

On the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with
an electric field confined to a circular box

Francisco M. Fernández*

INIFTA, DQT, Sucursal 4, C. C. 16,
1900 La Plata, Argentina

Javier Garcia

Instituto de Física La Plata,

Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, and

Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de La Plata,

C.C. 67, 1900, La Plata, Argentina

Norberto Aquino

Departamento de Física,

Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa,

Av. Ferrocarril de San Rafael Atlixco 186,

Iztapalapa, C.P. 09310 Cd. Mexico, Mexico

Antonio Flores-Riveros

Instituto de Física,

Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla,

Av. San Claudio y Blvd. 18 Sur, Col. San Manuel,

Puebla, Pue, México, C. P. 72570

*fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

Abstract

We revisit the quantum-mechanical two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with an electric field confined to a circular box of impenetrable walls. In order to obtain the energy spectrum we resort to the Rayleigh-Ritz method with polynomial and Gaussian basis sets. We compare present results with those derived recently by other authors. We discuss the limits of large and small box radius and also do some calculations with perturbation theory.

1 Introduction

In a paper published recently Cruz et al [1] studied a model for an electron confined to a circle of radius r_0 under the effect of a harmonic interaction and a uniform electric field. They solved the Schrödinger equation by means of the linear variational method (commonly known as the Rayleigh-Ritz method (RRM) [2, 3]) and studied the effect of the box size and the magnitude of the electric field on the Shannon entropy and Fisher information for some of the lowest states of the system.

In their calculation Cruz et al resorted to an incomplete trial function that is a linear combination of states sharing the same radial part. Since there are no other results that may be chosen as benchmark it was not possible to estimate the accuracy of those variational results. In this paper we repeat the calculation using a suitable basis set. Since the RRM yields increasingly accurate upper bounds to the all eigenvalues of the problem [4, 5] we can easily estimate the accuracy of the results of Cruz et al.

2 Two-dimensional harmonic oscillator confined to a circle with a constant electric field

In what follows we outline some general features of the Schrödinger equation for the model as well as the earlier calculation carried out by Cruz et al [1].

2.1 Some general features of the eigenvalue equation

The problem discussed by Cruz et al is given by the Hamiltonian operator

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \frac{k}{2}r^2 + efx + V_c(r), \quad (1)$$

where m is the electron mass, e is the electron charge, k is the oscillator force constant, f is the magnitude of the electric field and $V_c(r)$ is a confining potential given by

$$V_c(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r < r_0 \\ \infty & \text{if } r \geq r_0 \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

that forces the boundary condition $\psi(x, y) = 0$ for all $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \geq r_0$.

2.2 Dimensionless equations

Before discussing the solution of the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian operator (1) we briefly outline some relevant features of the model. To begin with, we consider the transformation $(x, y) = (L\tilde{x}, L\tilde{y})$, $\nabla^2 = L^{-2}\tilde{\nabla}^2$, where L is an arbitrary unit of length and (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) are dimensionless cartesian coordinates. In this way we derive the dimensionless Hamiltonian operator [6] (we omit the confining potential from now on)

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{mL^2}{\hbar^2}H = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^2 + \frac{mkL^4}{2\hbar^2}\tilde{r}^2 + \frac{mefL^3}{\hbar^2}\tilde{x}. \quad (3)$$

The boundary condition now becomes $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 0$ for all $\tilde{r} \geq \tilde{r}_0$, $\tilde{r} = r/L$, $\tilde{r}_0 = r_0/L$.

We first consider the case $L = r_0$ that leads to

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{mr_0^2}{\hbar^2}H = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^2 + \frac{mkr_0^4}{2\hbar^2}\tilde{r}^2 + \frac{mefr_0^3}{\hbar^2}\tilde{x}, \quad (4)$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^2. \quad (5)$$

That is to say, when $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the model of a particle in a circular box with radius $\tilde{r}_0 = 1$. We will come back to this issue later on.

Cruz et al chose $L = \sqrt{\hbar/\sqrt{mk}} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$, where $\omega = \sqrt{k/m}$, so that

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{H}{\hbar\omega} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{r}^2 + \lambda\tilde{x}, \quad \lambda = \frac{mefL^3}{\hbar^2}. \quad (6)$$

Throughout this paper we will use this form of the dimensionless Hamiltonian operator and omit the tilde on the dimensionless variables.

2.3 Earlier calculations

According to the results of the preceding subsection the dimensionless Hamiltonian operator for this model can be written as

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2}r^2 + \lambda r \cos(\phi), \quad (7)$$

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. The eigenfunctions $\psi(r, \phi)$ satisfy the boundary condition $\psi(r_0, \phi) = 0$.

Cruz et al first solved the eigenvalue equation for $\lambda = 0$ and obtained the eigenfunctions in polar coordinates $\psi_{nm}^{(0)}(r, \phi) = R_{nm}(r)\frac{e^{im\phi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, where $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ are the radial and magnetic quantum numbers, respectively. With such solutions they proposed trial variational functions of the form

$$\Psi(r, \phi) = \sum_{m=-M}^M c_m \psi_{nm}^{(0)}(r, \phi), \quad (8)$$

where $\psi_{nm}^{(0)}$ are the eigenfunctions of the 2D confined harmonic oscillator [1, 7].

It is clear that the general trial function should be

$$\Psi(r, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=-M}^M c_{nm} \psi_{nm}^{(0)}(r, \phi), \quad (9)$$

because the term $\lambda r \cos(\phi)$ clearly couples states with different values of n . The latter approach requires the calculation of integrals of the form

$$\int_0^{r_0} R_{nm}(r)rR_{n'm'}(r)r dr, \quad (10)$$

that we can avoid if we choose an alternative basis set.

Before discussing an alternative implementation of the RRM we first consider some relevant features of the solutions of the Schrödinger equation with

the Hamiltonian operator (7). Since the Hamiltonian is invariant under the transformation $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$, then the eigenfunctions are either even ($\psi^{(e)}(r, -\phi) = \psi^{(e)}(r, \phi)$) or odd ($\psi^{(o)}(r, -\phi) = -\psi^{(o)}(r, \phi)$). For this reason, the solutions at $\lambda = 0$ can be more conveniently written as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{n\nu}^{(0,e)}(r, \phi) &= R_{n\nu}^{(e)}(r) \cos(\nu\phi), \quad \nu = |m| = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \psi_{n\nu}^{(0,o)}(r, \phi) &= R_{n\nu}^{(o)}(r) \sin(\nu\phi), \quad \nu = |m| = 1, 2, \dots,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

and we can treat them separately by means of the RRM. Note that there is no degeneracy within each set of solutions and that Cruz et al arrived at the same two sets of basis functions empirically.

The second relevant feature is given by the unitary transformation $U\phi U^\dagger = \phi + \pi$ that leads to $UH(\lambda)U^\dagger = H(-\lambda)$; therefore,

$$UH(\lambda)\psi = UH(\lambda)U^\dagger U\psi = H(-\lambda)U\psi = E(\lambda)U\psi.\tag{12}$$

Since we have removed any degeneracy within the sets of even and odd solutions we conclude that $E(\lambda) = E(-\lambda)$; that is to say, the eigenvalues are even functions of λ .

3 Rayleigh-Ritz method

Since the RRM is well known [2–4,8], we do not discuss it here in detail. In what follows we simply describe some basis sets that, in our opinion, are suitable for a successful calculation. The procedure described in this section is motivated by a recent discussion of the RRM with a non-orthogonal basis set [8].

3.1 Polynomial basis set

The simplest basis set is undoubtedly a polynomial one. For the even and odd functions we propose the non-orthogonal basis sets

$$\begin{aligned}f_{i,j}^{(e)}(r, \phi) &= r^i(r_0 - r) \cos(j\phi), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, i, \\ f_{i,j}^{(o)}(r, \phi) &= r^i(r_0 - r) \sin(j\phi), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, i.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

The calculation of the matrix elements $S_{ij'j'} = \langle f_{ij} | f_{i'j'} \rangle$ and $H_{ij'j'} = \langle f_{ij} | H | f_{i'j'} \rangle$ is straightforward and we can easily apply the RRM [2–4, 8] after converting $S_{ij'j'}$ and $H_{ij'j'}$ into two-dimensional arrays. The main disadvantage of these basis sets is that they are not expected to be suitable for large values of r_0 because they do not exhibit the correct Gaussian behaviour when $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$. However, they are suitable for most of our calculations.

We choose $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $i = j, j + 1, \dots, N$ for even states and $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $i = j, j + 1, \dots, N$ for odd ones. Consequently, the number of basis functions is $(N + 1)(N + 2)/2$ in the former case and $N(N + 1)/2$ in the latter.

Tables 1 and 2 show the convergence of the RRM for the first four even and first four odd eigenvalues, respectively, when $r_0 = 1$ and $\lambda = 0.05$. Both tables also show the eigenvalues obtained by Cruz et al. It is clear that the results of the latter authors are reasonably accurate at least for small values of r_0 and λ . Table 3 shows present results for all the values of r_0 and λ considered by Cruz et al. The discrepancy between present eigenvalues and those in table 1 of Cruz et al [1] is noticeable for large values of r_0 and λ . The reason is that the ansatz used by those authors, shown above in equation (8), requires the contribution of basis functions $\psi_{n'm}^{(0)}$ with $n' \neq n$ as shown in equation (9).

The analysis carried out in subsection 2.2 predicts that

$$\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow 0} r_0^2 E_{n\nu} = E_{n\nu}^{PB}, \quad (14)$$

where $E_{n\nu}^{PB}$ is the corresponding eigenvalue of the particle in a box of radius $r_0 = 1$. Table 4 shows that $r_0^2 E_{n\nu} \approx E_{n\nu}^{PB}$ for $r_0 = 0.01$.

3.2 Gaussian basis set

When $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$ the problem is separable in cartesian coordinates, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x + \lambda)^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \quad (15)$$

and we conclude that

$$\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow \infty} E_{n\nu}(r_0, \lambda) = n_1 + n_2 + 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}, \quad n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, \dots \quad (16)$$

Note that we have decided to label the eigenvalues with the quantum numbers n and ν that are natural for the unperturbed problem with $\lambda = 0$. Upon solving the Schrödinger equation for $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda = 0$ we realize that $n_1 + n_2 = 2n + \nu$. The energy levels (16) depend on $n_1 + n_2$ and are $(n_1 + n_2 + 1)$ -fold degenerate.

As r_0 increases, the rate of convergence of the RRM with the basis set (13) becomes slower. In order to improve the performance of the approach for large values of r_0 we can choose

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i,j}^{(e)}(r, \phi) &= r^i (r_0 - r) e^{-r^2/2} \cos(j\phi), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, i, \\ g_{i,j}^{(o)}(r, \phi) &= r^i (r_0 - r) e^{-r^2/2} \sin(j\phi), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, i. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The effect of a suitable asymptotic behaviour of the basis functions on the rate of convergence of the RRM has been discussed earlier for some anharmonic oscillators [9].

Table 5 shows $E_{00}(r_0, \lambda)$ for increasing values of r_0 and some values of λ . It is clear that the difference $E_{00}(r_0, \lambda) - E_{00}(\infty, \lambda)$ for a given value of r_0 increases with λ .

4 Perturbation theory

In this section we apply perturbation theory to the calculation of the energies of the model. We will discuss two alternative implementations of the approximate method.

4.1 Standard perturbation theory

In order to apply perturbation theory to the present model we define $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2}r^2$ and $H' = r \cos(\phi)$. Since the eigenvalues are even functions of λ

the perturbation series should be

$$E_{n\nu} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E_{n\nu}^{(2j)} \lambda^{2j}. \quad (18)$$

The first correction is given by

$$E_{n\nu}^{(2)} = \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu'-\nu|=1} \frac{\left| \langle \psi_{n\nu}^{(0)} | r \cos(\phi) | \psi_{n'\nu'}^{(0)} \rangle \right|^2}{E_{n\nu}^{(0)} - E_{n'\nu'}^{(0)}} \quad (19)$$

where $\psi_{n\nu}^{(0)}$ and $E_{n\nu}^{(0)}$ are the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of H_0 [1, 7]. It should be taken into account that the unperturbed eigenfunctions and eigenvalues in this calculation are those indicated in equation (11) and that the even and odd states are treated separately. Since the terms in this sum decrease quite rapidly just a few of them are enough for a reasonable accuracy. For example:

$$E_{00}^{(2)} = -0.023766061092 - 0.0000279159 - 1.0265 \times 10^{-6} = -0.0237950030, \quad (20)$$

for $r_0 = 1$. The approximate ground-state energy for this box radius is

$$E_{00} = 3 - 0.0237950030\lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4). \quad (21)$$

In the next subsection we will derive more accurate perturbation results by means of an alternative approach and discuss the accuracy of second-order perturbation theory.

4.2 Alternative perturbation approach

Instead of the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of H_0 derived in the way indicated by Cruz et al [1] and Montgomery et al [7] we obtained them by means of the RRM with the Gaussian basis set (17) and obtained the perturbation corrections systematically through the equations given in chapter 1 of one of the available books on perturbation theory [10]. In this way we obtained many perturbation coefficients for several states. In table 6 we show $E^{(0)}$ and $E^{(2)}$ for the first five states when $r_0 = 1$. Note that the splitting between the fourth and fifth states occurs at higher perturbation orders.

Figure 1 shows the first five eigenvalues calculated by second-order perturbation theory and by means of the RRM. The agreement is satisfactory in a wide range of values of λ .

From the results of subsection 3.2 we conclude that

$$\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow \infty} E_{n\nu}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (22)$$

Table 7 shows that $E_{n\nu}^{(0)}$ tends to $2n + \nu + 1$ and $E_{n\nu}^{(2)}$ to -0.5 as r_0 increases.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that the incomplete basis set used by Cruz et al [1] is not suitable for large values of r_0 and λ . We proposed two alternative basis sets of functions; one of them leads to simpler matrix elements but is rather inefficient for large values of the box radius. The other one leads to somewhat more complicated matrix elements but requires smaller values of N in order to obtain accurate results for large values of r_0 . In addition to producing quite accurate eigenvalues the RRM with these basis sets proved useful for the study of the behaviour of the spectrum of the model for very small and very large values of the box size. We also obtained reasonably accurate eigenvalues by means of second-order perturbation theory.

References

- [1] E. Cruz, N. Aquino, V. Prasad, and A. Flores-Riveros, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **78**, 71 (2024).
- [2] F. L. Pilar, *Elementary Quantum Chemistry* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968).
- [3] A. Szabo and N. S. Ostlund, *Modern Quantum Chemistry* (Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York, 1996).
- [4] J. K. L. MacDonald, *Phys Rev.* **43**, 830 (1933).

Table 1: Eigenvalues for the even solutions when $r_0 = 1$, $\lambda = 0.05$ and $i \leq N$

N	$E_1^{(e)}$	$E_2^{(e)}$	$E_3^{(e)}$	$E_4^{(e)}$
2	3.000033048	7.586264543	14.23335748	16.45868556
3	2.999983516	7.508025752	13.45385988	15.41429625
4	2.999940526	7.507311498	13.39694464	15.40025129
5	2.999940524	7.507179152	13.39164826	15.39159676
6	2.999940512	7.507178210	13.39154151	15.39154827
7	2.999940512	7.507178149	13.39153360	15.39153048
8	2.999940512	7.507178149	13.39153353	15.39153043
9	2.999940512	7.507178149	13.39153353	15.39153042
10	2.999940512	7.507178149	13.39153353	15.39153042
Ref. [1]	2.999940	7.507196	13.391537	

- [5] F. M. Fernández, On the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method, arXiv:2206.05122 [quant-ph].
- [6] F. M. Fernández, Dimensionless equations in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, arXiv:2005.05377 [quant-ph].
- [7] H. E. Montgomery Jr., G. Campoy, and N. Aquino, Phys. Scr. **81**, 045010 (2010).
- [8] F. M. Fernández, J. Math. Chem. (2024). doi.org /10.1007/s10910-024-01644-2, doi:10.20944/preprints202405.1006.v1.
- [9] F. M. Fernández and J. Garcia, Cent. Eur. J. Phys. **12**, 554 (2014).
- [10] F. M. Fernández, Introduction to Perturbation Theory in Quantum Mechanics (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2001).

Table 2: Eigenvalues for the odd solutions when $r_0 = 1$, $\lambda = 0.05$ and $i \leq N$

N	$E_1^{(o)}$	$E_2^{(o)}$	$E_3^{(o)}$	$E_4^{(o)}$
3	7.507984721	13.45385988	22.77274842	25.97120953
4	7.507270472	13.39694464	20.61132495	24.8074732
5	7.507138138	13.39164826	20.59740341	24.78828653
6	7.507137196	13.39154151	20.58517127	24.77616193
7	7.507137135	13.3915336	20.58516517	24.77603555
8	7.507137134	13.39153353	20.58514439	24.77599977
9	7.507137134	13.39153353	20.58514439	24.77599951
10	7.507137134	13.39153353	20.58514438	24.77599947
11	7.507137134	13.39153353	20.58514438	24.77599947
Ref. [1]	7.507137	13.391537		




Figure 1: Eigenvalues for the first five states calculated by means of the RRM (blue points) and perturbation theory (red line)

Table 3: First five eigenvalues for some values of r_0 and λ

λ	$r_0 = 1$				
0.05	2.999940513	7.507137135	7.507178149	13.39153353	13.39153353
0.50	2.994054784	7.503668344	7.507765819	13.39108541	13.39108591
1.00	2.976261687	7.493165697	7.509507984	13.38971472	13.38972271
1.50	2.946746472	7.475690676	7.512284352	13.38738763	13.38742819
2.00	2.905712640	7.451286862	7.515910615	13.38404044	13.38416912
2.50	2.853432944	7.420014281	7.520141153	13.37958431	13.37989994
3.00	2.790238712	7.381948462	7.524679955	13.37390580	13.37456372
3.50	2.716507923	7.337179290	7.529192808	13.36686757	13.36809331
4.00	2.632652970	7.285809695	7.533319836	13.35830959	13.36041264
4.50	2.539108909	7.227954221	7.536687533	13.34805070	13.35143815
5.00	2.436322782	7.163737522	7.538919695	13.33589085	13.34108027
5.50	2.324744395	7.093292825	7.539646842	13.32161397	13.32924491
6.00	2.204818732	7.016760401	7.538513927	13.30499156	13.31583500
	$r_0 = 2$				
0.05	1.121533076	2.471308473	2.471677189	4.092484156	4.092484253
0.50	1.055749927	2.425491724	2.461288707	4.079919270	4.080859951
1.00	0.8676905872	2.290990158	2.423192111	4.029818289	4.043205109
1.50	0.5825348562	2.079035409	2.345604043	3.921386314	3.974310688
2.00	0.2240418306	1.802394297	2.221750826	3.750830242	3.869531452
2.50	-0.1898793720	1.472757021	2.051207036	3.528077680	3.726784048
3.00	-0.6466661902	1.099674893	1.836953799	3.263614113	3.546435112
3.50	-1.137519525	0.6906132774	1.583000466	2.965401619	3.330401976
4.00	-1.656139155	0.2513481407	1.293278752	2.639409865	3.081315858
4.50	-2.197888537	-0.2136268090	0.9712902180	2.290243036	2.801986817
5.00	-2.759263211	-0.7007731576	0.6200711870	1.921520387	2.495126178
5.50	-3.337549806	-1.207265044	0.2422612717	1.536106044	2.163228074
6.00	-3.930602471	-1.730813281	-0.1598114054	1.136262144	1.808534720
	$r_0 = 3$				
0.05	1.000719415	2.013820524	2.013958910	3.057224946	3.057227051
0.50	0.8810998253	1.901568472	1.916966478	2.965602260	2.977378029
1.00	0.5302449413	1.575816276	1.649888731	2.680883025	2.751206873
1.50	-0.01534172516	1.074370141	1.261029544	2.240275829	2.407990915
2.00	-0.7078968358	0.4396646394	0.7809055363	1.681527101	1.968686336
2.50	-1.505217743	-0.2930063285	0.2246424266	1.035590763	1.446909507
3.00	-2.377395710	-1.098385329	-0.3990488847	0.3264125547	0.8529729025
3.50	-3.304713209	-1.959183144	-1.084579031	-0.4273630821	0.1956863049
4.00	-4.274142731	-2.863493879	-1.828291585	-1.211048117	-0.5170228781
4.50	-5.276820708	-3.802913311	-2.626732853	-2.014028483	-1.277913746
5.00	-6.306499209	-4.771332759	-3.474706132	-2.830565891	-2.080445685
5.50	-7.358622358	-5.764184603	-4.365053586	-3.659193962	-2.918861635
6.00	-8.429766567	-6.777965138	-5.290258763	-4.500526982	-3.788232221

Table 7: $E_{n,\nu}^{(0)}$ and $E_{n,\nu}^{(2)}$ for increasing values of r_0

r_0	$E_{00}^{(e,0)}$	$E_{10}^{(e,0)}$	$E_{20}^{(e,0)}$	$E_{01}^{(e,0)}$	$E_{11}^{(e,0)}$	$E_{02}^{(e,0)}$
1	3.00000000	15.39153805	37.60583487	7.50717218	24.77601000	13.39153805
2	1.12220853	4.44050521	10.01698219	2.47177521	6.82577445	4.09259935
3	1.00193679	3.08886540	5.68860128	2.01496711	4.27163834	3.05805047
4	1.00000336	3.00063504	5.02056599	2.00004978	4.00395689	3.00036610
5	1.00000000	3.00000035	5.00003719	2.00000002	4.00000378	3.00000019
r_0	$E_{01}^{(o,0)}$	$E_{11}^{(o,0)}$	$E_{02}^{(o,0)}$			
1	7.50717218	24.77601000	13.39153805			
2	2.47177521	6.82577445	4.09259935			
3	2.01496711	4.27163834	3.05805047			
4	2.00004978	4.00395689	3.00036610			
5	2.00000002	4.00000378	3.00000019			
r_0	$E_{00}^{(e,2)}$	$E_{10}^{(e,2)}$	$E_{20}^{(e,2)}$	$E_{01}^{(e,2)}$	$E_{11}^{(e,2)}$	$E_{02}^{(e,2)}$
1	-0.02379513	-0.00304969	-0.00116231	0.00238756	0.00085352	-0.00180628
2	-0.27022732	-0.04303208	-0.01639429	-0.03917956	0.01445894	-0.04603071
3	-0.48698448	-0.27520028	-0.06592876	-0.40340299	-0.03096954	-0.32944152
4	-0.49995340	-0.49391191	-0.39406165	-0.49914014	-0.45745249	-0.49638216
5	-0.49999998	-0.49999345	-0.49945600	-0.49999951	-0.49990653	-0.49999640
r_0	$E_{01}^{(o,2)}$	$E_{11}^{(o,2)}$	$E_{02}^{(o,2)}$			
1	-0.01401830	-0.00420907	-0.00180628			
2	-0.18671149	-0.06215340	-0.04603071			
3	-0.45868737	-0.25480400	-0.32944152			
4	-0.49968212	-0.48373136	-0.49638216			
5	-0.49999983	-0.49996665	-0.49999640			