On the max min of the algebraic degree and the nonlinearity of a Boolean function on an affine subspace

Jan Kristian Haugland

September 6, 2024

Abstract

We investigate the max min of the algebraic degree and the nonlinearity of a Boolean function in n variables when restricted to a k-dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^n . Previous authors have focused on the cases when the max min of the algebraic degree is 0 or 1. Upper bounds, lower bounds and a conjecture on the exact value in special cases are presented.

1 Introduction

Let f be a Boolean function in n variables. With $k \leq n$, let $\alpha(f,k)$ denote the minimal algebraic degree of f when restricted to a k-dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^n (subsequently referred to as a k-dimensional flat) and let $g(n,k) = \max_f \alpha(f,k)$.

Similarly, let $\alpha'(f,k)$ denote the minimal nonlinearity of f when restricted to a k-dimensional flat, and let $g'(n,k) = \max_f \alpha'(f,k)$. The nonlinearity of a Boolean function is the minimal Hamming distance to an affine function.

f is said to be k-normal if $\alpha(f,k) = 0$ and weakly k-normal if $\alpha(f,k) \leq 1$ (which is equivalent to $\alpha'(f,k) = 0$), and these cases have been of particular interest. Dubuc [1] proved that for $n \leq 7$, any Boolean function in n variables is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ -normal, i.e., g(n,k) = 0 if $n \leq 7$ and $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. In this note, we give an upper bound (Theorem 2.5) and a lower bound (Theorem 2.3) for g(n,k), as well as considering exact values when $n - k \in \{1, 2\}$ (Theorem 2.2 and the conjecture in Section 5) and in some single cases (Section 3).

We focus mostly on g(n, k), but we also give a lower bound for g'(n, k) and values in some single cases.

2 General bounds

Lemma 2.1. The algebraic degree of f when restricted to a k-dimensional flat $(k \ge 1)$ is equal to k if and only if the sum of f(x) over all vertices of the flat is 1.

Proof. Confer [2], Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.2. If $n \ge 2$, then g(n, n-1) = n - 2.

Proof. First, we prove that g(n, n - 1) < n - 1. This follows almost directly from Lemma 2.1. We only need to identify an (n - 1)-dimensional flat on which the sum of f(x) is 0.

Let S be any (n-2)-dimensional flat. S must have three additional cosets which are also flats. There must be two of them on which f has the same sum, and so we can simply combine them into an (n-1)-dimensional flat on which $\sum f(x) = 0$ as required.

Second, we verify that there exists an example of a function f for which $\alpha(f, n-1) \ge n-2$. We can use the function that maps x to 1 if the weight of x is 0 or 1, and 0 otherwise. A flat of dimension n-1 must contain at least one x such that f(x) = 1, otherwise the complement would contain all of them and generate the whole of \mathbb{F}_2^n . Then the intersection with either $x_i = 1$ (if x is 1 in the *i*th coordinate) or $\sum x_i = 0$ (if x is (0, 0, ..., 0)) contains exactly one vertex y for which f(y) = 1, and f must have maximal degree $\ge n-2$ here. Therefore, f is also of degree $\ge n-2$ on the original flat.

Theorem 2.3. If n, k, d are integers with $n > k > d \ge 0$ satisfying

$$(k+1)(n-k) + 2 \le \binom{k}{0} + \ldots + \binom{k}{k-d-1}$$

then g(n,k) > d. Similarly, if $m < 2^{k-2}$ and

$$\binom{2^k}{0} + \ldots + \binom{2^k}{m} \le 2^{2^k - (k+1)(n-k+1)-2}$$

then g'(n,k) > m.

Proof. We begin by observing that $(1 - \frac{1}{2^i})^{2^{i-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $i \geq 1$, and thus $\prod_{i\geq 1} (1 - \frac{1}{2^i}) \geq (\frac{1}{2})^{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\dots} = \frac{1}{4}$. (We could have used the exact value 0.288788... for the infinite product, but $\geq \frac{1}{4}$ is good enough here.) We can now estimate the number of k-dimensional flats in \mathbb{F}_2^n , which is given by

$$2^{n-k} \frac{(2^n-1)(2^{n-1}-1)\dots(2^{n-k+1}-1)}{(2^k-1)(2^{k-1}-1)\dots(2^1-1)}$$

We have

$$(2^{n}-1)(2^{n-1}-1)\dots(2^{n-k+1}-1) < 2^{k(n-\frac{k-1}{2})}$$

$$(2^{k}-1)(2^{k-1}-1)\dots(2^{1}-1) > \left(\prod_{i\geq 1} \left(1-\frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)\right) 2^{k\frac{k+1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{4} \times 2^{k\frac{k+1}{2}}$$

$$\implies 2^{n-k}\frac{(2^{n}-1)(2^{n-1}-1)\dots(2^{n-k+1}-1)}{(2^{k}-1)(2^{k-1}-1)\dots(2^{1}-1)} < 2^{(n-k)+k(n-k)+2}$$

$$= 2^{(k+1)(n-k)+2}$$

For each of these flats, the proportion of functions of algebraic degree $\leq d$ on it is $2^{-\binom{k}{0}+\ldots+\binom{k}{k-d-1}}$. By the condition of the Theorem, the proportion of functions of algebraic degree $\leq d$ on at least one flat must be smaller than 1, and it follows that there must exist a function $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\alpha(f, k) > d$.

The argument only uses the scarceness of functions of low degree, and a similar argument applies to nonlinearity (or any other property, for that matter). Since $m < 2^{k-2}$, the proportion of functions of nonlinearity $\leq m$ on any given k-dimensional flat is

$$\left(\binom{2^k}{0} + \ldots + \binom{2^k}{m}\right) 2^{k+1-2^k}$$

and the bound for g'(n,k) follows.

Corollary 2.3.1. Suppose n, k are integers and that $k \ge 5$. If $k+2 \le n \le \frac{3k-1}{2}$, then $g(n,k) \ge k-2$. If $\frac{3k}{2} \le n \le \frac{(k+1)(k+4)}{6}$, then $g(n,k) \ge k-3$.

Proof. Regarding the first claim, we can apply Theorem 2.3 with d = k - 3. Since $n \leq \frac{3k-1}{2}$, we have

$$(k+1)(n-k) + 2 \le \frac{k^2+3}{2} < \frac{k^2+k+2}{2} = \binom{k}{0} + \binom{k}{1} + \binom{k}{2}$$

For the second claim, we put d = k - 4 instead. Since $n \leq \frac{(k+1)(k+4)}{6}$, we have

$$(k+1)(n-k) + 2 \le \frac{k^3 + 3k + 16}{6} \le \frac{k^3 + 5k + 6}{6} = \binom{k}{0} + \binom{k}{1} + \binom{k}{2} + \binom{k}{3}$$

In both cases, the condition of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied.

We can also give an upper bound by simple means.

Lemma 2.4. If a, b and c are nonnegative integers satisfying

$$\max(a+1,b) \le c \le a+b$$

then

$$\lceil 2^{a} \frac{2^{b} - 1}{2^{c} - 1} \rceil = 2^{a + b - c}$$

Proof. We have

$$2^{a}\frac{2^{b}-1}{2^{c}-1} = 2^{a}\left(\frac{1}{2^{c-b}} - \frac{1-2^{b-c}}{2^{c}-1}\right) > 2^{a}\left(\frac{1}{2^{c-b}} - \frac{1}{2^{c}-1}\right)$$

and since a < c, it follows that

$$2^{a}\frac{2^{b}-1}{2^{c}-1} > 2^{a+b-c}-1$$

On the other hand, $b \leq c$ implies that

$$2^a \frac{2^b - 1}{2^c - 1} \le 2^{a + b - c}$$

Lastly, since $c \leq a + b$, it is clear that 2^{a+b-c} is an integer, and the conclusion follows.

Theorem 2.5. If $k > d \ge 0$ and $n \ge 2^{k-1} + k - \lfloor 2^{d-1} \rfloor$, then $q(n,k) \le d$.

Proof. Two δ -dimensional flats $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are said to be *parallel* if there exists a vector $z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $\{x_i + z\} = \{y_j\}$. Note that there are exactly $2^{n-\delta}$ flats that are parallel to $\{x_i\}$ (including itself), all mutually disjoint.

First, we prove the case d = 0. It suffices to assume that $n = 2^{k-1} + k$ and verify that $\alpha(f, k) = 0$ for any function f in n variables.

For $0 < \delta < k$, let $h(\delta)$ denote the maximal number of parallel δ -dimensional

flats on which f is constant. Trivially, $h(0) = 2^n$. For $\delta = 1, 2, ...$, there are at least $\lceil \frac{h(\delta-1)(h(\delta-1)-2)}{4} \rceil$ pairs of disjoint parallel $(\delta - 1)$ -dimensional flats on which f is equal to the same constant, while there are $2^{n-\delta+1}-1$ ways to pair up all $(\delta-1)$ -dimensional flats parallel to a given one into parallel δ -dimensional flats. Thus we have

$$h(\delta) \ge \lceil \frac{h(\delta-1)(h(\delta-1)-2)}{4(2^{n-\delta+1}-1)} \rceil$$

We can now show that $h(\delta) \ge 2^{n-(2^{\delta}+\delta)+1}$ for $0 \le \delta \le k-1$ by induction on δ . By Lemma 2.4 with $a = b = n - (2^{\delta-1} + \delta) + 1$, $c = n - \delta + 1$ we have the induction step

$$\left\lceil \frac{h(\delta-1)}{2} \frac{\frac{h(\delta-1)}{2} - 1}{2^{n-\delta+1} - 1} \right\rceil = 2^{n-(2^{\delta}+\delta)+1}$$

In particular, $h(k-1) \ge 4$, so we have at least two different parallel (k-1)dimensional flats on which f is the same constant, and we can combine them into a k-dimensional flat. This completes the case d = 0. (It is straightforward to verify that the conditions on a, b and c are satisfied.)

Second, when d > 0, the idea is to not distinguish between the admissible $(\delta - 1)$ -dimensional flats when forming δ -dimensional flats for $\delta > k - d$. Then f will have algebraic degree at most 1 on the (k - d + 1)-dimensional flats, at most 2 on the (k - d + 2)-dimensional flats, and so on.

With $n = 2^{k-1} + k - 2^{d-1}$, the proof that $h(k-d) \ge 2^{n-(2^{k-d}+k-d)+1}$ is similar as above, and we omit the details. Then, for $k - d + 1 \leq \delta \leq k$, let $h'(\delta)$ denote the maximal number of parallel δ -dimensional flats formed by the admissible $(\delta - 1)$ -dimensional flats, and put h'(k - d) = h(k - d). We have

$$h'(\delta) \ge \lceil \frac{h(\delta - 1)(h(\delta - 1) - 1)}{2(2^{n - \delta + 1} - 1)} \rceil$$

and as above, we can show that $h'(\delta) \geq 2^{n-(2^{\delta}+\delta)+2^{\delta-k+d}}$ for $k-d+1 \leq \delta \leq k-1$. By Lemma 2.4 with $a = n - (2^{\delta-1}+\delta) + 2^{\delta-k+d-1}$, b = a+1, $c = n-\delta+1$, we have the induction step

$$\lceil \frac{h'(\delta-1)}{2} \frac{h'(\delta-1)-1}{2^{n-\delta+1}-1} \rceil = 2^{n-(2^{\delta}+\delta)+2^{\delta-k+d}}$$

Thus we have $h'(k-1) \ge 2$, i.e., two different parallel (k-1)-dimensional flats that we can combine into a k-dimensional flat on which f has algebraic degree at most d.

3 Single cases

We have verified that g(5,3) = 1, g(6,4) = 2 and g(7,5) = 3 with the help of Langevin's classification of Boolean functions in up to six variables [3] and in seven variables [4]. (A few more details are given in Section 5.) Polujan *et al.* [5] found a bent function in 8 variables that is not 4-normal, and Canteaut *et al.* [6] found a bent function in 10 variables that is not weakly 5-normal. In other words, $g(8,4) \ge 1$ and $g(10,5) \ge 2$.

Given positive integers k and d, an algorithm for searching for a function f such that $\alpha(f,k) \geq d$ is as follows. Start with a random function f_0 in k variables. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$, let f_i be given by the same truth table as that of f_{i-1} except at one or two randomly chosen points. If the number of flats on which the degree is $\langle d \rangle$ is higher for f_i than for f_{i-1} , discard the new f_i and let $f_i \leftarrow f_{i-1}$ instead. If the number of such flats has dropped to zero within N steps, we are done. If not, we may be stuck in a local dead end and can try again from the top. In this manner we were able to find a function $f^{(7,4)}: \mathbb{F}_2^7 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\alpha(f^{(7,4)}, 4) = 2$ and a function $f^{(8,5)}: \mathbb{F}_2^8 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\alpha(f^{(8,5)}, 5) = 3$. Langevin found equivalent functions with fewer terms in the algebraic normal form (private communication), specifically

 $f^{(7,4)}(x_1, \dots, x_7) \sim x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_4 x_5 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_4 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_6 \oplus x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_4 x_5 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_7 \oplus x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 \oplus x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_2 x_3 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_2 x_4 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_2 x_4 x_6 \oplus x_2 x_5 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_3 x_4 x_5 \oplus x_3 x_4 \oplus x_3 x_5 \oplus x_3 x_7 \oplus x_4 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_5 x_7 \oplus x_6 x_7 \oplus x_5 x_7 \oplus x$

and

 $\begin{aligned} f^{(8,5)}(x_1,\ldots,x_8) &\sim x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 x_7 x_8 \oplus \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_3 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_4 x_5 x_6 \oplus \\ x_1 x_2 x_4 x_5 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_4 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_4 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_5 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_2 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_8 \oplus \\ x_1 x_3 x_4 x_5 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_4 x_6 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_4 x_7 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_4 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_5 x_7 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_5 x_7 \oplus \\ x_1 x_3 x_5 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_6 x_7 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_3 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_6 \oplus \\ x_1 x_4 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_4 x_8 \oplus x_1 x_5 x_7 \oplus x_1 x_7 x_8 \oplus x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_8 \oplus x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_8 \oplus \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{array}{l} x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus \\ x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus \\ x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_5x_7x_8 \oplus \\ x_2x_5x_8 \oplus x_2x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus \\ x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_3x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\ x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_6x_7x_8 \end{array}$

Thus, since $g(7,4) \leq g(6,4)$ and $g(8,5) \leq g(7,5)$, we have g(7,4) = 2 and g(8,5) = 3.

As for nonlinearity, the optimal functions are of course bent or almost bent when k = n, which gives g'(2,2) = 1, g'(3,3) = 2, g'(4,4) = 6, g'(5,5) = 12 and g'(6,6) = 28. Using Langevin's classifications, we found that this is common also in other cases with small n and k and nonzero value of g'(n,k). We have g'(4,3) = 2, g'(5,4) = 4, g'(6,4) = 4 and g'(6,5) = 12.

4 Tabulated values

Taking all the above results and single cases into account, we get the following values and lower bounds for g(n,k) when $n \leq 12$ and $k \leq 6$ (upper bounds, where not specified, can be inferred from lower values for n).

k n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2		2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3			3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4				4	3	2	2	≥ 1	≥ 0	≥ 0	0 or 1	0
5					5	4	3	3	≥ 2	≥ 2	≥ 0	≥ 0
6						6	5	≥ 4	≥ 3	≥ 3	≥ 3	≥ 2

Here are the corresponding values and bounds for g'(n,k). As previously indicated, $g(n,k) \leq 1 \iff g'(n,k) = 0$ and $g(n,k) \geq 2 \iff g'(n,k) \geq 1$.

k^{n}	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3			2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4				6	4	4	≥ 1	≥ 0	≥ 0	≥ 0	0	0
5					12	12	≥ 3	≥ 2	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 0	≥ 0
6						28	≥ 16	≥ 12	≥ 9	≥ 7	≥ 5	≥ 3

5 A conjecture

We think the following statement is true.

Conjecture: Let k be an integer ≥ 2 . Then g(k+2,k) = k-2.

For k = 2, the claim follows from the result of Dubuc, and for $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, this has also been verified, as mentioned in Section 3.

For $k \geq 6$, Corollary 2.3.1 says that k-2 is indeed a valid lower bound for g(k+2,k). On the other hand, we can give a heuristic argument for why g(k+2,k) is probably not as high as k-1. The algebraic degree of the restriction of a random function $f: \mathbb{F}_2^{k+2} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ to a given k-dimensional flat is $\geq k-1$ with probability $1 - \frac{1}{2\binom{k}{0} + \binom{k}{1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$, and so the probability that this holds for all k-dimensional flats should be approximately

$$(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}})^{2^2 \frac{(2^{k+2} - 1)(2^{k+1} - 1)}{3}}$$

(of course, the probabilities are not actually independent, which is why this is a heuristic argument and not a proof). For k sufficiently large, this is approximately $e^{-\frac{4}{3}2^{k+2}}$. There are "only" $2^{2^{k+2}}$ Boolean functions in k+2 dimensions, which suggests that the expected number of functions for which every restriction to a k-dimensional flat is of degree $\geq k-1$ should be about $(\frac{2}{e^{4/3}})^{2^{k+2}}$ which tends to zero quite rapidly. Actually, we only need to check one representative from each of a much smaller number of classes of functions, which diminishes the chances of the existence of such a function even further.

For $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, a computer search for the Boolean functions in k + 2 variables given in [3], [4] of algebraic degree $\leq k - 2$ on the *smallest* number of k-dimensional flats gave the following results.

k	Function	No. of flats
2	$x_1x_2x_3\oplus x_1x_4\oplus x_2$	10 / 140
3	$x_1x_2x_3x_4\oplus x_1x_2x_5\oplus x_1x_4\oplus x_2x_3$	15 / 620
4	$x_1x_2x_3x_4\oplus x_1x_3x_5\oplus x_1x_4x_6$	20 / 2604
	$\oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_2x_4x_5$	
5	$x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_7$	73 / 10668
	$\oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6$	

References

- S. Dubuc. Etude des propriétés de dégénérescence et de normalité des fonctions booléennes et construction de fonctions q-aires parfaitement nonlinéaires, Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Caen, 2001.
- [2] T. W. Cusick and Y. Cheon. Counting balanced Boolean functions in n variables with bounded degree. Experimental Math. 16:1 (2007), 101-105.

- [3] P. Langevin. Classification of Boolean functions under the affine group. http://langevin.univ-tln.fr/project/agl/agl.html
- [4] P. Langevin. Classification of Boolean functions in dimension 7. https://langevin.univ-tln.fr/project/agl7/aglclass.html
- [5] A. Polujan, L. Mariot and S. Picek. Normality of Boolean bent functions in eight variables, revisited. https://boolean.w.uib.no/files/2023/08/BFA2023_paper_10.pdf
- [6] A. Canteaut, M. Daum, H. Dobbertin and G. Leander. Normal and Non Normal Bent Functions. Workshop on Coding and Cryptography '03, pages 91 - 100.

NORWEGIAN NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORITY (NSM), NORWAY *E-mail address:* admin@neutreeko.net