# On a generalization of Watson's trigonometric sum, some of its properties and its relationship to Dowker's sum

Iaroslav V. Blagouchine\*

## **Abstract**

In this paper we study two finite trigonometric sums  $\sum a_l \csc\left(\pi l/n\right)$ , where  $a_l$  are equal either to  $\cos(2\pi lv/n)$  or to  $(-1)^{l+1}$ , and where the summation index l and the discrete parameter v both run through 1 to n-1 (marginally, cases  $a_l=\ln\csc\left(\pi l/n\right)$  and  $a_l=\Psi(l/n)$  also appear in the paper). These sums occur in various problems in mathematics, physics and engineering, and play an important part in some number–theoretic problems. Formally, the first of these sums is also the so–called  $Dowker\,sum$  of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In the paper, we obtain several integral and series representations for the above–mentioned finite sums, investigate their properties, derive their complete asymptotical expansions and deduce very accurate upper and lower bounds for them (both bounds are asymptotically vanishing). In addition, we obtain a useful approximate formula containing only three terms, which is also very accurate and can be particularly appreciated in applications. Both trigonometric sums appear to be closely related with the digamma function and with the square of the Bernoulli numbers. Finally, we also derive several advanced summation formulae for the gamma and the digamma functions, in which the first on these sums, as well as the product of a sequence of cosecants  $\prod\left(\csc(\pi l/n)\right)^{\csc(\pi l/n)}$ , play an important role.

*Keywords:* finite trigonometric sums, summation formulae, cosecant sums, Watson's sum, Dowker's sum, asymptotical expansions, digamma function, psi function, polygamma functions, bounds, estimates, approximations.

## I. Introduction and notation

## I.1. Introduction

Finite trigonometric sums are an interesting object of study and often appear in analysis, discrete mathematics, combinatorics, number theory, applied statistics and in many other areas of mathematics. They also often occur in applications, especially in physics and in a variety of related disciplines, such as, for example, digital signal processing, computer science, information theory, telecommunications and cryptography, see e.g. [19, Sect. 1.1]. Albeit many finite summation formulae are known and can be found in various handbooks and tables of series, see e.g. [45, 50, 65, 43], such formulae still continue to attract the attention of mathematicians, see e.g. [14, 68, 31, 32, 20, 10, 11, 42, 22, 27, 29, 6, 16, 15, 66, 48, 4, 3, 13, 12, 49, 23, 30, 69, 38]. Indeed, very often, finite trigonometric sums cannot be evaluated in a closed–form at all.<sup>1</sup> In such cases, it may be desirable to have a convenient asymptotic formula; notwith-standing, even the latter may be quite difficult. We, for example, still do not know the asymptotics of many trigonometric sums related to the  $\zeta$ –function.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

Email address: iaroslav.blagouchine@univ-tln.fr (Iaroslav V. Blagouchine)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>By a closed-form expression for the finite sum we mean a compact summation formula with a limited number of terms, which does not depend on the length of the sum.

In 1916 the famous English mathematician George N. Watson [74] considered the finite sum

$$S_n \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} \,, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \,, \tag{1}$$

which often occurs in mathematics, physics, and in a variety of related disciplines. Watson have obtained the complete asymptotic expansion of this sum, allowing to calculate it quickly and accurately for large n (see Remark 1 hereafter). Watson, of course, was not the first who dealt with this sum; however, for convenience, throughout the paper we refer to this sum as Watson's trigonometric sum. The sum  $S_n$  also appeared in many other works, including very recent ones, see e.g. [19, Sect. 1.1, p. 3] for a nonexhaustive list of references. Furthermore, this sum has been found to be so remarkable, that Chen devoted a whole chapter of his book [22, Chapt. 7] to it and to some of its properties. Besides, as noted in [71, Sec. 1], there exist closed–form expressions for much more complicated sums such as, for example,  $\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc^{2p}(\pi l/n)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , or  $\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos^{2q}(\theta l) \csc^{2p}(\pi l/n)$ ,  $q, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , see [22, Chapt. 14], [71, Sec. 1], [65, vol. 1,§ 4.4.6], [66], but still little is known about  $S_n$ . In a recent paper [19] we partially addressed this issue by examining in detail  $S_n$  and by studying its properties. Furthermore, we also investigated some of its generalizations, namely the finite sums

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc\left(\varphi + \frac{a\pi l}{n}\right), \qquad \varphi + \frac{a\pi l}{n} \neq \pi k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sec\left(\varphi + \frac{a\pi l}{n}\right), \qquad \varphi + \frac{a\pi l}{n} \neq \pi(k+1/2), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
(3)

where  $\varphi$  and a are some parameters, the initial phase and the scaling factor respectively. The study of these sums permitted to obtain, *inter alia*, some interesting summation formulae and relations for the digamma function. Besides, we also have discovered that at large n these sums may have qualitatively different behaviour, depending on how the initial phase and the scaling factor are chosen. In particular, as  $\varphi$  and a vary the leading terms of these sums may also change; as a result, as n increases, both sums may become sporadically large. There also were other generalizations and extensions of  $S_n$ , which have been treated in the mathematical literature. For instance, in 1922 Hargreaves [47], extended Watson's investigations to the sum of cubes

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc^3 \frac{\pi l}{n} , \qquad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \tag{4}$$

and obtained its first leading terms when n becomes large

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc^3 \frac{\pi l}{n} = \frac{2}{\pi^3} \left\{ n^3 \zeta(3) + 3n \zeta(2) \left( \ln n + \gamma - \ln \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \right\} + \dots$$
 (5)

In 1924 Watson [74] considered more general sums

$$S_n^{(r)} \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc^r \frac{\pi l}{n}, \qquad n, r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\},$$
 (6)

completed the result of Hargreaves by finding the complete asymptotics of  $S_n^{(3)}$  at large n, proved that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc^r \frac{\pi l}{n} \sim \frac{2n^r \zeta(r)}{\pi^r}, \qquad n, r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \quad n \to \infty,$$
 (7)

and noted that for even r the above sum should also have a closed–form (note also the the latter formula does not apply to the case r = 1, that once again indicates that the sum  $S_n^{(1)} = S_n$  represents quite a

special case).<sup>2</sup> Interestingly, the existence of the closed–form expressions for such sums was also noted by Euler over 170 years before Watson; in particular, the following formula

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\csc^2\left(\varphi + \frac{\pi l}{n}\right) = n^2\csc^2 n\varphi, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varphi \neq \pi(k - l/n), \tag{8}$$

may be credited to Euler, see [19, Sect. 1.1, pp. 5–6]. Making  $\phi \to 0$ , we immediately get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc^2 \frac{\pi l}{n} = \lim_{\varphi \to 0} \left\{ n^2 \csc^2 n\varphi - \csc^2 \varphi \right\} = \frac{n^2 - 1}{3}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (9)

which naturally agrees with Watson's asymptotics (7).<sup>3</sup> For higher even powers r Euler did not explicitly gave closed–form expressions, but indicated a way for obtaining them.<sup>4</sup> Generalizations similar to (6) were also considered by Berndt, Gardner, Alzer, Fisher, Williams, Apostol, Chu, Marini and some others, see e.g. [23], [67, p. 267], [69], and the references given therein. A wide class of sums generalizing  $S_n$ 

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc^{2q} \frac{\pi l}{n}, \qquad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \qquad q \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{10}$$

was used by Dowker [36, p. 772, Eq. 14], and such a sum is sometimes reffered to as *Dowker's sum* [32, Eq. 1.1], [49, Eq. 1.5], [69, Eq. 4.47]. Somewhat similar generalizations were also considered by Chu and by some other authors, see e.g. [23] and the references therein. There exist, of course, many other generalizations of Watson's trigonometric sum, but it is worth noting that sums with secants and cosecants are often very difficult to study, even asymptotically. For instance, Chu [23, p. 137] studying sums similar to (10) remarks that "*However, the resulting expressions will not be reproduced due to their complexity.*" Furthermore, one can recall that we still do not know wherever the *Flint Hills series*  $\sum l^{-3} \csc^2 l$  converges or not [63, pp. 57–59 & 265–268], [2], [76].

The aim of this paper is to investigate another generalization of Watson's sum (1), namely the trigonometric sum

$$C_n(\nu) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n}, \qquad \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$
(11)

and its particular case

$$C_n \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l+1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n}$$
, (12)

which may be obtained from  $C_n(\nu)$  by setting  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}n$  when n is even. If n is odd, then by symmetry  $C_n = 0$ . Note that the particular case  $\nu = 0$  is uninteresting for us, because  $C(n,0) = S_n$ ; the latter sum being already mentioned here and being extensively studied in a series of previous papers, see [19] and the references therein. Thus, everywhere below, except if stated otherwise, we suppose that  $\nu$  is not congruent to 0 modulo n. Remark also that a similar sum with sines

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sin \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = 0, \qquad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{13}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that there are two misprints on p. 580 [74] in the unnumbered formulae defining  $S_n^{(2r+1)}$  and  $S_n^{(2r)}$ : both sums should start with m=1 instead of m=0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>As far as we know, formula (9) has not been given by Euler explicitly in his works, albeit it is a particular case of his results, so that sometimes it may be attributed to other mathematicians, see e.g. footnote 9 in [19], or Remark 2 in [4] and the references thorough

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The reader interested in such a kind of expressions may find them, for example, in [22, Chapt. 14], [65, vol. 1,§ 4.4.6], [66], [69].

by virtue of symmetry. It is also worth noting that formally the sum  $C_n(\nu)$  is Dowker's sum (10) of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Besides, it may also be interesting to remark that formally, the sum  $C_n(\nu)$  is also, up to one term and normalizing coefficients, the *discrete cosine transform* of a sequence of cosecants

$$\left\{\csc\frac{\pi}{n}, \csc\frac{2\pi l}{n}, \csc\frac{3\pi l}{n}, \ldots, \csc\frac{(n-1)\pi l}{n}\right\}$$

and may, therefore, be regarded as the *principal value* of this transform<sup>5</sup>. Furthemore, since the similar sum of sines vanishes identically,  $C_n(v)$  also gives, un to a coefficient, the principal value of the *discrete Fourier transform* and that of the *discrete Hartley transform*. The sums  $C_n(v)$  and  $C_n$  are not only interesting from the theoretical viewpoint, but also occur in applications. For example, the former appears in an important number–theoretic problem related to the *trigonometric Pólya–Vinogradov sum* f(n,k), whose properties still remain little studied.<sup>6</sup> In fact, summing the geometric progression and then using the Fourier series expansion for  $|\sin x|$ , we see at once that

$$f(n,k) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{r=m}^{m+k-1} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i l r}{n}\right) \right| = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left| \sin(\pi l k / n) \right|}{\sin(\pi l / n)} = \frac{2S_n}{\pi} - \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_n(rk)}{4r^2 - 1}, \quad (14)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and k is a discrete parameter running through a complete residue system modulo n.

In the paper we provide several series and integral representations for  $C_n(\nu)$  and  $C_n$ , establish their basic properties, obtain their asymptotical expansions (of two different kinds) and derive very accurate upper and lower bounds for them. We also obtain a useful approximate formula containing only three terms, which is very accurate and can be particularly appreciated in applications. Much as in our previous research [19], we find that these sums are closely connected with the digamma function, and with the square of the Bernoulli numbers, which is quite unusual. Finally, we come to show that there exist summation relations between  $C_n(\nu)$ , the cosecant and its logarithm, as well as the gamma and the digamma functions, viz.

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Psi\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \csc\frac{\pi\nu}{n} = -\left(\gamma + \ln 2n\right) S_n - \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \ln \csc\frac{\pi\nu}{n}, \tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Psi\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \csc\frac{\pi\nu}{n} = -\left(\gamma + \ln 2\pi n\right) S_n - 2\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) C_n(\nu), \tag{16}$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Psi\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) C_n(\nu) = (\gamma + n \ln 2) S_n - n \ln \prod_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \left(\csc \frac{\pi \nu}{n}\right)^{\csc \frac{\pi \nu}{n}}.$$
 (17)

These relations complete the advanced summation formulae for the digamma function, which we obtained earlier in [17, Appendix B, Eqs. (B.6)–(B.11)] and in [19, Eqs. (12)–(15)]. Two latter formulae are particularly beautiful. Equation (16) relates the values of the digamma function, evaluated at points uniformly distributed over the unit interval, to those of the logarithm of the gamma function evaluated at same rational points; formula (17) computes the product of a sequence of the form  $a_l^{a_l}$ , where each  $a_l$  equals the cosecant of a rational part of  $\pi$ .

#### *I.2. Notation and conventions*

By definition, the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  does not include zero. The symbol  $\equiv$  means "is defined by definition as" and should be not confused with the conguence symbol from modular arithmetic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The principal value of a sum, p.v., is defined accordingly to [19, Sect. 1.2].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>For further reading on the trigonometric sum of Pólya and Vinogradov, see e.g. [72, pp. 56 and 173–174], [7, pp. 173–174], [24], [62], [51], [25], [5], [64].

Different special numbers are denoted as follows:  $\gamma = 0.5772156649...$  is the Euler constant,  $H_n \equiv 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + ... + 1/n$  stands for the nth harmonic number,  $B_n$  denotes the nth Bernoulli number. In particular  $B_0 = +1$ ,  $B_1 = -1/2$ ,  $B_2 = +1/6$ ,  $B_3 = 0$ ,  $B_4 = -1/30$ ,  $B_5 = 0$ ,  $B_6 = +1/42$ ,  $B_7 = 0$ ,  $B_8 = -1/30$ ,  $B_9 = 0$ ,  $B_{10} = +5/66$ ,  $B_{11} = 0$ ,  $B_{12} = -691/2730$ ,...<sup>7</sup> The Bernoulli numbers are the particular case of the Bernoulli polynomials, denoted by  $B_n(x)$ , which are defined via their generating function as follows

$$\frac{z e^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} z^n, \qquad |z| < 2\pi,$$

so that  $B_n = B_n(0)$ ; furthermore,  $B_n(x)$  are themselves the particular case of the Bernoulli polynomials of higher order  $B_n^{(s)}(x)$ , viz.  $B_n(x) = B_n^{(1)}(x)$ , see [28, Eq. (4)], [18, p. 16, Eq. (52)], [56, pp. 127–135], [21, p. 323, Eq. (1.4)], [58], [59, p. 145], [60], [9, Vol. III, § 19.7]. We also make use of numerous abbreviations for the functions and series. In particular,  $\lfloor z \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of z,  $\delta_{k,l}$  is the Kronecker delta of discrete variables k and l,  $\lg z$ ,  $\lg z$ ,  $\lg z$ , and  $\lg z$  stand for the tangent of z, the cotangent of z, the hyperbolic cosine of z and the hyperbolic sine of z respectively. Writings  $\Gamma(s)$ ,  $\Psi(s)$ ,  $\Psi_n(s)$  and  $\zeta(s)$  denote the gamma ( $\Gamma$ ) function, the digamma (or  $\Psi$ ) function, the polygamma function of order n and the Euler–Riemann zeta-function of argument s respectively. In order to be consistent with the previous notation of Watson [74, 75] and of some other authors, we denote by  $S_n$  Watson's trigonometric sum (1), and by  $C_n(\nu)$  and  $C_n$  the sums (11) and (12) respectively. Occasionally, we also make use of divergent series; summability, summations methods and their regularity are defined accordingly to Hardy's monograph [46]. Finally, by the error between the quantity A and its approximated value B, we mean A - B.

## II. Basic properties

First of all, we note that albeit  $\nu$  may take only discrete values, if  $\nu$  was a continuous complex variable, then  $C_n(\nu)$  would be analytic in the whole complex plane, except the case  $n \to \infty$ . Furthermore,  $C_n(\nu)$ , defined in (11) only for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1$ , may easily be extended to any integer  $\nu$  by means of the formulae

$$C_n(\nu + mn) = \begin{cases} S_n, & \nu = 0, & m \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ C_n(\nu), & \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, & m \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$
(18)

In addition,  $C_n(\nu)$  has also the following basic properties:

$$C_n(\nu) = C_n(n-\nu),$$
  $C_{2n-1} = 0,$  (19)

$$-C_{2n} \leqslant C_{2n}(\nu)$$
,  $C_n(\nu) \leqslant S_n$ ,  $0 < C_{2n} < S_{2n}$ , (20)

$$C_n(\nu+1) = C_n(\nu) - 2\operatorname{ctg}\frac{(2\nu+1)\pi}{2n},$$
 (21)

$$C_n(\nu+\kappa) = C_n(\nu) - 2\sum_{l=1}^{\kappa} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2\nu+2l-1)\pi}{2n}, \qquad \kappa \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (22)

$$C_n(\nu + \kappa) = C_n(\kappa) - 2\sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2\kappa + 2l - 1)\pi}{2n}, \qquad \kappa \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (23)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>For further values and definitions, see [1, Tab. 23.2, p. 810], [52, p. 5] Note also that there exist slightly different definitions for the Bernoulli numbers, see e.g. [44, p. 91], [54, pp. 32, 71], [74, 77], or [8, pp. 3–6].

$$C_n(2\nu) = C_n(\nu) - 2\sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2\nu + 2l - 1)\pi}{2n},$$
 (24)

$$C_n(\nu) - C_n(\kappa) = -2\sum_{l=\kappa+1}^{\nu} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n}, \qquad \nu > \kappa,$$
 (25)

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) = -S_n, \qquad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n^2(\nu) = \frac{n(n^2-1)}{3} - S_n^2, \qquad (26)$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \cos \frac{2\pi \nu k}{n} = n \csc \frac{\pi k}{n} - S_n, \qquad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \sin \frac{2\pi \nu k}{n} = 0,$$
 (27)

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \operatorname{ctg} \frac{\pi \nu}{n} = 0, \qquad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu C_n(\nu) = -\frac{n S_n}{2}, \qquad (28)$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \ln \sin \frac{\pi \nu}{n} = (\gamma + \ln 2n) S_n + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \Psi\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \csc \frac{\pi r}{n},$$
 (29)

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) = -\frac{\left(\gamma + \ln 2\pi n\right) S_n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Psi\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \csc\frac{\pi \nu}{n}, \tag{30}$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \Psi\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) = (\gamma + n \ln 2) S_n - n \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \csc\frac{\pi\nu}{n} \cdot \ln \csc\frac{\pi\nu}{n}, \tag{31}$$

k = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, which may be obtained without much difficulty from the definition of  $C_n(\nu)$ . For instance, the recurrence relationship (21) is obtained as follows:

$$C_{n}(\nu+1) = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} + \frac{2\pi l}{n}\right) \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \cos\frac{2\pi l}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \csc\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \cos\frac{\pi l}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \cos\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \cos\frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \cos\frac{\pi l}{n} = C_{n}(\nu) - 2\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sin\frac{(2\nu+1)\pi l}{n} = C_{n}(\nu) - 2\cot\frac{(2\nu+1)\pi}{2n},$$

where at the final step we accounted for a well-known result

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sin \frac{\pi r l}{n} = \begin{cases} \cot \frac{\pi r}{2n}, & r = 1, 3, 5, \dots \\ 0, & r = 2, 4, 6, \dots \end{cases}$$
(32)

Using repeatedly (21) for  $C_n(\nu+2)$ ,  $C_n(\nu+3)$  and so on, we obtain (22). Since the sum is commutative, we also have (23). The duplication formula (24) is obtained from (22) by setting  $\kappa=\nu$ . Identities (26) *et seq.* follow from various summation and orthogonality properties of the cosine. For instance, the second of properties (26) is obtained by means of the following orthogonality formula

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\cos\frac{2\pi\nu k}{n}\cdot\cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} = \frac{n}{2}\Big{\{}\delta_{k,l} + \delta_{k,n-l}\Big{\}},$$




Figure 1: The sum  $C_n(\nu)$  as a function of n, where  $n \in [2,300]$ , for two different values of argument:  $\nu = 16$  (solid line) and  $\nu = 73$  (dash–dotted line). We deliberately take values of  $\nu$ , which lie outside the interval defined earlier, in order to observe the overall behaviour of  $C_n(\nu)$ .

where both discrete variables k and l run through 1 to n-1, as well as with the help of (9). The summation formulae involving the gamma and the digamma functions are obtained as follows. Gauss' digamma theorem states that

$$\Psi\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) = -\gamma - \ln 2n - \frac{\pi}{2}\operatorname{ctg}\frac{\pi l}{n} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1}\cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n}\cdot\ln\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n},\tag{33}$$

where l = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, n = 2, 3, 4, ..., see e.g. [17, Eqs. (B.4b)] or [9, Vol. I, Sec. 1.7.3, Eq. (29)]. Therefore

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} C_n(\nu) \ln \sin \frac{\pi \nu}{n} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \ln \sin \frac{\pi \nu}{n}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) + \gamma + \ln 2n + \frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi l}{n} \right\} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n}$$

$$= (\gamma + \ln 2n) S_n + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \Psi\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \csc^2 \frac{\pi l}{n} . \tag{34}$$

Remarking that the latter sum vanishes by virtue of symmetry yields (29). Formula (30) is derived analogously by using Malmsten's variant of Gauss' digamma theorem [17, Eqs. (B.4c)] instead of (33). Finally, identity (31) follows from Gauss' digamma theorem (33) and (28). Note, by the way, that many properties are related to Watson's trigonometric sum.

We conclude this section with two graphs of  $C_n(\nu)$ , depicted in Figs. 1 and 2. It is quite remarkable to observe, see Fig. 1, that as n approaches the values having common divisors with  $\nu$ , as long as  $n < \nu$ , the sums  $C_n(\nu)$  always increase. It can also be clearly observed, see Fig. 2, that  $C_n(\nu)$  reaches the minimum when  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}n = 150$ , see property (20) and remark that  $-C_{300} \approx -132$ , and the maximum at the endpoints, at which  $C_{300}(\nu)$  becomes equal to  $S_{300} \approx 1113$  (we do not show them on the graph, since they are too high). The explanation of these interesting phenomena is given on p. 10 and follows from Theorem 2.




Figure 2: The sum  $C_{300}(\nu)$  as a function of  $\nu$ , where  $\nu \in [0,300]$ . We clearly observe that it verifies the basic property  $C_n(\nu) = C_n(n-\nu)$ , see (19), and that it may take both positive and negative values.

## III. Integral representation

Below, we derive an important integral representation for the sum  $C_n(\nu)$ , which is useful for the establishment of some further properties.

**Theorem 1** (Integral representations for  $C_n(\nu)$ ). The sum  $C_n(\nu)$ , as defined in (11), may be represented via these integrals, containing the discrete Poisson kernel

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = \frac{2n}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+x^n) \cos \frac{2\pi \nu}{n} - x^{n-1} - x}{1+x^n} \cdot \frac{dx}{x^2 - 2x \cos \frac{2\pi \nu}{n} + 1},$$

which may also be written in several alternative forms; for example,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = (n-2\nu) \operatorname{ctg} \frac{2\pi \nu}{n} - \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{ch} \left[ x \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \right]}{\operatorname{ch} \frac{xn}{2} \left( \operatorname{ch} x - \cos \frac{2\pi \nu}{n} \right)} \, dx \,.$$

*Proof.* Let x and  $\varphi$  be two real variables such that  $xe^{i\varphi} \neq 1$ . On summing the geometric progression

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x^l e^{i\varphi l} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left( x e^{i\varphi} \right)^l = \frac{x^n e^{i\varphi n} - x e^{i\varphi}}{x e^{i\varphi} - 1}, \qquad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

and then on separating the real and the imaginary parts, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x^l \cos \varphi l = \frac{x^{n+1} \cos \varphi (n-1) - x^n \cos \varphi n + x \cos \varphi - x^2}{x^2 - 2x \cos \varphi + 1}$$
(35)

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x^{l} \sin \varphi l = \frac{x^{n+1} \sin \varphi (n-1) - x^{n} \sin \varphi n + x \sin \varphi}{x^{2} - 2x \cos \varphi + 1}$$
(36)

Consider now the following well-known result due to Euler:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1+x} dx = \pi \csc p\pi, \qquad 0 < \text{Re } p < 1, \tag{37}$$

see e.g. [43, n° 3.222-2], [37, n° 856.02], [57, p. 170 & p. 172, n° 5.3.4.20-1], [73, p. 125, n° 878]. Setting p = l/n,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , multiplying both sides by  $\cos \varphi l$ , putting  $\varphi = 2\pi v/n \equiv \theta$ , and then summing the result from l = 1 to l = n - 1, we have by virtue of (35)

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \theta l \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x(1+x)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x^{\frac{1}{n}} \cos \theta l \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{n}+1} \cos \theta - x + x^{\frac{1}{n}} \cos \theta - x^{\frac{2}{n}}}{x^{\frac{2}{n}} - 2x^{\frac{1}{n}} \cos \theta + 1} \cdot \frac{dx}{x(1+x)}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n} \cos \theta - y^{n-1} + \cos \theta - y}{(1+y^{n})(y^{2} - 2y \cos \theta + 1)} \, dy$$

$$= \frac{2n}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+y^{n}) \cos \theta - y^{n-1} - y}{(1+y^{n})(y^{2} - 2y \cos \theta + 1)} \, dy,$$
(38)

where we made a change of variable  $y = x^{\frac{1}{n}}$  and then split the interval of integration into two parts [0,1] and  $[1,\infty)$ , the integral over  $[1,\infty)$  being equal to that over  $y \in [0,1]$ . We, thus, have arrived at the first result of the Theorem.

Making once again a change of variable  $y = e^{-t}$  in the last integral in (38), we get

$$C_n(\nu) = \frac{2n}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{(1+y^n)\cos\theta - y^{n-1} - y}{(1+y^n)(y^2 - 2y\cos\theta + 1)} dy = \frac{n}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\cosh\frac{1}{2}tn \cdot \cos\theta - \cosh\left[t\left(\frac{1}{2}n - 1\right)\right]}{\left(\cosh t - \cos\theta\right) \cosh\frac{1}{2}tn} dt.$$
 (39)

The final step, of accounting for the elementary integral<sup>8</sup>

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\operatorname{ch} t - \cos \varphi} = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi - \varphi}{\sin \varphi}, & 0 < \varphi < 2\pi, \quad \varphi \neq \pi, \\ 1, & \varphi = \pi, \end{cases}$$

evaluated at  $\varphi = \theta$ , yields the second result of the Theorem. Note that both results of the Lemma hold only for integer  $\nu$ , although the above method can, of course, be extended to the continuous values of  $\nu$  as well, in which case the resulting expression will be more complicated.

## IV. Series representations

In this section we obtain several series representations for  $C_n(\nu)$ . We begin with four alternative finite series representations.

**Theorem 2** (Finite series representations for  $C_n(v)$ ). The finite sum  $C_n(v)$  and Watson's trigonometric sum  $S_n$  are directly related to each other by means of several formulae, viz.

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n}\cdot\csc\frac{\pi l}{n}=S_n-2\sum_{l=1}^{\nu}\cot\frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n},$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See e.g. [43, n° 2.444-2] or [37, n° 859.163].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The assumption of discrete  $\nu$  permits to greatly simplify our calculations in the second line of (38).

as well as

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = S_n - 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sin^2 \frac{\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n},$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = -S_n + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos^2 \frac{\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n},$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = S_n - \cot \frac{\pi}{2n} + \cot \frac{\pi}{2n} \cdot \cot \frac{\pi}{2n} - 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cot \frac{\pi l}{n} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi l \nu}{n} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi l (\nu + 1)}{n}.$$

*Proof.* The first formula is deduced from property (22). Setting  $\nu=0$ , using (18) and then writing  $\nu$  instead of  $\kappa$ , yields this formula. The second and third equalities follow from the elementary trigonometric identity  $\cos 2\alpha = 2\cos^2\alpha - 1 = 1 - 2\sin^2\alpha$ . Finally, the fourth equality is obtained from the first one in the following manner. From (32) it follows that the cotangent sum may be written in the following form

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k l}{n} - \frac{\pi k}{n}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \left[ -\frac{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k \nu}{n} + \frac{\pi k}{n}\right)}{2\sin\frac{\pi k}{n}} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ctg}\frac{\pi k}{n} \right] \times \cos\frac{\pi k}{n} - \left[ \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi k \nu}{n} + \frac{\pi k}{n}\right)}{2\sin\frac{\pi k}{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \times \sin\frac{\pi k}{n} \right\}.$$
(40)

by virtue of (35) and (36) evaluated at x=1 and  $\varphi=2\pi k/n$ . Then, employing twice (32), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin \frac{\pi k}{n} = \operatorname{ctg} \frac{\pi}{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin \frac{\pi k (2\nu + 1)}{n} = \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2\nu + 1) \pi}{2n}.$$

Inserting latter formulae into (40) yields, after some algebra, the fourth formula of the Theorem.  $\Box$ 

Last three formulae obtained in the preceding theorem are, in some sense, similar. As to the first formula  $C_n(\nu) = S_n - 2\sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n}$ , it is clearly of different type and merits to be briefly discussed. First of all, it immediately implies that  $C_n(\nu)$  cannot be greater than  $S_n$  and lesser than  $-C_n$ , properties that we already gave in Section II, and which follows from the fact that  $\sum \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n}$  increases while  $\nu$  remains below  $\frac{1}{2}n$ , decreases when  $\nu > \frac{1}{2}n$  (when  $l > \frac{1}{2}n$ , the lth term sums with the (n-l)th term, which has the same magnitude and the opposite sign, leading thus to the overall decrease of  $\sum \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n}$ ), and vanishes as  $\nu$  reaches n, see e.g. Fig. 2. It also explains why as long as  $n < \nu$ , the sum  $C_n(\nu)$  increases as n approaches common divisors with  $\nu$  (see Fig. 3). This is due to the sum of cotangents, which not only vanishes at  $n = \nu$ , but as long as  $n < \nu$  is also relatively small each time when  $\gcd(n,\nu) > 1$ . Furthermore, as noted before,  $S_n$  is very well investigated; the study of  $C_n(\nu)$  may, therefore, be reduced to that of  $\sum \operatorname{ctg} \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n}$ . Note, however, that the investigation of the cotangent sums often faces considerable difficulties; furthermore, some of such sums even appear to be directly associated to the study of the Riemann hypothesis [34, 20, 10, 16, 15, 31, 27, 70, 53, 33, 55, 40, 30, 38, 67, 41].

We come to obtain now an infinite series representation for the function  $C_n(\nu)$ , which is useful for the derivation of the asymptotic expansion of  $C_n(\nu)$  at large n. To derive it, we first need to prove the following lemma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Despite the fact that they have been regularly studied at least since Euler's time, see e.g. [19, Sections 1.1 & 4].

**Lemma 1.** *If* Re( $\alpha + b - \beta$ ) > 0 , the following improper integral converges and may be evaluated via a combination of four digamma functions

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\operatorname{ch} \beta x}{\operatorname{ch} b x} dx = \frac{1}{4b} \left\{ \Psi \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{4b} \right) - \Psi \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{4b} \right) + \Psi \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{4b} \right) - \Psi \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{4b} \right) \right\}$$

Proof. Consider the following integral

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\operatorname{ch} \beta x}{\operatorname{ch} bx} dx$$

Multiplying the numerator and the denominator of the integrand by  $2 \operatorname{sh} bx$  yields

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\operatorname{ch} \beta x}{\operatorname{ch} bx} dx = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\operatorname{sh} bx \operatorname{ch} \beta x}{2 \operatorname{ch} bx \operatorname{sh} bx} dx = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\operatorname{sh} [x(b+\beta)] + \operatorname{sh} [x(b-\beta)]}{\operatorname{sh} 2bx} dx.$$

Using twice the well-known formula

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \frac{\sinh \mu x}{\sinh mx} dx = \frac{1}{2m} \left\{ \Psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha + \mu}{2m} \right) - \Psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha - \mu}{2m} \right) \right\}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha + m - \mu) > 0,$$

see e.g. [43, n° 3.541-2], [9, Vol. I, Sec. 1.7.2, Eqs. (14)–(15)], with m=2b and  $\mu=b\pm\beta$  respectively, we immediately arrive at the announced result.

**Theorem 3** (Infinite series representations for  $C_n(\nu)$ ). The finite sum  $C_n(\nu)$ , defined by (11), admits the following series representation

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = \frac{2n}{\pi} \ln\left(2\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right) - \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\} - \frac{2}{\pi} \csc\frac{2\pi\nu}{n} \times \times \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{n}\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{n}\right) \right\} \sin\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n}.$$

$$(41)$$

where the last infinite series converges at the same rate as  $\sum l^{-2} \sin(2\pi \nu l/n)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the second formula of Theorem 1 and let denote  $\theta \equiv 2\pi v/n$  for the purpose of brevity. By expanding  $(\operatorname{ch} x - \cos \theta)^{-1}$  into the uniformly convergent series

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{ch} x - \cos \theta} = \frac{2}{\sin \theta} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-xl} \sin \theta l, \quad \operatorname{Re} x > 0,$$

and by using Lemma 1, we see at once that

$$C_{n}(\nu) = (n-2\nu)\operatorname{ctg}\theta - \frac{2n}{\pi\sin\theta}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sin\theta l\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-xl}\frac{\operatorname{ch}\left[x\left(\frac{1}{2}n-1\right)\right]}{\operatorname{ch}\frac{1}{2}xn}dx =$$

$$= (n-2\nu)\operatorname{ctg}\theta - \frac{1}{\pi\sin\theta}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sin\theta l\left\{\Psi\left(1+\frac{l-1}{2n}\right)-\Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right)+\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{l+1}{2n}\right)-\right.$$

$$\left.-\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{l-1}{2n}\right)\right\} = (n-2\nu)\operatorname{ctg}\theta - \frac{1}{\pi}\left\{2\ln2+\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}\right)-\Psi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\} -$$

$$\left.-\frac{1}{\pi\sin\theta}\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}\sin\theta l\left\{\frac{2n}{l-1}+\Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{2n}\right)-\Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right)+\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{l+1}{2n}\right)-\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{l-1}{2n}\right)\right\}.$$

$$(42)$$

Using two well-known Fourier series in order to evaluate

$$\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \theta l}{l-1} = \cos \theta \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \theta l}{l} + \sin \theta \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \theta l}{l} = \frac{\pi - \theta}{2} \cos \theta - \sin \theta \cdot \ln \left( 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right) , \qquad (43)$$

and employing thrice the duplication formula for the digamma function

$$\begin{split} &\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 2\Psi\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) - 2\Psi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - 2\ln 2 \\ &\Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{l-1}{2n}\right) = 2\Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{2n}\right) - 2\Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{n}\right) + 2\ln 2 \\ &\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{l+1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right) = -2\Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right) + 2\Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{n}\right) - 2\ln 2 \,, \end{split}$$

the last expression in (42) immediately reduces to (41).

Finally, in order to study the behaviour of the general term of series (41) at large index l, we use the Stirling formula

$$\Psi(x) = \ln x - \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2r}}{r \, x^{2r}} - \frac{\lambda B_{2N}}{2N x^{2N}}, \qquad x > 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \quad N = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$
 (44)

For a sufficiently large *l*, we, therefore, have:

$$\Psi\!\left(\frac{l-1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\!\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\!\left(\frac{l-1}{n}\right) + \Psi\!\left(\frac{l+1}{n}\right) \, \sim \, -\frac{n}{l^2}$$

Thus, series (41) converges slightly better than Euler's series  $\sum l^{-2}$ .

## V. Asymptotic studies for large n

In this part we study the asymptotic behaviour of  $C_n(\nu)$  at large n. The results are based on the series representations obtained earlier in Theorem 3 and also permit to derive a useful and quite accurate approximation for  $C_n(\nu)$ , which may be of interest for applications.

**Theorem 4** (Asymptotical expansion of  $C_n(\nu)$  at large n). For any n = 2, 3, 4, ... the following asymptotical expansion holds for the sum  $C_n(\nu)$ 

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = -\frac{2n}{\pi} \ln \left( 2\sin \frac{\pi\nu}{n} \right) + 2\sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{\left( 1 - 2^{1-2r} \right) \pi^{2r-1} B_{2r}}{(2r)! \, n^{2r-1}} \mathscr{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n) +$$

$$+ 2\lambda \frac{\left( 1 - 2^{1-2N} \right) \pi^{2N-1} B_{2N}}{(2N)! \, n^{2N-1}} \mathscr{H}_{2N-1}(\nu/n) , \qquad 0 < \lambda < 1 ,$$

$$(45)$$

where we denoted for the sake of brevity

$$\mathcal{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n) \equiv \frac{d^{2r-1}}{d\varphi^{2r-1}} \operatorname{ctg} \varphi \bigg|_{\varphi = \pi\nu/n} = -\frac{1}{\pi^{2r}} \left\{ \Psi_{2r-1} \left( \frac{\nu}{n} \right) + \Psi_{2r-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\nu}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$= (-1)^r \frac{(2n)^{2r-1}}{r} \sum_{s=1}^n B_{2r} \left( \frac{s}{n} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi s \nu}{n}$$

$$(46)$$

where  $B_r(x)$  are the Bernoulli polynomials. Furthermore, the latter coefficient  $\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n)$  is a negative quantity.

The above formula has several useful applications, two of which are given below as corollaries.

**Corollary 1** (Rough asymptotics of  $C_n(v)$  at large n). At large n the following rough asymptotics hold for the sum  $C_n(v)$ 

$$\cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n}\cdot\csc\frac{\pi l}{n}\sim-\frac{2n}{\pi}\ln\left(2\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right)\,,\tag{47}$$

where  $v \neq \frac{1}{6}n$  and  $v \neq \frac{5}{6}n$ .

**Corollary 2** (Asymptotics of  $C_n$  at large n). Let  $n_0$  and N be natural numbers, such that  $n_0$  is sufficiently large and  $N \neq 1$ . Then, for any even  $n > n_0$ , the sum  $C_n$  admits the following expansion, which at  $n \to \infty$  becomes its complete (or full) asymptotics:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l+1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} \, = \, \frac{2n \ln 2}{\pi} \, + \, 2 \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{\left(-1\right)^{r+1} \left(2^{2r-1}-1\right) \left(2^{2r}-1\right) \pi^{2r-1} B_{2r}^2}{r \, (2r)! \, n^{2r-1}} \, + O \! \left(n^{1-2N}\right).$$

Writing down first few terms, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l+1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = \frac{2n \ln 2}{\pi} + \frac{\pi}{12 n} - \frac{7\pi^3}{1440 n^3} + \frac{31\pi^5}{30240 n^5} - \frac{2159\pi^7}{4838400 n^7} + \dots$$

*If, in contrast, n is odd, then simply* 

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l+1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = 0.$$

**Remark 1.** The asymptotical expansion of  $\sum (-1)^{l+1} \csc(\pi l/n)$  may be compared to that of  $\sum \csc(\pi l/n)$ 

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = \frac{2n}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{2n}{\pi} + \gamma \right) - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{r+1} (2^{2r-1} - 1) \pi^{2r} B_{2r}^2}{r (2r)! n^{2r-1}} + O(n^{1-2N})$$

$$= \frac{2n}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{2n}{\pi} + \gamma \right) - \frac{\pi}{36 n} + \frac{7\pi^3}{21600 n^3} - \frac{31\pi^5}{1905120 n^5} + \dots,$$

which is probably due to Watson, see [19, Theorems 6a,b], and which also contains the square of the Bernoulli numbers. It is also interesting that unlike  $\sum \csc(\pi l/n)$  the asymptotical expansion of  $\sum (-1)^{l+1} \csc(\pi l/n)$  does not contain Euler's constant.

*Proof.* Consider (35)–(36) at x = 1

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \varphi l = \frac{\sin \left( n\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \right)}{2\sin \frac{1}{2}\varphi} - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{48}$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sin \varphi l = -\frac{\cos \left(n\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\right)}{2\sin \frac{1}{2}\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ctg}\frac{\varphi}{2}.$$
 (49)

As n tends to infinity both series diverge, but if  $\varphi$  is not congruent to 0 (mod  $2\pi$ ), they still remain Cesàro summable

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \cos \varphi l = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad (C,1), \qquad \qquad \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sin \varphi l = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{\varphi}{2} \qquad (C,1), \tag{50}$$

since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin\left(n\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\right) = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos\left(n\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\right) = O(1),$$

as  $N \to \infty$ , and hence

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N\frac{\sin\left(n\varphi-\frac{1}{2}\varphi\right)}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}\varphi}\right\}=0\qquad\text{and}\qquad\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N\frac{\cos\left(n\varphi-\frac{1}{2}\varphi\right)}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}\varphi}\right\}=0$$

respectively. Moreover, formulae (50) remain also true if using other *regular summation methods*, such as, for example, Euler summations  $(\mathfrak{E})$  and (E,1), Abel summation (A), Borel summation methods (B) and (B'), etc.<sup>11</sup>

Let us now examine the series expansion for the digamma function given in [9, Vol. I, Sec. 1.17, Eq. (5)]. This series possesses the property that the error, due to stopping at any term, is numerically less than the first term neglected. This means that the same series may also be written in the following form

$$\Psi(x) = -\frac{1}{x} - \gamma + \sum_{m=2}^{M-1} (-1)^m x^{m-1} \zeta(m) + \lambda (-1)^M x^{M-1} \zeta(M), \qquad 0 < \lambda < 1, \tag{51}$$

 $M = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ , which has the advantage to hold for any x > 0, while [9, Vol. I, Sec. 1.17, Eq. (5)] holds only in the unit disc. Using this expansion, as well as (50) and their formal derivatives with respect to  $\varphi$ , the second line of (41) becomes:

$$\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{2n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{l-1}{n}\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{l+1}{n}\right) \right\} \sin\theta l = \\
= -2n \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\theta l}{l^2 - 1} - \sin\theta \cdot \sum_{m=2}^{2N-2} (-1)^m (1 - 2^{1-m}) \zeta(m) n^{1-m} (2\mathscr{C}_{m-1}(\theta) + 2^{m-1}) + R_N(\lambda, n, \theta), \tag{52}$$

where again for brevity we put  $\theta \equiv 2\pi v/n$ , where

$$\mathscr{C}_{m-1}(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & m = 2r - 1, \quad r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2} - 1}}{2} \left( \operatorname{ctg} \frac{\varphi}{2} \right)_{\varphi = \theta}^{(m-1)}, & m = 2r, \quad r \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

$$(53)$$

and where  $R_N(\lambda, n, \theta)$  stands for the remainder. Proceeding with the first sum similarly to (43), one may easily show that

$$\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \theta l}{l^2 - 1} = \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \ln \left( 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right] \sin \theta. \tag{54}$$

**Furthermore** 

$$\left(\operatorname{ctg}\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)_{\varphi=\theta}^{(2r-1)} = \frac{1}{2^{2r-1}} \cdot \frac{d^{2r-1}\operatorname{ctg}\varphi}{d\varphi^{2r-1}}\Big|_{\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\theta} \equiv \frac{\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n)}{2^{2r-1}}.$$
 (55)

Now, employing again (51), we see at once that a part of the last sum in (52) reduces to

$$\sum_{m=2}^{2N-2} (-1)^m (1-2^{1-m}) \zeta(m) n^{1-m} 2^{m-1} = \sum_{m=2}^{2N-2} (-1)^m (2^{m-1}-1) \zeta(m) n^{1-m} =$$

$$= \Psi\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - \frac{n}{2} + \lambda (2^{2N-2}-1) \zeta(2N-1) n^{2-2N}, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$
(56)

Substituting (55) into (53) and (54) into (52), as well as accounting for (56), formula (41) from Theorem 3 becomes

$$C_{n}(\nu) = -\frac{2n}{\pi} \ln\left(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-1} (1 - 2^{1-2r}) \zeta(2r)}{(2n)^{2r-1}} \mathcal{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n) +$$

$$+2\lambda \frac{(-1)^{N-1} (1 - 2^{1-2N}) \zeta(2N)}{\pi (2n)^{2N-1}} \mathcal{H}_{2N-1}(\nu/n), \qquad 0 < \lambda < 1,$$
(57)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Readers interested in a more deep study of the divergent series, are kindly invited to refer to monograph [46]. Note that the use of divergent series for the derivation of asymptotical series is a frequent practice [39, 26, 61, 35].

Interestingly, the latter result depends on 2n rather than on n. Finally, using the famous result

$$\zeta(2r) = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}(2\pi)^{2r}B_{2r}}{2(2r)!}, \qquad r \in \mathbb{N},$$
(58)

established by Euler in the first half of the XVIIIth century, we immediately arrive at the expansion announced in Theorem 4. The second representation of  $\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n)$  in (46), that via two polygamma functions, is obtained by differentiating (2r-1) times the reflection formula of the digamma function  $\pi \operatorname{ctg} \varphi = \Psi(1-\varphi/\pi) - \Psi(\varphi/\pi)$  with respect to  $\varphi$ , and then by setting  $\varphi = \theta/2 = \pi \nu/n$ . The third representation of  $\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n)$  directly follows from the relationship between the derivatives of the cotangent at rational multiples of  $\pi$  and the Bernoulli polynomials, see e.g. [28, p. 218].

Now, retaining only the dominant term in the asymptotical expansion from Theorem 4, we obtain the first formula given in Corollary 1. Note that it is not valid for  $\nu = \frac{1}{6}n$ , nor for  $\nu = \frac{5}{6}n$ , because at these points the argument of the logarithm equals one, but obviously the sum  $C_n(\nu)$  cannot be identically equivalent to zero.

Finally, setting  $v = \frac{1}{2}n$  in the asymptotical expansion from Theorem 4 and remarking that

$$\frac{d^{2r-1}}{d\varphi^{2r-1}}\operatorname{ctg}\varphi\bigg|_{\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\pi} = (-1)^r \frac{2^{2r-1}(2^{2r}-1)B_{2r}}{r}, \qquad r \in \mathbb{N},$$
(59)

see e.g. [28, Corollary 1], as well as bearing in mind that  $C_n = 0$  for odd n, we arrive at Corollary 2.  $\square$ 

## VI. Bounds, inequalities, approximate equalities and an alternative asymptotic expansion

We already have bounds (20), that we have obtained earlier. However, they are very rough and for many problems may be too inaccurate. Below, we provide both upper and lower bounds, which are not only much sharper, but also asymptotically vanishing.

**Theorem 5** (Bounds and inequalities for  $C_n(v)$ ). For  $n \ge 2$  and 1 < k < n, the sum  $C_n(v)$  may be bounded from below and from above in the following way:

$$-\frac{2n}{\pi}\ln\left(2\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right) + A(n,\nu) < \sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\cos\frac{2\pi\nu l}{n}\cdot\csc\frac{\pi l}{n} < -\frac{2n}{\pi}\ln\left(2\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right) + B(n,\nu),$$

where

$$A(n,\nu) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{12n}\csc^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n},$$

$$B(n,\nu) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{12n}\csc^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n} + \frac{7\pi^3}{1440n^3}\left\{1 + 2\cos^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right\}\csc^4\frac{\pi\nu}{n}.$$

**Corollary 3** (Bounds and inequalities for  $C_n$ ). The alternating finite sum  $\sum (-1)^{l+1} \csc(\pi l/n)$  may be bounded from below and from above in the following way:

$$\frac{2n\ln 2}{\pi} + \frac{\pi}{12n} - \frac{7\pi^3}{1440n^3} < \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l+1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} < \frac{2n\ln 2}{\pi} + \frac{\pi}{12n}, \qquad n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l+1} \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = 0, \qquad n = 3, 5, 7, \dots$$

*Proof.* Differentiating m-1 times [9, Vol. I, Sec. 1.9, Eq. (10)] with respect to z, we get

$$\Psi_m(x) = (-1)^{m+1} m! \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+l)^{m+1}} = (-1)^{m+1} m! \zeta(m+1,x), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence  $\Psi_{2r-1}(x) > 0$  for x > 0. Therefore  $\Psi_{2r-1}(x) + \Psi_{2r-1}(1-x) > 0$  for 0 < x < 1. Considering now the definition of  $\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}(\nu/n)$  given in Theorem 4, we see at once that

$$\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right)<0$$
,

whence

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left[\frac{\left(1-2^{1-2r}\right)\pi^{2r-1}B_{2r}}{(2r)!\,n^{2r-1}}\mathscr{H}_{2r-1}\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right)\right] = (-1)^r.$$

Thus, the series in the right–hand side of (45) possesses the usual property concerning the magnitude and sign of the remainder. In other words, it converges (if it does) to the value, which lies between two consecutive partial sums. Setting N=2 and N=3 into (45) and accounting for the sign yields both inequalities stated in Theorem 5. By a similar line of reasoning, we deduce the result announced in Corollary 3.

It may be useful in some cases to have a suitable approximation for  $C_n(\nu)$ . Below, in Theorem 6, we come to provide a simple and accurate approximation, which can be useful for applications. Besides, it is well known that one and the same function may have asymptotic expansions involving different asymptotic sequences [39, p. 13–14], see also [26, 61, 35]. Theorem 7 gives, in this sense, an alternative asymptotic expansion for  $C_n(\nu)$ , which, in some situations, may be more desirable than that obtained in Theorem 4. Since Theorems 6 and 7 are closely related to each other, their proofs are given together.

**Theorem 6** (A simple approximation for  $C_n(\nu)$ ). A good and relatively simple approximation for the sum  $C_n(\nu)$  is given by the following expression

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi\nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} \approx -\frac{2n}{\pi} \ln \left( 2\sin \frac{\pi\nu}{n} \right) - \frac{\pi}{12n} \csc^2 \frac{\pi\nu}{n} + \frac{7n}{480\pi\nu^4} \,. \tag{60}$$

This approximation is quite accurate, the right–hand side  $\sim C_n(\nu)$  at  $n \to \infty$ , and it may be sufficiently good for many situations; notwithstanding, one should bear in mind that the approximation error does not tend to zero as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 7** (Another asymptotic expansion and an accurate approximation for  $C_n(\nu)$ ). The sum  $C_n(\nu)$  admits the following asymptotic expansion

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \nu l}{n} \cdot \csc \frac{\pi l}{n} = -\frac{2n}{\pi} \ln \left( 2 \sin \frac{\pi \nu}{n} \right) - \frac{\pi}{12n} \csc^2 \frac{\pi \nu}{n} + n f(\nu) + \frac{7\pi^3}{21600 \, n^3} + o(n^{-3}) \,,$$

where

$$f(\nu) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ 4H_{2\nu} - 2H_{\nu} - 2\ln\nu - 4\ln 2 - 2\gamma - \frac{1}{12\nu^2} \right\}.$$

It may also be used as a very accurate approximation for  $C_n(\nu)$ , whose error tends to zero as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* From the inequalities established in the previous theorem, it follows that

$$C_n(\nu) = -\frac{2n}{\pi} \ln\left(2\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right) - \frac{\pi}{12n}\csc^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n} + \frac{7\lambda\pi^3}{1440n^3} \left\{1 + 2\cos^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right\} \csc^4\frac{\pi\nu}{n},\tag{61}$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Remarking now that

$$\frac{7\pi^3}{1440\,n^3}\left\{1+2\cos^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right\}\csc^4\frac{\pi\nu}{n}=\frac{7n}{480\pi\nu^4}+\frac{7\pi^3}{21\,600\,n^3}+O\!\left(n^{-5}\right),\qquad n\to\infty\,,$$

where the expression in the left-hand side is clearly always positive, and substituting this result into (61), we obtain

$$C_n(\nu) \approx -\frac{2n}{\pi} \ln\left(2\sin\frac{\pi\nu}{n}\right) - \frac{\pi}{12n} \csc^2\frac{\pi\nu}{n} + nf(\nu), \tag{62}$$




Figure 3: The difference between  $C_n(\nu)$  and its approximation, provided by Theorem 6, as a function of n for  $\nu=7$  (dashed line),  $\nu=10$  (solid line) and  $\nu=16$  (dash–dotted line). Note that the greater the argument  $\nu$ , the better the approximation [roughly the approximation error is proportional to  $\nu^{-6}$ ]. One should also bear in mind that  $C_{100}(7)\approx 53$ ,  $C_{100}(10)\approx 31$  and  $C_{100}(16)\approx 2$ , so that in all these cases the approximation is rather accurate (and it remains such at least for moderate values of n, e.g. for  $n=10\,000$  and  $\nu=7$  the approximation error is about  $-2\times 10^{-4}$ , while  $C_{10000}(7)\approx 34\,541$ ).

where  $f(\nu)$  is a bounded function

$$0 < f(\nu) < \frac{7}{480\pi\nu^4}$$
.

Proceeding analogously with the term corresponding to r = 3 in (45), whose asymptotics

$$\sim -\frac{31}{4032\pi\nu^6}, \qquad n \to \infty, \tag{63}$$

we see that at sufficiently large n and fixed  $\nu$  the function f(n) obeys these inequalities

$$\frac{7}{480\pi\nu^4} - \frac{31}{4032\pi\nu^6} < f(\nu) < \frac{7}{480\pi\nu^4}.$$
 (64)

But numerically, the correction due to the term with r = 3 is almost negligible (e.g. for  $v \ge 8$  it is lesser than 1%). Hence, f(v) is practically equal to its upper bound, whence we get the stated approximation.

Obviously, we can also take into account the contribution of higher terms in the asymptotic expansion from Theorem 4. Remarking that for a sufficiently large n

$$\frac{d^{2r-1}}{d\varphi^{2r-1}}\operatorname{ctg}\varphi\bigg|_{\varphi=\frac{\pi\nu}{2r}} = -\frac{n^{2r}(2r-1)!}{(\pi\nu)^{2r}} + K_r + O(n^{-2}), \qquad r \in \mathbb{N},$$
(65)

where  $K_r$  is a constant term, we obtain

$$f(\nu) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=2}^{N-1} \frac{\left(1 - 2^{1-2r}\right) B_{2r}}{r \nu^{2r}} + \dots$$
 (66)

Recalling the Stirling formula for the harmonic numbers

$$H_n = \ln n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2r}}{r \, n^{2r}} - \frac{\varepsilon B_{2N}}{2N n^{2N}}, \qquad 0 < \varepsilon < 1,$$

we see that (66) is the asymptotical expansion of the difference of two harmonic numbers with some




Figure 4: The approximation error for  $C_{300}(\nu)$  as a function of  $\nu$ , where  $\nu \in [10,270]$  (approximation given by Theorem 6). For the sake of comparison:  $C_{300}(10) \approx +299$ ,  $C_{300}(20) = C_{300}(280) \approx +168$ ,  $C_{300}(50) = C_{300}(250) \approx -3 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $C_{300}(100) = C_{300}(200) \approx -105$ ,  $C_{300}(150) \approx -132$  (see Fig. 2 for the graph of  $C_{300}(\nu)$ ).

additional terms, viz.

$$f(\nu) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{r=2}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2r}}{r \nu^{2r}} - 2 \sum_{r=2}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2r}}{r (2\nu)^{2r}} \right\} + \dots$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ 4H_{2\nu} - 2H_{\nu} - 2\ln\nu - 4\ln2 - 2\gamma - \frac{1}{12\nu^{2}} \right\} \approx \frac{7}{480\pi\nu^{4}}.$$
(67)

Note that since  $\frac{7}{480\pi\nu^4}$  is just an approximation for  $f(\nu)$ , the overall approximation error, given by Theorem 6, linearly grows with n, but with a very small slope, which roughly is inversely proportional to  $\nu^6$ . In contrast, the approximation error of the alternative asymptotical expansion given by Theorem 7 does tend to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . In fact, the latter asymptotic expansion does not contain terms O(1), nor  $O(n^{-1})$  nor even  $O(n^{-2})$ . As to the order  $n^{-3}$ , this term is obtained from the constant term in (65)  $K_2 = -2/15$ , and from the corresponding contribution of the sum in the right–hand side of (45). Quite curiously, this last term does not depend on  $\nu$  at all and may be regarded as a small bias if we study  $C_n(\nu)$  at large fixed n. Finally, it can be reasonably expected that in virtue of (65), the remaining terms in our alternative asymptotics of  $C_n(\nu)$  may be bounded by  $O(n^{-5})$ .

We conclude this Section with several graphs, showing how well the approximate formula, as well as the alternative asymptotics, represent the sum  $C_n(\nu)$ . The difference between  $C_n(\nu)$  and its approximation, provided by Theorem 6, is shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Fig. 3 displays the approximation error as a function of n for three different values of argument  $\nu$ . We see that the approximation error is very small, so that it can be used for many versatile purposes and applications. At the same time, as expected, we observe that it does not tend to zero as n increases; however, even in the worst case, that of  $C_n(7)$ , the approximation error is still very small even for large values of n (note that  $\nu^6 = 7^6 = 117\,649$ ). Fig. 4 shows the approximation error as a function of  $\nu$  at fixed n. Lastly, Fig. 5 displays the error between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>We regret to inform our readers that in the first arXiv version of this paper, there was an error in Fig. 4 due to the bad numerical precision of the CAS Maple. The data used to trace all the figures in the paper were computed by using an old version of Maple (version 12). For all the figures, we used 28-digit precision, but accidentally it was set to only 8 digits for Fig. 4. In principle, the 8-digit precision should be largely sufficient for the correct trace of the figures, but it appears that in some cases Maple 12 fails to correctly compute even the order of magnitude when using the 8-digit precision. Thus, writing in Maple 12




Figure 5: The difference between  $C_n(\nu)$  and its approximation, provided by the asymptotics from Theorem 7, as a function of n for  $\nu = 7$  (dashed line),  $\nu = 10$  (solid line) and  $\nu = 16$  (dash–dotted line). It is clearly visible that the approximation error quickly tends to zero and that it is very small (compare this graph to Fig. 3).

 $C_n(\nu)$  and its alternative asymptotics, offered by Theorem 7 in the same conditions as in Fig. 3, i.e. for the same three arguments  $\nu$  and in the same interval of n. We see that the error is extremely small and quickly tends to zero as n increases. In other words, the asymptotics from Theorem 7 may also be used as a very accurate approximation for  $C_n(\nu)$ , but the other side of the coin is the complexity of calculations, which may considerably grow in size or even become prohibitive.

#### References

- [1] *M. Abramowitz* and *I. A. Stegun*, Handbook of mathematical functions with formula, graphs and mathematical tables [Applied mathematics series n° 55], US Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, 1961.
- [2] M. A. Alekseyev, On convergence of the Flint Hills series, arXiv:1104.5100 [math.CA] (2011).
- [3] J.-P. Allouche, Note on a simple trigonometric equality, The Ramanujan Journal, vol. 64, pp. 529–535 (2024).
- [4] *J.-P. Allouche* and *D. Zeilberger* (appendix written by *Sh. B. Ekhad*), Human and automated approaches for finite trigonometric sums, The Ramanujan Journal, vol. 62, pp. 189–214 (2023).
- [5] *H. Alzer* and *S. Koumandos*, On a trigonometric sum of Vinogradov, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 105, pp. 251–261 (2004).
- [6] *M. H. Annaby* and *R. M. Asharabi*, Exact evaluations of finite trigonometric sums by sampling theorems, Acta Mathematica Scientia, vol. 31B, no. 2, pp. 408—-418 (2011).
- [7] T. M. Apostol, Introduction to analytic number theory, Springer-Verlag New-Yorc Inc., 1976.
- [8] T. Arakawa, T. Ibukiyama and M. Kaneko, Bernoulli Numbers and Zeta Functions, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Japan, 2014.
- [9] *H. Bateman* and *A. Erdélyi*, Higher Transcendental Functions [in 3 volumes], Mc Graw–Hill Book Company, 1955.

evalf( $\epsilon$ (300,34),8), where  $\epsilon$ (n,v) is the approximation error, returns the value -0.3136e-4, while the evaluation of the same error in MATLAB 7.2 returns -5.5337e-011. By increasing the precision, we see that the correct value is that given by MATLAB and it also agrees with Mathematica 8. We apologize for this error, which was beyond our control and which was due to the internal routines of Maple, and recalculated all the data for the graphs for this second arXiv version with the 50-digit precision, and also verified them independently with MATLAB 7.2 and Mathematica 8.

- [10] M. Beck, Dedekind cotangent sums, Acta Arithmetica, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 109–130 (2003).
- [11] *M. Beck* and *M. Halloran*, Finite trigonometric character sums via discrete Fourier analysis, International Journal of Number Theory, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 51–67 (2010).
- [12] B. C. Berndt, S. Sun and A. Zaharescu, Evaluations and relations for finite trigonometric sums, arXiv:2403.03445 (2024).
- [13] B. C. Berndt, S. Sun and A. Zaharescu, Finite trigonometric sums arising from Ramanujan's theta functions, The Ramanujan Journal, vol. 63, pp. 673–685 (2024).
- [14] B. C. Berndt and B. P. Yeap, Explicit evaluations and reciprocity theorems for finite trigonometric sums, Advances in Applied Mathematics, vol. 29, pp. 358–385 (2002).
- [15] *S. Bettin* and *J. B. Conrey*, Period functions and cotangent sums, Algebra Number Theory, vol. 7, pp. 215–242 (2013).
- [16] S. Bettin and J. B. Conrey, A reciprocity formula for a cotangent sum, International Mathematics Research Notices, no. 24, pp. 5709–5726 (2013).
- [17] *Ia. V. Blagouchine*, A theorem for the closed–form evaluation of the first generalized Stieltjes constant at rational arguments and some related summations, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 148, pp. 537–592 and vol. 151, pp. 276–277, arXiv:1401.3724 (2015).
- [18] *Ia. V. Blagouchine*, Three notes on Ser's and Hasse's representations for the zeta-functions, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 18A, Article #A3, pp. 1–45 (2018).
- [19] *Ia. V. Blagouchine* and *E. Moreau*, On a finite sum of cosecants appearing in various problems, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 539, no. 1, pt. 2, 128515 (2024).
- [20] *G. J. Byrne* and *S. J. Smith*, The trace method for cotangent sums, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, vol. 40, pp. 393–401 (1997).
- [21] *L. Carlitz*, A note on Bernoulli and Euler polynomials of the second kind, Scripta Mathematica, vol. 25, pp. 323–330 (1961).
- [22] H. Chen, Excursions in Classical Analysis: Pathways to Advanced Problem Solving and Undergraduate Research, The Mathematical Association of America (MAA), 2010.
- [23] W. Chu, Reciprocal relations for trigonometric sums, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 121–140 (2018).
- [24] *T. Cochrane*, On a trigonometric inequality of Vinogradov, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 9–16 (1987).
- [25] *T. Cochrane* and *J. C. Peral*, An asymptotic formula for a trigonometric sum of Vinogradov, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 91, pp. 1–19 (2001).
- [26] E. T. Copson, Asymptotic Expansions, Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1965.
- [27] D. Cvijović, Summation formulae for finite cotangent sums, Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 215, pp. 1135–1140 (2009).
- [28] *D. Cvijović*, Values of the derivatives of the cotangent at rational multiples of  $\pi$ , Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 22, 217–220 (2009).
- [29] D. Cvijović, Summation formulae for finite tangent and secant sums, Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 218, pp. 741–745 (2011).
- [30] D. Cvijović, Two general families of integer-valued polynomials associated with finite trigonometric sums, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 488, 124057 (2020).
- [31] D. Cvijović and J. Klinowski, Finite cotangent sums and the Riemann zeta function, Mathematica Slovaca, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 149–157 (2000).
- [32] D. Cvijović and H. M. Srivastava, Closed-form summation of the Dowker and related sums, Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 48, 043507 (2007).
- [33] N. Derevyanko, K. Kovalenko and M. Zhukovskii, On a category of cotangent sums related to the Nyman–Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, in: A. Raigorodskii and M. Th. Rassias (eds.), Trigonometric Sums and

- Their Applications, Springer, 2020, 1–28.
- [34] *U. Dieter*, Cotangent sums, a further generalization of Dedekind sums, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 18, pp. 289–305 (1984).
- [35] R. B. Dingle, Asymptotic Expansions: their Derivation and Interpretation, Academic Press, USA, 1973.
- [36] *J. S. Dowker*, Heat kernel expansion on a generalized cone, Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 30, pp. 770–773 (1989).
- [37] H. B. Dwight, Tables of Integrals and Other Mathematical Data (3rd edition), The Macmillan Company, 1957.
- [38] W. Ejsmonta and F. Lehnerb, Some integral-valued trigonometric sums, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, vol. 177, 105324 (2021).
- [39] A. Erdélyi, Asymptotic Expansions, Dover, USA, 1956.
- [40] A. Folsom, Twisted Eisenstein series, cotangent–zeta sums, and quantum modular forms, Trans. London Math. Soc., vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 33–48 (2020).
- [41] *M. Goubi, A. Bayad* and *M. O. Hernane,* Explicit and asymptotic formulae for Vasyunin–cotangent sums, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle Série, vol. 102, no. 116, 2017, pp. 155–174 (2017).
- [42] P. J. Grabner and H. Prodinger, Secant and cosecant sums and Bernoulli–Nörlund polynomials, Quaestiones Mathematicæ, vol. 30, pp. 159–165 (2007).
- [43] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (4th edition), Academic Press, 1980.
- [44] *J. G. Hagen*, Synopsis der höheren Analysis. Vol. 1. Arithmetische und algebraische Analyse, von Felix L. Dames, Taubenstraße 47, Berlin, Germany, 1891.
- [45] E. R. Hansen, A Table of Series and Products, Prentice–Hall, 1975.
- [46] G. H. Hardy, Divergent series, Oxford at the Clarendan press, 1949.
- [47] R. Hargreaves, C. Atomic systems based on free electrons, positive and negative, and their stability, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, ser. 6, vol. 44, pp. 1065-1105 (1922).
- [48] K. N. Harshitha, K. R. Vasuki and M. V. Yathirajsharma, Trigonometric sums through Ramanujan's theory of theta functions, The Ramanujan Journal, vol. 57, pp. 931–948 (2022).
- [49] Y. He, Explicit expressions for finite trigonometric sums, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 484, 123702 (2020).
- [50] L. B. W. Jolley, Summation of Series (second revised edition), Dover Publications Inc., New-York, 1961.
- [51] Y. Kongting, On a trigonometric inequality of Vinogradov, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 49, pp. 287–294 (1994).
- [52] V. I. Krylov, Approximate calculation of integrals, The Macmillan Company, New-York, USA, 1962.
- [53] *J. Lewis* and *D. Zagier*, Cotangent sums, quantum modular forms, and the generalized Riemann hypothesis, Research in the Mathematical Sciences, vol. 6, no. 4 (2019).
- [54] *E. Lindelöf*, Le calcul des résidus et ses applications à la théorie des fonctions, Gauthier–Villars, Imprimeur Libraire du Bureau des Longitudes, de l'École Polytechnique, Quai des Grands–Augustins, 55, Paris, 1905.
- [55] H. Maier, M. Th. Rassias and A. Raigorodskii, The maximum of cotangent sums related to the Nyman–Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, in: A. Raigorodskii and M. Th. Rassias (eds.), Trigonometric Sums and Their Applications, Springer, 2020, 148–158.
- [56] M. L. Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of finite differences, MacMillan and Co., Limited, London, 1933.
- [57] D. S. Mitrinović and J. D. Kečkić, The Cauchy method of residues. Theory and applications, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1984.
- [58] N. E. Nörlund, Mémoire sur les polynomes de Bernoulli, Acta Mathematica, vol. 43, pp. 121–196 (1922).
- [59] N. E. Nörlund, Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung, Springer, Berlin, 1924.
- [60] N. E. Nörlund, Sur les valeurs asymptotiques des nombres et des polynômes de Bernoulli, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 27–44 (1961).

- [61] F. W. J. Olver, Asymptotics and Special Functions, Academic Press, USA, 1974.
- [62] J. C. Peral, On a sum of Vinogradov, Colloquium Mathematicum, vol. 60, pp. 225–233 (1990).
- [63] C. A. Pickover, The Mathematics of Oz: Mental Gymnastics from Beyond the Edge, Cambridge University Press, New-York, 2002.
- [64] C. Pomerance, Remarks on the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality, Integers, vol. 11, pp. 531–542 (2011).
- [65] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series. Vol. I–IV, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1992.
- [66] A. Raigorodskii and M. Th. Rassias (eds.), Trigonometric Sums and Their Applications, Springer, 2020.
- [67] A. Sofo, General order Euler sums with multiple argument, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 189, pp. 255–271 (2018).
- [68] J. R. Stembridge and J. Todd, On a trigonometrical sum, Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol. 35, 287–291 (1981).
- [69] C. M. da Fonseca, M. L. Glasser and V. Kowalenko, Generalized cosecant numbers and trigonometric inverse power sums, Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics, vol. 12, pp. 70–109 (2018).
- [70] *M. Th. Rassias*, Analytic investigation of cotangent sums related to the Riemann zeta function (Ph.D. Thesis), ETH–Zürich, 2014.
- [71] Y. Tong, X. Zeng, S. Zhang, S. Xu and Z. Ren, The estimates of trigonometric sums and new bounds on a mean value, a sequence and a cryptographic function, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, vol. 91, pp. 921–949 (2023).
- [72] I. M. Vinogradov, Elements of Number Theory, Dover Publication, Inc., 1954.
- [73] L. I. Volkovyskii, G. L. Lunts and I. G. Aramanovich, A Collection of Problems on Complex Analysis, Pergamon Press, United Kingdom, 1965.
- [74] *G. N. Watson*, XVI. The sum of a series of cosecants, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, ser. 6, vol. 31, pp. 111–118 (1916).
- [75] G. N. Watson, LVIII. The sum of a series of cosecants, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, ser. 6, vol. 45, pp. 577–581 (1923).
- [76] E. W. Weisstein, Flint Hills series, From MathWorld A Wolfram Web Resource. https://mathworld.wolfram.com/FlintHillsSeries.html.
- [77] W. L. G. Williams, The sum of a series of cosecants, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, vol. 19, no. 126, pp. 402–404 (1935).