

# RAMSEY THEORY AND STRENGTH OF GRAPHS

RIKIO ICHISHIMA, FRANCESC A MUNTANER-BATLE, AND YUKIO TAKAHASHI

*In memory of Susana Clara López Masip*

ABSTRACT. A numbering  $f$  of a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  is a labeling that assigns distinct elements of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  to the vertices of  $G$ , where each  $uv \in E(G)$  is labeled  $f(u) + f(v)$ . The strength  $\text{str}(G)$  of  $G$  is defined by  $\text{str}(G) = \min\{\text{str}_f(G) \mid f \text{ is a numbering of } G\}$ , where  $\text{str}_f(G) = \max\{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ . Let  $f(n)$  denote the maximum of  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  over nonempty graphs  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  of order  $n$ , where  $\overline{G}$  represents the complement of  $G$ . In this paper, we establish lower bounds for the Ramsey numbers related to the concept of strength of a graph and show a sharp lower bound for  $f(n)$ . In addition to these results, we provide another lower bound for  $f(n)$  and determine some exact values for  $f(n)$ . Furthermore, we extend existing necessary and sufficient conditions involving the strength of a graph. Finally, we investigate bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  whenever  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are nonempty graphs of order  $n$ . The resulting upper bound is shown to be related to Ramsey numbers. Throughout this paper, we propose some open problems arising from our study.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We refer to the book by Chartrand and Lesniak [9] for graph-theoretical notation and terminology not described in this paper. The *vertex set* of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $V(G)$ , while the *edge set* of  $G$  is denoted by  $E(G)$ . The cardinality of the vertex set of a graph  $G$  is called the *order* of  $G$ , while the cardinality of the edge set is the *size* of  $G$ . The *complete graph* and *path* of order  $n$  are denoted by  $K_n$  and  $P_n$ , respectively. The *complete bipartite graph* of order  $m + n$  and size  $mn$  is denoted by  $K_{m,n}$ .

If  $u$  and  $v$  are not adjacent in  $G$ , the *addition of edge  $uv$*  results in the smallest supergraph of  $G$  containing the edge  $uv$  and is denoted by  $G + uv$ . For two graphs with disjoint vertex sets, the *union*  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  has  $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and  $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ . If a graph  $G$  consists of  $k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) disjoint copies of a graph  $H$ , then we write  $G = kH$ .

We will use the notation  $[a, b]$  for the interval of integers  $x$  such that  $a \leq x \leq b$ . For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , a *numbering*  $f$  of  $G$  is a labeling that assigns distinct elements of the set  $[1, n]$  to the vertices of  $G$ , where each  $uv \in E(G)$  is labeled  $f(u) + f(v)$ . The *strength*  $\text{str}_f(G)$  of a numbering  $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, n]$  of  $G$  is defined by

$$\text{str}_f(G) = \max\{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(G)\},$$

---

*Date:* Sept 12, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C35, 05C55, 05C78, 05D10.

*Key words and phrases.* strength, Ramsey theory, extremal graph theory, Nordhaus–Gaddum inequality, graph labeling.

that is,  $\text{str}_f(G)$  is the maximum edge label of  $G$  and the *strength*  $\text{str}(G)$  of a graph  $G$  itself is

$$\text{str}(G) = \min \{ \text{str}_f(G) \mid f \text{ is a numbering of } G \}.$$

Since empty graphs do not have edges, this definition does not apply to such graphs. Consequently, we only consider nonempty graphs in our study of the strength. This concept was proposed by Gary Chartrand [7] and was studied in [19] as a generalization of the problem of finding whether a graph is super edge-magic or not (see [12] for the definition of a super edge-magic graph and also consult either [1] or [14] for alternative and often more useful definitions of the same concept). A necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be super edge-magic established in [14] gives rise to the concept of consecutive strength labeling of a graph (see [19] for the definition of a consecutive strength labeling of a graph), which is equivalent to super edge-magic labeling. It is important to notice that the term “strength” was introduced by Cunningham [11] for a different concept in 1985.

Other related parameters have been studied in the area of graph labeling. Excellent sources for more information on this topic are found in the dynamic survey by Gallian [15], which also includes information on other kinds of graph labeling problems and their applications.

A graph  $G$  has no isolated vertices if and only if  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , where  $\delta(G)$  denotes the minimum degree of  $G$ . This gives us the following observation.

**Observation 1.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , then  $\text{str}(G \cup nK_1) = \text{str}(G)$  for every positive integer  $n$ .*

In light of Observation 1, we only need to be concerned about determining the strength of graphs  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ . For such graphs  $G$ , the following lower bound for  $\text{str}(G)$  was found in [19].

**Lemma 1.1.** *For every graph  $G$  of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ ,*

$$\text{str}(G) \geq n + \delta(G).$$

The lower bound given in Lemma 1.1 is sharp in the sense that there are infinitely many graphs  $G$  for which  $\text{str}(G) = |V(G)| + \delta(G)$  (see [16, 19, 20, 23, 25] for a detailed list of graphs that meet this bound). Several other bounds for the strength of a graph have been found in terms of other parameters defined on graphs (see [16, 19, 22–25]).

For every nonempty graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , it is clear that  $3 \leq \text{str}(G) \leq 2n - 1$ . It is also true that for every  $k \in [1, n - 1]$ , there exists a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  satisfying  $\delta(G) = k$  and  $\text{str}(G) = n + k$  (see [21]).

In the process of settling the problem (proposed in [19]) of finding sufficient conditions for a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 1$  to ensure that  $\text{str}(G) = n + \delta(G)$ , the following class of graphs was defined in [22]. For integers  $k \geq 2$ , let  $F_k$  be the graph with  $V(F_k) = \{v_i \mid i \in [1, k]\}$  and

$$E(F_k) = \{v_i v_j \mid i \in [1, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor] \text{ and } j \in [1 + i, k + 1 - i]\}.$$

Hence, we have  $F_2 = K_2$ ,  $F_3 = P_3$ , and  $F_4 = K_{1,3} + e$ .

Let  $\overline{G}$  denote the complement of a graph  $G$ . The following result found in [22] provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  to hold the inequality  $\text{str}(G) \leq 2n - k$ , where  $k \in [2, n - 1]$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\text{str}(G) \leq 2n - k$  if and only if  $\overline{G}$  contains  $F_k$  as a subgraph, where  $k \in [2, n - 1]$ .*

The following theorem that is the contrapositive of Theorem 1.1 provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  to hold the inequality  $\text{str}(G) \geq 2n - k + 1$ , where  $k \in [2, n - 1]$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\text{str}(G) \geq 2n - k + 1$  if and only if  $\overline{G}$  does not contain  $F_k$  as a subgraph, where  $k \in [2, n - 1]$ .*

The preceding two results play an important role in the study of the strength of graphs (see [24, 26, 27]).

The following theorem is a consequence of Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) = n - k$ , where  $k \in [2, n - 1]$ . Then  $\text{str}(G) = 2n - k$  if and only if  $\overline{G}$  contains  $F_k$  as a subgraph.*

For positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ , the (classical) *Ramsey number*  $r(s, t)$  is the least positive integer  $n$  such that for every graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , either  $G$  contains  $K_s$  as a subgraph or  $\overline{G}$  contains  $K_t$  as a subgraph, that is,  $G$  contains either  $s$  mutually adjacent vertices or  $t$  mutually nonadjacent vertices.

The subject of Ramsey numbers has expanded greatly and in many directions during the past four decades, in many types of “Ramsey numbers” (see the paper by Chartrand and Zhang [10]). In this paper, we consider the following generalization. For two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the (generalized) *Ramsey number*  $r(G_1, G_2)$  is the least positive integer  $n$  such that for every graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , either  $G$  contains  $G_1$  as a subgraph or  $\overline{G}$  contains  $G_2$  as a subgraph. Hence,  $r(K_s, K_t) = r(s, t)$ . Since  $\overline{\overline{G}} = G$  for every graph  $G$ , it follows that  $r(G_1, G_2) = r(G_2, G_1)$ . It is also convenient to note that  $H_1 \subseteq G_1$  and  $H_2 \subseteq G_2$  imply  $r(H_1, H_2) \leq r(G_1, G_2)$ , and if  $G_1$  has order  $s$  and  $G_2$  has order  $t$ , then  $r(G_1, G_2) \leq r(s, t)$ . In particular, we have  $r(F_s, F_t) \leq r(s, t)$ . For any two positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ , an upper bound for the Ramsey numbers  $r(s, t)$  was found by Erdős and Szekeres [13], and the same bound was later rediscovered by Greenwood and Gleason [18]. Therefore, all Ramsey numbers  $r(s, t)$  exist, and so do all Ramsey numbers  $r(F_s, F_t)$ .

For an excellent introduction to the theory of Ramsey numbers, the reader is referred to the book by Graham, Rothschild, Spencer, and Solymosi [17], and the dynamic survey “Small Ramsey numbers” by Radziszowski [29] provides a wealth of information on such Ramsey numbers. There are many interesting applications of Ramsey theory, these include results in theoretical computer science. The dynamic survey “Ramsey Theory Applications” by Rosta [30] is an excellent source for such applications.

In this paper, we present some results on the Ramsey number  $r(F_s, F_t)$  and then apply them to establish lower bounds for  $\max\{\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})\}$ , where the maximum is taken over all graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  such that neither  $G$  nor  $\overline{G}$  is an empty graph. We also extend existing necessary and sufficient conditions involving the strength of a graph. Moreover, we investigate bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  whenever  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are nonempty graphs of order  $n$ . The resulting upper bound is shown to be related to Ramsey numbers. This paper proposes some open problems arising from our study.

2. A LOWER BOUND AND SOME EXACT VALUES FOR  $r(F_s, F_t)$ 

In this section, we establish a lower bound for the Ramsey number  $r(F_s, F_t)$  and determine some exact values for  $r(F_s, F_t)$ .

To present our first result, we will utilize the next notable theorem of Chvátal [4].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T_s$  be any tree of order  $s \geq 2$ . For every integer  $t \geq 2$ ,*

$$r(T_s, K_t) = 1 + (s - 1)(t - 1).$$

We now provide a lower bound for  $r(F_s, F_t)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *For every two integers  $s$  and  $t$  with  $2 \leq s \leq t$ ,*

$$r(F_s, F_t) \geq 1 + (s - 1) \lfloor t/2 \rfloor.$$

*Proof.* First, recall the definition of  $F_s$ . Then  $v_1$  is adjacent to  $v_j$  ( $j \in [2, s]$ ), so  $K_{1, s-1} \subseteq F_s$ . Next, let  $W = \{w_i \mid i \in [1, \lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1]\}$ . Then the subgraph  $H$  of  $F_t$  induced by  $W$  has the vertex set  $V(H) = \{w_i \mid i \in [1, \lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1]\}$  and the edge set  $E(H) = \{w_i w_j \mid i \in [1, \lfloor t/2 \rfloor] \text{ and } i < j \leq \lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1\}$ . Thus,  $|V(H)| = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1$  and

$$|E(H)| = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor + (\lfloor t/2 \rfloor - 1) + \cdots + 1 = \binom{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1}{2}.$$

Consequently,  $H = K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1}$  so that  $K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1} \subseteq F_t$ . Since  $K_{1, s-1} \subseteq F_s$  and  $K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1} \subseteq F_t$ , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$r(F_s, F_t) \geq r(K_{1, s-1}, K_{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1}) = 1 + (s - 1) \lfloor t/2 \rfloor.$$

□

Let  $t$  be an integer with  $t \geq 2$ . Then the empty graph  $G = (t - 1)K_1$  does not contain  $F_2 = K_2$  as a subgraph since  $G$  does not have edges. Also, the complete graph  $\overline{G} = K_{t-1}$  does not contain  $F_t$  as a subgraph since  $K_{t-1}$  has order  $t - 1$ , while  $F_t$  has order  $t$ . Consequently,  $r(F_2, F_t) \geq t$ . On the other hand, it is straightforward to see that  $r(2, t) = t$ , which implies that  $r(F_2, F_t) \leq r(2, t) = t$ . Combining the two inequalities, we have the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For every integer  $t \geq 2$ ,*

$$r(F_2, F_t) = t.$$

A formula for  $r(P_3, G)$ , where  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 2$  without isolated vertices, was derived by Chvátal and Harary [6]. It involves the concepts of 1-factor and edge independence number  $\beta_1(\overline{G})$  of the complement of a graph  $G$  as stated next.

**Theorem 2.3.** *For every graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  without isolated vertices,*

$$r(P_3, G) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \overline{G} \text{ has a 1-factor} \\ 2n - 2\beta_1(\overline{G}) - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is immediate that  $\overline{F}_t = F_{t-1} \cup K_1$  and  $\beta_1(\overline{F}_t) = \lfloor (t - 1)/2 \rfloor$  for integers  $t \geq 2$ . Therefore, the Ramsey number  $r(F_3, F_t)$  is determined by letting  $G = F_t$  in Theorem 2.3 as indicated next.

**Corollary 2.1.** *For every integer  $t \geq 2$ ,*

$$r(F_3, F_t) = \begin{cases} t+1 & \text{if } t \text{ is even} \\ t & \text{if } t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The preceding result shows that the bound presented in Theorem 2.2 is exact when  $s = 3$  and  $t \geq 2$ .

There is an equivalent formulation of the definition of  $r(G_1, G_2)$  in terms of 2-colorings of a complete graph. Namely,  $r(G_1, G_2)$  is the least positive integer  $n$  such that for every graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , there is either a subgraph isomorphic to  $G_1$ , all whose edges are colored red (a *red*  $G_1$ ) or a subgraph isomorphic to  $G_2$ , all whose edges are colored blue (a *blue*  $G_2$ ). Using this definition, we next determine the Ramsey number  $r(F_4, F_t)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *For every integer  $t \geq 3$ ,*

$$r(F_4, F_t) = 2t - 1.$$

*Proof.* It is known from Corollary 2.1 that

$$r(F_4, F_3) = r(F_3, F_4) = 4 + 1 = 5 = 2 \cdot 3 - 1,$$

which implies that the result is true for  $t = 3$ . Thus, we assume that  $t$  is an integer with  $t \geq 4$ . First, we show that  $r(F_4, F_t) \geq 2t - 1$ . The complete bipartite graph  $G = K_{t-1, t-1}$  does not contain  $K_3$  as a subgraph. However,  $F_4$  contains  $K_3$  as a subgraph, and hence  $F_4$  is not a subgraph of  $G$ . On the other hand, the graph  $\overline{G} = 2K_{t-1}$  does not contain  $F_t$  as a subgraph since each component of  $\overline{G}$  has order  $t - 1$  and  $F_t$  has order  $t$ . Therefore,  $r(F_4, F_t) \geq 2t - 1$ .

Next, we show that  $r(F_4, F_t) \leq 2t - 1$ . Consider any 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_{2t-1}$ , and let  $v$  be a vertex of  $K_{2t-1}$ . We show that there is either a red  $F_4$  or a blue  $F_t$ . Since  $v$  is incident with  $2t - 2$  edges, it follows from the pigeonhole principle that at least  $t - 1$  of these  $2t - 2$  edges are colored the same, say red. Without loss of generality, we assume that the edges  $vv_i$  ( $i \in [1, t - 1]$ ) in  $K_{2t-1}$  are colored red. If any one of the edges  $v_i v_j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq t - 1$ ) is colored red, then there is a red  $F_4$ ; otherwise, all  $(t - 1)(t - 2)/2$  of these edges are blue, producing a blue  $K_{t-1}$ . Now, consider 2-colorings of the remaining edges  $vw_j$  ( $j \in [1, t - 1]$ ),  $v_i w_j$  ( $i, j \in [1, t - 1]$ ) and  $w_i w_j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq t - 1$ ) of  $K_{2t-1}$ . If any of the edges  $v_i w_j$  ( $i, j \in [1, t - 1]$ ) is colored blue, then the resulting 2-coloring has a blue  $K_t$ , since the 2-coloring has a blue graph induced by the set  $\{v_i \mid i \in [1, t - 1]\}$  and this blue graph is isomorphic to  $K_{t-1}$ . Consequently, this blue subgraph is isomorphic to  $K_t$  and contains a blue  $F_t$ . Hence, all edges  $v_i w_j$  ( $i, j \in [1, t - 1]$ ) are colored red. This 2-coloring produces a red subgraph induced by the set  $\{v_i \mid i \in [1, t - 1]\}$  and this red subgraph is isomorphic to  $K_{t-1, t}$ . Then if we introduce a new red edge among the vertices  $v, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{t-1}$ , we would produce a red  $F_4$ . Thus, all vertices  $v, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{t-1}$  must be incident with blue edges forming a blue  $K_t$  and resulting in a blue  $F_t$  as a subgraph. Therefore, every 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_{2t-1}$  produces either a red  $F_4$  or a blue  $F_t$  so that  $r(F_4, F_t) \leq 2t - 1$ . Combining the two inequalities, we have  $r(F_4, F_t) = 2t - 1$ .  $\square$

The exact values of  $r(F_s, F_t)$  given in Table 1 are obtained from Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.1, and Theorem 2.4, and indicate that the bound presented in Theorem

2.2 is exact when  $2 \leq s \leq t \leq 4$ . The Ramsey numbers  $r(F_s, F_t)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq t \leq 4$  can also be found in papers [5] and [6] by Chvátal and Harary.

TABLE 1. Small Ramsey numbers  $r(F_s, F_t)$ 

$G_1$	$G_2$	$r(G_1, G_2)$
$F_2$	$F_2$	2
$F_2$	$F_3$	3
$F_2$	$F_4$	4
$F_3$	$F_3$	3
$F_3$	$F_4$	5
$F_3$	$F_5$	5
$F_4$	$F_4$	7
$F_4$	$F_5$	9
$F_4$	$F_6$	11
$F_4$	$F_7$	13

The upper bound is unknown for  $r(F_s, F_t)$  when  $5 \leq s \leq t$ . This motivates us to propose the next problem.

**Problem 1.** *Find a good upper bound for  $r(F_s, F_t)$  when  $5 \leq s \leq t$ .*

The only known Ramsey numbers  $r(F_s, F_t)$  for  $4 \leq s \leq t \leq 5$  are  $r(F_4, F_4) = 7$  and  $r(F_4, F_5) = 9$  (see Table 1), and  $r(F_5, F_5) = 10$  (see [3]). Thus, it is natural to propose the next problem.

**Problem 2.** *Determine the exact values of  $r(F_s, F_t)$  for any integers  $s \geq 5$  and  $t \geq 6$ .*

### 3. LOWER BOUNDS AND SMALL VALUES FOR $f(n)$

Define  $f(n) = \max\{\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})\}$ , where the maximum is taken over all graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  such that neither  $G$  nor  $\overline{G}$  is an empty graph. In this section, we show a sharp lower bound for  $f(n)$ . With the knowledge from the preceding section, we also provide another lower bound for  $f(n)$ . To proceed with these, it is important to notice that there exist no integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $r(F_s, F_t) > n \geq \max\{s, t\}$  for  $n = 3$  (see Table 1). Thus, we assume that  $n \geq 4$  when we consider the number  $f(n)$  together with the Ramsey number  $r(F_s, F_t)$ .

We are now prepared to present lower bounds for  $f(n)$ . We begin with a lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $r(F_s, F_t) > n \geq \max\{s, t\}$ , then*

$$f(n) \geq 4n - (s + t) + 2,$$

where  $s, t \in [2, n - 1]$ .

*Proof.* By assumption, there exists a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  such that  $F_s \not\subseteq G$  and  $F_t \not\subseteq \overline{G}$ . For every integer  $n \geq \max\{s, t\}$ , where  $s, t \in [2, n - 1]$ , Theorem 1.2 and the latest statement yield  $\text{str}(G) \geq 2n - s + 1$  and  $\text{str}(\overline{G}) \geq 2n - t + 1$ . Hence,  $f(n) \geq 4n - (s + t) + 2$ .  $\square$

It is now possible to present the following lower bound.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every integer  $n \geq 4$ ,*

$$f(n) \geq 3n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 3.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 4$ , and consider the graph  $H = K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \cup K_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$  and its complement  $\overline{H} = K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$ . It is known from [26] that  $\text{str}(K_s \cup K_t) = 2(s+t) - 3$  for every two integers  $s \geq 2$  and  $t \geq 2$ , which implies that

$$\text{str}(H) = 2(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + \lceil n/2 \rceil) - 3 = 2n - 3.$$

It is also known from [19] that  $\text{str}(K_{s,t}) = 2s + t$  for every two integers  $s$  and  $t$  with  $1 \leq s \leq t$ , which implies that

$$\text{str}(\overline{H}) = 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + \lceil n/2 \rceil = n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor.$$

Therefore,  $\text{str}(H) + \text{str}(\overline{H}) = 3n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 3$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \max \{ \text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G}) \} \geq \text{str}(H) + \text{str}(\overline{H}) \\ &= 3n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 3, \end{aligned}$$

where the maximum is taken over all graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  such that neither  $G$  nor  $\overline{G}$  is an empty graph.  $\square$

There is exactly one pair of nonempty graphs  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  of order 3, namely,  $G = K_{1,2}$  and  $\overline{G} = K_1 \cup K_2$ . With the aid of Observation 1 and Lemma 1.1, it is easy to determine that  $\text{str}(G) = 4$  and  $\text{str}(\overline{G}) = 3$ , which implies that  $f(3) = 7$ . This indicates that  $f(n)$  attains the bound given in Theorem 3.1 for  $n = 3$ .

We now present the following result, which gives a potentially improved lower bound for  $f(n)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *For every integer  $n \geq 4$ ,*

$$f(n) \geq 4n - 2 \lceil (3 + \sqrt{8n - 7}) / 2 \rceil + 2.$$

*Proof.* If we let  $s = \lceil (3 + \sqrt{8n - 7}) / 2 \rceil$  and  $n \geq 4$ , then  $s \geq 4$ . It follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$r(F_s, F_s) \geq 1 + (s - 1) \lfloor s/2 \rfloor > n.$$

On the other hand, the inequality  $n \geq s = \lceil (3 + \sqrt{8n - 7}) / 2 \rceil$  holds for every integer  $n \geq 4$ . Thus, we conclude by Lemma 3.1 that

$$f(n) \geq 4n - 2 \lceil (3 + \sqrt{8n - 7}) / 2 \rceil + 2.$$

$\square$

It is now important to observe that Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$f(n) = 4n - \min \{ s + t \mid r(F_s, F_t) > n \} + 2$$

if  $n \geq \max \{ s, t \}$  for a pair of integers  $s$  and  $t$ , where the minimum occurs. Table 2 summarizes the small values for  $f(n)$  obtained from the above observations and the facts from the preceding section.

As mentioned above,  $f(n)$  attains the bound presented in Theorem 3.1 for  $n = 3$ . Indeed,  $f(n)$  attains the same bound for  $n \in [4, 12]$ . However, we do not know whether the case for  $n \geq 13$ . Thus, we propose the next two problems.

**Problem 3.** *Find good lower and upper bounds for  $f(n)$  when  $n \geq 13$ .*

**Problem 4.** *Determine the exact values of  $f(n)$  for integers  $n \geq 13$ .*

TABLE 2. Small values for  $f(n)$ 

$n$	$f(n)$	Reasons for equality
3	7	$G = K_{1,2}$ and $\overline{G} = K_1 \cup K_2$
4	11	$r(F_3, F_4) = 5$
5	14	$r(F_4, F_4) = 7$
6	18	$r(F_4, F_4) = 7$
7	21	$r(F_4, F_5) = 9$
8	25	$r(F_4, F_5) = 9$
9	28	$r(F_5, F_5) = 10$
10	32	$r(F_4, F_6) = 11$
11	35	$r(F_4, F_7) = 13$ and $r(F_5, F_6) \geq 13$
12	39	$r(F_4, F_7) = 13$ and $r(F_5, F_6) \geq 13$

## 4. ADDITIONAL NOTES

In this section, we extend Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 stated in the introduction. To achieve this, we introduce the first element in the class of graphs  $F_k$  defined in the introduction as  $F_1 = K_1$ . We also investigate bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  whenever  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are nonempty graphs of order  $n$ .

Theorem 1.1 can be extended as indicated next.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a nonempty graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\text{str}(G) \leq 2n - k$  if and only if  $\overline{G}$  contains  $F_k$  as a subgraph, where  $k \in [1, n]$ .*

*Proof.* It is known from Theorem 1.1 that the theorem is true for all values of  $k$  with  $k \in [2, n - 1]$ .

For  $k = 1$ , the result is true since the maximum possible strength is  $2n - 1$ . Thus, assume that  $k = n \geq 2$ . Then  $F_n \subseteq \overline{G}$ . This implies that either  $G = F_{n-1} \cup K_1$  or  $G = H \subseteq F_{n-1} \cup K_1$ . Let  $F_{n-1} \cup K_1$  be the graph with

$$V(F_{n-1} \cup K_1) = \{u\} \cup \{v_i \mid i \in [1, n - 1]\}$$

and

$$E(F_{n-1} \cup K_1) = \{v_i v_j \mid i \in [1, \lfloor (n - 1)/2 \rfloor] \text{ and } j \in [1 + i, n - i]\},$$

and consider the numbering  $f : V(F_{n-1} \cup K_1) \rightarrow [1, n]$  such that  $f(u) = n$  and  $f(v_i) = i$  ( $i \in [1, n - 1]$ ). Then  $f$  has the property that

$$\text{str}(F_{n-1} \cup K_1) \leq f(v_1) + f(v_{n-1}) = 1 + (n - 1) = n.$$

This together with  $H \subseteq F_{n-1} \cup K_1$  implies that  $\text{str}(H) \leq \text{str}(F_{n-1} \cup K_1) \leq n$ , giving the desired result.  $\square$

In light of Theorem 4.1, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are now extended as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a nonempty graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\text{str}(G) \geq 2n - k + 1$  if and only if  $\overline{G}$  does not contain  $F_k$  as a subgraph, where  $k \in [1, n]$ .*

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a nonempty graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) = n - k$ , where  $k \in [1, n]$ . Then  $\text{str}(G) = 2n - k$  if and only if  $\overline{G}$  contains  $F_k$  as a subgraph.*

In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum [28] established lower and upper bounds for the sum and the product of the chromatic number of a graph and its complement. Such inequalities established for any parameter have become known as *Nordhaus-Gaddum inequalities*. Relations of a similar type have been found in several hundred papers on many other parameters. Readers interested in further knowledge on this topic may consult the survey paper by Aouchiche and Hansen [2].

In 1974, Chartrand and Schuster [8] proved the following lower and upper bounds for the sum of the independence number  $\beta(G)$  of a graph  $G$  and its complement  $\overline{G}$ . Their lower bound is related to Ramsey numbers  $r(s, t)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *For every graph  $G$  of order  $n$ ,*

$$\sigma_n \leq \beta(G) + \beta(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1,$$

where  $\sigma_n = \min \{a + b \mid r(a + 1, b + 1) > n\}$ .

The following upper bound for the strength of a graph was found in [24].

**Theorem 4.5.** *For every graph  $G$  of order  $n$ ,*

$$\text{str}(G) \leq 2n - \beta(G).$$

The lower bounds presented in the preceding section for  $f(n)$  are certainly lower bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$ . This together with Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 provides the following result.

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are nonempty graphs of order  $n$ , then*

$$\max \{\rho_n, \rho'_n\} \leq \text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G}) \leq 4n - \sigma_n,$$

where  $\rho_n = 3n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 3$  and  $\rho'_n = 4n - 2 \lceil (3 + \sqrt{8n - 7}) / 2 \rceil + 2$ .

Next, we consider the computational results on the bounds for the sum of the strength of a graph and its complement. The only known Ramsey numbers  $r(s, t)$  for  $3 \leq s \leq t \leq 5$  (see [29]) are

$$\begin{array}{lll} r(3, 3) = 6 & r(3, 6) = 18 & r(3, 9) = 36 \\ r(3, 4) = 9 & r(3, 7) = 23 & r(4, 4) = 18 \\ r(3, 5) = 14 & r(3, 8) = 28 & r(4, 5) = 25. \end{array}$$

Using this information together with observations so far, we can determine the values of  $\sigma_n$  and the bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  given in Corollary 4.1 when  $n \in [3, 35]$ . Tables 3 and 4 list the values of  $\sigma_n$  and the values of  $\rho_n$ ,  $\rho'_n$  and  $4n - \sigma_n$  for  $n \in [3, 35]$ , respectively. Chartrand and Schuster [8] have computed the values of  $\sigma_n$  for  $n \in [1, 25]$ .

As mentioned above, the known Ramsey numbers  $r(s, t)$  are very limited. Through this, the preceding result is not ideal in the sense that it depends on  $r(s, t)$ . Therefore, efficiently computable upper bounds are necessary to establish. This motivates us to propose the next problem.

**Problem 5.** *Find an efficiently computable upper bound for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  when  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are nonempty graphs of order  $n$ .*

TABLE 3. Values of  $\sigma_n$  for  $n \in [3, 35]$ 

$n$	$\sigma_n$	Reasons for equality
[3, 5]	4	$r(3, 3) = 6$
[6, 8]	5	$r(3, 4) = 9$
[9, 17]	6	$r(4, 4) = 18$
[18, 24]	7	$r(4, 5) = 25$
[25, 27]	9	$r(3, 8) = 28$
[28, 35]	10	$r(3, 9) = 36$

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have established a lower bound for  $r(F_s, F_t)$  (see Theorem 2.2). We also have provided the exact values of  $r(F_s, F_t)$  for  $s \in [2, 4]$  (see Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.1, and Theorem 2.4). From these results, we know that the bound given in Theorem 2.2 is exact when  $s = 3$  and  $t \geq 2$  and have rediscovered the known Ramsey numbers  $r(F_s, F_t)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq t \leq 4$  (see Table 1). In addition to these, we have presented two lower bounds for  $f(n)$  (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) and have determined the exact values of  $f(n)$  for  $n \in [3, 12]$  (see Table 2). Furthermore, we have extended the known necessary and sufficient conditions involving the strength of a graph (see Theorems 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3) and have found lower and upper bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$  (see Corollary 4.1). Throughout this paper, we have proposed some open problems arising from our study. Finally, with this paper, the authors hope that interest in the strength of graphs will be aroused among those who study the theory of Ramsey numbers or graph labelings.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors dedicate this paper to Susana-Clara López Maship. Her inspiration and dedication have brought new avenues into graph labeling and other related topics.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B.D. Acharya and S.M. Hegde, Strongly indexable graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 123–129, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(91\)90248-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(91)90248-Z).
- [2] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen, A survey of Nordhaus–Gaddum type relations, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **161** (2013), no. 4-5, 466–546, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2011.12.018>.
- [3] S.A. Burr, Diagonal Ramsey numbers for small graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **7** (1983) 57–69, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190070108>.
- [4] V. Chvátal, Tree-complete graph ramsey numbers, *J. Graph Theory* **1** (1977) 93, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190010118>.
- [5] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, II. Small diagonal numbers, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **32** (1972) 389–394, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1972-0332559-X>.
- [6] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, III. Small off-diagonal numbers, *Pacific J. Math.*, **41** (1972) 335–345, <https://doi.org/10.2140/PJM.1972.41.335>.
- [7] G. Chartrand, private communication.
- [8] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On the independence number of complementary graphs, *Trans. New York Acad. Sci.*, Volume 36, Issue 3 Series II (1974) 247–251, <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.2164-0947.1974.TB01571.X>.
- [9] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs & Digraphs* 3th ed. CRC Press (1996).
- [10] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, New directions in Ramsey theory, *Discrete Math. Lett.*, **6** (2021) 84–96, <https://doi.org/10.47443/dml.2021.s110>.

TABLE 4. Bounds for  $\text{str}(G) + \text{str}(\overline{G})$ 

$n$	$\rho_n$	$\rho'_n$	$4n - \sigma_n$
3	7	6	8
4	11	10	12
5	14	12	16
6	18	16	19
7	21	20	23
8	25	22	27
9	28	26	30
10	32	30	34
11	35	34	38
12	39	36	42
13	42	40	46
14	46	44	50
15	49	48	54
16	53	52	58
17	56	54	62
18	60	58	65
19	63	62	69
20	67	66	73
21	70	70	77
22	74	74	81
23	77	76	85
24	81	80	89
25	84	84	91
26	88	88	95
27	91	92	99
28	95	96	102
29	98	100	106
30	102	102	110
31	105	106	114
32	109	110	118
33	112	114	122
34	116	118	126
35	119	122	130

- [11] W.H. Cunningham, Optimal attack and reinforcement of a network, *Journal of the ACM.*, Volume 32, Issue 3 (1985) 549-561, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3828.3829>.
- [12] H. Enomoto, A. Llado, T. Nakamigawa, and G. Ringel, Super edge-magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.*, **34** (1998) 105–109, <https://doi.org/10.55937/sut/991985322>.
- [13] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Compositio Math.*, **2** (1935) 463–70, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4842-8\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4842-8_3).
- [14] R.M. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F.A. Muntaner-Batle, The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings, *Discrete Math.*, **231** (2001), no. 1-3, 153–168, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X\(00\)00314-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(00)00314-9).
- [15] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.*, (2023) <https://doi.org/10.37236/27>, #DS6.

- [16] Z.B. Gao, G.C. Lau, and W.C. Shiu, Graphs with minimal strength, *Symmetry*, **13** (2021) 513, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13030513>.
- [17] R.L. Graham, B.L. Rothschild, J.H. Spencer, and J. Solymosi, *Ramsey Theory*, Third Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken (2018).
- [18] R.E. Greenwood and A.M. Gleason, Combinatorial relations and chromatic graphs, *Canad. J. Math.*, **7** (1955) 1–7, <https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1955-001-4>.
- [19] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, and A. Oshima, Bounds for the strength of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **72** (3) (2018) 492–508.
- [20] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, and A. Oshima, The strength of some trees, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, **17** (1) (2020) 486–494, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AKCEJ.2019.06.002>.
- [21] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, and A. Oshima, A result on the strength of graphs by factorizations of complete graphs, *Discrete Math. Lett.*, **8** (2022) 78–82, <https://doi.org/10.47443/dml.2021.0096>.
- [22] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, and A. Oshima, Minimum degree conditions for the strength and bandwidth of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **340** (2022) 191–198, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2022.05.005>.
- [23] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, A. Oshima, and Y. Takahashi, The strength of graphs and related invariants, *Memoirs Kokushikan Univ. Inf. Sci.*, **41** (2020) 1–8.
- [24] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, and Y. Takahashi, On the strength and independence number of graphs, *Contrib. Math.*, **6** (2022) 25–29, <https://doi.org/10.47443/cm.2022.036>.
- [25] R. Ichishima, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, and Y. Takahashi, On the strength and independence number of powers of paths and cycles, *J. Comb. Optim.*, preprint, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.22049/cco.2024.29087.1839>.
- [26] R. Ichishima, A. Oshima, and Y. Takahashi, The edge-strength of graphs, *Discrete Math. Lett.*, **3** (2020) 44–49.
- [27] R. Ichishima, A. Oshima, and Y. Takahashi, Some new results on the edge-strength and strength of graphs, *Discrete Math. Lett.*, **12** (2023) 22–25, <https://doi.org/10.47443/dml.2022.208>.
- [28] E.A. Nordhaus and J. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **63** (1956) 175–177, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2306658>.
- [29] S.P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electron. J. Combin.*, (2021) <https://doi.org/10.37236/21>, #DS1.
- [30] V. Rosta, Ramsey theory applications, *Electron. J. Combin.*, (2004) <https://doi.org/10.37236/34>, #DS13.

DEPARTMENT OF SPORT AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION, FACULTY OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION, KOKUSHIKAN UNIVERSITY, 7-3-1 NAGAYAMA, TAMA-SHI, TOKYO 206-8515, JAPAN  
*Email address:* [ichishim@kokushikan.ac.jp](mailto:ichishim@kokushikan.ac.jp)

GRAPH THEORY AND APPLICATIONS RESEARCH GROUP, SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NSW 2308 AUSTRALIA  
*Email address:* [famb1es@yahoo.es](mailto:famb1es@yahoo.es)

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ELECTRONICS AND INFORMATIONS, KOKUSHIKAN UNIVERSITY, 4-28-1 SETAGAYA, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO 154-8515, JAPAN  
*Email address:* [takayu@kokushikan.ac.jp](mailto:takayu@kokushikan.ac.jp)