## arXiv:2407.19458v2 [hep-th] 23 Sep 2024 [arXiv:2407.19458v2 \[hep-th\] 23 Sep 2024](http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.19458v2)

## Fermion Masses and Mixings in String Theory with Dirac Neutrinos

Mudassar Sabir,<sup>1,\*</sup> Tianjun Li,<sup>2, 3, 4,[†](#page-4-1)</sup> Adeel Mansha,<sup>5,[‡](#page-4-2)</sup> and Zhi-Wei Wang<sup>1, [§](#page-4-3)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Sichuan 611731, Chengdu, P. R. China

 $^{2}$ CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

<sup>3</sup>School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

<sup>4</sup>School of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, P. R. China

<sup>5</sup>College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering,

Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, P.R. China

Analyzing the supersymmetric Pati-Salam landscape on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold in IIA string theory, we have found only two models that accurately account for all standard model fermion masses and mixings. The models are dual to each other under the exchange of two SU(2) sectors and feature 12 adjoint scalars, the maximum number allowed in the landscape, whose linear combination yields the two light Higgs eigenstates. Dirac neutrino-masses in normal ordering (50.4, 10.5, 6.1) meV satisfying the swampland constraints are predicted, a testable prospect for string phenomenology.

Introduction – Standard Model (SM) fermions appear in chiral representations of the gauge group  $\text{SU}(3)_C \times \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{U}(1)_Y$ . Intersecting D6-branes in type IIA string theory provide a natural mechanism to realize chiral fermions at D-brane intersections [\[1\]](#page-4-4). Family replication results from multiple intersections of D6-branes that fill four-dimensional spacetime and extend into three compact dimensions. The volumes of the cycles wrapped by D-branes determine the four-dimensional gauge couplings, while the total internal volume yields the gravitational coupling. Yukawa couplings arise from open worldsheet instantons, specifically the triangular worldsheets stretched between intersections where fields involved in the cubic coupling reside. These instanton effects are suppressed by  $\exp(-A_{ijk}T)$ , where  $A_{ijk}$  is the area of the triangle bounded by intersections  $\{i, j, k\}$  and T is the string tension [\[2](#page-4-5)]. This exponential suppression explains the fermion mass hierarchies and mixings.

Intersecting D-branes model building with three families and realistic Yukawa textures naturally favors direct products of unitary gauge groups over the simple unitary groups. And the K-theory conditions [\[3](#page-4-6), [4\]](#page-4-7), being mod 4, are more easily satisfied for  $U(2N)$  with  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, the left-right symmetric Pati-Salam group,  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ , emerges as the most promising choice for realistic models. The rules to construct supersymmetric Pati-Salam models on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  orientifold from intersecting D6-branes with the requirement of  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry, tadpole cancellation and the K-theory constraints were outlined in [\[5](#page-4-8)[–7\]](#page-4-9). Similar construction is employed in recent works [\[8](#page-4-10)[–13\]](#page-4-11). In ref. [\[14\]](#page-4-12) the complete landscape of consistent three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold was fully mapped, comprising of 202,752 models with 33 distinct gauge-coupling relations. The viable models with realistic Yukawas split into classes of either 6, 9 or 12 adjoint scalars from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector, whose linear combination yields the two light Higgs mass eigenstates [\[15](#page-4-13)]. The

results for the Yukawa couplings and the analysis of soft terms from supersymmetry breaking for all viable models in the landscape are presented in [\[16](#page-4-14), [17](#page-4-15)].

Recent insights from the swampland program, particularly from the non-SUSY AdS instability conjecture [\[18](#page-4-16)] and the light fermion conjecture [\[19](#page-4-17)] suggests that without additional chiral fermions with tiny masses, neutrinos must be of Dirac-type together with a bound on the lightest neutrino mass given by the cosmological constant scale as,  $m_{\nu}^{\text{lightest}} \lesssim \Lambda^{1/4}$ . The 3D Casimir energy of the SM compactified on a circle receives a positive contribution from the lightest neutrino, which is necessary to avoid unstable non-supersymmetric AdS vacua. This constraint is only satisfied for Dirac neutrinos, which carry 4 degrees of freedom, unlike Majorana neutrinos, which only have 2 and cannot compensate for the 4 bosonic degrees of freedom from the photon and the graviton. This also avoids the inevitable lepton-number violations in the Majorana case. Henceforth, it is crucial in string theory to generate tiny Dirac Yukawa couplings while keeping the other Yukawa couplings and SM gauge couplings unsuppressed. Previous efforts to generate tiny neutrino-masses have focused on Euclidean D2-brane instantons within local models without realistic Yukawa textures [\[20](#page-5-0)[–22](#page-5-1)], see Ref. [\[23\]](#page-5-2) for a recent survey on this issue.

In this letter, we present the only two models in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam landscape from intersecting D6-branes on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold that accurately accommodate all standard model fermion masses and mixings, while also providing a unique prediction for the Dirac-neutrino masses. This necessitates the inclusion of at least twelve adjoint scalars from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector, which is the maximum available in the landscape [\[16\]](#page-4-14). The two light Higgs eigenstates arise from the linear combination of the vacuum expectation values (VEVs)  $v_{u,d}^i = \langle H_{u,d}^i \rangle$  of the twelve adjoint Higgs present in the model.

Majorana-neutrino masses can always be added via

<span id="page-1-0"></span>TABLE I. D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model [22,](#page-1-0) and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 =$  $\frac{5}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{11}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{11}{8}(\frac{5}{3}g_Y^2) = \frac{8}{7\sqrt{3}}\sqrt[4]{2}\,5^{3/4}\,\pi\,e^{\phi_4}.$ 

Model 22		$SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)$							
stack		$\overline{(n^1,l^1)} \times (n^2,l^2) \times (n^3,l^3)$  n <del>+</del>							
$\sigma$	8	$(1,-1) \times (1,0) \times (1,1)$							
b	4	$(-2,5) \times (0,1) \times (-1,1)$							
C	4	$(2,1) \times (1,1) \times (1,-1)$							
	2	$\times$ (1,0) $\times$ (0,2)							

the type-I seesaw mechanism taking Dirac-neutrino mass matrix as an input [\[24\]](#page-5-3), whereby the right-handed neutrino masses can be generated via the stringy instanton effects [\[25](#page-5-4)[–27\]](#page-5-5). To evade the AdS vacua in the case of Majorana neutrinos, the model has 9 SM singlet chiral supermultiplets from the  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_R$  antisymmetric representations which can play the roles similar to the sterile neutrinos [\[28\]](#page-5-6). Here, we only focus on the minimal simplest case with tiny Dirac-neutrinos.

Table [I](#page-1-0) displays the intersection numbers among the three D6-brane sectors  $(a, b, c)$  and an O6-plane (3) sector in the model. The dual model is constructed by exchanging the two  $SU(2)$  stacks b and c. Pati-Salam gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  is higgsed down to the SM gauge group  $SU(3)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(1)_{I3R} \times U(1)_{B-L}$ by assigning vacuum expectation values (VEVs) to the adjoint scalars which arise as open-string moduli associated to the stacks a and c. Moreover, the U(1)<sub>I3R</sub>  $\times$  $U(1)_{B-L}$  gauge symmetry may be broken to  $U(1)_Y$  by giving VEVs to the vector-like particles with the quantum numbers  $(1, 1, 1/2, -1)$  and  $(1, 1, -1/2, 1)$  under the  $\text{SU}(3)_C \times \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{U}(1)_{I_{3R}} \times \text{U}(1)_{B-L}$  gauge symmetry [\[5,](#page-4-8) [29](#page-5-7), [30\]](#page-5-8). This brane-splitting results in SM quarks and leptons as,

$$
F_L(Q_L, L_L) \to Q_L + L,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_R(Q_R, L_R) \to U_R + D_R + E_R + N_R.
$$
 (1)

Similar to refs. [\[27](#page-5-5), [31](#page-5-9)] we can decouple the additional exotic particles.

Yukawa Couplings – Yukawa couplings arise from open string world-sheet instantons that connect three D-brane intersections [\[2\]](#page-4-5). Three-point couplings for the fermions can be read from the following superpotential,

$$
\mathcal{W}_3 \sim Y_{ijk}^u Q_i U_j^c H_k^u + Y_{ijk}^{\nu} L_i N_j^c H_k^u
$$
  
+ 
$$
Y_{ijk}^d Q_i D_j^c H_k^d + Y_{ijk}^e L_i E_j^c H_k^d . \tag{2}
$$

Yukawa couplings for D6-branes wrapping a compact  $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$  space are,

$$
Y_{ijk} \sim \prod_{r=1}^{3} \vartheta \left[ \frac{\delta^{(r)}}{\phi^{(r)}} \right] (\kappa^{(r)}), \tag{3}
$$

with  $r = 1, 2, 3$  denoting the three 2-tori and the arguments of the  $\vartheta$  function are,

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\delta^{(r)} = \frac{i^{(r)}}{I_{ab}^{(r)}} + \frac{j^{(r)}}{I_{ca}^{(r)}} + \frac{k^{(r)}}{I_{bc}^{(r)}} + \epsilon^{(r)} + \frac{s^{(r)}}{d^{(r)}},
$$
\n
$$
\phi^{(r)} = \frac{I_{bc}^{(r)} \theta_a^{(r)} + I_{ca}^{(r)} \theta_b^{(r)} + I_{ab}^{(r)} \theta_c^{(r)}}{d^{(r)}},
$$
\n
$$
\kappa^{(r)} = \frac{J^{(r)}}{\alpha'} \frac{|I_{ab}^{(r)} I_{bc}^{(r)} I_{ca}^{(r)}|}{(d^{(r)})^2},
$$
\n(4)

where  $d^{(r)} = g.c.d. (I_{ab}^{(r)}, I_{bc}^{(r)}, I_{ca}^{(r)})$ ,  $\epsilon^{(r)}$  is a total shift that can be absorbed due to reparameterization,  $s^{(r)} \equiv$  $s^{(r)}(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a linear function on the indices  $i, j, k$ and  $J$  is the *complex* Kähler structure of the compact space  $\mathbb{T}^2$  [\[2\]](#page-4-5). We will not consider any fluxes  $\phi^{(r)}$  by setting all Wilson lines to zero i.e.  $\theta_{a,b,c}^{(r)} = 0$ .

By running the RGE's up to unification scale, considering tan  $\beta \equiv v_u/v_d = 50$  and the ratio  $m_\tau/m_b = 1.58$ from the previous study of soft terms [\[31\]](#page-5-9), the diagonal mass matrices for up-type, down-type quarks and charged-leptons, denoted as  $D_u$ ,  $D_d$  and  $D_e$  at the unification scale  $\mu = M_X$  have been determined as [\[32](#page-5-10), [33](#page-5-11)],

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
D_u = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.0000139 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.00404 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5)
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
D_d = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0280 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}
$$

$$
D_e = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0458 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7}
$$

Experimentally, two of the mass eigenstates  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  are found to be close to each other while the third eigenvalue  $m_3$  is separated from the former pair where  $m_2 > m_1$  by definition. Normal ordering (NO) refers to  $m_3 \gg m_2$  $m_1$  while inverted ordering (IO) refers to  $(m_2 > m_1 \gg m_2)$  $m_3$ ) with constraints [NuFIT 5.3 \(2024\)](http://www.nu-fit.org/?q=node/278) [\[34](#page-5-12)],

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\Delta m_{21}^2 = 74.1 \pm 2.1 \text{ meV}^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta m_{31}^2 = +2505 \pm 25 \text{ meV}^2 \text{ (NO)},
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta m_{32}^2 = -2487 \pm 27 \text{ meV}^2 \text{ (IO)}.
$$
  
\n(8)

Employing the quarks-mixing matrix,  $V_{\text{CKM}}$ , from UTfit  $(2023)$  [\[35\]](#page-5-13) and the leptons-mixing matrix,  $U_{PMNS}$ from [NuFIT,](http://www.nu-fit.org/?q=node/278) we express the up-quark matrix and the charged-leptons matrix in the mixed form as, [\[11](#page-4-18), [24](#page-5-3)],

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\therefore M_u = V_{\text{CKM}}^{\dagger} D_u V_{\text{CKM}} \n\Rightarrow |M_u| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291336 & 0.00122042 & 0.00860765 \\ 0.00122042 & 0.00552729 & 0.0413384 \\ 0.00860765 & 0.0413384 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(9)

$$
\therefore M_e = U_{\text{PMNS}}^{\dagger} D_e U_{\text{PMNS}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow |M_e| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.0361688 & 0.108125 & 0.116698 \\ 0.108125 & 0.458457 & 0.500675 \\ 0.116698 & 0.500675 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix}, (10)
$$
  
\n
$$
D_\nu = m_\nu \begin{pmatrix} m_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_1 \end{pmatrix}, (11)
$$

where we have parameterized the neutrino-masses as  $(m_3, m_2, m_1)$  upto an overall constant  $m_{\nu}$ .

Henceforth, we need to fit  $(6)$ ,  $(9)$ ,  $(10)$  and  $(11)$  to explain the SM fermions' masses and mixings by finetuning the Higgs VEVs against the coupling parameters.

Mass Matrices from Three-Point Functions – From table [I,](#page-1-0) the relevant intersection numbers are,

$$
I_{ab}^{(1)} = 3, \t I_{bc}^{(1)} = -12, \t I_{ca}^{(1)} = -3, I_{bb'}^{(1)} = -20, \t I_{cc'}^{(1)} = 4, \t I_{bc'}^{(1)} = -8 \t (12)
$$

Three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus  $(r = 1)$  with 12 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector. Yukawa <span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>matrices for the Model [22](#page-1-0) are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus. The characteristics and the argument of the modular theta function as defined in [\(4\)](#page-1-3) become,

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} - \frac{j^{(1)}}{3} - \frac{k^{(1)}}{12} + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3},
$$
  

$$
\phi^{(1)} = 0, \qquad \kappa^{(1)} = \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \qquad (13)
$$

where  $i = \{0, \ldots, 2\}, j = \{0, \ldots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \ldots, 11\}$ which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields.

<span id="page-2-5"></span>The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is,

$$
i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \mod 3.
$$
 (14)

Then the rank-3 mass-matrix for the fermions can be determined by taking shift  $s^{(1)} = j$  in [\(13\)](#page-2-2),

$$
Z_{3cl} = \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_9 v_4 + T_6 v_7 + T_3 v_{10} & T_{10} v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 + T_1 v_{12} & T_{11} v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 + T_2 v_{11} \\ T_2 v_3 + T_{11} v_6 + T_8 v_9 + T_5 v_{12} & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 + T_9 v_8 + T_6 v_{11} & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 + T_{10} v_7 + T_7 v_{10} \\ T_7 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_1 v_8 + T_{10} v_{11} & T_8 v_1 + T_5 v_4 + T_2 v_7 + T_{11} v_{10} & T_6 v_3 + T_3 v_6 + T_0 v_9 + T_9 v_{12} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(15)

Г

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi-theta function,

$$
T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \frac{\epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{12}}{\phi^{(1)}} \right] \left( \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \cdots, 11. \tag{16}
$$

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in [\(13\)](#page-2-2) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 64$  and evaluate the couplings functions [\(16\)](#page-2-3) by setting geometric brane position parameters of quarks and leptons to be maximally apart (since modulartheta function is quasi-doubly periodic, it is the natural choice for stabilizing the open-string moduli),

$$
\epsilon_u^{(1)} = \epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0, \qquad \epsilon_e^{(1)} = \epsilon_\nu^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{17}
$$

which yields precise fitting for the following VEVs where  $v_i^u = v_i^{\nu}$  and  $v_i^d = v_i^e$ , for all  $i = 1, \cdots, 12$ ,



<span id="page-2-3"></span>

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u ,
$$
  
\n
$$
|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d ,
$$
  
\n
$$
|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.036169 & 0.00032 & -0.001212 \\ 0.00032 & 0.340547 & -0.000132 \\ -0.001212 & -0.000132 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix} ,
$$
  
\n(19)

$$
|M_{3\nu}| = m_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} T_6^{\nu} v_7^{\mu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_6^{\nu} v_{11}^{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_6^{\nu} v_3^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} ,
$$
  
=  $m_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} 11.0134 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.29873 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.32337 \end{pmatrix} .$  (20)

Therefore, except for the leptons' mixings, we are able to generate all fermion masses and the quarks' mixings just from 3-point Yukawa interactions. Note that in [\(19\)](#page-2-4), we present an approximate fitting for the mixed form of the leptons'-matrix, which will be supplemented with the four-point contribution to account for the leptons' mixings later.

Dirac Neutrino Masses – It is to be noted that the eigenvalues of the neutrinos [\(20\)](#page-3-0) are set by the VEVs  $(v_7^u, v_{11}^u, v_3^u)$  with coupling  $T_6^{\nu} = 1$  upto an overall scale  $m_{\nu}$  that is to be fixed by experimental constraints.  $T_6^{\nu} = 1$  is a nice feature as it avoids extra fine-tuning, given that the neutrinos are already several orders of magnitude lighter than their quark and lepton counterparts.

It is also evident from [\(20\)](#page-3-0) that the model predicts neutrinos to be in normal ordering. The experimental constraints [\(8\)](#page-1-4) for the NO are naturally satisfied by setting  $m_{\nu} = 4.57761 \text{ meV},$ 

$$
\Rightarrow (m_3, m_2, m_1) = (50.4, 10.5, 6.1) \pm 0.1 \text{ meV},
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta m_{21}^2 = 74.0 \text{ meV}^2, \ \Delta m_{31}^2 = +2505 \text{ meV}^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^3 m_i = 67.0 \text{ meV}, \text{ (Dirac w. NO)}.
$$
 (21)

The above prediction of Dirac-neutrino-masses is robust, as the ratios of neutrino-masses are essentially determined by the up-quarks matrix  $(5)$  that serves as an input into the up-quarks mixing matrix [\(9\)](#page-1-2) given that the CKM matrix is now known with high precision. Although the uncertainties in [\(5\)](#page-1-5) can be significant since the unification-scale is not known precisely, however the experimental constraints [\(8\)](#page-1-4) can mitigate these uncertainties. Consequently, the uncertainties in [\(5\)](#page-1-5) translate into the uncertainty in the Kähler modulus  $\kappa^{(1)} = 64 \pm 2$ , while the overall uncertainty in neutrino-masses remains within  $\pm 0.1$  meV.

Remarkably, the predicted values of neutrino-masses also satisfy the swampland-bounds based on the nonsupersymmetric AdS instability conjecture [\[36\]](#page-5-14) which states that the neutrinos must be of Dirac-type with bound on the lightest neutrino dictated by the cosmological constant scale,  $m_1 \lesssim \Lambda^{1/4}$ . In refs. [\[28](#page-5-6), [36](#page-5-14)-41] the 3D Casimir energies corresponding to the compactification of the standard model on a circle were computed resulting in the following bounds:

$$
m_1 < 7.7 \text{ meV (NO)},
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i = 60 - 70 \text{ meV (NO)}.
$$
\n
$$
(22)
$$

Comparing the results from [\(21\)](#page-3-1), our universe avoids AdS vacua in 3D as the mass of the lightest neutrino turns out to be less than the threshold value of 7.7 meV and the sum of the masses of three Dirac-neutrino also falls within the range given by the multiple point criticality principle [\[42\]](#page-5-16) which requires the 3D dS vacuum to be close to the flat vacuum [\[39](#page-5-17)].

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Leptons' Mixings from Four-Point Functions – The four-point couplings in Model [22](#page-1-0) can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the intersection numbers [\(12\)](#page-2-5). There are 20 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 8 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

We consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the shifts  $l = \frac{k}{4}$  and  $l = \frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index k and using values from [\(12\)](#page-2-5) [\[11,](#page-4-18) [43\]](#page-5-18),

$$
\delta = \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l,
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3},
$$
  
\n
$$
d = \frac{i}{I_{bb'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l,
$$
  
\n
$$
= -\frac{i}{20} - \frac{j}{8},
$$
\n(24)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,i}$  on the first torus from the fourpoint functions results in the classical 4-point contribution to the mass-matrix with VEVs  $u_i, w_j$  [\[16](#page-4-14)] and the four-point couplings given by,

$$
F_i \equiv \vartheta \left[ \frac{\epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{20}}{\phi^{(1)}} \right] \left( \frac{12 J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, 19. \tag{25}
$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-quarks matrix precisely, thus we set all up-type VEVs  $u_i^u$  and  $w_j^u$  to be zero. Thus, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons mixing-matrix such that the corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 1/2$  and  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with only considering the following non-zero VEVs,

$$
u_3^d = 0.0158255, \t u_4^d = 0.00615937
$$
  
\n
$$
u_5^d = 0.0254406, \t w_8^d = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow |M_{4e}| = m_\tau w_8^d \begin{pmatrix} 0. & u_5^d F_{17}^e & u_4^d F_{19}^e \\ u_5^d F_{17}^e & u_4^d F_{19}^e & u_3^d F_1^e \\ u_4^d F_{19}^e & u_3^d F_1^e & 0. \end{pmatrix}, \t (26)
$$

which yields the following four-point contribution to be added to the 3-point functions [\(19\)](#page-2-4) as,

$$
|M_{4e}| = m_{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 0. & 0.107805 & 0.11791 \\ 0.107805 & 0.11791 & 0.500807 \\ 0.11791 & 0.500807 & 0. \end{pmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow |M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = |M_e|, \quad |M_{4d}| = 0. \tag{27}
$$

Therefore, we have achieved the precise matching of all fermion masses and mixings in this model together with a definite prediction of tiny Dirac neutrino-masses from 3-point couplings alone, whereas the 4-point couplings are only necessary to account for the leptons' mixings.

Conclusion – We have examined the most realistic class of models in the landscape of three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam intersecting D6-brane models on a  $\mathbb{T}^{6}/(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2})$  orientifold in type IIA string theory. With twelve Higgs from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector, the model precisely accommodates all SM fermion masses and mixings along with a definite prediction for Dirac-neutrino masses in normal ordering  $(50.4, 10.5, 6.1) \pm 0.1$  meV consistent with both experimental constraints as well as the swampland bounds on the mass of lightest neutrino and the sum of neutrinos. Yukawa couplings from the  $\mathcal{N}=2$  sector also evade the dangerous infinite distance limits, thereby avoiding the decompactification of extradimensions. This constitutes the first precise prediction of Dirac neutrino masses from a consistent string theory setup. An experimental confirmation of the heaviest neutrino-mass at  $\sim$  50 meV will thus validate the model.

In our setup, the masses of neutrinos are derived by three-point functions whereas the leptons' mixing need four-point functions which are suppressed by the stringscale  $M<sub>S</sub>$ . These higher-dimensional operators may link neutrino-mixings with the dark-dimension scenario [\[44](#page-5-19)] motivated by the emergent strings conjecture [\[45\]](#page-5-20). Dark dimension relates dark matter (5D gravitons), dark energy ( $\Lambda$ ) and axion decay constant  $(f_a \leq \hat{M}_5)$  with the scale of lightest-neutrino  $(m_1)$ . Taking  $m_1 = 6.1$  meV in the relations  $\hat{M}_5 = m_1^{1/3} M_{\rm pl}^{2/3}$  and  $m_1 = \lambda^{-1} \Lambda^{1/4}$ , the species-scale in 5D is set at  $\hat{M}_5 = 9.7 \times 10^8$  GeV resulting in the size and the thickness of the dark-dimension to be 32  $\mu$ m and 2.0 × 10<sup>-23</sup> cm respectively. No deviations in the gravitational inverse-square law have been detected above 38.6  $\mu$ m at  $2\sigma$  [\[46\]](#page-5-21), however, it is hoped to be probed in near-future.

MS is thankful to Sung-Soo Kim for helpful discussion.

- <span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span>[1] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibanez, R. Rabadan, and A. M. Uranga, Intersecting brane worlds, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/02/047) 02, 047, [arXiv:hep-ph/0011132.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0011132)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[2] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez, and F. Marchesano, Yukawa couplings in intersecting D-brane models, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/07/038) 07, 038,
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>% [arXiv:hep-th/0302105.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0302105) <br>  $\left[ 3\right]$  E. Witten, D-branes and K-theory, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/12/019)  $12$ , 019, [arXiv:hep-th/9810188.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9810188)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[4] A. M. Uranga, D-brane probes, RR tadpole cancellation and K-theory charge, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00787-2) 598, 225 (2001),

[arXiv:hep-th/0011048.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0011048)

- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[5] M. Cvetic, T. Li, and T. Liu, Supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes: A Road to the standard model, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.07.036) 698, 163 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0403061.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0403061)
- [6] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust, and S. Stieberger, Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes, [Phys. Rept.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.04.003) 445, 1 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0610327.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0610327)
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[7] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, P. Langacker, and G. Shiu, Toward realistic intersecting D-brane models, [Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.55.090704.151541) 55, 71 (2005), [arXiv:hep-th/0502005.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0502005)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[8] T. Li, A. Mansha, and R. Sun, Revisiting the supersymmetric Pati–Salam models from inter-secting D6-branes, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-08839-w) **81**, 82 (2021), [arXiv:1910.04530 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04530)
- [9] T. Li, A. Mansha, R. Sun, L. Wu, and W. He, N=1 supersymmetric  $SU(12)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  models,  $SU(4)_C \times SU(6)_L \times SU(2)_R$  models, and  $SU(4)_C \times$  $SU(2)_L \times SU(6)_R$  models from intersecting D6-branes, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 046018 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.046018)
- [10] A. Mansha, T. Li, M. Sabir, and L. Wu, Three-family supersymmetric Pati–Salam models with symplectic groups from intersecting D6-branes, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12411-7) 84, 151 (2024), [arXiv:2212.09644 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09644)
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[11] M. Sabir, T. Li, A. Mansha, and X.-C. Wang, The supersymmetry breaking soft terms, and fermion masses and mixings in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam model from intersecting D6-branes, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2022)089) 04, 089, [arXiv:2202.07048 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.07048)
- [12] A. Mansha, T. Li, and L. Wu, The hidden sector variations in the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric<br>three-family Pati-Salam models from intersect-Pati–Salam models from intersecting D6-branes, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12167-6) 83, 1067 (2023), [arXiv:2303.02864 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.02864)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[13] A. Mansha, T. Li, and M. Sabir, Revisiting the supersymmetric trinification models from intersecting D6-branes, [Commun. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1572-9494/ad565f) 76, 095201 (2024), [arXiv:2406.07586 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.07586)
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[14] W. He, T. Li, and R. Sun, The complete search for the supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6 branes, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2022)044) 08, 044, [arXiv:2112.09632 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09632)
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[15] There also exists a model having 3 adjoint scalars from the bulk, however, tiny Yukawa couplings from the bulk Higgs are argued to be related to the infinite distance limit [\[45\]](#page-5-20) in the moduli space where a light of tower states, dubbed gonions [\[1\]](#page-4-4), appears signalling the decompactification of one or two compact dimensions [\[23](#page-5-2), [47\]](#page-5-22). Instead, the Yukawas originating from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector are insensitive to the bulk moduli and the issue of decompactification does not arise.
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[16] M. Sabir, T. Li, A. Mansha, and Z.-W. Wang, Fermion masses and mixings in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam landscape from Intersecting D6-Branes, (2024), [arXiv:2409.09110 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.09110)
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[17] M. Sabir, T. Li, A. Mansha, and Z.-W. Wang, Susy breaking soft terms in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam landscape from Intersecting D6-Branes, (to appear).
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[18] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Non-supersymmetric AdS and the Swampland, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2017.v21.n7.a8) 21, 1787 (2017), [arXiv:1610.01533 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01533)
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[19] E. Gonzalo, L. E. Ibáñez, and I. Valen-

<span id="page-4-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> [mudassar.sabir@uestc.edu.cn](mailto:mudassar.sabir@uestc.edu.cn)

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>†</sup> [tli@itp.ac.cn](mailto:tli@itp.ac.cn)

<span id="page-4-2"></span><sup>‡</sup> Corresponding author; [adeelmansha@alumni.itp.ac.cn](mailto:adeelmansha@alumni.itp.ac.cn) § [zhiwei.wang@uestc.edu.cn](mailto:zhiwei.wang@uestc.edu.cn)

zuela, AdS swampland conjectures and light fermions, Phys. Lett. B **822**[, 136691 \(2021\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136691) [arXiv:2104.06415 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.06415)

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[20] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, S. Kachru, and T. Weigand, D-Brane Instantons in Type II Orientifolds, [Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083113) 59, 269 (2009), [arXiv:0902.3251 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.3251)
- [21] M. Cvetic and P. Langacker, D-Instanton Generated Dirac Neutrino Masses, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 066012 \(2008\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.066012) [arXiv:0803.2876 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.2876)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[22] L. E. Ibanez and R. Richter, Stringy Instantons and Yukawa Couplings in MSSM-like Orientifold Models, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/090) 03, 090, [arXiv:0811.1583 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1583)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[23] G. F. Casas, L. E. Ibáñez, and F. Marchesano, On small Dirac Neutrino Masses in String Theory, (2024), [arXiv:2406.14609 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.14609)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[24] V. E. Mayes, All fermion masses and mixings in an intersecting D-brane world, Nucl. Phys. B **950**[, 114848 \(2020\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114848) [arXiv:1902.00983 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.00983)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[25] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, and T. Weigand, Spacetime instanton corrections in 4D string vacua: The Seesaw mechanism for D-Brane models, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.02.016) 771, 113 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0609191.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609191)
- [26] L. E. Ibanez and A. M. Uranga, Neutrino Majorana Masses from String Theory Instanton Effects, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/03/052) 03, 052, [arXiv:hep-th/0609213.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609213)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[27] M. Cvetic, R. Richter, and T. Weigand, Computation of D-brane instanton induced superpotential couplings: Majorana masses from string theory, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 086002 \(2007\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.086002) [arXiv:hep-th/0703028.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0703028)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[28] L. E. Ibanez, V. Martin-Lozano, and I. Valenzuela, Constraining Neutrino Masses, the Cosmological Constant and BSM Physics from the Weak Gravity Conjecture, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2017)066) 11, 066, [arXiv:1706.05392 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05392)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[29] M. Cvetic, P. Langacker, T.-j. Li, and T. Liu, D6-brane splitting on type IIA orientifolds, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.12.028) 709, 241 (2005), [arXiv:hep-th/0407178.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0407178)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[30] C.-M. Chen, T. Li, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Type IIA Pati-Salam flux vacua, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.01.039) 740, 79 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0601064.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0601064)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[31] C.-M. Chen, T. Li, V. E. Mayes, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Towards realistic supersymmetric spectra and Yukawa textures from intersecting branes, Phys. Rev. D **77**[, 125023 \(2008\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.125023) [arXiv:0711.0396 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0396)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[32] H. Fusaoka and Y. Koide, Updated estimate of running quark masses, Phys. Rev. D 57[, 3986 \(1998\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.3986) [arXiv:hep-ph/9712201.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9712201)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[33] G. Ross and M. Serna, Unification and fermion mass structure, Phys. Lett. B  $664$ , 97 (2008), mass structure, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.05.014) **664**, 97 (2008), [arXiv:0704.1248 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1248)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[34] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, and A. Zhou, The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations,

[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)178) 09, 178, [arXiv:2007.14792 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.14792)

- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[35] M. Bona et al. (UTfit), New UTfit Analysis of the Unitarity Triangle in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa scheme, [Rend. Lincei Sci. Fis. Nat.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-023-01137-5) **34**, 37 (2023), [arXiv:2212.03894 \[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.03894)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[36] E. Gonzalo, L. E. Ibáñez, and I. Valenzuela, Swamp-land constraints on neutrino masses, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)088) 02, 088, [arXiv:2109.10961 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.10961)
- [37] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis, and G. Villadoro, Quantum Horizons of the Standard Model Landscape, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/078) 06, 078, [arXiv:hep-th/0703067.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0703067)
- [38] J. M. Arnold, B. Fornal, and M. B. Wise, Standard Model Vacua for Two-dimensional Compactifications, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2010)083) 12, 083, [arXiv:1010.4302 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4302)
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[39] Y. Hamada and G. Shiu, Weak Gravity Conjecture, Multiple Point Principle and the Standard Model Landscape, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2017)043) 11, 043, [arXiv:1707.06326 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06326)
- [40] E. Gonzalo, A. Herráez, and L. E. Ibáñez, AdS-phobia, the WGC, the Standard Model and Supersymmetry, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2018)051) 06, 051, [arXiv:1803.08455 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.08455)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[41] A. Castellano, A. Herráez, and L. E. Ibáñez, Towers and hierarchies in the Standard Model from Emergence in Quantum Gravity, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2023)172) 10, 172, [arXiv:2302.00017 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.00017)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[42] In analogy with the transition between ice and water being of first order the slush exists at  $0^{\circ}$ C. Conversely, if the temperature happens to be close to zero, it is because of the existence of such as a slush [\[48\]](#page-5-23). The AdS and the dS vacua are separated by infinite distance in the modulispace [\[49\]](#page-5-24), any transition between them is of first-order.
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[43] C.-M. Chen, T. Li, V. E. Mayes, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Yukawa Corrections from Four-Point Functions in Intersecting D6- Brane Models, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 105015 \(2008\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.105015) [arXiv:0807.4216 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.4216)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[44] M. Montero, C. Vafa, and I. Valenzuela, The dark dimension and the Swampland, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2023)022) 02, 022, [arXiv:2205.12293 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.12293)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[45] S.-J. Lee, W. Lerche, and T. Weigand, Emergent strings from infinite distance limits, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)190) 02, 190, [arXiv:1910.01135 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.01135)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[46] J. G. Lee, E. G. Adelberger, T. S. Cook, S. M. Fleischer, and B. R. Heckel, New Test of the Gravitational  $1/r^2$  Law at Separations down Gravitational  $1/r^2$  Law at to  $52 \mu m$ , [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.101101) **124**, 101101 (2020), [arXiv:2002.11761 \[hep-ex\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.11761)
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[47] G. F. Casas, L. E. Ibáñez, and F. Marchesano, Yukawa Couplings at Infinite Distance and Swampland Towers in Chiral Theories, (2024), [arXiv:2403.09775 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.09775)
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[48] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, Standard model criticality prediction: Top mass 173 +- 5-GeV and Higgs mass 135 +- 9-GeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(95)01480-2) 368, 96 (1996), [arXiv:hep-ph/9511371.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9511371)
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[49] D. Lüst, E. Palti, and C. Vafa, AdS and the Swampland, Phys. Lett. B **797**[, 134867 \(2019\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134867) [arXiv:1906.05225 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.05225)