(Demo) Systematic Experimentation Using Scenarios in Agent Simulation: Going Beyond Parameter Space

Vivek Nallur^{1[0000-0003-0447-4150]}, Pedram Aghaei^{1[0009-0008-6316-5335]}, and Graham Finlay^{2[0000-0002-4798-2393]}

 ¹ School of Computer Science, University College Dublin, Ireland vivek.nallur@ucd.ie | i.pedramaghaei@gmail.com
² School of Politics and International Relations, University College Dublin, Ireland graham.finlay@ucd.ie

Abstract. This paper demonstrates a disconnected ABM architecture that enables domain experts, and non-programmers to add qualitative insights into the ABM model without the intervention of the programmer. This role separation within the architecture allows policy-makers to systematically experiment with multiple policy interventions, different starting conditions, and visualizations to interrogate their ABM.

Keywords: BehaviourFlow \cdot Multiple Experts \cdot Policy Validation \cdot Domain Expertise.

1 Introduction

The ideal that agent-based modelling (ABM) in social simulation strives to achieve, in many cases, is a true representation of the 'society-of-agents' under study, so that we may gain insight into (or even generate) surprising interactions, emergent behaviour, and some level of explainability in an otherwise complex scenario. This promise has led ABM to be used in many and varied domains, e.g., GIS and socio-ecological modelling [3][2], migration networks [13][6], epidemiological and crisis simulation [12][7], computer games [8], pedestrian dynamics [1][5], self-adaptive software [10][14], modelling emergence[11], emotion modelling [4][9].

Unfortunately, agent-based modelling mechanisms are rarely built to accommodate multiple different experts. To add an additional wrinkle, the output of the model sometimes needs to be interpreted or evaluated by a completely different expert. The development and utilization of agent-based models (ABMs) often requires the acquisition of expertise across multiple domains. A common challenge faced by agent-modelers is that many aspects of the phenomena being modeled are typically described qualitatively rather than quantitatively. Depending on the discipline, translating these qualitative concepts into the parameters or rules of a computational model can be extremely difficult. The tension between qualitative descriptions and quantitative modeling presents an ongoing V. Nallur et al.

obstacle for agent-based modelers. This paper demonstrates an architecture that allows different qualitative experts to influence and use the ABM, without the programmer intervening. Specifically, we report on the architecture of an ABM that looks at economic migrants into Ireland, and allows ethnographers to use their qualitative knowledge in shaping how the agents (migrants) behave and also allows policy experts to compare and contrast multiple policy interventions side by side, without being aware of the programmer or the ethnographer. This kind of architecture allows for a systematic exploration of complex domains, beyond typical tools such as NetLogo, Jason, Mesa, etc. In the sections that follow, we describe the architecture, and the process by which different experts can influence the model.

2 Architecture

The architecture is designed in order to allow different roles to participate in the ABM creation, modification and usage at different times. In the pursuit of this role separation, we propose the notion of a BehaviourFlow expressed visually in graphs, that enables domain-experts and non-programmers to restructure distinct aspects of the simulation model.

Conventional Approaches $\mathbf{2.1}$

When we simulate a real-world domain using an agent-based model, it is typically necessary to run the model multiple times to observe how the output changes in response to variations within the model. With simple models, the logic and algorithm are usually consistent, and differences are reflected in the input parameters, which are traditionally single variables that can take different values. From a programming perspective, to compare different models, we define different sets of input variables, iterate over them, and run the model in a loop. These kinds of mechanisms are already present in current ABM tools. For example. Mesa has a module called **batch** run that can fulfill this need. The user can define a range or set of inputs for the model, and this module will run the model based on all combinations of these input variables.

NetLogo has a similar mechanism using BehaviorSpace where user can define experiments and run a model many times, systematically varying the model's variables and recording the results of each model run. This process is sometimes called "parameter sweeping" and enables user to explore the model's space of possible variables and determine which combinations of variables cause the result of interest. Again, the variation of models are limited to combination of input variables, and the modeller would define changes in behaviour depending on the combination of variables chosen. After running a BehaviorSpace, the output is be a spreadsheet or a table. The user is expected to use another tool to analyse and gain insights from the output.

However, for complex models, users may need to compare the effects of more complicated distinctions rather than just a single input variable. These distinctions could involve a combination of related input variables or different variations

 $\mathbf{2}$

in the model's logic. Conventionally, there are two options: either manually write and run different models separately for comparison, or write a comprehensive model and hardcode different variations as a selector input element. Even after doing this, a manual systematic approach is required to compare outputs from different combinations.

2.2 Current Architecture Implementation

Our proposed framework is built using Python programming language that enables us to exploit the popularity and versatility of Python and its rich package availability. The ABM implementation is built on top of the Mesa and Mesa-Geo packages³. So, all core components of these packages like the scheduler and data collector are available in this framework Here we introduce a new concept called Scenario which consists of set of Policies and one BehaviourFlow for each agent type in the model, in addition to conventional input variables. A Policy is set of actions that will apply to each individual agent if all corresponding conditions are met. A BehaviourFlow is an XML file that can be visualized using any graph/network diagram editor (such as the freely available yED^4) and define the sequence and relation of behaviours for each agent type. With this implementation, a Scenario becomes a single input component for model. The Scenario is a JSON file, which can be completely designed using Web-based UI. This mechanism enables policymakers to construct different Scenarios and systematically compare the complex interplay of agent-behaviour, starting conditions, as well as interventions. The Web-based UI functions as a drop-in replacement for the Mesa visualisation server and provides adequate visualisation to compare the scenarios before starting the simulation and also comparing the results, both using charts and choropleth maps.

3 Domain Expert Designing Scenarios

3.1 Defining Policy

Policies are tools that can be created by policy-makers and ABM end-users to model an intervention on a sub-population of agents. A Policy is designed to operate on units, as small as individual agents, and as large as the entire population. During each time step of the simulation, the model checks the eligibility of each policy for every agent. Once eligibility is determined, the corresponding actions are applied to all applicable agents. The policy-maker (or end-user) can create an arbitrary number of policies as the initial step in designing a scenario. Since all steps of policy definition occur within the user interface, the policymaker can modify models with more complexity than a single parameter. Figure 1 shows a screenshot for defining a policy.

³ https://pypi.org/project/Mesa/

⁴ https://www.yworks.com/products/yed/download

4 V. Nallur et al.

Create New Policy	
Applicable Agent: AgentMigrant	Create New Policy
	Applicable Agent: AgentMigrant +
Conditions: Add condition Remove condition	
Condition1: Has Job v equals to v No v	Conditions: Add condition Remove condition
Condition2: attend Upskill Course + equals to + Yes +	Condition1: Has Job + equals to + No +
Actions: Add action Remove action	Actions: Add action Remove action
Action1: Monthly Income	Action1: Monthly Income + increase by + 450
jobseeker-benefit Submit Discard	unemployment-benefit Submit Discard

(a) Jobseeker Policy

(b) Unemployment Policy

Fig. 1: Two Sample Policies

3.2 Different Types of Graphs

Another extension for going beyond parameter limitation in model definition is BehaviourFlows. With this mechanism, the end user can re-arrange the behaviour of each agent type, change relations and the probability of executing each behaviour independently. This gives a remarkable customization to the end user to define new scenarios, without changing the code in runtime, rather than in the programming phase. to achieve the same result, one should hardcode every possibility in the code in applications like MEsa and NetLogo. These tools make it much easier to develop deliberative agents. The Framework will create a raw BehaviourFlow by default (Figure 2a) and the user can use external applications (such as yEd) to modify it (Figure 2b and 2c)



Fig. 2: Three Samples of BehaviourFlow

3.3 Running Multiple Models and Saving Results

After designing the scenarios, the user can further determine the simulation settings, such as duration of the simulation, the number of iterations per scenario, and the data collection interval. These settings are common to all scenarios. Figure 3 shows the simulation settings panel. As seen in the figure, a user is not only able to save-and-run multiple complex scenarios, but also save and retrieve their results for later comparison. Complex scenarios can be difficult to compare, and hence Figure 4 shows the comparison table that the user is able to consult to view differences between scenarios.



Fig. 3: simulation settings

Compare Scenarios

Fa	Facet current-situati		situation	more-new-jobs	help-all-unemployed	
1_mi	grants	Active		Active	Active	
2_administration_process Activ		Active Active		Active		
3_job_market Ac		Active Active		Active		
Poli	cy	current-sit	uation	more-new-jobs	help-all-unemployed	
unemployment-benefit Not Act:		.ve	Not Active	Active		
Behaviour Flows	haviour Flows current-situation		more-new-jobs		help-all-unemployed	
AgentMigrant	behaviourFlow	ourFlow_AgentMigrant_1		urFlow_AgentMigrant_1	behaviourFlow_AgentMigrant_1	
AgentEmployer	behaviourFlow	urFlow_AgentEmployer_1		rFlow_AgentEmployer_1	behaviourFlow_AgentEmployer_1	
Global Variables current-situation		on	more-new-jobs	help-all-unemployed		
inputNPerson 90			90	90		
inputNnewJobs 5			7	5		

Fig. 4: A table to visually compare different scenarios before starting simulation

4 Conclusion

In agent-based modelling where complex social scenarios are common, this disconnected architecture allows for a separation-in-time of different experts. Not only does this allow for the involvement of multiple domain experts, but it also allows qualitative insights to be integrated into the simulation, as they arrive. That is, the ABM is not frozen by the behaviours conceived of, during designtime. Due to lack of space, we have omitted the mention of details of how this is enabled, however, the code for the simulation tool built using this architecture is available, as open-source ⁵

⁵ https://csgitlab.ucd.ie/vivek/cothrom/-/tree/faceted-behaviour

6 V. Nallur et al.

References

- Alqurashi, R., Altman, T.: Multi-class agent-based model of crowd dynamics. In: 2017 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence (CSCI). pp. 1801–1802. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSCI.2017.317
- Filatova, T., Verburg, P.H., Parker, D.C., Stannard, C.A.: Spatial agent-based models for socio-ecological systems: Challenges and prospects 45, 1–7, publisher: Elsevier
- Heppenstall, A.J., Crooks, A.T., See, L.M., Batty, M.: Agent-based models of geographical systems. Springer Science & Business Media
- 4. Horned, A., Vanhée, L.: Models of anxiety for agent deliberation: The benefits of anxiety-sensitive agents. In: Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems. pp. 1761–1767. AAMAS '23, International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems
- Karbovskii, V., Voloshin, D., Karsakov, A., Bezgodov, A., Gershenson, C.: Multimodel agent-based simulation environment for mass-gatherings and pedestrian dynamics 79, 155–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2016.10.002
- Klabunde, A.: Computational economic modeling of migration. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199844371.013.41, ISBN: 9780199844371
- Kruelen, K., De Bruin, B., Ghorbani, A., Mellema, R., Kammler, C., Vanhée, L., Dignum, V., Dignum, F.: How culture influences the management of a pandemic: A simulation of the COVID-19 crisis 25(3), 6. https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss. 4877
- Mac Namee, B.: Computer graphics and games, agent based modeling in. In: Meyers, R.A. (ed.) Computational Complexity, pp. 604–621. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1800-9_39
- Nallur, V.: Anxiety among migrants questions for agent simulation. In: Amigoni, F., Sinha, A. (eds.) Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems. Best and Visionary Papers, vol. 14456, pp. 141–150. Springer Nature Switzerland. https: //doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56255-6_8
- Nallur, V., Cardozo, N., Clarke, S.: Clonal plasticity: a method for decentralized adaptation in multi-agent systems. In: Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium on Software Engineering for Adaptive and Self-Managing Systems. pp. 122–128. ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/2897053.2897067, https: //dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2897053.2897067
- Nallur, V., O'Toole, E., Cardozo, N., Clarke, S.: Algorithm diversity: A mechanism for distributive justice in a socio-technical mas. In: Proceedings of the 2016 international conference on autonomous agents & multiagent systems. pp. 420–428
- Roche, B., Drake, J.M., Rohani, P.: An agent-based model to study the epidemiological and evolutionary dynamics of influenza viruses 12(1), 87. https: //doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-87
- Smith, C.D.: Modelling migration futures: development and testing of the rainfalls agent-based migration model – tanzania 6(1), 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 17565529.2013.872593
- Song, H., Elgammal, A., Nallur, V., Chauvel, F., Fleurey, F., Clarke, S.: On architectural diversity of dynamic adaptive systems. In: 2015 IEEE/ACM 37th IEEE international conference on software engineering. vol. 2, pp. 595–598. https: //doi.org/10.1109/ICSE.2015.201