

SU(N) algebras and new thumbrules for entanglement of bipartite qubit and qutrit systems

P. Dasgupta

D. Gangopadhyay

Department of Physics, Sister Nivedita University, DG Block , Newtown, Action Area 1, Kolkata 700156, India

August 7, 2024

Abstract

Based on the Schmidt decomposition new convenient thumbrules are obtained to test entanglement of wavefunctions for bipartite qubit and qutrit systems. For the qubit system there is an underlying SU(2) algebra, while the same for a qutrit system is SU(3).

1 Introduction

The concept of entanglement materialised first in the papers [1-3]. Quantum entanglement is associated with nonclassical correlations between spatially separated quantum systems. One of the fundamental entities in the quantum domain is the wavefunction from which all physical measurables of a quantum system may be obtained. A way to technically understand entanglement at the level of wavefunctions is that the composite quantum system wavefunction cannot be written as a direct product of the wavefunctions of the subsystems.

There has been considerable literature regarding various aspects of entanglement of wave functions [10-32]. In this letter we discuss two new results related to entanglement of wavefunctions for bipartite qubit and qutrit systems. These are (a) there exist simple thumbrules to test entanglement based on the Schmidt decomposition for bipartite qubit and qutrit systems and (b) there is an underlying special unitary symmetry algebra in both cases. The plan of the letter is as follows: Section 2 is a quick review of the basic entities. Sections 3 and 4 contain the new results for bipartite qubit states while Section 5 contains our results for bipartite qutrit systems. Section 6 comprises our conclusions.

2 Qubits, Density matrix, Entanglement and Bell states

: We first give a quick review of some basic entities and concepts [5-9]. In classical computation information is stored in a "bit" i.e 0 or 1. In quantum computation information is stored in quantum bits or qubits which can be $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, or a superposition of both $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. A general qubit is: $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, where $|\alpha|^2$ and $|\beta|^2$ are the probabilities to find $|\psi\rangle$ in states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ respectively.

α, β are the respective amplitudes and are complex scalars in general. The computational basis comprise $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

For a bipartite system, if \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B are the Hilbert spaces of the two parts with $\{|i\rangle_A\}$, $\{|\mu\rangle_B\}$ the orthonormal basis respectively, then $\{|i\rangle_A \otimes |\mu\rangle_B\}$ is the orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. So an arbitrary bipartite state in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be written as $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{\mu,i} a_{i\mu} |i\rangle_A \otimes |\mu\rangle_B \equiv a_{i\mu} |i\rangle_A \otimes |\mu\rangle_B$, where we follow the Einstein convention, that is, summation over repeated indices.

The density matrix of a given state $|\psi\rangle$ is $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ and the expectation value of an operator M is $\langle M \rangle = \text{tr}(M\rho)$. The expectation value of an operator M_A acting on subsystem A is $\langle M_A \rangle = \text{tr}_B \langle \psi | M_A \otimes I_B | \psi \rangle_{AB} = \text{tr}(M_A \rho_A)$. Here $\rho_A = \text{tr}_B(|\psi\rangle_{AB} \langle \psi|) = \text{tr}_B(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_{\mu} \langle \mu | \rho_{AB} | \mu \rangle_B = a_{i\mu} a_{j\mu}^* |i\rangle_A \langle j|$. ρ_A is the reduced density matrix obtained by partial trace over sub system B. In a similar manner one has $\rho_B = \text{tr}_A(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_i \langle i | \rho_{AB} | i \rangle_A$.

For the bipartite system if the Hilbert space of the composite system $\mathcal{H}_{AB} \neq \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$, then the composite state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \neq |\psi\rangle_A \otimes |\psi\rangle_B$ and $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ is said to be entangled.

A composite state is said to be maximally entangled when the correlation between the subsystems is maximum. If $\dim(\mathcal{H}_A) = \dim(\mathcal{H}_B) = d$, then for maximal entanglement the reduced density matrices satisfy $\rho_A = \rho_B = \frac{1}{d}I$ where I is the identity operator.

The Bell States form the simplest example of maximally entangled states. They also form an orthonormal basis for a bipartite entangled state. The Bell States are

$$|\phi_0\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |\phi_1\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |\phi_2\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |\phi_3\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (1)$$

with $\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$

For the bipartite state $|\psi\rangle$ there exist orthonormal states $|j_A\rangle, |j_B\rangle$ for system A and B respectively, such that

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_j \sqrt{\mu_j} |j_A\rangle |j_B\rangle \quad (2)$$

where μ_i are non-negative real numbers such that, $\sum_i \mu_i = 1$. The above expression is known as the Schmidt decomposition [4]. Schmidt number is the number of non-zero eigen values of the reduced density matrix. If the number of terms in Schmidt decomposition series (equation (2)) is unity, i.e. the number of non-zero eigenvalues of reduced density matrix is one, then the state is not entangled. If the number of terms exceeds unity then $|\psi\rangle$ is said to be an entangled state.

The reduced density matrices are $\rho_A = \sum_i \mu_i |i_A\rangle \langle i_A|$, and $\rho_B = \sum_i \mu_i |i_B\rangle \langle i_B|$ and the eigenvalues of both the density matrices are same and equal to μ_i . Peres *et al* gave a necessary condition criterion for separability [21,22].

3 Bipartite states and entanglement for qubits :

We now determine the new results of this letter for bipartite qubit states.

Let us take the 2-state systems A and B with Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B and basis vectors $(|0\rangle_A, |1\rangle_A)$ and $(|0\rangle_B, |1\rangle_B)$ respectively. We work in the computational basis. Thus, the basis vectors in the bipartite state AB are: $|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle$. The first entry in the ket denotes system A while the second entry denotes system B and $\langle ij|i'j'\rangle = \delta_{i,i'} \delta_{j,j'}$ where (i, j) is 0 or 1.

The most general bipartite state can be written as:

$$|\psi\rangle = a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle \quad (3)$$

Since we take normalized states, $a_{00}^2 + a_{01}^2 + a_{10}^2 + a_{11}^2 = 1$.

Consider the matrix A constructed as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

For simplicity we take a_{ij} to be real.

Now consider the Schmidt decomposition of the state using equation (2).

The density matrix is $\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ and reduced density matrix is

$$\rho_A = Tr_B(\rho) = {}_B \langle 0|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|0\rangle_B + {}_B \langle 1|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|1\rangle_B = \begin{pmatrix} (a_{00}^2 + a_{01}^2) & (a_{00}a_{10} + a_{01}a_{11}) \\ (a_{00}a_{10} + a_{01}a_{11}) & (a_{10}^2 + a_{11}^2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

The reduced density matrix ρ_A is a 2x2 matrix thus it will have 2 eigen values which are $\mu_i, (i=1,2)$:

$$\mu_1 = (1/\sqrt{2})(a_{00}^2 + a_{01}^2 + a_{10}^2 + a_{11}^2 - (a_{00}^2 + a_{01}^2 + a_{10}^2 + a_{11}^2)^2 - 4(a_{01}^2 a_{10}^2 - 2a_{00}a_{10}a_{01}a_{11} + a_{00}^2 a_{11}^2)^{1/2}) = (1/\sqrt{2})(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(DetA)^2}) \quad (6)$$

Similarly, the other eigenvalue is

$$\mu_2 = (1/\sqrt{2})(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4(DetA)^2}) \quad (7)$$

Thus we have the eigenvectors $|\mu_1\rangle$ and $|\mu_2\rangle$ whose exact mathematical forms are not needed to find the Schmidt number.

Thus we get the Schmidt Decomposition series:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\mu_1} |\mu_1\rangle |\mu_1\rangle + \sqrt{\mu_2} |\mu_2\rangle |\mu_2\rangle \quad (8)$$

Clearly if $DetA = 0$ then, $\mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 \neq 0$, the Schmidt decomposition series (8) becomes

$$|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\mu_2} |\mu_2\rangle |\mu_2\rangle \quad (9)$$

In equation (8) there is only one term and the Schmidt number is unity. Thus if $DetA = 0$ the state is *not* entangled. Thus the condition for entanglement in a general bipartite basis is $DetA \neq 0$.

Range of Det A and Maximal Violation :
The state $|\psi\rangle$ is maximally entangled,if

$$\rho_A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00}^2 + a_{01}^2 & a_{00}a_{10} + a_{01}a_{11} \\ a_{00}a_{10} + a_{01}a_{11} & a_{11}^2 + a_{10}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{I}{2} \quad (10)$$

From above equation we get the range of $DetA$ i.e

$$0 \leq |DetA| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (11)$$

It can be shown that similar results can be also demonstrated for ρ_B . Thus for maximal violation $|DetA| < \frac{1}{2}$ and greater the value of $|DetA|$, the more entangled the state is.

Example:

Consider the situation discussed in [30]. The state is $|\psi\rangle = \cos\theta|00\rangle + \sin\theta|11\rangle$ and here $DetA = \sin(2\theta)/2$. When $DetA = \sin(2\theta)/2 = 1/2$ the state is maximally entangled for $\theta = n\pi/4$ and not entangled for $DetA = \sin(2\theta)/2 = 0$, that is for $\theta = n\pi/2$.

4 The Qubit State in Bell Basis:

The most general state $|\eta\rangle$ can be written as a superposition of Bell states also.

$$|\eta\rangle = b_0|\phi_0\rangle + b_1|\phi_1\rangle + b_2|\phi_2\rangle + b_3|\phi_3\rangle \quad (12)$$

where, $\langle\eta|\eta\rangle = 1$, i.e. $b_0^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = 1$

Plugging in the expressions for the Bell states from equation (1) one gets

$$|\eta\rangle = (1/2)^{1/2}[(b_0 + b_3)|00\rangle + (b_1 + b_2)|01\rangle + (b_1 - b_2)|10\rangle + (b_0 - b_3)|11\rangle] \quad (13)$$

Note that under the identification of

$$a_{00} = (1/2)^{1/2}(b_0 + b_3), a_{01} = (1/2)^{1/2}(b_1 + b_2), a_{10} = (1/2)^{1/2}(b_1 - b_2), a_{11} = (1/2)^{1/2}(b_0 - b_3),$$

we get back equation (3) and $|\eta\rangle \equiv |\psi\rangle$. The normalisation conditions are also consistent with each other.

We now discuss the Schmidt decomposition for $|\eta\rangle$. First we define the matrix,

$$C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 + b_3 & b_1 + b_2 \\ b_1 - b_2 & b_0 - b_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Here b_i 's are real. The density matrix of $|\eta\rangle$, $\rho = |\eta\rangle\langle\eta|$ and the reduced density matrix corresponding to subsystem A is

$$\rho_A = tr_B(|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|) = {}_B\langle 0|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|0\rangle_B + {}_B\langle 1|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|1\rangle_B \quad (15)$$

As we are dealing with a 2x2 matrix, ρ_A has two eigenvalues μ_1 and μ_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (1/2)((b_0^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2) - ((b_0^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2 - ((b_1^2 - b_2^2)^2 - 2(b_1^2 - b_2^2)(b_0^2 - b_3^2) + (b_0^2 - b_3^2)^2))^{1/2}) \\ &= (1/2)(1 - \sqrt{1 - ((b_0^2 - b_3^2) - (b_1^2 - b_2^2))^2}) = (1/2)(1 - \sqrt{1 - (DetC)^2}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Similarly,

$$\mu_2 = (1/2)(1 + \sqrt{1 - (DetC)^2}) \quad (17)$$

Thus the Schmidt Decomposition Series will be

$$|\eta\rangle = \sqrt{\mu_1}|\mu_1\rangle + \sqrt{\mu_2}|\mu_2\rangle \quad (18)$$

But if $DetC = 0$ then $\mu_1 = 0$ and the Schmidt Decomposition series has only one term

$|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\mu_2}|\mu_2\rangle$ and the state is not entangled.

Thus the condition for not entanglement in Bell basis is $DetC = 0$ which means:

$$b_0^2 + b_2^2 = b_1^2 + b_3^2 \quad (19)$$

This means

$$\langle\phi_0|\eta\rangle^2 + \langle\phi_2|\eta\rangle^2 = \langle\phi_1|\eta\rangle^2 + \langle\phi_3|\eta\rangle^2 \quad (20)$$

Thus we can say that for every basis system we can form unique matrices whose determinant can be calculated and if the determinant is zero, we say the state is not entangled. If the determinant is non-zero the state is entangled.

The A matrix for Bell States :

Now we construct the A matrix for the four Bell States and show that there exists an underlying $SU(2)$ algebra. The general state can be written as (shown previously) $|\psi\rangle = a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle$

The A matrix for the above state is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

When $|\psi\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle$ $a_{00} = \langle 00|\phi_0\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $a_{01} = \langle 01|\phi_0\rangle = 0$, $a_{10} = \langle 10|\phi_0\rangle = 0$, $a_{11} = \langle 11|\phi_0\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}$ so that

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{I}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (21)$$

Similarly, for $|\psi\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle$

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma_1 \quad (22)$$

For $|\psi\rangle = |\phi_2\rangle$

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma_2 \quad (23)$$

For $|\psi\rangle = |\phi_3\rangle$

$$A_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma_3 \quad (24)$$

where I is the unit matrix and σ_i are Pauli Matrices. Redefining variables : $A'_1 = \sqrt{2}A_1$, $A'_2 = \sqrt{2}e^{(-i\pi)/2}A_2$, $A'_3 = \sqrt{2}A_3$, one obtains the $SU(2)$ algebra

$$[A'_i, A'_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}A'_k \quad (25)$$

The commutation relations for A_i matrices are:

$$[A_1, A_2] = -\sqrt{2}A_3, [A_2, A_3] = -\sqrt{2}A_1, [A_3, A_1] = \sqrt{2}A_2 \quad (26)$$

Now, $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2^\dagger$. Therefore $A_2 = -A_2^\dagger$. Thus A_2 is pure imaginary. So one may write $A_2 \equiv K^\dagger U$, where K^\dagger is complex conjugation and U is a unitary operator. Similar scenarios have been reported elsewhere [33] in a totally different context.

5 The bipartite qutrit system:

The simplest 3-state quantum system is called the qutrit. The most general qutrit is $|\mu\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle$, where the column vectors $|0\rangle = (1 \ 0 \ 0)^T$; $|1\rangle = (0 \ 1 \ 0)^T$; $|2\rangle = (0 \ 0 \ 1)^T$ form an orthonormal basis. Let there be two systems A and B belonging to 3D Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B respectively. The basis vectors for the composite system AB belonging to the 9D Hilbert space, \mathcal{H}_{AB} are $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |02\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle, |12\rangle, |20\rangle, |21\rangle, |22\rangle\}$, where $\langle ii'|jj'\rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta_{i'j'}$, with $i, j = 0, 1, 2$. The most general quantum state in the composite system AB,

$$|\chi\rangle = a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{02}|02\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle + a_{12}|12\rangle + a_{20}|20\rangle + a_{21}|21\rangle + a_{22}|22\rangle \quad (27)$$

Define the matrix,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

The density matrix for the state $|\chi\rangle_{AB}$ is $\rho_{AB} = |\chi\rangle_{AB}\langle\chi|$ and the reduced density matrix is

$$\rho_A = Tr_b(\rho_{AB}) = \langle 0|\rho_{AB}|0\rangle + \langle 1|\rho_{AB}|1\rangle + \langle 2|\rho_{AB}|2\rangle = PP^\dagger \quad (29)$$

Thus, $Det\rho_A = DetP DetP^\dagger$. Hence $|Det\rho_A| = |DetP|^2$.

P is a 3x3 matrix and it will have three eigenvalues μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 . Then the eigenvalues of $\rho_A = PP^\dagger$ are $|\mu_1|^2, |\mu_2|^2$ and $|\mu_3|^2$.

For normalization

$$Tr\rho_A = |\mu_1|^2 + |\mu_2|^2 + |\mu_3|^2 = 1 \quad (30)$$

For invariance of trace

$$TrP = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 \quad (31)$$

For invariance of determinant

$$Det\rho_A = |DetP|^2 = \mu_1^2 \cdot \mu_2^2 \cdot \mu_3^2 \quad (32)$$

So

$$DetP = \mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 \cdot \mu_3 \quad (33)$$

The Schmidt decomposition series for ρ_A is

$$|\chi\rangle_{AB} = \mu_1|\mu_1\rangle|\mu_1\rangle + \mu_2|\mu_2\rangle|\mu_2\rangle + \mu_3|\mu_3\rangle|\mu_3\rangle \quad (34)$$

In general $DetP \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 \neq 0$. Therefore $\mu_i \neq 0$ $i=1,2,3$. So there are three terms in equation (34) which means that the state $|\chi\rangle_{AB}$ is entangled.

For the state to be unentangled, the series must have only one term, so we start with the condition

$$DetP = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 = 0 \quad (35)$$

This means either one or two of the eigenvalues must be zero. Solving equations (30),(31),(35), we get

Case 1: $\mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}(TrP - \sqrt{2 - TrP^2}), \mu_3 = \frac{1}{2}(TrP + \sqrt{2 - TrP^2})$

Thus the Schmidt decomposition series (34) reduces to $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = \mu_2|\mu_2\rangle|\mu_2\rangle + \mu_3|\mu_3\rangle|\mu_3\rangle$. If $TrP = +1$ then $\mu_2 = 0, \mu_3 = 1$ and the above series reduces further into $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\mu_3\rangle|\mu_3\rangle$ which has only one term. Thus the state is not entangled.

If $TrP = -1$ then $\mu_3 = 0, \mu_2 = -1$ and the above series reduces further into $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = -|\mu_2\rangle|\mu_2\rangle$ which also has only one term and thus the state is not entangled.

Case 2: $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(TrP - \sqrt{2 - TrP^2}), \mu_2 = 0, \mu_3 = \frac{1}{2}(TrP + \sqrt{2 - TrP^2})$
and

Case 3: $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(TrP - \sqrt{2 - TrP^2}), \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}(TrP + \sqrt{2 - TrP^2}), \mu_3 = 0$

In both the cases 2 and 3, the conditions of a bipartite qutrit state to be unentangled are, $DetP = 0, TrP = \pm 1$ as in case 1.

6 Qutrit entangled basis and Gell-mann matrices

The entangled bipartite qutrit basis are,

$$|\beta_0\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad |\beta_1\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (36)$$

$$|\beta_2\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |\beta_3\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (37)$$

$$|\beta_4\rangle = \frac{|02\rangle + |20\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |\beta_5\rangle = \frac{|02\rangle - |20\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (38)$$

$$|\beta_6\rangle = \frac{|12\rangle + |21\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |\beta_7\rangle = \frac{|12\rangle - |21\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (39)$$

$$|\beta_8\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle - 2|22\rangle}{\sqrt{6}} \quad (40)$$

where, $\langle\beta_i|\beta_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$

The Gell-Mann matrices are:

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (41)$$

$$\lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (42)$$

$$\lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (43)$$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (44)$$

Below are the values of the determinants and traces of all the P_i matrice $i=0$ to 8. Note that the determinant value is always understood in terms of its absolute value.

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_0\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle+|11\rangle+|22\rangle}{\sqrt{3}}$; $a_{00} = a_{11} = a_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ where $a_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$. Thus

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}I, \quad DetP_0 = 1/3\sqrt{3}, \quad TrP_0 = \sqrt{3} \neq \pm 1 \quad (45)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_1\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle+|10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{01} = a_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$; where $i, j = 2, 0$ and $k, l = 1, 2$, thus

$$P_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_1 = 0, \quad TrP_1 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (46)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_2\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle-|10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{01} = -a_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$; where $i, j = 2, 0$ and $k, l = 1, 2$, thus

$$P_2 = \frac{i\lambda_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_2 = 0, \quad TrP_2 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (47)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_3\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle-|11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{00} = -a_{11} = 1/\sqrt{2}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0, a_{22} = 0$; $i \neq j; k \neq l$, where $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 1, 2$, thus

$$P_3 = \frac{\lambda_3}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_3 = 0, \quad TrP_3 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (48)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_4\rangle = \frac{|02\rangle+|20\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{02} = a_{20} = 1/\sqrt{2}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$, where $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 1, 2$, thus

$$P_4 = \frac{\lambda_4}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_4 = 0, \quad TrP_4 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (49)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_5\rangle = \frac{|02\rangle-|20\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{02} = -a_{20} = 1/\sqrt{2}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$, where $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 1, 2$, thus

$$P_5 = \frac{i\lambda_5}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_5 = 0, \quad TrP_5 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (50)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_6\rangle = \frac{|12\rangle+|21\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{12} = a_{21} = 1/\sqrt{2}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$, where $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 0, 2$, thus

$$P_6 = \frac{\lambda_6}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_6 = 0, \quad TrP_6 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (51)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_7\rangle = \frac{|12\rangle-|21\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; $a_{12} = -a_{21} = 1/\sqrt{2}$; $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$, where $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 0, 2$, thus

$$P_7 = \frac{i\lambda_7}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_7 = 0, \quad TrP_7 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (52)$$

If $|\chi\rangle_{AB} = |\beta_8\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle+|11\rangle-2|22\rangle}{\sqrt{6}}$; $a_{00} = a_{11} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$; $a_{22} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{6}}$ where $a_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$ thus

$$P_8 = \frac{\lambda_8}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad DetP_8 = 1/3\sqrt{6}, \quad TrP_8 = 0 \neq \pm 1 \quad (53)$$

Redefining variables in equations (46),(47), (48),(49),(50),(51),(52),(53) we get

$$\begin{aligned} P'_0 &= \sqrt{3}P_0; P'_1 = \sqrt{2}P_1; P'_2 = \sqrt{2}e^{(-i\pi/2)}P_2 \\ &P'_3 = \sqrt{2}P_3; P'_4 = \sqrt{2}P_4; \\ &P'_5 = \sqrt{2}e^{(-i\pi/2)}P_5; P'_6 = \sqrt{2}P_6; \\ &P'_7 = \sqrt{2}e^{(-i\pi/2)}P_7; P'_8 = \sqrt{2}P_8 \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

It is easily seen that,

$$[P'_\kappa, P'_\mu] = 2if_{\kappa\mu\nu}P'_\nu \quad (55)$$

where $\kappa, \mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$. Equation (55) represents a SU(3) algebra and $f_{\kappa\mu\nu}$ are the structure constants of the SU(3) group.

It is easily noted that $P_2 = -P_2^\dagger$; $P_5 = -P_5^\dagger$ and $P_7 = -P_7^\dagger$. Thus we can write $P_i = K_i^\dagger U_i$ where $i = 2, 5, 7$ where K_i^\dagger 's are complex conjugation operators and U_i 's are unitary operators. We have seen similar results in section 2. This is a new result in the context of qutrits.

The reduced density matrix ρ_A (or ρ_B) has 6 independent elements due to its symmetric nature. To find the maximally entangled states we equate this to $I/3$ as $\rho_A = PP^\dagger = \frac{I}{3}$ and thus we have 6 equations and 9 unknowns.

If $P = \pm P^\dagger$ (i.e. hermitian or anti-hermitian) the number of independent variables will reduce to 6. Thus we have 6 equations and 6 unknowns which can be solved to get maximally entangled bipartite qutrit states. For other forms of the matrix P (unitary, orthogonal etc.) things are under investigation.

7 Conclusion:

In this letter we have developed simple thumbrules to test for entanglement of wavefunctions for bipartite qubit and bipartite qutrit systems. Our thumbrules are based on the Schmidt decomposition and are easy to apply.

For the qubit system one can always construct a matrix A (equation (4)) using the corresponding amplitudes of the basis states. If $\text{Det}A = 0$, the state is unentangled. For $\text{Det}A \neq 0$ the state is entangled. We also demonstrate the existence of an underlying exact SU(2) algebra. This is demonstrated in equation (25) using the relevant constructions as defined in equations (21)-(24).

For the bipartite qutrit system one can again construct a matrix P , equation (28), using the amplitudes defined in equation (27). The entangled bipartite qutrit basis states are taken as in equations (36)-(40). For the bipartite qutrit state along with the determinant P being zero, the trace of P matrix should also be ± 1 in order for the state to be unentangled. For the entangled qutrit system a SU(3) closed algebra is obtained using a redefinition of quantities (equation (54)) using the Gell-Mann matrices (equations (41)-(44)). This is demonstrated in equation (55) using the relevant constructions as defined in equations (45)-(53).

Another interesting aspect follows from the discussions after equations (26) and (55). The underlying SU(2) or SU(3) algebras obtained in our work seems to be related to the symmetry of time reversal in quantum systems. Recall that there is only one independent antiunitary symmetry whose physical meaning is time reversal. Any other antiunitary transformation can be expressed in terms of time reversal (as the product of a unitary matrix times time reversal). This is well known and was discussed in [33]. This fact has been built into our redefinition of the matrix A_2 as A'_2 immediately after equation (24) for the qubit case. Similar redefinitions of P_2, P_5, P_7 as P'_2, P'_5, P'_7 respectively for the qutrit case have the same underlying physical meaning.

The efficacy and efficiency in computing time using our method described in this work may be useful in recent exciting particle physics scenarios as described in the very recent report [34].

8 Acknowledgement

One of the author(PDG) would like to thank Sister Nivedita University for providing research scholarship, under Student ID-2331207003001

References

- [1] A.Einstein.,B. Podolsky , N.Rosen Phys.Rev **47** (1935)777-780
- [2] E.Schrodinger, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.,**32** (1936) 446-452
- [3] J.S Bell, Physics **1**(1964) 195-200
- [4] E.Schmidt, Math Annalen **63** (1906) 433-476
- [5] R.P Feynman, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **21** (1982) 467
- [6] John Preskill *Lecture Notes for Physics 299; Quantum Information and Computation*, California Institute of Technology (1998)
- [7] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK (2010)
- [8] I. Bengtsson , K. Zyczkowski *Geometry of Quantum States : An Introduction to Quantum Entanglement 2nd Ed.* Cambridge University Press: New York, USA (2017)

- [9] Artur Ekert, Tim Hosgood, Alastair Kay, Chiara Macchiavello , *Introduction to Quantum Information Science* Qubit guide e-print (2023)
- [10] A.Aspect, Phys.Lett A **54** (1975) 117
- [11] A.Aspect, Physical Review **D14** (1976) 1944
- [12] W.K Wootters , W.H Zurek, Nature **299** (1982) 802-803
- [13] A.Aspect,P.Grangier,G.Roger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49** (1982) 91
- [14] A.Aspect,J.Dalibard ,G.Roger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49** (1982) 1804
- [15] D.Deutsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50(9)** (1983) 631-633
- [16] D. Deutsh, Proc.R.Soc.London **A 425** (1989) 73
- [17] A.Ekert , Phys. Rev. Lett. **67(6)** (1991) 661-63
- [18] M.Rect,A.Zellinger,H.J Berstein ,P.Bertani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73(1)** (1994) 58-61
- [19] C.H Bennett, G.Brassard, S.Popescu, B.Schumacher, J.A Smolin, W.K Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76(1996)722**
- [20] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki ,M. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. **A200** (1996) 340
- [21] A.Peres, Phys. Lett. **A202** (1995) 722
- [22] A.Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 1413
- [23] T.-C. Wei and P. M. Goldbart, Phys. Rev. **A 68** (2003) 042307
- [24] Y.-J. Han, Y.-S. Zhang and G.-C. Guo, Phys. Rev. **A70** (2004) 042309
- [25] Y.-Y. Zhao, M. Grassl, B. Zeng et al, npj Quantum Inf. **3** (2017) 11
- [26] X.-F. Qian, M. A. Alonso and J. H. Eberly, New J. Phys. **20** (2018) 063012
- [27] V. Coffman, J. Kundu and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. **A 61** (2000) 052306
- [28] Mikhail Fedorov, Nikolai Miklin, *Schmidt modes and entanglement of biphoton polarization qutrits* arXiv:1308.2513, [quant-ph] (2013)
- [29] Salvio Luna-Hernandez, Marco Enriquez, Oscar Rosas-Ortiz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **.110** (2013) 030501
- [30] Tzyh Haur Yang, Miguel Navascues, Phys. Rev. **A87** (2013) 050102(R)
- [31] Marcus Huber, Julio I. de Vicente, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 030501
- [32] A. Cianciulli, R. Rossignoli, M. Di Tullio, N. Gigena , F. Petrovich, *Bipartite representations and many-body entanglement of pure states of N indistinguishable particles* ,arXiv:2401.06917, [quant-ph] 2024
- [33] Anna Sanpera, Rolf Tarrach , Guifre Vidal, *Quantum separability, time reversal and canonical decompositions* arXiv:9707041, [quant-ph], (1997)
- [34] *Measurements of polarization, spin correlations, and entanglement in top quark pairs using lepton +jets events from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{TeV}$, The CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary; CMS PAS TOP-23-007 , cms-pag-conveners-top@cern.ch ; CERN CDS information server*