

A Path Integral Approach for Time-Dependent Hamiltonians with Applications to Derivatives Pricing

Mark Stedman and Luca Capriotti

*Department of Mathematics and Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research,
Columbia University, New York,
New York 10027, United States of America*

(Dated: August 6, 2024)

We generalize a semi-classical path integral approach originally introduced by Giachetti and Tognetti [Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 912 (1985)] and Feynman and Kleinert [Phys. Rev. A **34**, 5080 (1986)] to time-dependent Hamiltonians, thus extending the scope of the method to the pricing of financial derivatives. We illustrate the accuracy of the approach by presenting results for the well-known, but analytically intractable, Black-Karasinski model for the dynamics of interest rates. The accuracy and computational efficiency of this path integral approach makes it a viable alternative to fully-numerical schemes for a variety of applications in derivatives pricing.

Keywords: Path integrals; Forced quantum harmonic oscillator; Stochastic processes; Fokker-Planck equation; Maximum-likelihood estimation; Arrow-Debreu pricing; Zero-coupon bonds; Derivative pricing; Black-Karasinski model.

I. INTRODUCTION

The formal connection between Euclidean path integrals^{1,2} and the pricing of financial derivatives has been known for some time³⁻⁶. In particular, in a seminal paper, Bennati *et al.*⁶ showed that the solution of stochastic differential, i.e., Langevin, equations can be expressed in terms of a path integral similar to the one describing the quantum statistical mechanics of condensed matter systems.

Over the years, the path integral approach has been shown to be a useful analytical⁷⁻¹⁰ and numerical tool¹¹ for option pricing which complements the traditional methods, stemming from stochastic calculus¹², that state the pricing problem in terms of partial differential equations (PDEs).

The different view point adopted in the path integral framework allows for the possibility of borrowing from the extensive physics literature on the topic in order to develop accurate approximation schemes that are not otherwise available, or known, in traditional formulations of mathematical finance^{6,13,14}.

Among these, *semi-classical* approaches¹⁵, corresponding in a Langevin setting to the limit of small intensity of the white noise, play a central role. These approximations can be developed in several ways¹⁶⁻²² which, while sharing the same limiting behavior, lead to genuinely different results. Among semi-classical approximations, a prominent place is occupied by the so-called *effective potential* methods^{2,15,23} based, in the language of Wilson's renormalization group, on 'integrating out' the fluctuations around a 'classical' trajectory. Although exact in principle, the calculation can be performed only at some level of approximation, using a perturbation scheme in which the choice of the unperturbed system plays a crucial role in the quality of the approximation.

A particularly successful effective potential approximation is the one arising from a simple and nice idea origi-

nally due to Feynman² and developed independently by Giachetti and Tognetti²⁴ and Feynman and Kleinert²⁵ (GTFK), which is based on a self-consistent (non-local) harmonic approximation of the effective potential in a sense that will become clear in the following sections.

The most appealing aspect of this approach is that the classical behavior is fully accounted for by the GTFK potential. This opened a way to tackle challenging quantum systems whose classical analogues were known to be characterized by nonlinear excitations, e.g., those dubbed solitons in one dimension or vortices in two dimensions. As a result, GTFK methods have been successfully employed to describe quantitatively the properties of condensed matter systems showing these excitations, such as Sine-Gordon chains²⁴ and two dimensional (2D) anisotropic spin systems^{26,27}. Other quantum systems that have been successfully treated by (suitable generalizations of) the same method are frustrated antiferromagnets, e.g., the easy-plane Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice²⁸, the 2D J_1 - J_2 model²⁹, and the 2D Josephson-junction array^{30,31}.

In this paper, we consider the application of the GTFK method to a class of interest rate models where the rate of interest applied instantaneously at time t , known as the 'short rate', is of the form $r(t) = r(X(t), t)$, with $X(t)$ following the non-linear diffusion process specified by the stochastic differential equation (SDE),

$$dX(t) = \mu(X(t), t) dt + \sigma(X(t), t) dW(t) , \quad (1)$$

for $t > 0$, where $\mu(X(t), t)$ and $\sigma(X(t), t)$ are the drift and volatility functions, respectively, $X(0) = x_0$, and $W(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion⁵⁵. The same formalism can be also applied to describe the credit worthiness of obligors, e.g., in the context of default intensity models³².

Short-rate models are of paramount importance in financial modeling, providing the foundation of many approaches used for the pricing of both interest rate and credit derivatives^{32,33}. In particular, the class of *affine*

models introduced by Duffie and Kan³⁴ play a prominent role. Celebrated examples of this class include the Vasicek³⁵, Hull and White³⁶, and Cox, Ingersoll and Ross³⁷ models. These models, for which $r(t) = X(t)$ and the drift and the square of the volatility functions in Eq. (1) are either constant or linear in $X(t)$, are analytically tractable with closed-form expressions for fundamental financial quantities such as zero-coupon bonds and European option prices. Unfortunately, the availability of closed-form solutions comes at the price of less than realistic properties of the underlying rate dynamics.

On the other hand, more realistic models are less analytically tractable than the affine models. As a result, although widely used in practice, their implementations rely on computationally-intensive numerical methods, e.g., PDE or Monte Carlo, for the calculation of bond and derivatives prices. This is particularly onerous in the context of multi-factor problems, notably those involving the calculation of valuation adjustments (XVA)³⁸, that are currently of great interest in financial engineering.

In this context, reliable analytical approximations are particularly important to reduce the numerical burden associated with these computations. This has led several authors to propose approximation schemes that are based on various expansion techniques^{39–42}. However, the applicability of these expansions tends to be limited by their finite convergence radius⁴³.

Recently, the original formulation of the GTFK approximation was used to develop an accurate and easy-to-compute semi-analytical approximation for derivatives pricing problems where the underlying asset follows the form (1) with *time independent* drift and volatility⁴³. However, the original GTFK approximation is restricted to Hamiltonians with no explicit time dependence and, as such, it cannot be applied as an approximation method for SDEs with explicit time dependence in their coefficients. This limits its usefulness for the pricing of interest rate and credit derivatives since the time-dependence of the drift $\mu(x, t)$ and volatility $\sigma(x, t)$ in Eq. (1), is a key model feature that is necessary to calibrate the dynamics to the initial term structure of interest or hazard rates and traded options prices.

In this paper, we generalize the GTFK approximation to *time-dependent* Hamiltonians, thus extending the scope of the method. In addition to being exact for Gaussian models, we find that the new approximation provides accurate results for the widely-used Black-Karasinski (BK) model⁴⁴, for which the zero-coupon bond prices are not known in closed form³³, even in regimes of high volatility and multi-year time horizons. The accuracy and computational efficiency of the new approximation compares favourably with previously-presented approximation schemes and makes it a viable alternative to fully-numerical schemes for a variety of applications in derivatives pricing.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We begin by introducing the formalism of Arrow-Debreu prices and making the connection with Euclidean path in-

tegrals in Section II. We show that, in general, the path integral that one needs to solve involves a time-dependent Hamiltonian which makes traditional effective potential methods inapplicable. As a first step in generalizing the GTFK approach to this class of Hamiltonian, we derive the density matrix for the forced harmonic oscillator with time-dependent parameters in Section III. In Section IV, we then use this result to derive the generalization of the GTFK approximation to time-dependent Hamiltonians. We demonstrate the effectiveness of the new approximation in the case of generalized short rate models in Section V. Section VI concludes.

II. ARROW-DEBREU DENSITIES AND PATH INTEGRALS

In this paper we will focus on developing approximations of the so-called (generalized) *Arrow-Debreu (AD) densities*^{12,33}, also known as Green's functions, which are the fundamental building blocks of derivatives pricing. For a random variable following Eq. (1), these are defined as

$$\psi_\lambda(x_T, T; x_t, t) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\delta(X(T) - x_T) e^{-\lambda \int_t^T r(X(s), s) ds} \right], \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}[\cdot | X(t) = x_t]$, λ is a real number, $\delta(\cdot)$ is the standard Dirac δ function, and $r(x, t)$ is the short rate of interest for the state (x, t) . This quantity, for $\lambda = 0$, gives the transition density, specifying the probability for the random variable following Eq. (1) to assume a value in an infinitesimal interval around x_T at time T , given that it was at x_t at time t , such that

$$\int_A \psi_0(x_T, T; x_t, t) dx_T \equiv \mathbb{P}[X(T) \in A | X(t) = x_t]. \quad (3)$$

The value at time t of a European option with expiry $T \geq t$ and an arbitrary payout of the form $P(x)$,

$$V(t) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(X(s), s) ds} P(X(T)) \right], \quad (4)$$

can be obtained by integrating the product of the payout function and the ($\lambda = 1$) AD density over all the possible values of x_T , i.e.,

$$V(t) = \int \psi_1(x_T, T; x_t, t) P(x_T) dx_T. \quad (5)$$

In particular, setting $P \equiv 1$ the moment generating function for the random process $\int_t^T r(X(s), s) ds$ can be obtained

$$Z_\lambda(t, T; r_t) = \int \psi_\lambda(x_T, T; x_t, t) dx_T, \quad (6)$$

which, for $\lambda = 1$, gives the time- t value of a zero-coupon bond with maturity T , $Z(t, T; r_t)$ ³³.

Within the path integral formulation, applying the prescription in Bennati *et al.*⁶ to the SDE (1), one can show that the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation satisfied by Arrow-Debreu densities (2) can be written as

$$\psi_\lambda(x_T, T; x_t, t) = \int_{x(t)=x_t}^{x(T)=x_T} e^{-S[x(u)]} \mathcal{D}[x(u)] , \quad (7)$$

where the path integral, $\int_{x(t)=x_t}^{x(T)=x_T} \mathcal{D}[x(u)] \dots$, is defined over all paths $x(u)$ such that $x(t) = x_t$ and $x(T) = x_T$ ⁶. Here

$$S[x(u)] = \int_t^T H(x(u), \dot{x}(u), u) du \quad (8)$$

with

$$H(x, \dot{x}, u) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(u, x)} [\dot{x} - \mu(x, u)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \mu(x, u) + \lambda r(x, u) . \quad (9)$$

The key observation⁶ is that Eq. (7) has the same form as the Euclidean path integral representing the density matrix in the coordinate representation, namely

$$\rho(b, a) = \int_{x(u_a)=x_a}^{x(u_b)=x_b} e^{-S[x(u)]/\hbar} \mathcal{D}[x(u)] , \quad (10)$$

where $a = (u_a, x_a)$, $b = (u_b, x_b)$,

$$S[x(u)] = \int_{u_a}^{u_b} H(x(u), \dot{x}(u), u) du , \quad (11)$$

$H(x(u), \dot{x}(u), u)$ is the Hamiltonian, and \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant.

Given this formal equivalence, we can hope to be able to use known approximation methods in quantum mechanics to develop accurate approximations of Arrow-Debreu densities. The main hurdle to overcome is the time dependency in the Hamiltonian (9) which typically is not encountered in physics.

In the following we will generalize the GTFK effective potential method^{24,25} to time-dependent Hamiltonians. We begin by deriving the density matrix for the forced harmonic oscillator with time-dependent parameters, upon which we will build our generalization of the GTFK approach.

III. DENSITY MATRIX FOR THE FORCED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR WITH TIME-DEPENDENT PARAMETERS

The Hamiltonian for the forced harmonic oscillator with time-dependent parameters is

$$H(x, \dot{x}, u) = \frac{m(u)}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u) x^2 + \gamma(u) x + w(u) , \quad (12)$$

where $m(u)$ is the mass, $\omega(u)$ is the angular frequency, $\gamma(u)$ is an external force, and $w(u)$ is a shift.

As shown in Appendix B, since Eq. (12) is of the quadratic form (A2), we may apply the results of Appendix A to obtain the density matrix in closed form.

Specifically, the density matrix can be expressed in terms of a function $\nu(u)$ as

$$\rho(b, a) = \left(\frac{\mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b \dot{\nu}_a}}{2\pi \hbar \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}(b, a)/\hbar} , \quad (13)$$

where $\mu(u) \equiv \sqrt{m(u)}$, $\mu_b \equiv \mu(u_b)$, $\nu_b \equiv \nu(u_b)$, etc.,

$$\nu(u'') - \nu(u') = \int_{u'}^{u''} \dot{\nu}(u) du , \quad (14)$$

and the classical action is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{cl}}(b, a) = & -\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{\nu}_b}{2\dot{\nu}_b^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b \dot{\nu}_b} \right) \tilde{x}_b^2 \right. \\ & - \left. \left(\frac{\ddot{\nu}_a}{2\dot{\nu}_a^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_a}{\mu_a \dot{\nu}_a} \right) \tilde{x}_a^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2 \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} [(\tilde{x}_b^2 + \tilde{x}_a^2) \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) \\ & - 2\tilde{x}_b \tilde{x}_a + 2\tilde{x}_b \tilde{\Gamma}_a + 2\tilde{x}_a \tilde{\Gamma}_b - 2\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}] \\ & + \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_b &= \mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} x_b \\ \tilde{x}_a &= \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_a} x_a , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_a &\equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \tilde{\gamma}(u) \sinh(\nu(u) - \nu_a) du \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_b &\equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \tilde{\gamma}(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) du \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} &\equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \tilde{\gamma}(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) \\ &\quad \times \int_{u_a}^u \tilde{\gamma}(u') \sinh(\nu(u') - \nu_a) du' du , \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma}(u) = \frac{\gamma(u)}{\mu(u) \sqrt{\dot{\nu}(u)}} . \quad (18)$$

Finally, the function $\nu(u)$ can be obtained setting

$$h(u) = \dot{\nu}^{-1/2}(u) \quad (19)$$

and solving a Pinney equation⁴⁵ of the form

$$\ddot{h}(u) - \left(\omega^2(u) + \frac{\ddot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)} \right) h(u) + \frac{1}{h^3(u)} = 0 . \quad (20)$$

Note that in the case that both $\mu(u)$ and $\omega(u)$ are constant, Eq. (20) admits the simple solution $h(u) = \dot{\nu}^{-1/2}(u) = \omega^{-1/2}$ and the expression in Eq. (13) reduces to the well-known Green's function for the forced harmonic oscillator with constant mass and frequency under the substitution $t = -iu$, see, e.g., Ref. 23.

IV. A GENERALIZED GTFK APPROXIMATION

The GTFK method^{24,25} is based on a self-consistent, non-local, harmonic approximation of the effective potential. Specifically, paths in the functional integration in (10) are classified according to their average point, defined as the functional

$$\bar{x}[x(u)] = \frac{1}{u_b - u_a} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} x(u) du, \quad (21)$$

so that each equivalence class is labelled by a real number \bar{x} representing the common average point. Hence, we can factor out an ordinary integral over \bar{x} in Eq. (10) to obtain

$$\rho(b, a) = \int \bar{\rho}(b, a; \bar{x}) d\bar{x}, \quad (22)$$

in which the *reduced density matrix*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(b, a; \bar{x}) &= \int_{x(a)=x_a}^{x(b)=x_b} \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{1}{u_b - u_a} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} x(u) du\right) \\ &\times e^{-S[x(u)]/\hbar} \mathcal{D}[x(u)], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

represents the contribution to the path integral in Eq. (10) due to the paths that have average point \bar{x} .

As the path integral in Eq. (23) is limited to paths that belong to the same class, we may develop a specialized approximation for each class. In particular, we can approximate the action (11) with a quadratic action in the displacement from the average point \bar{x} .

The original GTFK method²⁴ is based on a trial Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(x, \dot{x}, u; \bar{x}) = \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^2 + V(x; \bar{x}) \quad (24)$$

where

$$V(x; \bar{x}) = \frac{m\omega^2(\bar{x})}{2} (x - \bar{x})^2 + w(\bar{x}), \quad (25)$$

In this section, we generalize the GTFK approximation to use a *time-dependent* quadratic trial Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_0(x, \dot{x}, u; \bar{x}) = \frac{m(u)}{2} \dot{x}^2 + V_0(x, u; \bar{x}). \quad (26)$$

where the trial potential is

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(x, u; \bar{x}) &= \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u; \bar{x}) (x - \bar{x})^2 + \gamma(u; \bar{x}) (x - \bar{x}) \\ &+ w(u; \bar{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and \bar{x} is a constant parameter, thus extending the potential scope of application of the method to problems with a time-dependent Hamiltonian.

Let us, therefore, consider the action

$$S_0[x(u)] = \int_{u_a}^{u_b} H_0(x(u), \dot{x}(u), u; \bar{x}) du. \quad (28)$$

Using the Fourier representation of the Dirac δ function, we can write the reduced density matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_0(b, a; \bar{x}) &= \frac{u_b - u_a}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x(a)=x_a}^{x(b)=x_b} e^{-S_0[x(u)]/\hbar} \\ &\times \exp\left[-\frac{iy}{\hbar} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} (x(u) - \bar{x}) du\right] \\ &\times \mathcal{D}[x(u)] dy \quad (29) \\ &= \frac{u_b - u_a}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x(a)=x_a}^{x(b)=x_b} e^{-S_1[x(u)]/\hbar} \\ &\times \mathcal{D}[x(u)] dy, \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_1[x(u)] = \int_{u_a}^{u_b} H_1(x(u), \dot{x}(u), u; \bar{x}, y) du \quad (31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(x, \dot{x}, u; \bar{x}, y) &= \frac{m(u)}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u; \bar{x}) (x - \bar{x})^2 \\ &+ [\gamma(u, \bar{x}) + iy] (x - \bar{x}) + w(u; \bar{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Changing variables to $x' = x - \bar{x}$ and noting that $\dot{x}' = \dot{x}$ since \bar{x} is constant, we see that, in terms of x' , H_1 is the Hamiltonian for the forced harmonic oscillator with time-dependent parameters. Hence, we may use the analytic continuation of the results of Section III to write

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_0(b, a; \bar{x}) &= \frac{u_b - u_a}{2\pi\hbar} \left(\frac{\mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b \dot{\nu}_a}}{2\pi\hbar \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-S_{cl}(b', a'; y)/\hbar} dy, \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

where $x'_b = x_b - \bar{x}$, $x'_a = x_a - \bar{x}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}'_b &= \mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} x'_b \\ \tilde{x}'_a &= \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_a} x'_a, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and, suppressing the dependence on \bar{x} to lighten notation,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{cl}}(b', a'; y) = & -\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{v}_b}{2\dot{v}_b^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b \dot{v}_b} \right) \tilde{x}_b'^2 \right. \\
& - \left. \left(\frac{\ddot{v}_a}{2\dot{v}_a^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_a}{\mu_a \dot{v}_a} \right) \tilde{x}_a'^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2 \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \\
& \times \left[(\tilde{x}_b'^2 + \tilde{x}_a'^2) \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) - 2\tilde{x}_b' \tilde{x}_a' \right. \\
& + 2\tilde{x}_b' (\tilde{\Gamma}_a + iy\Omega_a) + 2\tilde{x}_a' (\tilde{\Gamma}_b + iy\Omega_b) \\
& - 2(\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} + iy(I_1 + I_2) - y^2\Omega_{ab}) \left. \right] \\
& + \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

with the definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_a & \equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \mu^{-1}(u) \nu^{-1/2}(u) \sinh(\nu(u) - \nu_a) du \\
\Omega_b & \equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \mu^{-1}(u) \nu^{-1/2}(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) du \\
\Omega_{ab} & \equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \mu^{-1}(u) \nu^{-1/2}(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) \\
& \times \int_{u_a}^u \mu^{-1}(u') \nu^{-1/2}(u') \sinh(\nu(u') - \nu_a) du' du \\
I_1 & \equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \tilde{\gamma}(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) \\
& \times \int_{u_a}^u \mu^{-1}(u') \nu^{-1/2}(u') \sinh(\nu(u') - \nu_a) du' du \\
I_2 & \equiv \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \mu^{-1}(u) \nu^{-1/2}(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) \\
& \times \int_{u_a}^u \tilde{\gamma}(u') \sinh(\nu(u') - \nu_a) du' du . \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that (33) is a Gaussian integral in y of the form (A1). Performing the integration and simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\rho}_0(b, a; \bar{x}) = & \frac{u_b - u_a}{2\pi\hbar} \left(\frac{\mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{v}_b \dot{v}_a}}{2\Omega_{ab}} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\hbar} \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{v}_b}{2\dot{v}_b^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b \dot{v}_b} \right) \tilde{x}_b'^2 \right. \right. \\
& - \left. \left(\frac{\ddot{v}_a}{2\dot{v}_a^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_a}{\mu_a \dot{v}_a} \right) \tilde{x}_a'^2 \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2\hbar \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left[(\tilde{x}_b'^2 + \tilde{x}_a'^2) \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) \right. \\
& - 2\tilde{x}_b' \tilde{x}_a' + 2\tilde{x}_b' \tilde{\Gamma}_a + 2\tilde{x}_a' \tilde{\Gamma}_b - 2\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} \\
& + \left. \frac{1}{2\Omega_{ab}} (\tilde{x}_b' \Omega_a + \tilde{x}_a' \Omega_b - (I_1 + I_2))^2 \right] \\
& - \left. \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du \right\} . \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the diagonal element $x_b = x_a = x$, $\bar{\rho}_0(x, x; \bar{x})$. Collecting terms in x' and completing the square we obtain the Gaussian form

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\rho}_0(x, x; \bar{x}) = & \frac{u_b - u_a}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\alpha \mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{v}_b \dot{v}_a}}{4\pi\Omega_{ab}} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\hbar \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} - \frac{(I_1 + I_2)^2}{4\Omega_{ab}} \right] \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{\delta_\gamma^2}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du \right\} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\alpha} (x' + \delta_\gamma)^2 \right\} , \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha & \equiv \frac{1}{2C} \\
\delta_\gamma & \equiv \frac{D}{2C} \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
C = & -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{v}_b}{2\dot{v}_b} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b} \right) \mu_b^2 - \left(\frac{\ddot{v}_a}{2\dot{v}_a} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_a}{\mu_a} \right) \mu_a^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2\hbar \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left[(\mu_b^2 \dot{v}_b + \mu_a^2 \dot{v}_a) \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) \right. \\
& - \left. 2\mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{v}_b \dot{v}_a} + \frac{1}{2\Omega_{ab}} (\mu_b \sqrt{\dot{v}_b} \Omega_a + \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{v}_a} \Omega_b)^2 \right] \\
D = & \frac{1}{\hbar \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left[\mu_b \sqrt{\dot{v}_b} \tilde{\Gamma}_a + \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{v}_a} \tilde{\Gamma}_b \right. \\
& - \left. \frac{(I_1 + I_2)}{2\Omega_{ab}} (\mu_b \sqrt{\dot{v}_b} \Omega_a + \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{v}_a} \Omega_b) \right] . \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

Given the reduced density matrix $\bar{\rho}_0(x, x; \bar{x})$, the expectation of an observable $\mathcal{O}(\hat{x})$ may be written as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\hat{x}) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \int \mathcal{O}(x) \bar{\rho}_0(x, x; \bar{x}) dx d\bar{x} , \tag{41}$$

where \mathcal{Z} is a normalization factor. Writing

$$\bar{\rho}_0(x, x; \bar{x}) = \rho(\bar{x}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\alpha} (x' + \delta_\gamma)^2 \right\} , \tag{42}$$

where $\rho(\bar{x})$ is the leading factor in Eq. (38), and changing the inner integration variable to $\xi = x - \bar{x} + \delta_\gamma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O}(\hat{x}) \rangle & = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \frac{\rho(\bar{x})}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \int \mathcal{O}(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) e^{-\xi^2/2\alpha} d\xi d\bar{x} \\
& = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \rho(\bar{x}) \langle \mathcal{O}(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle d\bar{x} , \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O}(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle & \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \int \mathcal{O}(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) e^{-\xi^2/2\alpha} d\xi \\
& = e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{O}(x)} \Big|_{x=\bar{x}-\delta_\gamma} . \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the final equality in Eq. (44) comes from expanding the integrand around $\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma$ and substituting for the central moments of the Gaussian distribution.

In particular, for the trial potential given by Eq. (27), the expectation of V_0 and its first two derivatives with respect to x are

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle V_0(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u; \bar{x}) (\delta_\gamma^2 + \alpha) \\ &\quad - \gamma(u; \bar{x}) \delta_\gamma + w(u; \bar{x}) \\ \langle\langle V'_0(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= -m(u) \omega^2(u; \bar{x}) \delta_\gamma + \gamma(u; \bar{x}) \\ \langle\langle V''_0(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= m(u) \omega^2(u; \bar{x}).\end{aligned}\quad (45)$$

Hence, given any potential $V(x, u)$, we can determine the parameters $\omega(u; \bar{x})$, $\gamma(u; \bar{x})$, and $w(u; \bar{x})$ of the trial potential V_0 that best approximate V by setting

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle V(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle V_0(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle \\ \langle\langle V'(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle V'_0(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle \\ \langle\langle V''(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle V''_0(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle.\end{aligned}\quad (46)$$

This ensures that the expectation values of V_0 and of its second order expansion according to the Gaussian probability distribution in Eq. (44) are in agreement with those of V , for *every* value of \bar{x} .

A. Quadratic Hamiltonians

Given the form of the chosen trial potential, we expect our GTFK approximation to be exact for quadratic Hamiltonians. Showing that this is indeed the case is an important check on the consistency of the approximation.

As is apparent from (A4) and (A8), and may be shown directly by integrating by parts the terms in $\dot{x}x$ and \dot{x} that arise when (A2) is inserted into (11), the density matrix for any quadratic Hamiltonian can be written formally as the density matrix for the forced harmonic oscillator (13) times a factor that depends only on the end points provided that we redefine, $m(u)$, $\omega^2(u)$, and $\gamma(u)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}m(u) &= 2a(u) \\ m(u)\omega^2(u) &= 2(c(u) - \dot{b}(u)) \\ \gamma(u) &= 2(e(u) - \dot{d}(u)).\end{aligned}\quad (47)$$

Hence, it suffices to show that our GTFK approximation is exact for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (12).

Applying the GTFK approximation to Eq. (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle V(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u) (\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma)^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma(u) (\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma) + w(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u) \alpha \\ \langle\langle V'(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= m(u) \omega^2(u) (\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma) + \gamma(u) \\ \langle\langle V''(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \xi) \rangle\rangle &= m(u) \omega^2(u).\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

Comparing with (45), we find the parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(u; \bar{x}) &= \omega(u) \\ \gamma(u; \bar{x}) &= \gamma(u) + m(u) \omega^2(u) \bar{x} \\ w(u; \bar{x}) &= V(u, \bar{x}).\end{aligned}\quad (49)$$

Substituting (49) into (27), we have $V_0(x, u; \bar{x}) = V(x, u)$ independent of \bar{x} .

Further, since $h(u) = \nu^{-1/2}(u)$ satisfies the Pinney equation (20), we can rewrite $\omega^2(u)$ as

$$\omega^2(u) = \frac{1}{h(u)} \left(\ddot{h}(u) + \frac{1}{h^3(u)} \right) - \frac{\ddot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)}. \quad (50)$$

It follows that terms that arise from substituting (49) into (37), such as

$$\tilde{g}(u) = \frac{m(u) \omega^2(u)}{\mu(u) \sqrt{\dot{\nu}(u)}}, \quad (51)$$

may be written as

$$\tilde{g}(u) = \mu(u) \left(\ddot{h}(u) + \frac{1}{h^3(u)} \right) - h(u) \ddot{\mu}(u). \quad (52)$$

Hence, for example, integrating

$$\tilde{G}_a = \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \tilde{g}(u) \sinh(\nu(u) - \nu_a) du \quad (53)$$

by parts we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} \tilde{G}_a &= \mu_b^2 \dot{\nu}_b \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) - \mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b \dot{\nu}_a} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\dot{\nu}_b}{2\dot{\nu}_b} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b} \right) \mu_b^2 \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a).\end{aligned}\quad (54)$$

In addition, it can be shown that, for an arbitrary function $f(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{u_a}^{u_b} \left[\tilde{f}(u) \tilde{G}_a(u) + \tilde{g}(u) \tilde{F}_a(u) \right] \sinh(\nu_b - \nu(u)) du = \\ -\mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} \tilde{F}_a - \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_a} \tilde{F}_b + \int_{u_a}^{u_b} f(u) \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a) du,\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(u) &= \frac{f(u)}{\mu(u) \sqrt{\dot{\nu}(u)}} \\ \tilde{F}_a(u) &= \int_{u_a}^u \tilde{f}(u') \sinh(\nu(u') - \nu_a) du' \\ \tilde{G}_a(u) &= \int_{u_a}^u \tilde{g}(u') \sinh(\nu(u') - \nu_a) du'.\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

Using these results, we find that the reduced density matrix (37) becomes a Gaussian integral in \bar{x} of the form

(A1) with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{\sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)}{4\hbar\Omega_{ab}}(u_b - u_a)^2 \\ B &= \frac{u_b - u_a}{2\hbar\Omega_{ab}} \left[\left(\mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} x_b \Omega_a + \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_a} x_a \Omega_b \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (I_1 + I_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Evaluating the integral (22), we find that $\rho(b, a)$ agrees with (13), as expected.

B. Limit cases

1. Constant μ and ω

The situation simplifies in the limit case that both $\mu(u)$ and $\omega(u)$ are constant. Specifically, as noted above, we may set $\dot{\nu}(u) = \omega$ which implies that the integrals in Eqs. (36) may be computed analytically to give

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_a &= \frac{1}{\mu\omega^{3/2}} [\cosh 2f - 1] \\ \Omega_b &= \Omega_a \\ \Omega_{ab} &= \frac{1}{m\omega^3} [f \sinh 2f - (\cosh 2f - 1)] \\ I_1 + I_2 &= \frac{\sinh 2f}{\mu\omega^{3/2}} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \tilde{\gamma}(u) du - \frac{1}{\mu\omega^{3/2}} (\tilde{\Gamma}_a + \tilde{\Gamma}_b), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where we have defined $f \equiv \omega(u_b - u_a)/2$. Using the identity $\tanh f \equiv (\cosh 2f - 1)/\sinh 2f$, we have

$$\frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_{ab}} = \frac{\mu\omega^{3/2} \tanh f}{f - \tanh f} \quad (59)$$

and, hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left(\coth f - \frac{1}{f} \right) \\ \delta_\gamma &= \frac{1}{2m\omega} \left[\left(\frac{\Gamma_a + \Gamma_b}{\sinh 2f} \right) \coth f - \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{f} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where we have introduced the shorthand notations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma} &= \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) du \\ \Gamma_a &= \mu\sqrt{\omega}\tilde{\Gamma}_a, \Gamma_b = \mu\sqrt{\omega}\tilde{\Gamma}_b, \Gamma_{ab} = m\omega\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Substituting (58) into (37) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_0(b, a; \bar{x}) &= \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar(u_b - u_a)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{f}{\sinh f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\frac{x_b + x_a}{2} - (\bar{x} - \delta) \right)^2 \right. \\ &- \frac{m\omega \coth f}{4\hbar} (x_b - x_a)^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du \\ &- (x_b - \bar{x}) \frac{\Gamma_a}{\hbar \sinh 2f} - (x_a - \bar{x}) \frac{\Gamma_b}{\hbar \sinh 2f} \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{\hbar m\omega} \left[\frac{\Gamma_{ab}}{\sinh 2f} - \frac{1}{4f} \left(\frac{\Gamma_a + \Gamma_b}{\sinh 2f} - \hat{\gamma} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

with

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2m\omega f} \left(\frac{\Gamma_a + \Gamma_b}{\sinh 2f} - \hat{\gamma} \right). \quad (63)$$

This is the result used in Parker *et al.*⁴⁶.

2. Constant μ , ω , and γ

In the case the function $\gamma(u)$ is also constant, the integrals in Eq. (17) may also be computed analytically to give $\tilde{\Gamma}_a = \tilde{\Gamma}_b = \gamma\Omega_a$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} = \gamma^2\Omega_{ab}$. Substituting into (60), we find that $\delta_\gamma = 0$ for all γ .

Further, substituting into (62), we find that all terms in γ cancel and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_0(b, a; \bar{x}) &= \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar(u_b - u_a)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{f}{\sinh f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\frac{x_b + x_a}{2} - \bar{x} \right)^2 \right. \\ &- \frac{m\omega \coth f}{4\hbar} (x_b - x_a)^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Hence, identifying $u_a = 0$ and $u_b = \beta\hbar$, we see that our extended GTFK approximation reduces to the standard GTFK approximation⁴⁷. Note that in this case, the second self-consistent equation in Eq. (46) may be omitted.

V. GENERALIZED SHORT RATE MODELS

The defining SDE of the class of one-dimensional generalized short rate models is

$$dX(t) = \kappa(t) (\theta(t) - X(t)) dt + \sigma(t) dW(t), \quad (65)$$

where $X(0) = x_0$, $\kappa(t)$ is the mean reversion speed, $\theta(t)$ is the mean reversion level, $\sigma(t)$ is the volatility, and $W(t)$

is a standard Brownian motion. The time-dependence of the mean reversion level is a key feature of this class of models that is necessary to calibrate the dynamics to the initial term structure of interest or hazard rates.

From Eqs. (7) and (9), the generalized AD density may be represented as the path integral (7) with the Hamiltonian

$$H(x, \dot{x}, u) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(u)} [\dot{x} - \kappa(u)(\theta(u) - x)]^2 - \frac{\kappa(u)}{2} + \lambda r(x, u), \quad (66)$$

where the precise form of $r(x, u)$ depends on the specific model.

Inserting (66) into (7) and integrating the terms in \dot{x} and x by parts, we obtain

$$\psi_\lambda(b, a) = e^{-W(b, a)} \rho_\lambda(b, a), \quad (67)$$

where

$$W(b, a) = \frac{1}{2} [m(u_b)\kappa(u_b)x_b^2 - m(u_a)\kappa(u_a)x_a^2] - [m(u_b)\kappa(u_b)\theta(u_b)x_b - m(u_a)\kappa(u_a)\theta(u_a)x_a] \quad (68)$$

depends on the end points only and

$$\rho_\lambda(b, a) = \int_{x(a)=x_a}^{x(b)=x_b} e^{-\int_{u_a}^{u_b} H_\lambda(x(u), \dot{x}(u), u) du} \mathcal{D}[x(u)], \quad (69)$$

where

$$H_\lambda(x, \dot{x}, u) = a(u)\dot{x}^2 + (c(u) - \dot{b}(u))x^2 + 2(e(u) - \dot{d}(u))x + f(u) + \lambda r(x, u) \quad (70)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a(u) &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(u)}, \quad b(u) = \frac{\kappa(u)}{2\sigma^2(u)} \\ c(u) &= \frac{\kappa^2(u)}{2\sigma^2(u)}, \quad d(u) = -\frac{\kappa(u)\theta(u)}{2\sigma^2(u)} \\ e(u) &= -\frac{\kappa^2(u)\theta(u)}{2\sigma^2(u)}, \quad f(u) = \frac{\kappa^2(u)\theta^2(u)}{2\sigma^2(u)} - \frac{\kappa(u)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Under the GTFK approximation, the time- u_a value of a European derivative with expiry $u_b \geq u_a$ may be written as

$$V(u_a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-W(b, a)} \bar{\rho}_0(b, a; \bar{x}) P(x_b) dx_b d\bar{x}. \quad (72)$$

Since, $W(b, a)$ is quadratic in x_b , the integral over x_b is a Gaussian integral of the form (A1) and may be calculated analytically to obtain

$$V(u_a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N(\bar{x}) e^{\frac{1}{4A(\bar{x})} \partial_{\bar{x}}^2} P(x) \Big|_{x=\frac{B(\bar{x})}{2A(\bar{x})}} d\bar{x}. \quad (73)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\bar{x}) &= \frac{\mu_b^2 \dot{\nu}_b}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa(u_b)}{\dot{\nu}_b} - \left(\frac{\ddot{\nu}_b}{2\dot{\nu}_b^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b \dot{\nu}_b} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left(\cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) + \frac{\Omega_a^2}{2\Omega_{ab}} \right) \right] \\ B(\bar{x}) &= \mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} \left[\frac{\mu_b \kappa(u_b) \theta(u_b)}{\sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b}} - \left(\frac{\ddot{\nu}_b}{2\dot{\nu}_b^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b \dot{\nu}_b} \right) \bar{x}_b \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left[\bar{x}_b \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) + (\tilde{x}'_a - \tilde{\Gamma}_a) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\Omega_a}{2\Omega_{ab}} (\bar{x}_b \Omega_a - \tilde{x}'_a \Omega_b + (I_1 + I_2)) \right] \right] \\ N(\bar{x}) &= (u_b - u_a) \left(\frac{\mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b \dot{\nu}_a}}{8\pi \Omega_{ab} A(\bar{x})} \right)^{1/2} e^{C(\bar{x}) + \frac{B^2(\bar{x})}{4A(\bar{x})}}, \quad (74) \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{x}_b = \mu_b \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b} \bar{x}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} C(\bar{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{\nu}_b}{2\dot{\nu}_b^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_b}{\mu_b \dot{\nu}_b} \right) \bar{x}_b^2 - \left(\frac{\ddot{\nu}_a}{2\dot{\nu}_a^2} + \frac{\dot{\mu}_a}{\mu_a \dot{\nu}_a} \right) \tilde{x}'_a{}^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2 \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \left[(\bar{x}_b^2 + \tilde{x}'_a{}^2) \cosh(\nu_b - \nu_a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\bar{x}_b (\tilde{x}'_a - \tilde{\Gamma}_a) + 2\tilde{x}'_a \tilde{\Gamma}_b - 2\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\Omega_{ab}} (\tilde{x}'_a \Omega_b - \bar{x}_b \Omega_a - (I_1 + I_2)) \right]^2 \\ &\quad + \mu_a^2 \kappa(u_a) x_a \left(\frac{x_a}{2} - \theta(u_a) \right) - \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du. \quad (75) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the generalized short rate models, the prices of zero-coupon bonds and European options under the GTFK approximation may be obtained by evaluating a one-dimensional integral.

A. The Gaussian short rate model

The Hamiltonian of the Gaussian short rate model^{33,36} is (66) with

$$r(x, u) = x. \quad (76)$$

This is of the quadratic form (A2) and, hence, (67) may be calculated analytically either directly using the results in Sections A and III or by applying the GTFK approximation in Section IV, which is exact in this case, to (69) and evaluating the integral over the average point (22). The result is

$$\psi_\lambda(b, a) = e^{-W(b, a)} \rho(b, a), \quad (77)$$

where ρ is given by (13), $h(u) = \dot{\nu}^{-1/2}(u)$ satisfies the Pinney equation (20), and we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} m(u) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2(u)}, \quad \omega^2(u) = \kappa^2(u) + \frac{2\kappa(u)\dot{\sigma}(u)}{\sigma(u)} - \dot{\kappa}(u) \\ w(u) &= f(u), \quad \gamma(u) = 2(e(u) - \dot{d}(u)) + \lambda. \quad (78) \end{aligned}$$

In this particular case, we may verify by differentiation that a solution of the Bernoulli differential equation

$$\dot{h}(u) + \left(\kappa(u) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)} \right) h(u) = \frac{1}{h(u)} \quad (79)$$

is also a solution of (20). Rewriting (79) in terms of $\dot{\nu}(u)$, we obtain the relation

$$\kappa(u) - \dot{\nu}(u) = \frac{\ddot{\nu}(u)}{2\dot{\nu}(u)} + \frac{\dot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)}, \quad (80)$$

and, thus, (77) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(b, a) &= \left(\frac{\mu_b \mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b \dot{\nu}_a}}{2\pi \sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(1 - \coth(\nu_b - \nu_a)) \tilde{x}_a^2 \right. \\ &- (1 + \coth(\nu_b - \nu_a)) \tilde{x}_b^2] \\ &- \left(\frac{\kappa(u_a) \theta(u_a)}{\sqrt{\dot{\nu}_a}} \mu_a + \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_b}{\sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \right) \tilde{x}_a \\ &+ \left(\frac{\kappa(u_b) \theta(u_b)}{\sqrt{\dot{\nu}_b}} \mu_b - \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_a - \tilde{x}_a}{\sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} \right) \tilde{x}_b \\ &\left. + \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}}{\sinh(\nu_b - \nu_a)} - \int_{u_a}^{u_b} f(u) du \right\}. \quad (81) \end{aligned}$$

The general solution of the Bernoulli differential equation is well known. In the particular case of (79), starting with the general solution, taking the positive root, choosing the arbitrary lower integration bound to be u_a , and using (80) we obtain

$$h(u) = \dot{\nu}^{-1/2}(u) = \frac{\mu(u)}{\mu_a \sqrt{\dot{\nu}_a}} e^{\nu(u) - \nu_a} e^{-\int_{u_a}^u \kappa(u') du'}. \quad (82)$$

In order to verify that (81) together with (82) defines a valid Green's function for the Gaussian short rate model, we proceed to calculate the zero-coupon bond price for the model, which is known in closed form. From Eq. (6), the price of the zero-coupon bond between times u_a and u_b conditional on x_a reads

$$Z(u_a, u_b; x_a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_1(b, a) dx_b. \quad (83)$$

Since (81) is quadratic in x_b , this is a Gaussian integral of form (A1) and may be calculated analytically. Evaluating the integral, inserting (82), and simplifying using several integrations by parts, we eventually obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(u_a, u_b; x_a) &= \exp \left\{ -\lambda x_a G(u_a, u_b) \right. \\ &- \lambda \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \kappa(u) \theta(u) G(u, u_b) du \\ &\left. + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \sigma^2(u) G^2(u, u_b) du \right\}, \quad (84) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(u, u') \equiv \int_u^{u'} e^{-\int_u^v \kappa(v') dv'} dv. \quad (85)$$

For $\lambda = 1$, this is equivalent to the well-known result for the Gaussian short rate model which may be obtained by integrating (65) directly, see, e.g., Ref. 33.

B. The Black Karasinski model

The Hamiltonian of the Black Karasinski (BK) model⁴⁴ is (66) with

$$r(x, u) = e^x, \quad (86)$$

which implies that the short rate at any time horizon follows an intuitive lognormal distribution. This feature makes the BK model particularly suitable credit modelling since it ensures that the default intensity is positive³².

Applying the generalized GTFK approximation in Section IV to the BK model we have, from (70),

$$V(x, u) = \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega(u) x^2 + \gamma(u) x + w(u) + \lambda e^x, \quad (87)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} m(u) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2(u)}, \quad \omega^2(u) = \kappa^2(u) + \frac{2\kappa(u)\dot{\sigma}(u)}{\sigma(u)} - \dot{\kappa}(u) \\ w(u) &= f(u), \quad \gamma(u) = 2 \left(e(u) - \dot{d}(u) \right). \quad (88) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find the GTFK parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2(u; \bar{x}) &= \omega^2(u) + \frac{\lambda}{m(u)} e^{\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma + \alpha/2} \\ \gamma(u; \bar{x}) &= m(u) \omega^2(u) \bar{x} + \gamma(u) \\ &+ m(u) (\omega^2(u; \bar{x}) - \omega^2(u)) (\delta_\gamma + 1) \\ w(u; \bar{x}) &= V(\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma, u) \\ &+ m(u) (\omega^2(u; \bar{x}) - \omega^2(u)) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \\ &- \frac{m(u)}{2} \omega^2(u; \bar{x}) \delta_\gamma^2 + \gamma(u; \bar{x}) \delta_\gamma - \lambda e^{\bar{x} - \delta_\gamma}, \quad (89) \end{aligned}$$

where α and δ_γ are given by (39).

The integral over \bar{x} required to calculate the zero-coupon bond price or derivative value (73) may be calculated efficiently with adaptive quadrature methods. For each \bar{x} , it is convenient to solve the system (20), (89), and (39) by iteration or a two-dimensional root search starting with initial guesses for the constants C and D that are defined in Eqs. (40). We find empirically that starting the iteration (or root search) for a given \bar{x} with the solution for the closest \bar{x} already found typically ensures convergence within a few iterations. For the first point, we start with the solution for $\lambda = 0$, which may be calculated directly from the model parameters.

T	Typical Volatility				High Volatility			
	GTFK	PDE	Abs. Diff.	Rel. Diff.	GTFK	PDE	Abs. Diff.	Rel. Diff.
0.1	0.9940	0.9940	0.0000	0.00%	0.9939	0.9939	0.0000	0.00%
0.5	0.9696	0.9696	0.0000	0.00%	0.9667	0.9667	0.0000	0.00%
1.0	0.9386	0.9386	0.0000	0.00%	0.9271	0.9270	0.0000	0.00%
2.0	0.8756	0.8756	0.0000	0.00%	0.8361	0.8358	0.0003	0.04%
3.0	0.8132	0.8132	0.0000	0.00%	0.7466	0.7459	0.0007	0.09%
5.0	0.6976	0.6975	0.0002	0.02%	0.6054	0.6041	0.0013	0.21%
10.0	0.4897	0.4891	0.0006	0.13%	0.4173	0.4153	0.0019	0.46%
20.0	0.2946	0.2930	0.0016	0.53%	0.2747	0.2712	0.0035	1.29%
30.0	0.2100	0.2072	0.0028	1.35%	0.2155	0.2094	0.0061	2.92%

TABLE I: Black-Karasinski model T -year maturity zero-coupon bonds, $Z(0, T; r_0)$, obtained from the generalized GTFK approximation and by solving the associated PDE numerically. The parameters of the BK process are: benchmark maturities $\{0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, 30.0\}$ years, $\delta u = 1/512$ year; mean reversion speed $\kappa(u_l) = 0.02$, $\kappa(u_h) = 0.01$; mean reversion level $\theta(u_l) = \ln 0.04$, $\theta(u_h) = \ln 0.06$; typical volatility $\sigma(u_l) = 0.5$, $\sigma(u_h) = 0.4$, high volatility $\sigma(u_l) = 1.0$, $\sigma(u_h) = 0.8$; and initial rate $r_0 = 0.06$.

In order to solve the Pinney equation (20) with Runge Kutta methods, we rewrite it as an equivalent system of coupled 1st order ODEs. Instead of the usual prescription of introducing a new variable for \dot{h} , we choose the system of Bernoulli and Riccati differential equations augmented with (19)

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{h}(u) &= \frac{1}{h(u)} - \left(\kappa(u) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)} + g(u) \right) h(u) \\
\dot{g}(u) &= g^2(u) + 2 \left(\kappa(u) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)} \right) g(u) - \lambda e^{\bar{x} - \delta\gamma + \alpha/2} \\
\dot{\nu}(u) &= \frac{1}{h^2(u)}. \tag{90}
\end{aligned}$$

This has the benefit of eliminating the dependence on $\ddot{\mu}(u)$ and, since $\dot{h}(u)/h(u) = -\dot{\nu}(u)/2\nu(u)$, enables us to obtain the value of the function $\nu(u)$ and all of its required derivatives at a chosen set of points from a single Runge Kutta problem. We impose the boundary conditions $h(u_a) = \omega^{-1/2}(u_a)$ and $\dot{h}(u_a) = 0$, which implies

$$g(u_a) = \left(\kappa^2(u_a) - \frac{\lambda}{\mu_a^2} e^{\bar{x} - \delta\gamma + \alpha/2} \right)^{1/2} - \kappa(u_a). \tag{91}$$

As a concrete example, we consider the general case in which all of $\mu(u)$, $\omega(u)$, $\gamma(u)$, and $w(u)$ are time-dependent step functions with steps at u_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, as is typical of the output of a model calibration routine when calibrating to a set of benchmark instruments³³. To simplify the problem definition, we construct the step functions for each model parameter by specifying the values at the shortest, u_l , and longest, u_h , benchmark maturities and obtain the values at the intermediate benchmark maturities by linear interpolation.

In order to ensure that the required derivatives exist, we smooth the step functions by replacing each step with a cubic. Specifically, we replace the step at u_i with a cubic in the region $[u_i, u_i + \delta u]$ with parameters chosen such that the value and first derivative are continuous

at u_i and $u_i + \delta u$. Thus, we obtain a set of intervals $\{I_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, bounded by the set of knot points $u_a = u_0 < u_1 < u_1 + \delta u < u_2 < \dots < u_b$.

Given the solution to the system (90) for a given \bar{x} , the integrals required to obtain C , D , and the integrand in Eq. (73) may be computed with a low-degree quadrature method in each interval I_k . In practice, we obtain the required quadrature abscissae directly from the Runge Kutta method, thus avoiding any additional approximation due to an exogenous choice of interpolation scheme. This is particularly efficient for Runge Kutta methods, such as those obtained by Bogacki and Shampine⁴⁸, which support interpolation.

Table I compares the generalized GTFK approximation results for T -year maturity zero-coupon bonds in the Black Karasinski model in both typical and high volatility market environments with those obtained by solving the associated PDE numerically. We see that the generalized GTFK approximation provides accurate results even in regimes of high volatility and multi-year time horizons.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have generalized the GTFK approximation in quantum statistical mechanics to time-dependent Hamiltonians, thus extending the scope of the method to other fields, and have demonstrated its effectiveness for a class of generalized short rate models that are popular in mathematical finance.

The new approximation provides remarkably accurate results for the Black-Karasinski model for interest rates or default intensities, even for high volatilities and long time horizons, with results that compare favorably with previously presented approximation schemes^{39–42,49} and expressions that are more compact, easier to compute, and with less severe limitations arising from, e.g., a finite convergence radius in the time to maturity or volatility.

The accuracy and ease of computation of the GTFK method makes it a computationally efficient alternative to fully-numerical schemes such as PDE solvers or Monte Carlo simulation. This is of practical utility, e.g., for econometric applications⁵⁰, and in a variety of derivatives pricing applications, such as the calculation of conditional discount factors and survival probabilities in the context of multi-factor simulations, e.g., for XVA⁵¹, or the calculation of CDS quanto corrections⁵². Furthermore, although we have presented the GTFK approxi-

mation in the context of short rate and default intensity models, the method could be applied to other problems such as Asian options⁵³, options on realized variance, and stochastic volatility models.

Finally, while we have focused on an a specific mathematical finance application, the generalized GTFK method may also have applications in other fields where it is of interest to obtain an accurate approximation of the Fokker-Planck equation with time dependent parameters.

-
- ¹ R. P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948).
² R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics: A Set Of Lectures*, Advanced Books Classics (Avalon Publishing, 1998).
³ J. Dash (1988), CNRS preprint CPT-88/PE.2206.
⁴ J. Dash (1989), CNRS preprint CPT-89/PE.2333.
⁵ V. Linetsky, *Computational Economics* **11**, 129 (1997).
⁶ E. Bennati, M. Rosa-Clot, and S. Taddei, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance (IJTAF)* **02**, 381 (1999).
⁷ G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and N. Moreni, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **310**, 450 (2002).
⁸ D. Lemmens, M. Wouters, J. Tempere, and S. Foulon, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 016101 (2008).
⁹ L. Z. J. Liang, D. Lemmens, and J. Tempere, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 056112 (2011).
¹⁰ B. E. Baaquie, Y. Cao, A. Lau, and P. Tang, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **391**, 1408 (2012).
¹¹ P. Capuozzo, E. Panella, T. S. Gherardini, and D. D. Vvedensky, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **581**, 126231 (2021).
¹² I. Karatzas and S. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer New York, 1991).
¹³ Z. Kakushadze, *Quantitative Finance* **15**, 1759 (2015).
¹⁴ L. Capriotti, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance (IJTAF)* **09**, 1179 (2006).
¹⁵ H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets*, EBL-Schweitzer (World Scientific, 2009).
¹⁶ G. Wentzel, *Z. Physik* **38**, 518 (1926).
¹⁷ H. Kramers, *Z. Physik* **39**, 828 (1926).
¹⁸ L. Brillouin, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **183**, 24 (1926).
¹⁹ E. Wigner, *Phys. Rev.* **40**, 749 (1932).
²⁰ J. G. Kirkwood, *Phys. Rev.* **44**, 31 (1933).
²¹ Y. Fujiwara, T. A. Osborn, and S. F. J. Wilk, *Phys. Rev. A* **25**, 14 (1982).
²² M. Hillery, R. F. O’Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, *Phys. Rep.* **106**, 121 (1984).
²³ R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, Internantional Series in Pure and Applied Physics (McGraw Hill, 1965).
²⁴ R. Giachetti and V. Tognetti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 912 (1985).
²⁵ R. P. Feynman and H. Kleinert, *Phys. Rev. A* **34**, 5080 (1986).
²⁶ A. Cuccoli, V. Tognetti, P. Verrucchi, and R. Vaia, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 12840 (1995).
²⁷ A. Cuccoli, R. Giachetti, V. Tognetti, R. Vaia, and P. Verrucchi, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **7**, 7891 (1995).
²⁸ L. Capriotti, A. Cuccoli, V. Tognetti, P. Verrucchi, and R. Vaia, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 7299 (1999).
²⁹ L. Capriotti, A. Fubini, T. Roscilde, and V. Tognetti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 157202 (2004).
³⁰ A. Cuccoli, A. Rossi, V. Tognetti, and R. Vaia, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, R4849 (1997).
³¹ A. Cuccoli, A. Fubini, V. Tognetti, and R. Vaia, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 11289 (2000).
³² D. O’Kane, *Modelling Single-name and Multi-name Credit Derivatives*, The Wiley Finance Series (Wiley, 2010).
³³ L. Andersen and V. Piterbarg, *Interest Rate Modeling* (Atlantic Financial Press, 2010).
³⁴ D. Duffie, J. Pan, and K. Singleton, *Econometrica* **68**, 1343 (2000).
³⁵ O. A. Vasicek, *Journal of Financial Economics* **5**, 177 (1977).
³⁶ J. Hull and A. White, *Review of Financial Studies* **3**, 573 (1990).
³⁷ J. C. Cox, J. E. Ingersoll, and S. A. Ross, *Econometrica* **53**, 385 (1985).
³⁸ J. Gregory, *Counterparty Credit Risk: The New Challenge for Global Financial Markets* (New York: Wiley, 2010).
³⁹ A. Antonov and M. Spector, *Risk* **26**, 66 (2011).
⁴⁰ A. Daniluk and R. Muchorski, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance (IJTAF)* **19**, 1 (2016).
⁴¹ B. Stehlíková and L. Capriotti, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance (IJTAF)* **17**, 1 (2014).
⁴² B. Horvath, A. J. Jacquier, and C. Turfus, Available at SSRN 3253833 (2018).
⁴³ L. Capriotti, *Quantitative Finance* **20**, 29 (2020).
⁴⁴ F. Black and P. Karasinski, *Financial Analysts Journal* **47**, 52 (1991).
⁴⁵ E. Pinney, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **1**, 681 (1950).
⁴⁶ R. Parker, M. Stedman, and L. Capriotti (2023), preprint.
⁴⁷ A. Cuccoli, R. Giachetti, V. Tognetti, R. Vaia, and P. Verrucchi, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **7**, 7891 (1995).
⁴⁸ P. Bogacki and L. F. Shampine, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **32**, 15 (1996).
⁴⁹ F. Tourrucóo, P. Hagan, and G. F. Schleiniger, *Applied Mathematical Finance* **14**, 107 (2007).
⁵⁰ Y. Ait Sahalia, *Journal of Finance* **54**, 1361 (1999).
⁵¹ J. Lee and L. Capriotti, *Risk* **30**, 67 (2015).
⁵² M. Li, F. Mercurio, and S. Resnick, *Risk* **34**, 68 (2019).
⁵³ J. Devreese, D. Lemmens, and J. Tempere, *Physica A* **389**,

780 (2010).

⁵⁴ C. C. Grosjean and M. J. Goovaerts, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **21**, 311 (1988).

⁵⁵ The SDE is intended as a short-hand notation of the corresponding Ito's integral⁶.

Appendix A: General one-dimensional Gaussian path integrals

Here we state the translation of the results of Grosjean and Goovaerts⁵⁴ to the case Euclidean path integrals. The proof follows that for the corresponding quantum mechanical case with the exception that the derivation of the normalization constant uses the standard Gaussian integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{Ax^2+Bx} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{-A}} e^{-B^2/4A}, \quad \text{Re}[A] < 0 \quad (\text{A1})$$

rather than the Fresnel integrals.

The most general form of Hamiltonian for which (10) is a Gaussian path integral is

$$H(x, \dot{x}, u) \equiv a(u)\dot{x}^2 + 2b(u)\dot{x}x + c(u)x^2 + 2d(u)\dot{x} + 2e(u)x + f(u), \quad (\text{A2})$$

where the six coefficients are continuous functions of time and $a(u)$, $b(u)$, and $d(u)$ have continuous derivatives. In this case, Eq. (10) may be evaluated analytically to give

$$\rho(b, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\hbar R(u_b, u_a)}} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}(b, a)/\hbar}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{cl}}(b, a) &= (a(u_b)\dot{x}_{\text{cl}}(u_b) + b(u_b)x_b + 2d(u_b))x_b \\ &\quad - (a(u_a)\dot{x}_{\text{cl}}(u_a) + b(u_a)x_a + 2d(u_a))x_a \\ &\quad + \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \left[(e(u) - \dot{d}(u))x_{\text{cl}}(u) + f(u) \right] du \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

is the *classical action*, $x_{\text{cl}}(u)$ is the *classical path* which satisfies the Euler equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (\text{A5})$$

with $x(u_a) = x_a$, $x(u_b) = x_b$, and $R(u, u')$ is defined as

$$R(u, u') = y_2(u)y_1(u') - y_1(u)y_2(u'). \quad (\text{A6})$$

Further, $x_{\text{cl}}(u)$ may be written as

$$x_{\text{cl}}(u) = C_1(u_b, u_a)y_1(u) + C_2(u_b, u_a)y_2(u) + z(u), \quad (\text{A7})$$

where $y_1(u)$ is a non-trivial particular solution of the homogeneous equation of motion

$$a(u)\ddot{x} + \dot{a}(u)\dot{x} + (b(u) - c(u))x = 0, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$y_2(u)$ is another solution of (A8) given by

$$y_2(u) = y_1(u) \int_{\tau}^u \frac{du'}{a(u')y_1^2(u')}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where τ is an arbitrary-chosen lower integration bound, and

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(u_b, u_a) &= \frac{(x_a - z(u_a))y_2(u_b) - (x_b - z(u_b))y_2(u_a)}{R(u_b, u_a)} \\ C_2(u_b, u_a) &= \frac{(x_b - z(u_b))y_1(u_a) - (x_a - z(u_a))y_1(u_b)}{R(u_b, u_a)} \\ z(u) &= \int_{\tau}^u (e(u') - \dot{d}(u')) R(u, u') du'. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

Appendix B: Derivation of the density matrix for the forced harmonic oscillator with time-dependent parameters

The equation of motion corresponding to the Hamiltonian in Eq. (12) is

$$m(u)\ddot{x} + \dot{m}(u)\dot{x} - m(u)\omega^2(u)x = \gamma(u), \quad (\text{B1})$$

with $x(u_a) = x_a$ and $x(u_b) = x_b$. Defining $\mu(u) \equiv \sqrt{m(u)}$ and taking the positive root, we may write the homogeneous equation of motion as

$$\ddot{x} + 2\frac{\dot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)}\dot{x} - \omega^2(u)x = 0. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Applying the results of Section A, we obtain the classical action

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{cl}(b, a) = & \frac{1}{R(u_b, u_a)} \left[\frac{m(u_b)x_b^2}{2} (\dot{y}_2(u_b)y_1(u_a) - \dot{y}_1(u_b)y_2(u_a)) - 2x_b x_a + \frac{m(u_a)x_a^2}{2} (\dot{y}_2(u_a)y_1(u_b) - \dot{y}_1(u_a)y_2(u_b)) \right. \\
& + (x_b y_1(u_a) - x_a y_1(u_b)) \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) y_2(u) du - (x_b y_2(u_a) - x_a y_2(u_b)) \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) y_1(u) du \\
& + \frac{1}{2} y_1(u_b) y_1(u_a) \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) y_2(u) \int_{u_a}^u \gamma(u') y_2(u') du' du + \frac{1}{2} y_2(u_b) y_2(u_a) \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) y_1(u) \int_{u_a}^u \gamma(u') y_1(u') du' du \\
& - \frac{1}{2} y_1(u_b) y_2(u_a) \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) y_2(u) \int_{u_a}^u \gamma(u') y_1(u') du' du - \frac{1}{2} y_2(u_b) y_1(u_a) \int_{u_a}^{u_b} \gamma(u) y_1(u) \int_{u_a}^u \gamma(u') y_2(u') du' du \left. \right] \\
& + \int_{u_a}^{u_b} w(u) du \tag{B3}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify the defining integral of $y_2(u)$, we choose $y_1(u)$ to be of the form

$$y_1(u) = \frac{h(u)}{\mu(u)} \cosh \nu(u) , \tag{B4}$$

where $h(u)$ and $\nu(u)$ are to be determined. Substituting (B4) into (B2) leads to the system of equations

$$h(u)\dot{\nu}(u) + 2\dot{h}(u)\nu(u) = 0 \tag{B5}$$

$$\ddot{h}(u) + \left(\dot{\nu}^2(u) - \omega^2(u) - \frac{\ddot{\mu}(u)}{\mu(u)} \right) h(u) = 0 . \tag{B6}$$

Eq. (B5) implies that

$$\frac{d}{du} (h^2(u)\dot{\nu}(u)) = h(u) (h(u)\dot{\nu}(u) + 2\dot{h}(u)\nu(u)) = 0 \tag{B7}$$

and hence that

$$h^2(u)\dot{\nu}(u) = \text{const} . \tag{B8}$$

In particular, we may choose the constant of integration to be one such that $h(u)$ is given by (19). With this choice, (B6) becomes the Pinney equation (20) and

$$y_1(u) = \frac{1}{\mu(u)\sqrt{\dot{\nu}(u)}} \cosh \nu(u) . \tag{B9}$$

Substituting (B9) into (A9) and choosing $\tau = 0$ gives

$$y_2(u) = \frac{2}{\mu(u)\sqrt{\dot{\nu}(u)}} \sinh \nu(u) . \tag{B10}$$

Finally, substituting for $y_1(u)$ and $y_2(u)$ in Eqs. (B3) and (A3) gives the results (13)-(15).