# arXiv:2408.02258v1 [quant-ph] 5 Aug 2024 [arXiv:2408.02258v1 \[quant-ph\] 5 Aug 2024](http://arxiv.org/abs/2408.02258v1)

# **Quantum conditional entropies and fully entangled fraction of states with maximally mixed marginals**

Komal Kumar,<sup>1,[∗](#page-0-0)</sup> Indranil Chakrabarty,<sup>2,[†](#page-0-1)</sup> and Nirman Ganguly<sup>1,[‡](#page-0-2)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science Pilani, Hyderabad Campus,Telangana-500078, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Centre for Quantum Science and Technology, and Center for Security, Theory and Algorithmic Research,*

*International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad, Gachibowli, Telangana-500032, India*

(Dated: 6th August 2024)

The fully entangled fraction (FEF) measures the proximity of a quantum state to maximally entangled states. FEF  $> \frac{1}{d}$ , in  $d \otimes d$  systems is a significant benchmark for various quantum information processing protocols including teleportation. Quantum conditional entropy (QCE) on the other hand is a measure of correlation in quantum systems. Conditional entropies for quantum systems can be negative, marking a departure from conventional classical systems. The negativity of quantum conditional entropies plays a decisive role in tasks like state merging and dense coding. In the present work, we investigate the relation of these two important yardsticks. Our probe is mainly done in the ambit of states with maximally mixed marginals, with a few illustrations from other classes of quantum states. We start our study in two qubit systems, where for the Werner states, we obtain lower bounds to its FEF when the conditional Rényi *α*−entropy is negative. We then obtain relations between FEF and QCE for two qubit Weyl states. Moving on to two qudit states we find a necessary and sufficient condition based on FEF, for the isotropic state to have negative conditional entropy. In two qudit systems the relation between FEF and QCE is probed for the rank deficient and generalized Bell diagonal states. FEF is intricately linked with *k*- copy nonlocality and *k*- copy steerability. The relations between FEF and QCE facilitates to find conditions for *k*- copy nonlocality and *k*- copy steerability based on QCE. We obtain such conditions for certain classes of states in two qubits and two qudits. As a corollary to the relations obtained between QCE and FEF we obtain lower bounds to minimal deterministic work cost for two qubit Werner states and two qudit generalized Bell states.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The study on quantum correlations is an area of intense research due to its implications in various information processing tasks. There are various forms of quantum correlations. Entanglement [[1](#page-12-0)] is one of such correlations that has been widely discussed followed by other forms of quantum correlations like quantum discord [[2](#page-12-1)–[4](#page-12-2)]. In addition, quantum conditional entropies [[5](#page-12-3)] (QCE) and fully entangled fraction (FEF) [[6](#page-12-4)] are efficient quantifiers of quantum correlation and can be indicators of resourcefulness of a quantum state in quantum information processing tasks.

Entropies [[7](#page-12-5)] which measure randomness and uncertainty is standardized by the Shannon entropy [[8](#page-12-6)] of a random variable with a given probability distribution. The idea of Shannon entropy can be generalized to other various types of entropies, namely, Rényi *α*entropies [[9](#page-12-7)], Tsallis *α*- entropies [[10](#page-12-8)]. These entropies and their quantum counterparts [[11](#page-12-9), [12](#page-12-10)], are used in classical and quantum scenarios.

The quantum version of these entropies has been widely used to establish various types of inequalities, namely, entropic Bell inequalities [[13](#page-12-11)], entropic steering inequalities  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  and entropic uncertainty relations [[16](#page-12-14)], that have applications in quantum cryptography [[17](#page-12-15)]. In quantum scenarios, conditional entropies can be negative  $[18]$  $[18]$  $[18]$  whereas it is always non-negative in the classical realm. The negativity of quantum conditional entropies provides an advantage in quantum information processing tasks. Quantum protocols, namely, super-dense coding  $[19-21]$  $[19-21]$  $[19-21]$  $[19-21]$  $[19-21]$  and quantum state merging [[22](#page-12-19), [23](#page-12-20)] provides an operational interpretation to the negativity of conditional entropies. Recently, in [[24](#page-12-21)–[27](#page-12-22)], it has been observed that negativity of conditional von Neumann entropy acts as a quantum resource. A recent work [[28](#page-12-23)], posits that quantum conditional entropies are inherently negative in any axiomatic characterization.

Sometimes, the mere presence of entanglement is not enough. Certain quantum protocols fail in quantum information processing as not every entangled state acts as a resource. A yardstick for the efficacy of an entangled state is provided by its fully entangled fraction [[6](#page-12-4)]. The fully entangled fraction of an entangled state beyond some threshold value acts as a resource [[6](#page-12-4)], and has operational interpretation in context of quantum teleportation [[29](#page-12-24)], entanglement swapping [[30](#page-12-25)] and quantum cryptography [[17](#page-12-15)]. The explicit calculation of FEF for quantum states is in general hard. In [[31](#page-12-26), [32](#page-12-27)], the upper bound of fully entangled fraction has been discussed, and also the estimation of fully en-

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> p20210063[@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in](mailto:p20210063@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in)

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>†</sup> [indranil.chakrabarty@iiit.ac.in](mailto:indranil.chakrabarty@iiit.ac.in)

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>‡</sup> [nirmanganguly@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in](mailto:nirmanganguly@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in)

tangled fraction has been obtained in [[33](#page-12-28)]. A plethora of research works have been carried to further study the properties of fully entangled fraction in relation to various aspects of quantum information processing [[34](#page-12-29)–[39](#page-12-30)].

Since some threshold values of FEF and and negativity of QCE are significant to various quantum information processing tasks, the present work investigates the relation between these two significant quantifiers and the implications these relations carry pertaining to quantum information processing protocols. The motivation for our contribution can thus broadly be stated as follows: We identify the common class of quantum states which are useful for some quantum protocols. The quantum protocols considered here are quantum teleportation and superdense coding and are characterized by FEF $> \frac{1}{d}$  and negative conditional von Neumann entropy, respectively. So, in this perspective, our work characterizes the resource useful for teleportation based on its negative entropic measure. In the context of quantum nonlocality, a nonlocal resource provides the quantum advantage in the security of quantum cryptography protocols  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$ , in communication complexity protocols [[42](#page-13-1)], and in the generation of trusted random numbers [[43](#page-13-2)]. From this perspective, our work characterizes the *k*−copy nonlocality and *k*−copy steerability based on its negative entropic measure. In some works in the recent past, the relation between quantum nonlocality and negative QCE has been investigated [[44](#page-13-3), [45](#page-13-4)]. Particularly in [[44](#page-13-3)], the authors investigated the relation between Bell-CHSH inequality  $[46]$  $[46]$  $[46]$  and QCE, whereas some of us investigated the relation between the CJWR steering inequality  $[47]$  $[47]$  $[47]$  and QCE in  $[45]$  $[45]$  $[45]$ . However, to the best of our knowledge, these studies have not been done in the context of *k*−copy nonlocality [[39](#page-12-30), [48](#page-13-7)] and *k*−copy steerability [[49](#page-13-8)].

In the present work, we begin our investigation with 2 ⊗ 2 system, wherein we probe the relation of quantum conditional entropies and fully entangled fraction for the Weyl state (states with maximally mixed marginals). In particular, for Werner state, we show that the negativity of conditional von Neumann entropy is a sufficient condition for fully entangled fraction strictly greater than half, and further, we obtain upper bounds to the conditional von Neumann entropy whenever it is useful for teleportation. We observe that fully entangled fraction of two-qubit Werner states has a lower bound in terms of eigenvalues whenever it possesses negative conditional Rényi *α*−entropies. For the twoqubit Weyl state, we show that the negativity of conditional Rényi 2-entropies is a sufficient condition for fully entangled fraction strictly greater than half, and the upper bounds of conditional Rényi 2-entropies are provided if it is useful for teleportation. In the  $d \otimes d$  system, for isotropic state  $[50]$  $[50]$  $[50]$ , we obtain the lower bound of fully entangled fraction whenever its conditional von

Neumann entropy is negative. Lower bounds of fully entangled fraction of two-qudit isotropic states is also provided, which guarantees the negativity of conditional Rényi 2-entropies and vice versa. Also, we discuss the relation between fully entangled fraction and conditional entropies for two qudit Werner states, rank deficient states and generalized Bell diagonal states. We then investigate the relation between *k*−copy steerability and conditional entropies of the isotropic state. We find that a two-qubit isotropic state is *k*−copy steerable if conditional von Neumann entropy is negative, and the same holds for conditional Rényi 2-entropies. Moving from *k*−copy steerability to *k*−copy nonlocality, the negativity of conditional Rényi 2-entropy is a sufficient condition for *k*−copy nonlocality of the two-qubit Weyl state. Some two-qubit non-Weyl states show the same behavior under some restriction. We then consider the mixture of arbitrary pure state and maximally mixed noise [[39](#page-12-30)] for dimension  $d = 3, 4, 5$  and observe that it is *k*−copy nonlocal whenever they possess negative conditional Rényi 2-entropy and conditional von Neumann entropy. Furthermore, as a corollary to the relations obtained between FEF and QCE, we present an application in the context of work extraction gain. [[51](#page-13-10)].

This manuscript is arranged in the following manner: In sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we discuss the notation and preliminaries required for our work. We establish the relation of conditional entropies and fully entangled fraction for  $2 \otimes 2$ system and  $d \otimes d$  system in sec. [III](#page-3-0) and [IV,](#page-5-0) respectively. In sec. [V,](#page-9-0) we study *k*−copy steerability and conditional entropies, and then discuss the *k*−copy nonlocality and conditional entropies in sec [VI.](#page-10-0) Further, we explore the application of fully entangled fraction in sec. [VII.](#page-10-1) In sec. [VIII,](#page-11-0) we give our concluding remarks emphasizing on some future work.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>**II. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES**

In this section, we fix the notations, and briefly discuss essential concepts that are important for subsequent study. In this work, we deal with finitedimensional Hilbert spaces. A quantum system denoted by  $X(= A, B)$  is described by finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H_X$ . Our work is mainly based on bipartite quantum system  $AB = A \otimes B$  that is characterized by Hilbert space  $H_{AB} = H_A \otimes H_B$ . The Hilbert-Schmidt space  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H}_{AB})$  is the space of bounded linear operators acting on **H***AB*. Quantum states are described by density operators  $\rho \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H}_{AB})$  such that it is positive semi-definite ( $\rho \geq 0$ ), Hermitian (which also follows from positivity) with unit trace. The von Neumann entropy, Rényi *α*− entropy and Tsallis *α*− entropy of the quantum state are denoted by  $S(.)$ ,  $S_\alpha(.)$ ,  $S_\alpha^T(.)$ , respectively. *FEF*(.) denotes the fully entangled fraction of the

quantum state.

# **A. Bloch-Fano Decomposition of density matrices**

In composite quantum systems (in particular bipartite systems), quantum state is described by density matrices, which can be represented as [[44](#page-13-3)]

$$
\rho_{d_A \otimes d_B} = \frac{1}{d_A d_B} [\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2 - 1} a_i g_i^A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B + \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2 - 1} b_j \mathbb{I}_A \otimes g_j^B + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A^2 - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_B^2 - 1} t_{ij} g_i^A \otimes g_j^B],
$$
 (1)

where dim  $H_A = d_A$  and dim  $H_B = d_B$ . The hermitian operators  $g_i^k$  for  $k = A$ , *B* are generalizations of the Pauli matrices, i.e., they are orthogonal  $Tr[g_i^k g_j^k]=2\delta_{ij}$ and traceless,  $Tr[g_i^k] = 0$  and for single qubit systems they coincide with the Pauli matrices. The coefficients  $a_i$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$  are the components of the generalized Bloch vectors  $\vec{a}$ ,  $\vec{b}$  of the subsystems *A*, *B* respectively. The real coefficients  $t_{ij}$  are the components of the correlation tensor. For two-qubit systems the density matrices can be represented as,

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\rho_{2\otimes 2} = \frac{1}{4} [\mathbb{I}_2 \times \mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{\mathfrak{a}}.\vec{\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 + \mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \vec{\mathfrak{b}}.\vec{\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 t_{ij} \sigma_i^A \otimes \sigma_j^B].
$$
\n(2)

An interesting class of states is the *locally maximally mixed states or Weyl states* which in the two-qudit systems (up to local unitaries) is given by,

$$
\rho_d^{weyl} = \frac{1}{d^2} \left[ \mathbb{I}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B + \sum_{i=1}^{d^2-1} w_i g_i^A \otimes g_i^B \right].
$$
 (3)

The reduced marginals of such states are maximally mixed, i.e.,  $\text{Tr}_A[\rho_d^{weyl}]$  $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \overline{w} & \overline{v} & \overline{v} \\ \overline{d} & \overline{d} & \overline{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \frac{\mathbb{I}_A}{d}$  and  $\text{Tr}_B[\rho_d^{weyl}]$  $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \textit{weyl} \\ \textit{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \frac{\mathbb{I}_B}{d}.$ 

## **B. Quantum entropies**

In this subsection we give the definition of the list of entropies that we have used in our work. The von Neumann entropy of a quantum state  $\rho_{AB} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H}_{AB})$ is defined as,

$$
S(\rho_{AB}) = -\text{Tr}\left[\rho_{AB}\log_2\rho_{AB}\right],\tag{4}
$$

where the logarithms are taken to the base 2. The von Neumann entropy has a special association with the eigenvalues of the density matrix, i.e., it is a

function of the eigenvalues. The corresponding conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) is given by  $S(A|B) = S(\rho_{AB}) - S(\rho_B).$ 

The Rényi *α*-entropy is given by

$$
S_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left[ Tr(\rho_{AB}^{\alpha}) \right], \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1. \quad (5)
$$

The von Neumann entropy is the limiting case of the Rényi entropy as  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ . The corresponding conditional Rényi *α*- entropy (CRAE) is given by  $S_{\alpha}(A|B) = S_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB}) - S_{\alpha}(\rho_{B}).$ 

Similarly, Tsallis *α*-entropy is given by

$$
S_{\alpha}^{T}(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} [Tr(\rho_{AB}^{\alpha}) - 1], \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1.
$$
 (6)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>.

The conditional Tsallis *α*-entropy (CTAE) is given by [[52](#page-13-11)],

$$
S_{\alpha}^{T}(A|B) = \frac{Tr(\rho_B^{\alpha}) - Tr(\rho_{AB}^{\alpha})}{(\alpha - 1)Tr(\rho_B^{\alpha})}
$$

## **C. Fully entangled fraction**

In a bipartite quantum system, for any quantum state  $\rho_{AB} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H}_{AB})$  where  $\mathbb{H}_{AB} = \mathbb{H}_{A} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{B}$ , dim  $\mathbb{H}_{A} =$ dim  $H_B = d$ , the fully entangled fraction  $FEF(\rho)$  is defined as the maximal overlap of quantum state *ρ* with maximally entangled pure state. In other words, we can also say that it is a measure of proximity of quantum state with maximally entangled pure state. The fully entangled fraction of state *ρ* is given as

$$
FEF(\rho_{AB}) = \max_{|\phi\rangle \in S} \langle \phi | \rho_{AB} | \phi \rangle. \tag{7}
$$

where *S* is the set of all maximally entangled pure states. In other way, Equation( $\overline{7}$  $\overline{7}$  $\overline{7}$ ) can be expressed as [[6](#page-12-4)]

$$
FEF(\rho_{AB}) = \max_{U} \langle \psi_d^+ | U \otimes I \rho U^+ \otimes I | \psi_d^+ \rangle, \qquad (8)
$$

where  $|\psi_d^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1} |ii\rangle$  maximally entangled pure state, *U* is unitary transformation and *I* is identity transformation. In  $2 \otimes 2$  system, fully entangled fraction of any quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  is given as [[53](#page-13-12)]

$$
FEF(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + N(\rho_{AB}) \right], \tag{9}
$$

where  $N(\rho) = \text{Tr}|T|$ ;  $|T| = \sqrt{T^{\dagger}T}$  and  $T = [t_{ij}]$  is the correlation tensor of the general two qubit density matrix  $\rho_{2\otimes 2}$  $\rho_{2\otimes 2}$  $\rho_{2\otimes 2}$  given by the Equation (2).

## **D. Quantum nonlocality**

## *1. k-copy nonlocality*

Consider two parties, Alice and Bob sharing an entangled state  $\rho_{AB}$ . They perform measurements on their respective subsystem and obtain corresponding outcomes. Quantum measurements performed by Alice and Bob are characterized by set of positive operator  ${A_{a|x}}$  &  ${B_{b|y}}$  such that  $\sum_{a,x} A_{a|x} = I$  &  $\sum_{b,y} B_{b|y} = I$ , respectively. Here, *a* & *b* are their respective outcomes corresponding to their choice of measurements labeled by *x* & *y*. The resulting joint probabilities of outcomes are given by

$$
p(a,b|x,y) = \text{Tr}[(A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y})\rho_{AB}]. \tag{10}
$$

For some hidden variable *λ* with probability distribution  $q(\lambda)$ , if all the above resulting joint probabilities of outcomes can be expressed as

$$
p(a,b|x,y) = \int_{\lambda} p_A(a|x,\lambda) p_B(b|y,\lambda) q(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (11)
$$

where  $p_A(a|x, \lambda)$  &  $p_B(b|y, \lambda)$  represent the local resulting statistics of Alice and Bob, respectively, then the shared entangled state  $\rho_{AB}$  is said to be local or admit local hidden variable (LHV) model. If *p*(*a*, *b*|*x*, *y*) does not admit decomposition of the form of Equation ([11](#page-3-1)), then entangled state  $\rho_{AB}$  is nonlocal, and hence violates some chosen Bell's inequality for some measurements  ${A_{a|x}}$  &  ${B_{b|y}}$  [[54](#page-13-13), [55](#page-13-14)].

In standard Bell scenario, separated parties, Alice and Bob, perform measurements on single copy of entangled state  $\rho_{AB}$  in each round of test. Several rounds are required to test the Bell's inequality. In case of *k*− copy Bell scenario, parties perform local joint measurements on several copies of entangled state, namely *k*−copy (i.e., *ρ* ⊗*k AB* known as global bipartite entangled state)  $[49]$  $[49]$  $[49]$ . Now, we can have the case where initially the entangled state is local or has LHV model, but after performing local collective measurements on *k*−copy of entangled state it becomes Bell nonlocal and violates some chosen Bell's inequality. Then, we say that quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  is  $k$ −copy nonlocal for some  $k$ .

## *2. k-copy steering*

Quantum steering is a different notion of nonlocality. In standard quantum steering scenario, there are two spatially separated parties Alice and Bob sharing an entangled state *ρAB*. Here, only Alice performs some measurements on her part of system. Measurements are characterized by positive operator  $\{A_{a|x}\}\$  such that  $\sum_{a,x} A_{a|x} = I$ , and choice of measurements are labeled

by *x*, and *a* are the corresponding outcomes. After performing measurements, Alice remotely steers the state of Bob's system to unnormalized conditional state *ρa*|*<sup>x</sup>* (is known as quantum steering assemblage) for each measurement  $A_{a|x}$ . The resulting quantum steering assemblage is given by

$$
\rho_{a|x} = \text{Tr}_A[(A_{a|x} \otimes I)\rho_{AB}], \qquad (12)
$$

where Tr*<sup>A</sup>* is partial trace on Alice's system. Now, if the above resulting conditional state (steering assemblage) on Bob's side can be expressed as

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\rho_{a|x} = \int_{\lambda} \sigma_{\lambda} p_A(a|x,\lambda) q(\lambda) d\lambda, \qquad (13)
$$

where  $\sigma_{\lambda}$  is hidden state on Bob's side corresponding to hidden variable *λ* with probability distribution *q*(*λ*) and  $p_A(a|x, \lambda)$  represents the local resulting statistics of Alice. Then, the quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  is said to be unsteerable or has local hidden state (LHS) model. If  $\rho_{a|x}$  does not admit decomposition of the form of Equation ([13](#page-3-2)), then entangled state  $\rho_{AB}$  is steerable from Alice to Bob, and hence violates some chosen steering inequality for some measurement  $A_{a|x}$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>In standard steering scenario, Alice performs some measurements on single copy of entangled state *ρAB* in each round of test. Several rounds are required to test the steering inequality [[47](#page-13-6)]. In case of *k*−copy steering scenario, Alice performs local joint measurements on several copies of entangled state, namely *k*−copy (i.e.,  $\rho_{AB}^{\otimes k}$  known as global bipartite entangled state). Now, we can have the case where initially the entangled state is unsteerable or has LHS model, but after performing local collective measurements on *k*−copy of entangled state it becomes steerable and violates some chosen steering inequality [[47](#page-13-6)]. Then, we say that quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  is *k*−copy steerable for some *k*.

Having fixed the notations and basic definitions, we proceed to our study in the next section.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**III. CONDITIONAL ENTROPIES AND FULLY ENTANGLED FRACTION FOR TWO-QUBIT STATES**

In this section we consider the relationship of the entropic functions like conditional von Neumann entropies and *α*-conditional entropies with fully entangled fraction for different two qubit states like Werner state and Weyl state. Though our results are dependent on the nature of the state, however it gives us a perspective on how the quantifiers of entanglement are connected with entropic measures. As noted earlier, negativity of conditional von Neumann entropy is a benchmark for superdense coding and state merging with quantum advantage. Similarly  $FEF > 1/d$  for  $d \otimes d$  systems decides the efficacy of quantum states in the standard

teleportation protocol. Thus the relations between FEF and QCE that we obtain in this and the subsequent sections identify a common class of quantum states which are useful for both teleportation and superdense coding thus opening up the opportunity of using the same resource for multiple tasks.

## **A. Werner States**

The two-qubit Werner state in the Pauli basis  $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$  is given by,

$$
\rho_2^{wer}(p) = \frac{1}{4} [\mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 p(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)], \qquad (14)
$$

where  $\mathbb{I}_2$  is the 2  $\times$  2 identity matrix and *p* is the visibility parameter.

## *1. Relation with conditional von Neumann entropy*

The conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) for the Werner state is given by,

$$
S(A|B)_{\rho_2^{wer}(p)} = -3\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right)\log_2\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right) - \left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right)\log_2\left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right) - 1(15)
$$

CVNE is negative for  $p > 0.747614$ . The fully entangled fraction of Werner state is given by  $FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) =$  $\frac{1}{4}[1+N(\rho_2^{wer})]$ , where  $N(\rho_2^{wer})=Tr|T|$  and *T* is correlation matrix. So,  $FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) > \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow p > \frac{1}{3}$ . Thus, if the Werner state has negative conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) then it is useful for teleportation.

We now derive bounds to the FEF and QCE based on the relations between them.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Theorem 1.** *If fully entangled fraction of Werner state is strictly greater than half i.e.,*  $FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) > \frac{1}{2}$ *, then conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) is upper bounded by* 3*δ* log<sup>2</sup> (1/2*δ*) *i.e.,*

$$
FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow CVNE(\rho_2^{wer}) < 3\delta \log_2(1/2\delta),
$$

*where*  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ ,  $\delta_i$  are eigenvalues of  $\rho_2^{wer}$  and the *multiplicity of eigenvalue δ*<sup>2</sup> *is three.*

**Proof:** Let the fully entangled fraction  $FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) > \frac{1}{2}$ 2

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{1+3p}{4} > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{4}{1+3p} < 2 \Rightarrow \log_2\left[\frac{4}{1+3p}\right] < 1
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right) \log_2\left[\frac{4}{1+3p}\right] < \frac{1+3p}{4}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow -3\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right) \log_2\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right) - \left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right) \log_2\left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right) - 1
$$

$$
< \frac{1+3p}{4} - 3\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right) \log_2\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right) - 1
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \text{CVNE}(p_2^{wer}) < 3\delta \log_2(1/2\delta)
$$

This result can also be interpreted as one that gives the bound on the superdense coding capacity of the states that are useful for teleportation .

# *2. Relation with other α*− *conditional entropies*

Conditional Rényi *α*- entropy (CRAE) of two-qubit Werner state  $\rho_2^{wer}(p)$  is given by

$$
S_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\rho_2^{wer}(p)} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2[\frac{\left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right)^{\alpha} + 3\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right)^{\alpha}}{2(\frac{1}{2})^{\alpha}}];
$$
  
 $\alpha > 1$  (16)

In the following, we obtain lower bounds for fully entangled fraction whenever its conditional Rényi *α*−entropy is negative. Since  $S_{\alpha}^{T}(A|B) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow S_{\alpha}(A|B) \geq 0$ , we arrive at the same conclusion for the conditional Tsallis *α*−entropy.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Theorem 2.** *If conditional Rényi α*−*entropy (CRAE) of twoqubit Werner state is negative, then the fully entangled fraction is lower bounded by*  $\left[\frac{1}{2\alpha}\right]$  $\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}}-3\delta^{\alpha}]^{1/\alpha}$  *i.e.*,

$$
CRAE(\rho_2^{wer}) < 0 \Rightarrow FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) > \left[\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}} - 3\delta^{\alpha}\right]^{1/\alpha},
$$

*where*  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ ,  $\delta_i$  are eigenvalues of  $\rho_2^{wer}$  and the *multiplicity of eigenvalue δ*<sup>2</sup> *is three.*

**Proof:** Let the Conditional Rényi *<sup>α</sup>*−entropy CRAE <sup>&</sup>lt; <sup>0</sup>

$$
\Rightarrow S_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\rho_2^{wer}(p)} < 0 \Rightarrow \log_2\left[\frac{\left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right)^{\alpha} + 3\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right)^{\alpha}}{2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha}}\right] > 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right)^{\alpha} + 3\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right)^{\alpha} > 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow FFE(\rho_2^{wer}) > \left[\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}} - 3\delta^{\alpha}\right]^{1/\alpha}; \ \alpha > 1
$$

**Theorem 3.** *If conditional Rényi α*−*entropy (CRAE) of twoqubit Werner state is negative, then it is useful for teleportation whenever*  $\delta < \left[\frac{2^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha-1}}{22^{\alpha-1}}\right]$  $\int_{3.2^{2\alpha-1}}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha}$  where  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ ,  $\delta_i$  are eigenvalues of  $\rho_2^{wer}$  and the multiplicity of eigenvalue *δ*<sup>2</sup> *is three.*

**Proof:** For 
$$
\delta < \left[\frac{2^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha-1}}{3.2^{2\alpha-1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \Rightarrow \left[\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}}-3\delta^{\alpha}\right]^{1/\alpha} > \frac{1}{2}
$$
 and by using Theorem (2) we have  $\Rightarrow$   $FFE(\rho_2^{wer}) > \frac{1}{2}$ .

## **B. Weyl state**

We consider the two qubit Weyl state,

$$
\rho_2^{weyl} = \frac{1}{4} [\mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i (\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)]. \tag{17}
$$

The conditional Rényi 2-entropy of  $\rho_2^{weyl}$  $_2^{weyt}$  is given by  $S_2(A|B)$ <sub> $\rho_2^{weyl} = 1 - \log_2(1 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2)$  and the fully en-</sub> tangled fraction of Weyl state is given by  ${FEF}(\rho_2^{weyl})$  $\binom{wey_i}{2}$  =  $\frac{1}{4}[1+N(\rho_2^{weyl})]$ 2 )], where *N*(*ρ weyl*  $\binom{weight}{2} = Tr|T|$  and *T* is correlation matrix.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Theorem 4.** *If the conditional Rényi* 2−*entropy (CR*2*E) of two-qubit Weyl state (i.e., ρ weyl* 2 *) is negative then the fully entangled fraction is strictly greater than half, and hence useful for teleportation i.e.,*

$$
C R 2E(\rho_2^{weyl}) < 0 \Rightarrow F EF(\rho_2^{weyl}) > \frac{1}{2}.
$$

**Proof:** Let 
$$
CR2E(\rho_2^{weyl})
$$
 is negative  $\Rightarrow S_2(A|B)_{\rho_2^{weyl}} < 0$   
\n $\Rightarrow t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 > 1$   
\n $\Rightarrow (|t_1| + |t_2| + |t_3|)^2 > 1$  where  $|t_1| \neq |t_2| \neq |t_3| \neq 0$   
\n $\Rightarrow |t_1| + |t_2| + |t_3| > 1 \Rightarrow N(\rho_2^{weyl}) > 1$   
\n $\Rightarrow FEF(\rho_2^{weyl}) > \frac{1}{2}$ 

**Theorem 5.** *If the fully entangled fraction of two-qubit Weyl state is strictly greater than half then the conditional Rényi* 2−*entropy* (CR2*E*) is bounded above by  $log_2[\frac{1}{1-R}]$  i.e.,

$$
FEF(\rho_2^{weyl}) > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow CR2E(\rho_2^{weyl}) < \log_2\left[\frac{1}{1-R}\right],
$$
  
where  $R = |t_1||t_2| + |t_1||t_3| + |t_2||t_3|$  and  $0 < R < 1$ .

Proof: Let 
$$
FEF(\rho_2^{weyl}) > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow N(\rho_2^{weyl}) > 1
$$
  
\n $\Rightarrow |t_1| + |t_2| + |t_3| > 1$   
\n $\Rightarrow 1 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 + 2(|t_1||t_2| + |t_1||t_3| + |t_2||t_3|) > 2$   
\n $\Rightarrow 1 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 > 2 - 2R$   
\n $\Rightarrow \log_2[1 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2] > \log_2[2 - 2R]$   
\n $\Rightarrow 1 - \log_2(1 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2) < 1 - \log_2[2 - 2R]$   
\n $\Rightarrow S_2(A|B)_{\rho_2^{weyl}} < \log_2\left[\frac{1}{1 - R}\right]$ 

Having discussed the relations between FEF and QCE in two qubit systems, we now move to systems consisting of two qudits in the next section.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>**IV. CONDITIONAL ENTROPIES AND FULLY ENTANGLED FRACTION IN** *d* ⊗ *d* **SYSTEM**

In this section we extend our study from  $2 \otimes 2$  systems to states taken from  $d \otimes d$  systems. Here we take the cases of  $d \otimes d$  extensions of Werner State, generalized Bell diagonal state, rank deficient state and isotropic state.

# **A. Isotropic states**

We consider the isotropic state  $[50]$  $[50]$  $[50]$ , which is given by

$$
\rho_d^{iso} = F|\psi_d^+\rangle\langle\psi_d^+| + (1 - F)\frac{I_{d \times d} - |\psi_d^+\rangle\langle\psi_d^+|}{d^2 - 1}, \quad (18)
$$

where  $|\psi_d^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{d}{d} \sum_{i=1} |ii\rangle$  and  $FEF(\rho_d^{iso}) = F$ . Conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) is given by

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
S(A|B)_{\rho_d^{iso}} = -F \log_2(F) - (1 - F) \log_2\left(\frac{1 - F}{d^2 - 1}\right) - \log_2(d). \tag{19}
$$

## *1. Relation with conditional von Neumann entropy*

**Theorem 6.** *If conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) of isotropic state is negative then fully entangled fraction of isotropic state is bounded below by*  $\log_{(d^2-1)}\left[\frac{d^2-1}{d}\right]$  *i.e.,* 

$$
CVNE(\rho_d^{iso}) < 0 \Rightarrow F > \log_{(d^2-1)} \left[ \frac{d^2-1}{d} \right].
$$

## **B. Werner state in two qudits**

The Werner state in  $d \otimes d$  system is defined as [[56](#page-13-15)]

$$
\rho_w = \frac{d-x}{d^3 - d} I \otimes I + \frac{dx - 1}{d^3 - d} V, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad (21)
$$

where *V* is swap operator such that  $V(|ij\rangle) = |ji\rangle$ . FEF for Werner states is given in  $\left[35\right]$  $\left[35\right]$  $\left[35\right]$ . If *d* is even, FEF is given by

> $F =$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\int \frac{1+x}{d(d+1)}$ ,  $\frac{1}{d} \leq x \leq 1$

$$
\frac{1-x}{d(d-1)}, \quad -1 \leq x < \frac{1}{d}.
$$

If *d* is odd, FEF is given by

$$
F = \begin{cases} \frac{1+x}{d(d+1)}, & \frac{1}{d} \leq x \leq 1\\ \frac{d^2 - d^2x + dx + d - 2}{d^2(d^2 - 1)}, & -1 \leq x < \frac{1}{d} \end{cases}
$$

# *1. Relation with conditional von Neumann entropy*

Eigenvalues of  $\rho_w$  are  $\frac{1+x}{d^2+d}$  and  $\frac{1-x}{d^2-d}$  with multiplicity  $\frac{d^2+d}{2}$  and  $\frac{d^2-d}{2}$ , respectively [[57](#page-13-16)]. Conditional von Neumann entropy is given by

$$
S(A|B) = -\frac{1+x}{2}\log_2\frac{1+x}{d^2+d} -\frac{1-x}{2}\log_2\frac{1-x}{d^2-d} - \log_2 d.
$$
 (22)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Case-I :** For *d* is even or odd and  $\frac{1}{d} \leq x < 1$ .

**Theorem 8.** *If d is even or odd and*  $\frac{1}{d} \leq x < 1$ *, then*  $F^{\gamma} =$  $4^{-S(A|B)}$  Γ *where*  $\gamma = 1 + x$  and  $\Gamma = \left(\frac{d^2-d}{1-x}\right)$  $\big\}^{1-x}$  1  $\frac{1}{d^2}$ .

**Proof:** If *d* is even or odd and 
$$
\frac{1}{d} \le x < 1 \Rightarrow F = \frac{1+x}{d(d+1)}
$$
  
\n
$$
S(A|B) = -\frac{1+x}{2} \log_2 \frac{1+x}{d^2 + d} - \frac{1-x}{2} \log_2 \frac{1-x}{d^2 - d} - \log_2 d
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow S(A|B) = -\frac{1+x}{2} \log_2 F - \frac{1-x}{2} \log_2 \frac{1-x}{d^2 - d} - \log_2 d
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F^{1+x} = 4^{-S(A|B)} \left(\frac{d^2 - d}{1 - x}\right)^{1 - x} \frac{1}{d^2}
$$

**Note:** If  $x = 1$  then  $F = \frac{2^{-S(A|B)}}{d}$  $\frac{d}{d}$ . **Case-II For** *d* **is even and**  $-1 < x < \frac{1}{d}$ **.** 

**Proof:** Let CVNE is negative  $\Rightarrow S(A|B)_{\rho_d^{iso}} < 0$ 

$$
\Rightarrow -F \log_2(F) - (1 - F) \log_2\left(\frac{1 - F}{d^2 - 1}\right) - \log_2(d) < 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (1 - F) \log_2(d^2 - 1) < \log_2(d) - H(F, 1 - F)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \log_2(d^2 - 1) - F \log_2(d^2 - 1) < \log_2(d)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F \log_2(d^2 - 1) > \log_2\left(\frac{d^2 - 1}{d}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F > \log_{(d^2 - 1)}\left[\frac{d^2 - 1}{d}\right]
$$

Since,  $\log_{(d^2-1)}\left[\frac{d^2-1}{d}\right] > \frac{1}{d}$  for all  $d > 2$ , and for  $d = 2$ , negative CVNE implies that  $F > \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.1.** *If conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) of isotropic state is negative then fully entangled fraction of isotropic state is strictly greater than* 1 *d*  $i.e., CVNE(\rho_d^{iso}) < 0 \Rightarrow F > \frac{1}{d}$ 

## *2. Relation with conditional Rényi 2-entropy*

Conditional Renyi 2-entropy (CR2E) of isotropic state is given by

$$
S_2(A|B)_{\rho_d^{iso}} = \log_2 \left[ \frac{d^2 - 1}{d(d^2 - 1)F^2 + d(1 - F)^2} \right].
$$
 (20)

**Theorem 7.** *The conditional Renyi 2-entropy (CR*2*E) of isotropic state with fully entangled fraction F in d* ⊗ *d system is negative iff fully entangled fraction is lower bounded by*  $\frac{1+\sqrt{1+d(d^2-d-1)}}{d^2}$  *i.e.*,

$$
CR2E(\rho_d^{iso}) < 0 \Leftrightarrow F > \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + d(d^2 - d - 1)}}{d^2}.
$$

**Proof:** Let CR2E is negative  $\Leftrightarrow S_2(A|B)_{\rho_d^{iso}} < 0$ 

$$
\Leftrightarrow \log_2\left[\frac{d^2 - 1}{d(d^2 - 1)F^2 + d(1 - F)^2}\right] < 0
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \log_2\left[\frac{d^2 - 1}{d(d^2 - 1)F^2 + d(1 - F)^2}\right] < \log_2(1)
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \frac{d^2 - 1}{d(d^2 - 1)F^2 + d(1 - F)^2} < 1
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow d^3F^2 - 2dF + (1 - d^2 + d) > 0
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow F > \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + d(d^2 - d - 1)}}{d^2}
$$

**Theorem 9.** *If d is even and*  $-1 < x < \frac{1}{d}$ , then  $F^{\gamma}$  =  $4^{-S(A|B)}$   $\Gamma$  *where*  $\gamma = 1 - x$  and  $\Gamma = \left(\frac{d^2+d}{1+x}\right)^{1+x} \frac{1}{d^2}$  $\frac{1}{d^2}$ .

**Proof:** If *d* is even and 
$$
-1 < x < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow F = \frac{1-x}{d(d-1)}
$$
  
\n
$$
S(A|B) = -\frac{1+x}{2} \log_2 \frac{1+x}{d^2 + d} - \frac{1-x}{2} \log_2 \frac{1-x}{d^2 - d} - \log_2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow S(A|B) = -\frac{1+x}{2} \log_2 \frac{1+x}{d^2 + d} - \frac{1-x}{2} \log_2 F - \log_2 d
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F^{1-x} = 4^{-S(A|B)} \left(\frac{d^2 + d}{1+x}\right)^{1+x} \frac{1}{d^2}
$$

**Note:** If  $x = -1$  then  $F = \frac{2^{-S(A|B)}}{d}$  $\frac{d^{(1)}(b)}{d}$ .

## *2. Relation with conditional Rényi α-entropy*

Conditional Rényi *α*- entropy (CRAE) of *ρw* is given by

$$
S_{\alpha}(A|B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left[d^{\alpha-1}\right] \left(\frac{d^2+d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+x}{d^2+d}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{d^2-d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1-x}{d^2-d}\right)^{\alpha} \Big|, \quad \alpha > 1 \quad (23)
$$

**Case-I** For *d* is even or odd and  $\frac{1}{d} \leq x \leq 1$ 

**Theorem 10.** If d is even or odd and  $\frac{1}{d} \leq x \leq 1$ , then  $F^{\alpha} = \Delta$  *where*  $\Delta = \frac{2.2^{(1-\alpha)S_{\alpha}(A|B)} - d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)^{1-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha}}{d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)}$  $\frac{d^{(n-1)}(d^2+1)}{d^{(n-1)}(d^2+d)}$ .

Table I: Negativity of CVNE

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

	$Dimension(d)$ Useful for teleportation	Negative CVNE
	$0.5 < p \leq 1$	0.666667 < p < 1
	$0.3333 < p \leq 1$	0.241217 < p < 1
	$0 < p \leq 1$	0.0409511 < p < 1
Б.	$0 < p \leq 1$	0.00433229 < p < 1
	0 < p < 1	0.000349461 < p < 1
d		

Proof: If *d* is even and 
$$
-1 \le x < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow F = \frac{1-x}{d(d-1)}
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{\alpha}(A|B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2[d^{\alpha-1}[\left(\frac{d^2+d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+x}{d^2+d}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{d^2-d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1-x}{d^2-d}\right)^{\alpha}]]
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow 2^{(1-\alpha)S_{\alpha}(A|B)} =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d^{\alpha-1}(d^2+d)(1+x)^{\alpha} + d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)^{1-\alpha}F^{\alpha}}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F^{\alpha} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^{(1-\alpha)S_{\alpha}(A|B)} - d^{\alpha-1}(d^2+d)^{1-\alpha}(1+x)^{\alpha}}{d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)}
$$

## **C. Rank-deficient states**

Rank-two entangled state in *d* ⊗ *d* system, denoted by  $\rho_{rd}$ , is given by [[6](#page-12-4), [58](#page-13-17)]

$$
\rho_{rd} = p|\psi_d^+\rangle\langle\psi_d^+| + (1-p)|01\rangle\langle01|, p \in (0,1] \quad (24)
$$

where  $|\psi_d^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |ii\rangle$ . If  $d \geq 4$ , state  $\rho_{rd}$  is useful for teleportation for all  $p \in (0,1]$ , and when  $d \leq 3$  state *ρ<sub>rd</sub>* is useful for teleportation for  $p \in (\frac{1}{d}, 1]$  [[59](#page-13-18)]. We  $p_{rd}$  is useful for deeportation for  $p \in \binom{d}{d}$ ,  $\frac{1}{1}$  [39]. We discuss here the relation between conditional entropies

 $d(d+1)$ and fully entangled fraction of the rank deficient state.

## *1. Relation with conditional von Neumann entropy*

CVNE of  $\rho_{rd}$  is given by

$$
S(A|B)_{\rho_{rd}} = \frac{dp - p}{d} \log_2 \left(\frac{p}{d}\right)
$$
  
 
$$
+ \frac{d - dp + p}{d} \log_2 \left(\frac{d - dp + p}{d}\right) + H(p), \quad (25)
$$

where *H*(*p*) is binary entropy i.e., *H*(*p*) =  $-p \log_2(p) - p$  $(1-p) \log_2(1-p)$ . Table [I](#page-7-0) notes the negativity of CVNE and is usefulness for teleportation for various dimensions of state, i.e.,  $d = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

**Proof:** If *d* is even or odd and  $\frac{1}{d} \le x \le 1 \Rightarrow F = \frac{1+x}{d(d+1)}$ 

$$
S_{\alpha}(A|B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left[d^{\alpha-1}\right] \left(\frac{d^2+d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+x}{d^2+d}\right)^{\alpha}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left(\frac{d^2-d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1-x}{d^2-d}\right)^{\alpha} \left|\right|
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow 2^{(1-\alpha)S_{\alpha}(A|B)} =
$$
  

$$
\frac{d^{\alpha-1}(d^2+d)F^{\alpha} + d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)^{1-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha}}{2}
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow F^{\alpha} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^{(1-\alpha)S_{\alpha}(A|B)} - d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)^{1-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha}}{d^{\alpha-1}(d^2+d)}
$$

**Case-II For** *d* **is even and**  $-1 \le x < \frac{1}{d}$ .

**Theorem 11.** If d is even or odd and  $\frac{1}{d} \leq x \leq 1$ , then  $F^{\alpha} = \Delta$  *where*  $\Delta = \frac{2.2^{(1-\alpha)S_{\alpha}(A|B)} - d^{\alpha-1}(d^2+d)^{1-\alpha}(1+x)^{\alpha}}{d^{\alpha-1}(d^2-d)}$  $\frac{d^{a-1}(d^2-d)}{d^{a-1}(d^2-d)}$ .

Thus, we can say that if the CVNE of the rank-deficient state is negative then it is useful for teleportation for the dimension  $d = 2, 4, 5, 6$ . But for  $d = 3$ , the scenario is different, there is a range of parameter values in which CVNE is negative but still it is not useful for teleportation.

# *2. Relation with conditional Rényi 2-entropy*

Now, we check the relation between conditional Renyi 2-entropy and fully entangled fraction of the state *ρrd*. Conditional Renyi 2-entropy is given by

$$
S_2(A|B)_{\rho_{rd}} = \log_2\left[\frac{(d-1)p^2 + (d-dp+p)^2}{d^2(p^2 + (1-p)^2)}\right].
$$
 (26)

We observe that CR2E is negative if  $p > \frac{2}{d+1}$ . For  $d \leq 3$ , state  $\rho_{rd}$  is useful for teleportation when  $p > \frac{1}{d}$ . Now, we consider a function  $f(d) = \frac{2}{d+1} - \frac{1}{d}$  and find that  $f(d) > 0$  for  $d \geq 2$ . So, if CR2E is negative, then it is useful for teleportation whenever  $d \leq 3$ . Since, state  $\rho_{rd}$ is useful for teleportation for all  $d \geq 4$  when  $p \in (0,1]$ , so if CR2E is negative, then it is useful for teleportation for  $d \geq 4$ . Thus, we can conclude that if CR2E is negative then state  $\rho_{rd}$  is useful for teleportation.

## **D. Generalized Bell Diagonal States**

Consider a maximally entangled pure state on Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}_{AB} = \mathbb{H}_A \otimes \mathbb{H}_B$ , dim  $\mathbb{H}_A = \dim \mathbb{H}_B = d$ , is given by  $|\psi_d^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{d}$  ∑ $^{d^2-1}_{i=0}$  | $ii$ ⟩ Here, | $ψ^+_d$ ⟩ is also known as the Bell state. Now, we consider unitary operators, also known as Weyl operators, defined by

$$
U_{mn}|i\rangle = \eta^{m(i-n)}|i-n\rangle, \qquad (27)
$$

where *η* = *e*<sup> $\frac{2\pi j}{d}$ , *m*, *n*, *i* = 0, 1, 2, ..., *d* − 1.</sup>

The action of the unitary operator *Umn* on one of the subsystems of the chosen Bell state produces other mutually orthogonal Bell states and is given by  $|\psi_{mn}\rangle$  =  $(I \otimes U_{mn})|\psi_d^{\dagger}\rangle$ . The Bell projectors corresponding to  $|\psi_{mn}\rangle$  is given by

$$
P_{mn} = |\psi_{mn}\rangle\langle\psi_{mn}|
$$
  
=  $(I \otimes U_{mn})|\psi_d^+\rangle\langle\psi_d^+|(I \otimes U_{mn}^+).$  (28)

For our convenience, we change the denotation of  ${P_{mn}}_{m,n=0}^{d-1}$  to  ${P_i}_{i=0}^{d^2-1}$ . We now consider the simplex of Bell projectors  $[60-65]$  $[60-65]$  $[60-65]$  $[60-65]$  $[60-65]$  given by  $\rho_{gb}$  =  $\sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i P_i$ , *p*<sub>*i*</sub> ≥ 0,  $\sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i = 1$ . The fully en-tangled fraction from Equation([7](#page-2-0)) of  $\rho$  is max $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{d^2-1}$ , where  $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{d^2-1}$  are the eigenvalues of state  $\rho_{gb}$  and

 $i = 0, 1, ..., d^2 - 1$ . So, FEF( $\rho_{gb}$ ) is  $F = \max\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{d^2-1}$ . In the subsequent subsection, we investigate the relation between fully entangled fractions and conditional entropies.

## *1. Relation with conditional von Neumann entropy*

Conditional von Neumann entropy (CVNE) of *ρgb* is given by  $S(A|B) = -\sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i \log_2(p_i) - \log_2(d)$ .

**Theorem 12.** *If conditional von Neumann entropy of state*  $\rho_{gb}$  *is negative, then*  $F<sup>F</sup>d\beta > 1$  *where F is fully entangled fraction, d is dimension, and*  $\beta = \prod_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{p_i}$  *i.e., product of all*  $p_i^{p_i}$  except the term for largest  $p_i$ ,  $p_i > 0$ .

**Proof:** Let conditional von Neumann entropy is negative,  $\Rightarrow S(A|B) < 0 \Rightarrow S(AB) < log_2(d)$ 

$$
\Rightarrow -\sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i \log_2(p_i) < \log_2(d)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow -\left(F \log_2(F) + \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i \log_2(p_i)\right) < \log_2(d)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow -F \log_2(F) < \log_2(d) + \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i \log_2(p_i)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow -F \log_2(F) < \log_2(d) + \log_2\left(\prod_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{p_i}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F^F d\beta > 1
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Theorem 13.** If  $F > \frac{1}{d}$  then  $S(A|B) < Y$  where  $Y =$  $\log_2\left(\frac{d^{F-1}}{\Gamma d^{2-2}}\right)$  $\prod_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{p_i}$  $\left( p_i > 0.$ 

**Proof:** Here we have  $F > \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{d}$  ⇒  $-F \log_2(F)$  <  $F \log_2(d)$  $S(A|B) =$ *d* <sup>2</sup>−<sup>1</sup> ∑ *i*=0  $p_i \log_2(p_i) - \log_2(d)$  $= -F \log_2(F)$ *d* <sup>2</sup>−<sup>2</sup> ∑ *i*=0  $p_i \log_2(p_i) - \log_2(d)$  $\langle F \log_2(d)$ *d* <sup>2</sup>−<sup>2</sup> ∑ *i*=0  $p_i \log_2(p_i) - \log_2(d)$  $<$  log<sub>2</sub>( $d^{F-1}$ ) – log<sub>2</sub>( *d* <sup>2</sup>−<sup>2</sup> ∏ *i*=0  $p_i^{p_i}$  $< \log_2(\frac{d^{F-1}}{\Gamma d^2 - 2})$  $\frac{u}{\prod_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{p_i}}$ ,  $p_i > 0$ *i*=0 *i*

# *2. Relation with other α*− *conditional entropies*

Conditional Rényi *α*- entropy (CRAE) of *ρgb* is given by  $S_{\alpha}(A|B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left( d^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i^{\alpha} \right)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ 

**Theorem 14.** *If conditional Rényi α- entropy (CRAE) of ρgb is negative then F<sup>α</sup> >*  $\left(\frac{1-d^{\alpha-1}X}{d^{\alpha-1}}\right)$ *d*<sup>α-1</sup>X</sub>  $\left(\frac{d^{a-1}X}{d^{a-1}}\right)$  where  $X = \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{\alpha}$  i.e., *sum of all*  $p_i^{\alpha}$  *except the term for largest*  $p_i$ *.* 

**Proof:** Let the conditional Rényi *α*- entropy (CRAE) of  $\rho_{gb}$  *is negative.*  $\Rightarrow$  *S*<sub>*α*</sub>(*A*|*B*) < 0

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left( d^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i^{\alpha} \right) < 0
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow \log_2 \left( d^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i^{\alpha} \right) > 0 \Rightarrow d^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i^{\alpha} > 1
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow F^{\alpha} + \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{\alpha} > \frac{1}{d^{\alpha-1}} \Rightarrow F^{\alpha} > \frac{1}{d^{\alpha-1}} - \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{\alpha}
$$

**Theorem 15.** *If*  $F > \frac{1}{d}$  *then*  $S_{\alpha}(A|B) < \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log_2 \left( \frac{1+d^{\alpha}Z}{d} \right)$ *where*  $Z = \sum_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{\alpha}$  *i.e., sum of all*  $p_i^{\alpha}$  *except the term for largest p<sup>i</sup> .*

Proof: Let 
$$
F > \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow F^{\alpha} > \frac{1}{d^{\alpha}} \Rightarrow F^{\alpha} + Z > Z + \frac{1}{d^{\alpha}}
$$
  
\n $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 (F^{\alpha} + Z) < \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 (Z + \frac{1}{d^{\alpha}})$   
\n $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i^{\alpha}\right) < \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\frac{1+d^{\alpha}Z}{d^{\alpha}}\right)$   
\n $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d^2-1} p_i^{\alpha}\right) - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{d^{\alpha-1}}\right)$   
\n $< \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\frac{1+d^{\alpha}Z}{d^{\alpha}}\right) - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{d^{\alpha-1}}\right)$   
\n $\Rightarrow S_{\alpha}(A|B) < \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \left(\frac{1+d^{\alpha}Z}{d^{\alpha}}\right)$ 

As noted earlier, there is an intricate relation of FEF of quantum states and its nonlocal characteristics when several copies of the state is taken. Having obtained relations between FEF and QCE for several classes of quantum states, we now proceed to investigate the relation between *k*− copy nonlocality (both steering and standard Bell nonlocality) and QCE in the subsequent sections.

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>**V. K-COPY STEERABILITY AND CONDITIONAL ENTROPIES**

In this section we investigate the relation of conditional entropies and *k*−copy steerability for low dimension and few copies of isotropic state.

## **A. Isotropic state**

## *1. Relation with conditional von Neumann entropy*

Conditional von Neumann entropy of isotropic state  $\rho_d^{iso}$  is given by Equation ([19](#page-5-1)).

# **Case-I For low dimension**  $d = 2$ **.**

Conditional von Neumann entropy (i.e., CVNE) of isotropic state for low dimension (i.e.,  $d = 2$ ) is negative for  $F > 0.81071$ . In [[49](#page-13-8)], it is mentioned that any state is *k*−copy steerable for projective measurement if

$$
F^k > \frac{(1+d^k)(\sum_{n=1}^{d^k} \frac{1}{n} - 1) - d^k}{d^{2k}}.
$$
 (29)

From [[49](#page-13-8)], we observe that  $k = 7$  is the minimum number of copies *k* such that two-qubit isotropic state  $\rho_2^{iso}$  is *k*−copy steerable, and hence we obtained the range for *F* i.e.,  $F > 0.600034$ . Thus, for  $k \ge 7$ , we can conclude that if CVNE of two-qubit isotropic state is negative then it is *k*−copy steerable.

## **Case-II For few copies**  $k = 2$ **.**

Here, we discuss the *k*−copy steering with few copies of state. From [[49](#page-13-8)], for  $k = 2$ , we know that  $\rho_d^{iso}$  is  $k$ −copy steerable for projective measurement whenever  $d \geq 6$ . Here, we check the relation of CVNE and *k*−copy steering for  $d = 6$ . We observe that CVNE is negative for *<sup>F</sup>* <sup>&</sup>gt; 0.673671, and it is *<sup>k</sup>*−copy steerable whenever  $F > 0.257221$ . Thus, for dimension six, we can say that if CVNE is negative, then it is *k*−copy steerable.

## *2. Relation with conditional Rényi 2-entropy*

We see here the relation between conditional Renyi 2-entropy(CR2E) and *k*−copy steerability of isotropic states. CR2E is given by Equation ([20](#page-6-0)).

# **Case-I For low dimension**  $d = 2$ .

CR2E of isotropic state for low dimension  $d = 2$  is negative for  $F > 0.683013$ . From [[49](#page-13-8)], we observe that  $k = 7$  is the minimum number of copies  $k$  such that two-qubit isotropic state  $\rho_2^{iso}$  is *k*−copy steerable, and

hence we obtained the range for *F* i.e.,  $F > 0.600034$ . Thus, for  $k \geq 7$ , we can conclude that if CR2E of two-qubit isotropic state is negative then it is *k*−copy steerable.

# **Case-II For few copies**  $k = 2$ **.**

Here, we discuss the *k*−copy steering with few copies of state. From [[49](#page-13-8)], for  $k = 2$ , we know that  $\rho_d^{iso}$  is *k*−copy steerable for projective measurement whenever *d* ≥ 6. Here, we check the relation of CR2E and *k*−copy steering for  $d = 6$ . We observe that CR2E is negative for *<sup>F</sup>* <sup>&</sup>gt; 0.395243, and it is *<sup>k</sup>*−copy steerable whenever  $F > 0.257221$ . Thus, for dimension six, we can say that if CR2E is negative, then it is *k*−copy steerable.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>**VI. K-COPY NONLOCALITY AND CONDITIONAL ENTROPIES**

## **A. Weyl states**

By using Theorem  $(4)$  $(4)$  $(4)$ , we know that two-qubit Weyl states with negative CR2E are useful for teleportation i.e.,  $FEF(\rho_2^{weyl})$  $\binom{weyl}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ , and from [[39](#page-12-30)] we know that all quantum states useful for teleportation are nonlocal resources and hence *k*−copy nonlocal. Thus, we have the following result.

**Observation 1.** *All two-qubit Weyl states with negative CR*2*E are k*−*copy nonlocal and hence, are nonlocal resources i.e.,*

$$
CR2E(\rho_2^{weyl}) < 0 \Rightarrow k\text{-}copy \ nohlocal.
$$

#### **B. Non-Weyl state**

Consider a two-qubit non-Weyl state given by [[39](#page-12-30), [66](#page-13-21)]

$$
\rho_2^{nl} = p|\psi_x\rangle\langle\psi_x| + (1-p)[\rho_x^A \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}}{2}], \tag{30}
$$

where  $|\psi_x\rangle = \cos x|00\rangle + \sin x|11\rangle$ ,  $\rho_x^A = \text{Tr}_B(|\psi_x\rangle \langle \psi_x|)$ ;  $0 < x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . In [[39](#page-12-30)], if  $\text{FEF}(\rho_2^{nl}) > \frac{1}{2}$  then  $p \ge \frac{1}{1+2\sin 2x}$ . Hence,  $\rho_2^{nl}$  is *k*-copy nonlocal for all  $0 < x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$  when  $p \geq \frac{1}{1+2\sin 2x}$ . CR2E is negative when  $p > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{3}$  for all  $0 < x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Now, we consider a function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{1+2\sin 2x}$  for all  $0 < x \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ . We observe that function  $f(x)$  is positive for  $\frac{1}{2} \arcsin(\frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2}) < x \le$  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ . Thus, we can conclude that if  $\overline{C}R2E$  is negative then it is *k*−copy nonlocal.

## **C. Noisy state**

We consider an arbitrary pure state  $|\psi\rangle$  with its Schmidt decomposition given by

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \lambda_i |ii\rangle, \tag{31}
$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are real, strictly positive and satisfies normalised condition  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \lambda_i^2 = 1$ . In [[67](#page-13-22)], the mixture of an arbitrary pure state  $|\psi\rangle$  with maximally mixed noise is given by

$$
\delta_d^{ns} = p|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + (1-p)\frac{I_d}{d} \otimes \frac{I_d}{d} \,. \tag{32}
$$

In [[39](#page-12-30)], it is given that the state  $\delta_d^{ns}$  is *k*−copy nonlocal if

$$
p > \frac{d-1}{d(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \lambda_i)^2 - 1} \,. \tag{33}
$$

Conditional Rényi 2-entropy (CR2E) of  $δ_d^{\text{ns}}$  is negative if

$$
p > \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{\sqrt{d-1+d^2(1-\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \lambda_i^4)}}.
$$
 (34)

In the below, we see the relation between *k*−copy nonlocal and negative conditional entropies for  $d = 3, 4, 5$ . Here, we are fixing the Schmidt coefficient of state  $\delta_d^{ns}$ in Equation ([31](#page-10-2)) for respective dimension. Considering the Schmidt coefficient  $(\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 6 ),  $(\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{6}$ ) and  $(\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\lambda_1$  = √ 1  $\frac{1}{27}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{21}}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \sqrt{\frac{4}{21}}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = \sqrt{\frac{5}{21}}$  for dimension  $d = 3, 4$  and 5, respectively. We observe that the state is *k*−copy nonlocal and have negative CR2E in the range (*p* > 0.263305 & *p* > 0.516398), (*p* > 0.206292 & *p* > 0.45399) and (*p* > 0.174342 & *p* > 0.415577) for dimension  $d = 3, 4$  and 5, respectively. Thus, we can say that if the state  $\delta_d^{ns}(d = 3, 4, 5)$  has negative CR2E for the above restriction, then it is *k*−copy nonlocal. Also, we observe that the state is *k*−copy nonlocal and have negative CVNE in the range (*p* > 0.263305 & *p* > 0.728901), (*p* > 0.206292 & *p* > 0.699086) and (*p* > 0.174342 &  $p > 0.685898$  for dimension  $d = 3, 4$  and 5, respectively. Thus, we can say that if the state  $\delta_d^{ns}(d = 3, 4, 5)$  has negative CVNE for the above restriction, then it is *k*−copy nonlocal

## <span id="page-10-1"></span>**VII. APPLICATION: WORK EXTRACTION**

Consider any quantum state  $\rho \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H}_{AB})$  where  $H_{AB} = H_A \otimes H_B$ , dim  $H_A = \text{dim } H_B = d$ . In [[68](#page-13-23)], the author introduced a framework and defined minimal deterministic average work cost, denoted by  $W_g(\rho)$ , in the IID (independent and identically distributed) limit of the erasure process according to  $[51]$  $[51]$  $[51]$ . Upper bounds for  $W_g(\rho)$  is given by [[51](#page-13-10), [68](#page-13-23)]

$$
-W_g(\rho) \le S(A|B)_{\rho} kT \ln 2 , \qquad (35)
$$

where *k* is the Boltzmann constant,  $k \geq 1$  [[68](#page-13-23)], and *T* is environmental temperature.

## *1. Werner state in two qubits*

Here, we investigate the work gain for the two-qubit Werner state. By Theorem $(1)$  $(1)$  $(1)$ , we have bounds of conditional von Neumann entropy of the two-qubit Werner state. Using Theorem( $1$ ) and Equation( $35$ ), we obtain the following results for the two-qubit Werner state.

**Observation 2.** *If fully entangled fraction of two-qubit Werner states is strictly greater than half i.e.,*  $FEF(\rho_2^{wer}) >$ 1 2 *, then*

$$
W_g(\rho_2^{wer}) > kT3\delta\ln(2\delta)\;,
$$

*where*  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ ,  $\delta_i$  are eigenvalues of  $\rho_2^{wer}$  and the *multiplicity of eigenvalue δ*<sup>2</sup> *is three.*

## *2. Generalized Bell Diagonal States*

Here, we investigate the work gain for the generalized Bell diagonal state *ρ*. By Theorem([13](#page-8-0)), we have bounds of conditional von Neumann entropy of generalized Bell diagonal state. Using Theorem $(13)$  $(13)$  $(13)$ and Equation( $35$ ), we obtain the following results for the generalized Bell diagonal state.

**Observation 3.** *If fully entangled fraction of generalized Bell diagonal states is strictly greater than*  $\frac{1}{d}$  *<i>i.e., FEF*( $\rho$ )  $>$ 1 *d , then*

$$
W_g(\rho) > kT \ln(Q) ,
$$

where 
$$
Q = \left(\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{d^2-2} p_i^{p_i}}{d^{F-1}}\right)
$$
.

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>**VIII. CONCLUSION**

The present work discusses the relationship between conditional entropies and fully entangled fraction, as they provide important yardsticks for the merit of <span id="page-11-1"></span>quantum states in information processing tasks. In  $2 \otimes 2$ system, for Werner state, we obtain the upper bounds of conditional von Neumann entropy provided its fully entangled fraction is greater than half. Also, the lower bound of the fully entangled fraction is obtained in terms of eigenvalue if its conditional Rényi *α*−entropy is negative. For a two-qubit Weyl state, the negativity of conditional Rényi 2−entropy is a sufficient condition for being useful for teleportation, and the upper bounds to the conditional Rényi 2−entropy is obtained whenever it is useful for teleportation. Further, extending our studies to arbitrary *d* ⊗ *d* systems, we observe that the fully entangled fraction of an isotropic state is lower bounded provided its conditional von Neumann entropy is negative. We study the relation between fully entangled fraction and conditional entropies for for two qudit Werner states, rank deficient states and generalized Bell diagonal states. Also, we investigate the relation of *k*−copy steerability, *k*−copy nonlocality and conditional entropies. If the conditional von Neumann entropy of two-qubit isotropic is negative then it is *k*−copy steerable, same behaviour holds in the case of conditional Rényi 2−entropy. On considering a few copies  $(k = 2)$  of isotropic state in six dimensions, the negativity of conditional von Neumann entropy and conditional Rényi 2−entropy guarantees the *k*−copy steerability. The negativity of conditional Rényi 2−entropy of two-qubit Weyl state gives the sufficient condition for *k*−copy nonlocality. The same holds for some non-Weyl states. We show that the negativity of conditional Rényi 2−entropy of state (mixture of arbitrary pure state and maximally mixed noise) for dimension  $d = 3, 4, 5$  is the sufficient condition for *k*−copy nonlocality, and the same holds whenever the conditional von Neumann entropy is negative. Further, we discuss the application of fully entangled fraction in the context of work extraction.

We observe that in general the relation between FEF (particularly when the threshold value  $FEF > 1/d$ ) and negativity of conditional entropy is intricate. This calls for further probe for general quantum states. As we observe, such relations are significantly linked with quantum information processing protocols and thus further investigation will shed light on the efficacy of quantum states in information processing tasks. The implications of this study also carry significance in foundational studies like *k*− copy steerability and *k*− copy nonlocality and thus calls for further attention.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

NG acknowledges support from SERB(DST) MAT-RICS grant vide file number MTR/2022/000101.

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, *Quantum entanglement*, [Reviews of modern physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.865) **81**, 865 (2009).
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[2] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, *Quantum discord: a measure of the quantumness of correlations*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.017901) **88**, 017901 (2001).
- [3] I. Chakrabarty, P. Agrawal, and A. K. Pati, *Quantum dissension: generalizing quantum discord for three-qubit states*, [The European Physical Journal D](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2011-20543-y) **65**, 605 (2011).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[4] K. Modi, T. Paterek, W. Son, V. Vedral, and M. Williamson, *Unified view of quantum and classical correlations*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.080501) **104**, 080501 (2010).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[5] N. J. Cerf and C. Adami, *Quantum extension of conditional probability*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.893) **60**, 893 (1999).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[6] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *General teleportation channel, singlet fraction, and quasidistillation*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.1888) **60**, 1888 (1999).
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[7] A. Wehrl, *General properties of entropy*, [Reviews of Modern Physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.50.221) **50**, 221 (1978).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[8] C. E. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, [The Bell system technical journal](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x) **27**, 379 (1948).
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[9] A. Rényi, in *in Proceedings of the fourth Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability*, Vol. 1 (1961) p. 547.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[10] C. Tsallis, *Possible generalization of boltzmann-gibbs statistics*, [Journal of statistical physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429) **52**, 479 (1988).
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[11] M. Müller-Lennert, F. Dupuis, O. Szehr, S. Fehr, and M. Tomamichel, *On quantum rényi entropies: A new generalization and some properties*, [Journal of Mathematical Physics](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4838856) **54**, 12 (2013).
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[12] J. Von Neumann, *Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics: New edition*, Vol. 53 (Princeton university press, 2018).
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[13] N. J. Cerf and C. Adami, *Entropic bell inequalities*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.3371) **55**, 3371 (1997).
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[14] J. Schneeloch, C. J. Broadbent, S. P. Walborn, E. G. Cavalcanti, and J. C. Howell, *Einstein-podolsky-rosen steering inequalities from entropic uncertainty relations*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.062103) **87**, 062103 (2013).
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[15] A. C. Costa, R. Uola, and O. Gühne, *Entropic steering criteria: applications to bipartite and tripartite systems*, [Entropy](https://doi.org/10.3390/e20100763) **20**, 763 (2018).
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[16] S. Wehner and A. Winter, *Entropic uncertainty relations—a survey*, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/2/025009) **12**, 025009 (2010).
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[17] N. Gisin, G. Ribordy, W. Tittel, and H. Zbinden, *Quantum cryptography*, [Reviews of modern physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.145) **74**, 145 (2002).
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[18] N. J. Cerf and C. Adami, *Negative entropy and information in quantum mechanics*, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.5194) **79**, 5194 (1997).
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[19] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, *Communication via oneand two-particle operators on einstein-podolsky-rosen states*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2881) **69**, 2881 (1992).
- [20] D. Bruß, G. M. D'Ariano, M. Lewenstein, C. Macchiavello, A. Sen, and U. Sen, *Distributed quantum dense coding*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.210501) **93**, 210501 (2004).
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[21] R. Prabhu, A. K. Pati, A. Sen, and U. Sen, *Exclusion principle for quantum dense coding*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.052319) **87**, 052319 (2013).
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>[22] M. Horodecki, J. Oppenheim, and A. Winter, *Partial quantum information*, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03909) **436**, 673 (2005).
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>[23] M. Horodecki, J. Oppenheim, and A. Winter, *Quantum state merging and negative information*, [Communications in Mathematical Physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0118-x) **269**, 107 (2007).
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>[24] M. Vempati, N. Ganguly, I. Chakrabarty, and A. K. Pati, *Witnessing negative conditional entropy*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.104.012417) **104**, 012417 (2021).
- [25] M. Vempati, S. Shah, N. Ganguly, and I. Chakrabarty, *A-unital operations and quantum conditional entropy*, [Quantum](https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-02-02-641) **6**, 641 (2022).
- [26] S. Brandsen, I. J. Geng, M. M. Wilde, and G. Gour, *Quantum conditional entropy from information-theoretic principles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.15330 abs/2110.[15330](https://doi.org/abs/2110.15330) (2021).
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>[27] S. Patro, I. Chakrabarty, and N. Ganguly, *Non-negativity of conditional von neumann entropy and global unitary operations*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.062102) **96**, 062102 (2017).
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>[28] G. Gour, M. M. Wilde, S. Brandsen, and I. J. Geng, *Inevitability of knowing less than nothing*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.14424 10.48550[/arXiv.](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.14424)2208.14424 (2022).
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>[29] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, *Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.1895) **70**, 1895 (1993).
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>[30] M. Żukowski, A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne, and A. K. Ekert, *"event-ready-detectors"bell experiment via entanglement swapping*, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.4287) **71**, 4287 (1993).
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>[31] M. Li, S.-M. Fei, and Z.-X. Wang, *Upper bound of the fully entangled fraction*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.032332) **78**, 032332 (2008).
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>[32] X. F. Huang, N. H. Jing, and T. G. Zhang, *An upper bound of fully entangled fraction of mixed states*, [Communications in Theoretical Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/65/6/701) **65**, 701 (2016).
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>[33] G. Rui Juan, L. Ming, F. Shao Ming, and L. j. Xian Qing, *On estimation of fully entangled fraction*, [Communications in Theoretical Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/53/2/12) **53**, 265 (2010).
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>[34] M. J. Zhao, *Maximally entangled states and fully entangled fraction*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.012310) **91**, 012310 (2015).
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>[35] M. J. Zhao, Z. G. Li, S. M. Fei, and Z. X. Wang, *A note on fully entangled fraction*, [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/43/27/275203) **43**, 275203 (2010).
- [36] J. Grondalski, D. Etlinger, and D. James, *The fully entangled fraction as an inclusive measure of entanglement applications*, [Physics Letters A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(02)00884-8) **300**, 573 (2002).
- [37] T. Patro, K. Mukherjee, M. A. Siddiqui, I. Chakrabarty, and N. Ganguly, *Absolute fully entangled fraction from spectrum*, [The European Physical Journal D](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/s10053-022-00458-8) **76**, 127 (2022).
- [38] N. Ganguly, S. Adhikari, A. Majumdar, and J. Chatterjee, *Entanglement witness operator for quantum teleportation*, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.270501) **107**, 270501 (2011).
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>[39] D. Cavalcanti, A. Acin, N. Brunner, and T. Vértesi, *All quantum states useful for teleportation are nonlocal resources*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.042104) **87**, 042104 (2013).
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>[40] A. Acín, N. Brunner, N. Gisin, S. Massar, S. Pironio, and V. Scarani, *Device-independent security of quantum cryptography against collective attacks*, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.230501) **98**, 230501 (2007).
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[41] A. Acin, N. Gisin, and L. Masanes, *From bell's theorem to secure quantum key distribution*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.120405) **97**, 120405 (2006).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[42] H. Buhrman, R. Cleve, S. Massar, and R. De Wolf, *Nonlocality and communication complexity*, [Reviews of modern physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.665) **82**, 665 (2010).
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[43] S. Pironio, A. Acín, S. Massar, A. B. de La Giroday, D. N. Matsukevich, P. Maunz, S. Olmschenk, D. Hayes, L. Luo, T. A. Manning, *et al.*, *Random numbers certified by bell's theorem*, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09008) **464**, 1021 (2010).
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[44] N. Friis, S. Bulusu, and R. A. Bertlmann, *Geometry of two-qubit states with negative conditional entropy*, [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa5dfd) **50**, 125301 (2017). [Physical Review Research](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.023045) **3**, 023045 (2021).
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[45] K. Kumar and N. Ganguly, *Quantum conditional entropies and steerability of states with maximally mixed marginals*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.107.032206) **107**, 032206 (2023).
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[46] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R. A. Holt, *Proposed experiment to test local hidden-variable theories*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.23.880) **23**, 880 (1969).
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[47] E. G. Cavalcanti, S. J. Jones, H. M. Wiseman, and M. D. Reid, *Experimental criteria for steering and the einstein-podolsky-rosen paradox*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.032112) **80**, 032112 (2009).
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>[48] C. Palazuelos, *Superactivation of quantum nonlocality*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.190401) **109**, 190401 (2012).
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[49] M. T. Quintino, N. Brunner, and M. Huber, *Superactivation of quantum steering*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.062123) **94**, 062123 (2016).
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>[50] M. Horodecki and P. Horodecki, *Reduction criterion of separability and limits for a class of distillation protocols*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.59.4206) **59**, 4206 (1999).
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>[51] L. d. Rio, J. Aberg, R. Renner, O. Dahlsten, and V. Vedral, *The thermodynamic meaning of negative entropy*, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10123) **474**, 61 (2011).
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>[52] K. G. H. Vollbrecht and M. M. Wolf, *Conditional entropies and their relation to entanglement criteria*, [Journal of Mathematical Physics](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1498490) **43**, 4299 (2002).
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>[53] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *Insepar*able two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  density matrices can be distilled to a singlet *form*, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.574) **78**, 574 (1997).
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>[54] J. S. Bell, *On the einstein podolsky rosen paradox*, Physics Physique Fizika **1**, 195 (1964).
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>[55] N. Brunner, D. Cavalcanti, S. Pironio, V. Scarani, and S. Wehner, *Bell nonlocality*,

[Reviews of modern physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.86.419) **86**, 419 (2014).

- <span id="page-13-15"></span>[56] R. F. Werner, *Quantum states with einstein-podolskyrosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.40.4277) **40**, 4277 (1989).
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>[57] N. Li, S. Luo, and Y. Sun, *Information-theoretic aspects of werner states*, [Annals of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2020.168371) **424**, 168371 (2021).
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>[58] F. Verstraete and H. Verschelde, *Optimal teleportation with a mixed state of two qubits*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.097901) **90**, 097901 (2003).
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>[59] J.-Y. Li, X.-X. Fang, T. Zhang, G. N. M. Tabia, H. Lu, and Y.-C. Liang, *Activating hidden teleportation power: Theory and experiment*,
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>[60] R. A. Bertlmann and P. Krammer, *Bound entanglement in the set of bell-state mixtures of two qutrits*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.014303) **78**, 014303 (2008).
- [61] R. A. Bertlmann and P. Krammer, *Geometric entanglement witnesses and bound entanglement*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.024303) **77**, 024303 (2008).
- [62] B. Baumgartner, B. C. Hiesmayr, and H. Narnhofer, *State space for two qutrits has a phase space structure in its core*, Physical Review A **74**, 032327 (2006).
- [63] B. Baumgartner, B. Hiesmayr, and H. Narnhofer, *A special simplex in the state space for entangled qudits*, [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/28/S03) **40**, 7919 (2007).
- [64] B. Baumgartner, B. C. Hiesmayr, and H. Narnhofer, *The geometry of bipartite qutrits including bound entanglement*, [Physics Letters A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2007.11.028) **372**, 2190 (2008).
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>[65] D. Chruściński, A. Kossakowski, K. Mlodawski, and T. Matsuoka, *A class of bell diagonal states and entanglement witnesses*, [Open Systems & Information Dynamics](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1230161210000138) **17**, 213 (2010).
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>[66] J. Bowles, F. Hirsch, M. T. Quintino, and N. Brunner, *Sufficient criterion for guaranteeing that a two-qubit state is unsteerable*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.022121) **93**, 022121 (2016).
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>[67] M. L. Almeida, S. Pironio, J. Barrett, G. Tóth, and A. Acín, *Noise robustness of the nonlocality of entangled quantum states*, [Physical review letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.040403) **99**, 040403 (2007).
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>[68] C. Y. Hsieh and R. K. Lee, *Work extraction and fully entangled fraction*, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.012107) **96**, 012107 (2017).