by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}_y S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R_x & \overset{{}_y f_x^{i,a}}{\dashrightarrow} & {}_y M_x \\
Y_a \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X_a \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow \phi_a^M \\
{}_{a^{-1}y} S_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} R_{a^{-1}x} & \xrightarrow{{}_{a^{-1}y} f_{a^{-1}x}^i} & {}_{a^{-1}y} M_{a^{-1}x}
\end{array} \quad .$$

Then it is easy to verify the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R_x & \xrightarrow{({}_y f_x^{i,a})_{a \in G}} & {}_y M_x \\
\phi_b \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_b^M \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_{by} S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R_{bx} & \xrightarrow{({}_{by} f_{bx}^{i,a})_{a \in G}} & {}_{by} M_{bx}
\end{array}$$

where ϕ_b is defined in (2.1). Set ${}_y\bar{f}_x^i := ({}_y f_x^{i,a})_{a \in G}$, and define an S - R -bimodule morphism

$$\bar{f}^i: \bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \rightarrow M$$

by $\bar{f}^i := ({}_y\bar{f}_x^i)_{(x,y) \in R_0 \times S_0}$. The commutativity of the diagram above shows that \bar{f}^i is a morphism in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$ for all $i \in I$, and we obtain a morphism

$$\bar{f} := (\bar{f}^i)_{i \in I}: \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \right) \rightarrow M$$

in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$, which turns out to be an epimorphism in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$ because so is f in ${}_S\text{Mod}_R$. \square

Definition 3.6. Let M be an S - R -bimodule. M is called a G -invariant finitely generated module (G -invariant f.g. for short) if there exists a finite set I and an epimorphism stated in Lemma 3.5.

Definition 3.7. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be \mathbb{k} -categories, and M a \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule. Then we say that M is finitely generated projective as a right \mathcal{C} -module (or $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is finitely generated projective for short) if ${}_yM$ is finitely generated projective right \mathcal{C} -module for all $y \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Similarly, we say that M is finitely generated projective as a left \mathcal{D} -module (or ${}_{\mathcal{D}}M$ is finitely generated projective for short) if M_x is a finitely generated projective left \mathcal{D} -module for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_0$.

By Proposition 3.4 (1) and (2) we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.8. *Let M be a G -invariant S - R -bimodule. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *Assume that S is \mathbb{k} -projective. If M_R is finitely generated projective, then so is $M/G_{R/G}$.*
- (2) *Assume that R is \mathbb{k} -projective. If ${}_S M$ is finitely generated projective, then so is ${}_S/G M/G$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, we have an epimorphism

$$f: \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \rightarrow M$$

in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$ for some small set I and a family $(x_i, y_i)_{i \in I} \in (R_0 \times S_0)^I$. This yields an epimorphism

$${}_y f: \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \rightarrow {}_y M$$

of right R -modules. Since S is \mathbb{k} -projective, each ${}_y S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R$ is a projective R -module. Since ${}_y M$ is a projective R -module, ${}_y f$ turns out to be a retraction. By applying the functor ${}_{?} \otimes_R R/G$ to f , we obtain a retraction

$${}_y f \otimes_R R/G: \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in G} ({}_y S_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R) \otimes_R R/G \rightarrow {}_y M \otimes_R R/G$$

whose domain is a projective right R/G -module. Hence by Proposition 3.4(1), ${}_y(M/G) \cong {}_y(M \otimes_R R/G) = {}_yM \otimes_R R/G$ is a projective R/G -module. Since M_R is finitely generated, so is ${}_yM \otimes_R R/G$ over R/G . As a consequence, M_R is finitely generated projective.

(2) This is proved similarly. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $T = (T, Z)$ be a small \mathbb{k} -category with G -action, and ${}_T N_S, {}_S M_R$ be G -invariant bimodules. Then*

- (1) ${}_T(N \otimes_S M)_R$ is a G -invariant bimodule.
- (2) $(N \otimes_S M)/G \cong (N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G)$ in $G\text{-gr}(T/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})$.

Proof. (1) Let $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$ and $a \in G$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} {}_y I_x &:= \langle v \otimes su - vs \otimes u \mid (v, s, u) \in {}_y N_{z'} \times {}_{z'} S_z \times {}_z M_x, z, z' \in S_0 \rangle \\ &\subseteq \bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_y N_z \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_z M_x, \text{ and} \\ {}_y(\phi_a^{N \otimes_S M})_x &:= \bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_y(\phi_a^N)_z \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_z(\phi_a^M)_x : \bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_y N_z \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_z M_x \rightarrow \bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_{ay} N_{az} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{az} M_{ax}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we write ${}_y(\phi_a)_x := {}_y(\phi_a^{N \otimes_S M})_x$ for short, and it is an isomorphism in $\mathbb{k}\text{Mod}$. Note that for each $(v, s, u) \in {}_y N_{z'} \times {}_{z'} S_z \times {}_z M_x$ ($z, z' \in S_0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_y(\phi_a)_x(v \otimes su - vs \otimes u) &= {}_y(\phi_a^N)_{z'}(v) \otimes {}_{z'}(\phi_a^M)_x(su) - {}_y(\phi_a^N)_z(vs) \otimes {}_z(\phi_a^M)_x(u) \\ &= {}_y(\phi_a^N)_{z'}(v) \otimes (as) \cdot {}_z(\phi_a^M)_x(u) - {}_y(\phi_a^N)_{z'}(v) \cdot (as) \otimes {}_z(\phi_a^M)_x(u), \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that (we omit subscripts y, z', z, x for ϕ_a^M, ϕ_a^N below for simplicity)

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_y(\phi_a)_x({}_y I_x) \\ &= \langle \phi_a^N(v) \otimes (as) \cdot \phi_a^M(u) - \phi_a^N(v) \cdot (as) \otimes \phi_a^M(u) \mid \\ &\quad (v, s, u) \in {}_y N_{z'} \times {}_{z'} S_z \times {}_z M_x, z, z' \in S_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle v' \otimes s'u' - v's' \otimes u' \mid (v', s', u') \in {}_{ay} N_{az'} \times {}_{az'} S_{az} \times {}_{az} M_{ax}, az, az' \in S_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle v' \otimes s'u' - v's' \otimes u' \mid (v', s', u') \in {}_{ay} N_{t'} \times {}_{t'} S_t \times {}_t M_{ax}, t, t' \in S_0 \rangle \\ &= {}_{ay} I_{ax}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the isomorphism ${}_y(\phi_a)_x$ induces the following isomorphism $\overline{{}_y(\phi_a)_x}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_y(N \otimes_S M)_x &= {}_yN \otimes_S M_x \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_yN_z \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_z M_x \right) / {}_yI_x \\
\overline{{}_y(\phi_a)_x} \rightarrow &\left(\bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_{ay}N_{az} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{az} M_{ax} \right) / {}_{ay}I_{ax} \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{z \in S_0} {}_{ay}N_z \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_z M_{ax} \right) / {}_{ay}I_{ax} \\
&= {}_{ay}N \otimes_S M_{ax} \\
&= {}_{ay}(N \otimes_S M)_{ax}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

It is easy to verify that $\overline{\phi_a} := \overline{{}_y(\phi_a)_x}_{(y,x)}$ is a natural transformation and that $\overline{\phi} := (\overline{\phi_a})_{a \in G}$ makes $N \otimes_S M$ a G -invariant T - R -bimodule.

(2) We have the following isomorphisms of G -graded (T/G) - (R/G) -bimodules:

$$\begin{aligned}
(N \otimes_S M)/G &\cong (T/G) \otimes_T N \otimes_S M \otimes_R (R/G) \\
&\cong (T/G) \otimes_T N \otimes_S (M/G) \\
&\cong (T/G) \otimes_T N \otimes_S (S/G) \otimes_S M \otimes_R (R/G) \\
&\cong (T/G) \otimes_T N \otimes_S (S/G) \otimes_{S/G} (S/G) \otimes_S M \otimes_R (R/G) \\
&\cong (N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.10. (1) An S - R -bimodule P is called a (*canonically*) G -invariant finitely generated projective module (G -invariant f.g. projective for short) if it is additively generated by the set $\mathcal{A} := \{\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R \mid (y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0\}$ in the additive category $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$, namely if it has a retraction from a finite direct sum of elements of \mathcal{A} in this category, $\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R$ is assumed to have the canonical G -invariant structure defined by the formula (2.1). Unless otherwise stated, we always assume that all G -invariant f.g. projective S - R -bimodules have the canonical G -invariant structures.

(2) A B - A -bimodule P is called a (*canonically*) G -graded finitely generated projective module (G -graded f.g. projective for short) if it is additively generated by the set $\mathcal{B} := \{B_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_xA \mid (y, x) \in B_0 \times A_0\}$ in the additive category $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod}_A)$, $B_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_xA$ is assumed to have the canonical G -grading defined by the formula (2.2). Unless otherwise stated, we always assume that all G -graded f.g. projective B - A -bimodules have the canonical G -graded structures.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $(y, x) \in S_0 \times R_0$, and consider the G -invariant module $\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R$ having the canonical G -invariant structure (2.1). Then*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R \right) / G \cong (S/G)_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_x(R/G), \tag{3.6}$$

where the right hand side has the canonical G -grading (2.2). Therefore, if P is a canonically G -invariant finitely generated projective S - R -bimodule, then P/G is a canonically G -graded finitely generated projective (S/G) - (R/G) -bimodule.

Proof. Set $P := \bigoplus_{a \in G} S_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R$. Then P/G has the G -grading defined by ${}_v(P/G)_u = \bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_v(P/G)_u^b$ for all $(v, u) \in (S/G)_0 \times (R/G)_0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_v(P/G)_u^b &= {}_vP_{bu} = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_vS_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}R_{bu} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_vS_{ay} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_xR_{a^{-1}bu} \\ &= \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_v(S/G)_y^a \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_x(R/G)_u^{a^{-1}b}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the isomorphism above is given by $\bigoplus_{a \in G} \mathbb{1}_{{}_vS_{ay}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}(X_{a^{-1}})_{bu}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P/G &= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (P/G)^b \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{b \in G} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} (S/G)_y^a \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_x(R/G)^{a^{-1}b} \right) = (S/G)_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_x(R/G). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the latter has the canonical G -grading, and the isomorphism above is given by $\bigoplus_{b \in G} \bigoplus_{a \in G} \mathbb{1}_{{}_vS_{ay}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax}(X_{a^{-1}})_{bu}$, which is degree preserving.

Since the functor $?/G$ is additive, the remaining statement follows. \square

4. SMASH PRODUCTS

Throughout this section A and B are G -graded small \mathbb{k} -categories.

Definition 4.1. (1) Let M be a G -graded B - A -bimodule. Then we define a G -invariant $(B\#G)$ - $(A\#G)$ -bimodule $M\#G$ as follows, which we call the *smash product* of M and G :

- For each $(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)}) \in (B\#G)_0 \times (A\#G)_0$ we set

$${}_{y^{(b)}}(M\#G)_{x^{(a)}} := {}_yM_x^{b^{-1}a}. \quad (4.7)$$

- For each $(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)}), (y'^{(b')}, x'^{(a')}) \in (B\#G)_0 \times (A\#G)_0$ and each $({}^{(b')} \beta^{(b)}, {}^{(a)} \alpha^{(a')}) \in {}_{y'^{(b')}}(B\#G)_{y^{(b)}} \times_{x^{(a)}} (A\#G)_{x'^{(a')}}$ with $(\beta, \alpha) \in {}_{y'}B_y^{b'^{-1}b} \times_x A_{x'}^{a^{-1}a'}$, we define a morphism $\beta(M\#G)_\alpha$ in $\mathbb{k}\text{Mod}$ by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{y^{(b)}}(M\#G)_{x^{(a)}} & \xrightarrow{({}^{(b')} \beta^{(b)})^{(M\#G)} ({}^{(a)} \alpha^{(a')})} & {}_{y'^{(b')}}(M\#G)_{x'^{(a')}} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ {}_yM_x^{b^{-1}a} & \xrightarrow{\beta M_\alpha} & {}_{y'}M_{x'}^{b'^{-1}a'}. \end{array} \quad (4.8)$$

Since $\deg(\beta) \deg(m) \deg(\alpha) = (b^{-1}b)(b^{-1}a)(a^{-1}a') = b^{-1}a'$ for all $m \in {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a} = {}_{y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$, the bottom morphism is well-defined. It is easy to verify that this makes $M \# G$ a $(B \# G)$ - $(A \# G)$ -bimodule.

- For each $(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)}) \in (B \# G)_0 \times (A \# G)_0$ and each $c \in G$ we define ${}_{y^{(b)}}(\phi_c)_{x^{(a)}}$ by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} & \xrightarrow{{}_{y^{(b)}}(\phi_c)_{x^{(a)}}} & {}_{c \cdot y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{c \cdot x^{(a)}} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a} & \xlongequal{\quad} & {}_{y^{(cb)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(ca)}}. \end{array} \quad (4.9)$$

Then by letting $\phi_c := ({}_{y^{(b)}}(\phi_c)_{x^{(a)}})_{(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)})}$, and $\phi := (\phi_c)_{c \in G}$, we have a G -invariant $(B \# G)$ - $(A \# G)$ -bimodule $(M \# G, \phi)$.

- (2) Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be in $G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A)$. For each $(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)}) \in (B \# G)_0 \times (A \# G)_0$, we define ${}_{y^{(b)}}(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} & \xrightarrow{{}_{y^{(b)}}(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}}} & {}_{y^{(b)}}(N \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a} & \xrightarrow{f|_{{}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}} = {}_y f_x^{b^{-1}a}} & {}_y N_x^{b^{-1}a}. \end{array} \quad (4.10)$$

Then as is easily seen $f \# G := ({}_{y^{(b)}}(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}})_{(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)})}$ is a morphism $M \# G \rightarrow N \# G$ in the category $G\text{-inv}({}_{(B \# G)} \text{Mod}_{(A \# G)})$.

Proposition 4.2. *The smash product construction above is extended to a \mathbb{k} -functor*

$$? \# G: G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A) \rightarrow G\text{-inv}({}_{(B \# G)} \text{Mod}_{(A \# G)}).$$

Proof. By looking at (4.10), the \mathbb{k} -linearity of $? \# G$ is clear. To verify the fact that $? \# G$ preserves identities, let $M \in G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A)_0$. Then for each $(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)}) \in (B \# G)_0 \times (A \# G)_0$, the diagram (4.10) for $f := \mathbb{1}_M$ shows that ${}_{y^{(b)}}(\mathbb{1}_M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} = \mathbb{1}_M|_{{}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}} = \mathbb{1}_{{}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}}$, as required.

Finally to verify that $? \# G$ preserves compositions, let $L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ be morphisms in $G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A)$. It is enough to show the equality ${}_{y^{(b)}}((gf) \# G)_{x^{(a)}} = {}_{y^{(b)}}(g \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \cdot {}_{y^{(b)}}(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$ for all $(y^{(b)}, x^{(a)}) \in (B \# G)_0 \times (A \# G)_0$. By definition, this follows from the obvious equality $(g|_{{}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}}) \cdot (f|_{{}_y L_x^{b^{-1}a}}) = (gf)|_{{}_y L_x^{b^{-1}a}}$. \square

5. COHEN-MONTGOMERY DUALITY FOR BIMODULES

Throughout this section, we let R, S be small \mathbb{k} -categories with G -actions, and A, B be G -graded small \mathbb{k} -categories.

Definition 5.1. By Remark 1.10, we have G -equivariant equivalences $\zeta_S: S \rightarrow (S/G) \# G$ and $\zeta_R: R \rightarrow (R/G) \# G$ (see [5, Definition 5.8.1] or [1, Definition 8.1])

for definition) having quasi-inverses $\zeta'_S: (S/G)\#G \rightarrow S$ and $\zeta'_R: (R/G)\#G \rightarrow R$, respectively (see [5, Definition 5.8.5] for definition). We define a functor

$$\zeta_S \text{Mod}_{\zeta_R}: (S/G)\#G \text{Mod}_{(R/G)\#G} \rightarrow {}_S \text{Mod}_R$$

as follows. For each $M \in ((S/G)\#G \text{Mod}_{(R/G)\#G})_0$, $\zeta_S \text{Mod}_{\zeta_R}(M)$ is the S - R -bimodule $M \circ (\zeta_S \times \zeta_R^{\text{op}})$ as in the diagram

$$S \times R^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\zeta_S \times \zeta_R^{\text{op}}} ((S/G)\#G) \times ((R/G)\#G) \xrightarrow{M} {}_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Mod}.$$

The functor $\zeta_S \text{Mod}_{\zeta_R}$ has a quasi-inverse $\zeta'_S \text{Mod}_{\zeta'_R}$, and hence it is an equivalence. This induces the equivalence

$$\bar{\zeta} := G\text{-inv}(\zeta_S \text{Mod}_{\zeta_R}): G\text{-inv}((S/G)\#G \text{Mod}_{(R/G)\#G}) \rightarrow G\text{-inv}({}_S \text{Mod}_R).$$

Definition 5.2. By Remark 1.10, we have strictly G -degree preserving equivalences $\omega_B: B \rightarrow (B\#G)/G$ and $\omega_A: A \rightarrow (A\#G)/G$ (see [5, Definition 5.8.8] or [1, Definition 8.5?] for definition) having quasi-inverses $\omega'_B: (B\#G)/G \rightarrow B$ and $\omega'_A: (A\#G)/G \rightarrow A$, respectively (see [5, Definition 5.8.11] for definition), which are G -degree preserving but not necessarily strictly degree preserving. We define a functor

$$\omega_B \text{Mod}_{\omega_A}: (B\#G)/G \text{Mod}_{(A\#G)/G} \rightarrow {}_B \text{Mod}_A$$

as follows. For each $N \in ((B\#G)/G \text{Mod}_{(A\#G)/G})_0$, $\omega_B \text{Mod}_{\omega_A}(N)$ is the B - A -bimodule $N \circ (\omega_B \times \omega_A^{\text{op}})$ as in the diagram

$$B \times A^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\omega_B \times \omega_A^{\text{op}}} ((B\#G)/G) \times ((A\#G)/G) \xrightarrow{N} {}_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Mod}.$$

The functor $\omega_B \text{Mod}_{\omega_A}$ has a quasi-inverse $\omega'_B \text{Mod}_{\omega'_A}$, and hence it is an equivalence. This induces the equivalence

$$\bar{\omega} := G\text{-gr}(\omega_B \text{Mod}_{\omega_A}): G\text{-gr}((B\#G)/G \text{Mod}_{(A\#G)/G}) \rightarrow G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A).$$

Theorem 5.3. (1) *The functor $?/G: G\text{-inv}({}_S \text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow G\text{-gr}({}_{S/G} \text{Mod}_{R/G})$ is an equivalence with a quasi-inverse given by the composite*

$$(?\#G)': G\text{-gr}({}_{S/G} \text{Mod}_{R/G}) \xrightarrow{?\#G} G\text{-inv}((S/G)\#G \text{Mod}_{(R/G)\#G}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\zeta}} G\text{-inv}({}_S \text{Mod}_R).$$

(2) *The functor $?\#G: G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A) \rightarrow G\text{-inv}((B\#G) \text{Mod}_{(A\#G)})$ is an equivalence with a quasi-inverse given by the composite*

$$(?/G)': G\text{-inv}((B\#G) \text{Mod}_{(A\#G)}) \xrightarrow{?/G} G\text{-gr}((B\#G)/G \text{Mod}_{(A\#G)/G}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\omega}} G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A).$$

(3) *In particular, for each G -invariant bimodule ${}_R M_S$ we have $((M/G)\#G)' \cong M$ as S - R -bimodules, and for each G -graded bimodule ${}_B M_A$ we have $((M\#G)/G)' \cong M$ as B - A -bimodules.*

(4) *As a consequence, both $?\#G$ and $?/G$ preserve small colimits and small limits, and in particular, right exact and left exact.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the statements (1) and (2).

(1) As before, we set $(E, \phi^E): R \rightarrow R/G$ and $(F, \phi^F): S \rightarrow S/G$ to be the canonical G -coverings. Recall that the G -equivariant equivalence $(\zeta_R, \bar{\phi}^E): R \rightarrow$

$(R/G)\#G$ sends each morphism $f: x \rightarrow x'$ in R to $({}^1(Ef))^{(1)}: (Ex)^{(1)} \rightarrow (Ex')^{(1)}$, where $\overline{\phi^E}$ is a G -invariant structure given as a family of isomorphisms $\overline{\phi_a^E}x := ({}^1(\phi_a^E x))^{(a)}: (Ex)^{(a)} = a((Ex)^{(1)}) \rightarrow (E(ax))^{(1)}$ for each $x \in R_0$ and $a \in G$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Ex')^{(a)} & \xrightarrow{{}^a(Ef)^{(a)}} & (Ex)^{(a)} \\ \overline{\phi_a^E}x' \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{\phi_a^E}x \\ (Eax')^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{{}^1(Eaf)^{(1)}} & (Eax)^{(1)} \end{array} \quad (5.11)$$

is commutative for all $f \in {}_x R_{x'}$, $x, x' \in R_0$ (see [5, Lemma 5.8.2]).

Consider the following diagram that illustrates our setting:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R) & \xrightarrow{?/G} & G\text{-gr}({}_S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G}) \\ \parallel \xrightarrow{\eta} & \swarrow^{(?\#G)'} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \parallel \\ G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R) & \xrightarrow{?/G} & G\text{-gr}({}_S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G}) \end{array} .$$

To show that $?/G$ is an equivalence with a quasi-inverse $(?\#G)'$ it is enough to construct natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta: \mathbb{1}_{G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)} &\Rightarrow (?\#G)' \circ (?/G), \text{ and} \\ \varepsilon: (?/G) \circ (?\#G)' &\Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{G\text{-gr}({}_S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = (M, \phi) \in G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)_0$. Since ${}_{Fy}(M/G)_{Ex} = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y M_{ax}$, we have ${}_{(Fy)^{(b)}}((M/G)\#G)_{(Ex)^{(a)}} = {}_{Fy}(M/G)_{Ex}^{b^{-1}a} = {}_y M_{(b^{-1}a)x}$ for any $(Fy)^{(b)} \in ({}_S/G)\#G_0$, $(Ex)^{(a)} \in (R/G)\#G_0$. Thus

$${}_y((M/G)\#G)'_x = ({}_{Fy})^{(1)}((M/G)\#G)_{(Ex)^{(1)}} = {}_y M_x.$$

Then we define $\eta_M: M \rightarrow ((M/G)\#G)'$ as the identity, which defines a natural isomorphism η in question.

Let $f: N \rightarrow N'$ be a morphism in $G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})_0$, and $Ex \in (R/G)_0$, $Fy \in (S/G)_0$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Fy((N\#G)' / G)_{Ex} & \xrightarrow{Fy((f\#G)' / G)_{Ex}} & Fy((N'\#G)' / G)_{Ex} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} y(N\#G)'_{ax} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{a \in G} y(f\#G)'_{ax}} & \bigoplus_{a \in G} y(N'\#G)'_{ax} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(N\#G)_{(Eax)^{(1)}} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(f\#G)_{(Eax)^{(1)}}} & \bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(N'\#G)_{(Eax)^{(1)}} \\
\downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(N\#G)_{(Ex)^{(a)}} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(f\#G)_{(Ex)^{(a)}}} & \bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(N'\#G)_{(Ex)^{(a)}} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} Fy N_{Ex}^a & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{a \in G} Fy f_{Ex}^a} & \bigoplus_{a \in G} Fy N'_{Ex}^a \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
Fy N_{Ex} & \xrightarrow{Fy f_{Ex}} & Fy N'_{Ex}
\end{array}$$

Therefore, we can define an isomorphism $\varepsilon_N: (N\#G)' / G \rightarrow N$ by

$$Fy(\varepsilon_N)_{Ex} := \bigoplus_{a \in G} (Fy)^{(1)}(N\#G)_{\phi_a^R x}$$

for all $x \in R_0$, $y \in S_0$

that is natural in N as shown above. Thus $\varepsilon := (\varepsilon_N)_{N \in G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})}$ is a natural isomorphism

$$(?/G) \circ (?\#G)' \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})}.$$

As a consequence, $?/G$ is an equivalence with a quasi-inverse $(?\#G)'$.

By a general theory, $?/G$ becomes a left adjoint to $(?\#G)'$, and we have an adjunction natural isomorphism $\theta = (\theta_{M,N})_{M,N}$, where

$$\theta_{M,N}: G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})(M/G, N) \rightarrow G\text{-inv}(S\text{Mod}_R)(M, (N\#G)')$$

is defined by sending $f: M/G \rightarrow N$ to $(f\#G)' \circ \eta_M = (f\#G)'$ for all $M \in G\text{-gr}(S/G\text{Mod}_{R/G})_0$ and $N \in G\text{-inv}(S\text{Mod}_R)_0$.

We here note that the unit and the counit defined by this θ coincide with η and ε defined above. The unit is given by $\theta(\mathbb{1}_{M/G}) = \mathbb{1}_M = \eta_M$, as desired. For the counit, it is enough to show that $\theta^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(N\#G)'}) = \varepsilon_N$, or equivalently,

$$(\varepsilon_N \# G)' = \mathbb{1}_{(N\#G)'}$$

because $\theta(\varepsilon_N) = (\varepsilon_N \# G)'$. This follows from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
y(\(((N \# G)' / G) \# G)')_x & \xrightarrow{y(\varepsilon_N \# G)'_x} & y((N \# G)')_x \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
(Fy)^{(1)}(\(((N \# G)' / G) \# G)_{(Ex)^{(1)}} & \xrightarrow{(Fy)^{(1)}(\varepsilon_N \# G)_{(Ex)^{(1)}}} & (Fy)^{(1)}(N \# G)_{(Ex)^{(1)}} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
Fy(\(((N \# G)' / G)')^1_{Ex}) & \xrightarrow{Fy(\varepsilon_N^1)_{Ex}} & Fy N^1_{Ex} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
y((N \# G)')_{1x} & & \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
(Fy)^{(1)}(N \# G)_{(Ex)^{(1)}} & \xrightarrow{(Fy)^{(1)}(N \# G)_{\phi_{1x}^R}} & (Fy)^{(1)}(N \# G)_{(Ex)^{(1)}} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
Fy N^1_{Ex} & \xrightarrow{Fy N^1_{(Ex)} = \mathbf{1}_{(Fy N^1_{Ex})}} & Fy N^1_{Ex}
\end{array}$$

(2) We set $(P, \phi^P): A \# G \rightarrow (A \# G) / G$ and $(Q, \phi^Q): B \# G \rightarrow (B \# G) / G$ to be the canonical G -coverings. Consider the following diagram that illustrates the setting of the second assertion:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A) & \xrightarrow{? \# G} & G\text{-inv}(B \# G \text{Mod}_{A \# G}) \\
\parallel \xrightarrow{\eta'} & (? / G)' & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} \parallel \\
G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A) & \xrightarrow{? \# G} & G\text{-inv}(B \# G \text{Mod}_{A \# G})
\end{array}$$

To show that $? \# G$ is an equivalence with a quasi-inverse $(? / G)'$ it is enough to construct natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta' &: \mathbb{1}_{G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A)} \Rightarrow (? / G)' \circ (? \# G), \text{ and} \\
\varepsilon' &: (? \# G) \circ (? / G)' \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{G\text{-inv}(B \# G \text{Mod}_{A \# G})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $N \in G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A)_0$, and $x \in A_0, y \in B_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
y((N \# G) / G)'_x &= Q(y^{(1)})((N \# G) / G)_{P(x^{(1)})} = \bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)} N \# G_{a \cdot x^{(1)}} \\
&= \bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)} N \# G_{x^{(a)}} = \bigoplus_{a \in G} y N_x^a = y N_x.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{1}_{G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A)} = (? / G)' \circ (? \# G)$, and we can define η' as the identity natural transformation.

Let $M = (M, \phi) \in G\text{-inv}(B\#G\text{Mod}_{A\#G})_0$, and $x^{(a)} \in (A\#G)_0$, $y^{(b)} \in (B\#G)_0$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{y^{(b)}}((M/G)' \# G)_{x^{(a)}} &= ({}_y((M/G)')_x)^{b^{-1}a} = ({}_{Q(y^{(1)})}(M/G)_{P(x^{(1)})})^{b^{-1}a} \\ &= {}_{y^{(1)}}M_{(b^{-1}a)x^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\phi_b} {}_{by^{(1)}}M_{ax^{(1)}} = {}_{y^{(b)}}M_{x^{(a)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can define a natural isomorphism ε' by setting

$${}_{y^{(b)}}(\varepsilon'_M)_{x^{(a)}} := \phi_b: {}_{y^{(b)}}((M/G)' \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \rightarrow {}_{y^{(b)}}M_{x^{(a)}}.$$

To verify the naturality of ε' , let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(a)}f^{(a')} &\in {}_{x^{(a)}}(A\#G)_{x'^{(a')}} = \{a\} \times {}_xA_{x'}^{a^{-1}a'} \times \{a'\}, \\ {}^{(b')}g^{(b)} &\in {}_{y'^{(b')}}(B\#G)_{y^{(b)}} = \{b'\} \times {}_{y'}B_y^{b'^{-1}b} \times \{b\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the naturality of ε' is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ({}_{Q(y^{(1)})}(M/G)_{P(x^{(1)})})^{b^{-1}a} & \xrightarrow{\omega_B(g)\omega_A(f)} & ({}_{Q(y'^{(1)})}(M/G)_{P(x'^{(1)})})^{b'^{-1}a'} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ {}_{y^{(1)}}M_{(b^{-1}a)x^{(1)}} & \xrightarrow{\quad F \quad} & {}_{y'^{(1)}}M_{(b'^{-1}a')x'^{(1)}} \\ {}_{y^{(1)}}(\phi_b)_{x^{(b^{-1}a)}} \downarrow & & \downarrow {}_{y'^{(1)}}(\phi_{b'})_{x'^{(b'^{-1}a')}} \\ {}_{y^{(b)}}M_{x^{(a)}} & \xrightarrow{({}^{(b')}g^{(b)})M_{(a)f^{(a')}}} & {}_{y'^{(b')}}M_{x'^{(a')}} \end{array} \quad (5.12)$$

We first show that the map F above is given as follows:

$$F = {}_{b'^{-1}({}^{(b')}g^{(b)})}M_{b'^{-1}({}^{(a)}f^{(a')})} \circ {}_{y^{(1)}}(\phi_{b'^{-1}b})_{x^{(b^{-1}a)}}.$$

To show this, let $m \in {}_{y^{(1)}}M_{x^{(b^{-1}a)}}$, the precise form of which is $(\delta_{b^{-1}a,d}m)_{d \in G}$ as an element of ${}_{Q(y^{(1)})}(M/G)_{P(x^{(1)})}$. Note that the precise forms of $\omega_A(f)$ and $\omega_B(g)$ is given as follows (by a careful reading of [5, Definition 5.8.8]):

$$\omega_A(f) = (\delta_{a^{-1}a',e}({}^{(1)}f^{(a^{-1}a')})_{e \in G}, \quad \omega_B(g) = (\delta_{b'^{-1}b,c}({}^{(1)}g^{(b'^{-1}b)})_{c \in G}.$$

Then by the formula (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(m) &= \omega_B(g) \cdot m \cdot \omega_A(f) \\ &= \left(\sum_{cde=u} \delta_{b'^{-1}b,c}({}^{(1)}g^{(b'^{-1}b)}) \cdot \phi_c(\delta_{b^{-1}a,d}m) \cdot cd\delta_{a^{-1}a',e}({}^{(1)}f^{(a^{-1}a')}) \right)_{u \in G} \\ &= (\delta_{b'^{-1}a',u}({}^{(1)}g^{(b'^{-1}b)}) \cdot \phi_{b'^{-1}b}(m) \cdot (b'^{-1}a)({}^{(1)}f^{(a^{-1}a')})_{u \in G} \\ &= b'^{-1}({}^{(b')}g^{(b)}) \cdot \phi_{b'^{-1}b}(m) \cdot b'^{-1}({}^{(a)}f^{(a')}) \\ &= ({}_{b'^{-1}({}^{(b')}g^{(b)})}M_{b'^{-1}({}^{(a)}f^{(a')})} \circ {}_{y^{(1)}}(\phi_{b'^{-1}b})_{x^{(b^{-1}a)}})(m). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we use the shorter forms. Namely, ${}^{(d)}f^{(c)}$ is denoted just by f for all $c, d \in G$; the same for g ; and ${}_v(\phi_c)_u$ simply by ϕ_c for all $u \in (A\#G)_0$, $v \in (B\#G)_0$, and $c \in G$. Then the commutativity of the diagram (5.12) follows from the naturality of ϕ_b (expressed by dashed arrows in the diagram below)

for all $b \in G$ and the fact that ϕ is the G -invariant structure of M (Definition 2.1 (1)) as the following commutative diagram shows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
y^{(1)}M_{x^{(b^{-1}a)}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{b'^{-1}b}} & y^{(b'^{-1}b)}M_{x^{(b'^{-1}a)}} & \xrightarrow{y^{(b'^{-1}b)}M_{b'^{-1}f}} & y^{(b'^{-1}b)}M_{x'^{(b'^{-1}a')}} \\
\downarrow \phi_b & & \downarrow b'^{-1}gM_{x^{(b'^{-1}a)}} & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow b'^{-1}gM_{x'^{(b'^{-1}a')}} \\
& & y^{(1)}M_{x^{(b'^{-1}a)}} & \xrightarrow{y^{(1)}M_{b'^{-1}f}} & y^{(1)}M_{x'^{(b'^{-1}a')}} \\
& & \downarrow \phi_{b'} & & \downarrow \phi_{b'} \\
y^{(b)}M_{x^{(a)}} & \dashrightarrow & & \dashrightarrow & y'^{(b')}M_{x'^{(a')}} \\
& & & & \downarrow \phi_{b'} \\
& & & & y'^{(b')}M_{x'^{(a')}}
\end{array}$$

As a consequence, $? \# G$ is an equivalence with a quasi-inverse $(?/G)'$.

By a general theory, $? \# G$ becomes a left adjoint to $(?/G)'$, and we have an adjunction natural isomorphism $\theta' = (\theta'_{N,M})_{N,M}$, where

$$\theta'_{N,M}: G\text{-inv}(B \# G \text{Mod}_{A \# G})(N \# G, M) \rightarrow G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A)(N, (M/G)')$$

is defined by

$$\theta'_{N,M}(f) := (f/G)' \circ \eta'_N = (f/G)'$$

for all $f: N \# G \rightarrow M$, all $N \in G\text{-gr}(B \text{Mod}_A)_0$ and all $M \in G\text{-inv}(B \# G \text{Mod}_{A \# G})_0$.

Note that the unit and the counit defined by this θ' coincide with η' and ε' defined above. The unit is given by $\theta'(\mathbb{1}_{N \# G}) = \eta'_N (= \mathbb{1}_N)$, as desired. For the counit, it is enough to show that $\varepsilon'_M = (\theta')^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(M/G)'})$, or equivalently,

$$(\varepsilon'_M/G)' = \mathbb{1}_{(M/G)'}$$

because $\theta'(\varepsilon'_M) = (\varepsilon'_M/G)'$. This follows from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
y(((M/G)' \# G)/G)'_x & \xrightarrow{y(\varepsilon'_M/G)'_x} & y(M/G)'_x \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
Q_{y^{(1)}}((M/G)' \# G)/G)_{P(x^{(1)})} & \xrightarrow{Q_{y^{(1)}}(\varepsilon'_M/G)_{P(x^{(1)})}} & Q_{y^{(1)}}(M/G)_{P(x^{(1)})} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)}((M/G)' \# G)_{ax^{(1)}} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)}(\varepsilon'_M)_{ax^{(1)}}} & \bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)}M_{ax^{(1)}} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)}((M/G)' \# G)_{x^{(a)}} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)}(\phi_1)_{x^{(a)}}} & \bigoplus_{a \in G} y^{(1)}M_{x^{(a)}} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{a \in G} (y(M/G)'_x)^a & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}_{y(M/G)'_x}} & y(M/G)'_x
\end{array}$$

□

6. PROPERTIES OF SMASH PRODUCTS

Proposition 6.1. *Let C be a G -graded small \mathbb{k} -category, and ${}_C N_{B, B} M_A$ G -graded bimodules. Then*

- (1) $N \otimes_B M$ is a G -graded C - A -bimodule.
- (2) $(N \otimes_B M) \# G \cong (N \# G) \otimes_{B \# G} (M \# G)$ in $G\text{-inv}(C \# G \text{Mod}_{A \# G})$.

Proof. (1) Let $(z, x) \in C_0 \times A_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_z(N \otimes_B M)_x &= ({}_z N) \otimes_B (M_x) = \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} {}_z N_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x \right) / {}_z I_x \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \left(\bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_z N_y^b \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y M_x^a \right) \right) / {}_z I_x \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{a, b \in G} {}_z N_y^b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^a \right) / {}_z I_x \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{c \in G} \bigoplus_{\substack{a, b \in G \\ ba=c}} {}_z N_y^b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^a \right) / {}_z I_x \\
&= \bigoplus_{c \in G} \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{\substack{a, b \in G \\ ba=c}} {}_z N_y^b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^a \right) / \bigoplus_{c \in G} {}_z I_x^c \\
&= \bigoplus_{c \in G} {}_z(N \otimes_B M)_x^c,
\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_z I_x^c &:= \langle v \otimes su - vs \otimes u \mid (v, s, u) \in {}_z N_{y'}^{b'} \times {}_{y'} B_y^d \times {}_y M_x^{a'}, y, y' \in B_0, \\
&\quad a', b', d \in G, b'da' = c \rangle \\
&\subseteq \bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{\substack{a, b \in G \\ ba=c}} {}_z N_y^b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^a
\end{aligned}$$

because for each $c \in G$, we have $\{(b'd, a'), (b', da') \mid a', d, b' \in G, b'da' = c\} \subseteq \{(b, a) \in G \times G \mid ba = c\}$, and hence

$${}_z(N \otimes_B M)_x^c := \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{\substack{a, b \in G \\ ba=c}} {}_z N_y^b \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^a \right) / {}_z I_x^c.$$

(2) For any objects $(z^{(c)}, x^{(a)})$ in $(C \# G)_0 \times (A \# G)_0$, we first show that both hand sides of (2) at $(z^{(c)}, x^{(a)})$ is equal to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_z N_y^{c^{-1}b} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a} \right) / {}_z I_x^{c^{-1}a}.$$

The LHS at $(z^{(c)}, x^{(a)})$ is given by

$${}_{z^{(c)}}((N \otimes_B M) \# G)_{x^{(a)}} := {}_z(N \otimes_B M)_x^{c^{-1}a} = \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_z N_y^{c^{-1}b} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a} \right) / {}_z I_x^{c^{-1}a}.$$

The RHS at $(z^{(c)}, x^{(a)})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_{z^{(c)}}((N \# G) \otimes_{B \# G} (M \# G))_{x^{(a)}} &= {}_{z^{(c)}}(N \# G) \otimes_{B \# G} (M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{y^{(b)} \in (B \# G)_0} {}_{z^{(c)}}(N \# G)_{y^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \right) / {}_{z^{(c)}} I_{x^{(a)}} \\
&= \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{b \in G} ({}_z N_y^{c^{-1}b} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}) \right) / {}_z I_x^{c^{-1}a},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that ${}_{z(c)}I_{x^{(a)}} = {}_zI_x^{c^{-1}a}$, which is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_{z(c)}I_{x^{(a)}} \\
& := \langle v \otimes su - vs \otimes u \mid (v, s, u) \in {}_{z(c)}(N\#G)_{y^{(b)}} \times {}_{y^{(b)}}(B\#G)_{y^{(d)}} \times {}_{y^{(d)}}(M\#G)_{x^{(a)}}, \\
& \quad y, y' \in B_0, b, d \in G \rangle \\
& := \langle v \otimes su - vs \otimes u \mid (v, s, u) \in {}_zN_{y'}^{c^{-1}b} \times {}_{y'}B_y^{b^{-1}d} \times {}_yM_x^{d^{-1}a}, y, y' \in B_0, b, d \in G \rangle \\
& = {}_zI_x^{c^{-1}a} \subseteq \bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{e \in G} {}_zN_y^{c^{-1}e} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_yM_x^{e^{-1}a},
\end{aligned}$$

where note that for any $a, c \in G$, we have $\{(c^{-1}b, b^{-1}a), (c^{-1}d, d^{-1}a) \mid b, d \in G\} \subseteq \{(c^{-1}e, e^{-1}a) \in G \times G \mid e \in G\}$. Hence $(N \otimes_B M)\#G = (N\#G) \otimes_{B\#G} (M\#G)$ as $C\#G$ - $A\#G$ -bimodules.

Next we show that they have the same G -invariant structures. Namely, we show the commutativity of the following diagram for all $d \in G$, $x^{(a)} \in A\#G$, $z^{(c)} \in C\#G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}_{z(c)}((N \otimes_B M)\#G)_{x^{(a)}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & {}_{z(c)}((N\#G) \otimes_{B\#G} (M\#G))_{x^{(a)}} \\
\downarrow {}_{z(c)}(\phi_d)_{x^{(a)}} & & \downarrow \bigoplus_{y^{(b)} \in (B\#G)_0} {}_{z(c)}(\phi_d^N)_{y^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{y^{(b)}}(\phi_d^M)_{x^{(a)}} \\
{}_{z^{(dc)}}((N \otimes_B M)\#G)_{x^{(da)}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & {}_{z^{(dc)}}((N\#G) \otimes_{B\#G} (M\#G))_{x^{(da)}}
\end{array}$$

Let $u \in {}_{z(c)}((N \otimes_B M)\#G)_{x^{(a)}}$. Since the ${}_{z(c)}((N \otimes_B M)\#G)_{x^{(a)}}$ is equal to

$${}_z(N \otimes_B M)_x^{c^{-1}a} = \left(\bigoplus_{y \in B_0} \bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_zN_y^{c^{-1}b} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_yM_x^{b^{-1}a} \right) / {}_zI_x^{c^{-1}a},$$

we may take $u = (v_y^b \overline{\otimes} w_y^b)_{y^{(b)} \in (B\#G)_0}$ with $v_y^b \in {}_zN_y^{c^{-1}b}$, $w_y^b \in {}_yM_x^{b^{-1}a}$, where $v_y^b \overline{\otimes} w_y^b := v_y^b \otimes w_y^b + {}_zI_x^{c^{-1}a}$. We have to show that

$${}_{z(c)}(\phi_d)_{x^{(a)}}(u) = \overline{{}_{z(c)}(\phi_d^{(N\#G) \otimes_{B\#G} (M\#G)})_{x^{(a)}}(u)}.$$

By (3.5) and (4.9), RHS is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\left(\bigoplus_{y^{(b)} \in (B\#G)_0} {}_{z(c)}(\phi_d^N)_{y^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{y^{(b)}}(\phi_d^M)_{x^{(a)}} \right)}(u) \\
& = \overline{\left({}_{z(c)}(\phi_d^N)_{y^{(b)}}(v_y^b) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{y^{(b)}}(\phi_d^M)_{x^{(a)}}(w_y^b) \right)}_{y^{(b)} \in (B\#G)_0} \\
& = (v_y^b \overline{\otimes}_{\mathbb{k}} w_y^b)_{y^{(b)} \in (B\#G)_0} \\
& = u \\
& = {}_{z(c)}(\phi_d)_{x^{(a)}}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence as G -invariant bimodules, we have

$$(N \otimes_B M)\#G = (N\#G) \otimes_{B\#G} (M\#G)$$

if we use our explicit definition of tensor products. Since in a categorical sense, the tensor products are defined up to natural isomorphisms, we just say that they are (naturally) isomorphic. \square

Remark 6.2. Let M be a G -graded B - A -bimodule.

- (1) Let $x \in A_0$. Then M_x turns out to be a G -graded left S -module by the decomposition $M_x = \bigoplus_{a \in G} M_x^a$, where for each $y \in B_0$, ${}_y(M_x^a) := {}_y M_x^a$, and for each $f \in {}_{y'} B_y^b$, $f(M_x^a): {}_y M_x^a \rightarrow {}_{y'} M_x^{ba}$ is defined by $m \mapsto fm$ for all $m \in {}_y M_x^a$.
- (2) Let $y \in B_0$. Then similarly, ${}_y M$ turns out to be a G -graded right R -module by the decomposition ${}_y M = \bigoplus_{a \in G} {}_y M^a$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\text{Fgt}: G\text{-gr}(\text{Mod}_A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A$ be the forgetful functor, and $P \in G\text{-gr}(\text{Mod}_A)_0$. Then P is projective in $G\text{-gr}(\text{Mod}_A)$ if and only if $\text{Fgt}(P)$ is projective in Mod_A .*

Proof. This can be proved as in the case of G -graded algebras. \square

Remark 6.4. If A is either a locally finite-dimensional category [8] or an additive category which is idempotent complete, then each projective right A -module is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_{x_i}$ of representable modules A_{x_i} for some $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in (A_0)^I$ with I a small set.

Proposition 6.5. *Let ${}_B M_A$ be a finitely generated G -graded bimodule. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *Assume that A is \mathbb{k} -projective, and let $x \in A_0$. If M_x is finitely generated projective as a left B -module, then so is $(M \# G)_{x(a)}$ as a left $B \# G$ -module for all $a \in G$.*
- (2) *Assume that B is \mathbb{k} -projective, and let $y \in B_0$. If ${}_y M$ is finitely generated projective as a right A -module, then so is ${}_y(b)(M \# G)$ as a right $A \# G$ -module for all $b \in G$.*

Proof. Since ${}_B M_A$ is a finitely generated G -graded bimodule, we have an epimorphism

$$f: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A \rightarrow M$$

in the category $G\text{-gr}({}_B \text{Mod}_A)$ for some $x_i \in A_0$, $y_i \in B_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 5.3, $\#G$ is right exact, and hence f yields an epimorphism

$$f \# G: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A) \# G \rightarrow M \# G$$

of $(B \# G)$ - $(A \# G)$ -bimodules.

- (1) $f \# G$ yields an epimorphism

$$(f \# G)_{x(a)}: \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A) \# G \right)_{x(a)} \rightarrow (M \# G)_{x(a)}$$

of left $B \# G$ -modules for all $a \in G$.

We have to show that

- (a) $((B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A) \# G)_{x(a)}$ is a projective $B \# G$ -module; and
- (b) $(f \# G)_{x(a)}$ is a retraction.

(a) Regard \mathbb{k} as a category having only one object $*$, and B_{y_i} (resp. ${}_{x_i}A$) as a B - \mathbb{k} -bimodule (resp. \mathbb{k} - A -bimodule). Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $(B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i}A) \# G \cong (B_{y_i}) \# G \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} ({}_{x_i}A) \# G$. Note that the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{*(b)}(({}_{x_i}A) \# G) &= {}_{x_i^{(b)}}(A \# G) \\ ((B_{y_i}) \# G)_{*(b)} &= (B \# G)_{y_i^{(b)}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in G$ because

$${}_{*(b)}(({}_{x_i}B) \# G)_{x^{(a)}} = {}_{x_i}B_x^{b^{-1}a} = {}_{x_i^{(b)}}(B \# G)_{x^{(a)}}.$$

By noting that $\mathbb{k} \# G \cong \mathbb{k}^G$, we have for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i}A) \# G)_{x^{(a)}} &\cong ((B_{y_i}) \# G \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} ({}_{x_i}A) \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (B_{y_i} \# G)_{*(b)} \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} ({}_{x_i}A \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (B \# G)_{y_i^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} ({}_{x_i}A \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (B \# G)_{y_i^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} A_x^{b^{-1}a}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a projective left $B \# G$ -module because $\bigoplus_{b \in G} {}_{x_i}A_x^{b^{-1}a} \cong {}_{x_i}A_x$ is \mathbb{k} -projective by assumption, and hence for each $b \in G$, ${}_{x_i}A_x^{b^{-1}a}$ is also \mathbb{k} -projective, which shows that $(B \# G)_{y_i^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} A_x^{b^{-1}a}$ is projective over $B \# G$.

(b) Set $N := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i}A$ for short. Then we have an epimorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$ in the category $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod}_A)$, which yields an epimorphism $f_x: N_x \rightarrow M_x$ in the category $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod})$ for all $x \in A_0$. Since M_x is projective in $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod})$ by Proposition 6.3, f_x is a retraction in $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod})$. Thus there exists a morphism $s_x: M_x \rightarrow N_x$ in the category $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod})$ satisfying $f_x s_x = \mathbb{1}_{M_x}$. We define a morphism $s_{x^{(a)}}: (M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \rightarrow (N \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$ of left $B \# G$ -modules that is a section of $(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$, which will show the assertion.

Take any $y^{(b)} \in (B \# G)_0$. Then ${}_{y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} = {}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}$. Therefore, we can define a morphism ${}_{y^{(b)}} s_{x^{(a)}}: {}_{y^{(b)}} M \# G_{x^{(a)}} \rightarrow {}_{y^{(b)}} N \# G_{x^{(a)}}$ of \mathbb{k} -modules as the restriction of s_x because $s_x({}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a}) \subseteq {}_y N_x^{b^{-1}a}$. We define $s_{x^{(a)}}: (M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \rightarrow (N \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$ by setting $s_{x^{(a)}} := ({}_{y^{(b)}} s_{x^{(a)}})_{y^{(b)} \in (B \# G)_0}$. This becomes a morphism of left $B \# G$ -modules. Indeed, since s_x is a morphism of G -graded left B -modules

for all $x \in A_0$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}_y M_x^{b^{-1}a} & \xrightarrow{y s_x} & {}_y N_x^{b^{-1}a} \\
\downarrow g M_x & \searrow & \downarrow g N_x \\
& {}_{y^{(b)}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \xrightarrow{y^{(b)} s_{x^{(a)}}} {}_{y^{(b)}}(N \# G)_{x^{(a)}} & \\
& \downarrow g M \# G_{x^{(a)}} & \downarrow g N \# G_{x^{(a)}} \\
& {}_{y^{(b')}}(M \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \xrightarrow{y^{(b')} s_{x^{(a)}}} {}_{y^{(b')}}(N \# G)_{x^{(a)}} & \\
\downarrow g M_x & \swarrow & \downarrow g N_x \\
{}_{y'} M_x^{b'^{-1}a} & \xrightarrow{y' s_x} & {}_{y'} N_x^{b'^{-1}a}
\end{array}$$

for all $g \in (B \# G)(y^{(b)}, y^{(b')}) = {}_{y'} B_y^{b'^{-1}b}$. Here, for each $y^{(b)} \in (B \# G)_0$, we have

$$y^{(b)}(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}} \cdot y^{(b)} s_{x^{(a)}} = (f_x \cdot s_x)|_{y M_x^{b^{-1}a}} = \mathbb{1}_{y M_x^{b^{-1}a}}.$$

Hence $(f \# G)_{x^{(a)}}$ is a retraction of $B \# G$ -modules.

The proof of statement (2) is similar to that of (1). \square

Proposition 6.6. *Let P be a canonically G -graded finitely generated projective B - A -bimodule. Then $P \# G$ is a canonically G -invariant finitely generated projective $B \# G$ - $A \# G$ -bimodule.*

Proof. Since ${}_B P_A$ is a canonically G -graded finitely generated bimodule, we have a retraction

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A \rightarrow P$$

of B - A -bimodules for some $x_i \in A_0$, $y_i \in B_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This yields a retraction

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A) \# G \rightarrow P \# G$$

of $(B \# G)$ - $(A \# G)$ -bimodules, where for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_{y_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i} A) \# G &\cong ((B_{y_i}) \# G \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} ({}_{x_i} A) \# G) \\
&= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (B_{y_i} \# G)_{*(b)} \otimes_{\mathbb{k} \# G} ({}_{x_i} A \# G) \\
&= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (B \# G)_{y_i^{(b)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{x_i^{(b)}} (A \# G) \\
&= \bigoplus_{b \in G} (B \# G)_{b(y_i^{(1)})} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{b(x_i^{(1)})} (A \# G).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 6.5 that $(B \# G)_{y_i^{(b)}}$ and ${}_{x_i^{(b)}}(A \# G)$ are left projective $B \# G$ -module and right projective $A \# G$ -module, respectively. Hence $P \# G$ is a G -invariant projective $(B \# G)$ - $(A \# G)$ -bimodule. \square

7. APPLICATIONS

Throughout this section we assume that R, S are small \mathbb{k} -categories with G -actions, and A, B are G -graded small \mathbb{k} -categories.

7.a. Morita equivalences.

Definition 7.1. (1) A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules is said to induce a G -invariant Morita equivalence between R and S if ${}_S M, M_R, {}_R N, N_S$ are finitely generated projective modules (see Definition 3.7), and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} N \otimes_S M &\cong R \text{ and} \\ M \otimes_R N &\cong S \end{aligned}$$

as G -invariant bimodules.

(2) A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules is said to induce a G -graded Morita equivalence between A and B if ${}_B M, M_A, {}_A N, N_B$ are finitely generated projective modules and ${}_B M_A, {}_A N_B$ are G -graded bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} N \otimes_B M &\cong A \text{ and} \\ M \otimes_A N &\cong B \end{aligned}$$

as G -graded bimodules.

Lemma 7.2. *Let M be an S - R -bimodule. If $(M/G)\#G$ is finitely generated projective as a right $(R/G)\#G$ -module and as a left $(S/G)\#G$ -module, then M is finitely generated projective as a right R -module and as a left S -module.*

Proof. We only show that M is finitely generated as a right R -module because the rest is proved similarly. Let $y \in B_0$ and consider the right R -module ${}_y M$. Then $Q(y)^{(1)} \in ((S/G)\#G)_0$. Since $(M/G)\#G$ is finitely generated projective as a right $(R/G)\#G$ -module, there exists some $P(x_i)^{(a_i)} \in ((R/G)\#G)_0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$ for some positive integer n) such that there exists a retraction

$$f: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P(x_i)^{(a_i)}((R/G)\#G) \rightarrow Q(y)^{(1)}((M/G)\#G).$$

Therefore, for any $x' \in R_0$, we have a retraction $f_{P(x')^{(1)}}$ and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n P(x_i)^{(a_i)}((R/G)\#G)_{P(x')^{(1)}} & \xrightarrow{f_{P(x')^{(1)}}} & Q_{(y)^{(1)}}((M/G)\#G)_{P(x')^{(1)}} \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n P(x_i)(R/G)_{P(x')} & & Q_{(y)}(M/G)_{P(x')} \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i R_{\alpha_i^{-1}x'} & & {}_y M_{x'} \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_{\alpha_i} \downarrow & & \parallel \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i R_{x'} & \xrightarrow{\text{-----} g_{x'} \text{-----}} & {}_y M_{x'}
\end{array}$$

This defines a retraction $g_{x'}$, which is natural in x' . Hence we have a retraction $g: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i R \rightarrow {}_y M$ of right R -modules. Thus M is finitely generated projective as a right R -module. \square

Using statements in previous sections we obtain the following.

Theorem 7.3. *Assume that all of R , S , A and B are \mathbb{k} -projective. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *Let ${}_S M_R$ and ${}_R N_S$ be G -invariant bimodules. Then the pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ induces a G -invariant Morita equivalence between R and S if and only if the pair $(M/G, N/G)$ induces a G -graded Morita equivalence between R/G and S/G .*
- (2) *Let ${}_B M_A$ and ${}_A N_B$ be G -graded bimodules. Then the pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ induces a G -graded Morita equivalence between A and B if and only if the pair $(M\#G, N\#G)$ induces a G -invariant Morita equivalence between $A\#G$ and $B\#G$.*

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) . Assume that a pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant Morita equivalence between R and S . Then ${}_S M, M_R, {}_R N, N_S$ are finitely generated projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$N \otimes_S M \cong R \text{ and} \tag{7.13}$$

$$M \otimes_R N \cong S \tag{7.14}$$

as G -invariant bimodules. By Corollary 3.8, the four modules ${}_S M/G, M/G_{R/G}, {}_R N/G, N/G_{S/G}$ are finitely generated projective. Apply the functor $?/G$ to (7.13) to have $(N \otimes_S M)/G \cong R/G$, which shows that

$$(N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G) \cong R/G$$

as G -graded R/G - R/G -bimodules by Proposition 3.9(2).

Similarly from (7.14) we obtain the remaining isomorphism.

- (2) (\Rightarrow) . This is proved similarly by using Propositions 6.5 and 6.1.

(1) (\Leftarrow). Assume that the pair $(M/G, N/G)$ induces a G -graded Morita equivalence between R/G and S/G . Then by (2) (\Rightarrow), we see that the pair $((M/G)\#G, (N/G)\#G)$ induces a G -invariant Morita equivalence. Namely, $(S/G)\#G((M/G)\#G)$, $((M/G)\#G)_{(R/G)\#G}$, $(R/G)\#G(N/G)\#G$ and $(N/G)\#G_{(S/G)\#G}$ are finitely generated projective modules, and we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} ((M/G)\#G) \otimes_{(R/G)\#G} ((N/G)\#G) &\cong (S/G)\#G, \\ ((N/G)\#G) \otimes_{(S/G)\#G} ((M/G)\#G) &\cong (R/G)\#G. \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

By Lemma 7.2, we see that ${}_S M$, M_R , ${}_R N$ and N_S are finitely generated projective. From (7.15) it holds by Proposition 6.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} ((M/G) \otimes_{R/G} (N/G))\#G &\cong (S/G)\#G, \\ (N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G)\#G &\cong (R/G)\#G. \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((M \otimes_R N)/G)\#G &\cong (S/G)\#G, \\ ((N \otimes_S M)/G)\#G &\cong (R/G)\#G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_R N &\cong S, \\ N \otimes_S M &\cong R \end{aligned}$$

as S - S -bimodules and as R - R -bimodules, respectively. As a consequence, the pair (M, N) induces a Morita equivalence.

(2) (\Leftarrow). Similar proof as above works. \square

7.b. Stable equivalences of Morita type.

Definition 7.4. (1) A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules is said to induce a G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type between R and S if ${}_S M$, M_R , ${}_R N$, N_S are finitely generated projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} N \otimes_S M &\cong R \oplus {}_R P_R \text{ and} \\ M \otimes_R N &\cong S \oplus {}_S Q_S \end{aligned}$$

as G -invariant bimodules for some canonically G -invariant finitely generated projective bimodules ${}_R P_R, {}_S Q_S$.

(2) A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules is said to induce a G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type between A and B if ${}_B M$, M_A , ${}_A N$, N_B are canonically G -graded projective modules and ${}_B M_A, {}_A N_B$ are G -graded bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} N \otimes_B M &\cong A \oplus {}_A P_A \text{ and} \\ M \otimes_A N &\cong B \oplus {}_B Q_B \end{aligned}$$

as G -graded bimodules for some canonically G -graded finitely generated projective bimodules ${}_A P_A, {}_B Q_B$.

Using statements in previous sections we obtain the following.

Theorem 7.5. *Assume that all of R , S , A and B are \mathbb{k} -projective. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type between R and S if and only if the pair $(M/G, N/G)$ induces a G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type between R/G and S/G .*
- (2) *A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type between A and B if and only if the pair $(M\#G, N\#G)$ induces a G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type between $A\#G$ and $B\#G$.*

Proof. (1)(\Rightarrow). Assume that a pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type between R and S . Then ${}_S M$, M_R , ${}_R N$, and N_S are f.g. projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$N \otimes_S M \cong R \oplus {}_R P_R \text{ and} \quad (7.17)$$

$$M \otimes_R N \cong S \oplus {}_S Q_S \quad (7.18)$$

as G -invariant bimodules for some projective bimodules ${}_R P_R, {}_S Q_S$ that are G -invariant. Apply the functor $?/G$ to (7.17) to have $(N \otimes_S M)/G \cong (R \oplus P)/G$, which shows that

$$(N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G) \cong R/G \oplus P/G$$

as G -graded R/G - R/G -bimodules by Proposition 3.9(2). Here ${}_{S/G} M/G$, $M/G_{R/G}$, ${}_{R/G} N/G$, $N/G_{S/G}$ are finitely generated projective by Corollary 3.8, and ${}_{R/G} P/G_{R/G}$ is a canonically G -graded projective bimodule by Proposition 3.11. Similarly from (7.18) we obtain the remaining isomorphism.

(2)(\Rightarrow). This is shown similarly by using Propositions 6.5 and 6.6.

(1)(\Leftarrow). Assume that the pair $(M/G, N/G)$ induces a G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type between R/G and S/G . Then by (2)(\Rightarrow), we see that the pair $((M/G)\#G, (N/G)\#G)$ induces a G -invariant stable equivalence of Morita type. Namely, $({}_{S/G}\#G)((M/G)\#G)$, $((M/G)\#G)_{(R/G)\#G}$, $({}_{R/G}\#G)((N/G)\#G)$ and $((N/G)\#G)_{(S/G)\#G}$ are finitely generated projective modules, and we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} ((M/G)\#G) \otimes_{(R/G)\#G} ((N/G)\#G) &\cong ((S/G)\#G) \oplus ((Q/G)\#G), \\ ((N/G)\#G) \otimes_{(S/G)\#G} ((M/G)\#G) &\cong ((R/G)\#G) \oplus ((P/G)\#G). \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

By Lemma 7.2, we see that ${}_S M$, M_R , ${}_R N$ and N_S are finitely generated projective. From (7.19) it holds by Proposition 6.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} ((M/G) \otimes_{R/G} (N/G))\#G &\cong (S/G \oplus Q/G)\#G, \\ (N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G)\#G &\cong (R/G \oplus P/G)\#G. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((M \otimes_R N)/G)\#G &\cong (S/G \oplus Q/G)\#G, \\ ((N \otimes_S M)/G)\#G &\cong (R/G \oplus P/G)\#G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_R N &\cong S \oplus Q \\ N \otimes_S M &\cong R \oplus P \end{aligned}$$

as S - S -bimodules and as R - R -bimodules, respectively. Moreover, P (resp. Q) is projective as an R - R -bimodule (resp. as an S - S -bimodule) because so is $(P/G)\#G$ (resp. $(Q/G)\#G$) as an $((R/G)\#G)$ - $((R/G)\#G)$ -bimodule (resp. as an $((S/G)\#G)$ - $((S/G)\#G)$ -bimodule). As a consequence, the pair (M, N) induces a stable equivalence of Morita type.

(2)(\Leftarrow). This is proved similarly. \square

7.c. Singular equivalences of Morita type. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -category. Then we denote by \mathcal{C}^e the enveloping category $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ of \mathcal{C} . Then each \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule M can be seen as a left \mathcal{C}^e -module by setting $(h, g)f := hfg$ for all $h \in {}_{y'}\mathcal{C}_y$, $f \in {}_y\mathcal{C}_x$, $g \in {}_x\mathcal{C}_{x'}$ and $y', y, x, x' \in \mathcal{C}_0$.

Definition 7.6. (1) A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules is said to induce a *G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type* between R and S if ${}_S M, M_R, {}_R N, N_S$ are finitely generated projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} N \otimes_S M &\cong R \oplus {}_R P_R \text{ and} \\ M \otimes_R N &\cong S \oplus {}_S Q_S \end{aligned}$$

as G -invariant bimodules for some canonically G -invariant f.g. bimodules ${}_R P_R, {}_S Q_S$ of finite projective dimension over R^e and S^e , respectively.

(2) A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules is said to induce a *G -graded singular equivalence of Morita type* between A and B if ${}_B M, M_A, {}_A N, N_B$ are canonically G -graded projective modules and ${}_B M_A, {}_A N_B$ are G -graded bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} N \otimes_B M &\cong A \oplus {}_A P_A \text{ and} \\ M \otimes_A N &\cong B \oplus {}_B Q_B \end{aligned}$$

as G -graded bimodules for some canonically G -graded finitely generated bimodules ${}_A P_A, {}_B Q_B$ of finite projective dimension over A^e and B^e , respectively.

Remark 7.7. In Definition 7.6(1), note that both ${}_R P$ and P_R (resp. ${}_S Q$ and Q_S) turn out to be projective because they are direct summands of $N \otimes_S M$ (resp. $M \otimes_R N$).

Similarly in Definition 7.6(2), all of ${}_A P, P_A, {}_B Q, Q_B$ turn out to be projective

Recall that the *bar resolution* of S is defined to be the following exact sequence of S - S -bimodules:

$$\cdots \rightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} S \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} S \xrightarrow{d_1} S \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} S \xrightarrow{d_0} S \rightarrow 0, \quad (\#)$$

where for each $i \geq 0$, $d_i: S^{\otimes(i+2)} \rightarrow S^{\otimes(i+1)}$ is defined by

$$d_i(x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i+1}) := \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j (x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_j x_{j+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i+1}).$$

Remark 7.8. (1) Since $S = (S, (Y_a)_{a \in G})$ becomes a G -invariant bimodule, $S^{\otimes i} = (S^{\otimes i}, (Y_a^{\otimes i})_{a \in G})$ turn out to be a G -invariant bimodule for all $i \geq 1$. By these G -invariant structures, $d_i: S^{\otimes(i+2)} \rightarrow S^{\otimes(i+1)}$ are morphisms in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_S)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

(2) Similar remarks are valid for the G -graded case.

Lemma 7.9. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be small \mathbb{k} -categories, and ${}_{\mathcal{D}}Q, P_{\mathcal{C}}$ projective modules. Then $Q \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} P$ is a projective \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule.*

Proof. The functors $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q, -): {}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(P, -): {}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Mod}$ are exact by assumption. Hence by noting that we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} P, -) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(P, -))$$

of functors ${}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbb{k}}\text{Mod}$, the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} P, -)$ is exact as the composite of exact functors. \square

Lemma 7.10. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be small \mathbb{k} -categories, and M a projective \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule. Assume that \mathcal{D} is \mathbb{k} -projective. Then $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is projective.*

Proof. Since M is a projective \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule, as a left $\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module, M is a direct summand of $(\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{(I)}$ for some set I . By assumption, ${}_{y'}\mathcal{D}_y \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ becomes a projective right \mathcal{C} -module for all $y, y' \in \mathcal{D}_0$, which means that $\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is a projective right \mathcal{C} -module. Hence so is M . \square

Lemma 7.11. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be small \mathbb{k} -categories. Assume that \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{k} -projective. Let P be an \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule with both ${}_{\mathcal{C}}P, P_{\mathcal{D}}$ projective, and let $(\#)$ be the bar resolution of \mathcal{C} . Then $(\#) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P$ is a projective resolution of the \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P \cong P$.*

Proof. Since ${}_{\mathcal{C}}P$ is projective, the sequence $(\#) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P$ is an exact sequence in ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Since for each $n \geq 1$, ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$ and $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ are projective, we see that $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} P$ is projective in ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}$ by Lemma 7.9. Hence $(\#) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P$ becomes a projective resolution of $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P \cong P$ as a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule. \square

Lemma 7.12. *Assume that S is \mathbb{k} -projective. If $P \in G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$ with ${}_S P, P_R$ projective, then $(\#) \otimes_S P$ is a projective resolution of P as an S - R -bimodule that is in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$.*

Proof. Lemma 7.11 shows that $(\#) \otimes_S P$ is a projective resolution of P as an S - R -bimodule. Since $S = ({}_S S, Y)$ is in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_S)$, and $P \in G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$, we have $S^{\otimes n} \otimes_S P \in G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$ by Proposition 3.9(1). Hence by Remark 7.8, it is easy to verify that $(\#) \otimes_S P$ is in $G\text{-inv}({}_S\text{Mod}_R)$. \square

Lemma 7.13. *Assume that B is \mathbb{k} -projective. If $P \in G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod}_A)$ with ${}_B P, P_A$ projective, then $(\#) \otimes_B P$ is a projective resolution of P as a B - A -bimodule that is in $G\text{-gr}({}_B\text{Mod}_A)$.*

Proof. This follows by Lemma 7.11 and Proposition 6.1. \square

Proposition 7.14. *If P is a G -invariant f.g. R - R -bimodule of finite projective dimension over R^e , then P/G is a G -graded f.g. R/G - R/G -bimodule of finite projective dimension over $(R/G)^e$.*

Proof. Since P is a G -invariant f.g. R - R -bimodule, there exists a finite set I and a family $(x_i, y_i) \in (R_0 \times R_0)^I$ such that there exists an epimorphism

$$f: \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} R_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \right) \rightarrow P$$

in the category $G\text{-inv}({}_R\text{Mod}_R)$, where $\bigoplus_{a \in G} R_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R$ has the canonical G -invariant structure for all $i \in I$. Since $*/G$ is exact, we have an epimorphism

$$f/G: \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} R_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \right) / G \rightarrow P/G.$$

By Proposition 3.11 we have

$$\left(\bigoplus_{a \in G} R_{ay_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{ax_i}R \right) / G \cong (R/G)_{P(y_i)} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} {}_{P(x_i)}(R/G),$$

which is canonically G -graded f.g. projective R/G - R/G -bimodule. Hence P/G is an f.g. R/G - R/G -bimodule.

Let $(\#)$ be the bar resolution of R . Then $(\#) \otimes_R P$ is a projective resolution of P in $G\text{-inv}({}_R\text{Mod}_R)$ by Remark 7.7 and Lemma 7.12, where $\text{Im}(d_i \otimes_R P)$ is projective R^e -module for some $i \geq 0$ because P has finite projective dimension as a left R^e -module. Hence $d_i \otimes_R P: R^{\otimes(i+2)} \otimes_R P \rightarrow \text{Im}(d_i \otimes_R P)$ is a retraction, and $\text{Im}(d_i \otimes_R P)$ is a canonically G -invariant f.g. projective R - R -bimodule. Again by Proposition 3.11, $\text{Im}(d_i \otimes_R P)/G$ is a G -graded f.g. projective R/G - R/G -bimodule. Therefore, the projective resolution $((\#) \otimes_R P)/G \cong (\#)/G \otimes_{R/G} P/G$ of P/G as left $(R/G)^e$ -module has some projective image $\text{Im}(d_i \otimes_R P)/G$. Thus P/G has finite projective dimension as a left $(R/G)^e$ -module. \square

The following is proved similarly by using Proposition 6.6.

Proposition 7.15. *If P is a G -graded f.g. A - A -bimodule of finite projective dimension over A^e , then $P\#G$ is a G -invariant f.g. $(A\#G)$ - $(A\#G)$ -bimodule of finite projective dimension over $(A\#G)^e$. \square*

Theorem 7.16. *Assume that all of R , S , A and B are \mathbb{k} -projective. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type between R and S if and only if the pair $(M/G, N/G)$ induces a G -graded singular equivalence of Morita type between R/G and S/G .*
- (2) *A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules induces a G -graded singular equivalence of Morita type between A and B if and only if the pair $(M\#G, N\#G)$ induces a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type between $A\#G$ and $B\#G$.*

Proof. (1)(\Rightarrow). Assume that a pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type between R and S . Then ${}_S M$, M_R , ${}_R N$, and N_S are projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$N \otimes_S M \cong R \oplus {}_R P_R \text{ and} \tag{7.21}$$

$$M \otimes_R N \cong S \oplus {}_S Q_S \tag{7.22}$$

as G -invariant bimodules, where ${}_R P_R, {}_S Q_S$ are canonically G -invariant f.g. bi-modules of finite projective dimension over R^e, S^e , respectively. Apply the functor $?/G$ to (7.21) to have $(N \otimes_S M)/G \cong (R \oplus P)/G$, which shows that

$$(N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G) \cong R/G \oplus P/G$$

as G -graded R/G - R/G -bimodules by Proposition 3.9(2). Here ${}_{S/G} M/G, M/G_{R/G}, {}_{R/G} N/G, N/G_{S/G}$ are canonically G -graded projective by Corollary 3.8. And ${}_{R/G} P/G_{R/G}$ has finite projective dimension by Proposition 7.14. Similarly from (7.22) we obtain the remaining isomorphism.

(2)(\Rightarrow). This is proved similarly.

(1)(\Leftarrow). This follows by (2)(\Rightarrow) and the equivalences $(R/G)\#G \simeq R$ and $(S/G)\#G \simeq S$ of categories.

(2)(\Leftarrow). This is proved similarly. \square

7.d. Singular equivalences of Morita type with level.

Definition 7.17. (1) A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules is said to induce a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type with level $l \geq 0$ between R and S if ${}_S M, M_R, {}_R N, N_S$ are finitely generated projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$N \otimes_S M \cong \Omega_{R^e}^l(R) \oplus {}_R P_R \text{ and}$$

$$M \otimes_R N \cong \Omega_{S^e}^l(S) \oplus {}_S Q_S$$

as G -invariant bimodules for some canonically G -invariant finitely generated projective bimodules ${}_R P_R, {}_S Q_S$.

(2) A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules is said to induce a G -graded singular equivalence of Morita type with level $l \geq 0$ between A and B if ${}_B M, M_A, {}_A N, N_B$ are canonically G -graded projective modules and ${}_B M_A, {}_A N_B$ are G -graded bimodules such that

$$N \otimes_S M \cong \Omega_{R^e}^l(R) \oplus {}_A P_A \text{ and}$$

$$M \otimes_R N \cong \Omega_{S^e}^l(S) \oplus {}_B Q_B$$

as G -graded bimodules for some canonically G -graded finitely generated projective bimodules ${}_A P_A, {}_B Q_B$.

Theorem 7.18. Assume that all of R, S, A and B are \mathbb{k} -projective. The following statements hold.

- (1) A pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type with level l between R and S if and only if the pair $(M/G, N/G)$ induces a G -graded singular equivalence of Morita type with level l between R/G and S/G .
- (2) A pair $({}_B M_A, {}_A N_B)$ of bimodules induces a G -graded singular equivalence of Morita type with level l between A and B if and only if the pair $(M\#G, N\#G)$ induces a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type with level l between $A\#G$ and $B\#G$.

Proof. (1)(\Rightarrow). Assume that a pair $({}_S M_R, {}_R N_S)$ of bimodules induces a G -invariant singular equivalence of Morita type with level l between R and S . Then ${}_S M, M_R, {}_R N, N_S$ are finitely generated projective modules and ${}_S M_R, {}_R N_S$ are G -invariant bimodules such that

$$N \otimes_S M \cong \Omega_{R^e}^l(R) \oplus {}_R P_R \text{ and} \quad (7.23)$$

$$M \otimes_R N \cong \Omega_{S^e}^l(S) \oplus {}_S Q_S \quad (7.24)$$

as G -invariant bimodules, where ${}_R P_R, {}_S Q_S$ are canonically G -invariant finitely generated bimodules with finite projective dimensions. Apply the functor $?/G$ to (7.23) to have $(N \otimes_S M)/G \cong (\Omega_{R^e}^l(R) \oplus P)/G$, which shows that

$$(N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G) \cong \Omega_{R^e}^l(R)/G \oplus P/G$$

as G -graded R/G - R/G -bimodules by Proposition 3.9(2). Here ${}_{S/G} M/G, M/G_{R/G}, {}_{R/G} N/G, N/G_{S/G}$ are canonically G -graded projective by Corollary 3.8, and ${}_{R/G} P/G_{R/G}$ is canonically projective by Proposition 3.11.

Consider the bar resolution $(\#)$ of R . Then since it is a projective resolution of R as a left R^e -module, we have $\Omega_{R^e}^i(R) \cong \text{Im } d_i$ for all $i \geq 0$. Since $?/G$ is exact and $(\#)/G$ becomes a projective resolution of R/G as a left $(R/G)^e$ -module, we have

$$\Omega_{R^e}^l(R)/G \cong (\text{Im } d_l)/G \cong \Omega_{(R/G)^e}^l(R/G).$$

Hence we have

$$(N/G) \otimes_{S/G} (M/G) \cong \Omega_{(R/G)^e}^l(R/G) \oplus P/G$$

as desired. The remaining isomorphism follows similarly.

(2)(\Rightarrow). This is proved similarly.

(1)(\Leftarrow). This follows by (2)(\Rightarrow) and the equivalences $(R/G)\#G \simeq R$ and $(S/G)\#G \simeq S$ of categories.

(2)(\Leftarrow). This is proved similarly. \square

8. EXAMPLES

In this section, we give examples of G -invariant S - R -bimodule M and G -graded B - A -bimodule M' such that $M/G \cong M'$, and hence $M'\#G \simeq M$. In this section, we assume that S, R, B, A are path-categories of finite bound quivers. Therefore, they can be regarded as finite-dimensional algebras. To express the S - R -bimodule M and the B - A -bimodule M' , we use bound quiver

presentations of the triangular matrix algebras $T(M) := \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ M & S \end{bmatrix}$ and $T(M') :=$

$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ M' & B \end{bmatrix}$, respectively, where we identify M with $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq T(M)$ and M' with $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ M' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq T(M')$. We refer the reader to [3] and [4] for the computations of R/G and $A\#G$, respectively.

As is easily seen, the quiver presentation of $T(M)$ is given as follows.

Proposition 8.1. *Let (Q^R, I^R) and (Q^S, I^S) be finite bound quivers of R and S with $Q^R = (Q_0^R, Q_1^R, s^R, t^R)$, $Q^S = (Q_0^S, Q_1^S, s^S, t^S)$, respectively. Let $\Phi^R: \mathbb{k}Q^R \rightarrow R$, $\Phi^S: \mathbb{k}Q^S \rightarrow S$ be algebra morphisms with $\text{Ker } \Phi^R = I^R$, $\text{Ker } \Phi^S = I^S$. Set J_R, J_S to be the Jacobson radicals of R, S , respectively. For each $x \in Q_0^R, y \in Q_0^S$, let $\{m_{y,x}^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{y,x}\} \subseteq {}_yM_x$ such that the residue classes of its elements form a basis of ${}_y(M/(J_S M + M J_R))_x$. Thus $d_{y,x} = \dim_y(M/(J_S M + M J_R))_x$. Then the quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ of $T(M)$ is defined as follows.*

$Q_0 := Q_0^R \sqcup Q_0^S$. $Q_1 := Q_1^R \sqcup Q_1^S \sqcup Q_1^M$, where Q_1^M is the set of symbols $\alpha_{y,x}^{(i)}$ for all $x \in Q_0^R, y \in Q_0^S, 1 \leq i \leq d_{y,x}$. For each $\alpha \in Q_1$,

$$s(\alpha) := \begin{cases} s^R(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^R), \\ s^S(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^S), \\ x & (\alpha = \alpha_{y,x}^{(i)} \in Q_1^M), \end{cases} \quad t(\alpha) := \begin{cases} t^R(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^R), \\ t^S(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^S), \\ y & (\alpha = \alpha_{y,x}^{(i)} \in Q_1^M). \end{cases}$$

Define an algebra morphism $\Phi: \mathbb{k}Q \rightarrow T(M)$ as follows: For each $x \in Q_0$, the trivial path e_x is sent as

$$\Phi(e_x) := \begin{cases} \Phi^R(e_x) & (x \in Q_0^R), \\ \Phi^S(e_x) & (x \in Q_0^S); \end{cases}$$

and for each $\alpha \in Q_1$,

$$\Phi(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \Phi^R(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^R), \\ \Phi^S(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^S), \\ m_{y,x}^{(i)} & (\alpha = \alpha_{y,x}^{(i)} \in Q_1^M). \end{cases}$$

Then by setting $I := \text{Ker } \Phi$, $T(M)$ is presented by the bound quiver (Q, I) .

The following are easy to verify by definitions.

Lemma 8.2. $T(M)/G \cong \begin{bmatrix} R/G & 0 \\ M/G & S/G \end{bmatrix} =: T(M/G)$.

Lemma 8.3. $T(M')\#G \cong \begin{bmatrix} A\#G & 0 \\ M'\#G & S\#G \end{bmatrix} =: T(M'\#G)$.

Now let $G = \langle g \mid g^2 = 1 \rangle$ be the cyclic group of order 2. Consider the Brauer tree algebras R and S given by the quivers:

$$Q_R = 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_2} \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \\ \xleftarrow{\alpha_{2'}} \end{array} 2' \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_{2'}} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_{1'}} \end{array} 1'$$

and

$$Q_S = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & 2 & & \\ & a_1 \nearrow & & \searrow a_2 & \\ 1 & & & & 1' \\ & \nwarrow a_{2'} & & \swarrow a_{1'} & \\ & & 2' & & \end{array}$$

with the Brauer quiver relations, respectively. Define a G -action on R (resp. S) by the the automorphism X_g (resp. Y_g) of R (resp. S) that exchanges vertices x and x' for $x = 1, 2$. By taking $?/G$, skeletons A and B of R/G and S/G turns out to be G -graded Brauer tree algebras given by the weighted quivers (Q_A, W_A) and (Q_B, W_B) (see [4, Definition 1.6] or [5, Definition 6.2.9]) presented by

$$Q_A = 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_2} \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array}, \quad W_A(\alpha_2) = g, \quad W_A(\beta_1) = W_A(\beta_2) = 1,$$

and

$$Q_B = 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_1} \\ \xleftarrow{a_2} \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array}, \quad W_B(a_1) = 1, \quad W_B(a_2) = g,$$

with the Brauer quiver relations, respectively, where (2) denote the multiplicity of the cycles.

Define an S - R -bimodule M so that $T(M)$ is presented by the quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_2} \end{array} & 2 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \\ \xleftarrow{\alpha_{2'}} \end{array} & 2' & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_{2'}} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_{1'}} \end{array} & 1' \\ m_1 \downarrow & & m_2 \downarrow & & m_{2'} \downarrow & & m_{1'} \downarrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{a_1} & 2 & \xrightarrow{a_2} & 1' & \xrightarrow{a_{1'}} & 2' \\ & & & \xleftarrow{a_{2'}} & & & \end{array}$$

with the Brauer quiver relations for R and S and relations

$$\begin{cases} a_1 m_1 - m_2 \beta_1 = 0, \\ m_1 \beta_2 + a_{2'} a_{1'} a_2 m_2 - a_{2'} m_{2'} \alpha_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} a_{1'} m_{1'} - m_{2'} \beta_{1'} = 0, \\ m_{1'} \beta_{2'} + a_2 a_1 a_{2'} m_{2'} - a_2 m_2 \alpha_{2'} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can define a G -invariant structure ϕ of M by ϕ_g , which exchanges m_i and $m_{i'}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the bimodule ${}_S/G M / G_{R/G} \simeq {}_B M'_A$ is expressed by $T(M/G)$, which can be computed as in [3], where $T(M')$ is a skeleton of $T(M/G)$ defined as its full subcategory consisting of the objects without the prime sign. Then we see that the bimodule ${}_B M'_A$ is expressed by $T(M')$ that is presented by the quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_2} \end{array} & 2 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \\ m_1 \downarrow & & m_2 \downarrow \\ 1 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_1} \\ \xleftarrow{a_2} \end{array} & 2 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \end{array}$$

with the Brauer quiver relations for A and B and relations $a_1 m_1 - m_2 \beta_1 = 0$, $m_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 m_2 - a_2 m_2 \alpha_2 = 0$, where the G -grading is defined by the

weight W given by

$$W(\alpha) := \begin{cases} W_A(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^A), \\ W_B(\alpha) & (\alpha \in Q_1^B), \\ 1 & (\alpha \in \{m_1, m_2\}) \end{cases}$$

for all arrows α .

In this case, as is easily seen, we have $M' \# G \cong M$ and $T(M') \# G \cong T(M)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Asashiba, H.: *A generalization of Gabriel's Galois covering functors and derived equivalences*, J. Algebra **334** (2011), 109–149.
- [2] Asashiba, Hideto: *A generalization of Gabriel's Galois covering functors II: 2-categorical Cohen-Montgomery duality*, Applied Categorical Structure **25** (2017), no. 2, 155–186. (DOI) 10.1007/s10485-015-9416-9.
- [3] Asashiba, H. and Kimura, M.: *Presentations of Grothendieck constructions*, Comm. in Alg. **41**(2013), no.11, 400–4024.
- [4] Asashiba, H.: *Smash products of group weighted bound quivers and Brauer graphs*, Comm. Algebra, **47**(2), (2019), 585–610, DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2018.1487562.
- [5] H. Asashiba, *Categories and representation theory—with a focus on 2-categorical covering theory*, Math. Surveys Monogr., **271**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2022. xviii+240 pp. DOI 10.1090/surv/271. MR 4486377.
- [6] Cibils, C. and Marcos, E.: *Skew category, Galois covering and smash product of a k-category*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (1), (2006), 39–50.
- [7] Cohen, M. and Montgomery, S.: *Group-graded rings, smash products, and group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1984), 237–258.
- [8] Gabriel, P.: *The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra*, In: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **903**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1981, pp. 68–105.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, 836 OHYA, SURUGA-KU, SHIZUOKA, 422-8529, JAPAN;

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, KUIAS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, YOSHIDA USHINOMIYA-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8501, JAPAN; AND

OSAKA CENTRAL ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN.

Email address: `asashiba.hideto@shizuoka.ac.jp`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100044, CHINA.

Email address: `shypan@bjtu.edu.cn`