

HybridDepth: Robust Depth Fusion for Mobile AR by Leveraging Depth from Focus and Single-Image Priors

Ashkan Ganj*
Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Hang Su†
Nvidia Research

Tian Guo‡
Worcester Polytechnic Institute




Figure 1: Example augmented reality workflow using HYBRIDDEPTH. We can estimate accurate and robust dense metric depth from focal stacks captured by mobile devices for visually coherent rendering.

ABSTRACT

We propose HYBRIDDEPTH, a robust depth estimation pipeline that addresses the unique challenges of depth estimation for mobile AR, such as scale ambiguity, hardware heterogeneity, and generalizability. HYBRIDDEPTH leverages the camera features available on mobile devices. It effectively combines the scale accuracy inherent in Depth from Focus (DFF) methods with the generalization capabilities enabled by strong single-image depth priors. By utilizing the focal planes of a mobile camera, our approach accurately captures depth values from focused pixels and applies these values to compute scale and shift parameters for transforming relative depths into metric depths. We test our pipeline as an end-to-end system, with a newly developed mobile client to capture focal stacks, which are then sent to a GPU-powered server for depth estimation.

Through comprehensive quantitative and qualitative analyses, we demonstrate that HYBRIDDEPTH not only outperforms state-of-the-art (SOTA) models in common datasets (DDFF12, NYU Depth v2) and a real-world AR dataset ARKitScenes but also demonstrates strong zero-shot generalization. For example, HYBRIDDEPTH trained on NYU Depth v2 achieves comparable performance on the DDFF12 to existing models trained on DDFF12; it also outperforms all the SOTA models in zero-shot performance on the ARKitScenes dataset. Additionally, we conduct a qualitative comparison between our model and the ARCore framework, demonstrating that our model’s output depth maps are significantly more accurate in terms of structural details and metric accuracy. The source code of this project is available at <https://github.com/cape-lab/HybridDepth>.

Keywords: Computer Vision, Depth Estimation, Metric Depth Estimation, Augmented Reality

1 INTRODUCTION

Augmented Reality (AR) has numerous use cases in our lives [11, 14] and can significantly change how we are interacting with real world. Depth estimation is a crucial part of AR applications, and

it is used for rendering visually coherent virtual scenes in mobile AR applications. For instance, in an online furniture shopping app, accurately placing a virtual chair next to a physical table requires a precise understanding of their relative distances from the mobile device to manage occlusions and correctly size the chair based on its absolute distance [34]. Figure 1 shows an overview of the usage of metric depth maps in an object placement task.

Depth estimation techniques for mobile AR can be broadly divided into two categories: those that rely on specialized hardware such as light-field cameras [3], LiDAR sensors [2], or time-of-flight cameras [34], and those that utilize only the camera, e.g., monocular depth estimation. While specialized hardware can provide absolute metric depth information, this information is often sparse, and its availability is not guaranteed for all mobile devices. In contrast, monocular depth estimation approaches can predict depth maps even from a single image [9, 4, 5]. While single-image depth estimation offers significant deployment advantages, enabling easy integration into various AR scenarios, it inherently suffers from scale ambiguity and generalization issues. These problems become particularly noticeable when such models are applied to real-world settings. Notably, prior work [10] showed that state-of-the-art models like Zoedepth [5] have demonstrated substantial difficulties in maintaining performance consistency across diverse real-world environments on an AR-specific dataset ARKitScenes [3].

Monocular depth estimation techniques are further categorized into metric depth and relative depth methods. Metric depth models, often trained on a limited number of datasets, tend to overfit and perform poorly in unseen environments or depth ranges. On the other hand, relative depth models excel in generalization and output more geometrically accurate depth maps; they are typically easier to train on larger and more varied datasets because they focus solely on the spatial relationships between elements within an image, removing the scale factor from the equation. However, they do not provide metric depth information, which is critical in AR applications where precise physical measurements are essential.

In this paper, we investigate the problem of providing robust metric monocular depth estimation for real-world scenarios. In order to achieve this, we need to solve two major challenges: 1) the scale ambiguity problem and 2) generalization. We propose a combined single-image relative and metric depth solution, called HYBRIDDEPTH, to address both challenges. We choose to leverage the single-image priors from the relative depth estimation models because they are very good at generalizing across diverse environ-

*e-mail: aganj@wpi.edu

†e-mail: hangsu@nvidia.com

‡e-mail: tian@wpi.edu

ments and capturing essential geometrical details. Furthermore, we explore the depth from the focal stack (DFF) methods because they are very good at capturing metric depth but often suffer from poor generalization.

To combine the strengths of both approaches, our work introduces a novel integration strategy that merges the reliable generalization and structural accuracy of relative depth models with the metric precision of DFF methods. HYBRIDDEPTH is designed to deliver excellent zero-shot performance, effectively generalizing to unseen data or scenes, by using a three-stage approach:

1. **Capture results of metric and relative branches:** Initially, we obtain outputs from both relative depth and metric depth models.
2. **Least-Squares Fitting:** We then perform a least-squares fitting of the relative depth estimates against the metric depths obtained from the DFF models. This method aligns the scale of the relative depths to the absolute scales provided by the metric depths, creating an intermediate metric depth map that combines the generalization strengths of relative depths with the accuracy of DFF metrics.
3. **Refinement Layer:** Finally, a deep learning-based refinement layer is applied. This layer adjusts and fine-tunes the intermediate depth maps, smoothing out anomalies and improving the overall accuracy of the depth predictions.

We have conducted comprehensive experiments to evaluate our method on well-known datasets such as NYU Depth v2, DDFF12, and a challenging AR-specific dataset, ARKitScenes. Additionally, we performed a qualitative comparison between our method and ARCore [1]. Our results demonstrate that our pipeline HYBRIDDEPTH outperforms SOTA methods, including the recent DepthAnything work [33], in terms of zero-shot evaluation and trained performance. Specifically, we achieve a 13% improvement on average of the RMSE and AbsRel metrics on the NYU Depth v2 dataset. Moreover, our zero-shot performance on the ARKitScenes dataset shows a 35% improvement compared to existing SOTA methods.

In summary, our main contributions are:

- We design and implement an end-to-end pipeline HYBRIDDEPTH that demonstrates the feasibility and potential of fusing focal stack information with relative depth to achieve robust metric depth estimation.
- HYBRIDDEPTH establishes new SOTA results on three distinct datasets, i.e., NYU Depth v2, DDFF12, ARKitScenes.
- We showcase HYBRIDDEPTH’s good generalization performance on the AR-specific dataset ARKitScenes, and better accuracy in overall structural depth map quality in comparison to current SOTA methods and ARCore.

2 RELATED WORK

Relative depth estimation identifies the relative order of pixels with respect to each other but does not provide any scale or metric information. This specific formulation of problem simplifies training on large datasets, enhances model generalization. For instance, models like Midas [6, 23], DPT [22], and DepthAnything [33] have made a good progress in zero-shot performance by using a novel scale-invariant loss function, enabling training on datasets captured with various hardware devices, and new way of using data from different domains. Also, these models are very good at maintaining structural and segmentation accuracy, which is crucial for many AR tasks. Our work leverages the recent advancements in relative depth in zero-shot performance [33] as the basis for achieving robust metric depth performance.

Single-Image Metric Depth Estimation aims to provide exact depth values in physical units, such as meters, by only using one image. Researchers tried to solve this problem by using different approaches. Models like ZoeDepth [5], AdaBin [9], and LocalBin [4] address the metric depth problem by treating the metric regression task as a classification problem or trying to use the generalizability of relative depth models on a single RGB image. However, previous work [10] showed their performance under unseen data is not good.

Depth from focus (DFF) estimates depth by identifying the focus distance at which each pixel is most sharply defined. The sharpest focus point provides the depth for those pixels, while areas outside the focal plane appear blurry, creating a circle of confusion (CoC). Traditional DFF approaches [27, 21] have utilized this idea to capture depth for a long time. However, traditional methods usually require capturing a large number of images to form a comprehensive focal stack a process often impractical due to the extensive data and time required. So new deep learning-based methods [17, 32, 13, 28] have been developed to solve this problem by finding the best focal plane for each pixel more efficiently. However, these deep learning models face significant challenges, especially when a suitable focal plane candidate is not available within the available data. Under such conditions, the models are going to estimate depth based on incomplete information, leading to potential inaccuracies.

3 HYBRIDDEPTH: ROBUST METRIC DEPTH ESTIMATION

Problem Formulation. The primary goal is to estimate metric depth using only mobile phone cameras and their common features. This task involves determining the actual 3D metric information, i.e., the distance(depth) between camera center and the 3D content depicted by each pixel. This is a particularly challenging task due to the information loss that happens while capturing images. To produce accurate metric depth for mobile AR in the real world, our method must generalize effectively across a diverse range of real-world environments and provide good geometric accuracy in output depth maps to maintain visual coherence and realistic interaction.

Solution. Figure 2 illustrates our solution HYBRIDDEPTH to this problem. HYBRIDDEPTH is a comprehensive depth estimation pipeline designed to leverage the unique capabilities of both Depth from Focus (DFF) and relative depth estimation. The design of HYBRIDDEPTH follows notable prior works [29, 32], but with novel modifications in *loss functions* (§4.1.1), *training procedure* (§4.1), and *improved refinement strategy* (§3.3) to optimize for focal stack processing. HYBRIDDEPTH (Figure 2) consists of three main stages. The network takes as input a focal stack, from which we select a single frame as the input for the relative depth branch and feed the entire stack into the DFF branch. The output from the relative depth branch forms the foundation of our depth map. Unlike other approaches [5, 25] that attempt to reconstruct the depth map entirely by using extracted features from the relative depth branch, our pipeline focuses on scaling the relative depth into metric depth and refining it further.

Our method aims to preserve the structural accuracy and generalizability of relative depth models, making it adaptable for use across various scenes and mobile devices. The modular design of HYBRIDDEPTH enhances flexibility, allowing each component (i.e., the DFF and the relative depth models) to be independently updated or replaced, thereby continuously improving performance. This adaptability is essential for maintaining precision and efficiency in mobile augmented reality applications.

3.1 Capturing Relative and Metric Depth ①

The first phase of our approach involves two key modules selected to generate the necessary intermediate data for the entire depth estimation pipeline: the Single-Image Relative Depth Estimator and




Figure 2: An overview of HYBRIDDEPTH which consists of three stages: (1) capture a focal stack and pass the frames through two branches; (2) calculate scale and shift based on estimated relative and metric depth maps using least-squares fitting; (3) input a globally scaled depth map and a processed version of the Metric DFF branch output to the refinement model to output the updated scale map, which will be applied to the globally scaled depth map to get the final depth map.

the DFF Metric Depth Estimator. In the subsequent stages, we will use the metric information provided by the DFF module and fuse it with the relative depth map generated by the Single-Image module.

Single-Image Relative Depth Estimator. This module generates a relative depth map, which serves as the foundational layer for our depth estimation process. By using this depth map as a base, we ensure that the final output maintains structural integrity, producing sharp, well-defined edges and preserving object boundaries.

DFF Metric Depth Estimator. This module provides the critical scale and metric information necessary to convert the relative depth map into a metric depth map. Given a focal stack as input, the DFF module produces a dense metric depth map of the scene. This metric depth map is then used to convert the relative depth map from the Single-Image Relative Depth Estimator to the metric depth map.

3.2 Fusing Relative and Metric Depth information ②

This is the first step we are trying to mathematically fuse metric information from DFF branch to the relative depth.

The Global Scale and Shift alignment. The global scaler mathematically transforms relative depth data into metric depth by applying scale and shift adjustments. The relationship between metric and relative depth can be modeled by a simple linear transformation based on Equation (1)

$$\text{Metric Depth} = \text{Scale} \times \text{Relative Depth} + \text{Shift} \quad (1)$$

Here, the Scale and Shift parameters are calculated by using the Metric Depth value of DFF and using the least-squares fitting technique to ensure that the conversion from relative to metric depth accurately reflects the true physical distances, without losing too much detail and accuracy. This least-squares fitting approach tries to align the relative depth predictions with the DFF output, optimizing the scaling and shifting parameters to minimize discrepancies. This module produces our first intermediate metric depth output, which is visually very similar to relative depth.

Our approach to integrating relative depth information into the depth estimation pipeline preserves the original relative depth map’s integrity, unlike conventional methods [5, 33] that often alter the fundamental depth relationships captured in the relative depth map, potentially compromising the structural integrity and detail initially present. In contrast, by converting each pixel value to its metric equivalent while maintaining relative depth relationships, we avoid distortions and ensure high-quality depth maps. This method

effectively combines the strengths of relative depth estimation with precise metric information, providing a robust solution for applications like augmented reality, where accurate depth measurements and realistic scene geometry and segmentation are crucial.

3.3 Refinement ③

Global scale and shift alignment can introduce errors, as it attempts to convert the entire relative depth map to metric depth using just two numbers. This simplification can lead to inaccuracies in some pixels and regions. Our experiments indicate that certain regions in the globally scaled depth maps can benefit from localized scale refinements. To address this, we first calculate the scale difference between the globally scaled depth map from step two and the DFF branch output from step one. This allows us to build a new scale map by dividing these two depth maps. However, since both the DFF branch and the globally scaled depth maps can contain errors, the resulting scale map may also be imprecise. Consequently, we introduce a refinement layer that acts as a local scale improvement layer, applying scale corrections to different pixels of the globally scaled depth map using the scale map derived from DFF.

The Scale Refinement Layer. To construct this layer, we utilize a customized version of MiDaS-small [24], similar to the model used in [29], to correct scale errors in individual pixels. Our refinement approach differs from that of [29], which deals with sparse depth and uses scale regression to fill empty regions of the scale map (comes from sparse depth). Instead, we leverage all the depth values from the DFF to build a scale map based on the globally scaled depth map. This method allows us to effectively use all the depth values from DFF to apply scale refinement to each pixel of the globally scaled depth map. We feed it an input of two concatenated data channels: the globally scaled depth map and the DFF-derived scale map. This approach allows the scale refinement model to learn and apply local scale adjustments, enhancing the overall accuracy of the depth map.

4 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Our model is implemented using the PyTorch framework. For real-world testing, we develop a mobile client using the Android Camera2 API to capture focal stacks, coupled with an edge server equipped with an NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPU for inference.

4.1 Training

We train our models using the AdamW optimizer, configured with hyperparameters $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.999$, and $\lambda = 0.001$. Training

involves different learning rates adjusted according to the dataset: 3×10^{-4} for the NYU Depth dataset, 1×10^{-5} for DDFF12, and 1×10^{-4} for ARKitScenes. We use one NVIDIA A100 40GB GPU for training, with dataset-specific batch size: 24 for NYU Depth v2, 8 for DDFF12, and 12 for ARKitScenes. We trained the model until the validation loss converges and pick the one with lowest loss.

We use the original data size for NYU Depth v2 and resized the input data for ARKitScenes to 480×640 pixels for consistency between the sizes for zero-shot evaluation. For DDFF12, the input size is set to 224×224 pixels with random crop and flip augmentations applied for training but used the original image size of 383×552 for evaluation like other DFF-based methods [32]. We arrange frames in focal stacks in ascending order of focal distance to maintain consistency in depth processing across all frames.

4.1.1 Loss Function

Prior work like VI-Depth [29] utilizes L1 loss as their regression task loss function. However, we observe that L1 loss is sensitive to changes in distance ranges, hindering zero-shot performances. To address this issue, we adopt the *scale-invariant* loss function L_{SILog} proposed in [8]. Additionally, we integrate a multi-scale gradient loss function L_{grad} to enhance visual quality and sharpness while preserving image boundaries as much as possible. Our overall loss function L is mathematically formulated as follows:

$$L = L_{\text{SILog}} + 0.5 \times L_{\text{grad}}, \quad (2)$$

where L_{SILog} is defined as:

$$L_{\text{SILog}} = 10 \times \sqrt{\text{var}(g) + \beta \times (\text{mean}(g))^2}. \quad (3)$$

Here, $g = \log(d + \alpha) - \log(d_{gt} + \alpha)$, with α being a small constant to prevent undefined logarithmic operations $1e-7$, and β serving as a scaling factor for the mean squared term set at 0.15.

The gradient component of the loss, L_{grad} , is expressed as:

$$L_{\text{grad}} = \frac{1}{HW} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{i,j} |\nabla_s d_{i,j} - \nabla_s d_{gt,i,j}| \quad (4)$$

In this equation, ∇ denotes the first-order spatial gradient operator, s indicates the scale factor for multi-scale analysis, d represents the predicted depth map, and d_{gt} is the ground truth depth map. H and W are the height and width of the depth map, respectively. This composite loss function aims to optimize both the scale-invariant and gradient-based aspects of the predicted depth map, enhancing accuracy and geometrical information.

4.2 Modules

As discussed in 3, HYBRIDDEPTH consists of four main blocks. During the training process, we freeze the Depth from Focus (DFF) and Relative Depth branches, focusing our training efforts exclusively on the refinement layer, which is the third layer in our architecture (figure 2). Below we provide more details about specific design choices and implementation details for each module:

Single-Image Relative Depth Estimation Branch. We utilize a small version of the Depth Anything model [33] for relative depth estimation. Unlike Videpth [29] which is using a Large DPT based model for this module. We managed to achieve SoTA results by using a smaller version and keep the model’s overall size much more smaller. we will show later in Table 1, HYBRIDDEPTH can even outperform the larger Depth Anything model (Large: 1.26 GB vs. small 240 MB) in metric depth estimation performance.

DFF Branch. We utilize the Depth from Focal Stack model DFV [32], specifically employing differential focus volumes to more accurately capture in-focus pixels. Unlike [29] we used the full output of this branch and built our initial scale map by utilizing the entire depth map.

Refinement Model. Similar to [29] we employ the MiDaS-small [6, 24] architecture as the refinement layer. The encoder backbone of this network is initialized with pre-trained ImageNet [7] weights. The other layers of the network are initialized randomly to allow for specialized adaptation to our depth estimation tasks.

Global Scaling Method. We utilize the least squares method to determine the optimal scale and shift values for aligning the relative depth outputs with the metric depth from the DFF branch. This process, which does not involve training, adjusts the relative depths to best fit the actual metric measurements.

4.3 Data Synthesizing

The ability to synthesize focal stack is vital for overcoming the limitations associated with the availability of datasets containing real focal stacks. To develop a model capable of operating effectively across various AR scenarios and allowing robust comparisons with state-of-the-art models, we adopt a method to artificially recreate focal stacks from a single image, similar to the method described in [26].

The process involves the following steps:

1. **Build an Arbitrary Camera System:** We configure a virtual camera with adjustable focus settings to mimic a physical camera system.
2. **Define Focus Distances:** Specific focus distances are set to simulate the camera focusing at different depths, mirroring a real-world camera’s behavior.
3. **Apply Circular Kernel for Blurring:** A circular kernel iterates over the image to add a blur effect. This blurring is based on the ground truth (GT) depth and the defined focus distances.

For the blurring process, we are using Equation (5), which is the same equation that has been used in recent works [17, 26] for creating the synthesized defocus blur. This equation is used to determine the extent of blur for pixels outside the specific focal plane according to the GT depth:

$$c = \frac{|S_2 - S_1|}{S_2} \frac{f^2}{N \times (S_1 - f)} \quad (5)$$

where: f is the lens’s focal length, N is the f-number (aperture) of the lens, S_1 is the distance to the in-focus subject, S_2 is the distance beyond which subjects are considered out of focus.

This equation is crucial for representing a realistic focal stack, where objects at different distances from the camera are rendered with varying clarity. This synthetic generation of focal stacks allows us to utilize more widely available single-image depth datasets.

4.4 End-To-End Mobile AR Pipeline

Figure 3 illustrates our comprehensive mobile AR pipeline, specifically designed for depth estimation using a mobile client. The process begins with the mobile client capturing a focal stack consisting of five images. These images represent different focus distances that capture different configurations from the same scene. We developed an Android app using the Camera2 API to quickly capture these focal stacks. The app changes the focus plane to five different values in a short amount of time (approximately 141 20 ms on a Pixel 6 Pro) and resizes all images to 480×640 . To address potential misalignment, we leverage the built-in optical image stabilization sensor (OIS) during the capturing session and employ OCR-based image alignment from OpenCV on the edge server before sending the images to our model HYBRIDDEPTH to ensure all images are properly aligned. Once the focal stack is captured, it is sent to a




Figure 3: An end-to-end mobile AR pipeline incorporating HYBRID-DEPTH for depth estimation.

server equipped with a powerful NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPU. On the server, the first step involves aligning the images in the focal stack to ensure spatial consistency, which is crucial for accurate depth estimation. We utilize a OCR-based image alignment method, and then HYBRIDDEPTH will output the final depth map, which can be further used in AR applications.

5 EXPERIMENTS

For evaluating HYBRIDDEPTH’s performance, we conducted comprehensive experiments on different datasets. Our method leverages both monocular depth estimation and depth-from-focus (DFF) solutions for the final estimation. Due to this design and its reliance on both focus cues from focal stacks and single-image visual cues, we compared HYBRIDDEPTH with both single-image models and DFF models.

A key challenge in comparing our method with different state-of-the-art (SOTA) models was the lack of a popular benchmark that both DFF and monocular depth models trained on, and that included focal stack images since our model uses focal stacks as input to train and predict. we addressed this problem by selecting two famous datasets: NYU Depth v2 as a single-image depth dataset and ARKitScenes as an AR-focused dataset. Using the method described in §4.3, we created synthesized focal stacks to make these datasets compatible with HYBRIDDEPTH. Additionally, we used a real-world DFF-based dataset to directly compare HYBRIDDEPTH with other works that use focal stack images as input.

Our experiments show that our model can outperform current SOTA models on all the mentioned datasets by a good margin and also exhibits strong zero-shot evaluation performance on ARKitScenes and DDFF12. We also provide a qualitative comparison between the depth maps of our model and two other SOTA models[5, 9]. Lastly, we performed an end-to-end comparison between HYBRIDDEPTH and ARCore and showed that our model outperformed ARCore based on the final depth map.

5.1 Datasets

DDFF12 [13], a real-world Depth from Focus (DFF) dataset, is captured using a light-field camera across 12 different scenes. It is designed to provide a rigorous benchmark for depth estimation models. We follow the dataset split specified in the DFV [32]. The training set consists of six scenes, each containing 100 samples. The test set includes six different scenes, with each scene having 20 samples. Each sample in the dataset comprises a 10-frame focal stack with a corresponding ground truth disparity map. The images are provided at a resolution of 383 552 pixels. For our training and evaluation, we used a focal stack number of 5, similar to DFV [32].

Table 1: Performance comparison on the NYU Depth v2 dataset with focal stack size of 10. **Bold** and underlined values represent the best and second-best results. The evaluation uses an upper bound of 10 meters on the ground truth depth map. All the numbers for other works have been taken from the corresponding papers.

Model	Type*	RMSE ↓	AbsRel ↓	δ_1 ↑	δ_2 ↑	δ_3 ↑
DefocusNet[17]	DFD	0.493	-	-	-	-
BTS [15]	SIDE	0.392	0.110	0.88	0.978	0.995
AdaBin[9]	SIDE	0.364	0.103	0.903	0.984	0.997
DPT[22]	SIDE	0.357	0.104	0.904	0.988	0.998
SwinV2-L[16]	SIDE	0.287	0.083	0.904	0.988	0.998
ZoeDepth [5]†	SIDE	0.270	0.075	0.96	0.995	0.999
VPD [35]	SIDE	0.254	0.069	0.96	0.995	0.999
ECoDepth [20]	SIDE	0.218	0.059	0.97	0.997	0.999
Depth Anything [33]	SIDE	<u>0.206</u>	<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.984</u>	0.998	1.000
Ours	DFD	0.202	0.041	0.988	0.998	1.000
DefocusNet ($\leq 2m$)‡	DFD	0.18	-	-	-	-
Ours ($\leq 2m$)‡	DFD	0.108	0.044	0.984	0.997	0.999

* DFD/DFD and SIDE stand for depth from defocus/focus and single image depth estimation.

† For ZoeDepth we have used ZoeDepth-M12-N version.

‡ These rows show performance metrics for distances under 2 meters.

Table 2: Performance comparison on the DDFF12 dataset. **Bold** values represent the best results. We used the same split as DFV [32]. All the numbers for other works have been taken from the DFV paper.

Model	MSE ↓	RMSE ↓	AbsRel ↓	δ_1 ↑	δ_2 ↑	δ_3 ↑
DFV [32]	5.70×10^{-4}	0.0213	0.17	0.76	0.94	0.98
Defocus-Net [17]	8.61×10^{-4}	0.0255	0.17	0.61	0.94	0.97
DDFF [13]	8.97×10^{-4}	0.0276	0.24	0.61	0.88	0.96
DFFintheWild [30]	5.7×10^{-4}	-	0.17	0.776	0.874	0.939
Ours	5.58×10^{-4}	0.0205	0.16	0.79	0.94	0.98

This dataset was mainly selected to help us to compare our work with other DFF models.

NYU Depth v2 [18] dataset is a widely recognized benchmark for monocular depth estimation, particularly for indoor scenes. It comprises over 24K densely labeled RGB and depth image pairs in the training set and 654 pairs in the test set. This dataset includes a broad range of indoor environments. The ground truth depth maps were obtained using a structured light sensor and are provided at a resolution of 640 480 pixels.

ARKitScenes [3] is a large-scale dataset captured with mobile devices, consists of complex and various scenes that show the real-world challenges [10]. For our experiments, we utilized a subset of ARKitScenes that includes ground truth depths. This subset includes approximately 39K images for training and 5.6K images for validation, providing a comprehensive basis for evaluating the robustness and accuracy of our model under realistic AR conditions.

5.2 Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate in metric depth space d by computing MSE as $MSE = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (d_i - \hat{d}_i)^2$, RMSE as $RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (d_i - \hat{d}_i)^2}$, and AbsRel Error as $AbsRel = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left| \frac{d_i - \hat{d}_i}{d_i} \right|$. Additionally, we assess the accuracy at threshold values using δ_1 , δ_2 , and δ_3 which measure the percentage of pixels where the predicted depth \hat{d}_i is within 1.25, 1.25², and 1.25³ times the ground truth depth d_i , respectively. Here, d_i and \hat{d}_i refer to the ground truth and predicted depth at pixel i , and M is the total number of pixels in the image.

5.3 Comparison to the State-of-the-Art

As discussed earlier, to ensure a fair comparison, we directly compared our model with other works on the specific datasets that they trained on. We achieved this by using two different types of works, namely state-of-the-art (SOTA) single image depth




Figure 4: Qualitative comparisons between our model, AdaBin [9] and ZoeDepth (indoor) [5] on the NYU Depth v2 dataset.




Figure 5: Example of HYBRIDDEPTH's ability to capture small details in the scene, compared to Adabin [9] and ZoeDepth [5]. We used the indoor version of Zoedepth trained on NYU Depth v2.

models [5, 9, 35, 20, 33] and models based on depth from focus/defocus [32, 17].

Results on DDF12. This dataset is a more challenging dataset for depth-from-focus (DFF) methods since it contains large texture-less areas where focus cues are not very visible in the focal stack, increasing the possibility of error. We mainly used this dataset to compare HYBRIDDEPTH directly to other similar methods that use

the focal stack as input (Depth from Focus). To compare HYBRIDDEPTH to DFF-based methods, we trained a scale refinement model on the entire training set and then compared it to other methods using the validation set. As shown in Table 2, our model achieves excellent results and outperforms the current SOTA model [32] on this dataset, with an MSE of 5.6×10^{-4} , an RMSE of 0.0205, and an AbsRel of 0.16. These results demonstrate that our model can effectively address scale inaccuracies through an additional layer of scale refinement and perform better than DFF models, specifically in cases with texture-less regions and weak focus cues.

Results on NYU Depth v2. Table 1 compares our proposed pipeline HYBRIDDEPTH with other SOTA methods on the indoor NYU dataset. Our model achieves a new state-of-the-art performance on this dataset and outperforms all the other models, including single image and DFF-based methods. Our model shows a smaller amount of error on all of our evaluation metrics. The models HYBRIDDEPTH outperforms including more complex ones such as those based on diffusion backbones (EcoDepth [20], VPD [35]) or large vision transformers (Depth Anything [33], ZoeDepth [5]). This result highlights the efficacy of using focal stack clues for depth estimation task. Figure 4 shows the qualitative comparison of our work with Adabin [9] and Zoedepth [5]. Our model demon-

Table 3: Zero-shot performance comparison on DDFF12 dataset between our and DepthAnything models trained on NYU Depth v2 and SOTA models trained on DDFF12 with focal stack size of 5. **Bold** and underlined values represent the best and second-best results.

Model	MSE ↓	RMSE ↓	AbsRel ↓	δ_1 ↑	δ_2 ↑	δ_3 ↑
DFV [32]	5.70×10^{-4}	0.0213	0.17	0.76	<u>0.94</u>	0.98
Defocus-Net [17]	8.61×10^{-4}	0.0255	0.17	0.61	0.94	0.97
DDFF [13]	8.97×10^{-4}	0.0276	0.24	0.61	0.88	0.96
DDFFintheWild [30]	5.70×10^{-4}	-	0.17	<u>0.776</u>	0.874	0.939
DepthAnything [33]	14.4×10^{-4}	0.0302	0.181	0.693	0.887	0.96
Ours	<u>6.8×10^{-4}</u>	<u>0.0232</u>	0.17	0.79	0.95	0.98

Table 4: Zero-shot evaluation comparison on the ARKitScenes validation set with a focal stack size of 5. **Bold** represent the best results.

Model	Trained	RMSE ↓	AbsRel ↓	#Params ↓
ZoeDepth-M12-N [5]	Multiple Datasets	0.61	0.33	344.82M
DistDepth [31]	NYU Depth v2	0.94	0.45	68M
ZeroDepth [12]	Multiple Datasets	0.62	.37	233M
DepthAnything [33]	Multiple Datasets	0.53	0.32	335.79M
Ours	NYU Depth v2	0.367	0.40	65.6M

strates a better visual quality and outputs smoother and more accurate depth maps. Unlike Zoedepth, our model is also capable of capturing depth over long distances. Also, based on the zoom-in details shown in Figure 5, HYBRIDDEPTH can capture some small objects in depth, whereas other two models are not able to.

5.4 Zero-Shot and Real-World Performance Evaluation

We evaluated HYBRIDDEPTH zero-shot performance since it is an important aspect of the depth model for most applications. Our method was evaluated both qualitatively and quantitatively, by comparing its performance against two different baselines including state-of-the-art (SOTA) models on the ARKitScenes dataset and the ARCore framework in real world. Our results show that HYBRIDDEPTH can outperform SOTA models on the challenging and AR-specific dataset ARKitScenes in terms of zero-shot evaluation and trained version; moreover, HYBRIDDEPTH also significantly outperforms ARCore in our real world cases.

5.4.1 Zero-Shot Generalization

We assessed our method’s zero-shot performance on two distinct datasets, DDFF12 and ARKitScenes, to evaluate its effectiveness across different scenarios. The DDFF12 dataset, which contains real focal stacks, was utilized to test the model’s ability to generalize to environments with depth cues without prior training specific to this dataset. The ARKitScenes dataset was chosen because it closely represents mobile AR and real-world challenges, making it ideal for evaluating model’s AR-specific performance.

Table 3 shows that our model achieves comparable performance on the DDFF12 dataset to state-of-the-art (SOTA) models that were specifically trained on these data. Notably, in some metrics such as AbsRel and the δ_1 , δ_2 accuracy, our model, which was only trained on the NYU dataset, even achieve better results. This observation highlights our model’s robustness and its capacity to adapt to unseen datasets/scenes with real focal stack characteristics.

For the ARKitScenes dataset, as we can see in Table 4, HYBRIDDEPTH outperform other evaluated SOTA models based on RMSE metric with smaller model size. Our model trained on only NYU Depth v2 data achieves the best results based on RMSE and comparable results on AbsRel on the ARKitScenes dataset. Moreover, our method achieves SOTA performance on ARKitScenes with a smaller model, which highlights the power of focal stack cues and our method in mobile AR scenarios.

To further validate these findings, we also fine-tuned the NYU-trained version of our model, along with ZoeDepth indoor version,

Table 5: Performance analysis of the three SOTA models on Nvidia RTX 4090. **Bold** values represent the best results.

Model	Inference time	Size	#Params
ZoeDepth-M12-N [5]	86 6 ms	1.28 GB	344.82M
DepthAnything [33]	57 5 ms	1.25 GB	335.79M
Ours	25 2 ms	0.24 GB	65.6M




Figure 6: Qualitative comparison with ARCore and DFV. Our model outputs better depth by preserving object boundaries and overall geometrical information about the scene. In our experiments with ARCore, depth maps were obtained by moving the camera around the scene until no further improvement was observed.

specifically on the ARKitScenes dataset. As shown in Table 6, after this additional fine-tuning step, our model is able to maintain the superior performance over ZoeDepth and continue to set the benchmark for SOTA results on this dataset on both metrics. This result shows our model’s ability to handle AR-specific datasets and address real-world challenges effectively, further validating the practical applicability HYBRIDDEPTH in AR scenarios.

5.4.2 Comparative Performance Analysis

To show the efficiency and scalability of our approach for potential mobile or real-time applications, we compare our proposed model against SOTA models based on inference time, model size, and the number of parameters. This comparison is essential to highlight the advantages of our method. Table 5 summarizes the performance metrics for ZoeDepth-M12-N, DepthAnything, and our model, tested on an Nvidia RTX 4090 GPU. Our model demonstrates the fastest inference time, significantly reduced model size, and a competitive number of parameters.

Our model exhibits a remarkable reduction in inference time, achieving a 3.44x improvement over ZoeDepth-M12-N and a 2.28x improvement over DepthAnything. This significant decrease in inference time demonstrates our model’s suitability for real-time applications. In terms of model size, our model is 5.34x smaller than ZoeDepth-M12-N and 5.02x smaller than DepthAnything. The compact size of our model is advantageous for deployment on devices with limited memory and storage capacity, such as mobile phones and embedded systems. Moreover, our model maintains a competitive number of parameters, with only 65.6M compared to ZoeDepth-M12-N’s 344.82M and DepthAnything’s 335.79M.

5.4.3 Qualitative Comparison with ARCore and DFV

For real-world evaluations, we compared our model against the depth map captured [37] from the commercial ARCore framework [1] and DFV [32], the SOTA depth from focus method. Utilizing an Android app, we captured a focal stack of five images and sent it over WiFi to an edge server for alignment and inference. This setup closely mirrors typical AR usage scenarios [38, 36], allowing us to extensively test and leverage the mobile camera’s capabilities. Figure 5.4.1 illustrates that our model not only consistently preserves better edge details and object boundaries compared to ARCore but also produces smoother and more consistent depth maps than those generated by DFV. The quality of edges and object boundaries is crucial for tasks such as rendering occlusions or precise object placement in AR scenarios. Also, unlike ARCore,

Table 6: Performance on the ARKitScenes validation set with focal stack size of 5. **Bold** values represent the best results.

Model	RMSE ↓	AbsRel ↓	#Params ↓
ZoeDepth	0.32	0.256	344.82M
Ours	0.25	0.254	65.6M

Table 7: Effect of focal stack size on HYBRIDDEPTH. Both focal stack sizes yield new SOTA results, and there are no significant performance differences between these two settings.

Focal Stack Size	Trained	Evaluated	RMSE ↓	AbsRel ↓
5	NYU Depth v2	NYU Depth v2	0.214	0.045
10	NYU Depth v2	NYU Depth v2	0.202	0.041
5	DDFF12	DDFF12	0.0205	0.1681
10	DDFF12	DDFF12	0.0205	0.1680
5	NYU Depth v2	ARKitScenes	0.367	0.410
10	NYU Depth v2	ARKitScenes	0.445	0.462

which relies on depth from motion and requires accumulating data over several frames to produce a usable depth map, our model efficiently delivers better performance right from the start.

5.5 Effect of Focal Stack Size

Table 7 shows HYBRIDDEPTH sensitivity to the size of the focal stack, on all three datasets. We observe no significant differences in performance. For instance, on the NYU Depth v2 dataset, our model achieves SOTA results even with a focal stack size of 5. Similarly, in zero-shot evaluations on the ARKitScenes dataset, HYBRIDDEPTH’s performance is slightly better with a focal stack of size 5; though both focal stack sizes yield results better than SOTA. These findings indicate that our model is not sensitive to variations in the number of focal stacks, allowing it to achieve robust performance across different capturing configurations.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Achieving robust and accurate metric depth in the wild is a challenging problem. Recent work has demonstrated that even SOTA models like Zoedepth and Adabin struggle with real-world scenarios, as represented in the ARKitScenes dataset. We tackle this challenge with the design of HYBRIDDEPTH, an end-to-end metric depth estimation pipeline that synergistically fuse the focal stack and relative depth information. We show that HYBRIDDEPTH not only outperforms both single image and DFF models on commonly used datasets of NYU Depth v2 and DDFF12, but also achieves zero-shot performance on par with models that are trained specifically on that dataset. Even more, HYBRIDDEPTH’s superior performance only requires the use of cameras, which are widely available on almost all mobile devices. Compared to solutions that rely on specialized hardware like LiDAR or ToF sensors, HYBRIDDEPTH is more deployment friendly. Currently, the DFF branch in HYBRIDDEPTH represents the most significant source of errors in our pipeline, particularly due to scaling errors in situations where the focal stack does not include an ideal focus for certain pixels. As part of future work, we will investigate methods to selectively capture depth values that are close to the focus distance, thereby ensuring the accuracy of the provided depth values.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by NSF Grants #2105564 and #2236987, a VMware grant, the Worcester Polytechnic Institutes Computer Science Department. Most results presented in this paper were obtained using CloudBank[19], supported by the National Science Foundation under award #1925001.

REFERENCES

- [1] Build new augmented reality experiences that seamlessly blend the digital and physical worlds. <https://developers.google.com/ar>. Accessed: 2024-5-8.
- [2] Apple. <https://developer.apple.com/augmented-reality/>, 2017.
- [3] G. Baruch, Z. Chen, A. Dehghan, T. Dimry, Y. Feigin, P. Fu, T. Gebauer, B. Joffe, D. Kurz, A. Schwartz, and E. Shulman. ARKitScenes - A Diverse Real-World Dataset for 3D Indoor Scene Understanding Using Mobile RGB-D Data. In *NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2021.
- [4] S. F. Bhat, I. Alhashim, and P. Wonka. Localbins: Improving depth estimation by learning local distributions. In *ECCV*, 2022.
- [5] S. F. Bhat, R. Birkel, D. Wofk, P. Wonka, and M. Miller. Zoedepth: Zero-shot transfer by combining relative and metric depth. *arXiv:2302.12288*. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2302.12288
- [6] R. Birkel, D. Wofk, and M. Müller. Midas v3.1—a model zoo for robust monocular relative depth estimation. *arXiv:2307.14460*, 2023.
- [7] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 248–255, 2009. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206848
- [8] D. Eigen, C. Puhrsch, and R. Fergus. Depth map prediction from a single image using a multi-scale deep network, 2014.
- [9] S. Farooq Bhat, I. Alhashim, and P. Wonka. AdaBins: Depth Estimation Using Adaptive Bins. In *CVPR*, 2021.
- [10] A. Ganj, Y. Zhao, H. Su, and T. Guo. Mobile AR Depth Estimation: Challenges & Prospects. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, HOTMOBILE '24*, p. 2126. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2024. doi: 10.1145/3638550.3641122
- [11] S. Ghasemi, M. Behravan, S. D. Ubur, and D. Gračanin. Attention and sensory processing in augmented reality: Empowering ADHD population. In M. Antona and C. Stephanidis, eds., *Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction*, pp. 301–320. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 2024.
- [12] V. Guizilini, I. Vasiljevic, D. Chen, R. Ambrus, and A. Gaidon. Towards zero-shot scale-aware monocular depth estimation. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [13] C. Hazirbas, S. G. Soyer, M. C. Staab, L. Leal-Taix, and D. Cremers. Deep depth from focus. In *Asian Conference on Computer Vision (ACCV)*, December 2018.
- [14] D. Jones, S. Ghasemi, D. Gračanin, and M. Azab. Privacy, safety, and security in extended reality: User experience challenges for neurodiverse users. In A. Moallem, ed., *HCI for Cybersecurity, Privacy and Trust*, pp. 511–528. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 2023.
- [15] J. H. Lee, M.-K. Han, D. W. Ko, and I. H. Suh. From big to small: Multi-scale local planar guidance for monocular depth estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.10326*, 2019.
- [16] Z. Liu, H. Hu, Y. Lin, Z. Yao, Z. Xie, Y. Wei, J. Ning, Y. Cao, Z. Zhang, L. Dong, F. Wei, and B. Guo. Swin transformer v2: Scaling up capacity and resolution. In *International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2022.
- [17] M. Maximov, K. Galim, and L. Leal-Taix. Focus on defocus: Bridging the synthetic to real domain gap for depth estimation. In *CVPR*, 2020.
- [18] P. K. Nathan Silberman, Derek Hoiem and R. Fergus. Indoor segmentation and support inference from rgb-d images. In *ECCV*, 2012.
- [19] M. Norman, V. Kellen, S. Smallen, B. DeMeulle, S. Strande, E. Lazowska, N. Alterman, R. Fatland, S. Stone, A. Tan, K. Yelick, E. Van Dusen, and J. Mitchell. Cloudbank: Managed services to simplify cloud access for computer science research and education. In *Practice and Experience in Advanced Research Computing, PEARC '21*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3437359.3465586
- [20] S. Patni, A. Agarwal, and C. Arora. Ecodepth: Effective conditioning of diffusion models for monocular depth estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18807*, 2024.
- [21] S. Pertuz, D. Puig, and M. A. Garcia. Analysis of focus measure operators for shape-from-focus. *Pattern Recognition*, 46(5):1415–1432, 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2012.11.011

- [22] R. Ranftl, A. Bochkovskiy, and V. Koltun. Vision transformers for dense prediction. In *ICCV*, 2021. doi: 10.1109/ICCV48922.2021.01196
- [23] R. Ranftl, K. Lasinger, D. Hafner, K. Schindler, and V. Koltun. Towards robust monocular depth estimation: Mixing datasets for zero-shot cross-dataset transfer. *TPAMI*, 2020.
- [24] R. Ranftl, K. Lasinger, D. Hafner, K. Schindler, and V. Koltun. Towards robust monocular depth estimation: Mixing datasets for zero-shot cross-dataset transfer. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(3), 2022.
- [25] M. Sayed, J. Gibson, J. Watson, V. Prisacariu, M. Firman, and C. Godard. Simplecon: 3d reconstruction without 3d convolutions. In *ECCV*, 2022.
- [26] H. Si, B. Zhao, D. Wang, Y. Gao, M. Chen, Z. Wang, and X. Li. Fully self-supervised depth estimation from defocus clue. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10752*, 2023.
- [27] M. Subbarao and J.-K. Tyan. Selecting the optimal focus measure for autofocusing and depth-from-focus. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 20(8):864–870, 1998. doi: 10.1109/34.709612
- [28] N.-H. Wang, R. Wang, Y.-L. Liu, Y.-H. Huang, Y.-L. Chang, C.-P. Chen, and K. Jou. Bridging unsupervised and supervised depth from focus via all-in-focus supervision. In *ICCV*, 2021.
- [29] Wolk, Diana and Ranftl, René and Müller, Matthias and Koltun, Vladlen. Monocular Visual-Inertial Depth Estimation. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2023.
- [30] C. Won and H.-G. Jeon. Learning depth from focus in the wild, 2022.
- [31] C.-Y. Wu, J. Wang, M. Hall, U. Neumann, and S. Su. Toward practical monocular indoor depth estimation. In *CVPR*, 2022.
- [32] F. Yang, X. Huang, and Z. Zhou. Deep depth from focus with differential focus volume. In *Proceedings - 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022*, Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 12632–12641. IEEE Computer Society, United States, 2022. Publisher Copyright: © 2022 IEEE.; 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022 ; Conference date: 19-06-2022 Through 24-06-2022. doi: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.01231
- [33] L. Yang, B. Kang, Z. Huang, X. Xu, J. Feng, and H. Zhao. Depth anything: Unleashing the power of large-scale unlabeled data. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [34] Y. Zhang, T. Scargill, A. Vaishnav, G. Premsankar, M. Di Francesco, and M. Gorlatova. Indepth: Real-time depth inpainting for mobile augmented reality. *IMWUT*, 2022.
- [35] W. Zhao, Y. Rao, Z. Liu, B. Liu, J. Zhou, and J. Lu. Unleashing text-to-image diffusion models for visual perception. *ICCV*, 2023.
- [36] Y. Zhao and T. Guo. Xihe: A 3D Vision-based Lighting Estimation Framework for Mobile Augmented Reality. *MobiSys '21*, p. 2840, 2021.
- [37] Y. Zhao and T. Guo. Demo: Arflow: A framework for simplifying ar experimentation workflow. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, HOTMOBILE '24*, p. 154. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2024. doi: 10.1145/3638550.3643617
- [38] Y. Zhao, C. Ma, H. Huang, and T. Guo. LitAR: Visually Coherent Lighting for Mobile Augmented Reality. *Accepted to Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol. (Pending Minor Revision)*, 2022.