

DIFFERENTIAL SYMMETRY BREAKING OPERATORS FROM A LINE BUNDLE TO A VECTOR BUNDLE OVER REAL PROJECTIVE SPACES

TOSHIHISA KUBO

Dedicated to Professor Toshiyuki Kobayashi, whose incredible insight always leads us to a whole new world in mathematics

ABSTRACT. In this paper we classify and construct differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} from a line bundle over the real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^n$ to a vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$. We further determine the factorization identities of \mathbb{D} and the branching laws of the corresponding generalized Verma modules of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. By utilizing the factorization identities, the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -representations realized on the image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$ are also investigated.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries: the F-method	6
3. Specialization to $(SL(n+1, \mathbb{R}), SL(n, \mathbb{R}); P, P')$	11
4. Differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} and (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms Φ	14
5. Proofs for the classification and construction of \mathbb{D} and Φ : Case $n \geq 3$	19
6. Proofs for the classification and construction of \mathbb{D} and Φ : Case $n = 2$	24
7. Factorization identities of $\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)}$ and $\Phi_{(m, \ell)}$	27
8. The $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -representations on the image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$	33
9. Branching laws of generalized Verma modules	38
10. Differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} for $(GL(n+1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$	45
References	52

1. INTRODUCTION

Intertwining operators are some of the fundamental objects in representation theory. For instance, Knapp–Stein operators play a key role in the representation theory of real reductive groups. In this paper, we consider the classification and construction of certain intertwining differential operators called *differential symmetry breaking operators*. In order to describe the main problems of this paper, we start the introduction with the definition of such intertwining operators.

Date: August 5, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E46, 17B10.

Key words and phrases. differential symmetry breaking operator, intertwining differential operator, generalized Verma module, branching law, F-method.

1.1. Differential symmetry breaking operators and main problems. Let X be a smooth manifold and Y a smooth submanifold of X . Take $G' \subset G$ to be a pair of Lie groups that act transitively on Y and X , respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow Y$ are G - and G' -equivariant vector bundles over X and Y with fibers V and W , respectively. Then a continuous linear operator $\mathbb{A}: C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})$ between the spaces of smooth sections is called a *symmetry breaking operator* if \mathbb{A} is G' -intertwining [18]. Hereafter, we shall often abbreviate it as an SBO.

Suppose that there exists an inclusion $\iota: Y \hookrightarrow X$. In this case, although the base manifolds X and Y are different, one can define a differential operator $\mathbb{D}: C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})$ with respect to the inclusion ι (see [15] for the details). We call the differential operator \mathbb{D} a *differential symmetry breaking operator* if \mathbb{D} is also an SBO (cf. [12, 13, 15, 17]). The systematic study of SBOs \mathbb{A} and differential SBOs \mathbb{D} have been initiated by T. Kobayashi with his collaborators (cf. [12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]).

There are three main problems in this paper. To describe them in a general framework, let G be a real reductive Lie group and $G' \subset G$ a reductive subgroup of G . Let $P = MAN_+$ and $P' = M'A'N'_+$ be Langlands decompositions of P and P' , respectively, with $M'A' \subset MA$ and $N'_+ \subset N_+$. We denote by $\text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}}$ and $\text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}}$ the sets of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of M and M' , respectively. Likewise, we write $\text{Irr}(A)$ and $\text{Irr}(A')$ for the sets of characters of A and A' , respectively. Then, for the outer tensor products $\xi \boxtimes \lambda \boxtimes \text{triv}$ of $(\xi, \lambda) \in \text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} \times \text{Irr}(A)$ and $\varpi \boxtimes \nu \boxtimes \text{triv}$ of $(\varpi, \nu) \in \text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}} \times \text{Irr}(A')$ with the trivial representations triv of N_+ and N'_+ , we put

$$I(\xi, \lambda) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\xi \boxtimes \lambda \boxtimes \text{triv}) \quad \text{and} \quad J(\varpi, \nu) = \text{Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\varpi \boxtimes \nu \boxtimes \text{triv})$$

for (unnormalized) parabolically induced representations of G and G' , respectively. We denote by $\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu))$ the space of all differential SBOs $\mathbb{D}: I(\xi, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\varpi, \nu)$.

1.2. Classification and construction of \mathbb{D} . The first problem concerns the classification and construction of differential SBOs \mathbb{D} . More precisely, we consider the following problem.

Problem A (Classification and construction of \mathbb{D}). Do the following.

(A1) Classify $(\xi, \lambda, \varpi, \nu)$ such that

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu)) \neq \{0\}.$$

(A2) Determine

$$\dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu)).$$

(A3) Construct generators

$$\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu)).$$

1.3. Factorization identity of \mathbb{D} . The second problem concerns a decomposition formula of \mathbb{D} . Observe that the composition $\mathcal{A}_J \circ \mathbb{A}'$ of a (not necessarily differential) SBO $\mathbb{A}': I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow J(\varpi_1, \nu_1)$ with a G' -intertwining operator $\mathcal{A}_J: J(\varpi_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)$ is an SBO

$$\mathcal{A}_J \circ \mathbb{A}_1: I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow J(\varpi_2, \nu_2).$$

In a diagram we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_1} & J(\varpi_1, \nu_1) \\
 & \searrow \mathcal{A}_J \circ \mathbb{A}_1 & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_J \\
 & & J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)
 \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

Likewise, the composition $\mathbb{A}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}_I$ of a G -intertwining operator $\mathcal{A}_I: I(\varpi_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow I(\varpi_2, \nu_2)$ with an SBO $\mathbb{A}_2: I(\xi_2, \lambda_2) \rightarrow J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)$ is also an SBO

$$\mathbb{A}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}_I: I(\xi_1, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\varpi_2, \nu_2),$$

that is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) & & \\
 \mathcal{A}_I \downarrow & \searrow \mathbb{A}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}_I & \\
 I(\xi_2, \lambda_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_2} & J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)
 \end{array}$$

For a given SBO $\mathbb{A}: I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)$, we call the identities

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{A}_J \circ \mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}_I$$

the *factorization identities* of \mathbb{A} . We remark that such an identity is also known as a *functional identity* or a *functional equation* in the literature. The diagram (1.3) below illustrates the identities.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_1} & J(\varpi_1, \nu_1) \\
 \mathcal{A}_I \downarrow & \searrow \mathbb{A} & \downarrow \mathcal{A}_J \\
 I(\xi_2, \lambda_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_2} & J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)
 \end{array}$$

In this paper we consider the factorization identities for differential SBOs \mathbb{D} .

Problem B (Factorization identities of \mathbb{D}). Compute the factorization identities

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}_J \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_2 \circ \mathcal{D}_I \quad (1.2)$$

of a differential SBO $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu))$.

For prior works on the factorization identities, see, for instance, Fischmann–Juhl–Somberg [2], Juhl [10], Kobayashi–Kubo–Pevzner [14], Kobayashi–Pevzner [16], and Kobayashi–Ørsted–Somberg–Souček [17], for differential SBOs \mathbb{D} . For (not necessarily differential) SBOs \mathbb{A} , see, for instance, Hader [5] and Kobayashi–Speh [18, 19]. We remark that although (1.2) expresses \mathbb{D} in two ways, namely, $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}_J \circ \mathbb{D}_1$ and $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_2 \circ \mathcal{D}_I$, it seems more natural for \mathbb{D} (or \mathbb{A}) to satisfy only one of the identities. We consider the “double factorization identities” $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}_J \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_2 \circ \mathcal{D}_I$ in this paper.

1.4. G' -representations on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$. As an SBO $\mathbb{A}: I(\xi, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\varpi, \nu)$ is G' -intertwining, the image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{A})$ is naturally a G' -subrepresentation of $J(\varpi, \nu)$. In fact, in the fundamental work of Kobayashi–Speh [18, 19] over SBOs \mathbb{A} for the pair $(G, G') = (O(n+1, 1), O(n, 1))$, they classified

the G' -representations on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{A})$ among many other things. In this paper, we also aim to determine the G' -representations on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$ for differential SBOs \mathbb{D} .

Problem C (G' -representations on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$). Determine the G' -representations realized on the image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$ of $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu))$.

Suppose that \mathbb{D} satisfies a factorization identity $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}_J \circ \mathbb{D}_1$ as in (1.1). Then $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_1)$ and $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_J)$ are both G' -subrepresentations of $J(\xi_1, \nu_1)$, that is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_J) & \\
 & \cap & \\
 I(\xi_1, \lambda_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_1} & J(\varpi_1, \nu_1) \supset \text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_1) \\
 & \searrow \mathbb{D} \quad \circ & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_J \\
 & & J(\varpi_2, \nu_2)
 \end{array}$$

In this paper we also investigate a relationship between $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_1)$ and $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_J)$ as well as $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_1|_{\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_J)})$ and $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_J)$. This is somewhat analogous to the work [5] of Hader for his study of the Heisenberg wave operator in spirit.

1.5. SL vs GL . The aim of this paper is to answer Problems A, B, and C for differential SBOs $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu))$ for the case $(G/P, G'/P') \simeq (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, the real projective spaces of dimension n and $n - 1$, respectively, with $\xi \in \text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}}$ for $\dim \xi = 1$. We allow the inducing representation ϖ for $J(\varpi, \nu)$ to be any $\varpi \in \text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}}$. For the purpose, there are at least two choices on (G, G') , namely, $(G, G') = (SL(n + 1, \mathbb{R}), SL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ or $(GL(n + 1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$. In this paper, we consider both cases for Problems A and B. Only the SL -case is considered for Problem C. We utilize our results on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -intertwining differential operators \mathcal{D} to consider Problem C for the SL -case.

For Problem A, there is a significant difference between the GL -case and SL -case for $n = 2$. In the GL -case, it turned out that the space $\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu))$ of differential SBOs for ξ with $\dim \xi = 1$ is multiplicity-free for all $n \geq 2$. In contrast, the dimension could be $\dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\xi, \lambda), J(\varpi, \nu)) = 2$ in the SL -case for $n = 2$. This difference arises because there are more parameters in the GL -case than the SL -case. For the details of the results, see Theorems 4.12 and 4.13 for the SL -case and Theorems 10.3 for the GL -case.

1.6. Classification of SBOs \mathbb{A} between line bundles over $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. All symmetry breaking operators $\mathbb{A}: I(\text{triv}, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\text{triv}, \nu)$ including non-local operators for $(G, G') = (GL(n + 1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ with $\xi = \text{triv}$ and $\varpi = \text{triv}$, the trivial representations of M and M' , respectively, are already classified by a work of Frahm–Weiske [4]. Nevertheless, there is a certain gap between their classification and ours. According to their classification, all differential SBOs $\mathbb{D}: I(\text{triv}, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\text{triv}, \nu)$ between line bundles are given by normal derivatives for all $n \geq 2$. However, in our classification, there is a family of differential SBOs $\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)}: I(\text{triv}, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\text{triv}, \nu)$ for $n = 2$ that are not normal derivatives. We shall discuss the details in Remark 10.16.

In addition to the classification, we also wonder if their comments at the end of Section 1.3 of [4] on Knapp–Stein operators (standard intertwining operators) are not precise. The authors of the cited paper briefly commented that there do not exist non-trivial Knapp–Stein operators for the parabolically induced representations for $P' \subset G'$. Nevertheless, if $n = 2$, then $G' = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and P' is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G' . Thus, non-trivial Knapp–Stein operators for $P' \subset G'$ do exist. In fact, the differential SBOs $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}: I(\text{triv}, \lambda) \rightarrow J(\text{triv}, \nu)$ for $n = 2$ mentioned above satisfy a factorization identity with a normal derivative and the residue operator of a Knapp–Stein operator.

1.7. F-method. The main machinery for us to classify all differential SBOs \mathbb{D} on Problem A is the F-method (cf. [2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]). Via the so-called *algebraic Fourier transform of generalized Verma modules*, this method allows one to classify and construct differential SBOs \mathbb{D} simultaneously, by solving a certain system of partial differential equations. For the recent study of the F-method, see, for instance, [3, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25] and the references therein.

1.8. Branching law of generalized Verma modules. Via the duality between differential SBOs and (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules (Theorem 2.3), the classification of differential SBOs is closely related to the branching law of a generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ of \mathfrak{g} . The character identity in the Grothendieck group of the BGG category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$ for a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{l}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_+$ yields the branching law of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for generic parameter λ . The branching law for singular λ requires some information of the structures of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ such as the classification of \mathfrak{n}'_+ -invariant subspaces of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. See, for instance, [11, 17] for the study of the branching laws of generalized Verma modules.

In this paper, in addition to the three main problems, we also discuss the branching laws of generalized Verma modules in consideration. For singular parameters, the results from the F-method play a key role. We further present the branching law of the image of \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms as well. These are accomplished in Theorems 9.22 and 9.27. Via the aforementioned duality, the resulting branching laws support our classification of differential SBOs,

1.9. Organization of the paper. Now we describe the rest of the paper. There are ten sections including the introduction. The aim of Section 2 is to give a quick overview of the F-method in a general framework. At the end of the section, a recipe of the F-method will be presented, which plays a central role for the classification and construction of differential SBOs \mathbb{D} in this paper. In Section 3, we then specialize the framework to the case $(G, G') = (SL(n+1, \mathbb{R}), SL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ with maximal parabolic subgroups $P \subset G$ and $P' \subset G'$ such that $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}P^n$ and $G'/P' \simeq \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$. Some necessary notation is introduced in this section.

The objective of Section 4 is to summarize the main results on the classification and construction of differential SBOs \mathbb{D} (Problem A). Via the duality theorem (Theorem 2.3), we also discuss (\mathfrak{g}', P') - and \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms Φ between certain generalized Verma modules. The proofs of the theorems in Section 4 are discussed in Sections 5 and 6. As mentioned above, for the SL -case, the multiplicity-two phenomenon appears for $n = 2$. Thus, we separate the proofs into two cases, namely, the cases

for $n \geq 3$ and $n = 2$. Section 5 deals with the former case. We handle the latter case in Section 6. In both cases, we follow the recipe of the F-method.

Section 7 is devoted to the factorization identities of differential SBOs \mathbb{D} constructed in Section 4. We first give such identities for (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms Φ . We then convert them to ones for differential SBOs \mathbb{D} via the duality theorem. Factorization identities of \mathbb{D} are obtained in Theorem 7.16. Some relevant results on intertwining differential operators and (\mathfrak{g}, P) - and (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms are also recalled from [20] in this section.

The G' -representations on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$ are determined in Section 8 for \mathbb{D} that satisfies the factorization identities (Problem C). In this section we make use of some results from [20] to determine the G' -representations. These are achieved in Section 8.2.

The aim of Section 9 is to discuss the branching laws of generalized Verma modules in consideration. In this section, we first recall from [11] a character identity of a generalized Verma module in a general framework to give branching laws in the Grothendieck group of the BGG category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$. We then apply the character identity to the case $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}') = (\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ with maximal parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ considered above. After discussing the decomposition of formal characters, we give actual branching laws by utilizing the results from the F-method. In this section, we also discuss the branching law of the image of a \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphism from a generalized Verma module for \mathfrak{g}' to the one for \mathfrak{g} . This supports the aforementioned multiplicity-two phenomenon of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -differential SBOs \mathbb{D} for $n = 2$. The explicit branching laws are given in Theorems 9.22 and 9.27.

The last section, namely, Section 10, is for the GL -counterpart of the results of Problems A and B. In this section we give the classification of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -differential SBOs \mathbb{D} as well as their factorization identities. In principle, the $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -operators \mathbb{D} are the same as the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -operators; what one wishes to do is to classify the appropriate parameters. The main results are obtained in Theorems 10.3 and 10.7.

2. PRELIMINARIES: THE F-METHOD

The aim of this section is to recall the so-called the F-method. In particular, we present a recipe of the F-method in Section 2.7. In Sections 5 and 6, we shall follow the recipe to classify and construct differential symmetry breaking operators in concern. For the details of the F-method, consult, for instance, [15] and [20]. In this section we mainly take the expositions from [20].

2.1. Notation. Let G be a real reductive Lie group and $P = MAN_+$ a Langlands decomposition of a parabolic subgroup P of G . We denote by $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ the Lie algebras of G and $P = MAN_+$, respectively.

For a real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})$, we write \mathfrak{h} and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ for its complexification and the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{h} , respectively. For instance, $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}$, and \mathfrak{n}_+ are the complexifications of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$, respectively.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$, we denote by \mathbb{C}_λ the one-dimensional representation of A defined by $a \mapsto a^\lambda := e^{\lambda(\log a)}$. For a finite-dimensional irreducible representation (ξ, V) of M and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we denote by ξ_λ the outer tensor representation $\xi \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ on V , namely, $\xi_\lambda: ma \mapsto a^\lambda \sigma(m)$. By

letting N_+ act trivially, we regard ξ_λ as a representation of P . Let $\mathcal{V} := G \times_P V \rightarrow G/P$ be the G -equivariant vector bundle over the real flag variety G/P associated with the representation (ξ_λ, V) of P . We identify the Fréchet space $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$ of smooth sections with

$$C^\infty(G, V)^P := \{f \in C^\infty(G, V) : f(gp) = \xi_\lambda^{-1}(p)f(g) \text{ for any } p \in P\},$$

the space of P -invariant smooth functions on G . Then, via the left regular representation L of G on $C^\infty(G)$, we realize the parabolically induced representation $\pi_{(\xi, \lambda)} = \text{Ind}_P^G(\xi_\lambda)$ on $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$. We denote by R the right regular representation of G on $C^\infty(G)$.

Let G' be a reductive subgroup of G and $P' = M'A'N'_+$ a parabolic subgroup of G' with $P' \subset P$, $M'A' \subset MA$, and $N'_+ \subset N_-$, so that there is an inclusion $G'/P' \hookrightarrow G/P$ of the real flag variety G'/P' into G/P .

As for G/P , for a finite-dimensional irreducible representation (ϖ_ν, W) of $M'A'$, we define an induced representation $\pi'_{(\varpi, \nu)} = \text{Ind}_{P'}^{G'}(\varpi_\nu)$ on the space $C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$ of smooth sections for a G' -equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{W} := G' \times_{P'} W \rightarrow G'/P'$.

Via the inclusion $G'/P' \hookrightarrow G/P$, one can define differential operators $\mathbb{D} : C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$ although G'/P' and G/P are different manifolds (see, for instance, [15, Def. 2.1]). As $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$ is a G -representation and $G' \subset G$, the space $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$ is also a G' -representation. We then write $\text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ for the space of *differential symmetry breaking operators* (G' -intertwining differential operators) $\mathbb{D} : C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ be the Gelfand–Naimark decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, and write $N_- = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R}))$. We identify N_- with the open Bruhat cell N_-P of G/P via the embedding $\iota : N_- \hookrightarrow G/P, \bar{n} \mapsto \bar{n}P$. Via the restriction of the vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow G/P$ to the open Bruhat cell $N_- \hookrightarrow G/P$, we regard $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$ as a subspace of $C^\infty(N_-) \otimes V$.

Likewise, let $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{n}'_-(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_+(\mathbb{R})$ be a Gelfand–Naimark decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})$, and write $N'_- = \exp(\mathfrak{n}'_-(\mathbb{R}))$. As for $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$, we regard $C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$ as a subspace of $C^\infty(N'_-) \otimes W$.

We often view differential symmetry breaking operators $\mathbb{D} : C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$ as differential operators $\tilde{\mathbb{D}} : C^\infty(N_-) \otimes V \rightarrow C^\infty(N'_-) \otimes W$ such that the restriction $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}|_{C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})}$ to $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$ is a map $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}|_{C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})} : C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$ (see (2.1) below).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(N_-) \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}} & C^\infty(N'_-) \otimes W \\ \uparrow \iota^* & & \uparrow \iota^* \\ C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D} = \tilde{\mathbb{D}}|_{C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})}} & C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W}) \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, we often regard $\text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ as

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \subset \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(N_-) \otimes V, C^\infty(N'_-) \otimes W). \quad (2.2)$$

2.2. Duality theorem. For a finite-dimensional irreducible representation (ξ_λ, V) of MA , we write $V^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ and $((\xi_\lambda)^\vee, V^\vee)$ for the contragredient representation of (ξ_λ, V) . By letting \mathfrak{n}_+ act on V^\vee trivially, we regard the infinitesimal representation $d\xi^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$ of $(\xi_\lambda)^\vee$ as a \mathfrak{p} -module. For

a finite-dimensional irreducible representation (ϖ_ν, W) of $M'A'$, a \mathfrak{p}' -module $d\varpi^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}$ is defined similarly. We write

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}')} W^\vee$$

for generalized Verma modules for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{p}')$ induced from $d\xi^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$ and $d\varpi^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}$, respectively. Via the diagonal action of P on $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)$, we regard $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)$ as a (\mathfrak{g}, P) -module. Likewise, we regard $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee)$ as a (\mathfrak{g}', P') -module.

The following theorem is often called the *duality theorem*. For the proof, see, for instance, [15].

Theorem 2.3 (duality theorem). *There is a natural linear isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}: \text{Hom}_{P'}(W^\vee, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}). \quad (2.4)$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}). \quad (2.5)$$

Here, for $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{P'}(W^\vee, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee))$ and $F \in C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}) \simeq C^\infty(G, V)^P$, the element $\mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}(\varphi)F \in C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W}) \simeq C^\infty(G', W)^{P'}$ is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}(\varphi)F, w^\vee \rangle = \sum_j \langle dR(u_j)F, v_j^\vee \rangle \quad \text{for } w^\vee \in W^\vee, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\varphi(w^\vee) = \sum_j u_j \otimes v_j^\vee \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)$.

2.3. Algebraic Fourier transform $\widehat{\cdot}$ of Weyl algebras. Let U be a complex finite-dimensional vector space with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U = n$. Fix a basis u_1, \dots, u_n of U and let (z_1, \dots, z_n) denote the coordinates of U with respect to the basis. Then the algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[U; z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] := \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}]$$

with relations $z_i z_j = z_j z_i$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} z_i = \delta_{i,j} + z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ is called the Weyl algebra of U , where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. Similarly, let $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ denote the coordinates of the dual space U^\vee of U with respect to the dual basis of u_1, \dots, u_n . We write $\mathbb{C}[U^\vee; \zeta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}]$ for the Weyl algebra of U^\vee . Then the map determined by

$$\widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}} := -\zeta_i, \quad \widehat{z_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i} \quad (2.7)$$

gives a Weyl algebra isomorphism

$$\widehat{\cdot} : \mathbb{C}[U; z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[U^\vee; \zeta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}], \quad T \mapsto \widehat{T}. \quad (2.8)$$

The map (2.8) is called the *algebraic Fourier transform of Weyl algebras* ([15, Def. 3.1]). We remark that the minus sign for “ $-\zeta_i$ ” in (2.8) is put in such a way that the resulting map $\widehat{\cdot}$ is indeed a Weyl algebra homomorphism.

2.4. Fourier transformed representation $\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)}}^*$. For a representation η of G , we denote by $d\eta$ the infinitesimal representation of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. For instance, dL and dR denote the infinitesimal representations $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ of the left and right regular representations of G on $C^\infty(G)$. As usual, we

naturally extend representations of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ to ones for its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of its complexification \mathfrak{g} . The same convention is applied for closed subgroups of G .

For $g \in N_-MAN_+$, we write

$$g = p_-(g)p_0(g)p_+(g),$$

where $p_\pm(g) \in N_\pm$ and $p_0(g) \in MA$. Similarly, for $Y \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ with $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, we write

$$Y = Y_{\mathfrak{n}_-} + Y_{\mathfrak{l}} + Y_{\mathfrak{n}_+},$$

where $Y_{\mathfrak{n}_\pm} \in \mathfrak{n}_\pm$ and $Y_{\mathfrak{l}} \in \mathfrak{l}$.

For $2\rho \equiv 2\rho(\mathfrak{n}_+) = \text{Trace}(\text{ad}|_{\mathfrak{n}_+}) \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_{2\rho}$ the one-dimensional representation of P defined by $p \mapsto \chi_{2\rho}(p) = |\det(\text{Ad}(p): \mathfrak{n}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_+)|$. For the contragredient representation $((\xi_\lambda)^\vee, V^\vee)$ of (ξ_λ, V) , we put $\sigma_\lambda^* := \sigma^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{2\rho-\lambda}$. As for ξ_λ , we regard σ_λ^* as a representation of P . Define the induced representation $\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*} = \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_\lambda^*)$ on the space $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}^*)$ of smooth sections for the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}^* = G \times_P (V^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}_{2\rho})$ associated with σ_λ^* , which is isomorphic to the tensor bundle of the dual vector bundle $\mathcal{V}^\vee = G \times_P V^\vee$ and the bundle of densities over G/P . Then the integration on G/P gives a G -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form $\text{Ind}_P^G(\xi_\lambda) \times \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_\lambda^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $\text{Ind}_P^G(\xi_\lambda)$ and $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_\lambda^*)$.

As for $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V})$, the space $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}^*)$ can be regarded as a subspace of $C^\infty(N_-) \otimes V^\vee$. Then the infinitesimal representation $d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}(X)$ on $C^\infty(N_-) \otimes V^\vee$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}(X)f(\bar{n}) = d\xi_\lambda^*((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})X)_{\mathfrak{l}})f(\bar{n}) - (dR((\text{Ad}(\cdot^{-1})X)_{\mathfrak{n}_-})f)(\bar{n}). \quad (2.9)$$

(For the details, see, for instance, [20, Sect. 2].) Via the exponential map $\exp: \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R}) \simeq N_-$, one can regard $d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}(X)$ as a representation on $C^\infty(\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})) \otimes V^\vee$. It then follows from (2.9) that $d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}$ gives a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R}); x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}] \otimes \text{End}(V^\vee),$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_n) are coordinates of $\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})$ with $n = \dim \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})$. We extend the coordinate functions x_1, \dots, x_n for $\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})$ holomorphically to the ones z_1, \dots, z_n for \mathfrak{n}_- . Thus we have

$$d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_-; z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] \otimes \text{End}(V^\vee).$$

Now we fix a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form κ on \mathfrak{n}_+ and \mathfrak{n}_- . Via κ , we identify \mathfrak{n}_+ with the dual space \mathfrak{n}_-^\vee of \mathfrak{n}_- . Then the algebraic Fourier transform $\widehat{\cdot}$ of Weyl algebras (2.8) gives a Weyl algebra isomorphism

$$\widehat{\cdot}: \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_-; z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_+; \zeta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}].$$

In particular, it gives a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}}: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_+; \zeta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}] \otimes \text{End}(V^\vee). \quad (2.10)$$

Now we define a map

$$F_c: M_{\mathfrak{p}}(V^\vee) \longrightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee, \quad u \otimes v^\vee \longmapsto \widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}}(u)(1 \otimes v^\vee). \quad (2.11)$$

Theorem 2.12 ([15, Sect. 3.4]). *The map F_c is a (\mathfrak{g}, P) -module isomorphism.*

We call the (\mathfrak{g}, P) -module isomorphism F_c in (2.11) the *algebraic Fourier transform of the generalized Verma module* $M_{\mathfrak{p}}(V^\vee)$.

2.5. The F-method. Observe that the algebraic Fourier transform F_c in (2.11) gives an $M'A'$ -representation isomorphism

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)^{\mathfrak{n}'_+} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee)^{\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)^*(\mathfrak{n}'_+)}}}, \quad (2.13)$$

which induces a linear isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)^{\mathfrak{n}'_+}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{M'A'}\left(W^\vee, (\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee)^{\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)^*(\mathfrak{n}'_+)}}}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

Here $M'A'$ acts on $\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ via the action

$$\text{Ad}_\#(l): p(X) \mapsto p(\text{Ad}(l^{-1})X) \quad \text{for } l \in M'A'. \quad (2.15)$$

Now we set

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W) := \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, (\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee)^{\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)^*(\mathfrak{n}'_+)}}}). \quad (2.16)$$

Via the identification $\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee) \simeq ((\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee) \otimes W)^{M'A'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W) \\ &= \{\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee) : \psi \text{ satisfies the system (2.18) of PDEs below.}\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$(\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)^*(C)}} \otimes \text{id}_W)\psi = 0 \quad \text{for all } C \in \mathfrak{n}'_+. \quad (2.18)$$

We refer to the system (2.18) of PDEs as the *F-system*. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{P'}(W^\vee, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)) = \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)^{\mathfrak{n}'_+}),$$

the isomorphism (2.14) together with (2.16) shows the following.

Theorem 2.19 (F-method, [15, Thm. 4.1]). *There exists a linear isomorphism*

$$F_c \otimes \text{id}_W : \text{Hom}_{P'}(W^\vee, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W).$$

Equivalently, we have

$$F_c \otimes \text{id}_W : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W).$$

2.6. The case of abelian nilradical \mathfrak{n}_+ . Now suppose that the nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}_+ is abelian. In this case differential symmetry breaking operators $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ have constant coefficients. (See, for instance, [20, Sect. 2].) Thus, as in (2.2), one may view $\text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ as

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_-; \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W).$$

Since \mathfrak{n}_+ is regarded as the dual space of \mathfrak{n}_- , one can define the symbol map

$$\text{symbol}: \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_-; \frac{\partial}{\partial z}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_+; \zeta], \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \mapsto \zeta_i.$$

Theorem 2.20 shows a beautiful relationship between the F-method and the symbol map.

Theorem 2.20 ([15, Cor. 4.3]). *Suppose that the nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}_+ is abelian. Then the symbol map symb gives a linear isomorphism*

$$\text{symb}^{-1} : \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}).$$

Further, the diagram (2.21) below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W) & \\ & \nearrow^{F_c \otimes \text{id}_W} & \searrow^{\text{Rest} \circ \text{symb}^{-1}} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee), M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee)) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}]{\sim} & \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}), \end{array} \quad (2.21)$$

where Rest denotes the restriction map from $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{W})$ to $C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})$ with respect to the inclusion $G'/P' \hookrightarrow G/P$.

2.7. A recipe of the F-method for abelian nilradical \mathfrak{n}_+ . By (2.17) and Theorem 2.20, one can classify and construct $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ and $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee), M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee))$ by computing $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W)$ as follows.

Step 1 Compute $d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)^*}(C)$ and $\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma, \lambda)^*}}(C)$ for $C \in \mathfrak{n}'_+$.

Step 2 Classify and construct $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee)$.

Step 3 Solve the F-system (2.18) for $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee)$.

Step 4 For $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W)$ obtained in Step 3, do the following.

Step 4a Apply symb^{-1} to $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W)$ to obtain $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$.

Step 4b Apply $F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W$ to $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W)$ to obtain $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(W^\vee), M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\vee))$.

3. SPECIALIZATION TO $(SL(n+1, \mathbb{R}), SL(n, \mathbb{R}); P, P')$

In this section we specialize the general framework described in Section 2 to the case $(G, G') = (SL(n+1, \mathbb{R}), SL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ with real flag varieties $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ and $G'/P' \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Throughout this section we assume $n \geq 2$, unless otherwise specified.

3.1. Notation. Let $G = SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 2$. Let G' denote the closed subgroup of G such that

$$G' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g' & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} : g' \in SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq SL(n, \mathbb{R})$$

with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X' & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X' \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}). \quad (3.1)$$

We put

$$N_j^+ := E_{1, j+1}, \quad N_j^- := E_{j+1, 1} \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$H_0 := \frac{1}{n+1}(nE_{1,1} - \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} E_{r,r}) = \frac{1}{n+1} \text{diag}(n, -1, -1, \dots, -1, -1), \quad (3.2)$$

$$H'_0 := \frac{1}{n}((n-1)E_{1,1} - \sum_{r=2}^n E_{r,r}) = \frac{1}{n} \text{diag}(n-1, -1, -1, \dots, -1, 0), \quad (3.3)$$

where $E_{i,j}$ denote the matrix units. We normalize H_0 and H'_0 as $\tilde{H}_0 := \frac{n+1}{n}H_0$ and $\tilde{H}'_0 := \frac{n}{n-1}H'_0$, namely,

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \frac{1}{n}(nE_{1,1} - \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} E_{r,r}) = \frac{1}{n} \text{diag}(n, -1, -1, \dots, -1, -1), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\tilde{H}'_0 = \frac{1}{n-1}((n-1)E_{1,1} - \sum_{r=2}^n E_{r,r}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{diag}(n-1, -1, -1, \dots, -1, 0). \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) &= \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(H_0) \mp \text{id}) = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\tilde{H}_0) \mp \frac{n+1}{n}\text{id}), \\ \mathfrak{n}'_{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) &= \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(H'_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})} \mp \text{id}) = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(\tilde{H}'_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})} \mp \frac{n}{n-1}\text{id}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Then we have

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{N_1^{\pm}, \dots, N_{n-1}^{\pm}, N_n^{\pm}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}'_{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{N_1^{\pm}, \dots, N_{n-1}^{\pm}\}.$$

For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, let $\text{Tr}(X, Y) = \text{Trace}(XY)$ denote the trace form of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. Then N_i^+ and N_j^- satisfy $\text{Tr}(N_i^+, N_j^-) = \delta_{i,j}$. In what follows, we identify the duals $\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})^{\vee}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{n}'_-(\mathbb{R})^{\vee}$ of $\mathfrak{n}'_-(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})^{\vee} \simeq \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{n}'_-(\mathbb{R})^{\vee} \simeq \mathfrak{n}'_+(\mathbb{R})$ via the trace form $\text{Tr}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\tilde{H}_0$ and $\mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\tilde{H}'_0$. We also put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & X \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ \mathfrak{m}'(\mathbb{R}) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & X' & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X' \in \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathfrak{sl}(1, \mathbb{R})$ is regarded as $\mathfrak{sl}(1, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$. We remark that although $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, these are different subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$.

We have $\mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(H_0))$ and $\mathfrak{m}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(H'_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})})$. The decompositions $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{n}'_-(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_+(\mathbb{R})$ are Gelfand–Naimark decompositions of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. The subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{p}'(\mathbb{R}) := \mathfrak{m}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_+(\mathbb{R})$ are maximal parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. It is remarked that $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{n}'_{\pm}(\mathbb{R})$ are abelian.

Let P be the normalizer $N_G(\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}))$ of $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R})$ in G and P' the normalizer $N_{G'}(\mathfrak{p}'(\mathbb{R}))$ of $\mathfrak{p}'(\mathbb{R})$ in G' . We write $P = MAN_+$ and $P' = M'A'N'_+$ for the Langlands decompositions of P and P' corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{p}'(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{m}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_+(\mathbb{R})$, respectively.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \exp(\mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R})) = \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}_0), & N_+ &= \exp(\mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})), \\ A' &= \exp(\mathfrak{a}'(\mathbb{R})) = \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}'_0), & N'_+ &= \exp(\mathfrak{n}'_+(\mathbb{R})). \end{aligned}$$

The groups M and M' are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (\det(g))^{-1} & \\ & g \end{pmatrix} : g \in SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ M' &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (\det(g'))^{-1} & & \\ & g' & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : g' \in SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $SL^\pm(1, \mathbb{R})$ is regarded as $SL^\pm(1, \mathbb{R}) = \{\pm 1\}$. As M and M' are not connected, let M_0 and M'_0 denote the identity components of M and M_0 . Then $M_0 \simeq SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $M'_0 \simeq SL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$. For

$$\gamma = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, -1, 1) \in M'_0 \subset M_0,$$

we have

$$M/M_0 = \{[I_{n+1}], [\gamma]\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad M'/M'_0 = \{[I_{n+1}]', [\gamma]'\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

where I_{n+1} denotes the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ identity matrix and $[g] = gM_0$ and $[g]' = gM'_0$.

Remark 3.7. We have $P' \subset P$, $M'A' \subset MA$, $M' \subset M$, $N'_\pm \subset N_\pm$, but $A' \not\subset A$. Indeed, each element

$$a' = \text{diag}(t, t^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}, \dots, t^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}, 1) \in A'$$

can be decomposed as $a' = a'_M a'_A$ with $a'_M \in M$ and $a'_A \in A$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a'_M &= \text{diag}(1, t^{\frac{-1}{n(n-1)}}, \dots, t^{\frac{-1}{n(n-1)}}, t^{\frac{1}{n}}) \in M, \\ a'_A &= \text{diag}(t, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}, \dots, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}) \in A. \end{aligned}$$

For a closed subgroup J of G , we denote by $\text{Irr}(J)$ and $\text{Irr}(J)_{\text{fin}}$ the sets of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of J and finite-dimensional irreducible representations of J , respectively.

For $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$, we define one-dimensional representations $\mathbb{C}_\lambda = (\chi^\lambda, \mathbb{C})$ of $A = \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}_0)$ and $\mathbb{C}_\nu = ((\chi')^\nu, \mathbb{C})$ of $A' = \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}'_0)$ by

$$\chi^\lambda: \exp(t\tilde{H}_0) \mapsto \exp(\lambda t) \quad \text{and} \quad (\chi')^\nu: \exp(t\tilde{H}'_0) \mapsto \exp(\nu t). \quad (3.8)$$

Then $\text{Irr}(A)$ and $\text{Irr}(A')$ are given by

$$\text{Irr}(A) = \{\mathbb{C}_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Irr}(A') = \{\mathbb{C}_\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

For $\alpha \in \{\pm\}$, a one-dimensional representation \mathbb{C}_α of M is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\det(g))^{-1} & \\ & g \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{sgn}^\alpha(\det(g)),$$

where

$$\text{sgn}^\alpha(\det(g)) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = +, \\ \text{sgn}(\det(g)) & \text{if } \alpha = -. \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} = \text{Irr}(SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}$ and $\text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}} = \text{Irr}(SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} &= \{\mathbb{C}_\alpha \otimes \xi : (\alpha, \xi) \in \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}\}, \\ \text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}} &= \{\mathbb{C}_\beta \otimes \varpi : (\beta, \varpi) \in \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}} \simeq \text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} \times \text{Irr}(A)$, the set $\text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}}$ can be parametrized by

$$\text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\text{Irr}(P')_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\text{Irr}(P')_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\} \times \{\text{triv}\} \times \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.9)$$

For $(\alpha, \xi, \lambda) \in \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $(\beta, \varpi, \nu) \in \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}$, we write

$$I(\xi, \lambda)^\alpha = \text{Ind}_P^G((\mathbb{C}_\alpha \otimes \xi) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta = \text{Ind}_{P'}^{G'}((\mathbb{C}_\beta \otimes \varpi) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_\nu) \quad (3.10)$$

for unnormalized parabolically induced representations of G and of G' , respectively. For instance, the unitary axis of $J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta$ is $\text{Re}(\nu) = \frac{n}{2}$, where triv denotes the trivial representation of $SL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$. (For the fact, see, for instance, [8, p. 298]. We remark that our complex parameter ν and their α in [8] are related as $\nu = -\alpha$.)

For the representation spaces V and W of $(\alpha, \xi, \lambda) \in \text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}}$ and of $(\beta, \varpi, \nu) \in \text{Irr}(P')_{\text{fin}}$, respectively, we write

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi, \lambda)^\alpha = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\varpi, \nu)^\beta = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}')} W. \quad (3.11)$$

In the next section we classify and construct differential symmetry breaking operators

$$\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta)$$

and (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms

$$\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\varpi, \nu)^\alpha, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\beta).$$

4. DIFFERENTIAL SYMMETRY BREAKING OPERATORS \mathbb{D} AND (\mathfrak{g}', P') -HOMOMORPHISMS Φ

The objective of this section is to state the main results of the classification and construction of differential symmetry breaking operators $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta)$ as well as (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphism $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\varpi, \nu)^\alpha, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\beta)$. These are achieved in Theorems 4.12 and 4.13 for \mathbb{D} and Theorems 4.19 and 4.20 for Φ . In addition, we also present the classification of \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules in Section 4.3.

The proofs will be discussed in detail in Sections 5 and 6 in accordance with the recipe of the F-method. In this section we assume $n \geq 2$, unless otherwise specified.

For $\alpha \in \{\pm\} \equiv \{\pm 1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we mean $\alpha + k \in \{\pm\}$ by

$$\alpha + k = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \alpha = (-1)^k, \\ - & \text{if } \alpha = (-1)^{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

4.1. Differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} . We start with the classification and construction results of differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} . For $n \geq 2$, we define subsets

$$\Lambda_{SL,j}^{(n+1,n)} \subset \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$$

for $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$\Lambda_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; \lambda, \lambda + m) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here poly_{n-1}^ℓ denotes the irreducible representation of $SL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ on the space $\text{Pol}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ of polynomial functions on \mathbb{C}^{n-1} of homogeneous degree ℓ . We regard poly_1^ℓ as $\text{poly}_1^\ell = \text{triv}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus, if $n = 2$, then $\Lambda_{SL,j}^{(3,2)}$ for $j = 1, 2$ are given by

$$\Lambda_{SL,1}^{(3,2)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; \lambda, \lambda + m) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Lambda_{SL,2}^{(3,2)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{triv}; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \ell) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (4.4)$$

For $n = 2$, we further put

$$\Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \ell) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ and } \ell \in 2(1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\}. \quad (4.5)$$

We have

$$\Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)} \subset \Lambda_{SL,1}^{(3,2)} \cap \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(3,2)}.$$

We set

$$\Lambda_{SL}^{(n+1,n)} := \Lambda_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)} \cup \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)}. \quad (4.6)$$

We consider the cases $n \geq 3$ and $n = 2$, separately.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $n \geq 3$. The following three conditions on $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are equivalent.*

- (i) $\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta) \neq \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta) = 1$.
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL}^{(n+1,n)}$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $n = 2$. The following three conditions on $(\alpha, \beta; \lambda, \nu) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are equivalent.*

- (i) $\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta) \neq \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta) \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta; \text{triv}; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL}^{(3,2)}$.

The dimension is two if and only if $(\alpha, \beta; \text{triv}; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)}$.

We next consider the explicit formula of $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta)$. We write

$$\text{Pol}^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{C}^k[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}].$$

In what follows, we identify \mathbb{R}^{n-1} as a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with

$$\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \simeq \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) : x_j \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then, as in (2.2), we understand $\mathbb{D} \in \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi, \nu)^\beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL}^{(n+1, n)}$ as a map

$$\mathbb{D}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$$

via the diffeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^n &\xrightarrow{\sim} N_-, & (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) &\mapsto \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} X_{n-1} + x_n X_n), \\ \mathbb{R}^{n-1} &\xrightarrow{\sim} N'_-, & (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) &\mapsto \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} X_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we put

$$\Xi'_\ell := \{(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n-1} : \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \ell_j = \ell\}.$$

For $\mathbf{l} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \in \Xi'_\ell$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}_\mathbf{l} &= \frac{1}{\ell_1! \cdots \ell_{n-1}!} \cdot y_1^{\ell_1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}, \\ \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\mathbf{l}} &= \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_1^{\ell_1} \cdots \partial x_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{Rest}_{x_n=0}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ be the restriction operator from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} via the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in (4.9). Namely, for $f(x', x_n) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, we have

$$(\text{Rest}_{x_n=0} f)(x') = f(x', 0).$$

For $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define $\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)} \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}])$ by

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)} := \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_n^m} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\mathbf{l}} \otimes \tilde{y}_\mathbf{l}. \quad (4.11)$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m, 0)} = \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_n^m}.$$

Theorem 4.12. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then we have*

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}; \lambda)^\alpha, J(\varpi; \nu)^\beta) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m, 0)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL, 1}^{(n+1, n)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL, 2}^{(n+1, n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.13. *Let $n = 2$. Then we have*

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}; \lambda)^\alpha, J(\text{triv}; \nu)^\beta) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m, 0)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \text{triv } \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL, 1}^{(3, 2)} \setminus \Lambda_{SL, +}^{(3, 2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \text{triv } \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL, 2}^{(3, 2)} \setminus \Lambda_{SL, +}^{(3, 2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m+2\ell, 0)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m, \ell)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \text{triv}; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL, +}^{(3, 2)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4.2. **(\mathfrak{g}' , P')-homomorphisms.** We next consider (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms Φ . For $n \geq 2$, define $\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), j}^{(n+1, n)} \subset \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 1}^{(n+1, n)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; s, s - m) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, s \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(n+1, n)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{sym}_{n-1}^\ell; (m + \ell) - 1, -(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1})) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \quad (4.15)$$

where sym_{n-1}^k denotes the irreducible representation on $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ of $SL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$. As for poly_1^k , we regard sym_1^k as $\text{sym}_1^k = \text{triv}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus, $\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), j}^{(3, 2)}$ for $j = 1, 2$ are given by

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 1}^{(3, 2)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; s, s - m) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(3, 2)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{triv}; (m + \ell) - 1, -(1 + \ell)) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

Further, we put

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), +}^{(3, 2)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; (m + \ell) - 1, -(1 + \ell)) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ and } \ell \in 2(1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), +}^{(3, 2)} \subset \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 1}^{(3, 2)} \cap \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(3, 2)}.$$

We set

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P')}^{(n+1, n)} := \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 1}^{(n+1, n)} \cup \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(n+1, n)}.$$

As in Section 4.1, we consider the cases $n \geq 3$ and $n = 2$, separately.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $n \geq 3$. The following three conditions on $(\alpha, \beta; \sigma; s, r) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{C}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are equivalent.*

- (i) $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) \neq \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) = 1$.
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta; \sigma; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P')}^{(n+1, n)}$.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $n = 2$. The following three conditions on $(\alpha, \beta; s, r) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are equivalent.*

- (i) $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) \neq \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta; \text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P')}^{(3, 2)}$.

The dimension is two if and only if $(\alpha, \beta; \text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), +}^{(3, 2)}$.

To give the explicit formulas of $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha)$, we write

$$S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{C}^k[e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}],$$

where e_j are the standard basis elements of \mathbb{C}^{n-1} .

For $\mathbf{l} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \in \Xi'_\ell$, we write

$$e_{\mathbf{l}} = e_1^{\ell_1} \cdots e_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}} \in S^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}),$$

$$N_{\mathbf{l}}^- = (N_1^-)^{\ell_1} \cdots (N_{n-1}^-)^{\ell_{n-1}} \in S^\ell(\mathfrak{n}_-).$$

Observe that we have

$$\mathbb{C}^\ell[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}] = \text{Pol}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \simeq S^\ell((\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^\vee) \simeq S^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^\vee.$$

We then define $y_j \in (\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^\vee$ in such a way that $y_i(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, which gives $\tilde{y}_1(e_{\mathbf{l}'}) = \delta_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}'}$ for $\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}' \in \Xi'_\ell$.

For $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define $\Phi_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}), S^{m+\ell}(\mathfrak{n}_-))$ by means of

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)} := (N_n^-)^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} N_{\mathbf{l}}^- \otimes (e_{\mathbf{l}})^\vee = (N_n^-)^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} N_{\mathbf{l}}^- \otimes \tilde{y}_1. \quad (4.18)$$

In particular, we have

$$\Phi_{(m,0)} = (N_n^-)^m.$$

Since $M_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha \simeq S(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ as linear spaces, we have

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha).$$

Further, the following hold.

Theorem 4.19. *Let $n \geq 3$. We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\sigma; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 1}^{(n+1, n)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\sigma; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(n+1, n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.20. *For $n = 2$, we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 1}^{(3,2)} \setminus \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), +}^{(3,2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(3,2)} \setminus \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), +}^{(3,2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m+2\ell, 0)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), +}^{(3,2)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, by abuse of notation, we regard $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$ as a map

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r)^\beta, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha)$$

defined by

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)}(u \otimes w) := u\Phi_{(m,\ell)}(w) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } w \in S^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}). \quad (4.21)$$

4.3. Classification of \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms. Finally, we consider \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms. For $n \geq 2$, we define $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', j}^{(n+1, n)} \subset \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', 1}^{(n+1, n)} &:= \{(\text{triv}; s, s-m) : s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', 2}^{(n+1, n)} &:= \{(\text{sym}_{n-1}^\ell; (m+\ell)-1, -(1+\frac{\ell}{n-1})) : \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$, we further put

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', +}^{(3,2)} := \{(\text{triv}; (m+\ell)-1, -(1+\ell)) : m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } \ell \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

We have

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', +}^{(3,2)} \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', 2}^{(3,2)} \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}', 1}^{(3,2)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',1}^{(3,2)} &= \{(\text{triv}; s, s - m) : s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',2}^{(3,2)} &= \{(\text{triv}; (m + \ell) - 1, -(1 + \ell)) : \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.\end{aligned}$$

As in (3.11), for $(\eta, s) \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $(\sigma, r) \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}$, we define generalized Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta, s)$ and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\sigma, r)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module and \mathfrak{g}' -module, respectively.

Theorem 4.22. *For $n \geq 3$, we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\sigma; s, r) \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',1}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\sigma; s, r) \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',2}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.23. *For $n = 2$, we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, r), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',1}^{(3,2)} \setminus \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m+2\ell,0)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\Phi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\text{triv}; s, r) \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}',+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.24. Let $n \geq 2$. Since the generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, s - m)$ is of scalar type, the \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms $\varphi_{(m,0)}: M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, s - m) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)$ are all injective (cf. [9, Prop. 9.11]). Theorems 4.22 and 4.23 then imply that we have

$$\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, s - m) \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s). \quad (4.25)$$

In Section 9, we shall show that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ is in fact isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, s - m)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ as \mathfrak{g}' -modules (see Theorem 9.22). Further, we shall also discuss the multiplicity-two phenomenon for $n = 2$ from a viewpoint of branching laws (see Remark 9.37).

5. PROOFS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF \mathbb{D} AND Φ : CASE $n \geq 3$

In the present and next sections, we follow the recipe of the F-method in Section 2.7 to prove the theorems in Section 4. Since the arguments are slightly different between the cases $n \geq 3$ and $n = 2$, we consider the two cases, separately.

In this section we deal with the case $n \geq 3$, that is, we show Theorems 4.7, 4.12, 4.16, 4.19, and 4.22. The case $n = 2$ is considered separately in Section 6.

5.1. Step 1: Compute $d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}(C)$ and $\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}}(C)$ for $C \in \mathfrak{n}'_+$. For $\xi = \alpha \otimes \text{triv}$ and $\lambda \equiv \chi^\lambda$, we simply write

$$d\pi_{\lambda^*} = d\pi_{(\alpha \otimes \text{triv}, \chi^\lambda)^*}$$

with $\lambda^* = 2\rho(\mathfrak{n}_+) - \lambda d\chi$.

The operators $d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}(N_j^+)$ and $\widehat{d\pi_{(\sigma,\lambda)^*}}(N_j^+)$ are already computed in [20, Sect. 5] for $N_j^+ \in \mathfrak{n}_+$. We thus simply recall those formulas from the cited paper in this subsection. We remark that the formulas are not only for $N_j^+ \in \mathfrak{n}'_+$ but also for $N_j^+ \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ (full nilpotent radical).

We write

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) = \mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n],$$

where $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n)$ is the dual coordinates to $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ of $\mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R})$ given in (4.10). Let $E_x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ and $E_\zeta = \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j}$ denote the Euler homogeneity operator for x and ζ , respectively. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1, n\}$, we write $\vartheta_j = \zeta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j}$ for the Euler operator for ζ_j such that $E_\zeta = \sum_{j=1}^n \vartheta_j$.

Proposition 5.1 ([20, Props. 5.1 and 5.4]). *For $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1, n\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi_{\lambda^*}(N_j^+) &= x_j \{(n-\lambda) + E_x\}, \\ -\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) &= \vartheta_j (\lambda - 1 + E_\zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Observe that $E_\zeta|_{\text{Pol}^k(\mathfrak{n}_+)}$ is simply given by $E_\zeta|_{\text{Pol}^k(\mathfrak{n}_+)} = k \cdot \text{id}$. Then, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$-\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+)|_{\text{Pol}^k(\mathfrak{n}_+)} = (\lambda - 1 + k)\vartheta_j. \quad (5.3)$$

5.2. Step 2: Classify and construct $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^\vee, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^\vee)$. For $(\varpi, W) \in \text{Irr}(M_0)_{\text{fin}}$, we write

$$W_\beta = \mathbb{C}_\beta \otimes W$$

for the representation space of $(\beta, \varpi) \in \text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}}$. We also write

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_\alpha = \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\alpha. \quad (5.4)$$

Then, in this step, we wish to classify and construct

$$\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_\beta^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}).$$

We start by observing the $M'A'$ -decomposition $\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)|_{M'A'} = \mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{M'A'}$. To do so, the following lemma is useful.

Lemma 5.5. *The following hold.*

- (1) $(M', \text{Ad}_\#, \mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n]) \simeq (SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}), \text{sgn}^m \otimes \text{triv}, \mathbb{C})$.
- (2) $(M', \text{Ad}_\#, \mathbb{C}^\ell[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}]) \simeq (SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}), \text{sgn}^\ell \otimes \text{sym}_{n-1}^\ell, S^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}))$.
- (3) A' acts on $\mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n]$ by a character $(\chi')^{-m}$.
- (4) A' acts on $\mathbb{C}^\ell[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}]$ by a character $(\chi')^{-\frac{n}{n-1}\ell}$.

Here χ' is the character of A' defined in (3.8).

Proof. A direct computation. □

It follows from Lemma 5.5 that $\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{M'A'}$ decomposes irreducibly as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{M'A'} &= \bigoplus_{m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n] \mathbb{C}^\ell[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}] \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\text{sgn}^{m+\ell} \otimes \text{sym}_{n-1}^\ell) \boxtimes (-(m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $-(m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell)$ indicates the weight of the character of A' . Therefore, we have

$$(\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})|_{M'A'} \simeq \bigoplus_{m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\text{sgn}^{\alpha+m+\ell} \otimes \text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}) \boxtimes (-\lambda + m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell).$$

We remark that the $M'A'$ -representations appeared in (5.6) are all inequivalent.

Put

$$\Lambda_{M'A'}^{(n+1, n)} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{poly}_{n-1}^{\ell}; \lambda, \lambda + m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (5.7)$$

Proposition 5.8. *The following conditions on $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \{\pm\} \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are equivalent.*

- (i) $\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_{\beta}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) \neq \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\dim \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_{\beta}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) = 1$.
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{M'A'}^{(n+1, n)}$.

Proof. Since $(\text{sym}_{n-1}^k, S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}))^{\vee} \simeq (\text{poly}_{n-1}^k, \text{Pol}^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}))$, the assertions simply follow from the preceding arguments. \square

Now, for $\mathbf{l} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \in \Xi'_{\ell}$, we write

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{l}} = \zeta_1^{\ell_1} \cdots \zeta_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}].$$

We then define $\psi_{(m, \ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^{\ell}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}), \mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n] \mathbb{C}^{\ell}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}])$ by

$$\psi_{(m, \ell)} = \zeta_n^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} \zeta_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}}, \quad (5.9)$$

where $\tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}} \in \text{Pol}^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}) \simeq S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^{\vee}$ are regarded as the dual basis of $e_{\mathbf{l}} \in S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$.

Proposition 5.10. *We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_{\beta}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m, \ell)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{M'A'}^{(n+1, n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $\psi_{(m, \ell)}$ maps $\psi_{(m, \ell)}: e_{\mathbf{l}} \mapsto \zeta_n^m \zeta_{\mathbf{l}}$, it satisfies the desired $M'A'$ -equivariance. Thus, we have $\psi_{(m, \ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_{\beta}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$. Now the multiplicity-one property from Proposition 5.8 concludes the assertion. \square

5.3. Step 3: Solve the F-system for $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W^{\vee}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes V^{\vee})$. For $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha, \lambda}, \varpi_{\beta, \nu}) \\ & := \{\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_{\beta}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) : \psi \text{ solves the F-system (5.11) below.}\}. \\ & \quad (\widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) \otimes \text{id}_W)\psi = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Since

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha, \lambda}, \varpi_{\beta, \nu}) \subset \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(W_{\beta}^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}),$$

it follows from Proposition 5.8 that if $\text{Sol}(\mathbf{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \varpi_{\beta,\nu}) \neq \{0\}$, then $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu)$ is of the form

$$(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) = (\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell; \lambda, \lambda + m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell) \quad (5.12)$$

for some $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Further, by Proposition 5.10, it suffices to solve the PDE

$$(\widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) \otimes \text{id}_W)\psi_{(m,\ell)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\},$$

which is equivalent to solving

$$(-\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) \otimes \text{id}_W)\psi_{(m,\ell)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \quad (5.13)$$

Recall from (4.1) and (4.2) that we have

$$\Lambda_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; \lambda, \lambda + m) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

$$\Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Theorem 5.14. *Let $n \geq 3$. We have*

$$\text{Sol}(\mathbf{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \varpi_{\beta,\nu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We wish to solve (5.13). Recall from (5.3) that $-\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) |_{\text{Pol}^k(\mathbf{n}_+)}$ is given by

$$-\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) |_{\text{Pol}^k(\mathbf{n}_+)} = (\lambda - 1 + k)\vartheta_j.$$

Since

$$\psi_{(m,\ell)} = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} \zeta_n^m \zeta_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}} \in \text{Pol}^{m+\ell}(\mathbf{n}_+) \otimes \text{Pol}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}),$$

the left-hand side of (5.13) amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} (-\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+) \otimes \text{id}_W)\psi_{(m,\ell)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} -\zeta_j \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_j^+)(\zeta_n^m \zeta_{\mathbf{l}}) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} (\lambda - 1 + m + \ell)\vartheta_j(\zeta_n^m \zeta_{\mathbf{l}}) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}} \\ &= \zeta_n^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} (\lambda - 1 + m + \ell)\vartheta_j(\zeta_{\mathbf{l}}) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one wishes to solve

$$(\lambda - 1 + m + \ell)\vartheta_j(\zeta_{\mathbf{l}}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ and } \mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell. \quad (5.15)$$

We have $(\lambda - 1 + m + \ell)\vartheta_j(\zeta_{\mathbf{l}}) = (\lambda - 1 + m + \ell)\ell_j \zeta_{\mathbf{l}}$. Thus, (5.15) holds if and only if $\ell = 0$ or $\lambda = 1 - (m + \ell)$. Now the theorem follows from (5.12) with the observation that $\Lambda_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)} = \Lambda_{M'A'}^{(n+1,n)}$ for $\ell = 0$ and $\Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)} = \Lambda_{M'A'}^{(n+1,n)}$ for $\lambda = 1 - (m + \ell)$. \square

5.4. Step 4: Apply symb^{-1} and $F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W$ to the solution $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; V, W)$. Observe that $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ in (4.11) and $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$ in (4.18) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} &= \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_n^m} \sum_{\mathbf{1} \in \Xi'_\ell} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^{\mathbf{1}}} \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ &= \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \sum_{\mathbf{1} \in \Xi'_\ell} \text{symb}^{-1}(\zeta_n^m \zeta_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ &= \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \text{symb}^{-1}(\psi_{(m,\ell)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)} = (N_n^-)^m \sum_{\mathbf{1} \in \Xi'_\ell} N_{\mathbf{1}}^- \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{1}} = \sum_{\mathbf{1} \in \Xi'_\ell} F_c^{-1}(\zeta_n^m \zeta_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{1}} = (F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W)(\psi_{(m,\ell)}).$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorems 4.7, 4.12, 4.16, and 4.19.

Proof of Theorems 4.7, 4.12, 4.16, and 4.19. By Theorem 2.20, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, I(\varpi, \nu)^\beta) &= \text{symb}^{-1}(\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \varpi_{\beta,\nu})), \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\varpi^\vee, -\nu)^\alpha, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, -\lambda)^\beta) &= (F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W)(\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \varpi_{\beta,\nu})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell)^\vee \simeq \text{sym}_{n-1}^\ell$, the proposed assertions follow from Theorem 5.14. \square

We end this section by discussing the proof of Theorem 4.22.

Proof of Theorem 4.22. Let $P'_0 = M'_0 A' N'_+$ be a Langlands decomposition of the identity component of the parabolic subgroup $P' = M' A' N'_+$. For $(\varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$, we let

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \varpi_\nu)_0 = \{\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'_0 A'}(W^\vee \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) : \psi \text{ solves the F-system (5.13)}\}.$$

As P'_0 is connected, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'_0}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\sigma, r), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)),$$

which yields a linear isomorphism

$$F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\varpi^\vee, -\nu), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, -\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \varpi_\nu)_0. \quad (5.16)$$

Now Theorem 4.22 follows from the same arguments in Section 5.1–5.4. \square

For later convenience, we state the classification of $\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \varpi_\nu)_0$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)} &:= \{(\text{triv}; \lambda, \lambda + m) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)} &:= \{(\text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}) : m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.17. *We have*

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \varpi_\nu)_0 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,1}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since the arguments are identical to Theorem 5.14, we omit the proof. \square

6. PROOFS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF \mathbb{D} AND Φ : CASE $n = 2$

The aim of this section is to prove the theorems in Section 4 for the case $n = 2$, namely, Theorems 4.8, 4.13, 4.17, 4.20, and 4.23. Throughout this section we assume $n = 2$; in particular, we have $(G, G') = (SL(3, \mathbb{R}), SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and $\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) = \mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]$.

Observe that since $G' = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the M' -part of the parabolic subgroup $P' = M'A'N'_+$ of G' is given by $M' \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; thus,

$$\text{Irr}(P')_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\} \times \{\text{triv}\} \times \mathbb{C}.$$

So, the space of solutions to the F-system in concern is

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha, \lambda}, \text{triv}_{\beta, \nu}) = \{\psi \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(\mathbb{C}_\beta \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) : \psi \text{ solves (6.1) below.}\}.$$

$$\widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_1^+) \psi = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

In the present case, as opposed to the case of $n \geq 3$, the space $\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(\mathbb{C}_\beta \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\nu})$ of $M'A'$ -homomorphisms ψ could have higher multiplicity. Thus, to simplify the exposition, we first follow the recipe of the F-method for $P'_0 = M_0A'N'_+ = A'N'_+$. We shall consider the parity condition coming from M' in the end.

As in the previous section, we put

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0 := \{\psi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) : \psi \text{ solves (6.1).}\}.$$

Then we shall proceed with the following steps.

Step 1 Classify and construct $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$.

Step 2 Solve (6.1) for $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$.

Step 3 Consider the parity condition on $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0$.

Step 4 Apply symb^{-1} and $F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W$ to the solution $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha, \lambda}, \text{triv}_{\beta, \nu})$.

As the identity component P'_0 is considered, our arguments naturally include the proof of Theorem 4.23 as in the end of the previous section.

6.1. Step 1: Classify and construct $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$. It follows from Lemma 5.5 that we have

$$\mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_2] \mathbb{C}^\ell[\zeta_1] \simeq -(m + 2\ell), \quad (6.2)$$

where $-(m + 2\ell)$ indicates the weight of the character of A' .

Proposition 6.3. *The following conditions on $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ are equivalent.*

- (i) $\text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) \neq \{0\}$.
- (ii) $\nu - \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. By (6.2), the decomposition $\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]|_{A'}$ is given as

$$\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]|_{A'} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \bigoplus_{m+2\ell=k} \mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_2] \mathbb{C}^\ell[\zeta_1] \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \bigoplus_{m+2\ell=k} (-k).$$

Therefore,

$$(\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})|_{A'} \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \bigoplus_{m+2\ell=k} -(\lambda + k),$$

which shows the proposed assertion. \square

As in (5.9), we write

$$\psi_{(m,\ell)} = \zeta_2^m \zeta_1^\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2].$$

Then,

$$\text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-(\lambda+k)}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\psi_{(m,\ell)} : m + 2\ell = k\} \quad (6.4)$$

$$= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\psi_{(k-2\ell,\ell)} : \ell = 0, \dots, [\frac{k}{2}]\}. \quad (6.5)$$

In particular, we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-(\lambda+k)}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) = [\frac{k}{2}] + 1.$$

6.2. Step 2: Solve (6.1) for $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$. The aim of this step is to determine $\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0$, that is, we wish to solve

$$\widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_1^+) \psi = 0,$$

which is equivalent to solving

$$-\zeta_1 \widehat{d\pi_{\lambda^*}}(N_1^+) \psi = 0.$$

We put

$$\mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,1}^{(3,2)} := \{(\lambda, \lambda + m) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

$$\mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,2}^{(3,2)} := \{(1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \ell) : m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

$$\mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,+}^{(3,2)} := \{(1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \ell) : m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } \ell \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

We have

$$\mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,+}^{(3,2)} \subset \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,2}^{(3,2)} \subset \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,1}^{(3,2)}.$$

Proposition 6.6. *Let $n = 2$. We have*

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,1}^{(3,2)} \setminus \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m+2\ell,0)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL,+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0 \subset \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-\nu}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$, it follows from Proposition 6.3 that if $\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0 \neq \{0\}$, then $\nu - \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Let $\nu - \lambda = k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It then follows from (6.4) that $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-(\lambda+k)}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})$ is of the form

$$\psi = \sum_{m+2\ell=k} c_\ell \psi_{(m,\ell)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{[k/2]} c_\ell \psi_{(k-2\ell,\ell)}$$

for some $c_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)} \in \mathbb{C}^{k-\ell}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2]$, by (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\zeta_1 \widehat{d\pi\lambda^*}(N_1^+) \psi &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} c_\ell (-\zeta_1 \widehat{d\pi\lambda^*}(N_1^+) \psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} c_\ell (\lambda - 1 + k - \ell) \vartheta_1(\psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} c_\ell \ell (\lambda - 1 + k - \ell) \psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)}. \end{aligned}$$

As the degrees of $\psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)}$ are all different, the polynomials $\psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)}$ are linearly independent. Therefore, $-\zeta_1 \widehat{d\pi\lambda^*}(N_1^+) \psi = 0$ if and only if

$$c_\ell \ell (\lambda - 1 + k - \ell) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor\},$$

which is further equivalent to the following conditions:

- (I) $c_\ell = 0$ for $\ell \neq 0$, or
- (II) $\lambda = 1 - (k - \ell_0)$ for some ℓ_0 and $c_j = 0$ for $j \neq 0, \ell_0$.

First, suppose that Case (I) holds. If $\ell = 0$, then $k = m + 2 \cdot 0 = m$. Therefore,

$$\psi = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} c_\ell \psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)} = c_0 \psi_{(k, 0)} = c_0 \psi_{(m, 0)}.$$

Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ can be any complex number, we have

$$\psi_{(m, 0)} \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-(\lambda+m)}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $m = k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is arbitrary, this shows that if $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, 1}^{(3, 2)}$, then

$$\mathbb{C}\psi_{(m, 0)} \subset \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0.$$

Next, suppose that Case (II) holds. Write $m_0 = k - 2\ell_0$. Then,

$$\psi = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} c_\ell \psi_{(k-2\ell, \ell)} = c_0 \psi_{(k, 0)} + c_{\ell_0} \psi_{(k-2\ell_0, \ell_0)} = c_0 \psi_{(m_0+2\ell_0, 0)} + c_{\ell_0} \psi_{(m_0, \ell_0)}.$$

Since $\lambda = 1 - (k - \ell_0) = 1 - (m_0 + \ell_0)$ and $\lambda + k = 1 + \ell_0$, we have

$$\psi_{(m_0+2\ell_0, 0)}, \psi_{(m_0, \ell_0)} \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\mathbb{C}_{-(1+\ell_0)}, \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-(1-(m_0+\ell_0))}).$$

As for Case (I), since $k = m_0 + \ell_0$ is arbitrary, this shows that if $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, 2}^{(3, 2)}$, then

$$\mathbb{C}\psi_{(m_0+2\ell_0, 0)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m_0, \ell_0)} \subset \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0.$$

Since $\mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, +}^{(3, 2)} \subset \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, 2}^{(3, 2)} \subset \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, 1}^{(3, 2)}$, it follows from the arguments on (I) and (II) that

$$\dim \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, 1}^{(3, 2)} \setminus \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, +}^{(3, 2)}, \\ 2 & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \mathring{\Lambda}_{SL, +}^{(3, 2)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proposition. \square

6.3. Step 3: Consider the parity condition on $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_\lambda, \text{triv}_\nu)_0$. Recall from (4.1), (4.2), and (4.5) that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{SL,1}^{(3,2)} &= \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; \lambda, \lambda + m) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(3,2)} &= \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{triv}; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \ell) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \\ \Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)} &= \{(\alpha, \alpha + m; \text{triv}; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \ell) : \alpha \in \{\pm\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ and } \ell \in 2(1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.7. *We have*

$$\text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \text{triv}_{\beta,\nu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,1}^{(3,2)} \setminus \Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(3,2)} \setminus \Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m+2\ell,0)} \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,+}^{(3,2)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.5 that M acts on $\mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,0)}$ and $\mathbb{C}\psi_{(m,\ell)}$ by sgn^m and $\text{sgn}^{m+\ell}$, respectively. Then the proposition follows from Proposition 6.6 and a direct observation on the parity condition on ℓ . \square

6.4. Step 4: Apply symb^{-1} and $F_c^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_W$ to the solution $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\mathfrak{n}_+; \text{triv}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \text{triv}_{\beta,\nu})$. Now we finish the proof of the theorems in concern.

Proof of Theorems 4.8, 4.13, 4.17, 4.20, and 4.23. As in Section 5.4, we apply symb^{-1} and F_c^{-1} to the polynomial solutions ψ in Proposition 6.7 to obtain Theorems 4.8, 4.13, 4.17, and 4.20. The application of F_c^{-1} to ψ in Proposition 6.6 concludes Theorem 4.23. This ends the proof. \square

7. FACTORIZATION IDENTITIES OF $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ AND $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$

The aim of this section is to show the factorization identities of differential symmetry breaking operators $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ and (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$. Such factorization identities are obtained in Theorem 7.11 for $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$ and Theorem 7.16 for $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$. Throughout this section we assume $n \geq 2$, unless otherwise stated.

7.1. G -intertwining differential operators \mathcal{D}_k and (\mathfrak{g}, P) -homomorphisms φ_k . As preliminaries, we first recall from [20] the classification of G -intertwining differential operators

$$\mathcal{D} \in \text{Diff}_G(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, I(\xi, \tau)^\gamma)$$

and (\mathfrak{g}, P) -homomorphisms

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, P}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau, u)^\gamma, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha).$$

We define $\Lambda_{SL}^{n+1} \subset \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by means of

$$\Lambda_{SL}^{n+1} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + k; \text{poly}_n^k; 1 - k, 1 + \frac{k}{n}) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we set

$$\Xi_k := \{(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, k_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n : \sum_{j=1}^n k_j = k\}$$

and define $\mathcal{D}_k \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n])$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}_k := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Xi_k} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (7.1)$$

For $k = 0$, we understand \mathcal{D}_0 as the identity operator $\mathcal{D}_0 = \text{id}$.

Theorem 7.2 ([20]). *For $(\alpha, \gamma; \xi; \lambda, \tau) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R})) \times \mathbb{C}^2$, we have*

$$\text{Diff}_G(I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha, I(\xi, \tau)^\gamma) = \begin{cases} \text{Cid} & \text{if } (\gamma, \xi, \tau) = (\alpha, \text{triv}, \lambda), \\ \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_k & \text{if } (\alpha, \gamma; \xi; \lambda, \tau) \in \Lambda_{SL}^{n+1}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For (\mathfrak{g}, P) -homomorphisms φ , we first define $\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{n+1} \subset \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{n+1} := \{(\alpha, \alpha + k; \text{sym}_n^k; k - 1, -(1 + \frac{k}{n}) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (7.3)$$

We define $\varphi_k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}), S^k(\mathfrak{n}_-))$ by means of

$$\varphi_k := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Xi_k} N_{\mathbf{k}}^- \otimes (e_{\mathbf{k}})^\vee = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Xi_k} N_{\mathbf{k}}^- \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (7.4)$$

Theorem 7.5. *For $(\alpha, \gamma; \tau; s, u) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$, we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, P}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau, u)^\gamma, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha) = \begin{cases} \text{Cid} & \text{if } (\gamma, \tau, u) = (\alpha, \text{triv}, s), \\ \mathbb{C}\varphi_k & \text{if } (\alpha, \gamma, \tau; s, u) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{n+1}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As for $\Phi_{(m, \ell)}$ in (4.21), we regard φ_k as a map

$$\varphi_k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, P}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau, u)^\gamma, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)^\alpha)$$

defined by

$$\varphi_k(u \otimes w) := u\varphi_k(w) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } w \in S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}). \quad (7.6)$$

In what follows, we write \mathcal{D}_k and \mathcal{D}'_k for G - and G' -intertwining differential operators, respectively. The same convention is employed for (\mathfrak{g}, P) -homomorphism φ_k and (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphism φ'_k .

7.2. Factorization identities for $\Phi_{(m, \ell)}$. We first consider the factorization identities of (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphisms $\Phi_{(m, \ell)}$. It is clear from (4.18) and (7.4) that, the (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphism $\Phi_{(m, \ell)}$ can be factored as

$$\Phi_{(m, \ell)} = \Phi_{(m, 0)} \circ \varphi'_\ell.$$

In other words, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, \ell - 1)^{\alpha+m} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{(m,0)}} & M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, (m + \ell) - 1)^{\alpha} \\
\uparrow \varphi'_{\ell} & \circlearrowleft & \nearrow \Phi_{(m,\ell)} \\
M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}, -(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}))^{\alpha+m+\ell} & &
\end{array}$$

The aim of this subsection is to give another factorization identity of $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$, that is, we wish to complete the lower left corner of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, \ell - 1)^{\alpha+m} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{(m,0)}} & M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, (m + \ell) - 1)^{\alpha} \\
\uparrow \varphi'_{\ell} & \circlearrowleft & \nearrow \Phi_{(m,\ell)} \\
M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}, -(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}))^{\alpha+m+\ell} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\text{some generalized Verma module}}
\end{array}$$

For this purpose, we introduce some notation. For $\mathbf{l} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \in \Xi'_{\ell}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we write

$$e_{(m,\mathbf{l})} = e_n^m e_{\mathbf{l}} = e_n^m e_1^{\ell_1} \cdots e_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}.$$

Also, we write

$$\mathbb{C}[e'] = \mathbb{C}[e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}[e', e_n] = \mathbb{C}[e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n].$$

Then, for $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e'], \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n])$$

by means of

$$\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)} := \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} (e_{\mathbf{l}})^{\vee} \otimes e_{(m,\mathbf{l})} = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes e_{(m,\mathbf{l})}. \quad (7.7)$$

Let $(\text{triv} \otimes \text{sym}_n^k, S^k(\mathbb{C}^n))$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of M defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\det(g))^{-1} \\ g \end{pmatrix} \cdot (e_1^{k_1} \cdots e_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} e_n^{k_n}) = g \cdot (e_1^{k_1} \cdots e_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} e_n^{k_n})$$

for $e_1^{k_1} \cdots e_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} e_n^{k_n} \in \mathbb{C}^k[e', e_n] = S^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$. In particular, M' acts on $\mathbb{C}^k[e_n]$ trivially.

Proposition 7.8. *We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{M'}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e'], \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]) = \mathbb{C} \text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}: \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} a_{\mathbf{l}} e_{\mathbf{l}} \mapsto \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} a_{\mathbf{l}} e_{(m,\mathbf{l})} = e_n^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} a_{\mathbf{l}} e_{\mathbf{l}}.$$

Thus $\text{Im}(\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}) = \mathbb{C}^m[e_n] \mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e']$. Since M' acts on $\mathbb{C}^k[e_n]$ trivially, this shows that $\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}$ respects the M' -action. Now the proposition follows from the fact that $\mathbb{C}^m[e_n] \mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e']$ has multiplicity-one in $\mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]$. \square

We put

$$\mu' := 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu := 1 + \frac{m+\ell}{n}.$$

For $\alpha \in \{\pm\}$, we write

$$\mathbb{C}^\ell[e']_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}^\ell[e', e_n]_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^\ell[e', e_n] \otimes \mathbb{C}_\alpha$$

for the parity condition of M' -representations.

Proposition 7.9. *We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(\mathbb{C}^\ell[e']_\alpha \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}, \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]_\alpha \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}) = \mathbb{C}\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 7.8, it suffices to show

$$\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(\mathbb{C}^\ell[e']_\alpha \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}, \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]_\alpha \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}).$$

As $\text{Im}(\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}) = \mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e']$ and $\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}$ respects the M' -action, it is further enough to show

$$\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'} \simeq \mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$$

as A' -modules.

First we consider the action of A' on $\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}$. Since $\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}$ is an $M'A'$ -module by definition, it is clear that A' acts on $\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}$ by a character with weight $-\mu'$.

Next, observe that the action of $M'A'$ on $\mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$ comes from the restriction of that of MA on $\mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$. Further, recall from Remark 3.7 that a' can be decomposed as $a' = a'_M a'_A$ with $a'_M \in M$ and $a'_A \in A$. Here, for

$$a' = \text{diag}(t, t^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}, \dots, t^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}, 1) \in A',$$

we have

$$a'_M = \text{diag}(1, t^{\frac{-1}{n(n-1)}}, \dots, t^{\frac{-1}{n(n-1)}}, t^{\frac{1}{n}}) \in M,$$

$$a'_A = \text{diag}(t, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}, \dots, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}) \in A.$$

Thus, for $e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu'} \in \mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a' \cdot (e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}) &= (a'_M \cdot e_n^m)(a'_M \cdot p(e')) \otimes (a'_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}) \\ &= t^{\frac{m}{n}} \cdot t^{\frac{-\ell}{n(n-1)}} \cdot t^{-(1+\frac{m+\ell}{n})} (e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}) \\ &= t^{-(1+\frac{\ell}{n-1})} (e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the following transformation laws are used from line two to line three:

$$a'_M \cdot e_n^m = t^{\frac{m}{n}} e_n^m, \quad a'_M \cdot p(e') = t^{\frac{m}{n}} p(e'), \quad a'_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-\mu} = t^{-(1+\frac{m+\ell}{n})} \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}.$$

Therefore, A' acts on $\mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$ also by a character with weight $-\mu' = -(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1})$. Now the proposition follows. \square

Now we define

$$\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{M'A'}(\mathbb{C}^\ell[e']_\alpha \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]_\alpha \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu})$$

as a map

$$\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)} : p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mu'} \mapsto 1 \otimes \text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}(p(e')) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mu}.$$

We inflate the MA -representation $\mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]_{\alpha} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$ to a P -representation by letting N_+ act trivially. Similarly, we inflate the $M'A'$ -representation $\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e']_{\alpha} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}$ to a P' -representation. Then, as $P' \subset P$, we have

$$\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{P'}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e']_{\alpha} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}, (M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{sym}_n^{m+\ell}, -\mu)^{\alpha})^{n_+}).$$

Equivalently, by applying to $\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)}$ the same convention as (4.21) and (7.6), we have

$$\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}, -\mu')^{\alpha}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{sym}_n^{m+\ell}, -\mu)^{\alpha}).$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following.

Proposition 7.10. *We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}, -\mu')^{\alpha}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{sym}_n^{m+\ell}, -\mu)^{\alpha}) = \mathbb{C}\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)}.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 7.9 and the preceding arguments. \square

Now we are ready to show another factorization identity of $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$.

Theorem 7.11. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $(\alpha, \beta; \sigma; s, r) \in \Lambda_{(\mathfrak{g}', P'), 2}^{(n+1, n)}$, the (\mathfrak{g}', P') -homomorphism $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$ can be factored as follows:*

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)} = \Phi_{(m,0)} \circ \varphi'_{\ell} = \varphi_{m+\ell} \circ \widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)}. \quad (7.12)$$

Equivalently, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, \ell - 1)^{\alpha+m} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{(m,0)}} & M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, (m + \ell) - 1)^{\alpha} \\ \varphi'_{\ell} \uparrow & \circlearrowleft & \uparrow \varphi_{m+\ell} \\ M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}, -(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}))^{\alpha+m+\ell} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)}} & M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{sym}_n^{m+\ell}, -(1 + \frac{m+\ell}{n}))^{\alpha+m+\ell} \end{array} \quad (7.13)$$

Proof. Since any element in $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}, -(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}))^{\alpha+m+\ell}$ is of the form $\sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} u_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes e_{\mathbf{l}}$ for $u_{\mathbf{l}} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $e_{\mathbf{l}} \in S^{\ell}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$, it suffices to show the identities (7.12) for $u_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes e_{\mathbf{l}}$ for $\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}$.

Recall from (4.18) that $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$ is given by

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)} = (N_n^-)^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} N_{\mathbf{l}}^- \otimes (e_{\mathbf{l}})^{\vee} = (N_n^-)^m \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} N_{\mathbf{l}}^- \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}}.$$

Then, by (4.21), we have

$$\Phi_{(m,\ell)}(u_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes e_{\mathbf{l}}) = u_{\mathbf{l}} \Phi_{(m,\ell)}(e_{\mathbf{l}}) = u_{\mathbf{l}} (N_n^-)^m N_{\mathbf{l}}^-.$$

On the other hand, by (7.4) and (7.6), the element $(\Phi_{(m,0)} \circ \varphi'_\ell)(u_1 \otimes e_1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{(m,0)} \circ \varphi'_\ell)(u_1 \otimes e_1) &= u_1(\Phi_{(m,0)} \circ \varphi'_\ell)(e_1) \\ &= u_1\Phi_{(m,0)}(N_1^-) \\ &= u_1(N_n^-)^m N_1^-. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{m+\ell} \circ \widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)})(u_1 \otimes e_1) &= u_1(\varphi_{m+\ell} \circ \widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)})(e_1) \\ &= u_1\varphi_{m+\ell}(1 \otimes e_n^m e_1) \\ &= u_1(N_n^-)^m N_1^-. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 7.14. In Section 9, we shall discuss the commutative diagram (7.13) from an aspect of the branching laws of generalized Verma modules (see Remark 9.37).

7.3. Factorization identities for $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$. Now we consider the factorization identities of the differential symmetry breaking operator $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$. Recall that $\tilde{y}_1 \otimes e_{(m,1)}$ may be identified with

$$\tilde{y}_1 \otimes e_{(m,1)} = \tilde{y}_1 \otimes (\tilde{y}_{(m,1)})^\vee \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[y', y_n], \mathbb{C}^\ell[y']), \quad (7.15)$$

where $\tilde{y}_{(m,1)} = y_n^m \tilde{y}_1$. Also, recall that, by the duality theorem (Theorem 2.3), we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}', P'}(M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{sym}_{n-1}^\ell, -\mu')^\alpha, M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{sym}_n^{m+\ell}, -\mu)^\alpha) \xrightarrow[\mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}]{\sim} \text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{poly}_n^{m+\ell}, \mu)^\alpha, J(\text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell, \mu')^\alpha).$$

Write

$$\widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)} = \mathcal{D}_{H \rightarrow D}(\widetilde{\text{Emb}}_{(m,\ell)}).$$

Via the identification (7.15), we have

$$\widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)} = \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_\ell} \text{id} \otimes \tilde{y}_1 \otimes e_{(m,1)}.$$

That is, for $F(x', x_n) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^\ell[y']$ with

$$\begin{aligned} F(x', x_n) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Xi_{m+\ell}} f_{\mathbf{k}}(x', x_n) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m+\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \Xi'_r} f_{(m+\ell-r, \mathbf{r})}(x', x_n) \otimes \tilde{y}_{(m+\ell-r, \mathbf{r})}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(\widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)} F)(x') = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi_\ell} f_{(m,1)}(x', 0) \otimes \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}}.$$

The following is the differential-operator counterpart of Theorem 7.11.

Theorem 7.16. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL_2}^{(n+1, n)}$, the differential symmetry breaking operator $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ can be factored as follows:*

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} = \mathcal{D}'_\ell \circ \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)} = \widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)} \circ \mathcal{D}_{m+\ell}.$$

Equivalently, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I(\text{triv}, 1 - (m + \ell))^\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}} & J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m} \\
\mathcal{D}_{m+\ell} \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \mathcal{D}'_\ell \\
I(\text{poly}_n^{m+\ell}, 1 + \frac{m+\ell}{n})^{\alpha+m+\ell} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)}} & J(\text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell, 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1})^{\alpha+m+\ell}
\end{array} \tag{7.17}$$

Proof. This simply follows from Theorem 7.11 and the duality theorem. \square

Remark 7.18. The commutative diagram (7.17) implies that we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{m+\ell})} : \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{m+\ell}) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_\ell).$$

In Proposition 8.9 below, we shall show that we have $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{m+\ell})}) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_\ell)$.

8. THE $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -REPRESENTATIONS ON THE IMAGE $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$

The aim of this section is to determine the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -representations on the image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$ of the differential symmetry breaking operators $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}, \mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ in the commutative diagram (7.17) for $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)}$, where

$$\Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)} = \{(\alpha, \alpha + m + \ell; \text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell; 1 - (m + \ell), 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}) : \alpha \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

These are achieved in Section 8.2.

To make the argument simpler, we only consider the case $m, \ell \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In this section we assume $n \geq 3$, unless otherwise specified.

8.1. Preliminaries on $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ and $J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta$. We first recall from [20] necessary facts on the induced representations $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ of $G = SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ and $J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta$ of $G' = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Fact 8.1 (cf. [8, 21, 26]). Let $n \geq 3$. For $\beta \in \{\pm\} \equiv \{\pm 1\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the induced representation $J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta$ enjoys the following.

- (1) The induced representation $J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta$ is irreducible except the following two cases.
 - (A) $\lambda \in -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta = (-1)^\lambda$.
 - (B) $\lambda \in n + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta = (-1)^{\lambda+n}$.
- (2) For Case (A) with $\lambda = -m$, there exists a finite-dimensional irreducible subrepresentation $F_{G'}(-m)^\beta \subset J(\text{triv}, -m)^\beta$ such that $J(\text{triv}, -m)^\beta / F_{G'}(-m)^\beta$ is irreducible and infinite-dimensional.
- (3) For Case (B) with $\lambda = n + m$, there exists a non-unitary infinite-dimensional irreducible subrepresentation $T_{G'}(n+m)^\beta \subset J(\text{triv}, n+m)^\beta$ such that $J(\text{triv}, n+m)^\beta / T_{G'}(n+m)^\beta$ is irreducible and finite-dimensional.

(4) For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have the non-split exact sequences of Fréchet G' -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &\longrightarrow F_{G'}(-m)^\beta &\longrightarrow J(\text{triv}, -m)^\beta &\longrightarrow T_{G'}(n+m)^\beta &\longrightarrow \{0\}, \\ \{0\} &\longrightarrow T_{G'}(n+m)^\beta &\longrightarrow J(\text{triv}, n+m)^\beta &\longrightarrow F_{G'}(-m)^\beta &\longrightarrow \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8.2 ([20]). *Let $n \geq 3$, For $\beta \in \{\pm\} \equiv \{\pm 1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the kernel $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_k)$ and image $\text{Im}(\mathcal{D}'_k)$ of G' -intertwining differential operator*

$$\mathcal{D}'_k: J(\text{triv}, 1-k)^\beta \longrightarrow J(\text{poly}_{n-1}^k, 1 + \frac{k}{n-1})^{\beta+k}$$

are given as follows.

(1) $k = 0$: We have

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_0)^\beta = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}(\mathcal{D}'_0)^\beta = J(\text{triv}, 1)^\beta,$$

(2) $k \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_k)^\beta &= \begin{cases} F_{G'}(1-k)^\beta & \text{if } \beta = (-1)^{1-k}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \text{Im}(\mathcal{D}'_k)^\beta &\simeq \begin{cases} T_{G'}(n+k-1)^\beta & \text{if } \beta = (-1)^{1-k}, \\ J(\text{triv}, 1-k)^\beta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since the parity β indicates the K' -type structure of $F_{G'}(-k)^\beta$ and $T_{G'}(n+k)^\beta$, which are irrelevant in the current context, we simply omit β in this paper so that we denote them simply by $F_{G'}(-k)$ and $T_{G'}(n+k)$. Likewise, in what follows, we write $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_k)$ and $\text{Im}(\mathcal{D}'_k)$.

Fact 8.1 and Theorem 8.2 hold also for the induced representation $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ of $G = SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. As for $J(\text{triv}, \nu)^\beta$, we denote by $F_G(-k)$ and $T_G(n+1+k)$ for the composition factors of $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$.

To end this subsection, we compute the submodule $F_G(1-k)$ of $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ in the N -picture of $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$, that is, the realization of $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ as $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha \subset C^\infty(N_-) \simeq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ with $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ and \mathfrak{n}_- denote the complexifications of the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathbb{R})$ and opposite nilpotent radical $\mathfrak{n}_-(\mathbb{R})$, respectively, so that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Let $d\pi_\lambda$ be the infinitesimal representation of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ on $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ in the N -picture. Then, as in (2.9), for $f \in I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha \subset C^\infty(N_-) \simeq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$d\pi_\lambda(X)f(\bar{n}) = \lambda d\chi((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})X)_\mathfrak{l})f(\bar{n}) - (dR((\text{Ad}(\cdot^{-1})X)_{\mathfrak{n}_-})f)(\bar{n}). \quad (8.3)$$

Here $d\chi$ denotes the differential of the character χ defined in (3.8). For the details of (8.3), see, for instance, [20, Sect. 2.2].

The following lemma is used to compute $F_G(1-k)$.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $d\pi_\lambda$ be the infinitesimal representation of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ on $I(\text{triv}, \lambda)^\alpha$ in the N -picture. Via the diffeomorphism (4.10), the following hold.*

(1) For $N_j^\pm \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$d\pi_\lambda(N_j^\pm) = x_j(\lambda + E_x),$$

where E_x is the Euler homogeneity operator for x . In particular,

$$d\pi_\lambda(N_j^+)|_{\mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n]} = (\lambda + a)x_j.$$

(2) For $N_j^- \in \mathfrak{n}_-$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$d\pi_\lambda(N_j^-) = -dR(N_j^-) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

where $dR(N_j^-)$ denotes the infinitesimal right translation of N_j^- on $\mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(3) For $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$, we have

$$d\pi_\lambda(Z)|_{\mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n]} \subset \mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Proof. Since each case can be shown similarly by computing (8.3), we only demonstrate a proof of Case (3) here, provided that Case (2) is proven.

Take $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$. Then, for $\bar{n} = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j N_j^-)$, we have

$$\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z = \exp(\text{ad}(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j N_j^-)Z) = Z - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j [N_j^-, Z].$$

Since $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j [N_j^-, Z] \in \mathfrak{n}_-$, the value of $\lambda d\chi((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z)_\mathfrak{l})$ is given by

$$\lambda d\chi((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z)_\mathfrak{l}) = \lambda d\chi(Z) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Next, observe that, as $[N_j^-, Z] \in \mathfrak{n}_-$, the bracket $[N_j^-, Z]$ is of the form

$$[N_j^-, Z] = \sum_{r=1}^n a_{rj} N_r^- \quad \text{for some } a_{rj} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -dR((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z)_{\mathfrak{n}_-}) &= -\sum_{j=1}^n x_j dR([N_j^-, Z]) \\ &= -\sum_{j,r=1}^n a_{rj} x_j dR(N_r^-) \\ &= \sum_{j,r=1}^n a_{rj} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the formula for Case (2) is used from line two to line three. Thus, we have

$$dR((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z)_{\mathfrak{n}_-})|_{\mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n]} \subset \mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Since $d\pi_\lambda(Z) = \lambda d\chi((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z)_\mathfrak{l}) - dR((\text{Ad}(\bar{n}^{-1})Z)_{\mathfrak{n}_-})$, this completes the proof. \square

Proposition 8.5. *Let $k \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In the N -picture that $I(\text{triv}, 1 - k)^\alpha \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$F_G(1 - k) = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Proof. Since $F_G(1-k)$ is a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of G , there exists a lowest weight vector $f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_G(1-k)$. As being a lowest weight vector, we have

$$d\pi_\lambda(N_j^-)f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

By Lemma 8.4 (2), this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

which shows that f_0 is a constant function.

Now observe that, by Lemma 8.4 (1), we have

$$d\pi_{1-k}(N_j^+) |_{\mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n]} = (1-k+a)x_j.$$

Thus, the space $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+)f_0 \subset F_G(1-k)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+)f_0 = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

It follows from Lemma 8.4 that $\bigoplus_{a=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a non-zero \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $F_G(1-k)$. Now the irreducibility condition on $F_G(1-k)$ concludes the proposition. \square

8.2. The image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$. We now determine the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -representations on the image $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D})$ of $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}, \mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$. Throughout this subsection we assume that $(\alpha, \beta; \varpi; \lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda_{SL,2}^{(n+1,n)}$; in particular, the following commutative diagram holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(\text{triv}, 1 - (m + \ell))^\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}} & J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m} \\ \mathcal{D}_{m+\ell} \downarrow & \circlearrowleft \searrow \mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} \circlearrowright & \downarrow \mathcal{D}'_\ell \\ I(\text{poly}_n^{m+\ell}, 1 + \frac{m+\ell}{n})^{\alpha+m+\ell} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)}} & J(\text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell, 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1})^{\alpha+m+\ell} \end{array}$$

Proposition 8.6. *We have*

$$\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}) = J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m}$ is reducible. By Fact 8.1, the only possibilities of $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)})$ are $\{0\}$, $F_{G'}(1 - \ell)$, or $J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m}$. It follows from Theorem 8.2 that $F_{G'}(1 - \ell) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_\ell)$. Therefore, if $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}) \in \{\{0\}, F_{G'}(1 - \ell)\}$, then

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} = \mathcal{D}'_\ell \circ \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)} \equiv 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} \not\equiv 0$. Hence, $\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}) = J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m}$. \square

Proposition 8.7. *The following holds.*

(1) *Suppose $\alpha = (-1)^m$. Then we have*

$$\text{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}) = \begin{cases} T_{G'}(n + \ell - 1) & \text{if } \ell \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ J(\text{triv}, 1 - \ell) & \text{if } \ell \in 2(1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}). \end{cases}$$

(2) Suppose $\alpha = (-1)^{m+1}$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}) = \begin{cases} J(\mathrm{triv}, 1 - \ell) & \text{if } \ell \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ T_{G'}(n + \ell + 1) & \text{if } \ell \in 2(1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} = \mathcal{D}'_\ell \circ \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}$, it follows from Proposition 8.6 that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{D}'_\ell)$. Now the proposition follows from Theorem 8.2. \square

8.3. The image $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))})$. We next consider the image $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))})$ of the restricted operator $\mathbb{D}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}$.

Proposition 8.8. *Suppose that $I(\mathrm{triv}, 1 - (m + \ell))^\alpha$ is reducible. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 8.2 that $F_G(1 - (m + \ell)) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{m+\ell})$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))} = (\widetilde{\mathrm{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)} \circ \mathcal{D}_{m+\ell})|_{\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{m+\ell})} \equiv 0.$$

\square

Suppose that $I(\mathrm{triv}, 1 - (m + \ell))^\alpha$ is reducible. Then Fact 8.1 shows that α satisfies the condition $\alpha = (-1)^{1-(m+\ell)}$, which is equivalent to $\alpha \cdot (-1)^m = (-1)^{1-\ell}$. By Fact 8.1, this implies that $J(\mathrm{triv}, 1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m}$ is also reducible; in particular, in this case, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_\ell) = F_{G'}(1 - \ell)$. This observation is used in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 8.9. *Suppose that $I(\mathrm{triv}, 1 - (m + \ell))^\alpha$ is reducible. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}) = F_{G'}(1 - \ell)^{\alpha+m}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 7.16 that $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} = \mathcal{D}'_\ell \circ \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}$. Thus, by Proposition 8.8, we have

$$(\mathcal{D}'_\ell \circ \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)})|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))} = \mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))} \equiv 0,$$

which implies that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{D}'_\ell) = F_{G'}(1 - \ell).$$

The irreducibility of $F_{G'}(1 - \ell)$ forces that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}) = \{0\}$ or $F_{G'}(1 - \ell)$.

It follows from Proposition 8.5 that $F_G(1 - (m + \ell))$ is given by

$$F_G(1 - (m + \ell)) = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{(m+\ell)-1} \mathbb{C}^a[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

In particular, we have $x_n^m \in F_G(1 - (m + \ell))$. As $\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)} = \mathrm{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_n^m}$, the function $\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}x_n^m$ is given by

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}x_n^m = m! \neq 0.$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}) \neq \{0\}$. Consequently, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}|_{F_G(1-(m+\ell))}) = F_{G'}(1 - \ell).$$

\square

9. BRANCHING LAWS OF GENERALIZED VERMA MODULES

The aim of this section is to discuss the branching law $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ of a generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)$ of scalar-type. In addition, we also consider the branching law of the image $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})$ of the \mathfrak{g} -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{p+1}: M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{sym}_n^{p+1}, -(1 + \frac{p+1}{n})) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, p) \quad (9.1)$$

for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where φ_{p+1} is defined as in (7.4). The branching laws are achieved in Theorems 9.22 and 9.27. In this section we assume $n \geq 2$, unless otherwise specified.

9.1. Kobayashi's character identity. To compute the branching law of a generalized Verma module, the decomposition of the formal character is helpful. A key tool for the decomposition is Kobayashi's character identity [11]. We then start this section by recalling from [11] the character formula in a general framework.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra. Choose a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and write $\Delta \equiv \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ for the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . Fix a positive system Δ^+ and denote by \mathfrak{b} the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated with Δ^+ , namely, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_+$ with $\mathfrak{u}_+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Here \mathfrak{g}_{α} is the root space for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Let \mathcal{O} denote the BGG category of \mathfrak{g} -modules whose objects are finitely generated \mathfrak{g} -modules that are \mathfrak{h} -semisimple and locally \mathfrak{u}_+ -finite.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{b}$ be a standard parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Write $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ for the Levi decomposition of \mathfrak{p} with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{l}$. We put $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{l}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ : \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{l}\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ the parabolic BGG category, which is a full subcategory of \mathcal{O} whose objects are \mathfrak{l} -semisimple and locally \mathfrak{n}_+ -finite.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product on \mathfrak{h}^* induced from a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form of \mathfrak{g} . For $\alpha \in \Delta$, we write $\alpha^{\vee} = 2\alpha / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. Then we put

$$\Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l}) := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \lambda, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l})\}.$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l})$, we denote by F_{λ} the finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ . By letting \mathfrak{n}_+ act trivially, we regard F_{λ} as a \mathfrak{p} -module. We then define the generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ by

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} F_{\lambda}.$$

Let \mathfrak{g}' be a reductive subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and take a hyperbolic element $H \in \mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$. As H being hyperbolic, the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(H)$ on \mathfrak{g} are all real. We then define the subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{n}_-(H), \quad \mathfrak{l}(H), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}_+(H)$$

as the sum of the eigenspaces of negative, zero, and positive eigenvalue of $\text{ad}(H)$, respectively, so that we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_-(H) \oplus \mathfrak{l}(H) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(H)$.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a \mathfrak{g}' -compatible parabolic subalgebra determined by a hyperbolic element $H \in \mathfrak{g}'$, namely, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(H) := \mathfrak{l}(H) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(H)$. The \mathfrak{g}' -compatibility of \mathfrak{p} implies that $\mathfrak{p}' := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}' with Levi decomposition

$$\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{l}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_+ := (\mathfrak{l}(H) \cap \mathfrak{g}') \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_+(H) \cap \mathfrak{g}').$$

We choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ in such a way that $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$ and that it extends to a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Then we have $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{l}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$. Hereafter, we simply write $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \mathfrak{n}_\pm(H)$.

Given a finite-dimensional vector space V , we write $S(V) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k(V)$ for the symmetric tensor algebra of V . For finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} - and \mathfrak{g}' -modules F_λ and F'_δ with highest weights $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l})$ and $\delta \in \Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l}')$, respectively, we set

$$m(\delta; \lambda) := \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{l}'}(F'_\delta, F_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{l}'} \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{n}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}')).$$

Let $[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)]$ and $[M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\delta)]$ denote the formal characters of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ and $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\delta)$, respectively.

Theorem 9.2 ([11]). *Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ is a \mathfrak{g}' -compatible parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then, for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l})$, the following hold.*

- (1) $m(\delta; \lambda) < \infty$ for all $\delta \in \Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l}')$.
- (2) In the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$, we have

$$[M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq \bigoplus_{\delta \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} m(\delta; \lambda) [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\delta)], \quad (9.3)$$

where $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \{\delta \in \Lambda^+(\mathfrak{l}') : m(\delta; \lambda) \neq 0\}$.

In what follows, we resume the notation and normalizations specified in Section 3. So, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ realized as in (3.1). We remark that the maximal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ in consideration is not \mathfrak{g}' -compatible, as the defining hyperbolic element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$ in (3.2) is not in \mathfrak{g}' . However, as $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ with $\mathfrak{l}' = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ and $\mathfrak{n}'_+ = \mathfrak{n}_+ \cap \mathfrak{g}'$, a careful observation on the proof of Theorem 9.2 shows that the character identity (9.3) holds also in our case. In the next subsection, we apply the character identity (9.3) to show the branching law $[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}]$ of the formal character of a generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)$.

9.2. Branching law of $[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}]$. For brevity, we simply write

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s) = M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(r) = M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(\text{triv}, r).$$

Theorem 9.4. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, the following isomorphism holds in the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$:*

$$[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s-m)]. \quad (9.5)$$

Proof. Observe that we have $\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{n}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathbb{C}N_n^-$. It thus follows from Lemma 5.5 that we have $S^m(\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{n}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}') \simeq \mathbb{C}_{-m}$ as \mathfrak{a}' -modules. Then the decomposition $(\mathbb{C}_s \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{n}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}'))|_{\mathfrak{l}'}$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}_s \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{n}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}'))|_{\mathfrak{l}'} &= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathbb{C}_s \otimes S^m(\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{n}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}'))|_{\mathfrak{a}'} \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathbb{C}_{s-m}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the character identity (9.3) concludes (9.5). □

Corollary 9.6. *For $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'} \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s - m). \quad (9.7)$$

Proof. By the classification of the reducibility points of generalized Verma modules of scalar type (cf. [1, 6, 7]), if $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s - m)$ is a simple \mathfrak{g}' -module for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Now the proposed assertion follows from Theorem 9.4. \square

In Section 9.4 below, we shall show that the isomorphism (9.7) indeed holds for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ by making use of the classification of the \mathfrak{n}'_+ -invariant subspaces of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)$.

9.3. Branching law of $[\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}]$. Now we consider the branching law of the formal character of the image $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})$ of the \mathfrak{g} -homomorphism φ_{p+1} in (7.4).

We first recall from [20] the classification of \mathfrak{g} -homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules in consideration. Define $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1} \subset \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1} := \{(\text{sym}_n^k; k - 1, -(1 + \frac{k}{n})) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Theorem 9.8 ([20]). *We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau, u), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, s)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \text{id} & \text{if } (\tau, u) = (\text{triv}, s), \\ \mathbb{C} \varphi_k & \text{if } (\tau; s, u) \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{n+1}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let $s = p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By (7.4), the image $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p) = M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triv}, p)$ is given by

$$\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) (\mathbb{C}^{p+1}[N_1^-, \dots, N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p).$$

Then, as \mathfrak{g} -modules, we have

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)/\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) \simeq S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}),$$

where $S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ indicates the simple \mathfrak{g} -module $(\text{sym}_{n+1}^p, S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}))$. So, $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})$ is a unique maximal submodule of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$. The formal character $[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)]$ then satisfies

$$[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)] \simeq [\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})] + [S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})]. \quad (9.9)$$

As in Section 7, we write φ'_k for \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules of \mathfrak{g}' . Then, for $p - m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$[M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(p - m)] \simeq [\text{Im}(\varphi'_{p-m+1})] + [S^{p-m}(\mathbb{C}^n)]. \quad (9.10)$$

Now we are ready to show the branching law of $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})$ in the Grothendieck group $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$.

Theorem 9.11. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the following isomorphism holds in the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$:*

$$[\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p [\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1})] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)]. \quad (9.12)$$

Further, for $n = 2$, we have

$$[\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p 2 \cdot [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(d+2))] \oplus [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-1)] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq p+3} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)]. \quad (9.13)$$

Proof. We first show the isomorphism (9.12). We consider the branching law $[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}]$ in two ways. First, by (9.5), we have

$$[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(p-m)] \quad (9.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigoplus_{d=0}^p [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d)] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)] \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p [\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1})] \oplus [S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.15)$$

We note that the character identity (9.10) for $[M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d)]$ and the classical branching law $S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'} \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p S^d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are applied from line two to line three.

On the other hand, by (9.9), the formal character $[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}]$ also satisfies

$$[M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq [\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \oplus [S^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}]. \quad (9.16)$$

By comparing (9.15) with (9.16), we obtain

$$[\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}] \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p [\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1})] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)].$$

Now, to show (9.13), let $n = 2$. In this case, the map φ'_{d+1} is a \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphism

$$\varphi'_{d+1}: M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(d+2)) \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d).$$

Since $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(d+2))$ is of scalar type, φ'_{d+1} is injective for all $d \in \{0, \dots, p\}$ (cf. [9, Prop. 9.11]).

Thus, the image $\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1})$ is given by $\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) \simeq M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(d+2))$, which shows that

$$\bigoplus_{d=0}^p [\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1})] \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(d+2))]. \quad (9.17)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)] &= \bigoplus_{j=2}^{p+2} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)] \oplus [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-1)] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq p+3} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)] \\ &= \bigoplus_{d=0}^p [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(2+d))] \oplus [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-1)] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq p+3} [M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.18)$$

Now (9.13) follows from (9.12), (9.17), and (9.18). \square

In the next section, we show that the actual branching law $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ is indeed given as in (9.12) and (9.13).

9.4. Branching laws of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ and $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$. Now we show the actual branching laws of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ and $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$. Our idea is to observe \mathfrak{n}'_{+} -subspaces of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)$ and $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})$. In particular, the rest of the arguments is nothing to do with the character identity (9.3).

It follows from Propositions 5.17 and 6.6 and the algebraic Fourier transform F_c in (2.11) that

$$\mathbb{C}^m[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_s \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)^{n'_+} \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (9.19)$$

As \mathfrak{a}' -modules, we have

$$\mathbb{C}^m[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_s \simeq \mathbb{C}_{s-m} \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (9.20)$$

which yields an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[N_1^-, \dots, N_{n-1}^-] \mathbb{C}^m[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_s = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')(\mathbb{C}^m[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_s) \simeq M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s-m). \quad (9.21)$$

Theorem 9.22. *Let $n \geq 2$. For any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}'} \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s-m). \quad (9.23)$$

Proof. By (9.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s) &= \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{C}_s \\ &= \mathbb{C}[N_1^-, \dots, N_{n-1}^-, N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_s \\ &= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{C}[N_1^-, \dots, N_{n-1}^-] \mathbb{C}^m[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_s \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s-m). \end{aligned}$$

□

In what follows, we write

$$\mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] = \mathbb{C}[N_1^-, \dots, N_{n-1}^-] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] = \mathbb{C}[N_1^-, \dots, N_{n-1}^-, N_n^-].$$

Then, by (7.4), the images $\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are given by

$$\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \left(\mathbb{C}^{p+1}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \right), \quad (9.24)$$

$$\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \left(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \right), \quad (9.25)$$

where we have

$$\mathbb{C}^{p+1}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)^{n_+} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d)^{n'_+}. \quad (9.26)$$

Theorem 9.27. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'} \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p \text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j). \quad (9.28)$$

Further, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1})|_{\mathfrak{g}'} \simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p 2 \cdot M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(d+2)) \oplus M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq p+3} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j). \quad (9.29)$$

Proof. Since (9.29) follows from (9.28) as in Theorem 9.11, it suffices to show (9.28).

First observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}^{p+1}[(N^-)', N_n^-] &= \sum_{a+b=p+1} \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^a[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{p+1} \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1-b}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\
&\simeq \sum_{b=0}^{p+1} \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{b-1} \\
&= \sum_{d=0}^p \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d + (1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1}). \tag{9.30}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that (9.20) is applied from line two to line three. Then, by (9.24) and (9.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) &= \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) (\mathbb{C}^{p+1}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p) \\
&= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{p+1}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\
&= \sum_{d=0}^p \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d + \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1} \tag{9.31}
\end{aligned}$$

$$=: (\text{B}) + (\text{A}). \tag{9.32}$$

The second term (A) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{A}) &= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1-c} \\
&= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-j} \\
&= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j). \tag{9.33}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that (9.20) is applied from line one to line two. Also, by (9.19), we have $\mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1} \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(-1)^{n'_+}$, which verifies the identity from line three to line four.

Next, for (B), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{B}) &= \sum_{d=0}^p \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \\
&= \sum_{d=0}^p \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \\
&= \sum_{d=0}^p \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d + \sum_{d=0}^p \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \\
&=: (\text{B1}) + (\text{B2}). \tag{9.34}
\end{aligned}$$

By (9.25) and (9.26), (B1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{B1}) &= \sum_{d=0}^p \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d = \bigoplus_{d=0}^p \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \left(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{d=0}^p \text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}). \tag{9.35}
\end{aligned}$$

The actual realizations of $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j)$ in (A) and $\text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1})$ in (B1) imply that (B1) + (A) = (B1) \oplus (A). We then claim the following.

Claim 9.36. We have (B2) \subset (A) \oplus (B1).

Suppose that Claim 9.36 holds. Then, by (9.32), (9.33), (9.34), and (9.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) &= (\text{B}) + (\text{A}) = (\text{B1}) + (\text{B2}) + (\text{A}) \\
&= (\text{B1}) \oplus (\text{A}) \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{d=0}^p \text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-j),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired isomorphism. Thus, in the rest of the proof, we show Claim 9.36.

Write

$$(\text{C}) = \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d,$$

so that (B2) = $\sum_{d=0}^p \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} (\text{C})$.

First observe that (A), (B), and (C) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{A}) &= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-1} \\
&= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{p+1}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{B1}) &= \sum_{d=0}^p \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \\
&= \sum_{b=1}^{p+1} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1-b}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{C}) &= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{d+1}[(N^-)'] \otimes \mathbb{C}_d \\
&= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^c[N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1-b}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\
&= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1+c-b}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $c - b \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{C}) &= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^b[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1+c-b}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\
&\subset \mathbb{C}[(N^-)', N_n^-] \mathbb{C}^{p+1}[N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\
&= (\text{A}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $c - b < 0$, then $-(p + 1) \leq c - b \leq -1$. Thus, the number $p + 1 + c - b$ is of the form

$$p + 1 + c - b = p + 1 - b_0$$

for some $b_0 \in \{1, \dots, p + 1\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (C) &= \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^b [(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1-b_0} [N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\ &\subset \sum_{b=1}^{p+1} \mathbb{C}[(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^b [(N^-)'] \mathbb{C}^{p+1-b} [N_n^-] \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \\ &= (B1). \end{aligned}$$

As $(B2) = \sum_{d=0}^p \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} (C)$, this shows the claim. \square

Remark 9.37. Here are some remarks on Theorems 9.22 and 9.27.

- (1) The inclusion $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(s - m) \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ is given by the normal derivative $\Phi_{(m,0)}$ as in Theorem 4.22 and 4.23.
- (2) By (9.23) and (9.28), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p) & \supset & M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) & \supset & \text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) \end{array} \quad (9.38)$$

The square (9.38) corresponds to the commutative diagram (7.13) of the factorization identity of $\Phi_{(m,\ell)}$.

- (3) Let M_j for $j = 1, 2$ denote the two copies of $M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(-(2 + d))$ in (9.29) such that $M_1 = \text{Im}(\varphi'_{d+1}) \subset M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d)$. Then (9.29) shows that, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p) & \supset & M_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(d) \oplus M_2 \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \text{Im}(\varphi_{p+1}) & \supset & M_1 \oplus M_2 \end{array} \quad (9.39)$$

This corresponds to the multiplicity-two phenomenon in Theorem 4.23. Namely, the inclusions $M_1 \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ and $M_2 \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ are related to \mathfrak{g}' -homomorphisms $\Phi_{(m,\ell)} = \Phi_{(p-d,d+1)}$ and $\Phi_{(m,0)} = \Phi_{(p+d+2,0)}$, respectively.

10. DIFFERENTIAL SYMMETRY BREAKING OPERATORS \mathbb{D} FOR $(GL(n + 1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$

The aim of this section is to classify and construct differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} for the pair $(G, G') = (GL(n + 1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ with maximal parabolic subgroups (P, P') such that $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ and $G'/P' \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We also discuss G -intertwining differential operators \mathcal{D} and the factorization identities of \mathbb{D} . Those results are obtained in Theorems 10.3, 10.6, and 10.7. In this section we assume $n \geq 2$, unless otherwise specified.

10.1. **Notation.** We start by introducing some notation. Let $G = GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{gl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 2$. Let G' denote the closed subgroup of G defined by

$$G' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g' & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} : g' \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq GL(n, \mathbb{R})$$

with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}'(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X' & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X' \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}).$$

Let $P = \text{Stab}_G(\mathbb{R}(1, 0, \dots, 0)^t)$ and $P' = G' \cap P$. Then P and P' are parabolic subgroups of G and G' , respectively, such that $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ and $G'/P' \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Let M and M' denote the subgroups of P and P' , respectively, defined by

$$M := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \\ & g \end{pmatrix} : \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } g \in SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$M' := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & & \\ & g' & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } g' \in SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}).$$

We write

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{r=2}^{n+1} E_{r,r} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1),$$

$$J'_0 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{r=2}^n E_{r,r} \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$$

and put

$$A_1 := \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}_0), \quad A_2 := \exp(\mathbb{R}J_0),$$

$$A'_1 := \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}'_0), \quad A'_2 := \exp(\mathbb{R}J'_0),$$

where \tilde{H}_0 and \tilde{H}'_0 are the diagonal matrices defined in (3.4) and (3.5), respectively. We then define A and A' by

$$A = A_1 A_2 \quad \text{and} \quad A' = A'_1 A'_2.$$

Let N_+ and N'_+ be the unipotent subgroups defined in Section 3.1. Then $P = MAN_+$ and $P' = M'A'_+N'_+$ are Langlands decompositions of P and P' , respectively.

For $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we define one-dimensional representations $\mathbb{C}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = (\chi^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \mathbb{C})$ of $A = \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}_0) \exp(\mathbb{R}J_0)$ and $\mathbb{C}_{(\nu_1, \nu_2)} = ((\chi')^{(\nu_1, \nu_2)}, \mathbb{C})$ of $A' = \exp(\mathbb{R}\tilde{H}'_0) \exp(\mathbb{R}J'_0)$ by

$$\chi^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} : \exp(t_1 \tilde{H}_0) \exp(t_2 J_0) \mapsto \exp(\lambda_1 t_1) \exp(\lambda_2 t_2),$$

$$(\chi')^{(\nu_1, \nu_2)} : \exp(t_1 \tilde{H}'_0) \exp(t_2 J'_0) \mapsto \exp(\nu_1 t_1) \exp(\nu_2 t_2).$$

Then $\text{Irr}(A)$ and $\text{Irr}(A')$ are given by

$$\text{Irr}(A) = \{\mathbb{C}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} : \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Irr}(A') = \{\mathbb{C}_{(\nu_1, \nu_2)} : \nu_j \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2.$$

For $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \{\pm 1\}^2$, a one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}$ of M is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \\ & g \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{sgn}^{\alpha_1}(\varepsilon) \cdot \text{sgn}^{\alpha_2}(\det(g)),$$

where, for $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{sgn}^\alpha(b)$ is defined by means of

$$\text{sgn}^\alpha(b) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = +, \\ \text{sgn}(b) & \text{if } \alpha = -. \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}$ and $\text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL^\pm(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} &= \{\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes (\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2} \otimes \xi) : (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \xi) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}\}, \\ \text{Irr}(M')_{\text{fin}} &= \{\mathbb{C}_{\beta_1} \boxtimes (\mathbb{C}_{\beta_2} \otimes \varpi) : (\beta_1, \beta_2, \varpi) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}} \simeq \text{Irr}(M)_{\text{fin}} \times \text{Irr}(A)$, the set $\text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}}$ can be parametrized by

$$\text{Irr}(P)_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\text{Irr}(P')_{\text{fin}} \simeq \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2.$$

For $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \xi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, we write

$$I(\xi; \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\alpha = \text{Ind}_P^G (\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes (\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2} \otimes \xi) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)})$$

for the (unnormalized) parabolically induced representation of G . Likewise, for $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \varpi, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \{\pm\}^2 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$, we write

$$J(\varpi; \boldsymbol{\nu})^\beta = \text{Ind}_{P'}^{G'} (\mathbb{C}_{\beta_1} \boxtimes (\mathbb{C}_{\beta_2} \otimes \varpi) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{(\nu_1, \nu_2)}).$$

In the next three subsections we shall state the main results of differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} , G -intertwining differential operators \mathcal{D} , and factorization identities. In Section 10.5, we shall discuss the proofs of the statements.

10.2. Differential symmetry breaking operators \mathbb{D} for $(GL(n+1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$. For $n \geq 2$, we define

$$\Lambda_{GL,j}^{(n+1,n)} \subset \{\pm\}^4 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n-1, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^4$$

for $j = 1, 2$ as follows.

$\Lambda_{GL,1}^{(n+1,n)} := \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\nu}) : (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ satisfies (10.1) below for } \alpha_j \in \{\pm\}, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + m; \alpha_2) \\ \varpi &= \text{triv} \\ (\boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\nu}) &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \lambda_1 + m, \lambda_2) \end{aligned} \tag{10.1}$$

$\Lambda_{GL,2}^{(n+1,n)} := \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\nu}) : (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ satisfies (10.2) below for } \alpha_j \in \{\pm\} \text{ and } \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + (m + \ell); \alpha_2) \\ \varpi &= \text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell \\ (\boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\nu}) &= (1 - (m + \ell), \lambda_2; 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}, \lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

Further, we put

$$\Lambda_{GL}^{(n+1,n)} := \Lambda_{GL,1}^{(n+1,n)} \cup \Lambda_{GL,2}^{(n+1,n)}.$$

Let $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}])$ be the differential operator defined in (4.11).

Theorem 10.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\alpha, J(\varpi; \boldsymbol{\nu})^\beta) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)} & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \Lambda_{GL,1}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \Lambda_{GL,2}^{(n+1,n)}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 10.4. As opposed to the SL case, the multiplicity-one property holds even for $n = 2$.

10.3. Intertwining differential operators \mathcal{D} for $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. For $n \geq 2$, define

$$\Lambda_{GL}^{n+1} \subset \{\pm\}^4 \times \text{Irr}(SL(n, \mathbb{R}))_{\text{fin}} \times \mathbb{C}^4$$

as follows.

$\Lambda_{GL}^{n+1} := \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\gamma}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\tau}) : (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \text{ satisfies (10.5) below for } \alpha_j \in \{\pm\}, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_1 + k; \alpha_2) \\ \varpi &= \text{poly}_n^k \\ (\boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\tau}) &= (1 - k, \lambda_2; 1 + \frac{k}{n}, \lambda_2 - \frac{k}{n}) \end{aligned} \tag{10.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_k \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n])$ be the differential operator defined in (7.1).

Theorem 10.6. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$\text{Diff}_G(I(\text{triv}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\alpha, I(\varpi; \boldsymbol{\tau})^\gamma) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\text{id} & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \text{triv}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \\ \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_k & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}; \boldsymbol{\xi}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in \Lambda_{GL}^{n+1}, \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

10.4. Factorization identities of $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$. We next state the factorization identities of $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$.

Theorem 10.7. *Let $n \geq 2$. Also, let \mathcal{D}'_ℓ , \mathcal{D}_k , and $\widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)}$ be the same differential operators considered in Theorem 7.16. Then, for $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}; \varpi; \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \Lambda_{GL,2}^{(n+1,n)}$, the differential symmetry breaking operator $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ can be factored as in Theorem 7.16, namely,*

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} = \mathcal{D}'_\ell \circ \mathbb{D}_{(m,0)} = \widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)} \circ \mathcal{D}_{m+\ell}.$$

Equivalently, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(\text{triv}; 1 - (m + \ell), \lambda_2)^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_{(m,0)}} & J(\text{triv}; 1 - \ell, \lambda_2)^{(\alpha_1 + m, \alpha_2)} \\ \mathcal{D}_{m+\ell} \downarrow & \searrow \mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} \circ & \downarrow \mathcal{D}'_\ell \\ I(\text{poly}_n^{m+\ell}; 1 + \frac{m+\ell}{n}, \lambda_2 - \frac{m+\ell}{n})^{(\alpha_1 + m + \ell, \alpha_2)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Proj}}_{(m,\ell)}} & J(\text{poly}_{n-1}^\ell; 1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}, \lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1})^{(\alpha_1 + m + \ell, \alpha_2)} \end{array}$$

10.5. Proofs of Theorems 10.3, 10.6, and 10.7. The proofs of Theorems 10.3 and 10.6 and Theorem 10.7 are similar to the ones of Theorems 4.12 and 7.16. Thus we only briefly discuss the proofs of the theorems.

10.5.1. *Proof of Theorem 10.3.* The proofs of Theorems 10.3 and 10.6 utilize the F-method. As the unipotent radicals N_{\pm} for the GL -case are the same as for the SL -case, the system of PDEs to solve is also essentially the same. The only difference is the $M'A'$ -decomposition

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)|_{M'A'} = \mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{M'A'}.$$

Thus, in the present subsection and the next, we only focus on Step 2 of the recipe of the F-method.

As for the SL -case, the following observation would play a role.

Lemma 10.8. *The following hold.*

- (1) $(M', \text{Ad}_{\#}, \mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n]) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times SL^{\pm}(n-1, \mathbb{R}), \text{sgn}^m \boxtimes (\text{triv} \otimes \text{triv}), \mathbb{C})$.
- (2) $(M', \text{Ad}_{\#}, \mathbb{C}^{\ell}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}]) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times SL^{\pm}(n-1, \mathbb{R}), \text{sgn}^{\ell} \boxtimes (\text{triv} \otimes \text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}), S^{\ell}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}))$.
- (3) A'_1 acts on $\mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n]$ by a character with weight $-m$.
- (4) A'_1 acts on $\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}]$ by a character with weight $-\frac{n}{n-1}\ell$.
- (4) A'_2 acts on $\mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n]$ trivially.
- (5) A'_2 acts on $\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}]$ by a character with weight $\frac{\ell}{n-1}$.

Proof. A direct computation. □

It follows from Lemma 10.8 that the decomposition $\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{M'A'}$ is given as

$$\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{M'A'} \tag{10.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigoplus_{m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{C}^m[\zeta_n] \mathbb{C}^{\ell}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}] \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{sgn}^{m+\ell} \boxtimes (\text{triv} \otimes \text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}) \boxtimes \left(-\left(m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell\right), \frac{\ell}{n-1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{10.10}$$

where $\left(-\left(m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell\right), \frac{\ell}{n-1}\right)$ indicates the weight of the character of $A' = A'_1 A'_2$.

As in (5.4), for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \{\pm\}^2$, we write

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}.$$

Then, for $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\boldsymbol{\lambda}})|_{M'A'} \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{sgn}^{\alpha_1 + (m+\ell)} \boxtimes (\text{sgn}^{\alpha_2} \otimes \text{sym}_{n-1}^{\ell}) \boxtimes \left(-\left(\lambda_1 + m + \frac{n}{n-1}\ell\right), -\left(\lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{10.11}$$

We remark that the representations appeared in (10.11) are all inequivalent even for the case $n = 2$.

The rest of the arguments are proceeded exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.14 and Section 5.4. Hence, we omit the details.

10.5.2. *Proof of Theorem 10.6.* As in the previous section, we only focus on Step 2 of the recipe of the F-method.

Lemma 10.12. *The following hold.*

- (1) $(M, \text{Ad}_\#, \mathbb{C}^k[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times SL^\pm(n, \mathbb{R}), \text{sgn}^k \boxtimes (\text{triv} \otimes \text{sym}_n^k), S^k(\mathbb{C}^n))$.
- (2) A_1 acts on $\mathbb{C}^k[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]$ by a character with weight $-\frac{n+1}{n}k$.
- (3) A_2 acts on $\mathbb{C}^k[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]$ by a character with weight $\frac{k}{n}$.

Proof. A direct computation. □

By Lemma 10.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n]|_{MA} &= \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{C}^k[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n] \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{sgn}^k \boxtimes (\text{triv} \otimes \text{sym}_n^k) \boxtimes \left(-\frac{n+1}{n}k, \frac{k}{n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

which shows that

$$(\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})|_{MA} \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{sgn}^{\alpha_1+k} \boxtimes (\text{sgn}^{\alpha_2} \otimes \text{sym}_n^k) \boxtimes \left(-\left(\lambda_1 + \frac{n+1}{n}k\right), -\left(\lambda_2 - \frac{k}{n}\right)\right). \quad (10.14)$$

Clearly, each irreducible constituent appeared in (10.14) is inequivalent. The rest of the proof is essentially the same as [20, Sect. 5] or that of Theorem 5.14. Hence, we omit the details.

10.5.3. *Proof of Theorem 10.7.* The proof of the factorization identities in Theorem 10.7 is also the same as that of Theorem 7.16 in principle. The only thing that one needs to check is the the linear map $\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}$ satisfies $M'A'$ -equivariance also for the GL -case. Thus, in this section, we only show that the $M'A'$ -equivariance of $\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}$.

Recall from (7.7) that, for $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e'], \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n])$$

as

$$\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)} := \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} (e_{\mathbf{l}})^{\vee} \otimes e_{(m,\mathbf{l})} = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \Xi'_{\ell}} \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{l}} \otimes e_{(m,\mathbf{l})}.$$

For $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, we put

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' := \left(1 + \frac{\ell}{n-1}, \lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} := \left(1 + \frac{m+\ell}{n}, \lambda_2 - \frac{m+\ell}{n}\right).$$

Proposition 10.15. *We have*

$$\text{Hom}_{M'A'}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell}[e']_{\alpha} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\boldsymbol{\mu}'}, \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n]_{\alpha} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \mathbb{C}\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}.$$

Proof. As in the proof Proposition 7.9, it suffices to show that $\text{Emb}_{(m,\ell)}$ has the desired A' -equivariance property. For $A' = A'_1 A'_2$, the A'_1 -equivariance is already checked in the proof of Proposition 7.9. Thus, we only consider A'_2 -equivariance.

Take

$$b' = \text{diag}(1, t^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \dots, t^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, 1) \in A'_2.$$

The element b' can be decomposed as $b' = b'_M b'_A$ with $b'_M \in M$ and $b'_A \in A_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} b'_M &= \text{diag}(1, t^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}, \dots, t^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}, t^{\frac{-1}{n}}) \in M, \\ b'_A &= \text{diag}(1, t^{\frac{1}{n}}, \dots, t^{\frac{1}{n}}, t^{\frac{1}{n}}) \in A_2. \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}$ is an $M'A'$ -module by definition, A'_2 acts on $\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu'}$ by a character with weight $\lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1}$. Next, observe that the action of $M'A'$ on $\mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$ is the restriction of that of MA on $\mathbb{C}^{m+\ell}[e', e_n] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$. Thus, for $e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b' \cdot (e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}) &= (b'_M \cdot e_n^m)(b'_M \cdot p(e')) \otimes (b'_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}) \\ &= t^{-\frac{m}{n}} \cdot t^{\frac{\ell}{n(n-1)}} \cdot t^{\lambda_2 - \frac{m+\ell}{n}} (e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}) \\ &= t^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1}} (e_n^m p(e') \otimes \mathbb{1}_{-\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A'_2 acts on $\mathbb{C}^m[e_n]\mathbb{C}^\ell[e'] \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}$ also by a character with weight $\lambda_2 - \frac{\ell}{n-1}$. Now the proposition follows. \square

Since the rest of the arguments is the same as those in Sections 7.2 and 7.3, we omit the details.

Remark 10.16. In the classification of [4], all differential SBOs

$$\mathbb{D}: I(\text{triv}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})^{(+,+)} \rightarrow J(\text{triv}, \boldsymbol{\nu})^{(+,+)}$$

are given by normal derivatives. It seems that the differential SBOs $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ in Theorem 10.3 are missing in their classification for $n = 2$.

Indeed, let $n = 2$. Then Theorem 10.3 shows that, for $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (+, +)$, $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\ell \in 2(1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, we have

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(I(\text{triv}; 1 - (m + \ell), \lambda_2)^{(+,+)}, J(\text{triv}; 1 + \ell, \lambda_2 - \ell)^{(+,+)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)},$$

where the differential operator

$$\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)} = \text{Rest}_{x_n=0} \circ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_2^m} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_1^\ell} \quad (10.17)$$

is not a normal derivative. In fact, since $\frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_1^\ell}$ is the residue operator of a Knapp–Stein operator, the formula (10.17) shows that $\mathbb{D}_{(m,\ell)}$ is a composition of a normal derivative $\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_2^m}$ and the residue operator $\frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_1^\ell}$ of a Knapp–Stein operator.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks to Professor Ali Baklouti and Professor Hideyuki Ishi for their warm hospitality during the 7th Tunisian–Japanese Conference, Geometric and Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces and Applications in Honor of Professor Toshiyuki Kobayashi in Monastir, Tunisia, November 1–4, 2023. He is also grateful to Professor Bent Ørsted and Professor Toshiyuki Kobayashi for stimulating discussions on this paper.

The author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(C) (JP22K03362).

REFERENCES

1. Z. Bai and W. Xiao, *Reducibility of generalized Verma modules for Hermitian symmetric pairs*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), Paper No. 106561, 21pp.
2. M. Fischmann, A. Juhl, and P. Somberg, *Conformal Symmetry Breaking Differential Operators on Differential Forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 268, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020.
3. J. Frahm, *Conformally invariant differential operators on Heisenberg groups and minimal representations*, preprint (2020), arXiv:2012.05952.
4. J. Frahm and C. Weiske, *Symmetry breaking operators for line bundles over real projective spaces*, J. Lie Theory **29** (2019), 511–558.
5. S. Hader, *A Relationship between Differential Intertwining Operators on the Indefinite Orthogonal and Unitary Groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Oklahoma State University, 2022.
6. H. He, *On the reducibility of scalar generalized Verma modules of abelian type*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **19** (2016), 147–170.
7. H. He, T. Kubo, and R. Zierau, *On the reducibility of scalar generalized Verma modules associated to maximal parabolic subalgebras*, Kyoto J. Math. **59** (2019), 787–813.
8. R. Howe and S.T. Lee, *Degenerate Principal Series Representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$* , J. Funct. Anal. **166** (2019), 244–309.
9. J.E. Humphreys, *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category \mathcal{O}* , Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 94, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2008.
10. A. Juhl, *Families of Conformally Covariant Differential Operators, Q-Curvature and Holography*, Progr. Math., vol. 275, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009.
11. T. Kobayashi, *Restrictions of generalized Verma modules to symmetric pairs*, Transform. Groups **17** (2012), 523–546.
12. ———, *F-method for constructing equivariant differential operators*, Geometric analysis and integral geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 598, Amer. Math. Soc., 2013, pp. 139–146.
13. ———, *F-method for symmetry breaking operators*, Diff. Geometry and its Appl. **33** (2014), 272–289.
14. T. Kobayashi, T. Kubo, and M. Pevzner, *Conformal symmetry breaking operators for differential forms on spheres*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2170, Springer-Nature, Singapore, 2016.
15. T. Kobayashi and M. Pevzner, *Differential symmetry breaking operators. I. General theory and F-method*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **22** (2016), 801–845.
16. ———, *Differential symmetry breaking operators. II. Rankin–Cohen operators for symmetric pairs*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **22** (2016), 847–911.
17. T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted, P. Somberg, and V. Souček, *Branching laws for Verma modules and applications in parabolic geometry. I*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1796–1852.
18. T. Kobayashi and B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **238** (2015), no. 1026, v+112.
19. ———, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups II*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2234, Springer-Nature, Singapore, 2018.
20. T. Kubo and B. Ørsted, *On the intertwining differential operators from a line bundle to a vector bundle over the real projective space*, Indag. Math. (N.S.), in press.
21. J. Möllers and B. Schwarz, *Structure of the degenerate principal series on symmetric R-spaces and small representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 3508–3542.
22. R. Nakahama, *Construction of intertwining operators between holomorphic discrete series representations*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **15** (2019), Paper No. 036, 101 pp.
23. ———, *Computation of weighted Bergman inner products on bounded symmetric domains and restriction to subgroups*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **15** (2022), Paper No. 033, 105 pp.
24. ———, *Computation of weighted Bergman inner products on bounded symmetric domains and Parseval-Plancherel-type formulas under subgroups*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **19** (2023), Paper No. 049, 74 pp.
25. V. Pérez-Valdés, *Conformally covariant differential symmetry breaking operators for a vector bundle of rank 3 over S^3* , Internat. J. Math. **34** (2023), Paper No. 2350072, 58 pp.
26. G. van Dijk and V.F. Molchanov, *Tensor products of maximal degenerate series representations of the group $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$* , J. Math. Pures Appl. **78** (1999), 99–119.

FACULTY OF ECONOMICS, RYUKOKU UNIVERSITY, 67 TSUKAMOTO-CHO, FUKAKUSA, FUSHIMI-KU, KYOTO 612-8577, JAPAN

Email address: toskubo@econ.ryukoku.ac.jp